NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Venetoclax for treating chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CDF review of TA487) [ID3886]

Final stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Company AbbVie (venetoclax) Patient/carer groups African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust Anthony Nolan Black Health Agency for Equality Blood Cancer UK Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer52 Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Support Association DKMS Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Leukaemia Care Lymphoma Action Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres 	 General All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 Marie Curie South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care Professional groups	 Comparator companies Genzyme Europe BV (alemtuzumab) Napp Pharmaceuticals (rituximab) Pfizer (rituximab) Roche Products (rituximab) Sandoz (rituximab)
 Association of Cancer Physicians British Blood Transfusion Society British Committee for Standards in Haematology British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology 	Relevant research groups Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group Cochrane UK Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research Leukaemia Busters

Final stakeholder list for the single technology appraisal of venetoclax for treating chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CDF review of TA487) [ID3886]

Issue date: October 2021

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Society of Interventional Radiology Cancer Research UK Health Lumen Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Forum UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS North East Lincolnshire CCG NHS West Essex CCG Welsh Government 	 Leukaemia UK MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Associated Public Health Groups Public Health Wales UK Health Security Agency

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Final stakeholder list for the single technology appraisal of venetoclax for treating chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CDF review of TA487) [ID3886]

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.