

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM AND UNIVERSITY OF YORK
HEALTH ECONOMICS CONSORTIUM
(NICE EXTERNAL CONTRACTOR)

Health economic report on piloted indicator(s)

Pilot QOF indicator: The percentage of patients 79 and under with peripheral arterial disease in whom the last recorded blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) was 140/90mmHg or less.

Potential output: Recommendations for NICE Menu

Contents

Contents	1
Introduction	3
Economic Rationale for the Indicator.....	4
Evidence on Delivery Cost of the Indicator.....	5
Evidence on the Benefits of the Indicator	6
Eligible Population.....	8
Baseline Level of Achievement	9
Population	9
QOF Payments	9
Societal Value of a QALY	9
QOF Points	10
Thresholds	10
Results (assuming a value per QALY of £25,000)	10
Results (assuming a value per QALY of £20,000)	11
Discussion.....	12
References.....	14
Appendix A: Net Benefit Base Case Analysis (£25k/QALY)	15

Appendix B:	Net Benefit Analysis Assuming 50% Increase in Incremental Costs per Patient (£25k/QALY)	16
Appendix C:	Net Benefit Analysis Assuming 50% Reduction in QALYs (£25k/QALY)	17
Appendix D:	Net Benefit Base Case Analysis Assuming 50% Reduction in Eligible Population (£25k/QALY)	18
Appendix E:	Net Benefit Base Case Analysis (£20k/QALY)	19
Appendix F:	Net Benefit Analysis Assuming 50% Increase in Incremental Costs per Patient (£20k/QALY)	20
Appendix G:	Net Benefit Analysis Assuming 50% Reduction in QALYs (£20k/QALY)	21
Appendix H:	Net Benefit Base Case Analysis Assuming 50% Reduction in Eligible Population (£20k/QALY)	22

Introduction

This briefing paper presents a cost effectiveness analysis for a potential indicator from pilot 7 of the NICE Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) indicator development programme:

The percentage of patients 79 years and under with peripheral arterial disease in whom the last recorded blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) was 140/90mmHg or less.¹

The economic analysis is based on evidence of delivery costs and evidence of benefits expressed as quality-adjusted life years (QALYs). Additionally, the economic analysis takes account of potential QOF payments based on a range of available QOF points and a range of levels of achievement.

The possible range of QOF points for this analysis was agreed with the economic subgroup of the NICE QOF Advisory Committee prior to the analysis being undertaken.

A net benefit approach is used whereby an indicator is considered cost effective when net benefit is greater than zero for any given level of achievement and available QOF points:

$$\text{Net benefit} = \text{monetised benefit} - \text{delivery cost} - \text{QOF payment}.$$

For this indicator, the net benefit analysis is applied with a lifetime horizon at baseline.

The objective is to evaluate whether the proposed indicator represents a cost effective use of NHS resources. This report provides the QOF Advisory Committee with information on whether the indicator is economically justifiable, and will inform the Committee's decision making on recommendations about the indicator.

¹ The wording of the indicator during piloting was "The percentage of patients under 80 with peripheral arterial disease in whom the last recorded blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 15 months) was 140/90 or less". The change in the indicator wording was agreed at the NICE QOF Advisory Committee and does not affect the results of the cost effectiveness analysis.

Economic Rationale for the Indicator

People with blood pressure persistently over 140/90mmHg are defined as being hypertensive. Above a blood pressure of 115/70mmHg the risk of cardiovascular events doubles for every 20/10mmHg rise in blood pressure, as well as risking other poor health outcomes such as kidney disease and cognitive decline [1].

Pharmaceutical treatment to lower blood pressure in hypertensive patients has been found to be highly cost effective given the low cost of anti-hypertensive drugs and high cost of health outcomes that they can avert. Any of the main classes of drugs to treat hypertension have been found to be both cost saving and to be more effective (generating more QALYs), than no intervention [1].

The recently published NICE guideline on peripheral arterial disease (PAD) [2] states that people with PAD should be treated for hypertension as per the NICE hypertension guideline [3]. In the absence of evidence to the contrary and for the purpose of adopting a conservative estimate of the delivery costs for the indicator, it has been assumed that all people with PAD also have hypertension.

The NICE hypertension guideline is explicit that there is no robust evidence that monitoring blood pressure to reduce it to a target – such as 140/90 – in hypertensive patients is cost effective [3]. However, this is largely due to a lack of evidence rather than evidence that the intervention does not work. For the purposes of modelling it has been assumed that the indicator is designed to lower blood pressure and that by definition hypertensive patients with BP less than 140/90 must have had high blood pressure successfully lowered. The evidence underpinning the NICE guidelines is that lowering blood pressure in hypertensive patients is highly cost effective with an implicit conclusion that this is the case even if the patient remains clinically hypertensive.

The Committee recommended staged/linked indicators for this disease area. This indicator forms one of a pair and will require implementation alongside current QOF indicator PAD002. Negotiators need to be clear on the rationale for this and ensure retention and implementation of these indicators together.

Summary of assumptions

- It is assumed that all people with PAD also have hypertension, for the purpose of a conservative estimate;
- The indicator is designed to lower blood pressure;
- Hypertensive patients with BP less than 140/90mmHg must have had high blood pressure successfully lowered.

Evidence on Delivery Cost of the Indicator

As the NICE guidance on PAD suggests patients should be treated in line with the hypertension guidelines, these have been used as the basis for costing the intervention associated with the indicator.

The guideline recommends both lifestyle modification and pharmaceutical interventions to lower blood pressure in hypertensive patients [2]. The guidelines point out evidence for the effectiveness of lifestyle modification, such as increasing exercise. The costs of such advice and support are generally minimal, although help to stop smoking could involve smoking cessation support that would have a cost. At the base case it has therefore been assumed that advice can be given as part of a GP consultation that lasts 17.2 minutes at a cost of £63 [3]. The total costs used in the model have been increased by £500 per patient to reflect the costs of other interventions such as smoking cessation drug therapy, which has been costed at £1,000 per successful quitter [4]. The £500 cost uses the assumption that 50% of people with hypertension smoke and that all of them will use pharmaceutical support to quit. This is likely to be a significant overestimate of the actual costs of delivering lifestyle interventions but will generate conservative estimates from the model. The estimate is intended to provide a proxy cost for the indicator to reflect the costs of lifestyle modification required for people with stroke and hypertension. The percentage of people with PAD and hypertension who smoke may be higher than 50% and the assumption is used flexibly in the economic modelling through the use of sensitivity analysis.

Economic modelling underpinning the NICE hypertension guidance reported that for men aged 65, with a greater than 20% chance of cardiovascular disease (CVD) over 10 years, all pharmaceutical treatments saved healthcare resource and increased the number of QALYs. This finding was found to be reasonably robust as the risk of CVD and age changed, for both men and women. Given the heterogeneity of the hypertensive population, to produce a conservative estimate it has been assumed that there is no cost saving from pharmaceutical treatment.

However, this modelling is for patients that do not already have a pre-existing cardiovascular condition. For patients with existing PAD no modelling was identified that demonstrated potential cost savings. As such it has been assumed that there are no cost savings from treating patients with PAD with anti-hypertensive medication and the drug costs (a maximum of £25 per year per patient for generic drugs of all classes) are added to the overall costs per patient [2].

For both costs and benefits we have assumed that all patients with PAD have high blood pressure. This is in line with the NICE guideline that states all those with pre-existing cardio vascular conditions should have their blood pressure monitored to the target in the guideline.

