

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal no. 49; ultrasonic locating devices for the placement of central venous lines

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Manufacturers/sponsors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagnostic Sonar • GE Ultrasound Europe • Jade Medical • Pie-Data • Siemens Medical Systems • SonoSite • Ultrasound Technologies <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Action Heart • Afiya Trust • Arrhythmia Alliance • Black Health Agency • BLISS – The Premature Baby Charity • British Cardiac Patients Association • Cancer 52 • CANCERactive • Cancer Black Care • Cancer Equality • Chinese National Healthy Living Centre • CLIC Sargent • Counsel and Care • CritPaL – Patient Liaison Committee of the Intensive Care Society • Equalities National Council • Heart Care Partnership (UK) • Help Adolescents with Cancer • ICU Steps • Macmillan Cancer Support 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of British Healthcare Industries (ABHI) • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • Care Quality Commission • Commissioning Support Appraisals Service • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • EUCOMED • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • NHS Alliance • NHS Commercial Medicines Unit • NHS Confederation • NHS Quality Improvement Scotland • Public Health Wales NHS Trust • Scottish Medicines Consortium <p><u>Comparator manufacturer(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Institute for Health Research • Policy Research Institute on Ageing and Ethnicity • Research Institute for the Care of Older People

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maggie’s Centres • Marie Curie Cancer Care • Muslim Council of Great Britain • Muslim Health Network • National Children’s Bureau • National Council for Palliative Care • NCH – The Children’s Charity • NCT – National Childbirth Trust • PINNT – Patients on Intravenous and Nasogastric Nutrition Therapy • Rarer Cancers Forum • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance • Sue Ryder Care • Teenage Cancer Trust • Tenovus • Well Child <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland • British Association for Immediate Care • British Association for Parenteral & Enteral Nutrition • British Association for Services to the Elderly • British Association of Accident & Emergency Medicine • British Association of Critical Care Nurses • British Association of Surgical Oncology • British Geriatrics Society • British Heart Foundation • Cancer Research UK • Intensive Care Society • Royal College of Anaesthetists • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health 	<p><u>Assessment group</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme • Assessment Group tbc <p><u>Associated Guideline Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • none <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • none

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal College of Radiologists • Royal College of Surgeons • Royal Society of Medicine <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health • NHS Calderdale • Sandwell PCT • Welsh Assembly Government 	

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

Consultees can participate in the consultation on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document, they are invited to prepare a submission dossier and all non-manufacturers/sponsors consultee organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee. All consultees are given the opportunity to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare a submission dossier, and that receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*).

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment team

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute)

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.