NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Proposed Multiple Technology Appraisal (MTA)

INTRABEAM Photon Radiosurgery System for the adjuvant treatment of early or locally advanced breast cancer

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Manufacturers/sponsors • Carl Zeiss UK (intrabeam) Patient/carer groups • Afiya Trust • Black Health Agency • Breakthrough Breast Cancer • Breast Cancer Campaign • Breast Cancer Care • Breast Cancer UK • Cancer Black Care • Cancer Equality • Counsel and Care • Equalities National Council • Haven • Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity • Maggie's Centres • Marie Curie Cancer Care • Muslim Council of Britain • Muslim Health Network • South Asian Health Foundation	 appeal) <u>General</u> Allied Health Professionals Federation Association of British Healthcare Industries (ABHI) Board of Community Health Councils in Wales Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland EUCOMED Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association for Primary Care National Cancer Programme – National Radiotherapy Implementation Group NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Public Health Wales NHS Trust Scottish Medicines Consortium
 Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Women's Health Concern 	 <u>Possible comparator manufacturers</u> Elekta (synergy Linear accelerator) Oncology Systems Limited (Tomo
 Professional groups Association of Breast Surgery Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland Association of Cancer Physicians British Association for Services to the Elderly British Association of Surgical Oncology 	 Therapy Hi-Art Linear Accelerator) Siemens (Artiste Linear Accelerator, Oncor Expression Linear Accelerator) Varian (Clinac iX Linear Accelerator) <u>Relevant research groups</u> Against Breast Cancer Breast Cancer Hope Breast Cancer Research Trust

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of intrabeam for the targeted intraoperative radiotherapy of early or locally advanced breast cancer Issue date: September 2012

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society Cancer Network Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Surgeons Royal College of Surgeons Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health Inner North East London PCT Cluster South Essex PCT Cluster Welsh Government 	 Cochrane Breast Cancer Group Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Pro-Cancer Research Fund Research Institute for the Care of Older People <u>Assessment Group</u> Assessment group TBC National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> National Collaborating Centre for Cancer <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> tbc

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

MTA Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.