Baseline costs

- The baseline costs are based on the NICE hypertension guideline and it has been assumed that this applied to people with hypertension and PAD;
- The incremental cost of providing lifestyle modification advice and support and pharmaceutical treatment at baseline is £588 per patient.

Evidence on the Benefits of the Indicator

There is evidence that lifestyle modification including reduction in alcohol consumption, salt intake and smoking, and increases in exercise all reduce blood pressure in people with hypertension [3]. This reduction in blood pressure would reduce the risk of CVD events and therefore would also increase QALYs. However, no evidence was found by NICE, linking lifestyle modification to QALY gains, as part of their evidence gathering for the hypertension guidelines. For the purposes of modelling it has therefore been assumed that no QALY gains are generated from lifestyle modification. This is a conservative assumption, especially as costs to provide advice and support for lifestyle modification have been assumed in the modelling.

QALY gains from the use of anti-hypertensive medications are drawn from modelling in the NICE hypertension guidelines [1]. QALY gains vary between drugs in the model. As a conservative estimate we have therefore assumed that the lowest lifetime QALY gain is used (0.32 for beta-blockers). However, this is based on lifetime compliance with treatment. The NICE guidelines noted that compliance can be as low as 20%, for all hypertensive patients.

A separate published study on adherence of hypertensive medication compliance in those with CHD found compliance rates in these patients to be 40% [5]. It has been assumed that people with PAD have a similar level of compliance with medication. This increase in compliance needs to be weighed against lower quality of life in patients with PAD compared to those without PAD, coupled with likely lower life expectancy. For simplicity, and to maintain a conservative estimate, it has therefore been assumed that any gain in utility from increased compliance is offset in patients with PAD over non-PAD patients by lower current quality of life and life expectancy. The QALY gain was therefore assumed to be the same for hypertensive patients with PAD and those without PAD.

This gives a QALY gain of 0.064 (0.32 x 20%) for people with PAD and hypertension taking medication for the condition. Due to the uncertainty around potential QALY gains sensitivity analysis was used to explore how the results differed with QALY gains varied by 50% more and 50% less than the baseline.

The baseline can be seen as a conservative estimate as the indicator itself is designed to ensure that patients' blood pressure is lowered (or kept low if it is already low), which would encourage GPs to ensure compliance with medication. It is also conservative because the assumed QALY gain is based on any reduction in blood pressure as a result of treatment rather than a specific reduction below a level of 140/90.

Baseline benefits

- To maintain a conservative approach it has been assumed that there are no benefits gained from lifestyle interventions and the lowest reported QALY gain for the benefits from pharmaceutical intervention has been used in modelling;
- People with PAD have a lower quality of life and life expectancy than those without PAD. Therefore, while they may have increased levels of compliance with anti-hypertensive medication this has been assumed to be offset by poorer quality of life in modelling;
- The incremental lifetime baseline QALY gain for treatment of PAD patients to a BP of 140/90 with drug therapy is 0.064.

Eligible Population

The eligible population is all patients aged under 80 who have PAD. The NICE guidelines on PAD state that population studies have suggested a prevalence rate of PAD in the over 60s of 20%. This was the only age related prevalence figure that could be found. However, the British Heart Foundation reported a survey from 2006 that found that 12.6% of those over 55 had CHD [6]. This is a rate some 60% of that for those with PAD. The report also states that 6.3% of those between 16 and 74 have CHD. It has therefore been assumed that the ratio of CHD to PAD in the over 55s can be applied to the rate for those aged between 16 and 74. This suggests that the rate of PAD in people aged between 16 and 74 is approximately 10.5%.

Whilst not explicitly for those under 80, this rate was applied to population statistics from the ONS that estimate 76.5% of the UK population is aged between 16 and 79

[8]. Combining these two statistics suggests that 7.65% of an average practice population will be under 80 and have PAD.

Baseline Level of Achievement

Pilot 7 data showed the indicator was achieved for 66.80% of eligible patients at the beginning of the pilot, falling to 56.88% at its conclusion. This fall is surprising and the points awarded and whether they are sufficient to incentivise GP activity should be considered in light of this. A baseline level of achievement of 66.88% has been assumed for this indicator.

Population

In the base case, the economic analysis was based on the total population registered with practices in England, that is, 8,316 practices with a mean practice size of 6,386 [9].

Table 1: Practice information for UK countries, 2011

Country	Number of practices	Number of patients
England	8,316	6,386
Scotland	1,002	5,245
Wales	483	6,344
Northern Ireland	353	5,119

NB: This practice information has been updated since the appendices were drafted. The changes are marginal and do not affect the conclusions in the report.

QOF Payments

Each QOF point is assumed to result in a payment of £156.92. This is the average value per point in England during 2013/14 (source; NHS Employers).

Societal Value of a QALY

The expected increase in QALYs was costed at both £20,000 and £25,000 per QALY. This is based on the bottom and the middle of the range £20,000 to £30,000, below which NICE generally considers something to be cost effective.

QOF Points

The economic analysis considers the cost-effectiveness of incentivising the proposed activity over a range of QOF points.

In the base case analysis, 5 points were allocated to the proposed indicator. This reflects the 2 and 3 points respectively allocated to the previous similar PAD hypertension indicator (PAD3) and cholesterol indicator (PAD4) and the fact that there is potential to achieve other points for the same patient due to the points on offer for the hypertension, peripheral arterial disease and stroke indicators. Sensitivity analysis explored the agreed lower and upper bounds of 2 and 10 points respectively.

Thresholds

The pilot 7 GP practices showed baseline performance of between 20 and 85%. However, the 20% practice was an outlier and the remaining practices had performance between 40% and 85% and so this range was adopted for the modelling thresholds.

Results (assuming a value per QALY of £25,000)

Under the baseline assumptions of incremental delivery cost (£588), incremental benefit (0.064 QALYs with a value of £25,000 per QALY) and eligible population (7.7%), the net benefit analysis suggests that the indicator is highly cost effective, with QOF payments up to the upper bound of 10 points justifiable on economic grounds (Appendix A). The benefits of treating people with PAD and hypertension with medication outweigh the cost of delivering this care and the cost of QOF achievement payments. This finding holds provided that achievement at the hypertension pilot baseline figure of 66.8% is maintained at 5 points.

The indicator only ceases to be justifiable at baseline and 85% achievement on economic grounds, at 565 points or when the value per QALY falls to £9,327. This is relevant given the potential for multiple points being awarded across the hypertension indicators proposed for achieving the BP target for a single patient.

Findings are highly insensitive to a 50% increase in costs (Appendix B), a 50% reduction in QALYs generated from anti-hypertensive medication (Appendix C) or a 50% reduction in the eligible population (Appendix D).

The indicator could no longer be recommended at 5 points with 85% achievement if:

- The cost of the intervention were to rise 171% to £1,591 for each patient with PAD;
- The QALYs generated from hypertensive medication were to fall by 63% to 0.024 QALYs per treated patient;
- The eligible population was to fall by 99% to 0.1%.

If the assumptions underpinning this analysis hold, then due to the potential size of the eligible population and the relatively low cost of the intervention compared to potential quality of life gains, there is a strong economic case for the indicator at a baseline of 5 points and up to the maximum QOF points appropriate for this indicator, i.e. 10 points.

