Appendix D – NICE's response to comments on the draft scope and provisional matrix

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Nivolumab for previously treated locally advanced or metastatic squamous non-small-cell lung cancer

Response to consultee and commentator comments on the draft remit and draft scope (pre-referral)

Comment 1: the draft remit

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
Appropriateness	Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals	This is an appropriate topic for NICE to consider.	Comment noted. No actions required.
	Boehringer Ingelheim	No comments	Comment noted.
	British Thoracic Oncology Group	Yes, assuming nivolumab is licensed in line with the NICE proposed single technology appraisal	Comment noted. Following the positive opinion from the European Medicines Agency's Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP), the scope has been amended to reflect the anticipated marketing authorisation.
	Eli Lilly	No comments	Comment noted.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Page 1 of 24

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
	NCRI/RCP/RCR /ACP	Yes, the referral is appropriate	Comment noted. No actions required.
	Royal College of Pathologists	No comments	Comment noted.
	Roche Products	No comments	Comment noted.

Page 2 of 24

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
Wording	Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals	The draft remit is appropriate and aligned with the proposed market authorisation sought for nivolumab.	Comment noted. Following the positive opinion from the CHMP, the scope has been amended to reflect the anticipated marketing authorisation.
	Boehringer Ingelheim	No comments	Comment noted.
	British Thoracic Oncology Group	Yes	Comment noted. No actions required.
	Eli Lilly	No comments	Comment noted.
	NCRI/RCP/RCR /ACP	Yes; assuming that this is the licensed indication; the drug is not yet licensed (Dec 2014)	Comment noted. Following the positive opinion from the CHMP, the scope has been amended to reflect the anticipated marketing authorisation.
	Royal College of Pathologists	No comments	Comment noted.
	Roche Products	No comments	Comment noted.
Timing Issues	Bristol-Myers	It is important for NICE to provide a recommendation for the use of nivolumab	Comment noted. NICE

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
	Squibb Pharmaceuticals	within the NHS as close to marketing authorisation as possible given the limited treatment options currently available for patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).	aims to provide guidance to the NHS within 6 months of the date when the marketing authorisation for a technology is granted.
	Boehringer Ingelheim	No comments	Comment noted.
	British Thoracic Oncology Group	Given the paucity of effective treatment options for the therapy area, there is a relative urgency to the proposed appraisal	Comment noted. NICE aims to provide guidance to the NHS within 6 months of the date when the marketing authorisation for a technology is granted.
	Eli Lilly	No comments	Comment noted.
	NCRI/RCP/RCR /ACP	This is a highly active drug for some patients and is eagerly anticipated by the cancer community and patients alike. It is important the drug is reviewed as soon as licensed.	Comment noted. NICE aims to provide guidance to the NHS within 6 months of the date when the marketing authorisation for a technology is granted.

Page 4 of 24

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
	Royal College of Pathologists	No comments	Comment noted.
	Roche Products	No comments	Comment noted.
Additional comments on the draft remit	Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals	The wording of the remit reflects the proposed marketing authorisation.	Comment noted. Following the positive opinion from the CHMP, the scope has been amended to reflect the anticipated marketing authorisation.
	Boehringer Ingelheim	No comments	Comment noted.
	British Thoracic Oncology Group	No comments	Comment noted.
	Eli Lilly	No comments	Comment noted.
	NCRI/RCP/RCR /ACP	No comments	Comment noted.
	Royal College of Pathologists	No comments	Comment noted.
	Roche Products	No comments	Comment noted.

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
Background information	Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals	No comments	Comment noted.
	Boehringer Ingelheim	No comments	Comment noted.
	British Thoracic Oncology Group	Complete and accurate	Comment noted. No actions required.
	Eli Lilly	No comments	Comment noted.
	NCRI/RCP/RCR /ACP	No mention of ALK+ NSCLC is given. These patients are a distinct category of NSCLC. Although NICE did not support crizotinib (TA296), crizotinib is standard of care clinically for these patients and is available on the CDF for English patients.	Following the positive opinion from the CHMP, the scope has been amended to focus on squamous cancers, reflecting the anticipated marketing authorisation.
		Background Paragraph 3: "NICE recommends docetaxel monotherapy afatinib and erlotinib as options" a word is missing, as this sentence doesn't quite make sense	Thank you for this comment. The scope has been updated.
		"non-targeted chemotherapy" is a meaningless term, since all chemotherapy is non-targeted by definition.	Thank you for the comment. The scope has been updated for

