

1.0.7 DOC EIA

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

NICE guidelines

Equality impact assessment

Diverticular disease

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE equality policy.

1.0 Checking for updates and scope: before scope consultation (to be completed by the Developer and submitted with the draft scope for consultation)

1.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during the check for an update or during development of the draft scope, and, if so, what are they?

(Please specify if the issue has been highlighted by a stakeholder)

People of Asian family origin as they are known to develop right-sided diverticula.

1.2 What is the preliminary view on the extent to which these potential equality issues need addressing by the Committee? For example, if population groups, treatments or settings are excluded from the scope, are these exclusions justified – that is, are the reasons legitimate and the exclusion proportionate?

We propose to exclude children younger than 18 from the scope because diverticular disease tends to affect older people above 70, therefore data in children is unlikely to exist.

1.0.7 DOC EIA

Completed by Developer _____ Sharon Swain _____

Date _____ 22.08.2017 _____

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead _____ Chris Carson _____

Date _____ 12th October 2017 _____

2.0 Checking for updates and scope: after consultation (to be completed by the Developer and submitted with the revised scope)

2.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during consultation, and, if so, what are they?

People with diverticular disease who do not have full control of their diet (e.g. prisoners and people who live in care homes)

2.2 Have any changes to the scope been made as a result of consultation to highlight potential equality issues?

No

1.0.7 DOC EIA

2.3 Is the primary focus of the guideline a population with a specific disability-related communication need?

If so, do the key messages for the public need to be produced in an alternative version? No

If so, which alternative version is recommended?

The alternative versions available are:

- large font or audio versions for a population with sight loss
- British Sign Language videos for a population deaf from birth
- 'Easy read' versions for people with learning disabilities or cognitive impairment.

Does an alternative version(s) of the consultation documents also need to be produced? No

Updated by Developer Sharon Swain ____

Date __29th September

2017 _____

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead ____Chris Carson_____

Date _____12th October 2017

1.0.7 DOC EIA

3.0 Guideline development: before consultation (to be completed by the Developer before consultation on the draft guideline)

3.1 Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?

We have made a recommendation for people to be aware that people of Asian origin may have right-sided pain and tenderness.

The committee discussed referring to people who do not have control over their diets in the recommendations. They were in agreement that even people in care homes or prisons for example should have access to a high fibre diet.

3.2 Have any **other** potential equality issues (in addition to those identified during the scoping process) been identified, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed them?

No

3.3 Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the guideline for consultation, and, if so, where?

These have been referred to in the committees discussion of the evidence (reports signs and symptoms of diverticular disease, referral criteria for acute diverticulitis and management of diverticular disease)

3.4 Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

1.0.7 DOC EIA

3.4 Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No

3.5 Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No

3.6 Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 3.1, 3.2 or 3.3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to advance equality?

No

Completed by Developer _____ Sharon Swain

Date ___ 8th January 2019 _____

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead ___ Chris Carson _____

Date _____ 20th March 2019 _____

1.0.7 DOC EIA

4.0 Final guideline (to be completed by the Developer before GE consideration of final guideline)

4.1 Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed them?

4.2 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

4.3 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

4.4 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to advance equality?

1.0.7 DOC EIA

4.5 Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final guideline, and, if so, where?

Updated by Developer _____

Date _____

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead _____

Date _____

1.0.7 DOC EIA

5.0 After Guidance Executive amendments – if applicable (to be completed by appropriate NICE staff member after Guidance Executive)

5.1 Outline amendments agreed by Guidance Executive below, if applicable:

--

Approved by Developer _____

Date _____

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead _____

Date _____

1.0.7 DOC EIA