NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

Technology Appraisal no. 88; Dual-chamber pacemakers for the treatment of symptomatic bradycardia

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsorsBiotronik UKBoston ScientificMedtronicSorin Group UKSt Jude Medical UKPatient/carer groupsAction HeartAfiya TrustArrhythmia AllianceBlack Health AgencyBlood Pressure AssociationBritish Cardiac Patients AssociationCounsel and CareEqualities National CouncilGrown Up Congenital Heart Patients AssociationHeart Care Partnership (UK)	 appeal) <u>General</u> Association of British Healthcare Industries Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland EUCOMED Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation
 Heart Care Partnership (UK) HEART UK Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network Network of Sikh Organisations SADS UK South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance 	 Public Health Wales NHS Trust Scottish Medicines Consortium <u>Comparator manufacturers</u> None <u>Relevant research groups</u>
 The Stroke Association <u>Professional groups</u> Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland British Association for Nursing in Cardiovascular Care British Association for Services to the Elderly British Association of Surgical Oncology 	 Antithrombotic Trialists' (ATT) Collaboration British Society for Cardiovascular Research [BCS affiliated] Cardiac and Cardiology Research Dept, Barts Cardiovascular Diseases Specialist Library (CVDSL) Cardiovascular Research Initiative, University of Oxford Cochrane Heart Group

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Technology Appraisal no. 88; Dual-chamber pacemakers for the treatment of symptomatic bradycardia

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Atherosclerosis Society British Cardiac Intervention Society British Cardiovascular Society British Geriatrics Society British Heart Foundation British Hypertension Society British Nuclear Cardiology Society British Society of Cardiac Radiology College of Emergency Medicine National Heart Forum (UK) Primary Care Cardiovascular Society Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Surgeons Royal Society of Medicine Society for Cardiological Science and Technology [BCS affiliated] Society of Cardiothoracic surgeons United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association Vascular Society 	 Cochrane Peripheral Vascular Diseases Group Cochrane Stroke Group CORDA European Council for Cardiovascular Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Heart Research Fund National Institute for Health Research Assessment Group Assessment Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups tbc National Clinical Guidelines Centre Associated Public Health Groups tbc

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Technology Appraisal no. 88; Dual-chamber pacemakers for the treatment of symptomatic bradycardia

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

Consultees can participate in the consultation on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document, they are invited to prepare a submission dossier and all non-manufacturers/sponsors consultee organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee. All consultees are given the opportunity to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare a submission dossier, and that receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment Group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute)

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.