Independence and Mental Wellbeing (including social and emotional wellbeing) for older people

Review 1: What are the most effective ways to improve or protect the mental wellbeing and/or independence of older people?

Contents

Executive Summary7
Background7
Aims of the review
Research questions
Methods9
Results
Evidence statements
Cluster 1: Participation in social activities and support11
Evidence Statement 1.1: Multi-component multi-location social support interventions .11
Evidence Statement 1.2: Participation in single location, multi-component activity programmes
Evidence Statement 1.3: Mentoring for older people and signposting to activities12
Evidence Statement 1.4: Educational health promotion interventions delivered by volunteers and peers
Evidence Statement 1.5: Participation in a singing programme14
Evidence Statement 1.6: Using a national arts festival celebrating creativity in older people
Evidence Statement 1.7: Using arts to promote and protect mental and wellbeing15
Evidence Statement 1.8: Support for older caregivers17
Cluster 2: Intergenerational activities and volunteering
Evidence Statement 2.1: School-based intergenerational activities
Evidence Statement 2.2: Intergenerational activities involving children outside of the school setting
Evidence Statement 2.3: Intergenerational activities: volunteering
Evidence Statement 2.4: Intergenerational education interventions to change attitudes of health and social care professionals and the general public
Cluster 3: Friendship programmes
Evidence Statement 3.1: Building friendships23
Cluster 4: Participation in further and continuing education beyond retirement age24
Evidence Statement 4.1 Face to face participation in further and continuing education .24
Evidence statement 4.2: Internet and multi-media delivered education programmes25
Cluster 5: Self management activities
Evidence Statement 5.1 : Group and self-help activities to promote self management ability

Cluster 6: Use of computers and other information and communication technologies26
Evidence Statement 6.1: Training courses on computing and use of the Internet
Evidence statement 6.2: Telephone and internet communication27
Evidence Statement 6.3: ICT interventions for carers
Evidence Statement 6.4: Computer gaming
Abbreviations
Full Report: Introduction
Aims
Review Methods
Inclusion and exclusion criteria
Types of study design
Study population
Types of intervention
Comparators
Types of outcome measure
Exclusion criteria
Table 1: PICO Table on the effectiveness of interventions to improve or protect the mental wellbeing or independence of older people 42
Search strategy
Websites searched 40
Title and abstract screening
Full text screening
Data extraction and quality assessment
Results
Overview of results
Study characteristics
Figure 1: Gender balance in included studies
Figure 2: Reported mean age of study populations
Figure 3: Literature review flow chart
Evidence Statements: what are the most effective and cost effective ways to improve or protect the mental wellbeing and/or independence of older people?
Cluster 1: Participation in social activities and support
Evidence Statement 1.1: Multi-component multi-location social support interventions58

Table 1.1: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 1.1: Multi-component, multi-location interventions
Evidence Statement 1.2: Participation in single location, multi-component activity
programmes
Table 1.2 Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 1.2: Participation in multi- component activity programmes in dedicated spaces for older people
Evidence Statement 1.3: Mentoring for older people and signposting to activities64
Table 1.3: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 1.3: Mentoring
Evidence Statement 1.4: Educational health promotion interventions delivered by volunteers and peers
Table 1.4: Summary Table for Evidence Statement 1.4: Educational health promotinginterventions delivered by volunteers and peers
Evidence Statement 1.5: Participation in a singing programme70
Table 1.5: Characteristics of studies in Evidence Statement 1.5: Participation in singing groups and choirs
Evidence Statement 1.6: Using a national arts festival celebrating creativity in older people
Table 1.6: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 1.6: National Arts Festival celebrating creativity in older people 74
Evidence Statement 1.7: Using arts to promote and protect mental and wellbeing75
Table 1.7 Characteristics of Studies for Evidence Statement 1.7: Using arts and music to promote and protect mental and wellbeing
Evidence Statement 1.8: Support for older caregivers
Table 1.8: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 1.8: Support for caregivers84
Cluster 2: Intergenerational activities and volunteering
Evidence Statement 2.1: School-based intergenerational activities
Table 2.1: Summary of Characteristics for Studies Included in Evidence Statement 1: School-based intergenerational activities
Evidence Statement 2.2: Intergenerational activities involving children outside of the school setting
Table 2.2: Summary of Characteristics for Studies Included in Evidence Statement 2: Intergenerational activities 93
Evidence Statement 2.3: Intergenerational activities and volunteering
Table 2.3: Summary of Characteristics for Studies Included in Evidence Statement 3: Intergenerational activities 97
Evidence Statement 2.4: Intergenerational education interventions for health and social care professionals

Table 2.4: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 2.4: Intergeneration mentoring for health and social care professionals
Cluster 3: Friendship programmes
Evidence Statement 3: Building friendships102
Table 3: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 3: Building friendships103
Cluster 4: Participation in further and continuing education beyond retirement age107
Evidence Statement 4.1 Face to face participation in further and continuing education 107
Table 4.1: Summary Table for Evidence Statement 4.1: Third age educational activities
Evidence statement 4.2: Internet and multi-media delivered education programmes111
Table 4.2: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 4.2: Telephone and internet delivered health education programmes
Cluster 5: Self management activities114
Evidence Statement 5: Group and self-help activities to promote self management ability
Table 5: Summary Table for Evidence Statement 5: Group and individual activities to promote self management ability
Cluster 6: Use of computers and other information and communication technologies117
Evidence Statement 6.1: Training courses on computing and use of the Internet117
Table 6.1: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 6.1: Training courses on computing and the use of the Internet
Evidence statement 6.2: Telephone and internet communication124
Table 6.2: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 6.2: Telephone and internet communication 125
Evidence Statement 6.3: ICT interventions for carers
Table 6.3: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 6.3: ICT interventions for
carers
Evidence Statement 6.4: Computer gaming
Table 6.4: Characteristics of studies in Evidence Statement 6.4: Computer gaming132
Discussion
References137
List of Included Studies
Appendices
Appendix 1: Glossary of terms
Appendix 2: Evidence Tables of Included Studies

Table for Evidence Statements 1.1 to 1.8	167
Table for Evidence Statements 2.1 to 2.4	
Table for Evidence Statement 3.1	
Table for Evidence Statements 4.1 to 4.2	249
Table for Evidence Statement 5.1	
Table for Evidence Statements 6.1 to 6.4	
Appendix 3: Internal and External Validity Checklist	
Appendix 4: Search Strategies	
Appendix 5: Excluded studies	
Health and social care delivered interventions	
No substantive quantitative evaluation	
No intervention for mental wellbeing and independence of older people	
Focused on older people with substantive health and social care needs	
Not focused on the mental wellbeing and independence of older people	
Not focused on retired older people	
Reviews, methods and discussion papers	
Out of Review Scope	
Off topic	

Executive Summary

Background

The mental wellbeing and independence of older adults is a relevant public health issue, with the proportion of the population in the UK over the age of 60 expected to be more than 25% of the population within a generation. Previous research in this area has recognised common mental health promoting factors, as well as protective and risk factors for mental ill-health (such as depressive disorders) among the ageing population. For example, the connection between various aspects of mental well-being and available social resources in later life has been emphasised in previous research ((Nyqvist et al. 2013). The risk of social isolation and loneliness can increase with age and can have a detrimental impact on current and future physical and mental wellbeing and independence (Cattan et al. 2005, Dickens et al. 2011, Shankar et al. 2013). Longitudinal surveys of loneliness across northern Europe suggest that between 8% and 11% of people over the age of 65 perceive themselves to often or always be lonely (Victor and Bowling 2012).

Much of the focus has been on taking action to help support the independence of older people who are already in a fragile state and living with complex chronic health problems and mental disorders such as dementia and depression. Adding to this approach, there is now an increased focus on enhancing healthy and active ageing, including the targeting of intervention to healthy independent older people (Walker & Maltby, 2012). The review focuses on this issue looking at actions to promote and maintain the mental wellbeing and independence of the general healthy older people, rather than focusing on helping those older people who are already living in a state of poor health.

The review focuses on mental wellbeing rather than mental disorders and it is clear that mental well-being is a complex subjective state with no one single agreed definition of this concept. Instead, there is a variety of dimensions that have been highlighted in the literature, as well as a diversity of tools to measure these dimensions. Because of the contested nature of the mental well-being concept, the lack of an in-depth discussion on its implications for older people, and the diverse measurements used to assess it, this review aim to adopt a pragmatic approach by including any initiative or intervention that targets and aims to measure the positive end of the mental health spectrum, including life satisfaction, quality of life, happiness, mastery, empowerment, capability and positive functioning, as well as social resources.

Aims of the review

The aims of the review therefore are to assess the effectiveness of interventions to improve the mental wellbeing and/or independence of people aged 65 and over, who are communitydwelling and do not have substantial health and social care needs.

A broad range of activities fall within the scope of the review, including interventions to raise awareness of the importance of older peoples' mental wellbeing and independence, as well as to improve knowledge of information and support on access to services to support mental wellbeing and independence among professionals, older people, their carers, families and the wider community. Actions to promote and maintain the social networks of older people, including the use of new communication technologies as important tools for health promoting initiatives are covered as well as psychological interventions delivered to promote mental wellbeing by, but not the use of these interventions for the treatment of mental disorders.

Research questions

The overarching question addressed in this review is

1. What are the most effective ways to improve or protect the mental wellbeing and/or independence of older people?

Supplemental questions that are addressed focus on specific types of intervention and/or target population groups. In some cases the relevant target groups are not older people but those that come into contact with them such as any paid or unpaid carers, as well as health and social care professionals.

Methods

A systematic literature review of effectiveness evidence to address the above review questions was undertaken. A wide range of databases was searched systematically, supplemented by identification of grey literature and snowballing of citations in papers identified through the electronic review. Screening of titles and abstracts was conducted independently in duplicate. Data was extracted by one reviewer and checked by a second with disagreements resolved as a group. Searches were carried out in March and April 2014 to identify relevant studies in the English language published between 2003 and 2013 inclusive. Additionally, relevant studies were identified through citation tracking of included papers and snowballing of references identified. All intervention studies of any design were included and from any country context.

Results

86 studies were included in this review covering a number of different types of activity, and 20 evidence statements related to 6 identified themes have been drafted. 9 papers from the UK covering 7 studies, as well as 2 from the Republic of Ireland have been included in this analysis but most of the interventions and activities discussed are feasible to implement in a UK context. The interventions come from many different countries around the world, but are dominated by US set studies. The majority of interventions identified are associated with actions to improve or protect mental wellbeing. There is less focus in the review specifically on interventions to maintain independence, perhaps because many interventions in this area are targeted at individuals who are already at risk of a loss of independence because they are already living with poor physical and mental health. The discussion section of this review also notes a number of different studies which have fallen outwith the scope of this review for various reasons including this focus on people already living in poor health, as well as a lack of measurement of impacts on positive mental wellbeing. Nonetheless potentially these excluded studies provide further examples of actions to help promote mental wellbeing and independence of older people, given that they use similar types of intervention to those that have been identified.

The review indicates that there is promising evidence, albeit often from weak study designs, that various forms of social resources are beneficial for maintaining the mental well-being and independence of healthy older people. These include improving access to social contacts

and networks and participation in social activities, including various arts and cultural activities, initiatives to sign post individuals to activities and friendship building programmes. Volunteering, which may be intergenerational in nature, for instance working with children and young people, is another area where evidence has been identified. Intergenerational activities have been seen as one way of reducing isolation, while at the same time they can also help to challenge negative attitudes towards older people and ageing in society. Participation in university and other education beyond retirement age is another potential intervention. Some of these educational activities can be delivered remotely, for instance over the internet. More generally there is also an evidence base looking at the potential role that can be played by information communication technologies in enhancing mental wellbeing and independence. These types of activity can be broken down into two broad categories training and support to make use of new technologies such as computers, the internet and other devices like gaming consoles and tablets - and communication making use of different technologies including the telephone. The review found little specifically on tackling ageism and effective ways of identifying at risk groups, nor information on effective ways of commissioning services, although it can be noted that potentially useful material in this respect has been flagged up for a second review on barriers and facilitators to promotion of mental wellbeing and independence. It is also clear from the evidence statements and the detailed tables in the appendix that a great number of different outcome measures are used to look at mental wellbeing and independence, making it impossible to produce any meaningful type of meta- analysis. Another noteworthy finding of this review is that most of the study populations are heavily dominated by women; only two of the 85 studies included more men than women.

The evidence statements that follow have been clustered around six suggested themes, with each theme containing a number of evidence statements. The very broad set of interventions that are described here are not always easy to cluster and it should be recognised that these might be grouped in different ways to those set out here, and some potentially are overlapping. The clusters used are:

- 1. Participation in social activities and support
- 2. Intergenerational activities and volunteering
- 3. Friendship programmes
- 4. Participation in further and continuing education beyond retirement age
- 5. Self management activities

6. Use of computers and other information and communication technologies

Evidence statements

Cluster 1: Participation in social activities and support

Evidence Statement 1.1: Multi-component multi-location social support interventions

There is inconsistent evidence from three studies on the effectiveness of multicomponent interventions on the mental wellbeing and independence of older people: 1 RCT, 1 quasi experimental study, 1 exploratory uncontrolled before and after study (Saito 2012 +, Honigh-de Vlaming 2013 +, Bartlett 2013 -). Moderate evidence from a multi-component intervention targeted at older migrants in Japan (**Saito et al. 2012** +, **RCT, Japan**) reported a significant positive effect on subjective well-being (p =0.039), social support (p=0.013) and loneliness (p = 0.011).

One Dutch study found moderate evidence that a multi-component healthy ageing programme, including a mass media and information campaign, had a positive impact on loneliness literacy. (Honigh-de Vlaming 2013 +, quasi-experimental study, Netherlands). At 2 year follow-up, the intervention group scored more favourably than controls on loneliness literacy subscales: (relative effect size -4.4%, p<0.05) perceived social support mean scores (relative effect size -8.2% p<0.05) and subjective norm mean scores (relative effect size -11.5%, p<0.05). However there was no significant impact on loneliness or actual social support levels at two-year follow up

One weak exploratory uncontrolled pilot Australian study examining different multifaceted programmes (including fitness and arts programmes, community forums, a volunteer buddy system and culturally appropriate volunteers showed no impact on loneliness and social support (**Bartlett 2013 -, UBA, Australia**).

Although these studies were conducted outside of the UK, multi component healthy ageing initiatives are available in the UK; the applicability of programmes would

need to be assessed on a case by case basis. All of these interventions were targeted at healthy older people, although some components of programmes were targeted at people with mild levels of depression.

Evidence Statement 1.2: Participation in single location, multi-component activity programmes

There is consistent evidence from 2 weak small studies (Mehta 2004 -, Rosenbaum 2009 -) to indicate that there may be benefits to mental wellbeing associated with the participation of older people in multiple activities that are organised in fixed locations, such as cafes and older people's activity centres. One potential additional limitation was the low rate of participation of men in these programmes.

Rosenbaum et al 2009 -, UBA, USA reported that 30% of customers surveyed at a not for profit café offering activities such as weight-lifting, yoga, art, computer classes and volunteering opportunities, experienced restoration (a reduction in mental fatigue and an improvement in mental wellbeing). Individuals who volunteered in the café were more likely to have high levels of restoration than those that did not achieve restoration) P<0.001). **Mehta 2004, -, exploratory, Singapore** looked at the psychological well-being of 12 older adults aged 60 and older who participated in many different activities at a senior centre activity programme. Life satisfaction and happiness improved in people who had attended for more than 18 months there was no improvement in people who had attended for less than 6 months (new members). (No statistical analysis reported).

While both of these studies are from outside the UK these types of multi-component interventions can be seen in a UK context.

Evidence Statement 1.3: Mentoring for older people and signposting to activities

There is inconsistent evidence on the mental well-being benefits to older people receiving mentoring support, including signposting to activities and services from

trained adult volunteers in 1 uncontrolled before and after study and 1 nonrandomised controlled study (Greaves 2006 -, Dickens +).

There is weak evidence in the UK from (**Greaves 2006 -**, **UBA**, **UK**). This **study** reported that mentoring by trained adult volunteers led to significant improvements in reported levels of social support at 12 month follow up (p=0.02) and in mental health at 6 month follow up (P <0.005), but at 12 month follow up these improvements were no longer significant. Moderate evidence from one larger scale non-randomised controlled study of the same intervention (**Dickens 2011 +**, **NRCT**, **UK**) at 6 month follow up reported no impact on mental wellbeing and no evidence of any difference in social support outcomes with the exception of one measure, 'getting along with others' which deteriorated in the intervention group.

Both studies were conducted in the UK; it should be noted that in both evaluations the study population had poorer mental health and physical health status than the general population of older people. The interventions may also have been implemented in an inconsistent way by different community mentors which may also have impacted on outcomes.

Evidence Statement 1.4: Educational health promotion interventions delivered by volunteers and peers

There is weak evidence from two uncontrolled before and after studies (Collins et al 2006 -, Malekafzali 2010 -, that volunteer and peer delivered educational health promotion programmes can positively benefit the mental wellbeing and social participation of older people.

Collins and Benedict 2006 (-), UBA, USA evaluated the effectiveness of an educational health promotion intervention delivered to 339 people (mean age 73.20) at day centres for older people and retirement housing villages in Nevada, USA. There were significant improvements in Mastery Scale scores (t= 12.08, df = 323, p <0.001). Loneliness also decreased (t = 29.20, df = 329, p < 0.001).

Malekafzali et al. 2010 -, UBA, Iran assessed the effectiveness of community volunteer delivered health promotion knowledge to 101 older people (59% aged between 60-and 69 and 41% aged 70 plus) in the community through different mechanisms including home visits and face to face education events and referrals to physicians. After 9 months there were significant increases in women aged 70 and older, not being worried about the future (p=0.004), and more women aged 60-69 being happy most of the time (p=0.01).Happiness also improved for men (p=0.05) and there was a significant increase in participation in group activities and clubs among women (p=0.00).

While these programmes are delivered outside of the UK, health promoting initiatives delivered by volunteers can be implemented in a UK context. The majority of participants in both studies were women, less is known about their impact on men.

Evidence Statement 1.5: Participation in a singing programme

There is evidence from four studies on the impact on mental wellbeing of participating in choirs and other singing groups. There is strong evidence from **Coulton et al 2015** (++), **pilot RCT**, **UK** that participation in a 14-week professionally led community choir group has a positive impact on mental wellbeing. 131 of 258 people over the age of 60 (mean age 69.2, 84% female, 98% white) were allocated to singing groups with the remainder in a waiting-list control group. At 6 month follow up there was a significant improvement in SF-12 mental health component scores of 2.35 p<0.01 for the intervention group compared to the control group.

There is moderate evidence from **Cohen et al 2006, 2007** (+), **quasi-experimental study, USA,** on the positive impact of regular participation in a professionally conducted choral group on the mental wellbeing of 90 community dwelling older people (mean age 79, 78% female, 92% White). At 12 month follow up a significant difference in morale was seen with less deterioration in the intervention group t (125) = -1.92; p<0.06. This was maintained at 2 year follow up (Cohen et al 2007 +). The comparison group also reported a more significant decrease in weekly activity than

the intervention group t (140) = -4.62; p<0.01.

There is weak evidence from an eight-week singing programme (**Davidson 2013, -, UBA, Australia**) that participation in a singing group was not associated with statistically significant improvements in positive mental health or reductions in loneliness.

One of these programmes evaluated (Coulton et al 2015 [++]) is delivered in the UK in more than 40 locations; other voluntary sector delivered group singing programmes are also found in the UK

Evidence Statement 1.6: Using a national arts festival celebrating creativity in older people

There is weak evidence from an exploratory study in the Republic of Ireland that evaluated a national arts festival attracting 100,000 people called Bealtaine, that celebrated creativity in older people each year (O'Shea et al 2012, -, exploratory and qualitative, Ireland). Nearly 90 % of participants found that participation in Bealtaine improved their quality of life, as well as encouraged their personal development in terms of enhanced learning and organisational skills. Furthermore, more than 90% of older participants reported in surveys that social contacts were increased and over 80% said that they had better engagement with the local community.

Such an arts festival could be implemented in a UK context; arts and health projects for older people, including cultural events, have been delivered in the UK.

Evidence Statement 1.7: Using arts to promote and protect mental and wellbeing

There is consistent moderate evidence from 10 papers covering 9 studies (Bedding 2008 -, de Medeiros 2011 +, Eyigor 2009 +, Creech 2013/Hallam 2014 +, Haslam 2014 -, Lee 2010 ++, Seinfeld 2013 +, Sole 2010 -, Travers 2011-,) supporting a

range of different art and music related interventions in promoting and protecting the mental wellbeing of older people. These studies are in addition to the evidence seen on participation in professional choirs seen in evidence statement 1.5 and participating in an arts festival in evidence statement 1.6.

There is evidence from Lee 2010 ++, RCT, Hong Kong. This explored the effects of a music listening intervention using MP3 players on the quality of life of 70 community dwelling older adults (mean age 76) reporting significant improvements in vitality, social functioning, emotional role and mental health after 4 weeks (p<0.006). Travers and Bartlett 2011 (-), UBA, Australia which looked at the impact of a nostalgic radio station on older listeners mood (mean age 79), loneliness and quality of life. While there were no significant changes in loneliness or social isolation, there were significant improvements on the Quality of Life- Alzheimer Disease scale. Haslam and colleagues (2014) (-), RCT, Canada examined the effectiveness of novel forms of song-based reminiscence compared to story reminiscence for 40 people (mean age 85.5 to 88.5 in 3 groups). There were significant increases in life satisfaction after 6 weeks: secular singing group (p=0.005), religious song group (p=0.018) and story reminiscence groups (p=0.01).

Creech 2013/Hallam 2014 +, **quasi experimental study**, **UK** explored how participation in making music might support the social, emotional and cognitive wellbeing of older people. Findings suggest those actively engaged in making music exhibit higher levels of wellbeing than those engaged in other group activities (effect sizes ranging from 0.11 to 0.19). **Seinfeld 2013** +, **quasi-experimental, Spain** evaluated the impact of weekly piano lessons and daily training on cognitive function, mood and quality of life in 13 older adults (60+). Quality of life outcomes increased compared to controls but the study was not powered to test statistical significance.

Sole et al 2010 (-), before and after controlled study, Spain, examined the impact of different types of music activities (choral singing, music appreciation classes and preventive music therapy) on quality of life of 83 healthy older adults (83% women, mean age 72.6). Non-significant improvements in new friendships, self-satisfaction, perceived usefulness and optimism were seen in all three groups. Eyigor et al (2009)

(-), **RCT**, **Turkey** examined the impacts of group-based Turkish folklore dance for healthy women aged 65 and over. Over 8 weeks, there was a significant improvement in mental health in the dance group (p<0.05). There were no significant differences in vitality, social functioning and emotional role.

de Medeiros et al. 2011 (+), **RCT, US** assessed the effectiveness of a structured autobiographical writing workshop on autobiographical memory, mood and self-concept in older adults. 51 older adults (age range from 67–96 years) were randomly assigned to one of three groups: an autobiographical writing workshop and two control groups – a reminiscence group or a no-treatment control group. Findings indicated that self-ratings of overall well-being decreased over time across groups, but the authors did not believe that the study had a detrimental impact on participants.

In a small qualitative study **Bedding and Sadlo (2008),-, exploratory pilot study, UK** 6 older retirees (aged 65 to 84) were interviewed about their experiences in community art classes. The participants described painting as enjoyable, rewarding, satisfying and relaxing. It brought a sense of achievement and boosted their confidence and helped them to manage negative emotions. It also helped to socialise with other people as a social club.

All of these music and art interventions potentially could be delivered or adapted for delivery to a UK context.

Evidence Statement 1.8: Support for older caregivers

There is mixed quality but consistent evidence from 7 studies: 1 RCT, 2 non randomised controlled studies 2 uncontrolled before and after studies, 1 exploratory uncontrolled pilot study, 1 uncontrolled before and after study and 1 cross-sectional survey (Boise 2005 -, Duscharme 2012 +, Duscharme 2011 -, Greenfield 2012 + , Mui 2013 -, Savundranayagam 2011 -, Won 2008 -) that psychosocial educational interventions delivered through a variety of programmes to support older people who have informal family caregiving responsibilities, largely when caring with for people

with dementia, can promote or protect their mental wellbeing. In addition a feasibility study on the use of music therapy to help family caregivers with relaxation, comfort and happiness suggests this intervention merits further evaluation Hanser et al 2011 (-).

Ducharme 2011, -, before and after controlled study, Canada (-) and **Duscharme 2012** (+), **RCT, Canada** evaluated the effectiveness of a psychoeducational programme that can be delivered by lay people to help new caregivers adapt to their new role. In the 2011 study following intervention caregivers had significantly improved confidence in dealing with caregiving situations (P<0.001) and better self-efficacy (P<0.001). In the 2012 study caregivers had improved confidence in their ability to care (P<005) while improvements in self efficacy tended to significance (P<0.06).

Boise et al 2005 (-), UBA, USA that also evaluated an educational programme to empower family caregivers, reporting significant positive changes (in the desired direction) in emotional well-being at initial follow up and 6 months later.

Savundranayagam et al 2011 (-), before and after controlled study, USA looking at the same programme found significantly lower levels of stress burden and objective burden at 6 weeks in the intervention group (unquantified). **Won 2008 (-), uncontrolled before and after, US** found significant improvements in caregivers psychological wellbeing (p<0.001).

Hanser et al 2011 (-), uncontrolled pilot feasibility study, USA looked at a different type of intervention: the impact of a caregiver-administered music programme for family members who have dementia in an exploratory feasibility study. Caregivers rated an improvement in their own relaxation, comfort and happiness following the use of the music programme.

Mui 2013 (-), uncontrolled exploratory study, US which provided support for Chinese caregivers and a survey analysis by **Greenfield 2012+**, US of the impacts on caregivers of participating in volunteer and education programmes also found improvements in self reported mental wellbeing (both unquantified). Although these studies were all conducted outside of the UK, the interventions could be delivered in a UK context and one of the manualised support programmes for caregivers is being trialled in a UK context.

Cluster 2: Intergenerational activities and volunteering

Evidence Statement 2.1: School-based intergenerational activities

There is moderate consistent evidence on the effectiveness of school-based intergenerational social activities linking children and young people with older people in improving the mental wellbeing of older people from 3 studies, 1 RCT, 1 quasi-experimental study and 1 qualitative study (de Souza 2007 ++, Fuijiwara 2009 +, Herrmann et al 2005 +).

One RCT (**de Souza 2007**, ++, **RCT**, **Brazil**) of 266 older people (149 group participants and 117 controls) indicates that intergenerational small group-based activities led by teachers and delivered in the school setting can lead to improved family relationships 4 months after intervention (p=0.03). One controlled before and after study (**Fujiwara 2009** +, **CBA**, **Japan**) found evidence that intergenerational contact, involving older volunteers reading to children enlarged the social contacts of older people with non-related children (p<0.001). Further, there is evidence from a quasi experimental study (**Herrmann 2005** +, **quasi-experimental**, **US**), involving 66 older people trained to provide life-skills training to high-school students. This study reported improved psychosocial development.

All of these studies were conducted in settings outside of the UK making it difficult to assess their applicability as a whole to a UK context, but intergenerational activities

involving older adults volunteering in schools can be found in a UK context.

Evidence Statement 2.2: Intergenerational activities involving children outside of the school setting.

There is weak but positive evidence on the effectiveness of intergenerational social activities involving young children interacting with older people outside of the school setting in improving the mental wellbeing of older people in 3 studies (Kamei 2011 -, Marx 2005 - and Morita 2013 -).

Kamei et al. 2011 (-), quasi-experimental study, Japan evaluated the effects of the intergenerational interactions between older women (average age 75.6) and school-aged children as part of an intergenerational day program (IDP) which included a range of intergenerational group activities, such as communication facilitation games and handicrafts. In terms of health-related quality of life at 3 months and 6 months post programme compared to a separate volunteer group the older adults had significantly improved mental health (F [2.26] = 4.00, p= 0.030).

There is evidence from an observational study (**Morita 2013 -, uncontrolled observational study, Japan**) of an intergenerational program targeting preschool children and older adults that intergenerational conversation was significantly higher in the socially-oriented programme group (i.e. the participants playing games together) than in the performance-based programme group (i.e. children singing or dancing; p<0.001, no specific figures provided)

Marx et al 2005 (-), quasi experimental study, USA examined the usefulness of an intergenerational email pen-pals programme and an intergenerational face-to- face visiting programme for community dwelling older adults aged 80 to 86. At post-test after 6 months, regarding social network outcomes, 26% of those in the email pen-pal programme stated that they would like to continue to contact their pen-pals, while 74% were not interested.

All of these studies were conducted in settings outside of the UK making it difficult to

assess their applicability as a whole to a UK context. Two of the studies were set in Japan where cultural values, including Confucianism, mean that children are taught to place value and respect on their elders, something that may not have the same resonance in the UK.

Evidence Statement 2.3: Intergenerational activities: volunteering

There is weak but consistent evidence from 5 studies that intergenerational social activities that involve volunteering by older people can be effective; 1 quasi-experimental study, 3 exploratory studies and 1 qualitative study (Bernard 2011 -, Cook 2013 -, Mui 2013 -, Power 2007 -, Scott 2003 -).

Bernard 2011, - (exploratory mixed methods, Canada) examining the effects of an intergenerational telementoring program reported positive behaviour changes for older mentors in terms of their self-confidence, self-expression, enjoyment and self-efficacy. **Mui 2013 – (exploratory uncontrolled pilot study, US)** used a survey to explore the effect of a programme training older Chinese immigrants to provide emotional support and coping skills over the telephone – in Mandarin or Cantonese at least once per week to other older Chinese caregivers. All volunteers felt empowered and happier, while 67% felt better about themselves.

Cook 2013, - (uncontrolled exploratory before and after study, UK) looked at the impact on loneliness and mental wellbeing of 30 older volunteers who were trained and supported to establish hen houses and then deliver hen-related activities to less able older people, friends/relatives, care staff/managers and school children. There was a significant increase in wellbeing at 9 month follow up (p<0.000) but no significant change in loneliness.

There is also evidence from a quasi-experimental study used to look at how volunteering impacted on the levels of generativity in people over the age of 60 (Scott 2003 -, quasi experimental study, USA). 53 volunteers were compared with 29 non volunteering older people. Although volunteers had a relatively high mean level of generativity, the only significant differences (p < .05) were found to be between

volunteers involved in various miscellaneous tasks (who had the highest levels of generativity), on the one hand, and those involved in the delivery of meals as well as the non-volunteer groups (who were the two lowest groups on generativity).

In the USA, in a very small qualitative study **Power 2007 et al (-), qualitative ethnographic study, USA** looked at the impact of volunteering to provide help to adopted and fostered children and/or younger generations for 6 hours per week in return for a rent reduction. Interviews with the 2 participants indicated that intergenerational action brightened up their lives, raised their spirits, helped them to find purpose of life and increased their sense of self-worth.

The Cook 2013 (-) study was implemented in the UK. All of the other studies were conducted in settings outside of the UK making it difficult to assess their applicability to a UK context. It may be difficult to replicate the planned community to support adopted and fostered children in the Power study in a UK context.

Evidence Statement 2.4: Intergenerational education interventions to change attitudes of health and social care professionals and the general public

There is weak evidence from one Canadian study (**Basran 2010, - uncontrolled before and after study, Canada**) that an intergenerational educational intervention can help improve the attitudes of medical students towards healthy older people and tackle some of the stereotyping and myths around ageing in the short term. Attitudes scores significantly improved p <0.01 following intervention, but this effect was only partially maintained one year later. There is also weak evidence from (**Hernandez 2008, quasi experimental study, Spain, -**) that the attitudes of university student towards older people change positively following an intergenerational learning programme.

Potentially these types of intervention could be implemented in the UK.

Cluster 3: Friendship programmes

Evidence Statement 3.1: Building friendships

There is consistent moderate evidence from six papers reporting results from five evaluations (Lawlor 2014 ++, Martina 2006 +, Martina 2012 + Stevens 2006 +, Pope 2013 -, Butler 2006 -) that friendship programmes can enhance various aspects of older peoples' mental wellbeing and address issues of loneliness and isolation.

In Ireland Lawlor et al. 2014 (++) used a RCT study to evaluate a brief peer volunteer visiting programme for community dwelling older adults. Loneliness was significantly lower in the intervention group at 3-month follow-up (p=0.003). One quasi experimental study in two papers (Martina 2006 +, Martina 2012 +, quasi-experimental, Netherlands) found significant increases in the number of friends for the intervention group (all women) participating in a Friendship Programme compared to the control group (χ 2=9.569, p<0.005), as well as significant improvements in subjective wellbeing. Another study which combined intervention and control group data from two earlier case controlled studies, as well as in comparison to data from a national survey, (Stevens et al., 2006 +, quasi experimental, Netherlands) using regression analyses corroborated these findings. Regression analysis also predicted that that improvement in friendship would be associated with a decrease in loneliness two years later p<0.001.

Pope, 2013 -, UBA, US, - in a church based programme bringing together representatives of different parishes reported significant improvements in tangible social support at 1 year follow up [F(1,88) = 11.22, p = 0.0012]. Another uncontrolled study (**Butler 2006, -, US**) looked at a social support programme run by volunteers who were older people themselves. While social network and loneliness scores were good the study design meant it was not possible determine if this was due to the intervention.

Although these studies were all conducted outside of the UK, the interventions, most notably those in Ireland and the Netherlands, potentially could be delivered in a UK

Cluster 4: Participation in further and continuing education beyond retirement age

Evidence Statement 4.1 Face to face participation in further and continuing education

There is weak evidence supporting educational programmes targeted at older adults in university settings from 5 studies: 3 quasi-experimental studies (Arkoff 2004 –, Fernandez-Ballesteros 2012 + and Fernandez-Ballesteros 2013 +) and 2 uncontrolled before and after studies (Portero 2007 + and Orte 2007-).

Arkoff et al 2004, quasi experimental, USA, - looked at the effectiveness of a life review programme at a university based Academy of Life Long Learning. After a 14 weeks period there were significant improvements in wellbeing (P<0.05). There were no significant changes in the comparison group.

One quasi-experimental study (Fernadez Ballesteros et al, 2012, Spain +) for another university based programme was associated with improvements in positive (p=0.008) and negative affect (p=0.039) compared to a control group. Impacts on negative affect were replicated in when this programme was expanded to three other countries **Fernandez-Ballesteros et al 2013** +, **quasi experimental study, Spain, Chile, Mexico and Cuba**.

Portero, 2007, UBA +, Spain, found statistically significant increases in the level of subjective psychological well-being for students on a 'Third Age' university programme (p<0.000). Another study **Orte 2007 -, UBA, Spain**) found that participation in mainstream university classes by older people led to a significant increase in the number of new relationships (p<0.001).

These studies were conducted outside of the UK, predominantly used by retired people between the ages of 55 and 70 and had a formal academic nature. In principle

the interventions identified in this review could be implemented in a UK context. Third age educational activities have a long tradition in the UK, including both academically oriented learning, as well as learning primarily for enjoyment.

Evidence statement 4.2: Internet and multi-media delivered education programmes

There is weak but consistent evidence from 4 studies on positive benefits for mental wellbeing as a result of older people participating in educational activities through the internet and other electronic media (Fernandez Ballesteros 2004 -, Fernandez Ballesteros 2005a - Fernandez Ballesteros 2005b -, Caprara 2013 -).

Fernandez-Ballesteros et al 2004 -, controlled before and after study, Spain looked at the impact of a multi-media education programme on the wellbeing of older people. Life improved significantly p=0.005. The study was later extended to compare the intervention with a traditional face to face version of the course delivered at a university (**Fernandez Ballesteros 2005a, uncontrolled before and after study, Spain**). The face to face version tended towards an improvement in life satisfaction but this was not significant p=0.11.

Caprara et al -, 2013 before and after controlled study, Chile, Cuba, Mexico and Spain and Fernandez-Ballesteros 2005b -, before and after controlled study, Spain also described two evaluations of video multi-media programme and traditional educational programme delivered in university to older people. Significantly better life satisfaction in participants receiving the multi-media course in the Caprara et al - 2013 study were seen but there was no impact in Fernandez-Ballesteros 2005 -.

These studies were conducted outside of the UK and involved formal structured academic education and were used by older people with a mean age of 70. Educational activities, including the use of distance learning techniques, open to people of all ages, including video and multimedia, have a long tradition in the UK. Therefore in principle these interventions could be implemented in a UK context.

Cluster 5: Self management activities

Evidence Statement 5.1 : Group and self-help activities to promote self management ability

There is moderate evidence from 2 studies (Frieswijk 2006 +, Kremers 2006 +) that group and self-help activities to promote self management ability (SMA) can have a positive impact on the mental wellbeing of older people in the short term but this is not sustained.

Frieswijk et al 2006 (+), **randomised study with wait list control, Netherlands** found that a self administered bibliotherapy course significantly improved the ability of slight to moderately frail community dwelling older people to self-manage (P<0.05). Subjective wellbeing measured was significantly higher at the end of the 10 week course (P<0.05) compared to controls (P<0.05)but this significant difference in effect was not sustained at 6 month follow up.

Kremers et al 2006 (+), **RCT**, **Netherlands** found that self-management group intervention led to significantly improved self management ability at the end of the six week course. (P<0.05). At six month follow up the difference between groups was no longer significant. In regression analysis it was shown that the intervention was associated with higher wellbeing scores at the end of six weeks but with no significant differences at six months.

These interventions could be delivered in a UK context.

Cluster 6: Use of computers and other information and communication technologies

Evidence Statement 6.1: Training courses on computing and use of the Internet

There is inconsistent evidence on the effectiveness of training courses in improving mental wellbeing and independence in older people from 13 papers covering 9 studies: 4 RCTs (Slegers 2007/2008/2012 ++) (White 2002 +) (Lagana 2013+) (Woodward 2011/13 -) , 2 quasi-experimental studies (Shapira 2007 + (Fitzpatrick 2003-) and three uncontrolled studies (Blazun 2012 -) (Campbell 2004 -) (Campbell 2005 -). In one well conducted RCT study

(Slegers 2007/2008/2012, RCT, ++, Netherlands) no significant impact on wellbeing or loneliness was found suggesting that training courses may not have an impact. Another study (Lagana 2013, RCT +, US) also showed no significant difference in wellbeing in terms of self-esteem and perceived control.

There is moderate evidence from 3 studies (Shapira 2007, quasi-experimental +, Israel; Blazun 2012, exploratory -; Slovenia and White 2002, RCT+, US) that computer training reduces levels of loneliness. There is also evidence from preliminary findings of an ongoing RCT (Cotten 2013, RCT, USA, -) that internet use is associated with lower levels of loneliness.