Results (assuming a value per QALY of £20,000)

Under the baseline assumptions of incremental delivery cost (£588), incremental benefit (0.064 QALYs with a value of £20,000 per QALY) and eligible population (7.7%), the net benefit analysis suggests that the indicator is highly cost effective, with QOF payments up to the upper bound of 10 points justifiable on economic grounds (Appendix E). The benefits of treating people with PAD and hypertension with medication outweigh the cost of delivering this care and the cost of QOF achievement payments. This finding holds provided that achievement rises from the hypertension pilot baseline figure of 66.8% to 66.9% at 5 points.

The indicator only ceases to be justifiable at baseline and 85% achievement on economic grounds at 493 points or when the value per QALY falls to £9,327. This is relevant given the potential for multiple points being awarded across the hypertension indicators proposed for achieving the BP target for a single patient.

Findings are highly insensitive to a 50% increase in costs (Appendix F), a 50% reduction in QALYs generated from anti-hypertensive medication (Appendix G) or a 50% reduction in the eligible population (Appendix H).

The indicator could no longer be recommended at 5 points with 85% achievement if:

- The cost of the intervention were to rise 116% to £1,271 for each patient with PAD;
- The QALYs generated from hypertensive medication were to fall by 53% to 0.030 QALYs per treated patient;
- The eligible population was to fall by 99% to 0.1%.

If the assumptions underpinning this analysis hold, then due to the potential size of the eligible population and the relatively low cost of the intervention compared to potential quality of life gains, there is a strong economic case for the indicator at a baseline of 5 points and up to the maximum QOF points appropriate for this indicator, i.e. 10 points.

Discussion

Under the conservative baseline assumptions and the even more conservative sensitivity analysis it appears unambiguous that this indicator is highly cost effective.

However, this finding must be understood in the context that the modelling is based upon the NICE hypertension economic model that was for patients without PAD. The transferability of this model to this indicator is dependent on a number of assumptions:

- Hypertensive medications are as effective for those with patients PAD as for those without PAD at reducing blood pressure;
- The health benefits from reducing blood pressure in patients with PAD is comparable to those without PAD;
- QALY gains from health benefits from drug treatment for hypertension are comparable for patients with and without PAD;

- Everyone with PAD also has hypertension and that anyone with low blood pressure has had it reduced through interventions.

The last of these assumptions was accounted for in modelling by assuming that although compliance with medication is reported to be twice as high in patients with PAD as those without PAD (meaning a potential doubling of the potential QALY benefit to patients with PAD); this was negated by reduced life expectancy and underlying utility of patients with PAD.

It must also be noted that treating hypertension to a target has not been modelled as no data were available to do this. However, we are confident that the approach we have taken indicates that the indicator is highly cost effective, on the basis that treatment of high blood pressure is relatively cheap (now that generic drugs are available) and the potential health benefits are so great. It is cost-effective to use medication and smoking cessation interventions to achieve better blood pressure outcomes for this population.

Finally, although there could be double counting of points across hypertension indicators on the same patients, the large number of points that can be awarded to achieve target blood pressure suggests that this double counting does not stop the indicator being cost effective.

References

- [1] National Clinical Guideline Centre. The clinical management of primary hypertension in adults. London: Royal College of Physicians, 2011
- [2] National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Hypertension: Clinical management of primary hypertension in adults. 2011
- [3] Unit Costs of Health & Social Care 2011. Personal Social Services Research Unit (PSSRU). Complied by Lesley Curtis. University of Kent.
- [4] Woolacott NF, Jones L, Forbes CA et al. The clinical effectiveness and cost effectiveness of bupropion and nicotine replacement therapy for smoking cessation: a systematic review and economic evaluation. *Health Technol Assess* 2002
- [5] Newby LK, Lapointe NMA, Chen AY, Kramer JM et al; Long-term adherence to evidence based secondary prevention therapies in coronary artery disease. *Circulation*. 2006; 113:203-212
- [6] Townsend N, Wickramasinghe K, Bhatnagar P, Smolina K, Nichols M, Leal J, Luengo-Fernandez R, Rayner M (2012). Coronary heart disease statistics 2012 edition
- [7] National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Stroke: Diagnosis and initial management of acute stroke and transient ischaemic attack. 2008
- [8] See
<http://www.neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/HTMLDocs/dvc1/UKPyramid.htm>
- [9] General Practice Trends in the UK. NHS Information Centre. Published 23 January 2013.

Appendix A: Net Benefit Base Case Analysis (£25k/QALY)

Pilot 7 - PAD and Hypertension Under 80: Net Benefit Analysis

Value per point achieved	£156.92	Societal value of a QALY	£25,000								
Number of practices	8,228										
Mean practice population	6,297										
Minimum threshold	40%	Baseline achievement									
Maximum threshold	85%	Eligible population (mean % of practice population)	7.7%								
		Baseline achievement (mean % of eligible patients)	66.8%								
Points	2	3	4								
	5	6	7								
	8	9	10								
	11	12									
National totals											
Expected Achievement	QOF payments (£000s)										Change in treatment cost (£)
30%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£857,658,816
35%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£741,129,086
40%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£624,599,355
45%	£287	£430	£574	£717	£861	£1,004	£1,148	£1,291	£1,435	£1,578	-£508,069,625
50%	£574	£861	£1,148	£1,435	£1,722	£2,008	£2,295	£2,582	£2,869	£3,156	-£391,539,894
55%	£861	£1,291	£1,722	£2,152	£2,582	£3,013	£3,443	£3,873	£4,304	£4,734	-£275,010,164
60%	£1,148	£1,722	£2,295	£2,869	£3,443	£4,017	£4,591	£5,165	£5,738	£6,312	-£158,480,433
65%	£1,435	£2,152	£2,869	£3,586	£4,304	£5,021	£5,738	£6,456	£7,173	£7,890	-£41,950,703
70%	£1,722	£2,582	£3,443	£4,304	£5,165	£6,025	£6,886	£7,747	£8,608	£9,468	£74,579,027
75%	£2,008	£3,013	£4,017	£5,021	£6,025	£7,030	£8,034	£9,038	£10,042	£11,046	8117
80%	£2,295	£3,443	£4,591	£5,738	£6,886	£8,034	£9,181	£10,329	£11,477	£12,624	20801
85%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£307,638,488
90%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	33484
95%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£424,168,219
100%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	46168
	Net Benefit (£000s)										Change in QALYs
30%	-£1,476,107	-£1,476,107	-£1,476,107	-£1,476,107	-£1,476,107	-£1,476,107	-£1,476,107	-£1,476,107	-£1,476,107	-£1,476,107	-£1,476,107
35%	-£1,275,549	-£1,275,549	-£1,275,549	-£1,275,549	-£1,275,549	-£1,275,549	-£1,275,549	-£1,275,549	-£1,275,549	-£1,275,549	-£1,275,549
40%	-£1,074,991	-£1,074,991	-£1,074,991	-£1,074,991	-£1,074,991	-£1,074,991	-£1,074,991	-£1,074,991	-£1,074,991	-£1,074,991	-£1,074,991
45%	-£874,720	-£874,863	-£875,007	-£875,150	-£875,294	-£875,437	-£875,580	-£875,724	-£875,867	-£876,011	-£876,154
50%	-£674,449	-£674,736	-£675,022	-£675,309	-£675,596	-£675,883	-£676,170	-£676,457	-£676,744	-£677,031	-£677,318
55%	-£474,178	-£474,608	-£475,038	-£475,469	-£475,899	-£476,329	-£476,760	-£477,190	-£477,621	-£478,051	-£478,481
60%	-£273,907	-£274,480	-£275,054	-£275,628	-£276,202	-£276,776	-£277,350	-£277,923	-£278,497	-£279,071	-£279,645
65%	-£73,635	-£74,353	-£75,070	-£75,787	-£76,505	-£77,222	-£77,939	-£78,657	-£79,374	-£80,091	-£80,808
70%	£126,636	£125,775	£124,914	£124,053	£123,193	£122,332	£121,471	£120,610	£119,750	£118,889	£118,028
75%	£326,907	£325,902	£324,898	£323,894	£322,890	£321,886	£320,881	£319,877	£318,873	£317,869	£316,864
80%	£527,178	£526,030	£524,882	£523,735	£522,587	£521,439	£520,292	£519,144	£517,996	£516,849	£515,701
85%	£727,449	£726,158	£724,866	£723,575	£722,284	£720,993	£719,702	£718,411	£717,120	£715,829	£714,537
90%	£928,007	£926,716	£925,424	£924,133	£922,842	£921,551	£920,260	£918,969	£917,678	£916,386	£915,095
95%	£1,128,565	£1,127,274	£1,125,982	£1,124,691	£1,123,400	£1,122,109	£1,120,818	£1,119,527	£1,118,236	£1,116,944	£1,115,653
100%	£1,329,123	£1,327,832	£1,326,540	£1,325,249	£1,323,958	£1,322,667	£1,321,376	£1,320,085	£1,318,794	£1,317,502	£1,316,211

