# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

# Abemaciclib in combination with fulvestrant for treating advanced hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative breast cancer ID1339

# Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	Conoral
<ul><li>Company</li><li>Eli Lilly (abemaciclib)</li></ul>	General  ■ All Wales Therapeutics and
• Ell Lilly (abelliacicilib)	<ul> <li>All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre</li> </ul>
Patient/carer groups	Allied Health Professionals
Black Health Agency	Federation
Breast Cancer Care	Board of Community Health Councils
Breast Cancer Now	in Wales
Breast Cancer UK	British National Formulary
Cancer Black Care	Care Quality Commission
Cancer Equality	Department of Health, Social
Haven	Services and Public Safety for
• HAWC	Northern Ireland
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	Medicines and Healthcare products
Macmillan Cancer Support	Regulatory Agency
Maggie's Centres	National Association for Primary
Marie Curie	Care
Muslim Council of Britain	National Pharmacy Association
South Asian Health Foundation	NHS Alliance
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Tenovus Cancer Care	NHS Confederation
Wellbeing of Women	Scottish Medicines Consortium
• Wellbeilig of Worlieff	Welsh Health Specialised Services
Professional groups	Committee
Association of Anaesthetists	
Association of Breast Surgery	Possible comparator companies
Association of Cancer Physicians	<ul> <li>Accord Healthcare (capecitabine,</li> </ul>
Association of Surgeons of Great	docetaxel, exemestane, gemcitabine,
Britain & Ireland	paclitaxel, vinorelbine)
British Association of Surgical Oncology	Amneal Pharma Europe Limited
British Geriatrics Society	(exemestane)
British Institute of Radiology	AstraZeneca (fulvestrant)
British Psychosocial Oncology Society	Aurobindo Pharma (tamoxifen)
	Celgene (paclitaxel)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Final matrix for the appraisal of abemaciclib in combination with fulvestrant for treating advanced hormone-receptor positive, HER2-negative breast cancer ID1339 Issue date: July 2018

#### Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) Cancer Research UK Consilient Health (exemestane) Royal College of Anaesthetists Dr Reddy's Laboratories **Royal College of General Practitioners** (capecitabine) • Eli Lilly (gemcitabine) Royal College of Nursing Hospira UK (docetaxel, gemcitabine, Royal College of Pathologists paclitaxel) Royal College of Physicians Medac (capecitabine, docetaxel, Royal College of Radiologists paclitaxel, vinorelbine) Royal College of Surgeons Mylan (capecitabine, exemestane, Royal Pharmaceutical Society tamoxifen) Royal Society of Medicine Novartis Pharmaceuticals Society and College of Radiographers (everolimus, ribociclib) **UK Breast Cancer Group** • Pfizer (exemestane, palbociclib) UK Clinical Pharmacy Association • Pierre Fabre (vinorelbine) UK Health Forum • Roche (capecitabine) **UK Oncology Nursing Society** Sanofi (docetaxel) Seacross Pharmaceuticals **Others** (docetaxel) Department of Health Teva UK (docetaxel, exemestane, NHS England gemcitabine, paclitaxel, tamoxifen) NHS Newbury and District CCG Wockhardt UK (tamoxifen) NHS Sheffield CCG • Zentiva (exemestane) Welsh Government Relevant research groups **Against Breast Cancer Breast Cancer Hope Breast Cancer Research Trust** Cochrane Breast Cancer Group Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Pro-Cancer Research Fund Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England **Public Health Wales**

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

## **Definitions:**

### Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement<sup>1</sup>, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

## Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.