There is weak evidence from one RCT conducted in the US (**Woodward 2011-, US**) (n=83) showing no significant changes in social networks, perceived social support and loneliness, and quality of life. An exploratory follow up study also did not find any significant changes in social networks, social support and loneliness (**Woodward 2013 – US**).

(Fitzpatrick 2003 -, exploratory US) did not provide sufficient information to judge effectiveness. (Campbell 2004 - and Campbell 2005, -, uncontrolled exploratory studies, US reported reductions in computer related anxiety and an increase in internal locus of control respectively, but they did not provide sufficient information on wellbeing.

All studies are potentially applicable to the UK context. The evaluated interventions mainly targeted community-dwelling older adults and were applying standard technological equipment.

Evidence statement 6.2: Telephone and internet communication

There is consistent weak evidence from seven papers covering six studies on the potential positive impacts of the use of different forms of telephone and internet communication on independence and mental wellbeing (Cornejo 2013 a,b –,Bernard 2011 -, Mountain 2014 ++, Newall 2013 -, Larsson 2013 -, Jimison 2013 -).

(Mountain 2014 ++, RCT, UK) in a well designed pilot study evaluated the effects of

telephone-based befriending on health-related quality of life and subjective wellbeing among older people. The evaluation showed results that favoured the intervention but differences between the groups were non-significant and the study ended prematurely due to difficulties in recruiting befrienders. (**Newall 2013 -, exploratory, Canada**) looking at access to support via internet or telephone communication found no statistically significant mental wellbeing but concluded it could be promising in providing the older adults at risk for social isolation with meaningful social contacts.

Larsson 2013 -, uncontrolled observational study, Sweden in a very small study explored the effects of a small programme to promote social activities based on the internet. The number of social contacts increased and most participants reported improved independence when they used social internet based activities.

Jimison et al 2013 - uncontrolled feasibility study, US in a very small scale uncontrolled feasibility study looked at the use of Skype and webcam plus laptops as part of an interactive but largely automated health coaching initiative to encourage socialisation and communication in community dwelling older people. This indicated that the participants did regularly use Skype with new friendships developing.

(**Bernard 2011, -, exploratory mixed methods, Canada**) examined the effects of an intergenerational telementoring programme. Positive behaviour changes in the areas of: self-confidence, self-expression, enjoyment and self-efficacy were reported.

Cornejo 2013a,b -, uncontrolled before and after study, Mexico) in a very small scale study involving two older people and their immediate and extended families evaluated the impact of a situated display interface (a computer screen within a picture frame. Qualitative data indicate the older adults became engaged with the social network activities of their relatives and had new offline conversations and meetings.

It would be feasible to implement all of these studies in a UK context.

Evidence Statement 6.3: ICT interventions for carers

There is inconsistent evidence from three uncontrolled studies (Torp 2008 +, Torp 2013 -, Dow 2008 -) on the effectiveness of information and communication technologies in improving the mental wellbeing and independence of older informal carers. There is evidence from one study (**Torp 2008 +, Norway**) that computer classes for carers were effective in improving the social contacts and sense of support for spousal carers who had caring responsibilities with their family and friends. Another, largely qualitative study, **Torp 2013 (-**), **observational study, Norway**) reported that most older carers made use of ICT-based interventions to establish and sustain contact with informal peer support networks. Addressing the issue of social isolation in older carers living in rural areas, Dow 2008 (-), Australia) used a computer training intervention to develop basic computer skills, using email and the internet to improve the carers' mental wellbeing. Although results indicated a reduction in depressive symptoms and loneliness, no statistical evidence for the effectiveness of this intervention was provided.

All three of these studies are potentially applicable to the UK context. The interventions used were targeted at older informal carers in the community setting and in one study specifically focusing on the population of rural carers.

Evidence Statement 6.4: Computer gaming

There is weak evidence from two US studies (**Studenski 2010, -, Kahlbaugh 2011, -**) on positive mental health outcomes for older people who make use of computer gaming devices. There is weak evidence from one unblinded and controlled study (**Studenski 2010, UBA, USA -**) that participation in interactive computer video dance games led to a significant improvement in positive self-reported mental wellbeing. There is weak evidence from an uncontrolled before and after study (**Kahlbaugh 2011, UBA, USA -**) that playing computer simulation games such as the Wii also increased positive mood. The two studies are potentially applicable to the UK contexts.

Abbreviations

AOK	Ando-Osada-Kodama Loneliness Scale
AWW	Autobiographical writing workshop
СВА	Controlled before and after study
ICT	Information and Communication Technology
LSI-A	Life Satisfaction Scale-A
LSNS-R	Lubben Social Network Scale-Revised (LSNS-R),
MOSS	Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey
NRCT	Non-randomised controlled trial
PANAS	Positive and Negative Affect Scale
RCT	Randomised controlled trial
SD	Standard Deviation
SE	Standard Error
UBA	Uncontrolled before and after study
UCLA	University of California, Los Angeles
UK	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
WEMWEBS	Warwick Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale
WHOQOL-BREF	World Health Organisation Quality of Life - BREF

Full Report: Introduction

The mental wellbeing and independence of older adults is a relevant public health issue. Life expectancy in the UK at age 65 has risen steadily for men and women from 13.0 and 16.9 years respectively in the period 1980-1982 to 18.2 and 20.7 years in 2010-2012 (Office for National Statistics 2014) In the 2011 census 16% of the population were over the age of 65 (Office for National Statistics 2011); this is expected to rise to 23.5% in 2034. (Office for National Statistics 2013, Age UK 2014).

Previous research in this area has recognised common mental health promoting factors, as well as protective and risk factors for mental ill-health (such as depressive disorders) among the ageing population. For example, the connection between various aspects of mental wellbeing and available social resources in later life has been emphasised in previous research (see for example (Nyqvist et al. 2013). The risk of social isolation and loneliness can increase with age and can have a detrimental impact on current and future physical and mental wellbeing and independence (Cattan et al. 2005, Dickens et al. 2011, Shankar et al. 2013). Longitudinal surveys of loneliness across northern Europe suggest that between 8% and 11% of people over the age of 65 perceive themselves to often or always be lonely (Victor and Bowling 2012).

However much of the focus has been on taking action to help support the independence of older people who are already in a fragile state and living with complex chronic health problems and mental disorders such as dementia and depression. There is now an increased greater focus on healthy ageing and active ageing, including the targeting of intervention to healthy independent older people. The review focuses on this issue looking at actions to promote and maintain the mental wellbeing and independence of the general healthy older people, rather than focusing on helping those older people who are already living in a state of poor health.

Our focus is on mental wellbeing rather than mental disorders and it is clear that mental wellbeing is a complex subjective state with no one single agreed definition of this concept. Instead, there is a variety of dimensions that have been highlighted in the literature, as well as a diversity of tools to measure these dimensions. For instance, the World Health Organization (WHO) defines mental health as 'a state of well-being in which the individual realizes his or her own abilities, can cope with the normal stresses of life, can work productively and fruitfully, and is able to make a contribution to his or her community' (WHO, 2001). Further, six criteria for positive mental health have been suggested (Jahoda, 1958; Ryff, 1989). According to Ryff (1989), positive psychological functioning can be identified as self-acceptance, positive relations with others, autonomy, environmental mastery, purpose in life, and personal growth. These theory-guided dimensions are often referred to as 'psychological well-being' and have shown to be a component of overall well-being (Keyes, Shmotkin & Ryff, 2002; Ryff & Keyes, 1995). Keyes, Dhingra, and Simoes (2010) and Keyes, Myers, and Kendler (2010) have also refer to positive mental health as 'flourishing', covering feeling good about and functioning well in life.

At policy level, Lehtinen (2008) suggested in a report to the European Union that mental health is an individual resource comprising two dimensions: positive mental health (or psychological well-being) and negative mental health (or mental ill-health). According to this conceptualization, positive mental health can be a value in itself (Lehtinen, 2008) or it can include a positive sense of well-being, individual resources, the ability to develop and sustain satisfying personal relationships and the ability to cope with adversity (Jenkins et al., 2008). In the Foresight Mental Capital and Wellbeing Project, Kirkwood, Bond, May, McKeith, and Teh's (2008) defined mental well-being as 'a dynamic state that refers to individuals' ability to develop their potential, work productively and creatively, build strong and positive relationships with others and contribute to their community' (p. 19). This definition brings together the previous definitions of mental health and positive mental health as mental wellbeing. In addition, The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence ([NICE] 2008) adopted the NHS Health Scotland (2010) definition of mental well-being in their guidance on the promotion of the mental well-being of older people. By contrast to the other definitions presented above, this is age specific and encompasses life satisfaction, optimism, self-esteem, mastery and feeling in control, having a purpose in life, and a sense of belonging and support.

The range of conceptualisations of mental wellbeing shows that despite some overlap between them, each of them includes additional and specific dimensions. This dissimilarity is reflected in the debate around the measurement of mental well-being. Some authors have developed specific tools for the measurement of mental well-being (e.g., Stewart-Brown et al., 2009), others have suggested the use of specific scales from existing validated measures, such as the SF-36, the WHO-5, the GHQ-12 or the OPQOL (Bech, Olsen, Kjoller, &

Rasmussen, 2003; Bowling, 2009; Hu, Stewart-Brown, Twigg, & Weich, 2007; Lavikainen, Fryers, & Lehtinen, 2006).

Because of the contested nature of the mental well-being concept, the lack of an in-depth discussion on its implications for older people, and the diverse measurements used to assess it, this review aim to adopt a pragmatic approach by including any initiative or intervention that targets and aims to measure the positive end of the mental health spectrum, including life satisfaction, quality of life, happiness, mastery, empowerment, capability and positive functioning, as well as social resources.

Aims

The aims of the review therefore are to assess the effectiveness of interventions to improve the mental wellbeing and/or independence of people aged 65 and over, who do not have substantial health and social care needs and live independently in the community.

A broad range of activities fall within the scope of the review, including interventions to raise awareness of the importance of older peoples' mental wellbeing and independence, as well as to improve knowledge of information and support on access to services to support mental wellbeing and independence among professionals, older people, their carers, families and the wider community. They can include activities to tackle ageism and encourage crossgenerational respect and social inclusion. Actions to promote and maintain the social networks of older people, including the use of new communication technologies as important tools for health promoting initiatives would be covered as would psychological interventions delivered to promote mental wellbeing by, for example, supporting motivational or goalattainment aspects. Similarly e-health or m-health (i.e. internet-based or mobile technologybased) interventions that are designed to promote mental wellbeing or independence will be included, but not the use of these interventions for the treatment of mental disorders. Measures to identify and assess older people within a local community who have poor mental wellbeing or are at high risk of mental wellbeing decline, as well as assess and identify older people who lack choice and control over the services they use or who are at high risk of losing their independence are covered.

The review covers services commissioned by local government and other local providers, including charities and faith groups, but excludes most interventions that are delivered on a one to one basis by health and social care professionals, as well as interventions covered by NICE guidance PH16.

Research questions

The overarching question addressed in this review is

• What are the most effective ways to improve or protect the mental wellbeing and/or independence of older people? (Question 2, in the NICE final scope)

Supplemental questions that are addressed focus on specific types of intervention and/or target population groups. In some cases the relevant target groups are not older people but those that come into contact with them such as any paid or unpaid carers, as well as health and social care professionals.

Supplemental research questions include:

- Does the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of interventions differ by delivery mechanism and person/organisation delivering it?
- What is the role of services (such as transport and care support in the home), and technologies (such as alarm systems, electronic communication and information systems) in improving or protecting the mental wellbeing and independence of older people?
- Is participation in volunteering or educational activities effective in improving and/or protect mental wellbeing and independence?
- Does the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of interventions vary for different target populations?
- Are targeted approaches to the delivery of interventions more effective than universally delivered interventions?

Review Methods

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Types of study design

A broad range of study designs are covered including randomised controlled trials, quasirandomised controlled trials, before and after studies with or without comparator groups, mixed method studies including both quantitative and qualitative research and pilot/feasibility studies. Only primary studies are included, reviews of previous studies are examined for relevant studies that meet inclusion criteria.

Table 1 provides an overview PICO summarising the study population, interventions, comparator groups and outcomes of interest. These are also described in the following sections.

Study population

Our principle focus is on retired community dwelling and healthy older people, with the search strategy predominantly focused on older people aged 65 and older, although individuals aged 55 upwards who are also retired and at risk of premature ageing are also covered. Some actions and interventions may cover a wider population age range than that defined in scope. These are included where study findings are reported for different population sub-groups including our target population groups (including for those aged 55+ where they are already retired). Alternatively where there is no breakdown by age group we have adopted an approach that we previously used to review psychosocial interventions for mental wellbeing in older people. In this review studies could also be included if some of the participants were younger than 55, as long as the mean age was at least 70 in these cases. In this review however, the focus of these studies needs to be on retired people rather than those still in paid employment.

We also have excluded older people who live in or attend a residential care home on a day basis, older people with any form of pre-existing diagnosed mental health disorder, dementia or intellectual disability as covered by the ICD-10 (International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision 2010) from that fall into the group F00
to F99 and diseases of the nervous system G00 to G99. We also excluded all older people in receipt of palliative care. We also exclude all older people already identified as having substantial existing health and social care needs (i.e. interpreted here as being already identified as needing or already being in receipt of health and social care services.

In terms of operationalising the exclusion governing older people with substantial health and social care needs, we will make use of long standing guidance in England on eligibility criteria for fair access to care services. This defines substantial care as:

• there is, or will be, only partial choice and control over the immediate environment; and/or

• abuse or neglect has occurred or will occur; and/or

• there is, or will be, an inability to carry out the majority of personal care or domestic routines; and/or

• involvement in many aspects of work, education or learning cannot or will not be sustained; and/or

 the majority of social support systems and relationships cannot or will not be sustained; and/or

• the majority of family and other social roles and responsibilities cannot or will not be undertaken

Source: 'Prioritising need in the context of "Putting people first": a whole system approach to eligibility for social care' (Department of Health, 2010).

In practice when reviewing international studies this will mean excluding older people who are receiving routine help with the basic activities of daily living such as eating, washing and dressing. There may be information in some studies on the level of impairment in dealing with activities of daily living using measurement scales such as ADL, Barthel Index, Katz ADL or the WHO Disability Schedule (WHO-DAS) to help assess relevance.

The reviews also covers some interventions that are targeted at health, social care and other professionals, as well as community volunteers who may come into contact with older people.

Types of intervention

Interventions to raise awareness of the importance of older peoples' mental wellbeing and independence, as well as to improve knowledge of information and support on access to services to support mental wellbeing and independence among professionals, older people, their carers, families and the wider community.

Activities to promote or maintain the mental wellbeing and/or independence of older people are also covered. These can include training and awareness raising activities targeted at professional service providers, volunteers and members of the community to tackle ageism and encourage cross-generational respect and social inclusion. It could also include psychological interventions delivered to promote mental wellbeing by, for example, supporting motivational or goal-attainment aspects. Similarly, e-health or m-health interventions that are designed to promote mental wellbeing or independence but not the use of these interventions for the treatment of mental disorders. Another group of interventions covers activities intended to address loneliness and isolation, for example through befriending and other visiting services.

Actions to promote and maintain the social networks of older people, including the use of new communication technologies as important tools for health promoting initiatives (e.g. social media use or personal home based alarm systems use) by older people on their mental wellbeing and independence, as well as looking at well established technologies such as the telephone.

The work of community-based volunteers, including older people themselves, as well as non statutory sector community workers and service providers to promote, support and protect older people's mental wellbeing or independence.

Other actions include measures to specifically facilitate access to education, leisure, community activities and transportation services/mobility support for older people.

Interventions intended to improve the identification of risks to wellbeing or independence of older people during encounters with health, social care and other professionals in their own homes may also be identified as part of review 1 but are not a prioritised area due to the focus of our search strategy criteria on the target study population rather than on risk assessment. We anticipate identifying more information on individual level risk assessment procedures,

as well as actions at community level to identify those at risk of poor mental wellbeing and independence, for instance through different epidemiological and other population surveillance measures, through other reviews being conducted looking at barriers and facilitators to effective action and a mapping of practice in the UK. In the same way evidence on effective commissioning of relevant services and activities by local government and other local community providers to promote, support and protect older people's mental wellbeing or independence falls within the scope of this review, but relevant material is more likely to be picked up in these additional complementary reviews.

Comparators

Comparator interventions can include different ways of delivering the same intervention, as well as alternative interventions to promote mental wellbeing and independence. We have also included comparisons of interventions with no intervention or usual practice

Types of outcome measure

Primary outcomes of interest included impacts on measures of mental wellbeing in study populations or changes in measures of independence. As noted in the introduction there are different potential definitions of mental wellbeing as it can be operationalised in many different ways. Because of the contested nature of terminology for the mental wellbeing and independence concepts, the lack of an in-depth discussion on its implications for older people, and the diverse measurements used to assess it, this review has adopted a pragmatic approach by including a large variety of initiatives or interventions that targets and aims to measure the positive end of the mental health spectrum, including life satisfaction, aspects of quality of life tools), happiness, mastery, empowerment, capability and positive functioning, as well as social resources, social inclusion and civic participation. The review does not outcome measures that report a reduction in symptoms of mental disorders or distress.

Given that our review was focused on relatively healthy older adults who did not have substantive health and social care needs our measures of independence did not focus on ability to conduct fundamental activities of daily living such as washing or dressing but did cover any measurement of instrumental activities of daily living such as the ability to pursue leisure activities or go shopping. It also covered measures of independence that impacted on broader aspects of life such as the ability to participate in community events, including measures of ability to make choices and exercise control over daily life.

Both mental wellbeing and independence (with the focus here of the latter on engagement with the community and participation in activities) can potentially be affected by social capital and the review also considered related outcomes where reported, including social inclusion, social participation, social networks, as well as social cohesion, sense of belonging, social support and increased levels of civic engagement). Measures of isolation and loneliness, which again can have an impact on mental wellbeing and an individual's independence were also included. We reported on all relevant outcomes where studies reported multiple outcome measures.

Other outcomes of interest include the impact of training and awareness raising measures on the behaviours and attitudes of health care and other professionals. Outcome measures might include simple post test/course recall measures, impacts on wellbeing service referral rates or measures of change in behaviours and attitude towards healthy older people.

Exclusion criteria

In addition to exclusions related to the characteristics of the study population: physical and mental health disorders, living in residential care, or having any other substantial health and social care needs, a number of different types of intervention are also excluded:

All one-to-one interactions between older people and health/social care professionals. This includes

a) Management of a chronic medical condition or disability, including dementia or another mental health disorder.

b) Procedures for, and eligibility criteria used in, assessments for social care support and other welfare benefits.

c) Using psychological interventions such as cognitive behavioural therapy where used to treat diagnosed mental disorders.

d) Planning for the built environment to meet older people's needs including 'age-friendly city' initiatives.

e) Prevention of mental and physical health conditions (such as cognitive decline, obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular disease or falls), unless specific components of the intervention support or improve mental wellbeing or independence.

f) Occupational therapy and physical activity interventions recommended in PH 16 guidance on occupational therapy and physical activity interventions to promote the mental wellbeing of older people in primary care and residential care.

g) Interventions targeted at older people in the workplace

h) Interventions targeted at the prevention of elder abuse and domestic violence targeted at older people

 Table 1: PICO Table on the effectiveness of interventions to improve or protect the mental wellbeing or independence of older people.

Intervention	Intervention	Comparison	Outcome
Target Group			
Health, Social	Various training,	Comparisons between	Retest-recall
Care and other	awareness raising	different modes of	measures;
Professionals (e.g.	interventions,	delivering training and	Impact on referral
housing	including improved	awareness as well as with	and service uptake
association	knowledge of	no action.	by older people.
workers), as well	services and		Measures of staff
as community	supports. Another		behaviour /attitude
volunteers,	example would be		change if
coming into	actions to change		documented.
contact with older	attitudes positively		Impacts on mental
people, as well as	towards older		wellbeing for older
the wider local	people, and help		people (see next
community.	empower		row for fuller set of
	professionals and		outcomes) might
	other workers		also be linked to
	(including		changes in the
	volunteers) to take		actions of
	more actions to		professionals and
	improve mental		volunteers.
	wellbeing and		Impacts on the
	independence of		independence of
	older people.		older people might
	Another group of		also be linked to
	interventions		changes in the
	covers activities		actions of
	intended to address		professionals and
	loneliness and		volunteers. (see
	isolation, for		next row for fuller
	example through		set of outcomes)

	befriending and		Impacts on social
	other visiting		capital (see next
	services provided		row for fuller set of
	by both		outcomes)
	professionals and volunteers.		Levels of isolation and loneliness
Older people,	Various awareness	Comparisons between	Impact on
their families and	raising	different modes of	behaviours
unpaid carers.	interventions	delivering training and	including service
	including improved	awareness as well as with	uptake by older
Sub- groups of	knowledge on	no action.	people and families
population	services and		
Findings will be	supports.		Impacts on health,
reported for			social care and
population sub-			other resource use /
groups as the			cost implications
evidence base			
allows. Many			Mental wellbeing
possible sub-			(can be
groupings. One			operationalised in
of the most			many ways
important will be			including measures
age e.g. oldest old			of self-esteem, self-
(80+) versus			efficacy, quality of
younger groups			life, life
given greater risks			satisfaction,
of reduced			resilience,
independence			happiness and use
compared to			of specific
younger old			instruments such as
groups., Other			Warwick

examples are		Edinburgh Mental
likely to include		Wellbeing Scale)
differences by		
gender, ethnicity,		Measures of
culture and socio-		independence:
economic status.		including measures
		of ability to make
		choices and
		exercise control
		over daily life. It
		can also cover
		measures of the
		ability to live
		independently e.g.
		measures on need
		for help with the
		daily activities of
		living
		living Social capital (i.e.
		living Social capital (i.e. social inclusion,
		living Social capital (i.e. social inclusion, social participation,
		living Social capital (i.e. social inclusion, social participation, social networks, as
		living Social capital (i.e. social inclusion, social participation, social networks, as well as social
		living Social capital (i.e. social inclusion, social participation, social networks, as well as social cohesion, sense of
		living Social capital (i.e. social inclusion, social participation, social networks, as well as social cohesion, sense of belonging, social
		living Social capital (i.e. social inclusion, social participation, social networks, as well as social cohesion, sense of belonging, social support, increased
		living Social capital (i.e. social inclusion, social participation, social networks, as well as social cohesion, sense of belonging, social support, increased levels of civic
		living Social capital (i.e. social inclusion, social participation, social networks, as well as social cohesion, sense of belonging, social support, increased levels of civic engagement)
		living Social capital (i.e. social inclusion, social participation, social networks, as well as social cohesion, sense of belonging, social support, increased levels of civic engagement)
		living Social capital (i.e. social inclusion, social participation, social networks, as well as social cohesion, sense of belonging, social support, increased levels of civic engagement) Levels of isolation
		living Social capital (i.e. social inclusion, social participation, social networks, as well as social cohesion, sense of belonging, social support, increased levels of civic engagement) Levels of isolation and loneliness

			Awareness of how
			to contact/access
			available support
			services
Primary Care	Training in and use	Comparisons between	Referrals and
Health	of mechanisms and	different approaches to	signposting to
Professionals,	guidance to identify	training and use of	services to support
Social Care	risks to continued	mechanisms to identify	mental wellbeing
Professionals and	mental wellbeing	risks to continued mental	and independence.
Related	and independence	wellbeing and	
Professional	during contacts	independence as well as	Improved
groups	with older people	with no action.	awareness in
	in their own homes.		professionals, older
			people and their
			families, and
			unpaid carers of
			risks and/or how to
			better protect
			mental wellbeing
			and independence.
			Subsequent use of
			services to promote
			/ protect mental
			wellbeing and
			independence
			Impacts on health,
			social care and
			other resource use /
			cost implications
Older people and	Actions to increase	Comparisons between	Impact on
unpaid carers as a	access to / use of	different actions to	behaviour
whole, plus some	both general public	encourage use of	including service

of the older	transport and	transportation services.	uptake by older
people	dedicated	This could for instance	people
population sub-	transportation	include comparisons of	Impacts on mental
groups.	services as well as	different transport options	wellbeing, social
	access to /use of	specifically targeted at	capital and
	mobility devices.	older people such as dial-a-	independence as
		bus services, and	above.
		entitlement of all older	Impacts on health,
		people to free or nearly free	social care and
		public transport (e.g. bus	other resource use /
		and train passes specifically	cost implications
		for older people). It could	
		also include access to	Levels of isolation
		services such as dial –a-bus	and loneliness
		services) specific collection	
		and delivery services for	
		older people.	
Older people and	Actions to increase	Evaluations of new	Impact on
Older people and unpaid carers as a	Actions to increase access to / use of	Evaluations of new information communication	Impact on behaviour
Older people and unpaid carers as a whole, plus some	Actions to increase access to / use of home-based	Evaluations of new information communication technologies introduced	Impact on behaviour including service
Older people and unpaid carers as a whole, plus some of the older	Actions to increase access to / use of home-based technologies /	Evaluations of new information communication technologies introduced since the beginning of 2000	Impact on behaviour including service uptake and
Older people and unpaid carers as a whole, plus some of the older people	Actions to increase access to / use of home-based technologies / remote monitoring,	Evaluations of new information communication technologies introduced since the beginning of 2000 Comparisons between	Impact on behaviour including service uptake and continued use by
Older people and unpaid carers as a whole, plus some of the older people population sub-	Actions to increase access to / use of home-based technologies / remote monitoring, information	Evaluations of new information communication technologies introduced since the beginning of 2000 Comparisons between different types of these	Impact on behaviour including service uptake and continued use by older people,
Older people and unpaid carers as a whole, plus some of the older people population sub- groups.	Actions to increase access to / use of home-based technologies / remote monitoring, information communication	Evaluations of new information communication technologies introduced since the beginning of 2000 Comparisons between different types of these electronic/communication	Impact on behaviour including service uptake and continued use by older people, families and unpaid
Older people and unpaid carers as a whole, plus some of the older people population sub- groups.	Actions to increase access to / use of home-based technologies / remote monitoring, information communication systems.	Evaluations of new information communication technologies introduced since the beginning of 2000 Comparisons between different types of these electronic/communication and with no	Impact on behaviour including service uptake and continued use by older people, families and unpaid carers.
Older people and unpaid carers as a whole, plus some of the older people population sub- groups.	Actions to increase access to / use of home-based technologies / remote monitoring, information communication systems.	Evaluations of new information communication technologies introduced since the beginning of 2000 Comparisons between different types of these electronic/communication and with no action/intervention.	Impact on behaviour including service uptake and continued use by older people, families and unpaid carers. Impacts on
Older people and unpaid carers as a whole, plus some of the older people population sub- groups.	Actions to increase access to / use of home-based technologies / remote monitoring, information communication systems.	Evaluations of new information communication technologies introduced since the beginning of 2000 Comparisons between different types of these electronic/communication and with no action/intervention.	Impact on behaviour including service uptake and continued use by older people, families and unpaid carers. Impacts on identification of at
Older people and unpaid carers as a whole, plus some of the older people population sub- groups.	Actions to increase access to / use of home-based technologies / remote monitoring, information communication systems.	Evaluations of new information communication technologies introduced since the beginning of 2000 Comparisons between different types of these electronic/communication and with no action/intervention.	Impact on behaviour including service uptake and continued use by older people, families and unpaid carers. Impacts on identification of at risk individuals
Older people and unpaid carers as a whole, plus some of the older people population sub- groups.	Actions to increase access to / use of home-based technologies / remote monitoring, information communication systems.	Evaluations of new information communication technologies introduced since the beginning of 2000 Comparisons between different types of these electronic/communication and with no action/intervention.	Impact on behaviour including service uptake and continued use by older people, families and unpaid carers. Impacts on identification of at risk individuals Impacts on mental
Older people and unpaid carers as a whole, plus some of the older people population sub- groups.	Actions to increase access to / use of home-based technologies / remote monitoring, information communication systems.	Evaluations of new information communication technologies introduced since the beginning of 2000 Comparisons between different types of these electronic/communication and with no action/intervention.	Impact on behaviour including service uptake and continued use by older people, families and unpaid carers. Impacts on identification of at risk individuals Impacts on mental wellbeing, social
Older people and unpaid carers as a whole, plus some of the older people population sub- groups.	Actions to increase access to / use of home-based technologies / remote monitoring, information communication systems.	Evaluations of new information communication technologies introduced since the beginning of 2000 Comparisons between different types of these electronic/communication and with no action/intervention.	Impact on behaviour including service uptake and continued use by older people, families and unpaid carers. Impacts on identification of at risk individuals Impacts on mental wellbeing, social capital and
Older people and unpaid carers as a whole, plus some of the older people population sub- groups.	Actions to increase access to / use of home-based technologies / remote monitoring, information communication systems.	Evaluations of new information communication technologies introduced since the beginning of 2000 Comparisons between different types of these electronic/communication and with no action/intervention.	Impact on behaviour including service uptake and continued use by older people, families and unpaid carers. Impacts on identification of at risk individuals Impacts on mental wellbeing, social capital and independence as

			Impacts on health,
			social care and
			other resource use /
			cost implications
			Levels of isolation
			and loneliness
			und fonenness
Older people and	Other actions and	Comparisons between	Impact on
unpaid carers as a	interventions	different actions to	behaviour
whole, plus some	(within scope) to	encourage use of these	including service
of the older	promote mental	services/ activity.	uptake by older
people population	wellbeing and	Comparisons between	people
sub-groups.	independence.	different types of	Impacts on mental
	These include	services/activity and with	wellbeing, social
	improved access to	no action/intervention.	capital and
	leisure, education		independence as
	and community		above.
	activities.		Impacts on health,
			social care and
			other resource use /
			cost implications
			Levels of isolation
			and loneliness

Search strategy

Methods, as outlined in the Methods for the Development of NICE Public Health Guidance (2012), are being used to guide the development of the review protocol and search strategy. This comprises a systematic search of the literature supplementing studies identified from bibliographic databases together with information from other sources, including relevant research reports from non governmental organisations, academic groups and government departments. The review team also sifted through responses set in to the call for evidence published by NICE and hand searched a number of journals including Working with Older People, Educational Gerontology, Ageing and Society and Ageing and Mental Health

Sensitive search strategies were developed by the research team and peer-reviewed by information specialists at NICE using a combination of controlled vocabulary and free-text terms. Fundamentally they combine different structured terms related to evaluations of intervention related to positive mental health, mental wellbeing, social capital and independence with terms and free text related to older people. No specific terms were included to cover the population between the ages of 55 and 65. The search strategy was initially developed in MEDLINE and was then adapted to meet the syntax, character and platform restrictions of each included database. Search strategies are available in the Appendix to this report. We checked reference lists of included previous reviews to identify further potentially eligible studies. Studies were managed in an Endnote Bibliographic Database.

Literature searches were conducted from 2003 onwards and only studies published in English were included. While the electronic searches needed a time frame to achieve successful management of the retrieved data, this date range was also applied in order for the covered evidence to be up-to-date. The large number of records retrieved, even with a restriction to records from 2003 onwards meant that we restricted the search of databases to those we considered most relevant to this topic and less likely to be focused on clinical literature:

Ageline

ASSIA (Applied Social Science Index and Abstracts)

Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness (DARE) ERIC (Educational Resources Information Centre Database) Google Scholar Medline **PsycINFO** Social Care Online Database Websites searched In addition to our search of databases the following websites were also searched Age Cymru http://www.ageuk.org.uk/cymru/ Age NI http://www.ageuk.org.uk/northern-ireland/ Age Scotland http://www.ageuk.org.uk/scotland/ Age UK http://www.ageuk.org.uk/ Audit Commission http://www.audit-commission.gov.uk/ Campaign to End Loneliness http://www.campaigntoendloneliness.org/ Centre for Ageing Research and Development in Ireland http://www.cardi.ie/ Health Evidence http://www.healthevidence.org/ International Longevity Centre http://www.ilcuk.org.uk/ Joseph Rowntree Foundation http://www.jrf.org.uk/ The Kings Fund http://www.kingsfund.org.uk/ Local Government Association http://www.local.gov.uk/ Mind http://www.mind.org.uk/ NIACE National Voice for Lifelong Learning http://www.niace.org.uk/

NIHR School for Social Care Research http://www.sscr.nihr.ac.uk/

NIHR School for Public Health Research http://sphr.nihr.ac.uk/

Personal Social Services Research Unit (Publications) <u>http://www.pssru.ac.uk/publications-</u> search.php

ProMenPol (Mental Health Promotion Database) http://www.mentalhealthpromotion.net/?i=promenpol.en.about

Social Care Institute For Excellence http://www.scie.org.uk/

Well Scotland http://www.wellscotland.info/

Title and abstract screening

All records from the searches were uploaded into a database and duplicate records were removed. Records without abstracts were excluded from the analysis. Where no abstract was available, a web search was first undertaken to locate one; if no abstract could be found, records were screened on title alone and full-text documents were retrieved where there was any doubt. Screening was piloted between four reviewers using a random sample of 100 records and discussions then took place to refine inclusion and exclusion approaches. Records from all electronic databases (with the exception of social care online) were double screened by reviewers and all records that were identified as relevant by at least one of the two reviewers were then examined in full text. In the case of records from the Social Care Online Database, where records had to be retrieved in a slightly different manner because of the nature of the software platform all screening was done by one reviewer, although a sample of 200 records (2.3% of all social care online records) were also screened by a second reviewer, with an agreement rate of 88.5%.

To be eligible for inclusion studies needed to be published on or after 2003 (although snowballed citations from 2002 were also included), studies had to be primary evaluations of interventions to promote mental wellbeing and/or independence in older people, or in a broader population where results for older people could be identified. Interventions targeted solely at older people with diagnosed health problems, terminally ill or already in receipt of health and social care services were excluded and most interventions delivered by health and

social care professionals (as described earlier in this report) were excluded. The definitions of interventions earlier also specify those limited circumstances where interventions delivered to health and social care professionals (i.e. training interventions to improve their awareness of mental wellbeing and attitudes to healthy older people). Studies that were relevant to two further reviews on barriers and facilitators and UK practice were noted. It was possible for studies to be flagged up as relevant to multiple reviews.

Full text screening

Records that appeared to meet all these criteria were then obtained in full text. A convenience sample of 100 full text records were double screened by two reviewers. Agreement rates were high at 91% and discussion on disagreement was used to inform the ongoing review process. Further, where reviewers were in doubt on eligibility a discussion was held within the review team.

Data extraction and quality assessment

The quality of included studies was assessed by one reviewer, with a 10% sample checked by a second reviewer. Relevant data were extracted for detailed evidence tables. Internal and external validity of the studies was rated using quality appraisal checklists which followed the methods as outlines in the methods manual, with each study being coded as either ++, +, or -. ++ indicated a high quality score for internal and external validity, where the study demonstrated all or most of the checklist criteria had been fulfilled, and where these had not been fulfilled, the conclusions of the study were unlikely to alter, had this been the case. + indicated moderate quality for internal and external validity, where the study demonstrated some of the checklist criteria had been fulfilled, and where they had not been fulfilled, or not adequately described, the conclusions of the study were unlikely to alter. – indicated a low quality score for internal and external validity, where the study demonstrated a low is external validity, where the study demonstrated a low quality score for internal and external validity, where the study demonstrated a low quality score for internal and external validity, where the study demonstrated reviewer were unlikely to alter. – indicated a low quality score for internal and external validity, where the study demonstrated few or none of the checklist criteria had been fulfilled and the conclusions of the study were likely or very likely to alter, had this been the case.

The heterogeneity in outcomes measures used meant that this review took the form of a narrative synthesis rather than a meta-analysis. Evidence statements summarising the available evidence were produced, which reflected the strength (quality, quantity and consistency) of the evidence and statements regarding its applicability were made. The

quality of the evidence was categorised as strong (where statements were based on evidence from several high quality studies), moderate (where statements were based on evidence from either one high study, or a mixture of high and lower quality studies), weak (where statements were based on evidence from lower quality studies. Statements were also made where there is a lack of evidence. A brief statement on the potential relevance of the evidence to a UK context was included with each evidence statement.

Results

23,524 records were identified from the search strategy run in March 2014 including 22,980 references from database searches and 544 from searches of websites, previous reviews, citation searching and reference tracking. Following removal of 5,011 references due to duplication, a total of 18,513 references were screened based on their title and abstract. Of these, 18,018 references were deemed not eligible for inclusion, thus a total of 495 were eligible for screening based on their full text. We excluded a total of 424 of the full-text papers that did not fulfil the inclusion criteria. Reference lists of reviews identified and excluded were screened for further studies. Additionally, we identified a further 9 eligible papers. This included two papers in Spanish, which were obtained in order to obtain detailed study findings that had been only been summarised in English language publications and 6 further studies from looking at the barriers and facilitators and mapping reviews. A published protocol flagged up another study which completed its peer review process and had been accepted for publication during this review process. This left us with 86 records included in the review (Figure 3).

Overview of results

86 papers were included in this review covering a number of different types of activity, and 20 evidence statements related to 6 identified themes have been drafted. 9 papers from the UK covering 7 studies, as well as 2 from the Republic of Ireland have been included in this analysis, but most of the interventions and activities discussed are feasible to implement in a UK context. These interventions come from many different countries around the world, but are dominated by US set studies. There is less focus in the review specifically on interventions to maintain independence, perhaps because many interventions in this area are

targeted at individuals who are already at risk of a loss of independence because of poor physical and mental health.

The review indicates that there is promising evidence, albeit often from weak study designs, that various forms of social resources are beneficial for mental well-being in older people. These include improving access to social contacts and networks and participation in social activities and general community life. This is not surprising as later life covers an extended period of the life course and is likely to include changes in health, social engagement and networks with family and friends. For example, older people are more vulnerable to decreasing social networks as they are at greater risk of losing their partner and friends, which at the same time makes them more dependent on other social resources within the society. Further, being socially integrated in society in terms of participation and frequent social contacts and activities has been previously proven to be beneficial for mental health and wellbeing among older people e.g. (Forsman et al. 2012)

One evidence statement focuses on a number of different intergenerational activities that in particular bring older people and school aged children together. These have been seen as one way of reducing isolation, while at the same time they can also help to challenge negative attitudes towards older people and ageing in society. Given the focus of the review on actions largely outside of the health and social care sector, one area of some focus is on a range of interventions related to arts and creative activities and their impact on mental wellbeing. Studies looking at the impact of continued participation in education beyond retirement age (third age learning) have been identified. There is also a cluster of studies focused around the use of new technologies to aid in communication between older people and their social networks. The review found little specifically on tackling ageism and effective ways of identifying at risk groups, nor information on effective ways of commissioning services.

