NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Glasdegib with chemotherapy for untreated acute myeloid leukaemia ID1334

Provisional stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Pfizer (Glasdegib)	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
Patient/carer groups	Allied Health Professionals Federation
African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust	Board of Community Health Councils in
Anthony Nolan	Wales
Black Health Agency	 British National Formulary
Bloodwise	Care Quality Commission
Cancer Black Care	Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer Equality	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer52	Hospital Information Services –
DKMS	Jehovah's Witnesses
HAWC	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
 Helen Rollason Cancer Charity 	Medicines and Healthcare products
 Independent Cancer Patients Voice 	Regulatory Agency
 Leukaemia Cancer Society 	National Association of Primary Care
Leukaemia CARE	National Pharmacy Association
Lymphoma Action	NHS Alliance
Macmillan Cancer Support	NHS Blood and Transplant
Maggie's Centres	NHS Confederation
Marie Curie	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Muslim Council of Britain	 Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
Myeloma UKSouth Asian Health Foundation	Committee
	Possible comparator companies
Specialised Healthcare AllianceTenovus Cancer Care	Accord Healthcare (cytarabine)
Ienovus Cancer Care	Celgene (azacitidine)
Professional groups	Hospira UK (cytarabine)
 Association of Cancer Physicians 	Jazz Pharmaceuticals UK (cytarabine,
 British Blood Transfusion Society 	daunorubicin)
 British Committee for Standards in 	Napp Pharmaceuticals (cytarabine)
Haematology	Novartis (midostaurin)
 British Geriatrics Society 	Pfizer (cytarabine, gemtuzumab
 British Institute of Radiology 	ozogamicin)
 British Psychosocial Oncology Society 	Zentiva (daunorubicin)
 British Society for Haematology 	
 Cancer Research UK 	Relevant research groups
	Cochrane Haematological Malignancies

Provisional stakeholder list for the proposed technology appraisal of glasdegib with chemotherapy for untreated acute myeloid leukaemia ID1334. Issue Date: June 2019 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2019. All rights reserved. Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Forum UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 Group Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research Leuka Leukaemia Busters MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales
Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS North West Surrey CCG NHS Thanet CCG Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

¹ Non- company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.