Page 6 of 24

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
			clarity.
		The background does not clarify that EGFR mutation positive untreated patients are generally treated with gefitinib (TA192), erlotinib (TA258), or afatinib (TA310).	The remit of this scope includes only previously treated locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer. The background information therefore focuses on treatments for previously treated disease.
	Royal College of Pathologists	No comments	Comment noted.
	Roche Products	No comments	Comment noted.
The technology/ intervention	Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals	No comments	Comment noted.
	Boehringer Ingelheim	No comments	Comment noted.
	British Thoracic Oncology Group	Yes	Comment noted. No actions required.
	Eli Lilly	No comments	Comment noted.

Page 7 of 24

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
	NCRI/RCP/RCR /ACP	Yes	Comment noted. No actions required.
	Royal College of Pathologists	No comments	Comment noted.
	Roche Products	No comments	Comment noted.
Population	Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals	We recommend the wording in this section be amended as follows:	Attendees at the scoping workshop agreed that the population in the draft scope was appropriate. Following the positive opinion from the CHMP, the scope has been amended to reflect the anticipated marketing authorisation.
	Boehringer Ingelheim	No comments	Comment noted.
	British Thoracic Oncology Group	Yes	Comment noted. Following the positive opinion from the CHMP, the scope has been amended to reflect the anticipated marketing

Page 8 of 24

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
			authorisation.
	Eli Lilly	No comments	Comment noted.
	NCRI/RCP/RCR /ACP	Yes, assuming this is the licensed indication	Comment noted. Following the positive opinion from the CHMP, the scope has been amended to reflect the anticipated marketing authorisation.
	Royal College of Pathologists	No comments	Comment noted.
	Roche Products	No comments	Comment noted.
Comparators	Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals	The comparators listed in the draft scope are representative the standard treatments used in the NHS. However, they are not all relevant comparators for nivolumab and we suggest the following amendments to the draft scope: <u>Non-squamous EGFR-TK mutation positive tumours:</u> After one prior therapy: • The clinical trials for nivolumab recruited patients who had received prior therapy and specifically, were required to have received a platinum containing doublet chemotherapy treatment before they could be enrolled. Therefore, platinum therapy in this section of the scope is not an appropriate comparator for nivolumab. • Afatinib - In the situation where a patient is eligible for an EGFR-TKI therapy	Thank you for your comments. Attendees at the scoping workshop extensively discussed the list of comparators and the scope has been updated to reflect the treatment options currently used in UK clinical practice. Following the positive opinion from the CHMP,

Page 9 of 24

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
		due to delayed confirmation of mutation status (note, erlotinib and gefitinib are also approved therapies in this setting), a patient would typically receive this targeted therapy. Therefore patients who are prescribed afatinib (gefitinib or erlotinib) after one prior therapy are not appropriate comparators for nivolumab at this time. After two prior therapies (an EGFR-TKI and one other therapy):	the comparators have been amended to include only treatments for squamous cancers, consistent with the anticipated marketing authorisation for nivolumab.
		 There is no existing NICE guidance for treatment after two prior therapies. However, both docetaxel and best supportive care are likely to be options used in routine clinical practice. 	
		Non-squamous EGFR-TK mutation negative tumours:	
		After one prior therapy:	
		No comments	
		After two prior therapies:	
		• There is no existing NICE guidance for treatment after two prior therapies. However, the treatment options listed are likely to be the options used in routine clinical practice.	
		Squamous tumours:	
		After one prior therapy:	
		No comments	
		After two prior therapies:	
		No comments	
		Please note that while the comparators discussed above may represent treatments used in the NHS, it is currently unclear whether comparative evidence will be available to compare all of these treatments in the different	