It is also clear from the evidence statements and the detailed tables in the appendix that a great number of different outcome measures are used to look at mental wellbeing and independence, making it impossible to produce any meaningful type of meta- analysis. It is also notable that almost no study makes use of the Quality Adjusted Life Year (QALY) as an additional outcome measure alongside independence and mental wellbeing, although some

studies do report outcomes using the SF-36 or SF-12 instruments, from which it is possible to derive Quality of Life Scores.

Study characteristics

Only 18 of the studies used randomised controlled study designs, limiting the internal validity of the evidence base. Most of the studies have relatively small populations and few appear to have powered their studies to detect significant effects: 55 studies have total populations that are less than 100 and 33 have total populations that are under 50.

Furthermore, most of the study populations are heavily dominated by women; only two studies included more men than women. This may have implications for the relevance of much of this evidence base for the mental wellbeing and independence of older men. Interventions targeted at older people may be perceived as being too female orientated by some men, who may therefore be reluctant to participate (Dwyer and Hardill 2011, Cook et al. 2013). Figure 2 plots the reported mean ages for each of 82 papers¹ where this information is provided.

¹ Median age reported for study by Lawlor et al 2014







Figure 2: Reported mean age of study populations.

Figure 3: Literature review flow chart



Evidence Statements: what are the most effective and cost effective ways to improve or protect the mental wellbeing and/or independence of older people?

Review findings are grouped broadly by type of intervention and/or target group and divided up into 6 clusters with a total of 20 evidence statements. It includes studies that report results that are not beneficial or lead to adverse events. Both mental wellbeing and independence outcomes are reported and described for each intervention. Studies can potentially appear in more than one evidence statement, e.g. an intergenerational intervention or third age education programmes that are delivered using new technology.

Cluster 1: Participation in social activities and support

Evidence Statement 1.1: Multi-component multi-location social support interventions

There is inconsistent evidence from three studies on the effectiveness of multicomponent interventions on the mental wellbeing and independence of older people: 1 RCT, 1 quasi experimental study, 1 exploratory uncontrolled before and after study (Saito 2012 +, Honigh-de Vlaming 2013 +, Bartlett 2013 -). Moderate evidence from a multi-component intervention targeted at older migrants in Japan (**Saito et al. 2012** +, **RCT, Japan**) reported a significant positive effect on subjective well-being (p =0.039), social support (p=0.013) and loneliness (p = 0.011).

One Dutch study found moderate evidence that a multi-component healthy ageing programme, including a mass media and information campaign, had a positive impact on loneliness literacy. (Honigh-de Vlaming 2013 +, quasi-experimental study, Netherlands). At 2 year follow-up, the intervention group scored more favourably than controls on loneliness literacy subscales: (relative effect size -4.4%, p<0.05) perceived social support mean scores (relative effect size -8.2% p<0.05) and subjective norm mean scores (relative effect size -11.5%, p<0.05). However there was no significant impact on loneliness or actual social support levels at two-year follow up

One weak exploratory uncontrolled pilot Australian study examining different multifaceted programmes (including fitness and arts programmes, community forums, a volunteer buddy system and culturally appropriate volunteers showed no impact on loneliness and social support (Bartlett 2013 -, UBA, Australia).

Although these studies were conducted outside of the UK, multi component healthy ageing initiatives are available in the UK; the applicability of programmes would need to be assessed on a case by case basis. All of these interventions were targeted at healthy older people, although some components of programmes were targeted at people with mild levels of depression.

Table 1.1: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 1.1: Multi-component, multi-location interventions

Author	Quality	Study	Sample size	Intervention content
	rating	type		
Year				
Country				
Bartlett	-	Uncontrolled before and	42: intervention 1, mean age 66 – range	Intervention 1: A fitness programme based on a
2013		after study	54-93)	range of exercises;
		-	15: intervention 2	Intervention 2:
Australia			mean age 68 – range	a programme containing
			42-84)	activities such as
			16: intervention 3	community forums, better
			mean age 79 – range	integration of services for
			63-100	older people; Intervention
				3: development of a
				culturally appropriate
				model of volunteer service
				delivery for older
				migrants
Honigh-de	+	Quasi	905 (intervention)	Mass media campaign,
Vlaming		experimental	(mean age 73.6; 44%	information meetings for
• • • •		study	male)	interested local older
2013			899 (control) (mean	people, psychosocial
			age 73.8, 47% male)	group courses for people
The				with mental health
Netherland				problems (mild depressive
S				symptoms) or chronic
				diseases, social activation
				through community-based
				Neighbours Connected
				intervention and training

				of intermediaries
				(homecare nurses,
				municipal advisors, and
				volunteers) to improve
				recognition of loneliness
Saito	+	RCT	21 intervention	Programme providing an
			42 (control)	opportunity for
2012			Mean age 73, 40%	participants to meet each
			male	other, exploring the
Japan				effects of participants'
				relocation experiences on
				their lives, finding out
				about the types of
				information participants
				need, and a sightseeing
				tour of the city

Multi-component interventions can comprise a range of different social activities, information and support, often delivered in many different locations including the homes of older people. The challenges of evaluating multi-component programmes targeted at promoting the mental health and independence of older people is one factor in the mixed evidence identified in this review. The studies here from Japan, Australia and the Netherlands illustrate this challenge in translating some of the positive benefits of engagement with programmes into changes in mental wellbeing, independence and loneliness outcomes at the end of any evaluation.

In Japan, **Saito et al. 2012**(+) evaluated the effects of an intervention programme aimed at preventing social isolation, loneliness, depression, and improving subjective well-being among older people who had moved to Tokyo over a 2 year period. The intervention consisted of 4 two-hour sessions, conducted once every 2 weeks, providing an opportunity for participants to meet each other, exploring the effects of their relocation experiences, identifying information needs, and offering a sightseeing tour of the city. The average age of participants in the intervention group was 73 years; 21 were allocated to the intervention group with 42 in the control group. 40% of participants were men and 45% were married. The study follow up was 6 months. There was a significant positive effect of the intervention on subjective well-being measured using the 10-item Japanese version of the Life Satisfaction Index – A scale LSI-A (p = 0.039) and also on social support (p = 0.013). Loneliness levels also significantly reduced. These were measured using the Ando-Osada-Kodama (AOK) loneliness scale, which is a modified Japanese version of the UCLA loneliness scale (p =

0.011). No statistically significant differences were found in social networks, and social activity scores.

Bartlett 2013 (-) examined the impact of three different programmes on loneliness and social support in older people living in Australia. The three programmes were 1) a fitness programme based on a range of exercises, including a swimming, as well as an arts programme (the Greenvale Programme) (42 participants, mean age 66 - range 54-93); 2) activities such as community forums, better integration of services for older people and development of an action plan and implementation of a volunteer buddy system (the Hervey Bay Programme) (15 participants; mean age 68 – range 42-84), and 3) the development of a culturally appropriate model of volunteer service (CAVS) delivery for older migrants to Australia (16 participants, mean age 79 - range 63-100). The study found no significant changes in loneliness or social support scores for the first two programmes. Loneliness, measured on the de Jong Gierveld Scale (de Jong Gierveld and van Tilburg 1999) did significantly decrease in the CAVS programme from 7.5 (Std Error 0.8) to 5.0 (Std Error 0.7). p=0.001. Social support, measured using the Duke Social Support Index (DSSI) (Koenig et al. 1993) also significantly increased in the CAVS programme from 2.4 (Std Error 0.1) to 2.7 (Std Error 0.1). p=0.007. However the results could not be attributed to the programmes as staff may have expressed their own opinions when completing data collection instruments on behalf of older people who did not speak English.

In the Netherlands a quasi-experimental study **Honigh-de Vlaming 2013** (+) involving more than 1,800 people (mean age 74) examined the effects of a multi-component intervention called *Healthy Ageing*. This consisted of a mass media campaign, information meetings for interested local older people, psychosocial group courses for people with mental health problems (mild depressive symptoms) or chronic diseases, social activation through a community-based Neighbours Connected intervention and training of intermediaries (homecare nurses, municipal advisors, and volunteers) to improve recognition of loneliness.

At two year follow-up, the intervention group scored more favourably than the control group on The Loneliness Literacy scale (Honigh-de Vlaming et al. 2014) subscales : motivation mean scores 2.98 s.d +/- 0.74 vs 3.07 s.d. +/- 0.77 (relative effect size -4.4%, 95% CI-8.3--0.7) p<0.05, perceived social support mean scores 2.07 s.d. +/- 0.77 vs 2.17 s.d. +/- 0.80 (relative effect size -8.2%, 95% CI-13.6 - -2.4) p<0.05 and subjective norm mean scores 2.44 s.d +/- 1 vs 2.65 s.d. +/- 1.00 (relative effect size -11.5%, 95% CI-17.4 - 5.4) p<0.05.

However, no long term significant effects were observed for social support or experienced loneliness between the intervention and control groups. The authors concluded that two years was in fact an insufficient time to expect to see changes in final outcomes from this complex intervention.

Evidence Statement 1.2: Participation in single location, multi-component activity programmes

There is weak evidence from 2 small studies (Mehta 2004 -, Rosenbaum 2009 -) to indicate that there may be benefits to mental wellbeing associated with the participation of older people in multiple activities that are organised in fixed locations, such as cafes and older people's activity centres. One potential additional limitation was the low rate of participation of men in these programmes.

Rosenbaum et al 2009 -, UBA, USA reported that 30% of customers surveyed at a not for profit café offering activities such as weight-lifting, yoga, art, computer classes and volunteering opportunities, experienced restoration (a reduction in mental fatigue and an improvement in mental wellbeing). Individuals who volunteered in the café were more likely to have high levels of restoration than those that did not achieve restoration) P<0.001). **Mehta 2004, -, exploratory, Singapore** looked at the psychological well-being of 12 older adults aged 60 and older who participated in many different activities at a senior centre activity programme. Life satisfaction and happiness improved in people who had attended for more than 18 months there was no improvement in people who had attended for less than 6 months (new members). (No statistical analysis reported).

While both of these studies are from outside the UK these types of multi-component interventions can be seen in a UK context.

 Table 1.2 Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 1.2: Participation in multicomponent activity programmes in dedicated spaces for older people

Author	Quality	Study type	Sample size	Intervention
Year	rating			content
Country				
Mehta	-	exploratory	12	Senior centre activity
2004				programme
2004				
Singapore				
Rosenbaum	-	Uncontrolled	90 (84% between the	Activity café for
2000		observational study	ages of 60 and 89)	older people
2009				
US				

Multi-component intervention programmes can also be delivered in fixed locations such as café's and in social centres (Table 1.2).

Rosenbaum et al 2009 -, uncontrolled observational study, USA used a convenience sample survey of 90 customers (84% between the ages of 60 and 89) to look at the benefits of going to a dedicated café for older people, where in addition to usual café fare it provided many daily activities, such as weight-lifting, yoga, art and computer classes and volunteering opportunities. 30% of customers surveyed perceived that they had been restored by participation in the café, measured using Hartig's 13-item Short-Version Revised Perceived Restorativeness Scale (SPRS) (Hartig et al. 1997). Restoration meant a reduction in mental fatigue and improvement in mental wellbeing. Volunteering personal time at the café was associated with achieving high levels of restoration. 14 of those who achieved high levels of restoration (51%) volunteered compared to 14 (23% of those that did not achieve restoration) P<0.001). Taking a consumer interest lecture (74% versus 48%) or having a body fat screening (40% versus 16%) also significantly greater in the high restoration group. P<0.05). 82% of participants were women making it difficult to assess whether intervention might benefit men and the lack of follow up over time also limits this study.

In the **Mehta 2004, -, exploratory, Singapore**) the psychological well-being of adults aged 60 and older who participated in the 'Good Life Programme' activities at an older person's

social centre were also explored using mainly qualitative research methods. Two groups of programme participants were compared, one containing active regular centre programme participants (n=6) and another group including new members (defined as having participated for less than 6 months, n=6). Many different activities were provided ranging from knowledge-based and educational courses (cooking courses, balloon twisting) to social and recreational activities (farms visits, games, line dancing), physiological (massage facilities), interactional (intergenerational activities), personal wellness (manicure, pedicure, facial, do-it-yourself), as well as some limited health care (basic health screening, cancer screening).

Content analysis highlighted the differences in the life satisfaction and happiness levels between regular and new members after joining the Good Life Programme. Life satisfaction and happiness level were assessed using the Satisfaction With Life Scale (Diener et al. 1985) (Pavot and Diener 1993). Since joining the programme life satisfaction measured on a rating scale from 1(lowest) to 9 (highest) increased by 3.7 points for people who had attended for more than 18 months (regular members); there was no improvement in people who had attended for less than 6 months (fresh members, no statistical analysis reported). 4 out of 6 regular members showed at least 44% increase in their life satisfaction level after joining the programme. The mean score change in happiness was 2.8 for the regular members and 0.2 for fresh members (no statistical analysis reported); 4 out of 6 regular members had shown at least a 33% increase in their happiness level after becoming programme participants and half of the regular members gave the maximum score for life satisfaction and happiness after joining the programme.

Evidence Statement 1.3: Mentoring for older people and signposting to activities

There is inconsistent evidence base on the mental well-being benefits to older people receiving mentoring support, including signposting to activities and services from trained adult volunteers in 1 uncontrolled before and after study and 1 non-randomised controlled study (Greaves 2006 -, Dickens +).

In the UK (**Greaves 2006 -, UBA, UK**) reported that mentoring by trained adult volunteers led to significant improvements in reported levels of social support at 12 month follow up (p=0.02) and in mental health at 6 month follow up (P<0.005), but at 12 month follow up these improvements were no longer significant. Evidence from

one larger scale non-randomised controlled study of the same intervention (**Dickens 2011** +, **NRCT**, **UK**) at 6 month follow up reported no impact on mental wellbeing and no evidence of any difference in social support outcomes with the exception of one measure, 'getting along with others' which deteriorated in the intervention group.

Both studies were conducted in the UK; it should be noted that in both evaluations the study population had poorer mental health and physical health status than the general population of older people. The interventions may also have been implemented in an inconsistent way by different community mentors which may also have impacted on outcomes.

Author Year	Quality rating	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
Dickons	1	Non	200 (intervention)	Montoring intervention torgeting
DICKEIIS	+	randomised	195 (control) 69%	socially isolated older people
2011		controlled	female	mentors offered the relevant social
_011		study	BME = 10%;	skills and capabilities for social
UK			Mean age 71.8	participation
			intervention; 69.8	
			control;	
0			170	
Greaves	-	Quasi-	172 (intermention):	Adult volunteers were trained as
2006		experimental	(intervention);	adults, siming to appaged them in
2000		Study	mean age 77.	programmes of creative exercise
ПК			mean age 77,	and/or cultural activities with an
				emphasis on social interaction

 Table 1.3: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 1.3: Mentoring

Two studies included in the review examined the psychosocial effects of mentoring interventions, including signposting services to older people. The second study was one of a number of projects that were evaluated as part of national evaluation of LinkAge Plus, an initiative to 'test the limits of holistic working between central and local government and the voluntary and community sector to improve outcomes for older people, improving their quality of life and wellbeing' (Davis and Ritters 2009). However, an important caveat with

these evaluations, is that in both cases more than 50% of the study population were reported to have clinical levels of depression rather than being in good mental health.

The first quasi-experimental study, Greaves 2006 (-) implemented in Devon, UK - a community based mentoring intervention - involved 172 community-dwelling older adults (aged 52-96), 76% female. It examined changes in quality of life and social support, as well as in depressive symptoms. The intervention was delivered by trained adult volunteers, who then became mentors who could work closely with older adults, aiming to rekindle their interest in life by engaging them in creative, exercise and/or cultural activities of their choice, with an emphasis on social interaction. Activity-based interventions were provided either directly by the mentors (who were based at a local voluntary sector Healthy Living Centre) or they sign-posted older people to existing community based activities. While the typical number of contacts per client is not reported, older people initially received visits from mentors on a weekly basis, supplemented by regular telephone contact. This was gradually diminished as participants become more confident At 6 months, there were significant improvements in positive mental health measured using the SF12 mental health component (MD = 3.02, CI 95%: 1.01 to 5.04, p < 0.005). This, in part, may be because at baseline 53% of the study population had a diagnosis of clinical depression. At 12 months this positive SF12 mental component change was not maintained, with a mean improvement of 0.71 (not significant). At 6 months there was no significant improvement in social support measured using the Medical Outcomes Social Support Scale (mean scores 1.98 (1.11 s.d) to 2.04 (1.03 s.d), but by 12 months, there were significant improvements in social support mean scores 1.88(1.11 s.d) to 2.08 (0.99 s.d) p=0.02. Qualitative data showed that the intervention was well-received by participants, with improvements in psychosocial benefit (social activity, self-worth, optimism about life) and depressed mood being the most widely reported.

The mentoring intervention evaluated by Greaves was subsequently expanded in the same county of Devon, a non-randomised controlled trial **Dickens et al 2011** (+). Again the community mentoring intervention aimed to increase mental health and social engagement for socially isolated older people or those at risk of becoming socially isolated. The intervention is not described in detail in the text but the paper states that mentors offered support to provide older adults with the relevant social skills and capabilities for social participation for 12 weeks to make sure positive behavioural changes would continue even after the intervention was ended. The study matched 200 older adults receiving the mentoring

programme (mean age 71.8) with 195 people (mean age of 69.8) in a control group from GP practice lists. Unlike the earlier study, follow up was only for six months.

At the six-month follow-up, there was no significant difference between the groups in mental health using the SF-12 mental health component score: (mean between group difference 0.8 (S.D: 1.5 to 3.2) p=0.48). There was also no significant difference in Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey MOS-6 scale scores (mean score 0.03 S.D: -0.2 to 0.2 p=0.75). There were no differences in social activities using four items from the RAND Social Health Battery, while indicators of social support such as the number of friends/family, clubs/groups, and get together with friends/family showed no significant difference. The one exception was the indicator 'getting along with others' which significantly deteriorated in the intervention group (Odds Ratio 0.6, Inter Quartile Range (0.4 to 0.9) p<0.01). The authors indicated these poor results could be because the control group had significantly better levels of mental, physical, and social health, relative to the intervention group at baseline. The intervention may also have been implemented in an inconsistent way by different community mentors, which may have masked positive outcomes of the intervention for some service users.

Evidence Statement 1.4: Educational health promotion interventions delivered by volunteers and peers

There is weak evidence from two uncontrolled before and after studies (Collins et al 2006 -, Malekafzali 2010 -, that volunteer and peer delivered educational health promotion programmes can positively benefit the mental wellbeing and social participation of older people.

Collins and Benedict 2006 (-), **UBA**, **USA** evaluated the effectiveness of an educational health promotion intervention delivered to 339 people (mean age 73.20) at day centres for older people and retirement housing villages in Nevada, USA. There were significant improvements in Mastery Scale scores (t= 12.08, df = 323, p < 0.001). Loneliness also decreased (t = 29.20, df = 329, p < 0.001).

Malekafzali et al. 2010 -, UBA, Iran assessed the effectiveness of community volunteer

delivered health promotion knowledge to 101 older people (59% aged between 60-and 69 and 41% aged 70 plus) in the community through different mechanisms including home visits and face to face education events and referrals to physicians. After 9 months there were significant increases in women aged 70 and older, not being worried about the future (p= 0.004), and more women aged 60-69 being happy most of the time (p=0.01).Happiness also improved for men (p=0.05) and there was a significant increase in participation in group activities and clubs among women (p=0.00).

While these programmes are delivered outside of the UK, health promoting initiatives delivered by volunteers can be implemented in a UK context. The majority of participants in both studies were women, less is known about their impact on men.

Table 1.4: Summary Table for Evidence St	atement 1.4: Educational health promoting
interventions delivered by volunteers and p	peers

Author	Quality rating	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
Year				
Country				
Collins	-	Uncontrolled	339; 80% women,	Peer and volunteer
		before and after	mean age 73.2,	delivered educational
2006		study	68% white, 10%	health promotion
			Hispanic	programme
US				
Malekafzali	-	Uncontrolled	101, 76% women,	Peer and volunteer
		before and after	mean age 70	delivered educational
2010		study		health promotion
				programme
Iran				

Collins and Benedict 2006 (-) used an uncontrolled before and after study to evaluate the effectiveness of the 'Seniors CAN' educational health promotion intervention implemented at 20 sites, largely day centres for older people and retirement housing villages, across rural and urban Nevada, USA. It comprised a 16 week course (2hrs per session) for volunteer peer educators and on-site staff. It was taught interactively to promote participation and included 15 lessons on topics including nutrition and food; personal safety, financial strategies to manage limited resources; general wellness and productive ageing. It was delivered to 339

people between the ages of 52 and 93(mean=73.20, SD 8.64), 80% female; 68% white. 10% of older people were taught in Spanish.

At the end of the course there were significant improvements in score on the Mastery Scale (Pearlin and Schooler 1978) from a mean score of 24.96 ± 0.28 to 27.01 ± 0.25 (t= 12.08, df = 323, p < 0.001). Loneliness, measured using the four item Revised UCLA Loneliness Scale (Russell, Peplau and Cutrona 1980), decreased from a mean score of 8.64 ± 0.10 to 7.86 ± 0.09 (t = 29.20, df = 329, p < 0.001). However it should be noted that there was poor internal consistency for loneliness scores casting doubt on score validity. The authors also noted that, while all participants benefited, minority participants with low incomes and those with higher formal educational levels had the greatest reductions in loneliness. They argued that this suggests that the programme may have the greatest impact on those at higher risk of health problems. While the study supports the idea of rolling out health and wellness programmes, it was uncontrolled with a convenience population sample and a low participation rate by men. Moreover, no long term data on effectiveness were collected. The precise role played by the volunteer peer educators, as opposed to on site staff at day centres is not clear.

In Iran, **Malekafzali et al. 2010** (-) assessed the effectiveness of an educational intervention designed to promote the health of older people. A group of community volunteers attended a four-day training workshop where they were instructed on how to pass on health promotion knowledge to older people in the community. This was done through a mixture of home visits, face to face health education events, leaflets and referrals to physicians.

The trained volunteers worked with a group of 101 older people (76% women and 24% men) from the Ekbatan Complex, which is a modern planned self-contained town about 5 kilometres from the centre of Tehran. Within the 9-month period of the intervention, each participant received at least four home visits. The effect of the intervention was measured by a questionnaire (provenance unknown) which included items related to mental health, leisure time, group activity and nutrition.

Indicators related to mental health - having a meaningful life and a feeling of happiness – for women increased after the intervention (p=0.00). For women aged 70 and older, not being worried about the future, was significantly better after the intervention (p=0.004) (increase size not reported). While before intervention 53% of women aged 60-69 reported that they were happy most of the time, this increased to 78% after intervention (p=0.01). There was

also a significant increase in group activities among older women after the intervention (from 16.7% before the intervention to 61.5% following the intervention (p=0.00). The only benefit for men was a significant increase in the feeling of happiness after the intervention (values not reported p=0.05).

Evidence Statement 1.5: Participation in a singing programme

There is evidence from four studies on the impact on mental wellbeing of participating in choirs and other singing groups. There is strong evidence from **Coulton et al 2015** (++), **pilot RCT, UK** that participation in a 14-week professionally led community choir group has a positive impact on mental wellbeing. 131 of 258 people over the age of 60 (mean age 69.2, 84% female, 98% white) were allocated to singing groups with the remainder in a wait-list control group. At 6 month follow up there was a significant improvement in SF-12 mental health component scores of 2.35 p<0.01 for the intervention group compared to the control group.

There is moderate evidence from **Cohen et al 2006, 2007** (+), **quasi-experimental study, USA,** on the positive impact of regular participation in a professionally conducted choral group on the mental wellbeing of 90 community dwelling older people (mean age 79, 78% female, 92% White). At 12 month follow up a significant difference in morale was seen with less deterioration in the intervention group t (125) = -1.92; p<0.06. This was maintained at 2 year follow up (Cohen et al 2007 +). The comparison group also reported a more significant decrease in weekly activity than the intervention group t (140) = -4.62; p<0.01.

There is weak evidence from an eight-week singing programme (**Davidson 2013, -, UBA, Australia**) participation in a singing group was not associated with statistically significant improvements in positive mental health or reductions in loneliness.

One of these programmes evaluated (Coulton et al 2015 [++]) is delivered in the UK in more than 40 locations; other voluntary sector delivered group singing programmes are also found in the UK

Table 1.5: Characteristics of studies in Evidence Statement 1.5: Participation in singing groups and choirs

Author	Quality	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
Year Country	rating			
Cohen 2006	+	Quasi experimental study	90 (intervention) 76 (controls) 78% female; mean age 79; 92% white	Participation in a professionally led choral singing group
US				
Cohen 2007	+	Quasi experimental study	90 (intervention) 76 (controls) 78% female; mean age 79: 92% white	Participation in a professionally led choral singing group
US			uge <i>13</i> , <i>32</i> /6 white	
Coulton	++	RCT	131 (intervention) and 127 (controls). Mean	Participation in singing group in community
2015			age 69, 84% female, 98% white.	venue led by professional facilitator
UK				
Davidson 2013	-	Uncontrolled before and after study	29 (intervention) 58% women, mean age 76	Participation in singing group at community centre led by experienced musician
Australia				

There has been interest in the potential role of participation in group singing activities on mental health and wellbeing with qualitative research in the UK pointing to positive benefits for older people (Skingley and Bungay 2010, Clift 2012). Recently **Coulton et al 2015** (++), in a pilot randomised controlled trial evaluated the impact of participation in a 14-week professionally led community choir group on mental wellbeing. 131 people with divided into 5 singing groups delivered in community venues in east Kent. A waiting-list control group of 127 people received no active intervention. There were no significant differences in the characteristics of the two groups at baseline – overall the population had a mean age 69.2, 84% were female and 98% were white and 8% had depression. There was a significant improvement in mean SF-12 mental health component scores for the intervention at 6 months compared to the control group: mean difference 2.35 (0.06 - 4.76) P=0.05. In the intervention group SF-12 mental health scores improved from 48.8 (46.8 – 50.8) CI to 52.3 (50.7 – 54.0) compared with 50.0 (47.9 – 52.2) to 49.9 (48.2 – 51.7) in the control group. The

3 month mean difference was greater: 4.77 (2.53 - 7.01) p < 0.01. While these results are promising the authors noted that the generalisability of the intervention may be difficult to judge given that the intervention was delivered mainly to white women in a small rural geographical area. They also indicated that the benefits of the intervention may have been due to group interaction rather than to singing per se, They also noted that the population was self-selecting group of people who were interested in singing and engagement with other groups may be different.

In the US **Cohen et al 2006** (+) in a quasi experimental study evaluated the impact of regular participation in a choral group directed by a professional conductor from a music academy on the mental wellbeing of 90 community dwelling older people (mean age 79, 78% female, 92% White) in Washington, D.C. They were compared with 76 older people (mean age 79.5, 80% female, 93% White) who did not receive the intervention. The intervention included weekly singing rehearsals for 30 weeks, as well as public performances several times during the intervention period.

At 12 month follow up a significant difference in morale, measured using the Philadelphia Geriatric Centre Morale Scale (Lawton 1975), between the two groups was seen, t (125)= - 1.92; p<0.06. Both groups experienced deterioration in morale but this was less in the intervention group. Mean morale scores decreased from 14.15 (SD 2.42) to 14.08 (SD 2.66) in intervention group and from 13.51 (SD 3.07) to 13.06 (SD 3.29) in the control group. The difference in morale scores at baseline between the two groups was not significant. It should though be noted that the comparison group had significantly greater levels of loneliness than the intervention group at baseline (p<0.05). Benefits to the intervention group in terms of morale were maintained at 2 year follow up (**Cohen et al 2007** +). The intervention group had a slightly greater decrease in loneliness measured using the Loneliness Scale-III (Russell 1996): intervention 35.11 to 34.6; comparison 38.26 to 37.02. This maintained the significant difference in loneliness seen between the two groups at baseline.

The comparison group also self reported a more significant decrease in level of weekly activity than did the intervention group. The average number of weekly activities for the intervention group went from 5.37 at baseline to 4.29 12 months later. The comparison group reported a decrease from 4.88 to 2.58, t (140) = -4.62; p<0.01. It can also be noted that the studies also looked at the impact on the use of health care resources over both one year and
two periods observing a lower use of health care resources and doctor visits by the choral singing group.

An uncontrolled before and after study in Australia **Davidson 2013** (-) evaluated the effect of a singing programme designed for community-dwelling older adults on their health and wellbeing in Australia. An experienced community musician at a local community centre led singing group sessions over 8 weeks. Each weekly session started with vocal and physical warm-ups followed by singing songs popular in Australia in the past 60 years. Nineteen participants were recruited through a community newspaper advertisement and 17 were recruited from older people making use of a home help service provider (Silver Chain). The analysis was based on 29 intervention completers only.

For 16 participants recruited through a community newspaper advertisement there were no significant differences in SF-36 Mental Health component scores reported pre and post intervention: 86.3 s.d. +/- 11.4 and 82.0 s.d +/- 15.1 (p valued not reported). For the 13 participants receiving home help services there were also no significant difference is the SF-36 Mental Health component scores reported pre and post intervention: 77.7 s.d +/- 13.5 and 73.0 s.d. +/- 21.2 (p values not reported).

Vitality scores on the SF-36 fell significantly in the community newspaper recruited group from 72.5 +/- 11.0 to 62.1 s.d. +/- 17.3 p=0.03. There were no significant differences in vitality scores for the 13 participants recruited through the home care services. No significant differences in loneliness scores using the UCLA loneliness scale (Russell 1996) pre and post the singing intervention were found for participants recruited through the community newspaper or through home help service (values are not reported in the paper). However, qualitative study interviews (which also included responses from participants in receipt of home help services) showed most participants found the experience positive during and after the intervention: 68% frequently felt an improved sense of well-being during and after the intervention and 77% of the participants reported gains in self-confidence as a result of performing.

Evidence Statement 1.6: Using a national arts festival celebrating creativity in older people

There is weak evidence from an exploratory study in the Republic of Ireland that

evaluated a national arts festival attracting 100,000 people called Bealtaine, that celebrated creativity in older people each year (O'Shea et al 2012, -, exploratory and qualitative, Ireland). Nearly 90 % of participants found that participation in Bealtaine improved their quality of life, as well as encouraged their personal development in terms of enhanced learning and organisational skills. Furthermore, more than 90% of older participants reported in surveys that social contacts were increased and over 80% said that they had better engagement with the local community.

Such an arts festival could be implemented in a UK context; arts and health projects for older people, including cultural events, have been delivered in the UK.

Table 1.6: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 1.6: National Arts Festival celebrating creativity in older people

Author	Quality rating	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
Year				
Country				
O'Shea	-	Cross sectional	235 older people	National arts festival –
		survey	postal questionnaire	the Bealtaine
2012			and 26 face to face	
			interviews; 187 postal	
Ireland			questionnaires of	
			national organisers of	
			arts festival activities	

O'Shea 2012, - cross sectional survey, Ireland used an exploratory study to evaluate a month long national arts festival called *Bealtaine* (the Gaelic word for the May Day Festival) that celebrated creativity in older people each year (approximately 100 000 people across the country participated, mainly retired older people 65+). The festival encompasses many art-forms and includes both long-standing professionally facilitated arts programmes, sometimes using international co-ordinators and one-off events linked to local organisations. The 2014 event also took people to cultural events in Spain (Age and Opportunity Ireland 2014). It is organised by a national Irish charity Age and Opportunity. Each year there is a unifying

theme for the festival which various organisers across the country can subsequently use, if they wish, as a focus for their own event. Local authorities, arts centres, libraries, active retirement groups, care settings and community groups from every part of the country can run Bealtaine events that celebrate creativity in older age. A postal survey of all 435 organisers of Bealtaine events across the country was undertaken (43 % response rate). Participant postal questionnaires for older people were also sent to one randomly selected Active Retirement Association (ARA) in each county in Ireland. The ARA was asked to distribute the questionnaire to all of its members and a stamped addressed envelope was provided for the return of completed questionnaires to the researchers. 235 returned the questionnaires - 100% response rate in some ARAs. 26 face to face interviews with older people were also held. Nearly 90% of participants found that participation in Bealtaine improved their quality of life, as well as encouraged their personal development in terms of enhanced learning and organisational skills. Furthermore, more than 90% of older participants reported that social contacts were increased and over 80% said that they had better engagement with the local community. However, due to the descriptive and crosssectional nature of the study, there were limited possibilities to measure the impact of the intervention.

Evidence Statement 1.7: Using arts to promote and protect mental and wellbeing

There is moderate evidence from 10 papers covering 9 studies (Bedding 2008 -, de Medeiros 2011 +, Eyigor 2009 +, Creech 2013/Hallam 2014 +, Haslam 2014 -, Lee 2010 ++, Seinfeld 2013 +, Sole 2010 -, Travers 2011-,) supporting a range of different art and music related interventions in promoting and protecting the mental wellbeing of older people. These studies are in addition to the evidence seen on participation in professional choirs seen in evidence statement 1.5 and participating in an arts festival in evidence statement 1. 6.

Lee 2010 ++, RCT, Hong Kong explored the effects of a music listening intervention using MP3 players on the quality of life of 70 community dwelling older adults (mean age 76) reporting significant improvements in vitality, social functioning, emotional role and mental health after 4 weeks (p<0.006). Travers and Bartlett 2011 (-), UBA, **Australia** looked at the impact of a nostalgic radio station on older listeners mood (mean age 79), loneliness and quality of life. While there were no significant changes in loneliness or social isolation, there were significant improvements on the Quality of Life- Alzheimer Disease scale. **Haslam and colleagues (2014) (-), RCT, Canada** examined the effectiveness of novel forms of song-based reminiscence compared to story reminiscence for 40 people (mean age 85.5 to 88.5 in 3 groups). There were significant increases in life satisfaction after 6 weeks: secular singing group (p=0.005), religious song group (p=0.018) and story reminiscence groups (p=0.01).

Creech 2013/Hallam 2014 +, **quasi experimental study**, **UK** explored how participation in making music might support the social, emotional and cognitive wellbeing of older people. Findings suggest those actively engaged in making music exhibit higher levels of wellbeing than those engaged in other group activities (effect sizes ranging from 0.11 to 0.19). **Seinfeld 2013** +, **quasi-experimental**, **Spain** evaluated the impact of weekly piano lessons and daily training on cognitive function, mood and quality of life in 13 older adults (60+). Quality of life outcomes increased compared to controls but the study was not powered to test statistical significance.

Sole et al 2010 (-), before and after controlled study, Spain, examined the impact of different types of music activities (choral singing, music appreciation classes and preventive music therapy) on quality of life of 83 healthy older adults (83% women, mean age 72.6). Non-significant improvements in new friendships, self-satisfaction, perceived usefulness and optimism were seen in all three groups. Eyigor et al (2009) (-), RCT, Turkey examined the impacts of group-based Turkish folklore dance for healthy women aged 65 and over. Over 8 weeks, there was a significant improvement in mental health in the dance group (p<0.05). There were no significant differences in vitality, social functioning and emotional role.

de Medeiros et al. 2011 (+), **RCT, US** assessed the effectiveness of a structured autobiographical writing workshop on autobiographical memory, mood and self-concept in older adults. 51 older adults (age range from 67–96 years) were randomly assigned to one of three groups: an autobiographical writing workshop and two control groups – a reminiscence group or a no-treatment control group. Findings

indicated that self-ratings of overall well-being decreased over time across groups, but the authors did not believe that the study had a detrimental impact on participants.

In a small qualitative study **Bedding and Sadlo (2008),-, exploratory pilot study, UK** 6 older retirees (aged 65 to 84) were interviewed about their experiences in community art classes. The participants described painting as enjoyable, rewarding, satisfying and relaxing. It brought a sense of achievement and boosted their confidence and helped them to manage negative emotions. It also helped to socialise with other people as a social club.

All of these music and art interventions potentially could be delivered or adapted for delivery to a UK context.

Table 1.7 Characteristics of Studies for Evidence Statement 1.7: Using arts and music to
promote and protect mental and wellbeing

Author	Quality rating	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
Year				
Country				
Bedding	-	Qualitative interviews	6 (4 women, mean age 75)	Community art classes
2008				
UK				
De	+	RCT	18 in writing	Autobiographical
Medeiros			workshop	writing workshop and
			18 oral reminiscence	oral reminiscence
2011			group	
			15 no intervention	
US				
			(60% women, mean	
			age 80)	
Eyigor	+	RCT	19 intervention	Group Turkish folklore
			18 control (100%	dance
2009			women, mean age	
			73.5)	
Turkey				
Creech /	+	Quasi-	398: Intervention	Various forms of
Hallam		experimental	groups	musical activities
		study	102: Comparison	

2013/14			groups (81% female, range 50 -93)	
UK				
Haslam 2014	-	RCT	40 across 3 intervention groups (54% women, mean age 85.5)	Secular songs, story reminiscence, religious songs
	++	RCT	31 intervention	Music listening
2010			35 control (55% women, mean age 76.3)	programme
Hong Kong				
Seinfeld	+	Quasi experimental	13: intervention 16: control (53%	Weekly piano lessons
2013		study	women, mean age 69.3)	
Spain				
Sole	-	Before and after controlled	Choir: 52 Music appreciation:	Choir, music appreciation class and
2010		study	19 Preventive music	preventive music therapy
Spain			therapy 19 (83% women, mean age 72 6)	
Travers	-	Uncontrolled	154 listeners (71%	'Silver Memories'
		before and	women, mean age	bygone radio broadcast
2011		after study	79.9)	programme
Australia				

Lee 2010 ++, RCT, Hong Kong explored the effects of a music listening intervention on the quality of life of 70 community dwelling older adults with a mean age of 76 years. In the randomised controlled trial, 31 older adults participated in a 4 week music listening intervention programme which involved receiving training on how to use an MP3 player. Controls participated in a 'rest period' each week. The five types of music included meditative music, Chinese classical, Asian classical, Western classical and slow jazz. A total of 62 musical pieces were loaded onto an MP3 player to allow participants to choose their preferred music. At each weekly session each participant selected a piece of music which was played for 30 minutes. Each participant listened privately to their choice of music using earphones. 4 weeks after the end of the intervention and compared to the control group,

mental health components of the Chinese version 2.0 of the SF-36: vitality, social functioning, emotional role and mental health improved significantly (p<0.006).

Travers and Bartlett 2011 (-) in an uncontrolled before and after study in Australia looked at the impact of a radio programme on older listeners mood, loneliness and quality of life. 'Silver Memories' was a radio service with the specific aim of addressing social isolation and loneliness among older Australians by broadcasting music (primarily), serials and other segments of radio programmes that were popular between the 1920 and 1950s. It was broadcast by a Brisbane community radio station, 4MBS Classic FM, and could be received using a custom built radio receiver (which was the case for everyone in the study) or also over the internet. 113 of 154 participants with a mean age of 79, 70% of whom were women and 60% who lived in the community, and did not show signs of dementia, agreed to listen to Silver Memories for at least an hour a day for three months. No significant change in loneliness or social isolation was reported, although there were significant improvements using the Quality of Life- Alzheimer Disease scale and a reduction in depressive symptoms using the Geriatric Depression Scale-5. The authors noted their measure of loneliness may not have been sensitive enough to pick up changes, while at baseline there were few people stating that they were socially isolated or lonely so that may also have contributed to the limited impact.

