NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Certolizumab pegol for treating moderate to severe plaque psoriasis ID1232

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) General Company • All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology UCB Pharma (certolizumab pegol) Centre Patient/carer groups Allied Health Professionals Federation Action Against Allergy Board of Community Health Councils in Allergy UK Wales British Skin Foundation British National Formulary Changing Faces Care Quality Commission • Muslim Council of Britain • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Psoriasis Association Healthcare Improvement Scotland Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis • Medicines and Healthcare products Alliance Psoriasis Help Organisation Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care South Asian Health Foundation • National Pharmacy Association Specialised Healthcare Alliance • NHS Alliance • Professional groups NHS Commercial Medicines Unit British Association of Dermatologists NHS Confederation British Dermatological Nursing Group Scottish Medicines Consortium • British Geriatrics Society Welsh Health Specialised Services • British Society for Cutaneous Allergy Committee • British Society for Rheumatology • Possible comparator companies Primary Care Dermatology Society AbbVie (adalimumab) **Royal College of General Practitioners** Accord Healthcare (methotrexate) Royal College of Nursing • • Actavis UK (acitretin) Royal College of Pathologists • • Almirall (dimethyl fumarate) Royal College of Physicians Biogen (etanercept) Royal Pharmaceutical Society • • Royal Society of Medicine Celgene (apremilast) • Concordia International (methotrexate) UK Clinical Pharmacy Association • • Eli Lilly (ixekizumab) • Genus Pharmaceuticals (acitretin) Others • Hameln Pharmaceuticals Department of Health • (methotrexate) NHS England Hospira UK (methotrexate) NHS Oldham CCG • NHS West Essex CCG Janssen-Cilag (ustekinumab) •

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of certolizumab pegol for treating chronic plaque psoriasis ID1232 Issue date: September 2017

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Welsh Government	 Medac GmbH (methotrexate) Mylan (ciclosporin) Nordic Pharma (methotrexate) Novartis Pharmaceuticals (ciclosporin, secukinumab) Orion Pharma UK (methotrexate) Pfizer (etanercept, methotrexate) Rosemont Pharmaceuticals (methotrexate) Rosemont Pharmaceuticals (methotrexate) Sandoz (etanercept, methotrexate) Sandoz (etanercept, methotrexate) British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society Centre of Evidence-based Dermatology, University of Nottingham Cochrane Skin Group MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research Skin Treatment & Research Trust Associated Public Health groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of certolizumab pegol for treating chronic plaque psoriasis ID1232 Issue date: September 2017

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2017. All rights reserved

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of certolizumab pegol for treating chronic plaque psoriasis ID1232 Issue date: September 2017