

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Treosulfan with fludarabine for malignant disease before allogeneic stem cell transplant [ID1508]

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Company</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medac GmbH (treosulfan) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust • Anthony Nolan • Black Health Agency • Bloodwise • Cancer Black Care • Cancer Equality • Cancer52 • Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Support Association • DKMS • HAWC • Helen Rollason Cancer Charity • Independent Cancer Patients Voice • Leukaemia Cancer Society • Leukaemia CARE • Lymphoma Action • Macmillan Cancer Support • Maggie's Centres • Marie Curie • MDS UK Patient Support Group • Muslim Council of Britain • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance • Tenovus Cancer Care • WMUK <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Anaesthetists • Association of Cancer Physicians • Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland • British Blood Transfusion Society 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Hospital Information Services – Jehovah's Witnesses • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Blood and Transplant • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium • Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee <p><u>Possible comparator companies</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accord Healthcare Ltd (fludarabine, busulfan) • Actavis (fludarabine) • Aspen (busulfan) • Baxter (cyclophosphamide) • Hospira UK (fludarabine) • Pierre Fabre (busulfan) • Sandoz (fludarabine, cyclophosphamide) • Teva (fludarabine) <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cochrane Haematological Malignancies

Matrix for the technology appraisal of treosulfan with fludarabine before stem cell transplant for people with malignant diseases [ID1508]. Issue Date: March 2019

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Committee for Standards in Haematology • British Geriatrics Society • British Psychosocial Oncology Society • British Society for Haematology • British Transplantation Society • Cancer Research UK • ESPIRIT • Royal College of Anaesthetists • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal College of Surgeons • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • UK Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Forum • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association • UK Health Forum • UK Myeloma Forum • UK Oncology Nursing Society <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health and Social Care • NHS England • NHS Newark & Sherwood CCG • NHS Stoke on Trent CCG • Welsh Government 	<p>Group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Genomics England • Institute of Cancer Research • Leuka • Leukaemia Busters • Lymphoma Research Trust • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Cancer Research Institute • National Cancer Research Network • National Institute for Health Research <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Health England • Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTees AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary).

All non company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

¹ Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.