# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

### HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

### **Equality impact assessment – Guidance development**

# STA daratumumab with lenalidomide and dexamethasone for untreated multiple myeloma when a stem cell transplant is unsuitable

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

#### Consultation

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

No equalities issues were identified during the scoping process.

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

Company Submission: It was noted that in the transplant eligible setting people have access to daratumumab at first line. The company suggested that offering daratumumab at first line in the transplant ineligible setting would help resolve a health inequity.

Patient/Carer Organisation (Myeloma UK): Also noted that daratumumab is available in the transplant eligible setting and stated that it would like to see equity of access.

EAG: Stated that they and their clinical adviser agreed that there is inequity caused by a lack of access to effective treatments in transplant ineligible people compared to those eligible for a transplant.

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No other potential equality issues were identified by the committee.

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No

5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

N/A

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the draft guidance, and, if so, where?

Yes in the committee discussion section paragraph 3.15

### Approved by Associate Director (name): Henry Edwards

**Date:** 31/01/2023

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal of daratumumab with lenalidomide and dexamethasone for untreated multiple myeloma when a stem cell transplant is unsuitable 2 of 4 Issue date: October 2023

## Final appraisal determination

| 1. | Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?   |
|----|---|
| No |   |
|    |   |
| 2. | If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?     |
| No |   |
|    |   |
| 3. | If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?  |
| No |   |
|    |   |
| 4. | If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality? |
| No |   |
|    |   |
| 5. | Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?  |
| -  |   |

Yes in the committee discussion section paragraph 3.19

Approved by Associate Director (name): ...Richard Diaz.....

Date: 13 September 2023