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
		settings discussed with nivolumab.	
	Boehringer Ingelheim	Nintedanib is listed as a comparator for Non-squamous EGFR-TK mutation positive tumours as well as for for Non-squamous EGFR-TK mutation negative tumours. This is only the case for patients with tumours of adenocarconoma histology and having received 1st line chemotherapy (as stated in the marketing authorisation for nintedanib).	Comment noted. Following the positive opinion from the CHMP, the comparators have been amended to include only treatments for squamous cancers, consistent with the anticipated marketing authorisation for nivolumab.
	British Thoracic Oncology Group	For Squamous tumours after one prior therapy, Erlotinib should be included as a comparator as well as Docetaxel monotherapy. I do not think TA162 excludes squamous cancers.	The consultees at the scoping workshop agreed that treatment for squamous tumours includes docetaxel monotherapy, erlotinib or best supportive care after one and two prior therapies. The scope has been updated accordingly.
		Crizotinib and ceritinib should be included as comparators for ALK+ patients without additional treatment options.	Comment noted. Following the positive opinion from the CHMP, the comparators have been amended to

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
			include only treatments for squamous cancers, consistent with the anticipated marketing authorisation for nivolumab.
	Eli Lilly	Pemetrexed in combination with cisplatin is indicated for the first-line treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer other than predominantly squamous cell histology. Pemetrexed is also indicated as monotherapy for the second-line treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer other than predominantly squamous cell histology. Pemetrexed is therefore not licensed as a combination therapy in a previously treated patient population. Since NICE TA181 was published it has become the first line standard of care in accordance with the NICE recommendation. It has also not been included in any other recent or ongoing NSCLC technology appraisals in the second line setting. The relevance and use in clinical practice of pemetrexed plus platinum in the proposed patient population is unsubstantiated and not in keeping with its marketing authorisation.	Thank you for your comments. Attendees at the scoping workshop extensively discussed the list of comparators and the scope has been updated to reflect the treatment options currently used in UK clinical practice. Following the positive opinion from the CHMP, the comparators have been amended to include only treatments for squamous cancers, consistent with the anticipated marketing authorisation for nivolumab.

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
	NCRI/RCP/RCR /ACP	The grouping of Non-squamous EGFR-TK mutation positive tumours, Non- squamous EGFR-TK mutation negative tumours, Squamous tumours is not appropriate. This takes no account of EGFR unknown results (molecular failures/samples unsuitable for molecular analyses) and the small numbers of squamous NSCLC that are EGFR mutation positive The correct classifications should be EGFR mutation positive, EGFR mutation negative/unknown squamous NSCLC, EGFR mutation negative/unknown non-squamous NSCLC. Non-squamous EGFR-TK mutation positive tumours: it is unclear why afatinib is singled out as a comparator, when erlotinib is also used, if patient is EGFR- TKI naïve and progressed after chemotherapy. Nintedanib should be referenced as nintedanib-docetaxel as the drug is licensed to be given in combination.	Thank you for your comments. Following the positive opinion from the CHMP, the comparators have been amended to include only treatments for squamous cancers, consistent with the anticipated marketing authorisation for nivolumab.
		Squamous tumours: after one and two prior therapies, erlotinib is indicated and licensed.	The clinical experts at the scoping workshop stated that the treatment for squamous tumours includes docetaxel monotherapy, erlotinib or best supportive care after one and two prior therapies. The scope has been updated accordingly.
		Non-squamous EGFR-TK mutation negative tumours: pemetrexed is a	Following the positive

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
		second-line comparator (available on CDF and licensed) for patients that did not receive 1st line pemetrexed (eg relapsing early after chemo-radiotherapy or adjuvant post surgical chemotherapy).	opinion from the CHMP, the comparators have been amended to include only treatments for squamous cancers, consistent with the anticipated marketing authorisation for nivolumab.
	Royal College of Pathologists	No comments	Comment noted.
	Roche Products	If best supportive care is to be considered as a comparator it should be considered in every line as a large proportion of patients still receive best supportive care rather than active treatment.	Thank you for your comments. Following the positive opinion
		Nintedanib is stated as a montherapy however according to the final scope it should be considered as a combination therapy with docetaxel. It also seems logical that wherever docetaxel monotheapy is stated as an option nintedanib plus docetaxel should also be stated.	from the CHMP, the comparators have been amended to include only treatments for
		For patients with non-squamous EGFR-TK mutation positive tumours Erlotinib should be included as a comparator in second line treatment (see TA162). In this same subgroup Eroltinib should also be considered as a treatment option in third line.	squamous cancers, consistent with the anticipated marketing authorisation for nivolumab. Best supportive care is included as a comparator.
Outcomes	Bristol-Myers	The outcomes included in the draft scope are appropriate.	Comment noted. No