Where the net benefit produces a non-negative outcome then it is **cost effective** for the NHS to adopt the indicator.

When this is the case, the cells are highlighted with a yellow background.

Appendix B: Net Benefit Analysis Assuming 50% Increase in Incremental Costs per Patient (£25k/QALY)

Pilot 7 - PAD and Hypertension Under 80: Net Benefit Analysis

Value per point achieved	£156.92	Societal value of a QALY	£25,000										
Number of practices	8,228												
Mean practice population	6,297												
Minimum threshold	40%	Baseline achievement	Cost-effectiveness estimates										
Maximum threshold	85%	Eligible population (mean % of practice population) Baseline achievement (mean % of eligible patients)	Incremental cost (£ per patient) Incremental effect (QALYs per patient)										
Points	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
National totals													
Expected Achievement	QOF payments (£000s)											Change in treatment cost (£)	Change in QALYs
30%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£1,286,488,224	-93351
35%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£1,111,693,629	-80667
40%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£936,899,033	-67984
45%	£287	£430	£574	£717	£861	£1,004	£1,148	£1,291	£1,435	£1,578	£1,722	-£762,104,437	-55300
50%	£574	£861	£1,148	£1,435	£1,722	£2,008	£2,295	£2,582	£2,869	£3,156	£3,443	-£587,309,841	-42617
55%	£861	£1,291	£1,722	£2,152	£2,582	£3,013	£3,443	£3,873	£4,304	£4,734	£5,165	-£412,515,246	-29933
60%	£1,148	£1,722	£2,295	£2,869	£3,443	£4,017	£4,591	£5,165	£5,738	£6,312	£6,886	-£237,720,650	-17250
65%	£1,435	£2,152	£2,869	£3,586	£4,304	£5,021	£5,738	£6,456	£7,173	£7,890	£8,608	-£62,926,054	-4566
70%	£1,722	£2,582	£3,443	£4,304	£5,165	£6,025	£6,886	£7,747	£8,608	£9,468	£10,329	£111,868,541	8117
75%	£2,008	£3,013	£4,017	£5,021	£6,025	£7,030	£8,034	£9,038	£10,042	£11,046	£12,051	£286,663,137	20801
80%	£2,295	£3,443	£4,591	£5,738	£6,886	£8,034	£9,181	£10,329	£11,477	£12,624	£13,772	£461,457,733	33484
85%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£636,252,328	46168
90%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£811,046,924	58851
95%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£985,841,520	71535
100%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£1,160,636,115	84218
Net Benefit (£000s)													
30%	-£1,047,277	-£1,047,277	-£1,047,277	-£1,047,277	-£1,047,277	-£1,047,277	-£1,047,277	-£1,047,277	-£1,047,277	-£1,047,277	-£1,047,277		
35%	-£904,984	-£904,984	-£904,984	-£904,984	-£904,984	-£904,984	-£904,984	-£904,984	-£904,984	-£904,984	-£904,984	-£904,984	
40%	-£762,691	-£762,691	-£762,691	-£762,691	-£762,691	-£762,691	-£762,691	-£762,691	-£762,691	-£762,691	-£762,691	-£762,691	
45%	-£620,685	-£620,828	-£620,972	-£621,115	-£621,259	-£621,402	-£621,546	-£621,689	-£621,833	-£621,976	-£622,119		
50%	-£478,679	-£478,966	-£479,253	-£479,539	-£479,826	-£480,113	-£480,400	-£480,687	-£480,974	-£481,261	-£481,548		
55%	-£336,672	-£337,103	-£337,533	-£337,964	-£338,394	-£338,824	-£339,255	-£339,685	-£340,116	-£340,546	-£340,976		
60%	-£194,666	-£195,240	-£195,814	-£196,388	-£196,962	-£197,535	-£198,109	-£198,683	-£199,257	-£199,831	-£200,405		
65%	-£52,660	-£53,377	-£54,095	-£54,812	-£55,529	-£56,247	-£56,964	-£57,681	-£58,399	-£59,116	-£59,833		
70%	£89,346	£88,485	£87,625	£86,764	£85,903	£85,042	£84,182	£83,321	£82,460	£81,599	£80,738		
75%	£231,352	£230,348	£229,344	£228,340	£227,335	£226,331	£225,327	£224,323	£223,319	£222,314	£221,310		
80%	£373,358	£372,211	£371,063	£369,915	£368,768	£367,620	£366,472	£365,325	£364,177	£363,029	£361,882		
85%	£515,365	£514,073	£512,782	£511,491	£510,200	£508,909	£507,618	£506,327	£505,036	£503,744	£502,453		
90%	£657,658	£656,367	£655,075	£653,784	£652,493	£651,202	£649,911	£648,620	£647,329	£646,037	£644,746		
95%	£799,951	£798,660	£797,369	£796,077	£794,786	£793,495	£792,204	£790,913	£789,622	£788,331	£787,039		
100%	£942,244	£940,953	£939,662	£938,371	£937,079	£935,788	£934,497	£933,206	£931,915	£930,624	£929,333		

Where the net benefit produces a non-negative outcome then it is cost effective for the NHS to adopt the indicator.

When this is the case, the cells are highlighted with a yellow background.

