NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Non-small cell lung cancer (squamous) - nivolumab (CDF review TA483) [ID1559]

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company Bristol-Myers Squibb (nivolumab) Patient/carer groups Black Health Agency British Lung Foundation Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Equalities National Council Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus	 General All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation
 I enovus UK Lung Cancer Coalition Professional groups 	 Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 Association of Anaesthetists Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Respiratory Nurse Specialists Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology 	 Possible comparator companies Accord Healthcare (docetaxel) Hospira UK (docetaxel) Roche Products (erlotinib) Seacross Pharmaceuticals (docetaxel)
 British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society of Interventional Radiology British Thoracic Oncology Group British Thoracic Society Cancer Research UK 	 Relevant research groups Cochrane Lung Cancer Group Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Matrix for the single technology appraisal of Nivolumab for previously treated locally advanced or metastatic squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (CDF review TA483) [ID1559] Issue date: July 2019 Page 1 of 3

Consultees		Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	National Heart and Lung Institute National Lung Cancer Forum for Nurses Primary Care Respiratory Society UK Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society hers Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS Redbridge CCG NHS Harrow CCG	 National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Evidence Review Group Liverpool Reviews and Implementation Group (LRIG) National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Guideline Alliance (NGA) Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales
•	Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that manufactures the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that manufactures the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that manufacture comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

[1] Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the single technology appraisal of Nivolumab for previously treated locally advanced or metastatic squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (CDF review TA483) [ID1559]

Issue date: July 2019 Page 3 of 3

¹ Non -company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.