Creech 2013/ Hallam 2014 (+) in a quasi-experimental approach explored how participation in making music might support the social, emotional and cognitive wellbeing of older people. The study participants ranged from 50 to 93 (mean age not reported) and participated in community-based activities such as various forms of musical activities, as well as nonmusical activities (e.g. language classes, social activities, yoga classes) in London. These groups were compared to explore the possible support of musical activities for experienced wellbeing in later life. Based on survey data retrieved (398 responses from participants of musical activities and 102 from those participating in other activity groups), the findings suggest that those actively engaged with making music exhibit higher levels of well-being than those engaged in other group activities, particularly in relation to having a sense of purpose, feeling in control and autonomous in their lives, and receiving affirmation through positive social relationships, although the effect sizes are small. A factor analysis approach was used and confirmed that subjective wellbeing seems to be underpinned by a 1) sense of purpose; 2) feeling in control and autonomous; and 3) receiving affirmation through positive social relationships that provide individuals with respect and status. There were statistically significant differences between the groups on three factors: sense of purpose (effect size 0.19) p<0.0001 control/autonomy (effect size 0.15) p<0.001 and social affirmation (effect size 0.11) p<0.05. In all cases the scores of those participating in the music groups were better indicating more positive responses.

These findings could be interpreted as indicating that engaging in music has additional value beyond other group work, perhaps because of the social nature of music making, the rewarding nature of performance and the impact of music on mood. Alternatively, the findings could be interpreted as showing that those individuals who had chosen to engage with music as opposed to other activities already had higher perceived levels of control, autonomy, sense of purpose and positive social relationships. However, as a sizeable proportion of the sample had been involved in making music prior to the research being undertaken, interpretation is complex. The study was also limited by its design which meant that no baseline data could be collected, only measures after exposure to music or other activities.

Seinfeld 2013 +, **quasi-experimental, Spain** evaluated the impact of learning to play a musical instrument on cognitive function, mood and quality of life in older adults. The intervention consisted of weekly piano lessons provided by a music teacher and individual daily training for 4-months (n=13) to adults with a mean age of $69.3 \pm 7.2.03$. The training programme included components of learning musical theory, sight-reading and playing a keyboard. A group of individuals participating in other types of leisure activities (e.g. physical exercise, computer lessons, painting lessons) served as a control group (n=16).). For the quality of life outcomes, measured using the WHOQOL-BREF (Anon 1995), psychological domain scores increased (pre-programme mean score and *SE*: 30.81 ± 0.53 ; post-programme mean score and *SE*: 29.50 ± 0.33). The scores of the control group tended to decrease or remain the same, but the study was not powered to detect a significant difference in Quality of Life outcomes.

Fatigue scores decreased in the piano group (pre-programme mean score and *SE*: 4.23 ± 1.20 ; post-programme mean score and *SE*: 2.92 ± 0.70), as well as the total scores on the Profile of Mood States scale (McNair, Lorr and Droppleman 1971) measuring six mood states (pre-programme mean score and *SE*: 117.70 ± 7.18 ; post-programme mean score and *SE*: 111.33 ± 6.23). It is noteworthy that within the control group, the opposite pattern was found - the

scores in the total score (pre-programme mean score and *SE*: 104.31 ± 3.14 ; post-programme mean score and *SE*: 106.93 ± 2.85) and fatigue (pre-programme mean score and *SE*: 2.13 ± 0.55 ; post-programme mean score and *SE*: 3.19 ± 0.58) increased overtime.

In a randomised controlled trial in Canada, **Haslam et al 2014** (-) examined the effects of traditional story-based reminiscence and novel forms of song-based reminiscences for 40 older adults either living independently or in retirement living or assisted care. The interventions were: secular song reminiscence (n=13 mean age 86.4, 7 Women, 6 Men), sharing and singing along with popular music from the 1920s to the 1970s and brief conversations about the songs; or religious song-based reminiscence (n=13, mean age 85.5, 7 Women, 6 Men) focused on Christian songs selected by a chaplain from the 1920 to 1970s. Each session lasted 30 minutes for 12 sessions, two times per week over 6 weeks. In the control group, 12 standard story reminiscence sessions (n=14) (mean age 88.5; 10 Women and 4 Men) were held twice per week. Each session lasted 30 minutes. The focus was on talking about past memories and experiences with other people in the group using props.

Over 6 weeks, in the three groups, there were significant increases in life satisfaction measured using the Satisfaction with life Scale (Diener et al. 1985). This uses a 1 to 5 point scale where higher mean values indicate a stronger sense of wellbeing. All three groups improved significantly: secular singing group (p=0.005), religious song group (p=0.018) and story reminiscence groups (p=0.01). The largest improvement in life satisfaction was found in the religious song group from 3.8 to 4.0, while the secular song group improved from 4.5 to 4.6, with the story group improving marginally. It is worth noting that those in the secular song group already had the highest score prior to the intervention. Another limitation was that participants were recruited from three different living arrangements such as independent living, retirement living, and assisted care, but outcomes were not reported separately. It was not very clear where the interventions were held.

Sole et al 2010 uncontrolled before and after study (-) examined the impacts of different music activities on quality of life in 83 healthy older adults with a mean age of 72.6. Most of the participants were women (83%), living with low incomes of \notin 900- \notin 1200 per month. The interventions consisted of three elements: choral singing (52 participants), music appreciation classes (12 participants), and preventive music therapy (PMTP) sessions at leisure centres (19 participants). Over 9 months, older adults in the choir group met weekly to prepare for performance in a concert. In the music appreciation group, older people participated in

weekly educational sessions to learn basic music concepts. Those in the preventive music therapy group practiced and rehearsed functional skills via music activities to promote and maintain their functions. Activities were not directly compared but there were non-significant improvements in new friendships, self-satisfaction, perceived usefulness, optimism. The authors attributed the lack of statistical significant to the high levels of health in participants at the start of the interventions, meaning that there was little room for further benefits. However, the authors indicated that musical activities can be helpful in keep the older adults healthy.

Eyigor et al (2009) (-), **RCT, Turkey** examined the impacts of Turkish folklore dance on the physical performance, balance, depression and quality of life in healthy women aged 65 and over who were physically active and able to perform activities of daily living independently but had no previous experience in strength or regular exercise training. 18 women took part in the Turkish folklore dance classes that were held three times per week with each session lasting one hour and facilitated by a senior folklore dance expert. 19 women in the control group did not receive any intervention. Over 8 weeks, there was a significant improvement in mental health in the dance group, measured using the SF-36 at post-test (p<0.05). However, no significant differences were found in vitality, social functioning and emotional role in the intervention and control groups at follow-up assessments. The authors indicated that larger sample sizes with longer duration are needed and they also raised the issue of transferability of the Turkish folklore dance movements to other ethnic groups.

de Medeiros et al. 2011 (++) conducted a RCT in US to assess the effectiveness of a structured autobiographical writing workshop (AAW) on autobiographical memory, mood and self-concept in older adults. A group of 51 older adults (age range from 67–96 years) from the two retirement communities in Maryland were randomly assigned to one of three groups: autobiographical writing workshop (n=18), a reminiscence group (REM) (n=18) or a no-treatment control group (n=15). The AAW and REM groups met once a week for 90 minutes. Follow-up testing was carried out after 8 and 34 weeks on a range of memory, new episodic learning, and mood, personality, self-concept and quality of life measures.

A significant effect of time was also found on the number of pleasant memories reported (F(1.45, 66.7)=25.6, p<0.001). Across groups, the number of 'pleasant' memories increased

from the baseline to 8 weeks, and stayed high at 34 weeks. Even though the results for SF-36 showed no significant effect of group or a group by time interaction for the emotional wellbeing section of the SF-36, there was however a significant effect of time [F(1.75, 84.13)=3.48, p=0.4]. The findings indicated that self-ratings of overall well-being decreased over time across groups, but the authors did not believe that the study had a detrimental impact on participants.

Bedding and Sadlo (2008), -, exploratory pilot study, UK interviewed 6 older retirees (aged 65 to 84) about their experiences in community art classes using oil and water coloured paintings. Using interviews, the participants described painting as enjoyable, rewarding, satisfying, fun, and relaxing. It brought a sense of achievement and boosted their confidence and helped them to manage negative emotions. It also helped to socialise with other people as a social club. The authors mentioned that there were generalisability issues as all participants were white British retirees and future studies should look at more culturally diverse populations.

Evidence Statement 1.8: Support for older caregivers

There is weak but consistent evidence from 7 studies: 1 RCT, 2 non randomised controlled studies 2 uncontrolled before and after studies, 1 exploratory uncontrolled pilot study, 1 uncontrolled before and after study and 1 cross-sectional survey (Boise 2005 -, Duscharme 2012 +, Duscharme 2011 -, Greenfield 2012 + , Mui 2013 1, Savundranayagam 2011 -, Won 2008 -) that psychosocial educational interventions delivered through a variety of programmes to support older people who have informal family caregiving responsibilities, largely when caring with for people with dementia, can promote or protect their mental wellbeing. In addition a feasibility study on the use of music therapy to help family caregivers with relaxation, comfort and happiness suggests this intervention merits further evaluation Hanser et al 2011 (-).

Ducharme 2011, -, before and after controlled study, Canada (-) and **Duscharme 2012** (+), **RCT, Canada** evaluated the effectiveness of a psychoeducational programme that can be delivered by lay people to help new caregivers adapt to their new role. In the 2011 study following intervention caregivers had significantly improved confidence in dealing with caregiving situations (P<0.001) and better self-

efficacy (P<0.001). In the 2012 study caregivers had improved confidence in their ability to care (P<005) while improvements in self efficacy tended to significance (P<0.06).

Boise et al 2005 (-), UBA, USA also evaluated an educational programme to empower family caregivers, reporting significant positive changes (in the desired direction) in emotional well-being at initial follow up and 6 months later.
Savundranayagam et al 2011 (-), before and after controlled study, USA looking at the same programme found significantly lower levels of stress burden and objective burden at 6 weeks in the intervention group (unquantified). Won 2008 (-), uncontrolled before and after, US found significant improvements in caregivers psychological wellbeing (p<0.001). Mui 2013 (-), uncontrolled exploratory study, US which provided support for Chinese caregivers and a survey analysis by Greenfield 2012+, US) of the impacts on caregivers of participating in volunteer and education programmes found improvements in self reported mental wellbeing (both unquantified).

Hanser et al 2011 (-), uncontrolled pilot feasibility study, USA looked at a different type of intervention: the impact of a caregiver-administered music programme for family members who have dementia in an exploratory feasibility study. Caregivers rated an improvement in their own relaxation, comfort and happiness following the use of the music programme.

Although these studies were all conducted outside of the UK, the interventions could be delivered in a UK context and one of the manualised support programmes for caregivers is being trialled in a UK context.

Author	Quality	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
Year	raung			
Country				

Table 1.8: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 1.8: Support for caregivers

Boise	-	Uncontrolled	N=359.78%	"Powerful Tools for
		before and after	women, mean	Caregiving''
2005		study	age 61	Programme, an
				education program
US				for family
				caregivers of older
				adults
Ducharme	+	Randomised	N=111, 70%	"Learning to
		controlled trial	women, mean	Become a Family
2011			age 60	Caregiver"
				psychoeducational
Canada				programme
Ducharme	+	Randomised	N=97, 82%	"Learning to
		controlled trial	women, mean	Become a Family
2012			age 60	Caregiver"
				psychoeducational
Canada				programme
Greenfield	+	Cross-sectional	5092	A survey of older
		survey	(responses	people who
2012			received),	volunteer as
			mean age 70.5	caregivers as part of
US				lifelong learning
				and health ageing
				programmes
Hanser	-	Exploratory	N=14, 63%	Caregiver-
		feasibility study	women, mean	administered music
2011		applying an	age 75	program with family
		applied		members who have
US		behaviour		dementia
		analysis design		
			1.0	211
Muı	-	Exploratory	19	Pilot programme for
2012		uncontrolled pilot	(intervention)	older Chinese
2013		study	72% women.	immigrants to
110			mean age 72	provide emotional
US			29	support and coping
			28 caregivers	skills over the
				telephone to other
				older Chinese
0 1			NT 117	immigrants
Savundranayaga	+	Quasi-	IN=115	Powerful Lools for
IU		experimental	(intervention)	Caregiving
2011			1N=95 (control)	Programme, an
2011			70% women,	for family
UC			mean age /1	for failing
05				caregivers of older
Won		I In controlled	165	auulls Community hazad
w OII	-	before and offer	10J	community-based
2008		before and after	(intervention),	programme providing training
2000		1	2070 wonnen,	providing training

		mean age 62	by peers, self-care
US			skill-building and
			self-efficacy
			enhancing, to adult
			informal caregivers
			of frail older adults

Ducharme 2011(-) and 2012 (+) used controlled before and after studies to evaluate the effectiveness of the 'Learning to Become a Family Caregiver" programme in Canada. This psychoeducational programme which was delivered by lay people following three days of training, focused on the acquisition of skills to help caregivers adapt to their new role. In both studies a third of the carers were retired spousal carers and there were no significant differences in population characteristics. In the 2011 study when comparing 62 caregivers (mean age 60.37 s.d. 13.12, 38.5% spousal carers) who completed the seven session manualised group course with 49 caregivers (mean age 62.75 s.d. 13.22, 32.6% spousal carers) who did not receive any psychological education or support, no significant difference in Informal Social Support, the frequency of support received by caregivers from family (excluding the ill relative), friends, and neighbours, measured using the 27-item Inventory of Socially Supportive Behaviours (Krause and Markides 1990), was found 3 months after the course ended. The ability of carers to have confidence in dealing with caregiving situations significantly improved (p<0.001) using the Self Efficacy Scale (Kuhn and Fulton 2004); caregiver self-efficacy also significantly improved (P<0.001) using the Revised Scale for Caregiving Self Efficacy (Steffen et al. 2002).

The 2012 study which had 97 (intervention group 61 carers, mean age 59.6 s.d. 11.94, 37.9% spousal carers and control group 36 carers, mean age 61.22 s.d. 12.45, 33.4% spousal carers) participants had similar findings, this time after a six month follow up. There were however other benefits to caregivers in terms of their ability to cope with caring: confidence in caregiving situations improved (P<005) while improvements in self efficacy were almost significant P<0.06) The authors felt the intervention was limited by recruiting caregivers from memory clinics and the impacts may have been greater for caregivers who did come into contact with this specialist service. These studies were in contrast to earlier work by the same authors of another modulised caregiver education programme "Taking Care of Myself" (Ducharme et al. 2005) which did find significant informal and formal social support benefits for caregivers. However all these caregivers were adult daughter carers rather than spouses so the study was excluded from this review.

Boise (-), **2005** used an uncontrolled before and after study to evaluate an educational programme to empower family caregivers to reduce negative effects of caregiving and to practice self-care. 359 individuals were initially in the study with 186 individuals providing pre and post programme data. The 'Powerful Tools for Caregiving'' programme, an education programme for family caregivers of older adults, consisted of two half hour sessions, once a week over a 6 week period, where each class covered a different topic and taught ''tools'' that provide useful techniques for improving caregivers' emotions, self-care behaviours and self- efficacy. Each class also included a different relaxation tool, e.g., guided imagery, deep breathing, or ''shoulder lift. A train-the-trainer approach was used to train professionals and community volunteers as class leaders and master trainers.

Significant positive change (in the desired direction) was reported in all areas of expected outcomes: emotional well-being, self-care behaviours, self-efficacy, and use and knowledge of community services. Compared to pre-intervention scores, mean 6 month post intervention scores measured using their own 3-item Positive Feelings about Caregiving Scale (PFCS) increased from 5.13 (SD 2.2) to 6.14 (SD 2.1) t=-3.42 p<0.01, while anger measured using measured using the 4-item Anger/Irritability scale (Pearlin and Mullan 1988) decreased from 3.51 (SD 2.2) to 2.41 (SD 2.0) t=3.66 p<0.01. Guilt, measured using the using a 4-item scale adapted from the Feelings of Not Doing Enough subscale of the Caregiver Guilt Scale (Kingsman 1992) also decreased from 3.23 (SD 2.5) to 2.52 (SD 2.1) t=2.44 p<0.05. The results of the study while positive are limited by study design and dropout rate of 28% and a low response rate for the six month follow up. It is not clear also how well the scales have been validated.

Savundranayagam 2011 (+) in a quasi-experimental study also evaluated the impact of the 'Powerful Tools for Caregiving'' programme, in a study focused solely on spousal caregivers with a mean age of 71 in the intervention group. Using structural equation modelling intervention participants were found to have significantly lower levels of stress burden and objective burden than comparison group participants at the end of the six week intervention period. One limitation of both this and the Boise study is a lack of assessment on general mental wellbeing rather than on specific caregiver aspects of wellbeing.

One US uncontrolled before and after study, **Won 2008** (-), evaluated a community-based programme providing training, self-care skill-building and self-efficacy enhancing, to adult informal caregivers of frail older adults. 39% of the carers were aged 65 years or older with

most being the spouses of the care recipient. The training was delivered over 6 weekly sessions by trained peers with social worker support. It was compared to a no-intervention control group, examining the effects on psychological wellbeing. Psychological wellbeing on the mental health index-5 (MHI-5) (Berwick et al. 1991) scale improved significantly in these caregivers aged 65+ from 9.2 (+/- 2.0 s.d) to 10.3 (+/- 2.0 s.d, p<0.001).

In the USA, **Mui 2013** (-) used a survey to explore the effect of a pilot programme training older Chinese immigrants to provide emotional support and coping skills over the telephone – in Mandarin or Cantonese at least once per week to other older Chinese immigrants. The intervention consisted of intensive 72 hour-training with ongoing training sessions every 3-4 weeks. Twenty-eight caregivers who received support were assessed using the Brief Assessment Scale for Caregivers (BASC) in Chinese as well as other measures specifically designed for the program. As a group, these caregivers felt that Phone Angel volunteers reduced their stress and burden, listened well, and made good suggestions when problems arose. (However figures were reported in an unpublished working paper which it was not possible to obtain)

In the US, a survey by **Greenfield 2012** (+) examined whether participating in communitybased volunteer and educational activities is more beneficial to caregivers than noncaregivers. A survey of randomly selected participants of the US national OASIS (lifelong learning, healthy living and social engagement) programmes consisting of volunteer and educational activities provided by older people in the community was conducted in 18 locations. A sample of 5092 OASIS volunteers, of which 1022 were also caregivers, with an average age of 70.5 years, provided information about self-perceived benefits of the programme. The benefits were assessed by the six items designed to measure psychosocial benefits of engagement. The findings indicated that caregivers were more likely to report benefits on all measures (p<0.05). Results regarding the caregiver status on the summative psychosocial benefit score were statistically significant, with caregivers reporting more benefit than non-caregivers ($\beta = 0.64$, t=3.85, p=.0013). The analysis also showed that the adjusted mean benefit score for caregivers was 20.63 and 19.99 for non-caregivers (significance not reported in paper).

The US study by **Hanser 2011** (-) looked at the impact of a caregiver-administered music programme for family members who have dementia in an exploratory feasibility study. The

music-facilitated stress reduction programme required a music therapist to train the 14 family caregivers in the study to discuss musical selections appropriate for relaxation, and to rehearse how the family member with dementia could be engaged with the music. Families were asked to listen to a tailored CD together on 3 days each week. The emphasis was on using music from the 1930s to the 1960s. Caregivers rated their own relaxation, comfort and happiness, as well as their perception of these states in their care recipients using a visual analogue scale from 1 to 10. Both care recipients and caregivers experienced enhanced relaxation during the treatment period by an average of 1.96 and 2.55 points, respectively. Care recipients and caregivers demonstrated an average increase of 1.60 and 1.86 points, respectively, in comfort level. Happiness increased by 0.93 points in care recipients and 1.45 points in caregivers. Overall, caregivers experienced a greater benefit than care recipients in all three areas by an average of 1.37 points. Most of these changes in self reported wellbeing measures for individual carers were reported to be significant.

Cluster 2: Intergenerational activities and volunteering

Evidence Statement 2.1: School-based intergenerational activities

There is moderate consistent evidence on the effectiveness of school-based intergenerational social activities linking children and young people with older people in improving the mental wellbeing of older people from 3 studies, 1 RCT, 1 quasi-experimental study and 1 qualitative study (de Souza 2007 ++, Fuijiwara 2009 +, Herrmann et al 2005 +).

One RCT (**de Souza 2007,** ++, **RCT, Brazil**) of 266 older people (149 group participants and 117 controls) indicates that intergenerational small group-based activities led by teachers and delivered in the school setting can lead to improved family relationships 4 months after intervention (p=0.03). One controlled before and after study (**Fujiwara 2009 +, CBA, Japan**) found evidence that intergenerational contact, involving older volunteers reading to children enlarged the social contacts of older people with non-related children (p<0.001). Further, there is evidence from a quasi experimental study (Herrmann 2005 +, quasi-experimental, US), involving

66 older people trained to provide life-skills training to high-school students. This study reported improved psychosocial development.

All of these studies were conducted in settings outside of the UK making it difficult to assess their applicability as a whole to a UK context, but intergenerational activities involving older adults volunteering in schools can be found in a UK context.

Three studies included in the review examined the different school-based intergenerational activities.

Table 2.1: Summary of Characteristics for Studies Included in Evidence Statement 1
School-based intergenerational activities

Author	Quality rating	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
Year				
Country				
de Souza	++	RCT	149 (intervention)	Intergenerational group-based
2007			117 (control); 61% women, mean age 69.5	activities in a school-based context
Brazil			C	
Fuijiwara	+	Non	67 (intervention)	The REPRINTS programme
2009		randomised controlled study	74 (control), 78% women, mean age 68	dedicated to educate and engage senior volunteers in picture book reading to young and school-aged
Japan				children
Herrmann	+	Non	36 (intervention)	Intergenerational program with
2005		randomised controlled study	30 (comparison),72% women,mean age 71	older people providing life skills training to high school students
US				

In Brazil **de Souza 2007** (++) conducted a RCT with 266 older adults 60 years or older (149 in intervention and 117 in control) that examined a 4-month programme of intergenerational small group-based activities, in which older people shared their memories with younger people in a school context. The sessions (approx. 2 hours) were held once a week at school during class time. The intervention was compared to a no-intervention control condition. The results from the study showed that those in the intervention group were significantly more

likely than those in the control group to report that "all or most neighbours help each other" (OR 2.27, CI 1.249–4.131, p = 0.007) and "all or most people are honest" (rather than "few or none") (OR 2.50, CI 1.26–4.93, p = 0.008), indicating higher levels of cognitive social capital. Furthermore, those in the intervention group were significantly more likely to report that their family relationships were good or very good (OR 2.61, CI 1/4 1.21–5.61, p = 0.014), as well as more likely than controls to report an improvement in family relationships (OR 3.79, CI 1.07–13.46, p = 0.039). In the intention to treat-analysis, the association was again in the same direction, but was not statistically significant.

In Japan Fujiwara et al. 2009 (+) conducted a non-randomised controlled study which examined the effects of the REPRINTS (Research of Productivity by Intergenerational Sympathy) intervention on senior volunteers' physical and psychological health, social participation, social networks, social support, and their cognitive functions. The REPRINTS programme was designed to educate and engage senior volunteers in picture book reading to young and school-aged children. A group of 67 older people (average age 68 years) from three study areas in Japan, attended a weekly training session over a 3-month period to learn about book selection and reading techniques. Following the completion of their training, the volunteers visited a number of selected elementary schools, kindergartens and child care centres to read picture books to the children. Data were collected on a number of physical health, mental wellbeing and social support dimensions 9 and 21 months after the collection of baseline data. The results showed that 56 volunteers who were active in the programme for more than nine months were significantly more motivated to continue participation in order to make new friendships compared to the 11 volunteers who withdrew from the programme before nine months (67.9% versus 27.3%, p = 0.019). Compared to controls there were no significant differences between volunteers and control group (N=56) in social activities or in providing social support to other family members. At nine month follow up there were no differences in frequency of contacts between volunteers and controls with the exception of communication with non-related or non-neighbourhood dwelling children which increased from a mean of 1.6 (between less than once a month and a few times per month) (\pm 1.7 s.d) to 3.3 (between one and two times per week) (± 1.1 s.d) versus 1.6 (± 1.8 s.d) to 1.4 (± 1.5 s.d) resulting in a significant difference between volunteers and controls (p<0.001). At 21 month follow up for 37 volunteers still in the programme versus 60 controls, the frequency of interaction with children continued to increase significantly (p<0.001) (precise figures not reported – approximate values: 3.8 versus 1.7).

In the US Herrmann 2005 (+) used a non-randomised controlled study with a group of senior citizen volunteers (66 participants between 60 and 81 years) to look at the impact of participation in an intergenerational program with high school students. Older people were trained to provide life skills training. Half of the trainers were assigned to teach a violence/anger-reduction curriculum, while the other group was assigned to teach a vocational-education and career-development curriculum. The groups of students consisted of 8 to 12 sixth grade (not stated in report but in the US this would normally cover students from ages 13 to 18). According to the results from this study, participation in intergenerational programming appeared to influence generativity among the volunteers (an indicator of psychosocial health according to, capturing the stage in adulthood when contributing to society and doing things to benefit future generations are important needs). The senior volunteers engaged in the violence/anger-reduction curriculum demonstrated significantly higher scores on the generativity component of psychosocial health measurement at post-test compared to the non-participants (F (1, 54)=10.37, p<0.005, n^2 =0.16, large effect size). This significant change was however not found in the other group of volunteer trainers, nor for other measured components of psychosocial health (such as integrity of life experiences at the end of life, experienced by adults over the age of 60, according to the theory of Erikson), highlighting that the results from the study are inconclusive.

Evidence Statement 2.2: Intergenerational activities involving children outside of the school setting.

There is weak but positive evidence on the effectiveness of intergenerational social activities involving young children interacting with older people outside of the school setting in improving the mental wellbeing of older people in 3 studies (Kamei 2011 -, Marx 2005 - and Morita 2013 -).

Kamei et al. 2011 (-), quasi-experimental study, Japan evaluated the effects of the intergenerational interactions between older women (average age 75.6) and school-aged children as part of an intergenerational day program (IDP) which included a range of intergenerational group activities, such as communication facilitation games and handicrafts. In terms of health-related quality of life at 3 months and 6 months post programme compared to a separate volunteer group the older adults had

significantly improved mental health (F [2.26] = 4.00, p= 0.030).

There is evidence from an observational study (**Morita 2013 -, uncontrolled observational study, Japan**) of an intergenerational program targeting preschool children and older adults that intergenerational conversation was significantly higher in the socially-oriented programme group (i.e. the participants playing games together) than in the performance-based programme group (i.e. children singing or dancing; p<0.001, no specific figures provided)

Marx et al 2005 (-), quasi experimental study, USA examined the usefulness of an intergenerational email pen-pals programme and an intergenerational face-to- face visiting programme for community dwelling older adults aged 80 to 86. At post-test after 6 months, regarding social network outcomes, 26% of those in the email pen-pal programme stated that they would like to continue to contact their pen-pals, while 74% were not interested.

All of these studies were conducted in settings outside of the UK making it difficult to assess their applicability as a whole to a UK context. Two of the studies were set in Japan where cultural values, including Confucianism, mean that children are taught to place value and respect on their elders, something that may not have the same resonance in the UK.

Three studies included in the review examined the psychosocial effects of different inter generational activities involving children interacting with older people outside of the school setting.

Table 2.2: Summary of Characteristics for Studies Included in Evidence Statement 2
Intergenerational activities

Author Year	Quality rating	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
Country				
Kamei	-	Before and after study with	14 older women, mean age 75.6: 8	Intergenerational day social and activity programme

2011		controls	programme	
			volunteers controls,	
Japan			7 school children	
Marx	-	Non	38 (intervention)	intergenerational email pen-pals
		randomised	27 control. 82%	programme and an
2005		controlled study	women, mean age	intergenerational face-to- face
			83	visiting programme
US				
Morita	-	Exploratory	11 (intervention)	Intergenerational programme
		observational	14 (comparison);	where older adults participated in
2013		study	80% women, mean	singing, dancing and games with
			age 85	preschool children who visited
Japan				an adult day care centre

A study conducted in Japan by **Kamei 2011** (-) evaluated the effects of the intergenerational interactions between older women and school-aged children. This took place as part of an intergenerational day program (IDP) which included a range of intergenerational group activities, such as communication facilitation games and handicrafts. The intervention consisted of 22 program sessions conducted over a 6-month period. A group of 14 older women (average age 75.6 years), 8 programme volunteers (average age 68.6 years), and 7 school children (average age 9.9 years) took part in the intervention.

Data on the interactions between the generations was collected through participant observations and interviews. The older adults group was significantly more satisfied with the intervention than the programme volunteer group at 6 months (t [20] = 3.66; p = 0.002). The children's perception of older people was assessed and they were found to rate older adults highly but no significant differences in their perceptions were found before and after the programme. Older people were found to participate significantly more compared to the program volunteer's group (M=16.7 ± SD=4.1 vs. M=6.3 ± SD=2.9; p<0.001). In terms of health-related quality of life at 3 months and 6 months post programme older adults had significantly improved mental health (F [2.26] = 4.00, p= 0.030). Further analysis identified 5 older people who had Geriatric Depression Scale-15 scores that were above the cut off for depression and it was noted that these significantly reduced between the first involvement in the programme and at 3 month follow up. (F [2.8] = 4.69; p= 0.045).

In an exploratory observational study in Japan **Morita 2013** (-) examined the interaction styles of older adults (aged 71 to 101 years), 80% being women, following their participation in singing, dancing and games with preschool children aged 5 to 6 years who visited an adult

day care centre in Tokyo. The older participants of these intergenerational programmes were divided into two groups: performance or socially-oriented activities. Eleven adults were allocated to the performance-based intergenerational program (e.g. children sang songs and danced for the older adults) and 14 were allocated to the social-oriented intergenerational program (e.g. older adults and children played games together). The study suggested that intergenerational conversation was significantly higher in the socially-oriented programme group than the performance-based programme group (p<0.001, no specific figures provided), indicating that social activities may be promising in promoting psychosocial prerequisites for meaningful interaction and reciprocity between generations.

In a small quasi-experimental study from the USA, Marx 2005 (-) examined the usefulness of an intergenerational email pen-pals programme and an intergenerational face-to- face visiting programme. Older adults aged 80 to 86 with a mean age of 83 years from a suburban federally subsidised apartment building participated in one or both programmes or self selected themselves to be in the control group (N=65). 27 enrolled in both the intergenerational e-mail pen-pal and visiting programmes, 11 in the intergenerational e-mail pen-pal programme only, 4 in the intergenerational visiting programme only, and 27 seniors who participated in neither programme served as a control group. In the email pen-pal group, computers were placed at a computer centre on the ground floor of their apartment building (complete with free technical support) and free one to one email tutorial sessions were offered. Sessions lasted from 45 minutes to one hour. The computer centre was open 24 hours per day. Older adults either chose to write the emails by themselves or asked for help in dictating their emails from their tutor. They would then push the send button on completion. In the visiting programme, a group of 20 elementary school children aged 7 to 11 visited once a month for 8 months. Each month, a reminder flyer was sent to each older person's mailbox 2 days prior to a meeting. Each visit lasted 90 minutes. Activities consisted of a talent show, playing board games, group sing-alongs, solving a crossword puzzle, and one to one interviews of the older people by the children. Refreshment such as fruit juice and snacks were served. At post-test after 6 months, 57% of older adults in the email pen-pal programme mentioned they enjoyed the programme and 88% of those took part in the face- to -face visiting programme. Regarding social network outcomes, 26% of those in the email pen-pal programme stated that they would like to continue to contact their pen-pals, while 74% were not interested.

Evidence Statement 2.3: Intergenerational activities and volunteering

There is weak but consistent evidence from 5 studies that intergenerational social activities that involve volunteering by older people can be effective; 1 quasi-experimental studies, 3 exploratory studies and 1 qualitative study (Bernard 2011 -, Cook 2013 -, Mui 2013 -, Power 2007 -, Scott 2003 -).

Bernard 2011, - (exploratory mixed methods, Canada) examining the effects of an intergenerational telementoring program reported positive behaviour changes for older mentors in terms of their self-confidence, self-expression, enjoyment and self-efficacy. **Mui 2013 – (exploratory uncontrolled pilot study, US)** used a survey to explore the effect of a programme training older Chinese immigrants to provide emotional support and coping skills over the telephone – in Mandarin or Cantonese at least once per week to other older Chinese caregivers. All volunteers felt empowered and happier, while 67% felt better about themselves.

Cook 2013, - (uncontrolled exploratory before and after study, UK) looked at the impact on loneliness and mental wellbeing of 30 older volunteers who were trained and supported to establish hen houses and then deliver hen-related activities to less able older people, friends/relatives, care staff/managers and school children. There was a significant increase in wellbeing at 9 month follow up (p<0.000) but no significant change in loneliness.

There is also evidence from a quasi-experimental study used to look at how volunteering impacted on the levels of generativity in people over the age of 60 (Scott 2003 -, quasi experimental study, USA). 53 volunteers were compared with 29 non volunteering older people. Although volunteers had a relatively high mean level of generativity, the only significant differences (p < .05) were found to be between volunteers involved in various miscellaneous tasks (who had the highest levels of generativity), on the one hand, and those involved in the delivery of meals as well as the non-volunteer groups (who were the two lowest groups on generativity).

In the USA, in a very small qualitative study Power 2007 et al (-), qualitative

ethnographic study, USA looked at the impact of volunteering to provide help to adopted and fostered children and/or younger generations for 6 hours per week in return for a rent reduction. Interviews with the 2 participants indicated that intergenerational action brightened up their lives, raised their spirits, helped them to find purpose of life and increased their sense of self-worth.

The Cook 2013 study (-) was implemented in the UK. All of the other studies were conducted in settings outside of the UK making it difficult to assess their applicability to a UK context. It may be difficult to replicate the planned community to support adopted and fostered children in the Power study in a UK context.

Two studies included in the review examined the psychosocial effects of different inter generational activities, some of which were delivered in school-based settings.

Author	Quality	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
Year	rating			
Country				
Bernard	-	Exploratory	18 (older	Intergenerational
		study using	adults)(gender not	telementoring program
2011		quantitative and	stated; mean age 70).	
		qualitative		
Canada		methods	18 (young people)	
Cook	' _	Exploratory	30 older volunteers,	Volunteers trained to rear and
		uncontrolled	14 men and 16	look after chickens, visit older
2013		before and after study`	women, mean age 74	people and schools.
UK				
Mui	-	Exploratory	19 older volunteers,	Pilot programme for older
		uncontrolled	72% women, mean	Chinese immigrants
2013		pilot study	age 72	volunteering to provide
				emotional support and coping
US				skills over the telephone to
				other older Chinese
				immigrants
Power	-	Qualitative study	1 man aged 70 and 1	Older people volunteering at
			woman aged 80	least 6 hours per week to work
2007				with children in their

 Table 2.3: Summary of Characteristics for Studies Included in Evidence Statement 3:

 Intergenerational activities

				community
US				
Scott	-	Quasi-	53 volunteers	Intergenerational programme
		experimental	49 non volunteer	where healthy older people
2003		study	controls (age range	volunteer in a child care
			60 to upper 80s,	setting or on a meals on
US			mean age and gender	wheels programme or
			split not reported	miscellaneous volunteering

(Bernard 2011, -, exploratory mixed methods, Canada) examined the effects of an intergenerational telementoring programme on wellbeing outcomes in older adults (aged 59-82 years, n=18). The intervention was offered as a tele-based support tool for the practice of English or French as a second language, with the older adults residing in Ottawa as telementors (i.e. mentors via telephone) for young students (n=18) residing in Paris, France. The intervention consisted of 10 weekly, 1-hour, telementoring sessions. The senior volunteer telementors received free equipment and application installation in their residence for the duration of the program. Based on descriptive analyses of both quantitative and qualitative data (no significance levels reported), the study reported positive behaviour changes in the areas of: self-confidence, self-expression, enjoyment and self-efficacy among the older adults.

In the UK in a small uncontrolled before and after study **Cook 2013** (-) looked at the impact on loneliness, mental wellbeing and physical health of 30 older volunteers, (mean age was 73.89 ± 13.95) of being trained and supported to establish hen houses in care settings and improve their skills and confidence in delivering activities with less able older people, friends/relatives, care staff/managers and school children. The project was implemented in the Gateshead area with funding from the Big Lottery Silver Dreams Fund. The idea of this programme was to reach men in particular, but the majority of volunteers in the study (16 of 30) actually were women. All volunteers lived independently or in sheltered accommodation. Analysis of changes in the Warwick Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale from baseline to follow-up 9 months later for the volunteers indicated that there was a significant improvement in scores (p<0.000) from a median 41.0 to a median of 53.0 suggesting that there were improvements in mental well-being in the study population. However, observed improvement in De Jong Gierveld Loneliness Scale scores from a median of 5.0 to 4.0 over the same period was not significant (p<0.281). In the US **Scott 2003** (-) used a quasi-experimental study to compare groups of older people (60 +) on their levels of generativity related to volunteering activities. The participants of the study were engaged in one of the following interventions: 1) Young at Heart (a programme that places older volunteers in childcare settings, n=14); 2) distributing Meals on Wheels (n=14); or 3) Miscellaneous activities including church activities and working in libraries (n=25). A group of non-volunteering older people served as a control group (n=49). The four volunteer/non-volunteer groups differed in their levels of generativity, based both on a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for unadjusted means (F [3, 87] = 5.94, p = .001) and an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) for adjusted means (F [3, 83] = 5.97, p = .001). In neither analysis did the groups differ on life satisfaction (p values of .227 and .399). Although the Young at Heart volunteers had a relatively high mean level of generativity, the only significant differences (p < .05) were found to be between the miscellaneous volunteers (who had the highest levels of generativity), on the one hand, and the "Meals" and the non-volunteer groups (who were the two lowest groups on generativity), on the other.

In the US, **Mui 2013** (-) used a survey to explore the effect of a pilot programme training older Chinese immigrants to provide emotional support and coping skills over the telephone – in Mandarin or Cantonese at least once per week to other older Chinese immigrants with caregiving responsibilities. The intervention consisted of intensive 72 hour-training with ongoing training sessions every 3-4 weeks. The 19 volunteers had a mean age of 72.1 (64-86) and had fair to low English proficiency. Results of a focus group and a short questionnaire with closed and open-ended questions, suggested that the volunteers felt that their own mental well-being had improved, with all indicating that they felt empowered and happier and 67% feeling better about themselves. Other qualitative findings included reporting "my spouse and I have become more active in social activities" (61%), "my relationship with my family has improved" (72%), and "I have enlarged my social circle of friends" (83%).

