#### NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

## **GUIDANCE EXECUTIVE (GE)**

#### Consideration of consultation responses on review proposal

#### Review of TA78 fluid filled thermal balloon and microwave endometrial ablation for menstrual bleeding

This guidance was issued April 2004 with a review date of January 2010

Background

At the GE meeting 20 July 2010 it was agreed we would consult on the review plans for this guidance. A four week consultation has been conducted with consultees and commentators and the responses are presented below.

Proposal put to	
consultees:	The decision to review should be deferred until 2011 when the first of two National Institute for Health
	Research technology assessments of treatments for heavy menstrual bleeding will be published.

GE is asked to consider the original proposal in the light of the comments received from consultees and commentators, together with any responses from the appraisal team. It is asked to agree on the final course of action for the review.

Recommendation	The decision to review should be deferred until 2011 when the first of two National Institute for Health
post	Research technology assessments of treatments for heavy menstrual bleeding will be published.
consultation:	

Respondent	Response to proposal	Details	Comment from Technology Appraisal
Royal College of Nursing	Agree	There are no further comments to add at this stage	
Welsh Assembly Government	No comment	No comment to submit at this stage.	
Cochrane Group	Agree	I agree with your proposal to defer the review until 2011 as I am not aware of any major evidence that would require an earlier update.	
Department of Health	Agree	The Department are content with NICE's proposals	
Ethicon Women's Health and Urology	Agree	ETHICON, Women's Health & Urology, a division of Johnson & Johnson Medical Ltd, agree with the proposal to defer this review.	
NHS QIS	No comment	No comment to make on the proposal to defer the review of this guidance	
PNN Medical	Agree	PNN Medical SA fully agrees with the NICE approach. PNN Medical have stopped the trial of Cavaterm TM vs TCRE in women with DUB due to limited interest and lack of follow-up by the investigator	

# No response received from:

Monufacturoro/ononcoro	Conorol
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Microsulis (microwave endometrial ablation)	<ul> <li>Association of British Healthcare Industries</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Board of Community Health Councils in Wales</li> </ul>
Patient/carer groups	<ul> <li>Care Quality Commission</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Afiya Trust</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Commissioning Support Appraisals Service (CSAS)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Black Health Agency</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Campaign against Hysterectomy and Unnecessary</li> </ul>	for Northern Ireland
Operations on Women	EUCOMED
<ul> <li>Chinese National Healthy Living Centre</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Counsel and Care</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>National Association of Primary Care</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Daisy Network</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>NHS Alliance</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Endometriosis SHE Trust (UK)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>NHS Commercial Medicines Unit</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Endometriosis UK</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>NHS Confederation</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Equalities National Council</li> </ul>	Public Health Wales NHS Trust
<ul> <li>FEmISA (Fibroid Embolisation, Information, Support</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Scottish Medicines Consortium</li> </ul>
and Advice)	
<ul> <li>Fibroid Network</li> </ul>	Comparator manufacturers
<ul> <li>Haemophilia Society</li> </ul>	■ none
<ul> <li>Hysterectomy Association</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Muslim Council of Britain</li> </ul>	Relevant research groups
<ul> <li>Muslim Council of Britain</li> <li>Muslim Health Network</li> </ul>	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
Pelvic Pain Support Network	National Institute for Health Research
<ul> <li>South Asian Health Foundation</li> </ul>	Policy Research Institute on Ageing and Ethnicity
<ul> <li>Specialised Healthcare Alliance</li> </ul>	Research Institute for the Care of Older People
WellBeing of Women	
<ul> <li>Women's Health Concern</li> </ul>	
	Assessment Group
Professional groups	<ul> <li>National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland</li> </ul>	Technology Assessment Programme

<ul> <li>British Association for Services to the Elderly</li> <li>British Geriatrics Society</li> <li>British Menopause Society</li> <li>British Society for Gynaecological Endoscopy</li> <li>Haemophilia Alliance</li> <li>Royal College of Anaesthetists</li> <li>Royal College of General Practitioners</li> <li>Royal College of Obstetricians &amp; Gynaecologists</li> <li>Royal College of Pathologists</li> <li>Royal College of Physicians</li> <li>Royal College of Surgeons</li> <li>Royal Society of Medicine</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>tbc</li> <li><u>Associated Guideline Groups</u></li> <li>National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health</li> <li><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> none</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Others</li> <li>NHS Lewisham</li> <li>Newham PCT</li> </ul>	

## GE paper sign-off:

Frances Sutcliffe 12 October 2010.

# Contributors to this paper:

Information Specialist: Mike Raynor Technical Lead: Sally Gallaugher Technical Adviser: Nicola Hay Project Manager: Andrew Harding