Appendix C: Net Benefit Analysis Assuming 50% Reduction in QALYs (£25k/QALY)

Pilot 7 - PAD and Hypertension Under 80: Net Benefit Analysis

Value per point achieved	£156.92	Societal value of a QALY	£25,000										
Number of practices	8,228												
Mean practice population	6,297												
Minimum threshold	40%	Baseline achievement	Cost-effectiveness estimates										
Maximum threshold	85%	Eligible population (mean % of practice population)	Incremental cost (£ per patient)										
		Baseline achievement (mean % of eligible patients)	Incremental effect (QALYs per patient)										
Points	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
National totals													
Expected Achievement	QOF payments (£000s)										Change in treatment cost (£)	Change in QALYs	
30%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£857,658,816	-46675	
35%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£741,129,086	-40334	
40%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£624,599,355	-33992	
45%	£287	£430	£574	£717	£861	£1,004	£1,148	£1,291	£1,435	£1,578	£1,722	-£508,069,625	-27650
50%	£574	£861	£1,148	£1,435	£1,722	£2,008	£2,295	£2,582	£2,869	£3,156	£3,443	-£391,539,894	-21308
55%	£861	£1,291	£1,722	£2,152	£2,582	£3,013	£3,443	£3,873	£4,304	£4,734	£5,165	-£275,010,164	-14967
60%	£1,148	£1,722	£2,295	£2,869	£3,443	£4,017	£4,591	£5,165	£5,738	£6,312	£6,886	-£158,480,433	-8625
65%	£1,435	£2,152	£2,869	£3,586	£4,304	£5,021	£5,738	£6,456	£7,173	£7,890	£8,608	-£41,950,703	-2283
70%	£1,722	£2,582	£3,443	£4,304	£5,165	£6,025	£6,886	£7,747	£8,608	£9,468	£10,329	£74,579,027	4059
75%	£2,008	£3,013	£4,017	£5,021	£6,025	£7,030	£8,034	£9,038	£10,042	£11,046	£12,051	£191,108,758	10400
80%	£2,295	£3,443	£4,591	£5,738	£6,886	£8,034	£9,181	£10,329	£11,477	£12,624	£13,772	£307,638,488	16742
85%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£424,168,219	23084
90%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£540,697,949	29426
95%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£657,227,680	35767
100%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£773,757,410	42109
Net Benefit (£000s)													
30%	-£309,224	-£309,224	-£309,224	-£309,224	-£309,224	-£309,224	-£309,224	-£309,224	-£309,224	-£309,224	-£309,224	Where the net benefit produces a non-negative outcome then it is cost effective for the NHS to adopt the indicator.	
35%	-£267,210	-£267,210	-£267,210	-£267,210	-£267,210	-£267,210	-£267,210	-£267,210	-£267,210	-£267,210	-£267,210		
40%	-£225,196	-£225,196	-£225,196	-£225,196	-£225,196	-£225,196	-£225,196	-£225,196	-£225,196	-£225,196	-£225,196		
45%	-£183,468	-£183,612	-£183,755	-£183,899	-£184,042	-£184,186	-£184,329	-£184,473	-£184,616	-£184,760	-£184,903		
50%	-£141,741	-£142,028	-£142,315	-£142,602	-£142,889	-£143,176	-£143,463	-£143,750	-£144,037	-£144,324	-£144,610		
55%	-£100,014	-£100,444	-£100,875	-£101,305	-£101,736	-£102,166	-£102,596	-£103,027	-£103,457	-£103,887	-£104,318		
60%	-£58,287	-£58,861	-£59,435	-£60,008	-£60,582	-£61,156	-£61,730	-£62,304	-£62,878	-£63,451	-£64,025		
65%	-£16,560	-£17,277	-£17,994	-£18,712	-£19,429	-£20,146	-£20,863	-£21,581	-£22,298	-£23,015	-£23,733		
70%	£25,168	£24,307	£23,446	£22,585	£21,724	£20,864	£20,003	£19,142	£18,281	£17,421	£16,560		
75%	£66,895	£65,891	£64,886	£63,882	£62,878	£61,874	£60,869	£59,865	£58,861	£57,857	£56,853		
80%	£108,622	£107,474	£106,327	£105,179	£104,031	£102,884	£101,736	£100,588	£99,440	£98,293	£97,145		
85%	£150,349	£149,058	£147,767	£146,476	£145,185	£143,893	£142,602	£141,311	£140,020	£138,729	£137,438		
90%	£192,363	£191,072	£189,781	£188,490	£187,199	£185,908	£184,616	£183,325	£182,034	£180,743	£179,452		
95%	£234,377	£233,086	£231,795	£230,504	£229,213	£227,922	£226,631	£225,339	£224,048	£222,757	£221,466		
100%	£276,391	£275,100	£273,809	£272,518	£271,227	£269,936	£268,645	£267,354	£266,062	£264,771	£263,480		

Appendix D: Net Benefit Base Case Analysis Assuming 50% Reduction in Eligible Population (£25k/QALY)

Pilot 7 - PAD and Hypertension Under 80: Net Benefit Analysis

Value per point achieved	£156.92	Societal value of a QALY	£25,000										
Number of practices	8,228												
Mean practice population	6,297												
Minimum threshold	40%	Baseline achievement	Cost-effectiveness estimates										
Maximum threshold	85%	Eligible population (mean % of practice population)	Incremental cost (£ per patient)										
		Baseline achievement (mean % of eligible patients)	Incremental effect (QALYs per patient)										
Points	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
National totals													
Expected Achievement	QOF payments (£000s)										Change in treatment cost (£)	Change in QALYs	
30%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£426,026,601	-46370	
35%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£368,142,552	-40070	
40%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£310,258,503	-33770	
45%	£287	£430	£574	£717	£861	£1,004	£1,148	£1,291	£1,435	£1,578	£1,722	-£252,374,454	-27469
50%	£574	£861	£1,148	£1,435	£1,722	£2,008	£2,295	£2,582	£2,869	£3,156	£3,443	-£194,490,405	-21169
55%	£861	£1,291	£1,722	£2,152	£2,582	£3,013	£3,443	£3,873	£4,304	£4,734	£5,165	-£136,606,356	-14869
60%	£1,148	£1,722	£2,295	£2,869	£3,443	£4,017	£4,591	£5,165	£5,738	£6,312	£6,886	-£78,722,307	-8568
65%	£1,435	£2,152	£2,869	£3,586	£4,304	£5,021	£5,738	£6,456	£7,173	£7,890	£8,608	-£20,838,258	-2268
70%	£1,722	£2,582	£3,443	£4,304	£5,165	£6,025	£6,886	£7,747	£8,608	£9,468	£10,329	£37,045,791	4032
75%	£2,008	£3,013	£4,017	£5,021	£6,025	£7,030	£8,034	£9,038	£10,042	£11,046	£12,051	£94,929,841	10332
80%	£2,295	£3,443	£4,591	£5,738	£6,886	£8,034	£9,181	£10,329	£11,477	£12,624	£13,772	£152,813,890	16633
85%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£210,697,939	22933
90%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£268,581,988	29233
95%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£326,466,037	35534
100%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£384,350,086	41834
Net Benefit (£000s)													
30%	-£733,229	-£733,229	-£733,229	-£733,229	-£733,229	-£733,229	-£733,229	-£733,229	-£733,229	-£733,229	-£733,229		
35%	-£633,606	-£633,606	-£633,606	-£633,606	-£633,606	-£633,606	-£633,606	-£633,606	-£633,606	-£633,606	-£633,606		
40%	-£533,982	-£533,982	-£533,982	-£533,982	-£533,982	-£533,982	-£533,982	-£533,982	-£533,982	-£533,982	-£533,982		
45%	-£434,646	-£434,789	-£434,933	-£435,076	-£435,220	-£435,363	-£435,506	-£435,650	-£435,793	-£435,937	-£436,080		
50%	-£335,309	-£335,596	-£335,883	-£336,170	-£336,457	-£336,744	-£337,031	-£337,317	-£337,604	-£337,891	-£338,178		
55%	-£235,972	-£236,403	-£236,833	-£237,264	-£237,694	-£238,124	-£238,555	-£238,985	-£239,415	-£239,846	-£240,276		
60%	-£136,636	-£137,210	-£137,783	-£138,357	-£138,931	-£139,505	-£140,079	-£140,653	-£141,226	-£141,800	-£142,374		
65%	-£37,299	-£38,016	-£38,734	-£39,451	-£40,168	-£40,886	-£41,603	-£42,320	-£43,037	-£43,755	-£44,472		
70%	£62,038	£61,177	£60,316	£59,455	£58,595	£57,734	£56,873	£56,012	£55,151	£54,291	£53,430		
75%	£161,374	£160,370	£159,366	£158,362	£157,357	£156,353	£155,349	£154,345	£153,340	£152,336	£151,332		
80%	£260,711	£259,563	£258,416	£257,268	£256,120	£254,972	£253,825	£252,677	£251,529	£250,382	£249,234		
85%	£360,048	£358,756	£357,465	£356,174	£354,883	£353,592	£352,301	£351,010	£349,718	£348,427	£347,136		
90%	£459,671	£458,380	£457,089	£455,798	£454,507	£453,215	£451,924	£450,633	£449,342	£448,051	£446,760		
95%	£559,295	£558,004	£556,712	£555,421	£554,130	£552,839	£551,548	£550,257	£548,966	£547,674	£546,383		
100%	£658,918	£657,627	£656,336	£655,045	£653,754	£652,463	£651,171	£649,880	£648,589	£647,298	£646,007		