In the USA, in a small qualitative study **Power 2007** (-) and colleagues looked at the positive links between volunteering activities and wellbeing in an intentionally planned intergenerational community called, which was as an intergenerational neighbourhood where families adopted and fostered children. Older adults in the community have to agree to volunteer to provide help children and/or younger generations for 6 hours per week for which they get a reduction in their rent. Children from the foster care system would call these older volunteers grandpa or grandma. Volunteering activities varied depending on older people's individual capacities such as fixing bicycles, gardening, and talking with children. Qualitative

analyses utilising an ethnographic framework focused on the experience of two older adults, one a man of 70 and a woman of 80 who both had lived for 7 to 8 years at Hope Meadows. In interviews they said that being with children brightened up their lives, raised their spirits, helped them to find purpose of life and increased their sense of self-worth.

Evidence Statement 2.4: Intergenerational education interventions for health and social care professionals

There is weak evidence from one Canadian study (**Basran 2010, - uncontrolled before and after study, Canada**) that an intergenerational educational intervention can help improve the attitudes of medical students towards healthy older people and tackle some of the stereotyping and myths around ageing in the short term. Attitudes scores significantly improved p <0.01 following intervention, but this effect was only partially maintained one year later. There is also weak evidence from (**Hernandez 2008, quasi experimental study, Spain, -**) that the attitudes of university student towards older people change positively following an intergenerational learning programme.

Potentially these types of intervention could be implemented in the UK.

Table 2.4: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 2.4: Intergenerationmentoring for health and social care professionals

Author	Quality rating	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
Year	C			
Country				
Basran	-	Uncontrolled before and after	184 students and 54 older volunteers	Mentoring programme for health and social
2010		study		care students
Canada				
Hernandez	-	Quasi- experimental	179 university students; 100 older	University based intergenerational

2008	study	adults; no gender	service-learning
		information, mean age	programme
Spain		75.	

In Canada Basran et al (-) in an uncontrolled before and after study evaluated the long term impact on the attitudes of health and social care students following the implementation of what was called a Senior Mentoring Programme. This was an intergenerational educational intervention aimed at increasing student health care professionals knowledge of older people and the ageing process; improving attitudes toward, comfort with, and respect of older people; and enhancing the skills required to work with older adults, such as assessment, listening, and communication skills. The study deliberately focused on a mentoring programme involving healthy older people, with an aim of trying to change perceptions of older people and see them as more than frail medical patients. 184 medical students, divided into groups of three to four students from medicine, pharmacy, nutrition, nursing and social work were partnered with 54 healthy older adult volunteers - known as "senior partners" recruited from a local independent housing retirement community. Students met with their assigned senior partner four times in the autumn term, including a meeting at the medical school orientation dinner and also at a wrap-up event and social dinner. Discussions using guidelines provided covered general life histories, living situation, significant life events, change in the world over their life span, knowledge of available community resources, as well as education, nutrition and physical activities. Unstructured informal conversations were also included. The medical students also kept reflective diaries and participated in two largegroup interprofessional meetings designed to integrate learning and share their insights about their senior partners. At initial post-test survey student attitudes towards a hypothetical 80 year old man and 80 year old woman were found to have improved significantly with Polizzi's Aging Semantic Differential Scores reducing (which indicates improvement) (Polizzi 2003). Post test scores for the 80 year old man were 66.54 (SD 19.27) compared with 78.71 pre-intervention (p<0.01); for the woman scores were 56.61 (SD 18.87) and 69.47 (SD 15.06) p <0.01 respectively. Effect sizes were large with partial $\eta 2 = .28$ and .30 for the 80 year old man and woman respectively. Paired samples t-tests comparing the pretest scores with the one-year follow-up scores found no significant difference in attitudes for an 80-yearold man, t(32) = 1.45, p = 0.16 but did find a significant different for an 80-year-old woman, t(33) = 2.67, p = 0.01. One year follow up surveys also reported that 18 of 28 medical students in 2008, 40/68 in 2009 and 26/20 in 2010 agreed or strongly agreed that the

programme had better helped them to communicate with older people. Focus group work indicated that "many students felt participating in the programme increased their awareness of myths and helped reduce the stereotypes they held about older adults" (Page 316)

There is also weak evidence from (Hernandez 2008, quasi experimental study, Spain, -) that the attitudes of student towards on the wellbeing of older people change positively following an intergenerational learning programme. Slightly depressed older people (mean age 75) and university students studying for a degree in sport and exercise science at the University of Leon in Spain took part in the programme. The group of the young people that interacted with older people tended to reduce their stereotyped views and were more likely to agree with them following intervention. This was greater than in the control group, but no statistical significance was reported.

Cluster 3: Friendship programmes

Evidence Statement 3: Building friendships

There is consistent moderate evidence from six papers reporting results from five evaluations (Lawlor 2014 ++, Martina 2006 +, Martina 2012 + Stevens 2006 +, Pope 2013 -, Butler 2006 -) that friendship programmes can enhance various aspects of older peoples' mental wellbeing and address issues of loneliness and isolation.

In Ireland Lawlor et al. 2014 (++) used a RCT study to evaluate a brief peer volunteer visiting programme for community dwelling older adults. Loneliness was significantly lower in the intervention group at 3-month follow-up (p=0.003). One quasi experimental study in two papers (Martina 2006 +, Martina 2012 +, quasi-experimental, Netherlands) found significant increases in the number of friends for the intervention group (all women) participating in a Friendship Programme compared to the control group (χ 2=9.569, p<0.005), as well as significant intervention and control group data from two earlier case controlled studies, as well as in comparison to data from a national survey, (Stevens et al., 2006 +, quasi-

experimental, Netherlands) using regression analyses corroborated these findings. Regression analysis also predicted that that improvement in friendship would be associated with a decrease in loneliness two years later p<0.001.

Pope, 2013 -, UBA, US, - in a church based programme bringing together representatives of different parishes reported significant improvements in tangible social support at 1 year follow up [F(1,88) = 11.22, p = 0.0012]. Another uncontrolled study (**Butler 2006, -, US**) looked at a social support programme run by volunteers who were older people themselves. While social network and loneliness scores were good the study design meant it was not possible determine if this was due to the intervention.

Although these studies were all conducted outside of the UK, the interventions, most notably those in Ireland and the Netherlands, potentially could be delivered in a UK context.

Table 3: Characteristics	of Studies in	Evidence	Statement	3: Building	friendships
--------------------------	---------------	----------	-----------	-------------	-------------

Author	Quality rating	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
Butler	-	Uncontrolled	66 (intervention);	Senior Companion
		exploratory	82% women, mean	Programme (SCP), providing
2006		study	age 78;	social support and assistance
				to frail community-dwelling
US				older adults
Lawlor	++	RCT	49 (intervention)	Brief volunteer peer visiting
			51 (control);	programme for community
2014			75% women, Median	dwelling older people
			age 80	
Ireland				
Martina	+	Quasi-	69 (intervention)	A friendship enrichment
		experimental	60 (control) 100%	programme, focusing on
2006/2012		study	women, mean age 63	empowering the older
				participants to develop and

Netherlands				maintain friendships by training social abilities
Pope	-	Uncontrolled	142 (intervention);	A church-based spiritual
2013		study	age 65	bringing together
US				church congregations
Stevens	+	Quasi-	Study 1:	A friendship enrichment
2006		experimental	72 (intervention)	programme, focusing on
2000		study	age 64	participants to develop and
Netherlands			Study 2:	maintain friendships by
			69 (intervention)	training social abilities
			55 (control), 100%	
			women, mean age 64	
			Dutch Aging Survey	
			Comparison Group:	
			226, mean age 65,	
			100% women	

In Ireland Lawlor et al. 2014 (++) used a RCT study to evaluate a brief peer volunteer visiting programme for community dwelling older adults (median age: 80 years in the intervention condition, n=49, and 81.5 years in the control condition, n=51) who experienced loneliness. The intervention contained four elements; the recruitment, training and retention of volunteers and subsequent home visits to intervention participants from these volunteers. Each intervention participant was matched with a volunteer, who visited them for an hour a week for ten weeks over a three month period. All volunteers recruited had to be at least 55 years old. Participants in the control group received their usual individualised care from community services. All participants received a home visit from a member of the research team to conduct data collection at three data collection time points. The study found that loneliness, measured using the De Jong Gierveld Loneliness Scale, was significantly lower in the intervention group at 3-month follow-up (p=0.003, adjusted for baseline values). This reflected differences between the groups on both the social loneliness subscale (p=0.022) and the emotional loneliness subscale (p=0.015). Social network scores on the Lubben Social Network Scale did not differ significantly between groups (p=0.065) with higher scores in the intervention group. However, among the intervention participants that were followed up at three months, 30 had sustained a new social connection since the commencement of the study and 25 of the participants continued to receive visits from a volunteer after the end of the study. There may also be benefits for older volunteers in the trial, with a reduction in

loneliness measured using the De Jong Gierveld Loneliness Scale from 2.1 at baseline to 1.6 at 3 month follow up (p=0.046 Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test). However there was no control group for volunteers and while both emotional and social loneliness subscales improved, neither was statistically significant. There was also no change in their social network scale scores.

Two papers reported on a quasi-experimental study from the Netherlands (**Martina 2006** (+), **Martina 2012** (+) looking at the effects of a friendship enrichment programme targeting older women (age range 53-86). The programme consists of 12 lessons focused on different topics related to friendship, such as expectations in friendship, self-esteem, making new friends, setting goals and boundaries and solving conflicts in friendship. Six months after completing the programme 63% of 60 participants in the friendship programme reported having made new friends compared to 33% of the 55 participants in the control group ($\chi 2=9.569$, p<0.005).

There was a significant improvement in mean positive affect wellbeing scores measured using the Positive and Negative Affect Scale (PANAS) in the intervention group (30.83 [s.d: +/-4.19] to 31.34 [s.d +/-3.82] versus the control group 34.60 [s.d. +/- 8.17] to 26.95 [s.d. +/-2.60]). The between group difference at follow-up was significant p=0.0000 F=78.18). There was also significant reduction in mean negative affect wellbeing scores (e.g. low negative affect reveals a state of calmness and serenity) in the intervention group versus the control group (29.46 [s.d. +/-5.37] to 28.14 [s.d +/-5.10] versus 25.98 [s.d. +/- 4.65] to 29.25 [s.d. +/-3.44]). The between group difference at follow-up was significant p=0.0000 F=11.77. At the six month follow-up, compared to the control group, there was also a modest improvement in self-esteem in the intervention group (32.31 [s.d. +/-7.77] to 34.56 [s.d +/-6.35] versus 37.53 [s.d. +/- 6.48] to 37.56 [s.d. +/- 6.54]) but this was not significant (p=0.063, F=2.83). There was also a modest improvement in life satisfaction in the intervention group compared to the control group (14.08 [s.d. +/-4.19] to 15.19 [s.d +/-3.93] versus 17.24 [s.d. +/- 3.48] to 16.84 [s.d. +/- 3.99]). This between group difference was significant (p=0.051, F=3.06). Using a paired comparison between baseline and six month follow up in the intervention group there was a significant increase in life satisfaction (t= -2.60, p=0.012) and self-esteem (t=-4.31, p=0.000). There was also a significant decline in negative affect (t= 2.274, p= 0.027) and loneliness (t=2.904, p=0.041) from baseline to 6 months in the intervention group.

An earlier analysis by the same authors **Stevens 2006** + used regression analysis to compare findings from two evaluations of the Friendship programme with outcomes reported for 226 women in the Dutch Ageing Survey. The difference between the participants in a binary logistic regression model between the friendship program and friendship development reported in the Dutch Ageing Survey for friendship development was significant, $\chi^2 = 15.447$, p = .001; participants in the program reported more positive developments in friendship. Regression analysis also predicted that that improvement in the development of friendship was associated with a decrease in loneliness two years later p<0.001 (Beta Regression Coefficient -1.865).

In the USA, **Pope 2013** (-) evaluated the impacts of a church-based health promotion programme in the United Methodist Church that brought together representatives of different church congregations on their religiosity, spirituality and social support. In an uncontrolled before and after study, 65 representatives of African American congregations were paired with 77 representatives of white congregations (mean age= 65.33, SD 9.89) from eight counties in South Carolina. Over one year, biracial groups had two-hour meetings on a weekly basis, which were held by starting with a guided meditation, followed by deep breathing and stretching activities and then -the participants continued with mental exercises based on a curriculum to promote spiritual growth and social bonds.

Tangible social support scores, one element of the Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey, improved overall. Overall mean scores increased from 64.32, s.d. +/- 25.53 at baseline to 74.72, s.d. +/- 22.95 at 1 year follow up [F(1,88) = 11.22, p = 0.0012]. Mean tangible social support scores increased from 67.95 s.d. +/- 22.90 at baseline to 77.56 s.d. +/-21.30 for African Americans at follow up and from 61.50 s.d. +/- 27.30 at baseline to 72.55 s.d. +/- 24.11 for White participants at follow up. There were no differences in other social support domains examined: affectionate support; emotional support; informational support and positive social interaction.

In a very limited analysis, the US **Butler 2006** (exploratory design applying both quantitative and qualitative data analyses -) looked at the Senior Companion Programme (SCP). This provided social support and assistance to frail community-dwelling older adults (n=32) by volunteers (n=34) who were also older people (age range: 62 to 99, mean age: 78). The reporting was limited to the social integration and loneliness scores for both the senior companions and the older people they befriended and there were no control group or reported

repeated measures of the intervention outcomes. Scores were only collected at one time point and it is not possible to determine length of exposure to the intervention. While scores on the social network and loneliness scales were good, suggesting promising psychosocial outcomes among the frail older adult intervention participants, because of the study design it was impossible to determine if the SCP contributed to these positive outcomes.

Cluster 4: Participation in further and continuing education beyond retirement age

Evidence Statement 4.1 Face to face participation in further and continuing education

There is weak evidence supporting educational programmes targeted at older adults in university settings from 5 studies: 3 quasi-experimental studies (Arkoff 2004 –, Fernandez-Ballesteros 2012 + and Fernandez-Ballesteros 2013 +) and 2 uncontrolled before and after studies (Portero 2007 + and Orte 2007-).

Arkoff et al 2004, quasi experimental, USA, - looked at the effectiveness of a life review programme at a university based Academy of Life Long Learning. After a 14 weeks period there were significant improvements in wellbeing (P<0.05). There were no significant changes in the comparison group.

One quasi-experimental study (Fernadez Ballesteros et al, 2012, Spain +) for another university based programme was associated with improvements in positive (p=0.008) and negative affect (p=0.039) compared to a control group. Impacts on negative affect were replicated in when this programme was expanded to three other countries **Fernandez-Ballesteros et al 2013** +, **quasi experimental study, Spain, Chile, Mexico and Cuba**.

Portero, 2007, UBA +, Spain, found statistically significant increases in the level of subjective psychological well-being for students on a 'Third Age' university programme (p<0.000). Another study **Orte 2007 -, UBA, Spain**) found that participation in mainstream university classes by older people led to a significant increase in the number of new relationships (p<0.001).

These studies were conducted outside of the UK, predominantly used by retired people between the ages of 55 and 70 and had a formal academic nature. In principle the interventions identified in this review could be implemented in a UK context. Third age educational activities have a long tradition in the UK, including both academically oriented learning, as well as learning primarily for enjoyment.

T 11 41 C	T 11 C	T • I • • •	Q4 4 4 4 1			
I anie 4 I · Nummarv	I anie for	HVIDENCE	NIgrement 4 1	' i nira soe	equicational	9011VITIES
I abic Till Dummary	\mathbf{I} and \mathbf{I} \mathbf{U}	L'incu	Dualument Tol	i mi u azu	cuucanonai	acuvinco

Author	Quality	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
Year	Taung			
Country				
Arkoff	-	Case control	Intervention: 18	Life review
		study	women	programme delivered
2004			Comparison: 18	at an Academy of
			women	Lifelong Learning
US			Mean age 66	
Fernandez-	+	Quasi-	56 intervention group	3 year university
Ballesteros		experimental	39 control group; 50%	programme for older
		study with control	women, mean age 61	adults
2012		group		
a •				
Spain				
Fernandez-	+	Quasi-	250 intervention group	3 year university
Ballesteros		experimental	65 in control group;	programme for older
		study with control	53% women, mean age	adults
2013		group	62	
а ·				
Spain, Mawiaa				
Mexico, Chilo Cubo				
Orta		Uncontrolled	196 (intervention)	A community bagad
One	-	before and after	Typical age range 60	A community-based
2007		study	fypical age fallge 00 –	open university
2007		study	not reported)	older adulta
Spain			not reported)	oluer adults
Dortoro	1	Uncontrollad	163 (intervention):	A Third Ago
		before and after	63% women mean age	Liniversity
2007		etudy	not stated – from 55	Drogramme
2007		study	unwards	
Spain			up marab	
Five studies included in the review looked at participation in education beyond retirement age to support the mental wellbeing and independence of older informal caregivers. In the USA Arkoff et al 2004 (-/-) used a small scale case control study to assess the effectiveness of a their own life review programme with the aim of helping independent older people enhance their psychological functioning to better deal with the threats, challenges and opportunities (e.g. loss of autonomy, lack of social contacts) experienced in old age. They noted that previous research focused on older people discussing their life stories and reviewing their life status had often been confined to people who were no longer independent and had serious health problems. The manualised intervention, "The Illuminated Life" was delivered using a leader manual by an older person acting as group leader to 18 older women aged between 56 and 80 (mean age 65.5) who were attending a university based Academy of Life Long Learning. There was a comparison group of 18 women who also were attending the academy who did not participate in the life review programme. The group leader had her own manual and the course was 2 hours per week for 14 weeks, plus preparation time for each session for all participants. One hour was allocated to whole group discussion with the group split into sub-groups of around 4 people to share and discuss answers to the life question under consideration. At the end of the 14 week period there were significant improvements in all six sub-scales of the 84-item Scales of Psychological Well-Being (Ryff 1989): Autonomy: Pretest Mean 64.9 SD 9.88, Posttest Mean 71.1 SD 8.4 (P<0.001) t=4.18. Environment mastery Mean 62.8 9 SD 14.04, Posttest Mean 71.6 SD 11.45 t=4.45 (P<0.001). Personal Growth Mean 73.8 SD 7.23, Posttest Mean 78.7 SD 4.9 t=3.82 (P<0.01). Positive Relations with others Mean 66.4 SD 12.10, Posttest Mean 71.3 SD 11.4 t=2.73 (P<0.05). Purpose in Life Mean 65.9 SD 11.64, Posttest Mean 72.5 SD 10.16 t=3.58 (P<0.01). Self Acceptance 63.1 SD 15.18, Posttest Mean 72.5 SD 11.93 t=3.48 (P<0.01). In contrast there were no significant changes in these scores in the comparison group.

In Spain, **Portero et al, 2007 (uncontrolled before and after** +) investigated the effect of older people participating in a Third Age University Programme on health and well-being of the older adults (N=163). Retired older people aged 55 and over, enrolled in the Third Age University Program Aula de la Experiencia at the University of Seville. There was a statistically significant increase in the level of subjective psychological well-being, measured by the Scale of Well-being- EBP (Sanchez-Casanovas 1998) from 3.6 s.d. +/- 0.4 at baseline to 3.9 s.d. +/- 0.4 at follow-up (p<0.000). Overall social support increased significantly from a mean of 31.1 s.d. +/-2.2 to mean 32.7 s.d. +/- 2.4(P=0.000).

Another Spanish study, **Orte 2007** (**exploratory**, **-**) evaluated a community-based open university programme targeting older adults (age range 60 to 69). The programme was organised into 3 academic years during which two or three afternoons a week were spent attending classes within an Open University for Older People programme. Based on quantitative and qualitative observations, the older participants were reported to have gained social relationships throughout the programme, which was significantly related to not experiencing feelings of loneliness and the perception of often receiving emotional and informational social support. This was measured using non-standardised measurements (i.e. questions on social contacts and perceived social support of the participants)

Fernandez-Ballesteros et al 2012 (+) in a quasi-experimental study the impact of participation of older adults in a 3 year education programme at the Autonomous University of Madrid on active ageing, which involves cognitive, emotional, and social factors. Students on the University Programme for Older Adults (PUMA) from 2007 to 2011 (82 individuals) were eligible. 54% were women, with an age range of 55 to 70 (mean age = 61.06, SD = 4.19), with controls being a representative sample of the Madrid population over the age of 55. The course covered largely humanities and arts and consisted of 450 hours of teaching. Attendance at lectures was mandatory and they were taught by lecturers at the university. Its goals were to 1) to promote knowledge and competences (measured by tests and exams), (2) to promote personal development, and (3) to increase social participation. Of 67 students who had completed the programme 56 chose to participate in evaluation, mean age 60.89 (SD 4.33) and 50% women; while only 39 people in the 76 person control group completed the evaluation mean age 61.76 (SD 3.90) with 36% being women.

There were significant benefits to students in terms of increasing their positive affect and maintaining their negative affect on the Positive and Negative Affect Scale PANAS. Positive affect scores increased from 3.0 (SD 0.42) to 3.15 (SD 0.44) compared with a decline in the control group from 2.98 (SD 0.57) to 2.88 (SD 0.50) F=7.267 p=0.008. At post test negative affect scores on PANAS changed from 1.71 (SD 0.41) to 1.65 (SD 0.41) compared to 2.07 (SD 0.55) to 1.79 (SD 0.46) in the control group F=4.448 p=0.039. It can also be noted that general health levels were maintained in the intervention group but declined in the control group. Memory and learning performance improved in intervention groups but cognitive function declined in the control group. In the current study both the intervention and control

groups increased their social, information seeking and productive activities significantly, but the control group had a high attrition rate of 49% so their outcomes must be treated with caution. It is also unclear how much of a barrier the initial entrance exam is to participation on the course and what this might mean from an equity perspective.

The improvement in positive affect that the authors claimed was supported by the findings of another study. This study also explored the effects of university programmes for older adults in four countries: Spain, Cuba, Mexico, and Chile (**Fernández-Ballesteros et al., 2013 -**). Synthesising results from students in four universities in the four countries, negative affect was reduced (t =5.17, p < .01), although there was no significant impact on positive affect. Self-perception of ageing improved (t = 2.92, p < .01), and the perceptions of group stereotype (t=3.85, p < .01) were also more positive.

Evidence statement 4.2: Internet and multi-media delivered education programmes

There is weak but consistent evidence from 4 studies on positive benefits for mental wellbeing as a result of older people participating in educational activities through the internet and other electronic media (Fernandez Ballesteros 2004 -, Fernandez Ballesteros 2005a - Fernandez Ballesteros 2005b -, Caprara 2013 -).

Fernandez-Ballesteros et al 2004 -, controlled before and after study, Spain looked at the impact of a multi-media education programme on the wellbeing of older people. Life improved significantly p=0.005. The study was later extended to compare the intervention with a traditional face to face version of the course delivered at a university (**Fernandez Ballesteros 2005a, uncontrolled before and after study, Spain**). The face to face version tended towards an improvement in life satisfaction but this was not significant p=0.11.

Caprara et al -, 2013 before and after controlled study, Chile, Cuba, Mexico and Spain and **Fernandez-Ballesteros 2005b -, before and after controlled study, Spain** also described two evaluations of video multi-media programme and traditional educational programme delivered in university to older people. Significantly better life satisfaction in participants receiving the multi-media course in the **Caprara et al - 2013** study were seen but there was no impact in **Fernandez-Ballesteros 2005 -**. These studies were conducted outside of the UK and involved formal structured academic education and were used by older people with a mean age of 70. Educational activities, including the use of distance learning techniques, open to people of all ages, including video and multimedia, have a long tradition in the UK. Therefore in principle these interventions could be implemented in a UK context.

Table 4.2: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 4.2: Telephone and internet delivered health education programmes

Author	Quality	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
T 7	rating			
Year				
Country				
Caprara	-	Controlled	155 multimedia	Multi-media third age
1		before and	intervention	education programme Vital
2013		after study	240 on face to	Aging-M, alternative Face to
		5	face course	Face based education
Spain			88 in e-learning	programme and new e-learning
Chile			group; 76%	programme.
Mexico			women, mean	
Cuba			age 70	
Fernandez	-	Controlled	57 intervention	Multi-media third age
Ballesteros		before and	31 control; 84%	education programme Vital
		after study	women, mean	Aging-M
2004			age 70	
Spain				
Fernandez	-	Controlled	57 intervention	Multi-media third age
Ballesteros		before and	31 control	education programme Vital
		after study	31 face to face	Aging-M and alternative Face
2005a			programme;	to Face based education
~ .			mean age 70	programme
Spain		~		
Fernandez	-	Controlled	25 multi-media	Multi-media third age
Ballesteros		before and	group	education programme Vital
20051		after study	28 face to face	Aging-M and alternative Face
20056			group	to Face based education
а ·			3 / control group;	programme
Spain			84% women,	
			mean age 70	

Fernandez-Ballesteros et al 2004 (-) in a controlled before and after study looked at the impact of the multi-media programme Vital Aging-M on the wellbeing of older people. The programme was trialled in several European countries: Germany, Italy and Spain. Vital Aging-M" is a 50 hour video course with 22 themes and additional supporting material on the internet. It's objectives include providing basic knowledge on how to age actively and competently, promoting healthy lifestyles, provide training in strategies for compensating cognitive, memory and functional decline, providing training in strategies for optimising affective/emotional, motivational and social competencies, promoting personal development and social participation and promoting the use of new technologies. Lectures were recorded by academic professors mainly from Spain, but also from Germany and Italy. Lectures were translated when required. Groups met with a tutor each week with each session lasting 2–3 h with a break of 15 min, and covered one topic each. Those topics requiring 4 h were distributed across two sessions. The entire course took about 3 months to deliver. In the sessions, written material was distributed to all participants (video-lesson transcription, tests, and exercises for the lesson), they watched the video lesson, and, where required, they filled out the instruments proposed and distributed. In this evaluation 13 participants from residential facilities (mean age 79.3, Women 92.3%) were compared with 44 participants attending senior citizen clubs (mean age 69.9, women 83.7%) and a 31 people in a control group (, mean age 74.2, women 77.4%) that attended the same senior citizen club but did not participate. Many outcomes were examined at six month follow up. While there were no significant differences in changes in the frequency of social contacts or in satisfaction with these relationships between the three groups following the course, life satisfaction measured on a scale from 1(worst) to 4 (best) improved significantly in the community dwelling intervention group from 2.9 (SD 0.65) to 3.19 (SD 0.79) p=0.005. There were also additional benefits in terms of diet and physical health, but the authors acknowledged that this was a small scale study that needed longer term follow up. The study was later extended to compare the intervention with the traditional face to face version of the course "Vivir con Vitalidad". Similar results were seen using this face to face programme at a university (Fernandez Ballesteros 2005a -) and it tended towards an improvement in life satisfaction but this was not significant with scores improving from 2.93 (SD 0.75) to 3.14 (SD 0.79) p=0.11.

Caprara et al 2013 - and Fernandez-Ballesteros et al 2005b (-) described two further evaluations of the video multi-media programme and the traditional educational programme delivered in university to older people. These again were small controlled before and after studies set in Spain, Chile, Mexico and Cuba. Using the same outcome measures used in earlier evaluations, it was reported that both face to face and multi-media course participants reported higher frequency of cultural, intellectual and social activities while no changes were found among controls. Significantly better life satisfaction in participants receiving multimedia course was seen in the first study but there was no impact on outcomes in the second evaluation. It was also noted that in first evaluation participants had a significantly better view of ageing after either the face to face or multimedia courses but no effect was seen in the second study. The authors noted that small sample sizes and short term follow up make it difficult to see any effects. They also acknowledged that participants were volunteers who were willing to take part in an educational programme and may therefore not reflect wider community of older people.

Cluster 5: Self management activities

Evidence Statement 5: Group and self-help activities to promote self management ability

There is moderate evidence from 2 studies (Frieswijk 2006 +, Kremers 2006 +) that group and self-help activities to promote self management ability (SMA) can have a positive impact on the mental wellbeing of older people in the short term but this is not sustained.

Frieswijk et al 2006 (+), **randomised study with wait list control, Netherlands** found that a self administered bibliotherapy course significantly improved the ability of slight to moderately frail community dwelling older people to self-manage (P<0.05). Subjective wellbeing measured was significantly higher at the end of the 10 week course (P<0.05) compared to controls (P<0.05)but this significant difference in effect was not sustained at 6 month follow up.

Kremers et al 2006 (+), **RCT**, **Netherlands** found that self-management group intervention led to significantly improved self management ability at the end of the six week course. (P<0.05). At six month follow up the difference between groups was no longer significant. In regression analysis it was shown that the intervention was associated with higher wellbeing scores at the end of six weeks but with no significant differences at six months.

Table 5: Summary Table for Evidence Statement 5: Group and individual activities to promote self management ability

Author	Quality	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
Year Country	rating			
Frieswijk	++	RCT (wait	97: intervention	Bibliotherapy self management
-		list control)	96: control, 42%	ability training course for older
2006			women, mean age	people
			72	
Netherlands				
Kremers	+	RCT	63 intervention	Volunteer and peer delivered
			79: control	self-management group
2006				intervention for healthy older
			All women, mean	people
Netherlands			age 63	

Frieswijk et al 2006 (++) in the Netherlands in a before and after study with wait list control found that a bibliotherapy (i.e. utilising the content of books or other written words in therapy) course delivered by correspondence over a period of 10 weeks significantly improved the ability of slight to moderately frail community dwelling older people (mean age 73.71 s.d. 6.24) to self-manage This was sustained over a subsequent 6 month period. Using ANOVA a main effect of time of measurement was found F(2,314) = 3.16, p < 0.05, with respondents reporting the highest level of the Self Management Ability Scale (SMA-S) at the pre-test (M = 21.48), and lower levels at the time of the first post test at the end of the 10 week course (M = 21.36) and the second post-test at six months (M = 21.10). Significant difference in SMA scores favoured the intervention group. The intervention group showed an increase in SMA-S at the time of the first post-test (M = 21.73 SD 1.96) as compared to the pretest (M = 21.20 SD 2.79), while the control group showed a decrease in SMA at the time of the first post-test (M = 20.96 SD 3.13) as compared to the pre-test (M = 21.50 SD 2.89). Better self-management ability has been associated with better subjective wellbeing; this study also reported that subjective wellbeing measured using the SPF-Index Level Scale (SPFIL) (Nieboer et al. 2005) was slightly higher at the end of the 10 week course compared with the control group where subjective wellbeing decreased. However this difference in effect was not sustained at 6 months. Nonetheless authors considered it to be a low cost intervention that "does provide an effective means of improving abilities to self-manage daily life, which may counteract a decrease in subjective well-being, moreover, it may be an important tool in the prevention of the loss of self-management abilities." (P. 226)

Kremers et al 2006 (+) in the Netherlands conducted a randomised controlled trial to assess the impact of newly designed self-management group intervention based on the Self-Management of Well-being (SMW) theory on self-management ability, well-being, and social and emotional loneliness in older women. The intervention was targeted at community dwelling women, 55 years of age and older who indicated by replying to a newspaper advert that they missed having people around them, wished to have more friends, participated in very few leisure activities, or had trouble in initiating activities. The intervention consisted of a manualised self management of wellbeing course - 'Giving life more LUSTER' which was delivered over six meetings, with 8 to 12 participants, each lasting 2¹/₂ hours. 142 women applied to do the course and 79 were randomised to a 'do nothing' control group and 63 to the intervention group. Only 46 (67%) of the intervention group completed the course and only 36 (57%) completed the follow up at 6 months (mean age study completers 62.8 intervention group, 65.2 control group). The intervention led to significantly improved self management ability successful in the short-term (T1 : at the end of the six week course). Using the Self-Management Ability Scale (SMAS-30) (Schuurmans et al. 2005) the intervention group increased from 44.7 (SD 9.6) to 48.6 (SD 8.1) vs controls 47.4 (SD 7.3) to 47.5 (SD 8.6), ANCOVA: F(1, 108)=5.61, p<0.05. At T1 there were significant effect of group found for the subscales 'taking initiatives' F(1, 115)=5.93, p<0.05, 'positive frame of mind' F(1, 116)=15.77, p<0.001, and 'multifunctionality' F(1, 114)=4.82, p<0.05, indicating that the intervention was effective for these self-management abilities. However, although the intervention group scored higher on all self-management abilities at T2 (six months) controls also had higher scores so the difference between groups not significant. F(1, 88)=2.74, p=0.10. There were no significant differences for any sub-scale at T2.

In regression analysis it was shown that the intervention was associated with higher wellbeing scores at T1. 4% of variance was associated with intervention (F change (1, 102) =7.90, p<= 0.01). Self management ability scores at T1 explained 8% of variance (F change [1, 101]=17.60, p<0.001) but combining intervention effect and self management ability effect indicated that although there was an effect of the intervention on well-being, this effect

was not mediated by increased self-management ability at T1. Moreover, although well-being of women in the intervention group remained at a higher level at T2, the well-being of the controls also improved so there was no longer a significant effect of the intervention on wellbeing after six months. Loneliness was reduced in both the intervention and control group at T1; they did not differ significantly. Loneliness scores did not differ significantly after 6 months. In a later 2007 study (Kremers et al. 2007) the authors compared their study sample with a random sample of potential target community based women, concluding that their sample was not fully representative of the population. While the women who applied for the course were, as intended, relatively low on overall well-being, high on negative affect, and very lonely, they did not have lower self-management abilities than women living in the community. They noted that "a more specific recruitment procedure—focussing more on low self-management abilities—may be needed to better reach the women who are intended as the target group for the LUSTRE course. A more focused recruitment procedure may even increase the effectiveness of the course. An improved course would support ever more women in giving their life more LUSTRE". (P. 59)

Cluster 6: Use of computers and other information and communication technologies

Evidence Statement 6.1: Training courses on computing and use of the Internet

There is inconsistent evidence on the effectiveness of training courses in improving mental wellbeing and independence in older people from 13 papers covering 9 studies: 4 RCTs (Slegers 2007/2008/2012 ++) (White 2002 +) (Lagana 2013+) (Woodward 2011/13 -) , 2 quasi-experimental studies (Shapira 2007 + (Fitzpatrick 2003-) and three uncontrolled studies (Blazun 2012 -) (Campbell 2004 -) (Campbell 2005 -). In one well conducted RCT study (Slegers 2007/2008/2012, RCT, ++, Netherlands) no significant impact on wellbeing or loneliness was found suggesting that training courses may not have an impact. Another study (Lagana 2013, RCT +, US) also showed no significant difference in wellbeing in terms of self-esteem and perceived control.

There is moderate evidence from 3 studies (Shapira 2007, quasi-experimental +, Israel; Blazun 2012, exploratory -; Slovenia and White 2002, RCT+, US) that computer training reduces levels of loneliness. There is also evidence from preliminary findings of an ongoing RCT (**Cotten 2013, RCT, USA, -**) that internet use is associated with lower levels of loneliness.

There is weak evidence from one RCT conducted in the US (**Woodward 2011-, US**) (n=83) showing no significant changes in social networks, perceived social support and loneliness, and quality of life. An exploratory follow up study also did not find any significant changes in social networks, social support and loneliness (**Woodward 2013 – US**).

(Fitzpatrick 2003 -, exploratory US) did not provide sufficient information to judge effectiveness. (Campbell 2004 - and Campbell 2005, -, uncontrolled exploratory studies, US reported reductions in computer related anxiety and an increase in internal locus of control respectively, but they did not provide sufficient information on wellbeing.

All studies are potentially applicable to the UK context. The evaluated interventions mainly targeted community-dwelling older adults and were applying standard technological equipment.

Training courses on computing and the use of the Internet

Thirteen papers covering 9 studies were identified that explored the effectiveness of different types of training and exposure to computers and the Internet (delivered both at older peoples' day centres and clubs or virtually online, enabling the participants could take part from home).

Table 6.1: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 6.1: Training courses on computing and the use of the Internet

Author	Quality	Study type	Sample size	Intervention
	rating			content
Blazun	-	Uncontrolled	n= 31 (Slovenia)	Internet training
		exploratory	n= 27 (Finland), 52%	courses with plenary
2012		design	women, mean age 66	sessions and
				possibilities for
Finland,				discussion
Slovenia				
Campbell	-	Exploratory	n= 79, 83% women, mean	Training sessions in

2004/2005		uncontrolled design	age 72	using the internet
US				
Cotten 2013	-	Ongoing RCT	N=205; split between controls and active group not reported, 82% women,	Training and access to the Internet
US			mean age 83	
Fitzpatrick	-	Quasi- experimental	n= 12 (participant group)	Computer training
2003		design	n= 12 (non-participant group)	
US			All women, mean age 76	
Lagana	+	RCT	n= 60, 70% women, mean age 69.	Computer and Internet training: one
2013				to one manualized training
US				
Shapira	+	Quasi- experimental	n=22 (intervention)	Course in computer operation
2007		design	n=26 (control)	and Internet searching
Israel			59% women, mean age 80	6
Slegers	++	RCT	n= 123	Computer use
2007/08/12			and 113 in intervention and control conditions	training course over a 2-week period
Netherlands			(gender not reported)	
White	+	RCT	n=51 (intervention)	Computer training
2002			n= 49 (control), 72%	including basic computer skills, use
US			women, mean age 71	of e-mail, and the internet
Woodward	-	2011: RCT	2011 n=45 (intervention)	ICT training with
		2013: Quasi-	n=38 control 72% women,	professional and peer
2011/13		experimental	mean age 71	tutors
US			delivered by 6 peer tutors	
			– compared with 45 in	
			2011 intervention group;	
			53% women, mean age 73	

Slegers 2007, 2008, 2012 (++) conducted an RCT in the Netherlands involving older adults (aged 64-75, n=123 and 113 in two intervention and two control groups respectively). This study examined changes in activity level, physical, emotional and social wellbeing, as well as

on the locus of control, mood and sense of mastery. The intervention consisted of a series of computer use training sessions led by instructors over a two-week period. No significant impact of the intervention was found on most measures of wellbeing and mood, although there were some impacts on levels of social interaction and sense of mastery. Those who received computer training but no subsequent computer intervention reported a reduction over time in the frequency of contacting people (x^2 (2, n =44) =7.93, p =.02). Participants in the no training, no intervention group were less active at the follow-ups (4 and 12 months) compared to baseline (x^2 (2, n =50) =17.27, p <.01)). Significant interaction effects were found between the extent of computer use and time for the sense of mastery outcome ((F(2,(48) = 3.31, p = .04), showing that between baseline and the 12-month follow-up, frequent computer users (around 8 hours per week reported as an average for the study sample) showed an increase in sense of mastery - whereas non-frequent users showed a significant decrease (p = .01). Additionally some significant changes over time were evidenced in the frequency of meeting people - the light computer users showed an increase between baseline and the 4-month follow-up but this decreased after the 4-month follow-up $(x^2 (2, n = 24))$ 8.23, p = .01)). Heavy computer users in the training-intervention group showed an increase in participation in hobbies over all time intervals, (Q (2, n = 24) = 6.33, p=0.04).

