## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# **Proposed Single Technology Appraisal**

Lenalidomide for the treatment of multiple myeloma in people who have received at least one prior therapy with bortezomib (partial review of TA171)

# Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees		Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)	
Manuf	acturers/sponsors		eneral
	lgene (lenalidomide)	•	Allied Health Professionals Federation
- 00	igono (ionalidornido)	•	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups			Wales
	ya Trust	•	British National Formulary
	ack Health Agency	•	Care Quality Commission
	ncer 52	•	Commissioning Support Appraisals Service
	ncer Black Care	•	Department of Health, Social Services and
	ncer Equality		Public Safety for Northern Ireland
	ualities National Council	•	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
-	len Rollason Cancer Charity	•	Medicines and Healthcare products
	dependent Age		Regulatory Agency
	dependent Cancer Patients Voice	•	National Association of Primary Care
	ukaemia Cancer Society	•	National Pharmacy Association
	ukaemia CARE	•	NHS Alliance
_	acmillan Cancer Support	•	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
	aggie's Centres	•	NHS Confederation
	arie Curie Cancer Care	•	Public Health England
	Islim Council of Britain	•	Public Health Wales NHS Trust
	ıslim Health Network	•	Scottish Medicines Consortium
	veloma UK		
•	rer Cancers Foundation	Co	mparator manufacturers
	uth Asian Health Foundation	•	Accord (doxorubicin)
	ecialised Healthcare Alliance	•	Actavis (doxorubicin)
•	novus	•	Aspen (melphalan)
		•	Baxter Healthcare (cyclophosphamide)
Profes	sional groups	•	Genus Pharmaceuticals (vincristine)
	sociation of Cancer Physicians	•	Hameln Pharmaceuticals (dexamethasone)
	tish Association for Services to the	•	Hospira UK (dexamethasone, doxorubicin,
Eld	derly		vincristine)
• Bri	tish Committee for Standards in	•	Janssen-Cilag (bortezomib)
Ha	ematology	•	Medac GmbH (doxorubicin)
• Bri	tish Geriatrics Society	•	Merck, Sharp and Dohme (dexamethasone)
• Bri	tish Psychosocial Oncology Society	•	Pfizer (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, )
• Bri	tish Society of Haematology	•	Rosemount Pharmaceuticals
<ul> <li>Ca</li> </ul>	ncer Network Pharmacists Forum		(dexamethasone)
<ul> <li>Ca</li> </ul>	ncer Research UK	•	Teva UK (vincristine)
• Ro	yal College of General Practitioners	•	Wockhardt UK (doxorubicin)
	yal College of Nursing		
	yal College of Pathologists	R	elevant research groups
• Ro	yal College of Physicians	•	Cochrane Haematological Malignancies

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed appraisal of lenalidomide for the treatment of multiple myeloma in people who have received at least one prior therapy with bortezomib (partial review of TA171) Issue date: July 2013 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or	
<ul> <li>Royal College of Radiologists</li> <li>Royal Pharmaceutical Society</li> <li>Royal Society of Medicine</li> <li>UK Health Forum</li> <li>UK Myeloma Forum</li> <li>United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association</li> <li>United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society</li> </ul> Others <ul> <li>Department of Health</li> <li>NHS England</li> <li>NHS Leeds South and East CCG</li> <li>NHS Telford &amp; Wrekin CCG</li> <li>Welsh Government</li> </ul>	appeal) Group Elimination of Leukaemia Fund Health Research Authority Leukaemia and Lymphoma Research Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Research Institute of the Care of Older People  Assessment Group National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme TBC  Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer	
	Associated Public Health Groups  None	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO for definitions of commentators and consultees

Page 2 of 3

#### **Definitions:**

## Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement<sup>1</sup>, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

### Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

### Assessment Group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.