Where the net benefit produces a non-negative outcome then it is **cost effective** for the NHS to adopt the indicator.

When this is the case, the cells are highlighted with a yellow background.

Appendix E: Net Benefit Base Case Analysis (£20k/QALY)

Pilot 7 - PAD and Hypertension Under 80: Net Benefit Analysis

Value per point achieved		£156.92	Societal value of a QALY		£20,000								
Number of practices		8,228											
Mean practice population		6,297											
Minimum threshold		40%	Baseline achievement		Eligible population (mean % of practice population)	7.7%	Cost-effectiveness estimates		Incremental cost (£ per patient)	£588			
Maximum threshold		85%	Baseline achievement (mean % of eligible patients)		66.8%	Incremental effect (QALYs per patient)		0.064					
Points	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
National totals													
Expected Achievement	QOF payments (£000s)										Change in treatment cost (£)	Change in QALYs	
30%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£857,658,816	-93351	
35%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£741,129,086	-80667	
40%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£624,599,355	-67984	
45%	£287	£430	£574	£717	£861	£1,004	£1,148	£1,291	£1,435	£1,578	£1,722	-£508,069,625	-55300
50%	£574	£861	£1,148	£1,435	£1,722	£2,008	£2,295	£2,582	£2,869	£3,156	£3,443	-£391,539,894	-42617
55%	£861	£1,291	£1,722	£2,152	£2,582	£3,013	£3,443	£3,873	£4,304	£4,734	£5,165	-£275,010,164	-29933
60%	£1,148	£1,722	£2,295	£2,869	£3,443	£4,017	£4,591	£5,165	£5,738	£6,312	£6,886	-£158,480,433	-17250
65%	£1,435	£2,152	£2,869	£3,586	£4,304	£5,021	£5,738	£6,456	£7,173	£7,890	£8,608	-£41,950,703	-4566
70%	£1,722	£2,582	£3,443	£4,304	£5,165	£6,025	£6,886	£7,747	£8,608	£9,468	£10,329	£74,579,027	8117
75%	£2,008	£3,013	£4,017	£5,021	£6,025	£7,030	£8,034	£9,038	£10,042	£11,046	£12,051	£191,108,758	20801
80%	£2,295	£3,443	£4,591	£5,738	£6,886	£8,034	£9,181	£10,329	£11,477	£12,624	£13,772	£307,638,488	33484
85%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£424,168,219	46168
90%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£540,697,949	58851
95%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£657,227,680	71535
100%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£773,757,410	84218
Net Benefit (£000s)													
30%	-£1,009,354	-£1,009,354	-£1,009,354	-£1,009,354	-£1,009,354	-£1,009,354	-£1,009,354	-£1,009,354	-£1,009,354	-£1,009,354	-£1,009,354		
35%	-£872,213	-£872,213	-£872,213	-£872,213	-£872,213	-£872,213	-£872,213	-£872,213	-£872,213	-£872,213	-£872,213		
40%	-£735,073	-£735,073	-£735,073	-£735,073	-£735,073	-£735,073	-£735,073	-£735,073	-£735,073	-£735,073	-£735,073		
45%	-£598,219	-£598,363	-£598,506	-£598,650	-£598,793	-£598,936	-£599,080	-£599,223	-£599,367	-£599,510	-£599,654		
50%	-£461,366	-£461,653	-£461,940	-£462,226	-£462,513	-£462,800	-£463,087	-£463,374	-£463,661	-£463,948	-£464,235		
55%	-£324,512	-£324,943	-£325,373	-£325,803	-£326,234	-£326,664	-£327,094	-£327,525	-£327,955	-£328,386	-£328,816		
60%	-£187,659	-£188,233	-£188,806	-£189,380	-£189,954	-£190,528	-£191,102	-£191,676	-£192,249	-£192,823	-£193,397		
65%	-£50,805	-£51,522	-£52,240	-£52,957	-£53,674	-£54,392	-£55,109	-£55,826	-£56,544	-£57,261	-£57,978		
70%	£86,048	£85,188	£84,327	£83,466	£82,605	£81,745	£80,884	£80,023	£79,162	£78,302	£77,441		
75%	£222,902	£221,898	£220,893	£219,889	£218,885	£217,881	£216,877	£215,872	£214,868	£213,864	£212,860		
80%	£359,755	£358,608	£357,460	£356,312	£355,165	£354,017	£352,869	£351,722	£350,574	£349,426	£348,279		
85%	£496,609	£495,318	£494,027	£492,735	£491,444	£490,153	£488,862	£487,571	£486,280	£484,989	£483,698		
90%	£633,749	£632,458	£631,167	£629,876	£628,585	£627,294	£626,002	£624,711	£623,420	£622,129	£620,838		
95%	£770,890	£769,599	£768,307	£767,016	£765,725	£764,434	£763,143	£761,852	£760,561	£759,270	£757,978		
100%	£908,030	£906,739	£905,448	£904,157	£902,866	£901,574	£900,283	£898,992	£897,701	£896,410	£895,119		

Where the net benefit produces a non-negative outcome then it is **cost effective** for the NHS to adopt the indicator.

When this is the case, the cells are highlighted with a yellow background.