Shapira 2007 (+) in a quasi-experimental study examined the effects of a computer use and Internet training course delivered by trained veteran teachers and volunteers in a day care centre context in Israel to older adults (mean age 80). The intervention (n=22) lasted for 15 weeks (including 1-2 sessions per week and in the evaluation statistically significant differences were found between the intervention and the comparison groups (i.e. other provided activities delivered within the day care centre setting, such as courses in painting, sewing and ceramics, n=26) in all self-reported mental health and wellbeing measures postintervention: higher levels of life satisfaction (F = 39.94; df = 1:33; p<0.001; η^2 =0.55), sense of control (F = 13.22; df = 1:33; p<0.001; η^2 =0.29) and life quality (F = 7.42; df = 1:33; p<0.01; η^2 =0.18) and significantly lower levels of depression (F = 10.00; df = 1:33; p<0.01; η^2 =0.23 and feeling of loneliness (F = 34.71; df = 1:33; p<0.001; η^2 =0.51). For physical difficulties the comparison was found to be not statistically significant (F = 2.24; df = 1:33; η^2 =0.06), although showing a decrease in the intervention group compared to the control.

Blazun 2012 (-) in an uncontrolled exploratory design in Slovenia and Finland examined the effects of Internet training courses (once a week over a 3-week time period) delivered both in

senior centre and nursing home settings. This intervention offered community-based computer and ICT management courses in Slovenia (n=31, nursing home residents only) and in Finland (n=27, community-dwelling older adults), led by trained facilitators in both contexts. The results reported a statistically significant reduction of loneliness between the baseline and post-intervention follow-up measurements in both countries (Mann-Whitney U = 894.000; p = 0.001). Older people who lived in towns and participated in computer training courses reported a statistically significant reduction in their feeling of loneliness (p = 0.003), in contrast to people living in rural areas, who did not report any differences (p = 0.317) following training. Based on the study results it was concluded that older people having limited options for socialising (e.g. living alone in towns or in a nursing home context) may increase their possibilities of social participation and independence, as well as decrease level of loneliness through learning ICT skills.

Lagana 2013 (+) in a randomised controlled trial looked at 60 community dwelling people aged 51 to 92. The intervention was a one to one delivered and manualised computer and internet training for 2 hours per session per week for 6 weeks. The comparator group were placed on a waiting list. The study found no significant difference in wellbeing measured using the Rosenberg self-esteem scale compared with the waitlist/control group. The intervention group reported significantly greater computer self-efficacy than the waitlist/control group when undertaking analysis of covariance (p=0.001). The intervention group at follow-up also had significantly lower levels of depressive symptoms compared to the control group (p=0.004).

In the US (**Cotten 2013, RCT, USA -**) examined how Internet use affects perceived social isolation and loneliness of older adults in assisted and independent living communities, based on data from an ongoing RCT study (n=205). Participants with a mean age of 83 years residing in assisted and independent living communities in Alabama, US participated in either an ICT-based intervention (training in using computers and the Internet to communicate with family and friends and to find information) or in attention or no-intervention control groups (group-specific number of participants not reported). The intervention period was 8 weeks. The preliminary findings, based on the baseline data collection, indicate that Internet use is associated with lower levels of loneliness among residents of assisted and independent living communities. Regression analyses showed a relationship between the frequency of going online and the measured socio-emotional

outcomes and between frequency of going online and selected Internet-usefulness outcomes; for example, increased frequency of going online was associated with decrease in loneliness scores (P=.001). Frequent internet use was associated with a decrease in respondents' perceived social isolation (P=.06). Among the measures of perception of the social effects of the Internet, all outcomes showed a statistically significant relationship with frequency of going online. Each 1-point increase in the frequency of going online was associated with a 0.508-point increase in agreement that using the Internet had made it easier to reach people (P<.001); a 0.516-point increase in agreement that using the Internet had contributed to the respondents' ability to stay in touch (P<.001); a 0.297-point increase in agreement that using the Internet had made it easier to meet new people (P=.01); a 0.306-point increase in agreement that using the Internet had increased the quantity of respondents' communication with others (P=.01); a 0.491-point increase in agreement that using the Internet had made the respondent feel less isolated (P<.001); a 0.392-point increase in agreement that using the Internet helped the respondent feel more connected to friends and family (P=.001); and a 0.289-point increase in agreement that using the Internet had increased the quality of respondents' communication with others (P=.01). The results, however, suggest that the frequency of going online impacts loneliness, but not perceptions of social isolation, with higher frequency associated with lower levels of loneliness but not with lower levels of perceived social isolation. It may be that perceptions of social isolation are related more to face-to-face contact than online contact with network ties; thus, frequency of going online is not related to perceived isolation.

White et al. 2002 (+) in the US ran a randomised controlled trial of the psychosocial impact of providing internet training and internet access to older people. A sample of 100 older people from four congregate housing sites and two nursing facilities were randomly allocated to either intervention (n=51) or control (n=49) group. Intervention included 9 hours of group training (three 2 hour sessions and three 1 hour sessions) over a two-week period. The training consisted of basic computer skills, use of e-mail, and an introduction to accessing the internet. The outcome measures included UCLA Loneliness scale which overall found that the positive reduction in loneliness in the intervention group (-2, interquartile range (-8,3)) was not significantly different to that of the control group (-1 interquartile range (-5,2) where no the change in scores between the intervention group and the control group was not significant (p=0.52). While there were decreased levels of loneliness in those individuals who continued to use the internet after training (n=29) - 3 (- 8 to -1 interquartile range) compared to 19 individuals who did not continue to use the internet where a small increase in loneliness score were seen 1 (-6 to 3 (-1 interquartile range) this difference was not significant (p=0.14); There also was better outcomes for the perceived control scale but again this was not significant (p=0.08). Thus overall there were no statistically significant changes from baseline to the end of trial between groups.

In the USA **Fitzpatrick 2003** (-) examined the relationship between participation in a computer training programme and well-being among Catholic nuns who were retired from active teaching and education and were living in a retirement community in the USA run by the Sisters of Mercy order. The intervention included training on the elementary aspects of using computers (word processing, email, accessing and searching the Internet). Using a quasi-experimental design a sample of twenty four sisters (average age 76.3 years) were allocated to either participant group (n=12) or non-participant group (n=12). As a measure of mental wellbeing, the Psychological General Well-Being (PGWB) Schedule (Dupuy 1984) was used to measure self-representations of interpersonal affective or emotional states reflecting a sense of subjective well-being or distress. The results from the PGWB survey indicated that mean scores from the total PGWB Schedule and the 6 subscales were higher for the non-participating group (M=82; range 53-100); than for the participating group (M=79; range 58-88), but no statistical significance information was reported.

Campbell 2004/5 (-) used an exploratory uncontrolled design to examine the effects of a series of Internet usage training sessions. The study targeted community-dwelling older adults aged 60-83 (n=79) in the US and was delivered in library and senior centre contexts during a five-week period. Weekly training sessions consisted of small group-based training seminars in internet usage, led by supervisors trained for the assignments. No significant results were evidenced on the outcomes measured (locus of control, levels of anxiety or levels of computer use self-efficacy).

Another excluded study by **Campbell 2008** used a quasi-experimental design to present develop a program to integrate computer technology into two Nurse Wellness Centres located in low-income minority high-rise facilities in Pittsburgh. A group of 110 older people (average age 73 and 68 years respectively) using the two centres were given computer training over a five-week period (once a week). They were assessed on their health locus of

control, on their views about health and the value they place on health. However, the results from the mental wellbeing scales and surveys were not reported in the paper.

Woodward 2011 - in a US a RCT looked at the effects of an ICT use training program among community-dwelling older adults (mean age 72 years). The intervention program was delivered by a professional computer tutors to 45 people – 11 sessions over 22 weeks. 38 people were in the no intervention control group. The study presents mixed regression models for both computer-related, social support and mental health-related outcomes in the evaluation of the ICT use training programmes. No significant impacts on social support, mental wellbeing or loneliness were reported. In a small follow up quasi experimental study Woodward 2013 -, 19 older people in the control group of the 2011 study received computer training from 6 older people who had been trained in the earlier study. The training sessions were every week for a 20-week period. The study also presented mixed regression models for both computer-related and mental health-related outcomes in the evaluation of the ICT use training programmes. Again there were no significant differences when compared to the 2011 study. While the study evidenced significant and consistent changes over time for both computer use self-efficacy (CSE) and developed ICT use (with CSE increasing over time throughout the training period and also with comparison to the control group), no significant changes could be found for any of the mental health outcomes measured (i.e. social networks and perceived social support and loneliness, as well as quality of life and depression).

Evidence statement 6.2: Telephone and internet communication

There is consistent weak evidence from seven papers covering six studies on the potential positive impacts of the use of different forms of telephone and internet communication on independence and mental wellbeing (Cornejo 2013 a,b –,Bernard 2011 -, Mountain 2014 ++, Newall 2013 -, Larsson 2013 -, Jimison 2013 -).

(Mountain 2014 ++, RCT, UK) in a well designed pilot study evaluated the effects of telephone-based befriending on health-related quality of life and subjective wellbeing among older people. The evaluation showed results that favoured the intervention but differences between the groups were non-significant and the study ended prematurely due to difficulties in recruiting befrienders. (Newall 2013 -, exploratory, Canada) looking at access to support via internet or telephone communication found no statistically significant mental wellbeing

but concluded it could be promising in providing the older adults at risk for social isolation with meaningful social contacts.

Larsson 2013 -, uncontrolled observational study, Sweden in a very small study explored the effects of a small programme to promote social activities based on the internet. The number of social contacts increased and most participants reported improved independence when they used social internet based activities.

Jimison et al 2013 - uncontrolled feasibility study, US in a very small scale uncontrolled feasibility study looked at the use of Skype and webcam plus laptops as part of an interactive but largely automated health coaching initiative to encourage socialisation and communication in community dwelling older people. This indicated that the participants did regularly use Skype with new friendships developing.

(Bernard 2011, -, exploratory mixed methods, Canada) examined the effects of an intergenerational telementoring programme. Positive behaviour changes in the areas of: self-confidence, self-expression, enjoyment and self-efficacy were reported.

Cornejo 2013a,b -, uncontrolled before and after study, Mexico) in a very small scale study involving two older people and their immediate and extended families evaluated the impact of a situated display interface (a computer screen within a picture frame. Qualitative data indicate the older adults became engaged with the social network activities of their relatives and had new offline conversations and meetings.

It would be feasible to implement all of these studies in a UK context.

Table 6.2: Characteristics of Studies in Evidence Statement 6.2: Telephone and internet communication

Author	Quality rating	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
Year				
Country				

Bernard	-	Exploratory	n=18 (older adults)	Intergenerational
2011 Canada		study using quantitative and qualitative methods	n= 18 (young people)	telementoring program
Cornejo	-	Before-and after	2 active and	A situated display
2013a,b Mexico		(BA) design	independent women (age 80+) families and their immediate and scattered families	interface providing information on postings by family members on a social network (Facebook)
Jimison	-	Pilot	9 older adults and	Computer delivered health
2013		uncontrolled before and after study	their immediate families	coaching platform
US				
Larsson 2013	-	A mixed case study with quantitative and	n= 5	Client-centred occupational therapy intervention processes for
Sweden		qualitative components		meaningful Social Internet-Based Activities (SIBAs)
Mountain	+	RCT	n=78 (intervention)	Telephone befriending
2014			n=79 (control)	volunteers
UK				
Newall	-	Exploratory study design	n= 26	The Seniors Centre Without Walls (SCWOW)
2013		study design		program offering social and
Canada				educational sessions

Seven papers covering six studies in the review looked specifically at telephone and internet communication. One uncontrolled before and after study from Mexico (**Cornejo et al 2013a,b** -) evaluated the impact of a situated display interface providing information on postings by family members on a social network (Facebook) on the subsequent participation of the older person in online and offline interactions with family members. The situated display interface took the form of a picture frame which surrounded a visual display screen which provided pictures and messages from family members, as well as news and weather items of interest to the older person. This study just looked at the cases of 2 active and independent women over the age of 80 and their immediate and scattered families. No quantitative information was recorded other than the number of photos uploaded by family members was reported. Qualitative responses from interviews with the older adults and their

families reported that the older adults had become engaged with the social network activities of their relatives. The interviews also reported new offline conversations between the older adults and family members, as well as new offline meetings and additional Skype communications with more distant relatives.

One small Canadian study (**Bernard 2011** -) looked at the effects of an intergenerational telementoring program (applied in personal computers equipped with web-cams) on wellbeing outcomes in older adults (aged 59-82 years, n=18). The intervention was offered as a tele-based support tool for the practice of English or French as a second language, with the older adults residing in Ottawa as telementors for young students (n=18) residing in Paris, France, Based on descriptive analyses of both quantitative and qualitative data (no significance levels reported), it reported positive behaviour changes in the areas of: self-confidence, self-expression, enjoyment and self-efficacy among the older adults. Also, increased interaction with the younger generation was reported. In contrast to the younger participants, the seniors were new to the use of such information technologies. However, at the end (after ten weekly 1-hour sessions) of the evaluated telementoring program, 77 % of them felt more confident using computer technologies, while 100 % considered the medium of videoconferencing to be very useful to their exchanges.

One study originated from UK, **Mountain 2014 (RCT, +)** targeting community-dwelling older adults (mean age 82 & 80 in the intervention and control group respectively), examined changes in health-related quality of life and subjective well-being. The intervention (n=78) was led by trained volunteers and consisted of telephone-based befriending. Initial one-to-one befriending involved 10- to 20-minute calls once per week for up to 6 weeks made by the volunteer befriender to an allocated participant. This aimed to familiarize the participant with the volunteer, conduct everyday conversation and prepare participants for the telephone friendship groups. Further, the friendship groups consisted of up to 6 participants and involved 1 hour teleconferences, at a pre-arranged time, once per week for 12 weeks. The friendship groups did not aim to induce behaviour change, but to reduce social isolation by providing a safe environment for building relationships, sharing experiences, companionship and support. The control group (n=79) received usual health and social care during the intervention period.

Comparing the outcomes of the intervention and control groups, the mean SF-36 MH score at 6 months post-randomisation was 77.5 (SD 18.4) in the intervention group and 70.7 (SD

21.2) in the control group, a mean difference of 6.5 (95% CI, -3.0 to 16.0) or 9.5 (4.5 to 14.5), adjusting for age, sex and baseline scores. These results indicate a non-significant positive effect of the intervention for the mental health aspects of experienced health-related quality of life among participants. Also for the other dimensions of the measured health-related quality of life, the differences in quality of life favoured the intervention group, but were non-significant. There were no differences in mean scores between the intervention and control groups, observed for the other measures used, except for the subjective wellbeing total score, indicating a significant difference favouring the intervention group (mean difference 0.8 (95 % CI 0.2 to 1.4). A significant limitation of the analysis was the fact that there were difficulties in recruiting sufficient numbers of volunteer befrienders to implement the intervention leading to the main planned study being halted. The authors noted a number of challenges which may have contributed to the lack of statistical effect: lack of statistical power, the small number of intervention arm participants who received the intervention per protocol, challenges in recruitment and non blinding of participants in the control arm.

One Canadian study (Newall 2013 -) with an uncontrolled, exploratory design evaluated an intervention offering telephone support services to socially isolated older adults (n=26). The intervention targeted older adults aged 57-85 and provided a range of social and educational sessions via telephone. This included scheduled sessions led by invited guests, health professionals or volunteers, who via telephone presented and led discussions on relevant topics for the older adults. The study reported descriptive analyses of qualitative and quantitative data. indicating that this type of intervention could be promising in providing the older adults at risk for social isolation with meaningful social contacts. However, no statistically significant outcomes were reported on the mental wellbeing measures in this study.

In Sweden, Larsson 2013 explored the effects of a small mixed case study with quantitative and qualitative components to promote social activities based on the internet in five older people aged 65-85 living in the community. The intervention was a client-centred occupational therapy to improve their Social Internet-Based Activities (SIBAs). Individual assignments were decided every week reflecting participants' progress. The assignment consisted of replying to a message using Facebook, call a friend using Skype, visit a forum on a regular basis, or draw a map for their social networks. The individual meetings were usually held in older people's homes or via an online video call lasting one to two hours on a weekly basis. The number of social contacts on the internet were increased after the SIBAs in three

of five participants while one had no change and one reported reduced number of contacts over one month (Ann 1-2 vs. 5-6, Sven 1-2 vs. 1-2, Marie 1-2 vs. 5-6, Bengt 11-12 vs. 7-8, Greta 3-4 vs. 7-8). There were no significant differences in self-reported loneliness and the number of social contacts. However, in qualitative responses, most participants reported improved independence when they used SIBAs.

In the US **Jimison et al 2013** (-) in a very small scale uncontrolled feasibility study have looked at the use of Skype and webcam plus laptops as part of an interactive but largely automated health coaching initiative to encourage socialisation and communication in 9 community dwelling older people. Automatic feedback and inputs were provided to study participants depending on how sensors in their home monitored changes in their behaviours. The feasibility study indicated that the participants did regularly use Skype – on average contacting 5 other people over 9 weeks including other study participants with new friendships developing. The intervention will now be rolled out and evaluated further using the Lubben Social Network Scale-Revised (LSNS-R), a brief instrument measuring social contacts in the categories of family and friends (including neighbours) and the UCLA-R Loneliness Scale 10 to assess loneliness at baseline and after the intervention.

Evidence Statement 6.3: ICT interventions for carers

There is inconsistent evidence from three uncontrolled studies (Torp 2008 +, Torp 2013 -, Dow 2008 -) on the effectiveness of information and communication technologies in improving the mental wellbeing and independence of older informal carers. There is evidence from one study (**Torp 2008 +, Norway**) that computer classes for carers were effective in improving the social contacts and sense of support for spousal carers who had caring responsibilities with their family and friends. Another, largely qualitative study, **Torp 2013 (-**), **observational study, Norway**) reported that most older carers made use of ICT-based interventions to establish and sustain contact with informal peer support networks. Addressing the issue of social isolation in older carers living in rural areas, Dow 2008 (-), Australia) used a computer training intervention to develop basic computer skills, using email and the internet to improve the carers' mental wellbeing. Although results indicated a reduction in depressive symptoms and loneliness, no statistical evidence for the effectiveness of this intervention was provided. All three of these studies are potentially applicable to the UK context. The interventions used were targeted at older informal carers in the community setting and in one study specifically focusing on the population of rural carers.

Table 6.3:	Characteristics of	Studies in Evider	nce Statement (6.3: ICT interve	ntions for
carers					

Author	Ouality	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
	rating		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
Torp et	+	Quasi-	n= 19, 42% women,	Computer classes for
al.		experimental	mean age 73	carers
		design		
2008				
N.T.				
Norway				
Torp et	-	Observational	n= 79, mean age 75	Safety Net service for
al.		study	(gender balance not	informal carers
			reported)	
2013				
Norway				
Dow et	-	Before-and after	n= 14, 86% women,	Computer
al.		(BA) design	mean age 66	intervention for rural
				carers
2008				
Australia				

Three studies in the review looked specifically at ICT interventions for carers.

Three studies in the review looked specifically at ICT interventions for carers. A pilot study by **Torp et al 2008** (+) examined the extent to which ICT-based intervention, which consisted of computer classes focusing on accessing the information on a rage of health topics and taking part in an online discussion forum for carers, could contribute to health promotion of older carers in Norway. Using a quasi-experimental design, 19 elderly spousal carers (average age 73 years) were assessed on their knowledge about the disease and caring, social contact, social support, carers stress and mental health problems. At follow-up one year later, there was no significant reduction in carer stress or mental health problems. A positive and significant change was found in scores related to their *contact with family and friends*

(changes in mean scores 1.5 (0.06-2.88); p=0.036)), and a sense of *social support* from other individuals (3.4 (1.14 – 5.61); p=0.010)). The most increase from the baseline to follow-up was found for the contact with their grandchildren (mean change = 0.35, CI = -0.01-0.71, p = 0.058).

A Norwegian study by Torp et al 2013 (-) investigated whether the Safety Net intervention – service design to increase informal carer's knowledge and to establish supportive social networks for informal carers - could help participants to increase their knowledge about caring and coping by using ICT and whether they could use the Safety Net intervention to establish informal support networks. Two ICT sub-networks were formed with 40 informal carers for dementia and stroke, and 39 informal carers in the network for disabled children and adolescents. Seventeen participants (out of n=79) who took part in Safety Net for at least one year were invited to take part in the focus group. To collect a quantitative data on the users satisfaction with Safety Net participants also completed a short questionnaire. The questions asked about their use of Safety Net, frequency and what components they used, and their overall level of satisfaction with the intervention. The data was analysed by testing the differences in scores between the experienced (n=6) and novice (n=9) Safety Net participants. The results showed that experienced participants used five different components extensively (mean score=5.3(SD=1.1)). The average score on the five different components of Safety Net for novice group was 2.9 (SD=0.8). All the experienced older participants rated the maximum satisfaction with Safety Net (7 out of 7-point scale) while the novice participants scored M=3.8 (SD=1.3). The differences between the two groups were significant for satisfaction with Safety Net (p<0.001), overall use of Safety Net (p<0.001), and use of web camera and discussion forum (p<0.001) respectively.

In this particular study the Safety Net intervention was found to be frequently used by experienced Safety Net participants who joined the network in 2004. This intervention enabled carers to share their experiences of caring with other carers via Safety Net.

An Australian study by **Dow et al. 2008** (-) explored the feasibility of using a computer intervention for improving a social interaction and promoting the mental health of rural carers. An intervention consisted of a computer training (basic computer skills, using email and the internet) lasting a three-hour session per week over a four-week period with a three-month follow-up. A combined before and after intervention measures were used to assess carers metal wellbeing including loneliness, depressive symptoms, and carer burden.

Fourteen carers (12 women and 2 men) with an average age of 65.5 took part in the study. For most participants the intervention resulted in a decrease of their depressive symptoms (for 9 out of 14 participants), and loneliness scores (for 11 out of 14 participants). There was a small change in the carer burden scores. Due to a small sample size no further statistical analysis was carried out.

Evidence Statement 6.4: Computer gaming

There is weak evidence from two US studies (**Studenski 2010, -, Kahlbaugh 2011, -**) supporting positive mental health outcomes for older people who make use of computer gaming devices. There is weak evidence from one unblinded and controlled study (**Studenski 2010, UBA, USA -**) that participation in interactive computer video dance games led to a significant improvement in positive self-reported mental wellbeing. There is weak evidence from an uncontrolled before and after study (**Kahlbaugh 2011, UBA, USA -**) that playing computer simulation games such as the Wii also increased positive mood. The two studies are potentially applicable to the UK contexts.

Author	Quality rating	Study type	Sample size	Intervention content
Year	raung			
Country				
Studenski	-	Uncontrolled before-and after	n= 36, 82% women, mean	Training and supervision using a video dance game targeted at
2010			age 80	older people
US				
Kahlbaugh	-	Uncontrolled before-and after	n= 35, 89% women, mean	Computerised simulation games
2011			age 82	
US				

Гable 6.4: Ch	aracteristics of	studies in	Evidence	Statement (6.4: (Computer	gaming
---------------	------------------	------------	----------	-------------	--------	----------	--------

٢

Computer gaming technology

Two studies in the review looked specifically at computer gaming ICT interventions. In the USA **Studenski 2010** (-) evaluated interactive video dance games lasting 30 minutes per session for 24 sessions over three months. An unblended and uncontrolled study was conducted for healthy volunteers in three senior living centres. The study was compared before and after the intervention without control group. There was a significant difference in self-reported mental health using the SF-36 mental components (mean difference: 3.9, p=0.0180).

A before and after study conducted in the USA in independent living residential apartments **Kahlbaugh, 2011** (-) observed the impacts of Wii video gaming console on mental health outcomes. The intervention included computerized simulation games such as bowling for 35 older people with the mean age of 82. Research assistants visited 28 healthy participants either to play Wii or to watch TV, and stayed with them for one hour per week over a 10-week period. Seven participants were in "no visit control" group. The individuals were paid \$5 per session. The older people playing Wii showed better positive mood relative to the TV group (33.15 vs. 30.83), although there was no difference in life satisfaction.

Discussion

The review findings suggest that there is a broad range of interventions and activities that can be used to promote and protects the mental wellbeing and independence of older people. These findings are in line with previous reviews on aspects of this literature (Windle, Francis and Coomber 2011, Collins 2014, Dickens et al. 2011, Choi, Kong and Jung 2012, Park et al. 2014, Hagan et al. 2014), albeit with some differences in inclusion criteria given the focus here on older people who do not currently have substantive health and social care needs and largely on actions and activities which are not delivered by health and social care professionals.

Based on the reviewed evidence, it can be concluded that many aspects of the complex concept of mental wellbeing are strongly correlated with social resources (e.g. social contacts, social participation, social cohesion, sense of belonging) among older adults. These findings are also supported by previous reviews (Cattan et al. 2005, Masi et al. 2011, Collins 2014). These social aspects - that have been shown to be associated with positive mental

health and mental wellbeing in a growing body of research - are often referred to as aspects of the theoretical framework of human social capital (Putnam 2000, Bourdieu 1986, Nyqvist et al. 2013).

The evidence in this review suggests that interventions that support social capital are promising as measures to promote mental wellbeing in old age, but there remain gaps in evaluation and in the quality of evaluations undertaken to date. By making efforts to support social contacts and relationships already established by older individuals, as well as aiming to enhance the development of new relevant social contacts when possible, important prerequisites for mental health in later life are created and secured.

Bronfenbrenner's ecological model (Bronfenbrenner 1979) could be a useful tool for the theoretical illustration of older people's psychosocial wellbeing (Forsman 2012, Greenfield 2012). According to this model, preferences, abilities and attitudes at the *individual level* form an important basis for mental health and experienced wellbeing in later life, at the same time as the social relationships at the *interpersonal level*, social contacts at *community level* and social participation at a *societal level* are central covariates of mental health in later life. Interventions that look at all of these issues have been identified in the review, including a cluster of evaluations, largely from Spain to promote continued participation of older people in higher education. This type of activity is well established in the UK, perhaps most immediately through the Open University.

There is also a growing evidence base which emphasises the role that arts and musical activities can play in promoting the wellbeing and independence of older people. In this evidence review, several studies explored the effectiveness of varying art forms – such as musical activities, singing, dance, storytelling or story writing, festivals – on mental wellbeing outcomes. The beneficial effects of art based programmes on various aspects of psychosocial wellbeing among older people is evident, however, the evidence base is heterogeneous and often from small scale studies, but this is an area where evaluation has taken place in the UK, for instance through the New Dynamics of Ageing Scheme. Nonetheless the strength of the evidence as presented in this review should be considered in the context of its multiple limitations. Art based group interventions constitute a new and emerging research field (Mental Health Foundation 2011); this makes interpreting the

synthesised findings based on a low number of studies, or comparing the emerging effects of one art form against another difficult.

Windle and colleagues (Windle, Francis and Coomber 2011) have also in their review on programmes for prevention of social isolation and loneliness among older people evaluated art based group programmes. In the review from 2011, these programmes were categorised as wider community engagement initiatives, which in the analyses were compared to one-to-one and group service interventions. According to Windle and colleagues, there is good evidence that one-to-one interventions such as befriending reduce loneliness and improve mental wellbeing. However, it is also pointed out that interventions need to be adapted to the needs of the targeted older individuals. For social group interventions and wider community initiatives, there was similarly good evidence on positive effects on various aspects of wellbeing in later life. These findings are supported by the current review.

Another key point frequently emphasised in the reviewed literature is the importance of ensuring the involvement of older adults themselves in the planning of initiatives to enhance mental health and wellbeing, especially since the personal needs, preferences, and abilities vary to a great extent at the individual level (World Health Organization 2013, Futureage 2011). This is an area where the evidence is less definitive that would be desired in this review. More research is needed on the effectiveness of different ways of planning interventions, such that the older adults themselves are given an opportunity to be involved in intervention planning, community services and national policies. The limited participation of men in these studies is a concern and potential research gap (See also the Barriers and Facilitators Review).

Based on the evidence review findings, there are opportunities to make use of new technologies and the social media, although the strength of the evidence is moderate and to some extent inconsistent. Nonetheless many studies illustrate how training to use the internet and computers, as well as positive aspects experienced through attainment of internet usage skills and inclusion in the online world, can contribute to mental wellbeing and independence. A key issue here is, however, may be the digital exclusion of older adults from the virtual world, this being a form of social exclusion in itself, although this may reduce over time (Note: This is also discussed in Review 2 on Barriers and Facilitators. Since older adults typically adopt new innovations at a slower pace (Carey and Elton 2010) the number of ICT

users in the older population is generally lower worldwide although it is increasing in older age groups over time (Ofcom 2009, Pew Research Centre 2013) and they have received less attention in ICT-related research. One gap is that much of current research is focused primarily on online health information and health service development (Rios 2013) rather than looking at broader psychosocial and independence aspects of digital inclusion and there may be both positive and negative impacts of increased use of these technologies (van der Wardt, Bandelow and Hogervorst 2012).

Evidence Gaps and Recommendations

It is important firstly to place this evidence base within the context of the broader evidence base of actions to promote the mental wellbeing and independence of older people. There may be effective interventions that have been targeted towards people with more significant health issues (and thus excluded from this analysis) which would be beneficial for the broader population of older people. There will also be interventions targeted at the whole adult population that will have benefits for older people (and perhaps older men in particular). There are also settings that fall outside of this scope, such as actions in the workplace at the time of retirement which may help promote mental wellbeing and independence. A strategy to promote mental wellbeing and independence may also involve a combination of interventions and the benefits/weakness of different combinations of actions could be assessed in more detail.

One major gap appears to be a lack of UK based evidence, and more generally the use of more rigorous research designs with well-validated measures of mental wellbeing and /or independence. Well designed studies looking at loneliness in a UK context would be appropriate, for instance can the promising results of the volunteer befriending intervention recently published in Ireland be reported in a UK context? There is also a dearth of information in a UK context of the impact of interventions on BME population or for people with long standing disabilities that are not linked to ageing. There are substantive gaps on knowledge of interventions that are attractive and effective for men. Interventions to more effectively identify individuals at risk of deteriorating mental health and wellbeing need also to be assessed.

A number of significant evaluations of actions to promote the health and independence of older people have not fallen within the scope of this review because of a lack of specific data. Perhaps the most notable of these are the national (and local) evaluations of the Partnerships for Older People Projects (Windle et al. 2009, Roe et al. 2011). This programme which had 29 different local schemes, some targeted at people with low level or no health problems evaluated many interventions which are similar to those included in this review, however impacts on positive mental wellbeing were not specifically reported and moreover it was difficult, as the national review indicates, to attribute any one intervention to changes in health outcomes. One key issue is to make use of measures of positive mental wellbeing when evaluating such interventions.

It should also be noted that actions that would promote mental wellbeing and independence can be delivered by health, social care and occupational therapy professionals – there is an evidence base that can be drawn on in this area which would complement the interventions highlighted in this review. In the same way some actions that are targeted at people who are already living with health and social care needs may be equally appropriate for healthy older people. The findings here could be complemented by referring to some of this literature. The review did not identify many studies that specifically focus on evaluating methods for the identification of older people whose mental wellbeing and independence may be at risk, nor did it find material in respect of effective commissioning. Some of these gaps will however be addressed in complementary reviews on barriers and facilitators to action and mapping current UK practice, where discussions on commissioning, for instance in respect of POPS programme and the DWP LinkAge initiative to support older people have been identified (Davis and Ritters 2009).

References

Age and Opportunity Ireland. 2014. *Bealtain Festival website*. <u>http://bealtaine.com/</u> [Online]. [Accessed 22 September 2014.

Age UK 2014. Factsheet: Later Life in the United Kingdom. September 2014., London, Age UK.

- Anon 1995. The World Health Organization Quality of Life assessment (WHOQOL): position paper from the World Health Organization. *Soc Sci Med*, 41, 1403-9.
- Berwick, D. M., Murphy, J. M., Goldman, P. A., Ware, J. E., Jr., Barsky, A. J. & Weinstein, M. C. 1991. Performance of a five-item mental health screening test. *Med Care*, 29, 169-76.
- Bourdieu, P. 1986. The forms of social capital. *In:* RICHARDSON, J. (ed.) *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education*. New York: Greenwood.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. 1979. The ecology of human development, Cambridge, Harvard University Press.

- Carey, J. & Elton, M. C. J. 2010. Part one processes. One//Adoption of new media. In: When Media are New: Understanding the Dynamics of New Media Adoption and Use., Ann Arbour, University of Michigan Press.
- Cattan, M., White, M., Bond, J. & Learmouth, A. 2005. Preventing social isolation and loneliness among older people: A systematic review of health promotion interventions. *Ageing & Society*, 25, 41-67.
- Choi, M., Kong, S. & Jung, D. 2012. Computer and internet interventions for loneliness and depression in older adults: a meta-analysis. *Healthcare Informatics Research*, 18, 191-198.
- Clift, S. 2012. Singing, wellbeing, and health. *In:* MACDONALD, R. A. R., KREUTZ, G. & MITCHELL, L. (eds.) *Music, health, and wellbeing*. New York, NY US: Oxford University Press.
- Collins, E. 2014. *Preventing loneliness and social isolation in older people* [Online]. Glasgow: Institute for Research and Innovation in Social Services. Available: <u>http://www.iriss.org.uk/resources/preventing-loneliness-and-social-isolation-older-people</u> [Accessed 25.
- Cook, G., Cook, M., Thynne, E. & Chandler, C. 2013. *Henpower: an evaluation of henpower:improving wellbeing and social capital in care settings*, Gateshead, Equal Arts.
- Davis, H. & Ritters, K. 2009. *LinkAge Plus national evaluation: End of project report. Research Report 572*, London, Department for Work and Pensions.
- de Jong Gierveld, J. & van Tilburg, T. 1999. *Manual of the Loneliness Scale 1999* Amsterdam, VU University.
- Dickens, A. P., Richards, S. H., Greaves, C. J. & Campbell, J. L. 2011. Interventions targeting social isolation in older people: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*, 11, 647-647.
- Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J. & Griffin, S. 1985. The Satisfaction With Life Scale. *J Pers Assess*, 49, 71-5.
- Ducharme, F., Lévesque, L., Lachance, L., Giroux, F., Legault, A. & Préville, M. 2005. 'Taking Care of Myself': Efficacy of an intervention programme for caregivers of a relative with dementia living in a long-term care setting. *Dementia: The International Journal of Social Research* and Practice, 4, 23-47.
- Dupuy, H. J. 1984. The psychological well-being (PGWB) index. In: WENGER, N. K., MATTSON,
 M. E., FURBERG, C. D. & ELINSON, J. (eds.) Assessment of quality of life in clinical trials of cardiovascular therapies. United States: Le Jacq Publishing
- Dwyer, P. & Hardill, I. 2011. Promoting social inclusion? The impact of village services on the lives of older people living in rural England. *Ageing & Society*, 31, 243-264.
- Forsman, A. 2012. The importance of social capital in later life. Mental health promotion and mental disorder prevention among older adults. Doctoral Thesis., Gothenburg, Sweden, Nordic School of Public Health NHV.
- Forsman, A. K., Nyqvist, F., Schierenbeck, I., Gustafson, Y. & Wahlbeck, K. 2012. Structural and cognitive social capital and depression among older adults in two Nordic regions. *Aging & Mental Health*, 16, 771-779.
- Futureage 2011. Road map for European ageing research. European Commission. Accessed from: <u>http://www.futurage.group.shef.ac.uk/road-map.html</u>, Luxembourg, European Commission.
- Greenfield, E. A. 2012. Using ecological frameworks to advance a field of research, practice, and policy on aging-in-place initiatives. *The Gerontologist*, 52, 1-12.
- Hagan, R., Manktelow, R., Taylor, B. J. & Mallett, J. 2014. Reducing loneliness amongst older people: a systematic search and narrative review. *Aging & Mental Health*.
- Hartig, T., Korpela, K., Evans, G. W. & Garling, T. 1997. A measure of restorative quality in environments. *Scandinavian Housing and Planning Research*, 14, 175-194.
- Honigh-de Vlaming, R., Haveman-Nies, A., Bos-Oude Groeniger, I., Hooft van Huysduynen, E. J., de Groot, L. C. & Van't Veer, P. 2014. Loneliness Literacy Scale: Development and Evaluation of an Early Indicator for Loneliness Prevention. *Soc Indic Res*, 116, 989-1001.
- Kingsman, J. S. 1992. Guilt in caregivers of persons with dementia after nursing home placement. Chicago: Rush University.