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
Will these outcome	Squibb Pharmaceuticals		actions required.
measures capture the most important health	Boehringer Ingelheim	No comments	Comment noted.
related benefits (and harms) of the technology?	British Thoracic Oncology Group	Yes	Comment noted. No actions required.
	Eli Lilly	No comments	Comment noted.
	NCRI/RCP/RCR /ACP	Yes	Comment noted. No actions required.
	Royal College of Pathologists	No comments	Comment noted.
	Roche Products	No comments	Comment noted.
Economic analysis	Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals	No comments	Comment noted.
	Boehringer Ingelheim	No comments	Comment noted.
	British Thoracic Oncology Group	No comments	Comment noted.
	Eli Lilly	No comments	Comment noted.

Page 15 of 24

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
	NCRI/RCP/RCR /ACP	No comments	Comment noted.
	Royal College of Pathologists	No comments	Comment noted.
	Roche Products	No comments	Comment noted.
Equality and Diversity	Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals	No equality issues have been identified.	Comment noted. No actions required.
	Boehringer Ingelheim	No comments	Comment noted.
	British Thoracic Oncology Group	No comments	Comment noted.
	Eli Lilly	No comments	Comment noted.
	NCRI/RCP/RCR /ACP	No comments	Comment noted.
	Royal College of Pathologists	No comments	Comment noted.
	Roche Products	No comments	Comment noted.
Innovation	Bristol-Myers Squibb	Nivolumab is a fully human monoclonal immunoglobulin (IgG4) antibody that specifically binds to PD-1 receptor on the surface of immune cells and	Comment noted. No changes to the scope

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
	Pharmaceuticals	restores T-cell activity by blocking the binding of the PDL1 and PD-L2 ligands found at the tumour site to PD-1 receptors on immune cells. This approach, enabling the body's own immune system to target cancer, is novel in NSCLC.	required.
		Preliminary data from phase I and II studies in pre-treated patients suggests a potentially clinically significant overall survival benefit. Furthermore, the clinical development programme is designed to demonstrate a benefit of this approach regardless of histology (squamous or non-squamous) and PD-L1 status in the pre-treated setting.	
		Nivolumab therefore represents a 'step change' in terms of mechanism of action and potential clinical efficacy, in an area of unmet clinical need.	
	Boehringer Ingelheim	No comments	Comment noted.
	British Thoracic Oncology Group	This is a novel treatment strategy with promising trial data for a disease with a high unmet therapeutic need. It has the potential to be a step change in the way advanced non-small cell lung cancer is managed.	Comment noted. No changes to the scope required.
	Eli Lilly	No comments	Comment noted.
	NCRI/RCP/RCR /ACP	Yes: this is first in class for lung cancer and a potential step-change in the management of these patients	Comment noted. No changes to the scope required.
	Royal College of Pathologists	No comments	Comment noted.

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
	Roche Products	No comments	Comment noted.
Other considerations	Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals	Please note unlike EGFR-TK and ALK, PD-L1 is not a genetic marker, it is an immunologic marker.	Comment noted. The scope has been updated to reflect this.
	Boehringer Ingelheim	No comments	Comment noted.
	British Thoracic Oncology Group	No comments	Comment noted.
	Eli Lilly	No comments	Comment noted.
	NCRI/RCP/RCR /ACP	No comments	Comment noted.
	Royal College of Pathologists	The Royal College of Pathologists is pleased to see the inclusion of biomarker testing in the remit.	Comment noted.
		The expected cost of identifying suitable patients and the cost and timescale of the infrastructure needed to introduce routine PDL1 testing should be taken into account.	
	Roche Products	No comments	Comment noted.
Questions for consultation	Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals	Nivolumab would be used to treat both squamous and non-squamous tumours.	Comment noted. No actions required.

Page 18 of 24

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
	Boehringer Ingelheim	No comments	Comment noted.
	British Thoracic Oncology Group	No comments	Comment noted.
	Eli Lilly	No comments	Comment noted.