Appendix F: Net Benefit Analysis Assuming 50% Increase in Incremental Costs per Patient (£20k/QALY)

Pilot 7 - PAD and Hypertension Under 80: Net Benefit Analysis

Value per point achieved	£156.92	Societal value of a QALY	£20,000										
Number of practices	8,228												
Mean practice population	6,297												
Minimum threshold	40%	Baseline achievement	Cost-effectiveness estimates										
Maximum threshold	85%	Eligible population (mean % of practice population)	Incremental cost (£ per patient)										
		Baseline achievement (mean % of eligible patients)	Incremental effect (QALYs per patient)										
Points	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
National totals													
Expected Achievement	QOF payments (£000s)										Change in treatment cost (£)	Change in QALYs	
30%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£1,286,488,224	-93351	
35%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£1,111,693,629	-80667	
40%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£936,899,033	-67984	
45%	£287	£430	£574	£717	£861	£1,004	£1,148	£1,291	£1,435	£1,578	-£762,104,437	-55300	
50%	£574	£861	£1,148	£1,435	£1,722	£2,008	£2,295	£2,582	£2,869	£3,156	-£587,309,841	-42617	
55%	£861	£1,291	£1,722	£2,152	£2,582	£3,013	£3,443	£3,873	£4,304	£4,734	-£412,515,246	-29933	
60%	£1,148	£1,722	£2,295	£2,869	£3,443	£4,017	£4,591	£5,165	£5,738	£6,312	-£237,720,650	-17250	
65%	£1,435	£2,152	£2,869	£3,586	£4,304	£5,021	£5,738	£6,456	£7,173	£7,890	-£62,926,054	-4566	
70%	£1,722	£2,582	£3,443	£4,304	£5,165	£6,025	£6,886	£7,747	£8,608	£9,468	£10,329	£111,868,541	8117
75%	£2,008	£3,013	£4,017	£5,021	£6,025	£7,030	£8,034	£9,038	£10,042	£11,046	£12,051	£286,663,137	20801
80%	£2,295	£3,443	£4,591	£5,738	£6,886	£8,034	£9,181	£10,329	£11,477	£12,624	£13,772	£461,457,733	33484
85%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£636,252,328	46168
90%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£811,046,924	58851
95%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£985,841,520	71535
100%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£1,160,636,115	84218
Net Benefit (£000s)													
30%	-£580,524	-£580,524	-£580,524	-£580,524	-£580,524	-£580,524	-£580,524	-£580,524	-£580,524	-£580,524	-£580,524	-£580,524	
35%	-£501,649	-£501,649	-£501,649	-£501,649	-£501,649	-£501,649	-£501,649	-£501,649	-£501,649	-£501,649	-£501,649	-£501,649	
40%	-£422,773	-£422,773	-£422,773	-£422,773	-£422,773	-£422,773	-£422,773	-£422,773	-£422,773	-£422,773	-£422,773	-£422,773	
45%	-£344,184	-£344,328	-£344,471	-£344,615	-£344,758	-£344,902	-£345,045	-£345,189	-£345,332	-£345,476	-£345,619	-£345,619	
50%	-£265,596	-£265,883	-£266,170	-£266,456	-£266,743	-£267,030	-£267,317	-£267,604	-£267,891	-£268,178	-£268,465	-£268,465	
55%	-£187,007	-£187,437	-£187,868	-£188,298	-£188,729	-£189,159	-£189,589	-£190,020	-£190,450	-£190,881	-£191,311	-£191,311	
60%	-£108,418	-£108,992	-£109,566	-£110,140	-£110,714	-£111,288	-£111,861	-£112,435	-£113,009	-£113,583	-£114,157	-£114,157	
65%	-£29,830	-£30,547	-£31,264	-£31,982	-£32,699	-£33,416	-£34,134	-£34,851	-£35,568	-£36,285	-£37,003	-£37,003	
70%	£48,759	£47,898	£47,037	£46,177	£45,316	£44,455	£43,594	£42,734	£41,873	£41,012	£40,151	£40,151	
75%	£127,347	£126,343	£125,339	£124,335	£123,331	£122,326	£121,322	£120,318	£119,314	£118,310	£117,305	£117,305	
80%	£205,936	£204,788	£203,641	£202,493	£201,345	£200,198	£199,050	£197,902	£196,755	£195,607	£194,459	£194,459	
85%	£284,525	£283,234	£281,943	£280,651	£279,360	£278,069	£276,778	£275,487	£274,196	£272,905	£271,613	£271,613	
90%	£363,400	£362,109	£360,818	£359,527	£358,236	£356,945	£355,654	£354,362	£353,071	£351,780	£350,489	£350,489	
95%	£442,276	£440,985	£439,694	£438,403	£437,111	£435,820	£434,529	£433,238	£431,947	£430,656	£429,365	£429,365	
100%	£521,151	£519,860	£518,569	£517,278	£515,987	£514,696	£513,405	£512,114	£510,822	£509,531	£508,240	£508,240	

Where the net benefit produces a non-negative outcome then it is **cost effective** for the NHS to adopt the indicator.

When this is the case, the cells are highlighted with a yellow background.

Appendix G: Net Benefit Analysis Assuming 50% Reduction in QALYs (£20k/QALY)

Pilot 7 - PAD and Hypertension Under 80: Net Benefit Analysis

Value per point achieved	£156.92	Societal value of a QALY	£20,000										
Number of practices	8,228												
Mean practice population	6,297												
Minimum threshold	40%	Baseline achievement	Cost-effectiveness estimates										
Maximum threshold	85%	Eligible population (mean % of practice population)	Incremental cost (£ per patient)										
		Baseline achievement (mean % of eligible patients)	Incremental effect (QALYs per patient)										
Points	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
National totals													
Expected Achievement	QOF payments (£000s)										Change in treatment cost (£)	Change in QALYs	
30%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£857,658,816	-46675	
35%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£741,129,086	-40334	
40%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£624,599,355	-33992	
45%	£287	£430	£574	£717	£861	£1,004	£1,148	£1,291	£1,435	£1,578	£1,722	-£508,069,625	-27650
50%	£574	£861	£1,148	£1,435	£1,722	£2,008	£2,295	£2,582	£2,869	£3,156	£3,443	-£391,539,894	-21308
55%	£861	£1,291	£1,722	£2,152	£2,582	£3,013	£3,443	£3,873	£4,304	£4,734	£5,165	-£275,010,164	-14967
60%	£1,148	£1,722	£2,295	£2,869	£3,443	£4,017	£4,591	£5,165	£5,738	£6,312	£6,886	-£158,480,433	-8625
65%	£1,435	£2,152	£2,869	£3,586	£4,304	£5,021	£5,738	£6,456	£7,173	£7,890	£8,608	-£41,950,703	-2283
70%	£1,722	£2,582	£3,443	£4,304	£5,165	£6,025	£6,886	£7,747	£8,608	£9,468	£10,329	£74,579,027	4059
75%	£2,008	£3,013	£4,017	£5,021	£6,025	£7,030	£8,034	£9,038	£10,042	£11,046	£12,051	£191,108,758	10400
80%	£2,295	£3,443	£4,591	£5,738	£6,886	£8,034	£9,181	£10,329	£11,477	£12,624	£13,772	£307,638,488	16742
85%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£424,168,219	23084
90%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£540,697,949	29426
95%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£657,227,680	35767
100%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£773,757,410	42109
Net Benefit (£000s)													
30%	-£75,847	-£75,847	-£75,847	-£75,847	-£75,847	-£75,847	-£75,847	-£75,847	-£75,847	-£75,847	-£75,847		
35%	-£65,542	-£65,542	-£65,542	-£65,542	-£65,542	-£65,542	-£65,542	-£65,542	-£65,542	-£65,542	-£65,542		
40%	-£55,237	-£55,237	-£55,237	-£55,237	-£55,237	-£55,237	-£55,237	-£55,237	-£55,237	-£55,237	-£55,237		
45%	-£45,218	-£45,362	-£45,505	-£45,649	-£45,792	-£45,936	-£46,079	-£46,222	-£46,366	-£46,509	-£46,653		
50%	-£35,200	-£35,487	-£35,774	-£36,061	-£36,347	-£36,634	-£36,921	-£37,208	-£37,495	-£37,782	-£38,069		
55%	-£25,181	-£25,612	-£26,042	-£26,473	-£26,903	-£27,333	-£27,764	-£28,194	-£28,624	-£29,055	-£29,485		
60%	-£15,163	-£15,737	-£16,311	-£16,884	-£17,458	-£18,032	-£18,606	-£19,180	-£19,754	-£20,328	-£20,901		
65%	-£5,145	-£5,862	-£6,579	-£7,296	-£8,014	-£8,731	-£9,448	-£10,166	-£10,883	-£11,600	-£12,318		
70%	£4,874	£4,013	£3,152	£2,292	£1,431	£570	-£291	-£1,151	-£2,012	-£2,873	-£3,734		
75%	£14,892	£13,888	£12,884	£11,880	£10,875	£9,871	£8,867	£7,863	£6,859	£5,854	£4,850		
80%	£24,911	£23,763	£22,615	£21,468	£20,320	£19,172	£18,025	£16,877	£15,729	£14,582	£13,434		
85%	£34,929	£33,638	£32,347	£31,056	£29,765	£28,474	£27,182	£25,891	£24,600	£23,309	£22,018		
90%	£45,235	£43,943	£42,652	£41,361	£40,070	£38,779	£37,488	£36,197	£34,905	£33,614	£32,323		
95%	£55,540	£54,249	£52,958	£51,666	£50,375	£49,084	£47,793	£46,502	£45,211	£43,920	£42,629		
100%	£65,845	£64,554	£63,263	£61,972	£60,681	£59,390	£58,098	£56,807	£55,516	£54,225	£52,934		