- Koenig, H. G., Westlund, R. E., George, L. K., Hughes, D. C., Blazer, D. G. & Hybels, C. 1993. Abbreviating the Duke Social Support Index for use in chronically ill elderly individuals. *Psychosomatics*, 34, 61-9.
- Krause, N. & Markides, K. 1990. Measuring social support among older adults. *Int J Aging Hum Dev*, 30, 37-53.
- Kremers, I. P., Steverink, N., Albersnagel, F. A. & Slaets, J. P. J. 2007. Single Older Women Who Applied for the Giving Life More LUSTRE Course: Are They the Target Group That Was Aimed for? *Educational Gerontology*, 33, 45-61.
- Kuhn, D. & Fulton, B. R. 2004. Efficacy of an educational program for relatives of persons in the early stages of Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Gerontological Social Work*, 42.
- Lawton, M. P. 1975. The Philadelphia Geriatric Center Morale Scale: a revision. J Gerontol, 30, 85-9.
- Masi, C. M., Chen, H. Y., Hawkley, L. C. & Cacioppo, J. T. 2011. A meta-analysis of interventions to reduce loneliness. *Pers Soc Psychol Rev*, 15, 219-66.
- McNair, D., Lorr, M. & Droppleman, L. 1971. *Profile of Mood States*, San Diego, California, Educational and industrial testing services.
- Mental Health Foundation 2011. An Evidence Review of the Impact of Participatory Arts on Older People., London, Mental Health Foundation.
- Nieboer, A., Lindenberg, S., Boomsma, A. & Van. Bruggen, A. C. 2005. Dimensions of well-being and their measurement: the SPF-IL Scale. *Soc Indic Res*, 73, 313-353.
- Nyqvist, F., Forsman, A. K., Giuntoli, G. & Cattan, M. 2013. Social capital as a resource for mental well-being in older people: A systematic review. *Aging & Mental Health*, 17, 394-410.
- Ofcom 2009. Digital lifestyles: adults aged 60 and over, London, Ofcom.
- Office for National Statistics 2011. *Statistical Bulletin. 2011 Census: Population Estimates for the United Kingdom, 27 March 2011,* London, Office for National Statistics.
- Office for National Statistics 2013. *National Population Projections, 2012-based Statistical Bulletin,* London, Office for National Statistics.
- Office for National Statistics 2014. *Statistical Bulletin. National Life Tables, United Kingdom, 2010-2012.*, London, Office for National Statistics.
- Park, A. L., McDaid, D., Forsman, A. K. & Wahlbeck, K. 2014. Promoting the health and wellbeing of older people: making an economic case. *In:* MCDAID, D. & COOPER, C. (eds.) *Economics of wellbeing.* Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Pavot, W. & Diener, E. 1993. Review of the Satisfaction With Life Scale. *Psychological Assessment*, 5, 164-172.
- Pearlin, L. & Mullan, J. 1988. Sources and mediators of Alzheimer Disease caregiver stress: Preliminary scales for time-one interview.
- Pearlin, L. I. & Schooler, C. 1978. The structure of coping. J Health Soc Behav, 19, 2-21.
- Pew Research Centre 2013. Demographics of internet users. Pew Internet & American Life Project. Accessed from: <u>http://pewinternet.org/Static-Pages/Trend-Data-(Adults)/Whos-Online.aspx</u>.
- Polizzi, K. G. 2003. Assessing attitudes toward the elderly: Polizzi's refined version of the Aging Semantic Differential. *Educational Gerontology*, 29, 197-216.
- Putnam, R. 2000. *Bowling Alone. The collapse and revival of American community.*, New York, Simon & Schuster.
- Rios, G. R. 2013. eHealth literacy and older adults: A review of literature. *Topics in Geriatric Rehabilitation*,, 29, 116-125.
- Roe, B., Beech, R., Harris, M., Beech, B., Russell, W., Gent, D., . . . Dickinson, A. 2011. Improving quality of life for older people in the community: findings from a local Partnerships for Older People Project innovation and evaluation. *Primary Health Care Research & Development*, 12, 200-213.
- Russell, D., Peplau, L. A. & Cutrona, C. E. 1980. The revised UCLA Loneliness Scale: concurrent and discriminant validity evidence. *J Pers Soc Psychol*, 39, 472-80.
- Russell, D. W. 1996. UCLA Loneliness Scale (Version 3): reliability, validity, and factor structure. *J Pers Assess*, 66, 20-40.
- Ryff, C. D. 1989. Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 57, 1069-1081.

- Sanchez-Casanovas, J. 1998. *Escala de bienestar psicologia [Psychological well-being scale]*. Madrid, Tea ediciones, S.A.
- Schuurmans, H., Steverink, N., Frieswijk, N., Buunk, B. P., Slaets, J. P. & Lindenberg, S. 2005. How to measure self-management abilities in older people by self-report. The development of the SMAS-30. *Qual Life Res*, 14, 2215-28.
- Shankar, A., Hamer, M., McMunn, A. & Steptoe, A. 2013. Social isolation and loneliness: Relationships with cognitive function during 4 years of follow-up in the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 75, 161-170.
- Skingley, A. & Bungay, H. 2010. The Silver Song Club Project: singing to promote the health of older people. *British Journal Of Community Nursing*, 15, 135-140.
- Skingley, A., Clift, S. M., Coulton, S. P. & Rodriguez, J. 2011. The effectiveness and costeffectiveness of a participative community singing programme as a health promotion initiative for older people: protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *BMC Public Health*, 11, 142-142.
- Steffen, A. M., McKibbin, C., Zeiss, A. M., Gallagher-Thompson, D. & Bandura, A. 2002. The revised scale for caregiving self-efficacy: reliability and validity studies. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci, 57, P74-86.
- van der Wardt, V., Bandelow, S. & Hogervorst, E. 2012. The relationship between cognitive abilities, wellbeing and use of new technologies in older people. *Gerontechnology*, 10, 187-200.
- Victor, C. R. & Bowling, A. 2012. A longitudinal analysis of loneliness among older people in Great Britain. *The Journal Of Psychology*, 146, 313-331.
- Windle, K., Francis, J. & Coomber, C. 2011. *Preventing loneliness and social isolation: interventions and outcomes,* London, Social Care Institute for Excellence.
- Windle, K., Wagland, R., Forder, J., D'Amico, F., Janssen, D. & Wistow, G. 2009. National evaluation of Partnerships for older people projects: final report, Canterbury, Personal Social Services Research Unit.
- World Health Organization 2013. *Mental Health Action Plan 2013-2020*, Geneva, World Health Organization,.

List of Included Studies

Arkoff, A., Meredith, G. M. & Dubanoski, J. P. 2004. Gains in Well-Being Achieved Through Retrospective-Proactive Life Review By Independent Older Women. Journal of Humanistic Psychology, 44, 204-214.

Bartlett, H., Warburton, J., Lui, C.-W., Peach, L. & Carroll, M. 2013. Preventing social isolation in later life: Findings and insights from a pilot Queensland intervention study. Ageing & Society, 33, 1167-1189.

Basran, J. F. S., Dal Bello-Haas, V., Walker, D., MacLeod, P., Allen, B., D'Eon, M., . . . Trinder, K. 2012. The Longitudinal Elderly Person Shadowing Program: Outcomes From an Interprofessional Senior Partner Mentoring Program. Gerontology and Geriatrics Education, 33, 302-323.

Bedding, S. & Sadlo, G. 2008. Retired People's Experience of Participation in Art Classes. The British Journal of Occupational Therapy, 71, 371-378.

Bernard, M. M., Fruhwirth, M., Brooks, M., Oakley, K., Wang, X., Ouechni, K. G. & Janson,F. 2011. Intergenerational telementoring for the promotion of social relationships.Gerontechnology, 10, 38-50.

Blažun, H., Saranto, K. & Rissanen, S. 2012. Impact of computer training courses on reduction of loneliness of older people in Finland and Slovenia. Computers in Human Behavior, 28, 1202-1212.

Boise, L., Congleton, L. & Shannon, K. 2005. Empowering Family Caregivers: The Powerful Tools for Caregiving Program. Educational Gerontology, 31, 573-586.

Butler, S. S. 2006. Evaluating the Senior Companion Program: a mixed-method approach. Journal Of Gerontological Social Work, 47, 45-70.

Campbell, R. 2004. Older women and the internet. Journal Of Women & Aging, 16, 161-174.

Campbell, R. J. & Nolfi, D. A. 2005. Teaching elderly adults to use the Internet to access health care information: before-after study. Journal Of Medical Internet Research, 7, e19-e19.

Caprara, M., Molina, M. Á., Schettini, R., Santacreu, M., Orosa, T., Mendoza-Núñez, V. M., . . . Fernández-Ballesteros, R. 2013. Active aging promotion: results from the vital aging program. Current Gerontology And Geriatrics Research, 2013, 817813-817813.

Cohen, G. D., Perlstein, S., Chapline, J., Kelly, J., Firth, K. M. & Simmens, S. 2006. The Impact of Professionally Conducted Cultural Programs on the Physical Health, Mental Health, and Social Functioning of Older Adults. Gerontologist, 46, 726-734.

Cohen, G. D., Perlstein, S., Chapline, J., Kelly, J., Firth, K. M. & Simmens, S. 2007. Impact of Professionally Conducted Cultural Programs on the Physical Health, Mental Health, and

Social Functioning of Older Adults - 2 year results. Journal of Aging, Humanities and Arts, 1, 5-22.

Collins, C. C. & Benedict, J. 2006. Evaluation of a community-based health promotion program for the elderly: lessons from Seniors CAN. American Journal Of Health Promotion: AJHP, 21, 45-48.

Cook, G., Cook, M., Thynne, E. & Chandler, C. 2013. *Henpower: an evaluation of henpower: improving wellbeing and social capital in care settings*, Gateshead, Equal Arts.

Cornejo, R., Tentori, M. & Favela, J. 2013. Ambient awareness to strengthen the family social network of older adults. Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW), 22, 309-344.

Cornejo, R., Tentori, M. & Favela, J. 2013. Enriching in-person encounters through social media: A study on family connectedness for the elderly. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 71, 889-899.

Cotten, S. R., Anderson, W. A. & McCullough, B. M. 2013. Impact of Internet Use on Loneliness and Contact with Others. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 15, 215-227.

Coulton, S., Clift, S., Skingley, A. & Rodriguez, J. 2015. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of community singing on mental health-related quality of life of older people: randomised controlled trial. British Journal of Psychiatry, 206 (forthcoming)

Creech, A., Hallam, S., Varvarigou, M., McQueen, H. & Gaunt, H. 2013. Active music making: a route to enhanced subjective well-being among older people. Perspectives In Public Health, 133, 36-43.

Davidson, J. W., McNamara, B., Rosenwax, L., Lange, A., Jenkins, S. & Lewin, G. 2013. Evaluating the potential of group singing to enhance the well-being of older people. Australasian Journal On Ageing.

De Medeiros, K., Mosby, A., Hanley, K. B., Pedraza, M. S., Brandt, J. 2011. A randomised clinical trial of a writing workshop intervention to improve autobiographical memory and well-being in older adults. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 8, 803-811.

de Souza, E. M. & Grundy, E. 2007. Intergenerational interaction, social capital and health: results from a randomised controlled trial in Brazil. Social Science & Medicine (1982), 65, 1397-1409.

Dickens, A. P., Richards, S. H., Hawton, A., Taylor, R. S., Greaves, C. J., Green, C., . . . Campbell, J. L. 2011. An evaluation of the effectiveness of a community mentoring service for socially isolated older people: a controlled trial. BMC Public Health, 11, 218-218.

Dow, B., Moore, K., Scott, P., Ratnayeke, A., Wise, K., Sims, J. & Hill, K. 2008. Rural carers online: a feasibility study. The Australian Journal Of Rural Health, 16, 221-225.

Ducharme, F. C., Lachance, L. M., Levesque, L. L., Kergoat, M. J. & Zarit, S. H. 2012. Persistent and delayed effects of a psycho-educational program for family caregivers at disclosure of dementia diagnosis in a relative: a six month follow-up study. Health Aging Research, 1.

Ducharme, F. C., Levesque, L. L., Lachance, L. M., Kergoat, M. J., Legault, A. J., Beaudet, L. M. & Zarit, S. H. 2011. "Learning to become a family caregiver" efficacy of an intervention program for caregivers following diagnosis of dementia in a relative. Gerontologist, 51, 484-94.

Eyigor, S., Karapolat, H., Durmaz, B., Ibisoglu, U. & Cakir, S. 2009. A randomized controlled trial of Turkish folklore dance on the physical performance, balance, depression and quality of life in older women. Archives Of Gerontology And Geriatrics, 48, 84-88.

Fernández-Ballesteros, R. 2005. Evaluation of 'Vital Aging-M': A Psychosocial Program for Promoting Optimal Aging. European Psychologist, 10, 146-156.

Fernández-Ballesteros, R., Caprara, M., Schettini, R., Bustillos, A., Mendoza-Nunez, V., Orosa, T., . . . Zamarrón, M. D. 2013. Effects of university programs for older adults: Changes in cultural and group stereotype, self-perception of aging, and emotional balance. Educational Gerontology, 39, 119-131.

Fernández-Ballesteros, R., Caprara, M. G. & Garcia, L. F. 2004. Vivir con vitalidad-M®: Un programa europeo multimedia [Vivir con vitalidad-M®: An european multimedia programme]. Intervención Psicosocial, 13, 63-85.

Fernández-Ballesteros, R., Caprara, M. G., Iniguez, J. & Garcia, L. F. 2005. Promoción del envejecimiento activo: efectos del programa «Vivir con vitalidad»® [Promoting active ageing: effects of the «Vital living» programme]. Rev Esp Geriatr Gerontol., 40, 92-102.

Fernández-Ballesteros, R., Molina, M. Á., Schettini, R. & del Rey, Á. L. 2012. Promoting active aging through university programs for older adults: An evaluation study. GeroPsych: The Journal of Gerontopsychology and Geriatric Psychiatry, 25, 145-154.

Fitzpatrick, T. R. 2003. Participation in a computer training program and well-being among religious sisters in a retirement community. Journal of Religious Gerontology, 15, 57-78.

Frieswijk, N., Steverink, N., Buunk, B. P. & Slaets, J. P. J. 2006. The effectiveness of a bibliotherapy in increasing the self-management ability of slightly to moderately frail older people. Patient education and counseling, 61, 219-227.

Fujiwara, Y., Sakuma, N., Ohba, H., Nishi, M., Lee, S., Watanabe, N., . . . Shinkai, S. 2009. REPRINTS: Effects of an intergenerational health promotion program for older adults in Japan. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships, 7, 17-39.

Greaves, C. J. & Farbus, L. 2006. Effects of creative and social activity on the health and well-being of socially isolated older people: outcomes from a multi-method observational study. The Journal Of The Royal Society For The Promotion Of Health, 126, 134-142.

Greenfield, J. C., Morrow-Howell, N. & Teufel, J. 2012. Do caregivers benefit more from educational and volunteer activities than their noncaregiving peers? J Gerontol Soc Work, 55, 738-44.

Hallam, S., Creech, A., Varvarigou, M., McQueen, H. & Gaunt, H. 2014. Does active engagement in community music support the well-being of older people? Arts & Health: An International Journal of Research, Policy and Practice, 6, 101-116.

Hanser, S. B., Butterfield-Whitcomb, J., Kawata, M. & Collins, B. E. 2011. Home-based music strategies with individuals who have dementia and their family caregivers. Journal Of Music Therapy, 48, 2-27.

Haslam, C., Haslam, S. A., Ysseldyk, R., McCloskey, L.-G., Pfisterer, K. & Brown, S. G. 2014. Social identification moderates cognitive health and well-being following story- and song-based reminiscence. Aging & Mental Health, 18, 425-434.

Hernandez, C. R. & Gonzalez, M. Z. 2008. Effects of Intergenerational Interaction on Aging. Educational Gerontology, 34, 292-305.

Herrmann, D. S., Sipsas-Herrmann, A., Stafford, M. & Herrmann, N. C. 2005. Benefits and Risks of Intergenerational Program Participation by Senior Citizens. Educational Gerontology, 31, 123-138.

Honigh-de Vlaming, R., Haveman-Nies, A., Heinrich, J., van't Veer, P. & de Groot, L. C. P. G. M. 2013. Effect evaluation of a two-year complex intervention to reduce loneliness in non-institutionalised elderly Dutch people. BMC Public Health, 13, 984-984.

Jimison, H. B., Klein, K. A. & Marcoe, J. L. 2013. A socialization intervention in remote health coaching for older adults in the home. Conference Proceedings: ...Annual International Conference Of The IEEE Engineering In Medicine And Biology Society.IEEE Engineering In Medicine And Biology Society. Conference, 2013, 7025-7028.

Kahlbaugh, P. E., Sperandio, A. J., Carlson, A. L. & Hauselt, J. 2011. Effects of Playing Wii on Well-Being in the Elderly: Physical Activity, Loneliness, and Mood. Activities, Adaptation and Aging, 35, 331-344.

Kamei, T., Itoi, W., Kajii, F., Kawakami, C., Hasegawa, M. & Sugimoto, T. 2011. Six month outcomes of an innovative weekly intergenerational day program with older adults and school-aged children in a Japanese urban community. Japan Journal Of Nursing Science: JJNS, 8, 95-107.

Kremers, I. P., Steverink, N., Albersnagel, F. A. & Slaets, J. P. J. 2006. Improved selfmanagement ability and well-being in older women after a short group intervention. Aging & Mental Health, 10, 476-484.

Kremers, I. P., Steverink, N., Albersnagel, F. A. & Slaets, J. P. J. 2007. Single Older Women Who Applied for the Giving Life More LUSTRE Course: Are They the Target Group That Was Aimed for? Educational Gerontology, 33, 45-61.
Lagana, L. & Garcia, J. J. 2013. The Mental Health Impact of Computer and Internet Training on a Multi-ethnic Sample of Community-Dwelling Older Adults: Results of a Pilot Randomised Controlled Trial. International Journal Of Biomedical Science: IJBS, 9, 135-147.

Larsson, E., Nilsson, I. & Larsson Lund, M. 2013. Participation in social internet-based activities: five seniors' intervention processes. Scandinavian Journal Of Occupational Therapy, 20, 471-480.

Lawlor, B., Golden, J., Paul, G., Walsh, C., Conroy, R., Holfield, E. & Tobin, M. 2014. *Only the lonely: a randomised controlled trial of a volunteer visiting programme for older people experiencing loneliness*, Dublin, Age Friendly Ireland.

Lee, Y. Y., Chan, M. F. & Mok, E. 2010. Effectiveness of music intervention on the quality of life of older people. Journal Of Advanced Nursing, 66, 2677-2687.

Malekafzali, H., Baradaran Eftekhari, M., Hejazi, F., Khojasteh, T., Noot, R. H., Falahat, K. & Faridi, T. 2010. The Effectiveness of Educational Intervention in the Health Promotion in Elderly people. Iranian Journal Of Public Health, 39, 18-23.

Martina, C. M. S. & Stevens, N. L. 2006. Breaking the cycle of loneliness? Psychological effects of a friendship enrichment program for older women. Aging & Mental Health, 10, 467-475.

Martina, C. M. S., Stevens, N. L. & Westerhof, G. J. 2012. Promotion of self-management in friendship. Aging & Mental Health, 16, 245-253.

Marx, M. S., Cohen-Mansfield, J., Renaudat, K., Libin, A. & Thein, K. 2005. Technologymediated versus face-to-face intergenerational programming. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships, 3, 101-118.

Mehta, K. K. & Ee, J. C. C. 2008. Effects of Good Life Program on Singaporean Older Adults' Psychological Well-Being. Activities, Adaptation and Aging, 32, 214-237.

Morita, K. & Kobayashi, M. 2013. Interactive programs with preschool children bring smiles and conversation to older adults: time-sampling study. BMC Geriatrics, 13, 111-111.

Mountain, G. A., Hind, D., Gossage-Worrall, R., Walters, S. J., Duncan, R., Newbould, L., . . . Goyder, E. C. 2014. 'Putting Life in Years' (PLINY) telephone friendship groups research study: pilot randomised controlled trial. Trials, 15, 141.

Mui, A. C., Glajchen, M., Chen, H. & Sun, J. 2013. Developing an Older Adult Volunteer Program in a New York Chinese Community: An Evidence-Based Approach. Ageing International, 38, 108-121.

Newall, N. E. G. & Menec, V. H. 2013. Targeting Socially Isolated Older Adults: A Process Evaluation of the Senior Centre Without Walls Social and Educational Program. Journal Of Applied Gerontology: The Official Journal Of The Southern Gerontological Society.

Orte, C., March, M. X. & Vives, M. 2007. Social support, quality of life, and university programs for seniors. Educational Gerontology, 33, 995-1013.

O'Shea, E. & Ni Leime, A. 2012. The impact of the Bealtaine arts programme on the quality of life, wellbeing and social interaction of older people in Ireland. Ageing & Society, 32, 851-872.

Pope, H., Watkins, K. W., McKeown, R. E., Friedman, D. B., Simmons, D. B. & Miller, M. C. 2013. Church-based health promotion program impact on ethnically diverse older adults' social support, religiosity, and spirituality. Journal of Religion, Spirituality & Aging, 25, 238-257.

Pope, K. H. 2011. A church based health promotion program for older adults: Impacts on participants' religiosity, spirituality, and social support. 71, ProQuest Information & Learning.

Portero, C. F. & Oliva, A. 2007. Social support, psychological well-being, and health among the elderly. Educational Gerontology, 33, 1053-1068.

Power, M. B., Eheart, B. K., Racine, D. & Karnik, N. S. 2007. Aging well in an intentional intergenerational community: meaningful relationships and purposeful engagement. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships, 5, 7-25.

Rosenbaum, M. S., Sweeney, J. C. & Windhorst, C. 2009. The Restorative Qualities of an Activity-Based, Third Place Café for Seniors: Restoration, Social Support, and Place Attachment at Mather's -- More Than a Café. Seniors Housing and Care Journal, 17, 39-54.

Saito, T., Kai, I. & Takizawa, A. 2012. Effects of a program to prevent social isolation on loneliness, depression, and subjective well-being of older adults: a randomized trial among older migrants in Japan. Archives Of Gerontology And Geriatrics, 55, 539-547.

Savundranayagam, M. Y., Montgomery, R. J., Kosloski, K. & Little, T. D. 2011. Impact of a psychoeducational program on three types of caregiver burden among spouses. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry, 26, 388-96.

Scott, J. P., Reifman, A., Mulsow, M. & Feng, D. 2003. Program Evaluation of "Young at Heart": Examining Elderly Volunteers' Generativity. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships, 1, 25-33.

Seinfeld, S., Figueroa, H., Ortiz-Gil, J. & Sanchez-Vives, M. V. 2013. Effects of music learning and piano practice on cognitive function, mood and quality of life in older adults. Frontiers in Psychology, 4, 1-13.

Shapira, N., Barak, A. & Gal, I. 2007. Promoting older adults' well-being through Internet training and use. Aging & Mental Health, 11, 477-484.

Slegers, K., van Boxtel, M. P. J. & Jolles, J. 2007. The effects of computer training and internet usage on the use of everyday technology by older adults: a randomized controlled study. Educational Gerontology, 33, 91-110.

Slegers, K., van Boxtel, M. P. J. & Jolles, J. 2008. Effects of computer training and Internet usage on the well-being and quality of life of older adults: a randomized, controlled study. The Journals Of Gerontology. Series B, Psychological Sciences And Social Sciences, 63, P176-P184.

Slegers, K., van Boxtel, M. P. J. & Jolles, J. 2012. Computer use in older adults: Determinants and the relationship with cognitive change over a 6-year episode. Computers in Human Behavior, 28, 1-10.

Sole, C., Mercadal-Brotons, M., Gallego, S. & Riera, M. 2010. Contributions of music to aging adults' quality of life. Journal of music therapy, 47, 264-281.

Stevens, N. L., Martina, C. M. S. & Westerhof, G. J. 2006. Meeting the need to belong: predicting effects of a friendship enrichment program for older women. The Gerontologist, 46, 495-502.

Studenski, S., Perera, S., Hile, E., Keller, V., Spadola-Bogard, J. & Garcia, J. 2010. Interactive video dance games for healthy older adults. The Journal Of Nutrition, Health & Aging, 14, 850-852.

Torp, S., Bing-Jonsson, P. C. & Hanson, E. 2013. Experiences with using information and communication technology to build a multi-municipal support network for informal carers. Informatics For Health & Social Care, 38, 265-279.

Torp, S., Hanson, E., Hauge, S., Ulstein, I. & Magnusson, L. 2008. A pilot study of how information and communication technology may contribute to health promotion among elderly spousal carers in Norway. Health & Social Care In The Community, 16, 75-85.

Travers, C. & Bartlett, H. P. 2011. Silver Memories: implementation and evaluation of a unique radio program for older people. Aging & Mental Health, 15, 169-177.

White, H., McConnell, E., Clipp, E., Branch, L. G., Sloane, R., Pieper, C. & Box, T. L. 2002. A randomized controlled trial of the psychosocial impact of providing internet training and access to older adults. Aging Ment Health, 6, 213-21.

Won, C. W., Fitts, S. S., Favaro, S., Olsen, P. & Phelan, E. A. 2008. Community-based "powerful tools" intervention enhances health of caregivers. Archives Of Gerontology And Geriatrics, 46, 89-100.

Woodward, A. T., Freddolino, P. P., Blaschke-Thompson, C. M., Wishart, D. J., Bakk, L., Kobayashi, R. & Tupper, C. 2011. Technology and Aging Project: Training Outcomes and Efficacy from a Randomized Field Trial. Ageing International, 36, 46-65.

Woodward, A. T., Freddolino, P. P., Wishart, D. J., Bakk, L., Kobayashi, R., Tupper, C., . . . Blaschke-Thompson, C. M. 2013. Outcomes from a peer tutor model for teaching technology to older adults. Ageing & Society, 33, 1315-1338.

Appendices

Appendix 1: Glossary of terms

Concept/term/measurement	Description
Ando-Osada-Kodama (AOK) Loneliness Scale	AOK loneliness scale is a revised Japanese language version of the revised University of California Los Angeles (UCLA) loneliness scale. Higher scores indicate higher rates of loneliness.
	Reference for further details: Russell, D., Peplau, LA., & Cutrona, CE. (1980). The revised UCLA Loneliness Scale: Concurrent and discriminant validity evidence. <i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</i> , 39, 472–480
Anger/Irritability scale	Anger/Irritability scale was used to measure anger using 4 items.
	Reference for further details: Pearlin, L. & Mullan, J. (1988). Sources and mediators of Alzheimer Disease caregiver stress: Preliminary scales for time-one interview. Unpublished material.
Assertiveness scale	Self-esteem: 10 item from an assertiveness scale were used. Scores on the scale range from 10–50, and a higher score indicates a more positive view of one's self.
	Brinkman, W. (1977) Een Assertiviteitsschaal [An assertivity scale II]. Amsterdam: Psychologisch Laboratorium, UVA.
Assessment of Computer-Related Skills (ACRS)	Assessment of Computer-Related Skills (ACRS) consists of 37 items used to describe the level of observed computer skills.
	Reference for further details: Fischl C, Fisher AG. Development and Rasch analysis of the Assessment of Computer-Related Skills. Scand J Occup Ther 2007;14:126–35.
Back Depression Inventory	Back Depression Inventory measures depressive symptoms using 21 sets of 4 statements that describe varying intensities of somatic and cognitive-affective symptoms of depression.

	Reference for further details: Beck AT, Steer RA. Manual for the revised Beck Depression Inventory. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation. 1987.
Basic Psychological Needs Scales	This scale has 21 items assessed on a seven-point scale (Deci & Ryan, 2000). The three subscales are conceptualised as competence, autonomy and relatedness. The points on the scale range from "1 = not at all true" to "7 = very true."
	Reference for further details: Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 11, 227–268.
Canadian Occupational Performance Measure (COPM)	Canadian Occupational Performance Measure (COPM) consists of three Visual Analogic Scale (VAS) used to assess the meaningfulness of the task, performance of the activities, and the level of satisfaction with the performance.
	Reference for further details: Law M, Baptiste S, Carswell A, McColl M-A, Polatajko H, Pollock N. Canadian Occupational Performance Measure. 4th ed. Toronto: CAOT Publications; 2005.
Caregiving Satisfaction Scale	Caregiving Satisfaction Scale is used to address caregivers' feelings of enjoyment, pleasure, appreciation, self-esteem and closeness within the relationship. The Scale includes 5 items which are rated on a 5-point scale (the higher the score, the greater is the degree of satisfaction in the caregiving relationship).
	Reference for further details: Lawton, M. P. (1988). Scales to measure competence in everyday activities. Psychopharmacology Bulletin, 74, 609-614.
CASP-12 Measure of Quality of Life	CASP-12 Measure of Quality of Life originally comprised 19 items representing the subscales of control, autonomy, self-realisation and pleasure. Control is conceptualised as the ability to actively intervene in one's environment, whereas autonomy is the right to be free from the unwanted interference of others. Self-realisation represents "the more reflexive nature of life," whereas pleasure refers to "the sense of fun derived from the more active (doing) aspects of life"
	Reference for further details: Wiggins, R. D., Netuveli, G., Hyde, E. M., Higgs, E. P., & Blane, E. D. (2007). The development and assessment of a quality of life measure (CASP-19) in the context of research on ageing. Retrieved from <u>http://www.crm.umontreal.ca/Latent05/pdf/wiggins.pdf</u>

Centre for Epidemiological Study Depression scale (CESD10)	Centre for Epidemiological Study Depression scale (CESD10) includes 10 items design to measure depressive symptoms.
	Reference for further details: Andresen, E. M., Malmgren, J. A., Carter, W. B., & Patrick, D. L. (1994). Screening for depression in well older adults: Evaluation of a short form of the CESD. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 10, 77–84.
CES Depression scale	CES Depression scale used to measure depressive symptoms. It includes 10 questions rated on a three- point scale (higher scores indicate more depressive symptoms).
	Reference for further details: Kohout F.J. et al. (1993). Two shorter forms of the CES-D Depression Symptoms Index. Journal of Aging and Health, 5 (2), 179–193.
CogniFit Assessment Battery	CogniFit Assessment Battery developed to assess cognitive ability.
	Reference for further details: K.L. Gigler, K. Blomeke, E. Shatil, S. Weintraub, P.J. Reber, Preliminary evidence for the feasibility of at-home online cognitive training with older adults, Gerontechnology 2013;12(1):26-35; doi:10.4017/gt.2013.12.1.007.00
CogState Assessment Battery	CogState assessment battery includes a range of tasks intended to measure cognitive function.
	Reference for further details: Maruff P, Thomas E, Cysique L, Brew B, Collie A, Synder P, Pietrzak R. Validity of the CogState Brief Battery: relationship to standardized tests and sensitivity to cognitive impairment in mild traumatic brain injury, schizophrenia, and AIDS dementia complex. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology 2009;24(2):165-178; doi:10.1093/arclin/acp010
Computer Anxiety Subscale of the Computer	Computer Anxiety Subscale of the Computer Attitude Scale is designed to measure the level of anxiety
Attitude Scale	Reference for further details: Gressard, C.P., Loyd, B.H. (1986). Validation studies of a new computer attitude scale. Association for Educational Data Systems Journal, 18(4):295-301.
Computer User Self-Efficacy Scale	Computer User Self-Efficacy Scale consists of 30 items rated on a 6-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1=strongly disagree to 6=strongly agree.
	Reference for further details: Cassidy S, Eachus P. Development of the Computer User Self-Efficacy (CUSE) Scale: Investigating the relationship between computer self efficacy gender and experience

	with computers. Journal of Educational Computing Research. 2002; 26 (2): 169-189.
CUBRECAVI Quality of life	Higher scores indicate an improvement. Fenandez-Ballesteros R, Zamarron MB (1996) ei Cuestionario Breve de Caildad de Vida (CUBRECAVI) En culidad de vida en la rejet en distintos contextos. Madrid: IMSERSO.
De Jong Scale Gierveld Scale	 The de Jong Gierveld Scale (de Jong Gierveld & van Tilburg, 1999) is an 11-item self-report measure of social loneliness The scale is based on a cognitive theoretical approach to loneliness, where loneliness is seen as a subjective experience and therefore not directly related to situational factors Reference for further details: de Jong Gierveld, J., van Tilburg, T. (1999). Manual of the Loneliness Scale. Available online at: http://home.fsw.vu.nl/tg.van.tilburg/manual_loneliness_scale_1999. html
Duke Social Support Index (DSSI)	The Duke Social Support Index (DSSI, Koenig et al. 1993) is intended to measure social interaction and subjective support, as well as a composite measure for overall social support High scores indicates strong social support Reference for further details: Koenig, HG., Westlund, RE., George, LK., Hughes, DC., Blazer, DG., Hybels, C. (1993). Abbreviating the Duke Social Support Index for use in chronically ill elderly individuals. <i>Psychosomatics</i> , 34, 61–9
Generativity	An indicator of psychosocial health according to Erikson's theory (1959) of the psychosocial development across the lifespan This concept captures the stage in adulthood when contributing to society and doing things to benefit future generations are important needs Also see 'Integrity' Reference for further details: Erikson, EH., Paul, IH., Heider, F., & Gardner, RW. (1959). <i>Psychological issues (Vol. 1)</i> . International Universities Press
Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS)	A new Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) designed specifically for rating depression in the elderly was tested for reliability and validity and compared with the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRS-D) and the Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS). In constructing the GDS a 100-item questionnaire was administered to normal and severely depressed subjects. The 30 questions most highly correlated with the total scores were then selected and readministered to new groups of elderly subjects. These subjects were classified as normal, mildly depressed or severely depressed on the basis of Research

	Diagnostic Criteria (RDC) for depression. The GDS, HRS-D and SDS were all found to be internally consistent measures, and each of the scales was correlated with the subject's number of RDC symptoms. However, the GDS and the HRS-D were significantly better correlated with RDC symptoms than was the SDS. The authors suggest that the GDS represents a reliable and valid self-rating depression screening scale for elderly population
	http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7183759
Family and Friendship Contacts Scale	Family and Friendship Contacts Scale is used to assess the frequency of contacts with children, grandchildren, siblings, other relatives, neighbours, and friends or acquaintances.
	Reference for further details: Andersson L. (1984) Intervention against loneliness in a group of elderly women: a process evaluation. Human Relations 37, 295–310.
General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-20)	General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-20) includes 20 items. In the study by Thorp et al. (2008) it was used to assess mental health.
	References for further details: Goldberg D. (1985) Identifying psychiatric illness among general medical patients. British Medical Journal 291, 161–162.
	Goldberg D. & Williams P. (1991) A User's Guide to the General Health Questionnaire. NFER-Nelson, London.
Geriatric Depression Scale-15 (GDS-15)	Geriatric Depression Scale-15 (GDS-15) designed to assess the presence of depressive symptoms in older people.
	Reference for further details: Sheikh, J. I., & Yesavage, J. A. (1986). Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS): recent evidence and development of a shorter version. In T. L. Brink (Ed.), Clinical gerontology: A guide to assessment and intervention (pp. 165). New York: Haworth Press.
Goal Attainment Scaling (GAS)	Goal Attainment Scaling (GAS) is used to assess the degree of goal attainment.
	Reference for further details: Kiresuk JT, Sherman ER. Goal attainment scaling: A general method for evaluating comprehensive community mental health programs. Community Ment Health J 1968;4:443–53.
Hartig's 13-item Short- Version Revised Perceived Restorativeness Scale (SPRS)	Short-Version revised Perceived restorativeness Scale consisted of being way, Fascination, and compatibilit. All items can be measured as 1(strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).
	Hartig, T. & Staats, H. (2003) guest editors' introduction: restorative environments. Journal of

	Environmental Psychology, 23 (2), 103-107.
Health Self-Care Neglect Scale (HSCN)	Health Self-Care Neglect Scale (HSCN) developed to measure the caregiver's neglect of health-related self-care behaviours'. The Scale includes about 10 items related to self-care.
Integrity	An indicator of psychosocial health according to Erikson's theory (1959) of the psychosocial development across the lifespan
	This phase occurs during old age and is focused on reflecting back on life
	Those who feel proud of their accomplishments in life will feel a sense of integrity, while those who has many regrets will experiences feelings of despair
	Reference for further details: Erikson, E. H., Paul, I. H., Heider, F., & Gardner, R. W. (1959). <i>Psychological issues (Vol. 1)</i> . International Universities Press
Japanese N-Mental Status for the Elderly Scale	Japanese N-Mental Status for the Elderly Scale: The NM scale is a 0 -50 point rating instrument for determining the mental status of older people. Lower scores indicate lower mental status.
Japanese version of the LSI-A scale	The LSI-A measures the long-term cognitive evaluation of a person's life as well as transient affective feelings. A 10-item Japanese version of the LSI-A scale was used that has the same structure as Liang's (1984) (scores ranged from 10 to 30).
	Liang, J (1984) Dimensions of the Life Satisfaction Index A: A structural formulation. Journal of Gerontology, 39, 613–622.
Kambara's 18-item version of Locus of Control (LOC)	Kambara's 18-item version of Locus of Control (LOC) was also used (score ranging 18–72; higher scores indicate more internal tendency)
	Kambara, M., Higuchi, K., & Shimizu, N (1982). Development of locus of control scale: Reliability and validation. Japanese Journal of Educational Psychology, 30, 302–307. (in Japanese)
Life Satisfaction Scale (LSS)	The Life satisfaction Scale (LSS) is an adaptation of Back and Guptill's (1966) questionnaire designed to measure the level of life satisfaction in older people This scale includes seven
	5-point, bipolar items, such as 'my life is: interesting-boring; hopeful-hopeless' High scores indicate better life satisfaction

	Reference for further details: Back, KW. & Guptill, CS. (1966). Retirement and self-ratings. In IH. Simpson, JC. McKinney, & JJ. Spengler (Eds.), <i>Social aspects of aging</i> (pp. 120–129). Durham, NC: Duke University Press
Locus of control (and MHLC Scale)	The locus of control construct was first derived from Rotter's social learning theory, which states that behavior is a function of the expectancy that a specific action will lead to a specific goal or outcome, combined with the reinforcement value of that goal or outcome (Rotter, 1954)
	For example, the Multidimensional Health Locus of Control (MHLC) Scale (Wallston & Wallston, 1978) is used to measure whether an individual believes his or her health is controlled by his/herself, by chance, or by significant others
	References for further details: Rotter, JB. (1954). Social learning and clinical psychology. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall
	Wallston, KA. & Wallston, BS. (1978). Development of the multidimensional health locus of control scales. <i>Health Education Monographs</i> , 6(2):160-170.
Loneliness literacy/Loneliness Literacy Scale	The Loneliness Literacy Scale was developed and validated to measure determinants relating to the behaviours 'becoming or staying socially active' and 'searching for support'. This 22-item scale consists of 22 items divided over four subscales, namely, motivation (referring to awareness about, expected outcomes of, and intention to use health and welfare services), self-efficacy (referring to perceived ability to interact socially), perceived social support (referring to previously experienced social support and the motivation to comply with the opinion of important others), and subjective norm (referring to respondents' personal opinion and the perceived opinion of others with regard to participating in social activities)
	Reference for further details: Honigh-de Vlaming R, Haveman-Nies A, Bos-Oude Groeniger I, Hooftvan Huysduynen E, De Groot CPGM, Van't Veer P: Loneliness literacy scale: development and evaluation of an early indicator for loneliness prevention. Soc Indic Res 2013, 112(1). doi:10.1007/s11205-013-0322-y
Loyola Generativity Scale	There are 20 questions about generativity. For each of the following statements, please indicate how often the statement applies to you, by marking either a "0," "1," "2," or "3" in the space in front.Mark "0" if the statement <u>never</u> applies to you.Mark "1" if the statement only <u>occasionally</u> or <u>seldom</u> applies to you.Mark "2" if the statement applies to you <u>fairly often</u> .Mark "3" if the statement applies to you <u>very often</u> or <u>nearly always</u> .