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
	NCRI/RCP/RCR /ACP	 Which treatments are considered to be established clinical practice in the NHS for locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC that has progressed after prior chemotherapy? as described in "comparators" section: EGFR Mutation positive patients are treated with platinum-doublet chemotherapy (cisplatin/carboplatin+ usually pemetrexed) after relapse on EGFR kinase inhibitor, and thereafter mono-chemotherapy (usually doectaxel) EGFR wild-type/unknown non-squamous patients are usually treated after relapse from chemotherapy either with erlotinib or docetaxel. Best supportive care is always an option for patients unsuitable for anticancer systemic therapy. Should crizotinib and ceritinib for previously treated anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) positive NSCLC be included as comparators? Yes How should best supportive care be defined? There is no standard definition though generally it would exclude systemic anti-cancer treatment but include radiotherapy and palliative surgical procedures and palliative therapies eg analgesics. 	Thank you for your comments. Attendees at the scoping workshop extensively discussed the list of comparators and the scope has been updated to reflect the treatment options currently used in UK clinical practice. Following the positive opinion from the CHMP, the comparators have been amended to include only treatments for squamous cancers, consistent with the anticipated marketing authorisation for nivolumab. Best supportive care is included as a comparator.

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
		Would nivolumab be used to treat squamous or non-squamous tumours? Or both? Both	Thank you for your comment. Following the positive opinion from the CHMP, the scope has been amended to reflect the anticipated marketing authorisation, for squamous tumours.
		Are the subgroups suggested in 'other considerations' appropriate? and	Comment noted. No actions required.
		Are there any other subgroups of people in whom nivolumab is expected to be more clinically effective and cost effective or other groups that should be examined separately?	
		No, not currently, but perhaps more data will emerge during the regulatory submission.	
		Should any other genetic markers be considered? No	Comment noted. No actions required.
		Where do you consider nivolumab will fit into the existing NICE pathway, Lung cancer?	Comment noted. No actions required.
		This depends on the regulatory submission, but it is anticipated to be indicated in patients with relapsed NSCLC regardless of molecular status unsuitable for or declining further anti-cancer systemic therapy.	
		There are no obvious equality issues	

Page 21 of 24

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
		Do you consider nivolumab to be innovative in its potential to make a significant and substantial impact on health-related benefits and how it might improve the way that current need is met (is this a 'step-change' in the management of the condition)?	Comment noted. No actions required.
		Yes, nivolumab is a first-in class therapy for NSCLC and is a game-changer. It would be classified as a step-change in the management of NSCLC	
		Do you consider that the use of nivolumab can result in any potential significant and substantial health-related benefits that are unlikely to be included in the QALY calculation?	Comment noted. No actions required.
		No	
		Please identify the nature of the data which you understand to be available to enable the Appraisal Committee to take account of these benefits.	Comment noted. No actions required.
		N/A	
		NICE intends to appraise this technology through its Single Technology Appraisal (STA) Process. We welcome comments on the appropriateness of appraising this topic through this process. (Information on the Institute's Technology Appraisal processes is available at http://www.nice.org.uk/article/pmg19/chapter/1-Introduction)	Comment noted. No actions required.
		STA is appropriate	
	Royal College of Pathologists	No comments	Comment noted.
	Roche Products	Regarding the appropriateness of the subgroups PD-1/PDL-1 positivity	Comment noted. No

Page 22 of 24

Section	Consultee/ Commentator	Comments	Action
		should be considered on both tumour and tumour-infiltrating lymphocyte.	actions required.
Additional comments on the draft scope	Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals	No comments	Comment noted.
	Boehringer Ingelheim	No comments	Comment noted.
	British Thoracic Oncology Group	No comments	Comment noted.
	Eli Lilly	No comments	Comment noted.
	NCRI/RCP/RCR /ACP	This submission should be suitable to be judged by end-of-life criteria	Comment noted. No actions required.
	Royal College of Pathologists	No comments	Comment noted.
	Roche Products	No comments	Comment noted.

The following consultees/commentators indicated that they had no comments on the draft remit and/or the draft scope

Department of Health

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Page 23 of 24

Response to consultee and commentator comments on the provisional matrix of consultees and commentators (pre-referral)

Summary of comments, action taken, and justification of action:			
Proposal:	Proposal made by:	Action taken:	Justification:
		Removed/Added/Not included/Noted	
We suggest the British	BMS	Not Added	The British Oncology Pharmacy
Oncology Pharmacy			Association has not been include
Association should be			on recent NSCLC scopes.
consulted with, with reg	gards		
to the appraisal of Nivo	lumab		
for treating metastatic,			
squamous, non-small-o	cell		
lung cancer after			
chemotherapy			

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Page 24 of 24