Where the net benefit produces a non-negative outcome then it is **cost effective** for the NHS to adopt the indicator.

When this is the case, the cells are highlighted with a yellow background.

Appendix H: Net Benefit Base Case Analysis Assuming 50% Reduction in Eligible Population (£20k/QALY)

Pilot 7 - PAD and Hypertension Under 80: Net Benefit Analysis

Value per point achieved	£156.92	Societal value of a QALY	£20,000								
Number of practices	8,228										
Mean practice population	6,297										
Minimum threshold	40%	Baseline achievement	Cost-effectiveness estimates								
Maximum threshold	85%	Eligible population (mean % of practice population)	Incremental cost (£ per patient)								
		Baseline achievement (mean % of eligible patients)	Incremental effect (QALYs per patient)								
Points	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12

Expected Achievement	National totals												Change in treatment cost (£)	Change in QALYs
	QOF payments (£000s)													
30%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£426,026,601	-46370
35%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£368,142,552	-40070
40%	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	-£310,258,503	-33770
45%	£287	£430	£574	£717	£861	£1,004	£1,148	£1,291	£1,435	£1,578	£1,722	£1,722	-£252,374,454	-27469
50%	£574	£861	£1,148	£1,435	£1,722	£2,008	£2,295	£2,582	£2,869	£3,156	£3,443	£3,443	-£194,490,405	-21169
55%	£861	£1,291	£1,722	£2,152	£2,582	£3,013	£3,443	£3,873	£4,304	£4,734	£5,165	£5,165	-£136,606,356	-14869
60%	£1,148	£1,722	£2,295	£2,869	£3,443	£4,017	£4,591	£5,165	£5,738	£6,312	£6,886	£6,886	-£78,722,307	-8568
65%	£1,435	£2,152	£2,869	£3,586	£4,304	£5,021	£5,738	£6,456	£7,173	£7,890	£8,608	£8,608	-£20,838,258	-2268
70%	£1,722	£2,582	£3,443	£4,304	£5,165	£6,025	£6,886	£7,747	£8,608	£9,468	£10,329	£10,329	£37,045,791	4032
75%	£2,008	£3,013	£4,017	£5,021	£6,025	£7,030	£8,034	£9,038	£10,042	£11,046	£12,051	£12,051	£94,929,841	10332
80%	£2,295	£3,443	£4,591	£5,738	£6,886	£8,034	£9,181	£10,329	£11,477	£12,624	£13,772	£13,772	£152,813,890	16633
85%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£15,494	£210,697,939	22933
90%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£15,494	£268,581,988	29233
95%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£15,494	£326,466,037	35534
100%	£2,582	£3,873	£5,165	£6,456	£7,747	£9,038	£10,329	£11,620	£12,911	£14,203	£15,494	£15,494	£384,350,086	41834

Net Benefit (£000s)												
30%	-£501,378	-£501,378	-£501,378	-£501,378	-£501,378	-£501,378	-£501,378	-£501,378	-£501,378	-£501,378	-£501,378	-£501,378
35%	-£433,256	-£433,256	-£433,256	-£433,256	-£433,256	-£433,256	-£433,256	-£433,256	-£433,256	-£433,256	-£433,256	-£433,256
40%	-£365,134	-£365,134	-£365,134	-£365,134	-£365,134	-£365,134	-£365,134	-£365,134	-£365,134	-£365,134	-£365,134	-£365,134
45%	-£297,299	-£297,442	-£297,586	-£297,729	-£297,873	-£298,016	-£298,160	-£298,303	-£298,447	-£298,590	-£298,734	-£298,734
50%	-£229,464	-£229,751	-£230,038	-£230,325	-£230,612	-£230,899	-£231,185	-£231,472	-£231,759	-£232,046	-£232,333	-£232,333
55%	-£161,629	-£162,059	-£162,490	-£162,920	-£163,350	-£163,781	-£164,211	-£164,641	-£165,072	-£165,502	-£165,933	-£165,933
60%	-£93,794	-£94,367	-£94,941	-£95,515	-£96,089	-£96,663	-£97,237	-£97,811	-£98,384	-£98,958	-£99,532	-£99,532
65%	-£25,959	-£26,676	-£27,393	-£28,110	-£28,828	-£29,545	-£30,262	-£30,980	-£31,697	-£32,414	-£33,132	-£33,132
70%	£41,877	£41,016	£40,155	£39,294	£38,434	£37,573	£36,712	£35,851	£34,991	£34,130	£33,269	£33,269
75%	£109,712	£108,707	£107,703	£106,699	£105,695	£104,691	£103,686	£102,682	£101,678	£100,674	£99,670	£99,670
80%	£177,547	£176,399	£175,251	£174,104	£172,956	£171,808	£170,661	£169,513	£168,365	£167,218	£166,070	£166,070
85%	£245,382	£244,091	£242,800	£241,509	£240,217	£238,926	£237,635	£236,344	£235,053	£233,762	£232,471	£232,471
90%	£313,504	£312,213	£310,922	£309,631	£308,339	£307,048	£305,757	£304,466	£303,175	£301,884	£300,593	£300,593
95%	£381,626	£380,335	£379,044	£377,753	£376,462	£375,170	£373,879	£372,588	£371,297	£370,006	£368,715	£368,715
100%	£449,748	£448,457	£447,166	£445,875	£444,584	£443,292	£442,001	£440,710	£439,419	£438,128	£436,837	£436,837

Where the net benefit produces a non-negative outcome then it is **cost effective** for the NHS to adopt the indicator.

When this is the case, the cells are highlighted with a yellow background.