NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Review of TA558 Nivolumab for adjuvant treatment of completely resected melanoma with lymph node involvement or metastatic disease [ID1681]

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 <u>Company</u> Bristol-Myers Squibb (Nivolumab) <u>Patient/carer groups</u> Black Health Agency British Skin Foundation Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer 52 Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patient's Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Melanoma Fund Melanoma UK Muslim Council of Britain Skcin - Karen Clifford Skin Cancer Charity South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care 	 <u>General</u> All Wales Therapeutic and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 <u>Professional groups</u> Association of Cancer Physicians British Association of Surgical Oncology British Association of Dermatologists British Association of Skin Cancer Specialist Nurses British Dermatological Nursing Group British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology 	 <u>Relevant research groups</u> British Society for Dermatological Surgery Cochrane Skin Group Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research

NICE Technology Appraisal Nivolumab for adjuvant treatment of completely resected melanoma with lymph node involvement or metastatic disease Review of TA558 [ID1681] Issue date: June 2020

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2020. All rights reserved. Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Psychosocial Oncology Society Cancer Research UK Melanoma Focus Primary Care Dermatology Society Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal College of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society 	 Skin Cancer Research Fund Skin Treatment & Research Trust <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales
Others Department of Health NHS Blackburn with Darwen CCG NHS England NHS Wyre Forest CCG Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

NICE Technology Appraisal Nivolumab for adjuvant treatment of completely resected melanoma with lymph node involvement or metastatic disease Review of TA558 [ID1681] Issue date: June 2020 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2020. All rights reserved. Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland;; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

¹ Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.