	McAdams, D.P., & de St. Aubin, E. (1992). A theory of generativity and its assessment through self- report, behavioural acts, and narrative themes in autobiography. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 62, 1003-1015 McAdams, D.P., Hart, H.M., & Maruna, S. (1998). The anatomy of generativity. In D.P. McAdams and E. de St. Aubin (Eds.), Generativity and adult development: How and why we care for the next generation (pp. 7-43). Washington, D.C.: APA Press. http://www.sesp.northwestern.edu/foley/instruments/lgs/ http://www.sesp.northwestern.edu/foley/instruments/lgs/scoringlgs/
Lubben's Social Network Scale – Abbreviated	The Lubben's Social Network Scale-Abbreviated (LSNS-A) is a 6-item scale measuring contact and
(LSNS-A)	support from neighbours and friends in which higher scores indicate larger social networks
	References for further details: Lubben, J.,& Gironda, M. (2003). Centrality of social ties to the health and well-being of older adults. In B. Berkman&L. Harootyan (Eds.), <i>Social work and health care in an aging society</i> (pp. 319-350). New York: Springer Publishing Lubben, J., & Gironda, M. (2000). Social support networks. In D. Osterweil, K. Brummel-Smith, & J.C. Beck (Eds.), <i>Comprehensive geriatric assessment</i> . New York: McGraw Hill
Measures of Psychosocial Development (MPD)	The Measures of Psychosocial Development (MPD, Hawley, 1988) is a self-report measure based on Erikson's eight-stage theory of psychosocial development The MPD provides an index of overall psychosocial health, and measures positive and/or negative stage attitudes for each of Erikson's eight stage conflicts The index has 27 scales, representing the dynamics outlined in Erikson's work and higher points on each scale indicates better wellbeing Reference for further details: Hawley, GA. (1988). Measures of psychosocial development. Odessa, FL: PAR Also see 'Generativity' and 'Integrity'
Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Scale	The Medical Outcomes Study (MOS) Social Support Scale is an 18-item self-administered questionnaire, measuring overall social support and four sub-scale concepts (emotional/informational support, tangible support, affectionate support, positive social interaction) Reference for further details: Sherbourne CD, Stewart AL. (1991). The MOS social support survey. <i>Social Science & Medicine</i> , 32, 705–14

Mental Health Index	 The mental health index-5 (MHI-5, Berwick et al., 1991) is used to assess psychological well-being. The measurement includes questions on positive and negative mood (5 items) and higher scores indicating better psychological well-being Reference for further details: Berwick DM, Murphy JM, Goldman PA, Ware JE Jr, Barsky AJ, Weinstein MC. (1991). Performance of a five-item mental health screening test. <i>Med Care</i>;29:169–176
Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE)	The mini-mental state examination (MMSE) or Folstein test is a brief 30-point questionnaire test that is used to screen for cognitive impairment. It is commonly used in medicine to screen for dementia. It is also used to estimate the severity of cognitive impairment and to follow the course of cognitive changes in an individual over time, thus making it an effective way to document an individual's response to treatment. http://www.guysandstthomas.nhs.uk/resources/our-services/acute-medicine-gi-surgery/elderly- care/mini-mental-state-evaluation.pdf
Mood: Profile of Mood States (POMS), subscales such as tension, anger, fatigue	The Profile of Mood States (POMS; McNair et al., 1971) is a questionnaire that measures fluctuations of affective mood states. Specifically, it measures six identifiable mood states: (1) Tension, (2) Depression, (3) Vigour, (4) Fatigue, (5) Anger, and (6) Confusion. POMS is a good measurement to assess acute effects of a treatment or intervention. In this study, we have used a Spanish adaptation of POMS (Balaguer, 1993) to assess possible affective changes in mood induced by piano lessons, since it has shown good psychometric properties. This version of POMS consists of 58 items composed by five-point Likert-type scale. Higher scores in this questionnaire indicate more psychological distress, except in the vigour scale that is reversed. Balaguer, I. (1993). El perfil de los estados de ánimo (POMS): baremo para estudiantes valencianos y su aplicación en el contexto deportivo. Rev. Psicol. del Deport. 4, 39–52 McNair D, Lorr M, Droppleman L (1971). Profile of Mood States. SanDiego, CA: Educational and industrial testing services.
Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS)	 Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS) includes 12 statements. The degree of agreement with the statements is assessed using a 7-point scale ranging from 1 (very strongly disagree) to 7 (very strongly agree). Reference for further details: Zimet, G. D., Dahlem, N.W., Zimet, S. G. and Farley, G. K. (1988). The Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support. Journal of Personality Assessment, 52, 1, p 30-41.

NEO Five Factor Inventory (NEO-FFI)	Previous item factor analyses and readability analyses suggested that 14 of the 60 items in the NEO Five-Factor Inventory might usefully be replaced. New analyses in high school (N=1959) and adult (N=1492) samples led to the selection of new items from the remaining pool of Revised NEO Personality Inventory items. The resulting scales showed modest improvements in reliability and factor structure, and equivalent validity. These new scales should be appropriate for most respondents age 14 and up. <u>http://asm.sagepub.com/content/11/3/207.abstract</u> http://cda.psych.uiuc.edu/multivariate_fall_2013/neo_mccrae_costa.pdf
Observed Tasks of Daily Living – Revised (OTDL- R)	Observed Tasks of Daily Living – Revised (OTDL-R) is design to measure the ability of older people to complete everyday tasks within a laboratory environment. It includes nine separate tasks and 13 questions. Reference for further details: Diehl MK, Marsiske M, Horgas AL, Rosenberg A, Saczynski JS, Willis
	SL. The Revised Observed Tasks of Daily Living: a performance-based assessment of everyday problem solving in older adults. Journal of Applied Gerontology 2005;24(3):211-230; doi:10.1177/0733464804273772
Older Adults' Computer Technology Attitudes Scale (OACTAS)	Older Adults' Computer Technology Attitudes Scale (OACTAS) consists of 17 – negatively worded – items coded using a 7-point Likert-type scale.
	References for further details: Laganá L. Enhancing the attitudes and self-efficacy of older adults towards computers and the Internet: Results of a pilot study. Educational Gerontology. 2008; 34: 831- 843. Laganá L, Oliver T, Ainsworth A, Edwards M. Enhancing computer self-efficacy and attitudes in
	multiethnic older adults: A randomized controlled study. Ageing & Society. 2011; 31 (6): 911-933.
Pearlin and Schooner Mastery Scale	Pearlin and Schooner Mastery Scale is used to assess the amount of control people experience over their lives. It consists of 7 items rated on a five-point scale with higher scores indicating a greater sense of mastery.
	Reference for further details: Pearlin LI, Schooler C. The structure of coping. J Health Soc Behav 1978;19:2–21.
Philadelphia Geriatric Center Morale Scale	The 22-item Philadelphia Geriatric center (PGC) Morale Scale was subjected to a series of principal component analyses utilizing different item pools and rotating differing numbers of factors. Agitation, Attitude Toward Own Aging, and Lonely Dissatisfaction, utilizing 17 of the original items. Related domains of self-rated health, social accessibility, generalized attitude toward aging, and positive affect

	were suggested as worthy of further exploration as dimensions of morale. Higher score indicates an improvement.
	Lawton MP (1975) The Philadelphia Geriatric Center Morale Scale: A review. Journal of Gerontology, 30: 85-89.
	http://geronj.oxfordjournals.org/content/30/1/85.abstract
Profile of Mood States (POMS)	A questionnaire that measures fluctuations of affective mood states. Specifically, it measures six identifiable mood states: (1) Tension, (2) Depression, (3) Vigour, (4) Fatigue, (5) Anger, and (6) Confusion. POMS is a good measurement to assess acute effects of a treatment or intervention
	McNair, D., M. Lorr, et al. (1971). Profile of Mood States. San Diego, California, Educational and industrial testing services.
Positive and Negative Affect Scale (PANAS)	The PANAS measures two mood dimensions, positive affect (PA) and negative affects (NA), using 20 items High PA reflects a state of high energy, full concentration, and pleasurable engagement Low PA is characterized by sadness and lethargy.
	Negative affects is a general dimension of subjective distress and unpleasant engagement, which include aversive mood states like anger, contempt, disgust, guilt, fear, and nervousness Low NA reveals a state of calmness and serenity
	Reference for further details: Watson, D., Clark, L. A., & Tellegen, A. (1988). Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: The PANAS scales. <i>Journal of Personality & Social Psychology</i> , 54, 1063–1070
Psychological General Well-Being (PGWB) Schedule	The PGWB schedule is a 22-item index developed to measure self-representations of interpersonal affective or emotional states reflecting a sense of subjective well-being or distress.
	Reference for further details: Dupuy, H. J. (1984). The psychological well-being (PGWB) index. In N. K. Wenger, M. E. Mattson, C. D. Furgerg, & J. Elinson (Eds.) <i>Assessment of quality of life in clinical trials of cardiovascular therapies</i> (pp. 170-183). United States: Le JacqPublishing, Inc.
Quality of Life Questionnaire in Alzheimer's Disease (QOL-AD)	Quality of Life Questionnaire in Alzheimer's Disease (QOL-AD) measures participant's own subjective satisfaction with their quality of life. The questionnaire includes 13 items related to family life, financial health, memory, and physical health.
	Reference for further details: Logsdon RG, Gibbons LE, McCurry SM, Teri L. Assessing quality of life in older adults with cognitive impairment. Psychosomatic Medicine 2002;64(3):510-519;

	www.psychosomaticmedicine. org/content/64/3/510.full; retrieved July 1, 2013
RAND Social Health Battery	The RAND Social Health Battery is an 11-item measure that assesses respondents' resources for social support and the frequency of social interactions.
	Donald CA, Ware JE Jr. (1984). The measurement of social support. <i>Research in Community and Mental Health</i> , 4, 325-370.
	Donald CA, Ware JE Jr. (1984). The measurement of social support. <i>Research in Community and Mental Health</i> , 4, 325-370.
	Ortmeir BG. (1993). Use of the Social Health Battery in an elderly population. <i>Psychological Reports</i> , 72(3 Pt1), 1001-1002.
Relative Stress scale	Relative Stress scale consists of 15 items and is used to measure burden of care.
	Reference for further details: Greene J.G., Smith R., Gardiner M. & Timbury G.C. (1982) Measuring behavioural disturbance of elderly demented patients in the community and its effects on relatives: a factor analytic study. Age and Ageing 11, 121–126.
Religiosity	Sociological term used to refer to the numerous aspects of religious activity, dedication and belief of the individual
	Religiosity has been described as particular beliefs and practices that occur in social entities or institutions in "search for the sacred" (i.e., God) (Miller & Thoresen, 2003; Hill & Pargament, 2003)
	Reference for further details: Miller, W. R., & Thoresen, C. E. (2003). Spirituality, religion, and health: An emerging research field. American Psychologist, 58, 24–35
	Hill, C., & Pargament, I. (2003). Advances in the conceptualization and measurement of religion and spirituality. Implications for physical and mental health research. American Psychologist, 58(1), 64–74
Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale	The scale is a ten item Likert scale with items answered on a four point scale – from strongly agree to strongly disagree. The original sample for which the scale was developed consisted of 5,024 High School Juniors and Seniors from 10 randomly selected schools in New York State. Instructions: Below is a list of statements dealing with your general feelings about yourself. If you strongly agree, circle SA. If you agree with the statement, circle A. If you disagree, circle D. If you strongly disagree, circle SD http://www.yorku.ca/rokada/psyctest/rosenbrg.pdf

	http://www.wwnorton.com/college/psych/psychsci/media/rosenberg.htm
Satisfaction with life Scale (Diener et al, 1985)	Satisfaction with life Scale from 1 to 5 on a five-point scale indicating higher mean values with a stronger sense of wellbeing.
	Diener, E., Emmons, R., Larsen, J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The Satisfaction With Life Scale. J Personality Assessment, 49(1), 71-75.
	http://www.tbimpact.org/cde/mod_templates/12_F_07_Satisfaction_With_Life_Scale.pdfnger sense of wellbeing.
Satisfaction with Life Scale (Pavot and Diener, 1993)	The Satisfaction with Life Scale consists of five items that reflect a cognitive evaluation of life. Scores on the scale range from 5–25; a higher score indicates a higher level of satisfaction with life. This scale has good psychometric properties Satisfaction with Life Scale (Pavot and Diener 1993). Items include: "In most ways my life is close to my ideal," and "I am satisfied with my life."
	Pavot, W., & Diener, E. (1993). Review of the satisfaction with life scale. Psychological Assessment, 5, 164–172.
Scales of Psychological Well-Being	The Ryff inventory consists of either 84 questions (long form) or 54 questions (medium form). There is also a short form, but it is statistically unreliable and therefore should not be used for assessment. Both the long and medium forms consist of a series of statements reflecting the six areas of psychological well-being: autonomy, environmental mastery, personal growth, positive relations with others, purpose in life, and self-acceptance. Respondents rate statements on a scale of 1 to 6, with 1 indicating strong disagreement and 6 indicating strong agreement.
Scale of Well-being (EBP)	The Scale of Well-being (EBP): subjective psychological well-being and relationship with partner (Sanchez Canovas 1998).
	The Scale of Well-being – EBP (Sanchez Caanovas, 1998) consists of 65 items segmented in four subscales. These are subjective psychological well-being, material well-being, labour well-being, and relationship with partner. In this study, we applied the first three scales. The first scales of subjective well-being and material well-being are numbered correlatively; so, at least these two always have to be applied together to offer a global measure. The measure in every item is from 1 to 5 in a Likert-type scale.
Self-Anchoring Scale (SAS)	The Self-Anchoring Scale (SAS, Cantril, 1965) consists of a vertical scale, from 0–10, on which the degree of satisfaction with one's life at three points in time is marked (higher score indicating better life satisfaction): currently, five years ago and in five

	years time (estimated)
	Reference for further details: Cantril, H. (1965). <i>The pattern of human concerns</i> . New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press
Self-efficacy	Bandura (1977) developed the concept of self-efficacy, which is similar to the concept of self-esteem (i.e. how much the individual values his/herself), but focuses on the beliefs of one's own capacity to handle different situations and assignments
	Reference for further details: Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioural change. <i>Psychological Review</i> , 84, 191-215
Self-Management Ability (SMA) Scale	Self-Management Ability (SMA) Scale is designed to measure self-management ability. The scale includes 6 subscales each of which contains 5 items. The higher scores indicate higher self-management ability.
	Reference for further details: Schuurmans H, Steverink N, Frieswijk N, Buunk BP, Slaets JPJ, Lindenberg S. How to measure self-management abilities in older people by self-report? The development of the SMAS-30, unpublished manuscript.
Self-Management Ability Scale (SMAS-30)	Self-Management Ability Scale (SMAS-30) includes 30 items and 6 subscales each related to one of the six self-management abilities. The items are rated on either a 5-point or 6-point Likert scale.
	Reference for further details: Schuurmans, H., Steverink, N., Frieswijk, N., Buunk, B. P., Slaets, J. P. J., & Lindenberg, S. (2005). How to measure self-management abilities in older people by self-report: The development of the SMAS-30. Quality of Life Research, 14, 2215–2228.
Sense of mastery (perceived control)	Pearlin's (Pearlin & Schooler, 1978) Sense of Mastery scale with its seven statements is used as an indicator for positive mental health and coping abilities or as a protective determinant of mental health problems Higher scores on the scale indicates better sense of mastery
	Reference for further details: Pearlin, L. & Schooler, C. (1978). The structure of coping. <i>Journal of Health & Social Behavior</i> , 19, 2-21
SF-36/12	The Short Form Health Survey (SF 36 or SF 12, including 36 or 12 items) is a widely used, self- administered questionnaire on the individual's overall health status. It provides separate scores for

	physical, mental an social aspects of health
	Reference for further details available at:
	http://www.rand.org/health/surveys_tools/mos/mos_core_36item.html
Social Production Function Index Level Scale (SPF-IL)	Social Production Function Index Level Scale (SPF-IL) is used to as a measure of wellbeing. It includes 15 items with 5 sub-scales scored on a 4-point Likert scale.
	Reference for further details: Nieboer, A., Lindenberg, S., Boomsma, A., & Van Bruggen, A. C. (2005). Dimensions of well-being and their measurement: The SPF-IL Scale. Social Indicators Research, 73, 313–353.
Social situations inventory	Four of the five subscales of the social situations inventory (IOA) were used to measure the ability to take initiative in social relationships. The IOA is a self-report questionnaire based on an interactive concept of social anxiety. It has 35 items providing scores for five subscales: 'initiating contact', 'expressing an opinion', expressing criticism', making a compliment' and 'positive self-esteem'. The self-esteem subscale was excluded since it does not directly involve taking initiative in social situations. Participants were asked how often certain situations happened (e.g. 'Initiating a conversation with a stranger'; 'Asking a friend to help you with something').
	Van Dam-Baggen, C.M.J., & Kraaimaat, F.W. (1990) Inventarisatielijst omgaan met anderen. Handleiding [Manual, Inventory of Social Skills] . Lisse, The Netherlands: Sets & Zeitlinger.
Social Support List-Interactions	The subjective appraisal of received social support by the recipients themselves, measured with the Social Support List (SSL-12). This is a reliable and valid short version of the Social Support List– Interactions, assessing the extent of perceived received social support by means of social interactions with members of the primary social network (15). The SSL-12 consists of 12 items on 3 scales, with possible item scores ranging from 1 (seldom or never) to 4 (very often). The 3 scales are "everyday social support" (referring to social companionship and daily emotional support), "support in problem situations" (referring to instrumental, informational support, and emotional support in times of trouble), and "esteem support" (referring to support resulting in self-esteem and approval).
	Kempen GIJM, Van Eijk LM (1995) The psychometric properties of the SSL12-I, a short scale for measuring social support in the elderly. Soc Indic Res 1995,35 (3):303–312
Social support questionnaire (Van Tilburg, 1988)	Social support questionnaire developed by Van Tilburg (1988). This questionnaire consists of 10 items on the subjective evaluation of social support in a relationship. Two kinds of social support are included, daily social support (e.g. I notice that he/she cares for me) and social support when problems arise (e.g. I can go to him/her when I need a shoulder to cry on). Each type of social support is measured by five items which are scored on a three point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (never) to 3 (often).

	The sum of the two types of social support represents the total experienced social support with a range of 10 (no support) to 30 (maximum support). Van Tilburg TG (1988)Verkregen en gewenste ondersteuning in het licht van eenzaamheidservaringen (Obtained and desired social support in association with loneliness). Doctoral dissertation, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Retrieved from
Social Support Questionnaire (Parmar et al, 1998)	http://hdl.handle.net/18/1/1/014 The Social Support Questionnaire designed by Parmar et al. (1998) studies the sources of social support (relatives, friends, and professionals) of the subjects, as well as the type of help obtained from these sources of support (informative, emotional and or economic). The questionnaire consists of several open items that result in the following categories: support received from children, from the husband or wife, from brothers or sisters, from family, from friends, from doctors, from a financial adviser or attorney, from professors and from the church. Aside from these eight categories, another global measure is obtained that corresponds to the perception of the support that the participants would like to receive in general terms.
	Parmar, P., Harkness, S., Hidalgo, V., Axia, G., Welles-nystrom, B., Kolar, V., Pai, S., & Super, C. M. (1998). The role of the extended family in providing parenting and support in European, Euro-American and Euro-Australian communities. Poster presentado en el XVth Biennial ISSBD Meetings Berne Switzerland. July 1st to 4th, 1998.
State-Trait-Anxiety-Inventory (STAI Spanish version)	State-Trait-Anxiety-Inventory contains 40 items. The items are grouped into two subscales related to anxiety as a general personality trait and as an anxiety caused by external factors.
	Reference for further details: Spielberger, C. D., Gorsuch, R. L., & Lushene, R. E. (1970). STAI, manual for the state-trait anxiety inventory. California: Consulting Psychologist Press. (translated into Spanish by Seisdedos N. en 1982 and published by TEA Editions, 1982).
Tennessee Self-Concept Scale (TSCS)	The Tennessee Self-Concept Scale (TSCS). The Tennessee Self-Concept Scale (Fitts & Warren, 1996) is a widely used self-report measure consisting of six self-concept scales (physical, moral, personal, family, social and academic or work) that yield a total summary score for total self-concept and conflict. Respondents are asked to report how true each statement is about them using a five-point scale ranging from Completely False to Completely True. Negatively worded items are reverse scored. A summed score for a subscale between 40 and 60 is considered within normal limits, while scores above 70 and below 30 are considered outside of the desirable range. A fairly substantial revision was undertaken

Third one	with the TSCS recently so that some items were eliminated and some added. Over the period of this study, both the older and the newer version of the scale were used, preventing a more complete analysis of the data. We cross-walked the old version of the scale onto the new version and were able to analyse the primary subscales, less the academic scale. We were also unable to compute a total score without substantial imputation of missing data (any respondent missing more than 25% of the items was excluded from the analyses). http://www.psychassessments.com.au/products/236/prod236_report1.pdf http://www.ravansanji.ir/?Ess2017TSCS
	The period in file of active retirement, following middle age The third age is often described as the period in the life course that occurs after retirement but prior to the onset of disability, revealing a period in which individuals have the capacity to remain actively engaged
TMIG Index of Competence	TMIG Index of Competence is a multidimensional, 13-item index of competence which consists of the first-order factors including Instrumental Self-Maintenance, Intellectual Activity and Social Role, and the second-order factor is Competence. The responses to each item were scored 1 for ("yes" - able to do) or 0 for ("no" -unable) with the maximum score of 13 points.
Transactions Scale (SSQT)	 Social Support Questionnaire for Transactions (SSQT) is comprised of two scales, a 14-item social-emotional support and a five-item instrumental support, a 13-item social-emotional support and a four-item instrumental support scale were retained. The items are measured on a Likert scale (1 = strongly agree to 5 = strongly agree) Rosenbaum, M.S. & Massiah, C. (2007). When customers receive support from other customers: exploring the influence of intercustomer social support on customer voluntary performance. Journal of Service Research, 9, 257-270.
UCLA Loneliness Scale	The UCLA Loneliness Scale (Russell, 1996) is a 20-item scale measuring the construct of loneliness, with higher scores indicating greater loneliness Reference for further details: Russell, D.W. (1996). UCLA Loneliness Scale (version 3): Reliability, validity, and factor structure. <i>Journal of Personality Assessment</i> , 66, 20-40
Visual Analogic Scale (VAS)	Visual Analogic Scale (VAS) measures the degree of different emotions such as anxiety, joy, sadness, and relaxation experienced using a seven-point scale. Reference for further details: Gross, J. J., & Levenson, R. W. (1995). Emotion elicitation using films.

	Cognition & Emotion, 9, 87–108.
World Health Organization quality of life assessment (WHOQOL)	 World Health Organization Quality of Life Brief Questionnaire (WHOQOL-BREF; Kuyken et al., 1995) is a cross-cultural assessment tool consisting of 26-items extracted from the original WHOQOL-100 questionnaire. The WHOQOL- BREF uses five-point Likert-type scales to measure four main domains of QOL: (1) Physical health, (2) Psychological health, (3) Social relations, and (4) Environment health. The time frame for the assessment is the 2 previous weeks. Higher scores in this questionnaire indicate a better QOL. Kuyken W, Orley J, Power M, Herrman H (1995) The World Health Organization quality of life assessment (WHOQOL): position paper from the World Health Organization. Soc. Sci.Med 41: 1403–1409. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8560308
World Health Organization Quality of Life-BREF (WHOQOL-BREF) - Taiwan Version	The World Health Organization Quality of Life-BREF (WHOQOL-BREF) instrument includes items on physical health, social relationships, health satisfaction, psychological status, general quality of life measures, and environmental factors. Reference for further details: Yao KP. Development and instruction of the WHOQOL-BREF Taiwanese Version Interview Version. 2nd ed. Taipei, Taiwan: World Health Organization Life Quality Questionnaire Taiwan Version Questionnaire Development Group; 2005.

Appendix 2: Evidence Tables of Included Studies

Table for Evidence Statements 1.1 to 1.8

Bartlett 2013					
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:A	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
-		convenience sampling strategy was	_	_	
Bartlett 2013	3 intervention programmes targeting	used with participants recruited	Loneliness: The de Jong Gierveld	There was no significant difference	Inappropriate or inconsistent
	socially isolated older adults:	through the community	Scale (de Jong Gierveld and van	in loneliness scores in the Greenvale	sampling methods which affects the
Country of study: Australia		organisations	Tilburg 1999)	and Hervey Bay programmes,	study validity. Unstandardised
	1. Programme implemented in a		Social support: the Duke Social	although loneliness reduced – Pre	intervention content and strategies,
Aim of study:	rural setting in Greenvale, Australia	Intervention(s):	Support Index (DSSI) (Koenig et al.	Programme Loneliness Scores 2.9	so not possible to compare.
	2. Programme implemented in a		1993).	(Std Error E 0.6) and 7.3 (Std Error	The standard data and a standard and
To evaluate three pilot intervention	Australia	1. A regular fitness programme	Independence measures	(0.9) respectively to 2.6 (Std Error E 0.5) and 6.1 (Std Error 0.0), $n=0.64$	discremencies in surveys used
programmes aiming to build social	Australia 3 Programme (Culturally	based on a range of exercises,	independence measures:	0.3) and 0.1 (Stu Error 0.9). p=0.04	In the CAVS study responses to
networks and community capacity	Appropriate Volunteer Service	arts programme. It focused on	Not applicable	and p=0.199 respectively.	instruments from participants who
through a range of group-based	Programme) implemented in an	building individual and community	Not applicable	Loneliness did significantly	did not speak English were
risk of social isolation	urban setting in Brisbane. Australia	capacity by providing community	Other measures.	decrease in the CAVS programme	completed by staff: they may have
		transport, and training to enable	other measures.	from 7.5 (Std Error 0.8) to 5.0 (Std	expressed their own opinions so
Study design.	Participants:	older people to manage their own	Basic demographic variables as	Error 0.7). p=0.001.	CAVS results cannot be attributed to
Study design.	•	activities and seek ongoing funding	well as social contacts outside home	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	intervention.
Exploratory design applying both	1. Older adults (age range: 54-93,	(e.g. accreditation for volunteer bus			
quantitative and qualitative analyses	mean 66), 56 % women	drivers, swim coaching, and food	Follow-up periods:		Limitations (review team):
quantitati i e una quantati i e una joes	2. Older adults (age range: 42-84,	handling) plus provision of guest		There was no significant difference	
Quality score:	mean 68, 80 % women	speakers on healthy ageing topics	Evaluations consisted of pre- and	in social support scores in the	No control design
Quality scorer	3. Older adults (age range: 63-100,		post program questionnaires (no	Greenvale and Hervey Bay	
-	mean 79), 65 % women	2. Providing activities including	duration reported)	programmes. Pre Programme Social	Evidence gaps:
		community forums, better		Support Scores 2.6 (Std Error E 0.1)	
External validity score:	Inclusion:	negration of services for older	Method of analysis:	and 1.9 (Std Error 0.1) respectively	More high-quality research (e.g.
·		front contact point, development of		to 2.7 (Std Error E 0.1) and 2.2 (Std	avoiding the study limitations listed)
-	The selection was based on a range	an action plan and resource kit and	Independent and paired samples t-	Error (0.1) . $p=0.205$ and $p=0.018$	needed where community-based
	of criteria, including older people at	the implementation of a 'buddy	tests	respectively.	interventions are evaluated
	longliness (because of older than	system' (connecting a volunteer		Social support did significantly	
	average populations, rural or remote	with a socially isolated older person		increase in the CAVS programme	Funding resources:
	locations and culturally and	to help build confidence, encourage		from 2.4 (Std Frror 0.1) to 2.7 (Std	
	linguistically diverse communities)	engagement in social activities)		Error 0.1), $p=0.007$	None reported
				P 0.007	Applicable to UV9
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	3. Developing a culturally		Pre-programme loneliness and	Applicable to UK?
		appropriate model of volunteer		social support scores were	
		service delivery for seniors (CAVS),		significantly negatively correlated to	

None	focusing on social isolation	a strong degree for Greenvale	Yes
	The project also involved delivering	participants, r(26)=-0.69, p<0.001,	
Motivation/ referral/ payment:	social and leisure activities and	indicating that greater loneliness	
	library services for older migrants	was strongly correlated with lower	
Participants recruited through the	through two ethnic community	social support	
community organisations involved	organisations	However, these scores were not	
community organisations involved	C	significantly correlated in the	
	Control: No control	Hervey Bay programme, p=0.514.	
		N=14 or the CAVS programme	
	Somula sizes	n=0.048 N=12	
	Sample sizes:	The post-programme loneliness and	
		social support scores were again	
	Assessed for eligibility:	significantly nagatively completed to	
		significantly negativery correlated to	
	Not applicable	a strong degree for Greenvale,	
		r(28) = -0.75, p<0.001, but there was	
	Randomised:	no significant correlation between	
		these scores for Hervey Bay, p =	
	Not applicable	0.406, N = 12, or CAVS, p=0.035,	
	Not applicable	N=12	
	Deseline data:		
	Dasenne data.	Independence results	
	1 . 10	-	
	1. $N = 42$	Not applicable	
	2. N= 15	11	
	3. N= 16	Attrition:	
	Baseline comparisons: No	1 10/42 (24.0%)	
	comparisons described	1. 10/42 (24 %)	
	Study power:	2. No drop-outs between pre- and	
		post tests	
	Not powered to achieve statistical		
	significance	3. No drop-outs between pre- and	
		post tests	
	Intervention delivery. The three		
	programmes were delivered by the		
	following community organisations in		
	Queensland Australia: Greenvale		
	State School Parents and Citizens		
	Association Hervey Bay City Council		
	and the Multicultural Development		
	Association		
	100001000		
	Target group		
	rarger group.		
	Socially isolated older adults		

Bedding 2008					
First author and year:	Setting: At a place preferred by	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results:	Limitations (author)
	participants in southern England				
Bedding, 2008		Purposeful, convenient sampling	Phenomenological interviews	Narrative descriptions on positive	All of the study participants were
		method.		experiences of attending art classes.	White British retirees.
			Independence measures:	The participants described painting	Generalisability issues to a more
	Participants: 6 older people aged	Intervention(s):	NT (1 11	as enjoyable, rewarding, satisfying,	culturally diverse sample.
Country of study:	65 to 84 and who were retired,		Not applicable	fun, relaxing. It brought a sense of	T • • A = A • • A •
UK, southern England	healthy and living independently in	Pilot interviews for older adults who	Other measures. Not applicable	achievement and boost their	Limitations (review team):
	the community and no longer in	close part in community-based art	Other measures. Not applicable.	confidence and helped them to	No dataile on duration intensity
	with British origin	paintings	Follow-up periods: A 45 minute-	manage negative emotions. It also	frequency of the actual art classes
Aim of study:	with British origin.	panungs.	interview with each person	neiped to socialize with other people	that the participants took. No before
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Control: no control	interview with each person	as a social club.	and after comparisons
			Method of analysis:	Independence results	and after comparisons.
To explore the effects of art classes	Inclusion:	Sample sizes: 6	·	independence results	Evidence gaps:
for older people.	community-dwelling retirees who	•	Qualitative analyses using	Not applicable	0 I I I I
	took part in art classes previously.	Assessed for eligibility: Not	unstructured conversational-style		Non-white British sample,
		applicable.	interviews and phenomenological	Attrition:	ethnically diverse population
	Exclusion (reasons listed):		methodology.		needed.
Study design:		Randomised: not applicable.		All participated in the interviews.	
	No exclusion criteria applied				Funding resources:
Exploratory pilot study		Baseline data: not stated			
					Not stated.
Quality score:		Baseline comparisons:			
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Study norvon			Applicable to UK?
-		Study power:			Vac
External validity score:		Not powered to achieve statistical			ies
	Recruited from two acquaintances	significance			
-	Recruited from two acquaintances				
		Intervention delivery: not stated.			
		Target group: community dwelling			
		white British retirees.			

Boise et al., 2005

First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation: Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
		applicable	Emotional Well-being: Four		
Boise 2005	The program was implemented in		measures were used to assess	Significant positive change (in the	High drop out rate of the
	the state of Oregon, USA	Intervention(s):	emotional well-being; The 3-item	desired direction) was reported in all	intervention. Low response rate of
Country of study:			Positive Feelings about Caregiving	areas of expected outcomes:	the study Intervention might contain
USA	Participants:	"Powerful Tools for	Scale (PFCS) was developed for this	emotional well-being, self-care	too many sessions. No randomised
		Caregiving''(PTC): an education	programme to measure how	behaviours, self-efficacy, and use	controlled design.
Aim of study:	Family caregivers of older adults	programme for family caregivers of	positively or negatively the	and knowledge of community	
To empowers family caregivers to	(mean age: 61, range 26-89 years);	older adults. Based on a self-	caregiver felt about his/her role as a	services. Significant positive	Limitations (review team):
reduce negative effects of	36% of carers were spousal.	efficacy model, the programme	caregiver;	outcomes were sustained at the 6-	
caregiving and to practice self-care.		empowers family caregivers to		month follow-up for all outcomes	No general mental wellbeing
	Inclusion: Family caregivers, also	reduce negative effects of	Anger was measured using the 4-	except from pre- class survey and 6-	measures used
Study design:	within rural and ethnic minority	caregiving and to practice self-care.	item Anger/Irritability scale (Pearlin	month follow-up in exercise	
	communities		& Mullen, 1988); Guilt was	frequency	Evidence gaps:
Uncontrolled before and after study.		2.5 hour sessions, once a week, over	measured using a 4-item scale		
		a 6-week period. Each week's class	adapted from the Feelings of Not	Mean 6 month post intervention	Further evaluation of the Powerful
Quality score:		covered a different topic and taught	Doing Enough subscale of the	scores using the 3-item Positive	Tools for Caregiving program in a
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	"tools" that provide useful	Caregiver Guilt Scale (Kingsman,	Feelings about Caregiving Scale	controlled trial needed
-		techniques for improving	1992)	(PFCS) increased from 5.13 (SD	
	None	caregivers' emotions, self-care		2.2) to 6.14 (SD 2.1) t=-3.42 p<0.01	Funding resources:
External validity score:		behaviours, and self- efficacy. Each	Depression: 10-item Centre for	while anger measured using the 4-	
		class also included a different	Epidemiological Study Depression	item Anger/Irritability scale	The Robert Wood Johnson
+		relaxation tool, e.g., guided imagery,	scale (CES-D 10, Andresen et al.,	decreased from 3.51 (SD 2.2) to	Foundation, Northwest Health
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	deep breathing, or "shoulder lift,"	1994).	2.41 (SD 2.0) t=3.66 p<0.01. Guilt,	Foundation and Good Samaritan
		so participants take away from the		measured using the using a 4-item	Foundation
	Legacy Caregiver Services widely	class a repertoire of relaxation	Self-efficacy:	scale adapted from the Feelings of	
	advertised the availability of class-	techniques		Not Doing Enough subscale of the	Applicable to UK?
	leader training sessions throughout		Caregiving Self-Efficacy Scale	Caregiver Guilt Scale also decreased	
	the state where the programme was	Control:	(CgSES) was developed for the	from 3.23 (SD 2.5) to 2.52 (SD 2.1)	Yes- the PTC has been used in the
	implemented	No control	programme with specific items	t=2.44 p<0.05.	UK
			related to the skills, behaviours, and	-	
	Experienced educators, service	Sample sizes: N=359	attitudes taught during the classes	Independence results	
	agency staff, and volunteers were			_	
	encouraged to apply for the training	Assessed for eligibility:		Not applicable	
	program				

	Randomised: Not applicable	Independence measures:	Attrition:	
	Baseline data:	Not applicable	Of the 359 persons who attended the	
			33 courses, 257 (72%) completed	
	N=359. 78% women, mean age 61	Other measures:	the series (participants were	
			considered to have completed the	
	Baseline comparisons: Not	Self-care behaviour:	series if they attended at least four	
	applicable	The use of relaxation techniques and	classes)	
		frequency of exercise were		
	Study power:	measured using single-item	Of course completers, 226 returned	
		questions from Lorig et al. (1996)	pre-class forms, 204 completed	
	Not powered to achieve statistical		post-class forms	
	significance	A Health Self-Care Neglect Scale		
		(HSCN) to measure the caregiver's	A total of 186 class completers	
	Intervention delivery:	neglect of health-related self-care	submitted both pre-class and post-	
		behaviours was adapted from Zarit's	class evaluation forms (72%	
	Professional and community	Health Behaviours Scale (S. Zarit,	response rate for the post class	
	volunteers were trained as class	personal communication, August,	analysis)	
	leaders and master trainers for the	1999)		
	programme.		A 6-month evaluation was mailed to	
		Follow-up periods:	class completers, of whom 69	
	Target group:		returned both the preclass and	
		Pre-intervention, post-intervention	follow-up form (27% response rate	
	Family caregivers of older adults,	and 6-month follow-up surveys	for the follow-up analysis)	
	also among rural and ethnic			
	minority communities	Method of analysis:		
		Outcomes for the courses were		
		analysed using paired t tests to		
		compare the pre-class and post-class		
		measures		
		The t tests were also used to		
		compare the pre-class and 6-month		
		follow-up measures for individuals		
		who completed the class series		

Cohen 2006, 2007

First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results: Significant	Limitations (author):
				difference in morale between the	
Cohen 2006	Suburban Washington DC.	Not stated	Philadelphia Geriatric Centre	two groups at follow-up, t (125)= -	No random selection and
			Morale Scale (Lawton, 1975;	1.92; p<0.06. Mean morale scores	assignment. Sample in both groups
Country of study:	Participants:		Loneliness Scale-III (Russell, 1996);	decreased from 14.15 (SD 2.42) to	was mostly white and female and
US		Intervention(s):	measurement of engagement in	14.08 (SD 2.66) in intervention	not diverse enough.
	The intervention group's mean age		social activities.	group and from 13.51 (SD 3.07) to	
Aim of study:	was 79.0 years compared to 79.6	The intervention consisted of		13.06 (SD 3.29)in control group.	Limitations (review team): More
	years for the comparison group. The	participating in a professionally	Independence measures:		specific detail on presence of any
To measure the impact of	intervention group was 78%	conducted choral group for which		Both groups saw a slight decrease in	chronic
professionally conducted	female,92% White (non-Hispanic)	there were weekly singing	Not applicable	loneliness on the loneliness scale:	
community-based cultural	and 8% minorities. The comparison	rehearsals for 30 weeks as well as		intervention 35.11 to 34.6;	Evidence gaps:
programmes (choral singing) on the	group was 80% female, 93% White	public performances several times	Other measures:	comparison 38.26 to 37.02. This	
physical health, mental health, and	(non-Hispanic), and 7% minorities.	during the intervention period.		difference was marginally	Funding resources:
social activities of individuals aged			Baseline measures of physical	significantly greater in the	
65 and older	Intervention: 90	Control:	health and health service use: self-	intervention group: ANCOVA	National Endowment for the Arts
		No intervention for control group	reported general physical health;	marginally significant difference	(lead sponsor); Centre for Mental
Study design:	Control: 76		self-reported assessments of health	between the two groups, $F(1,126)$	Health Services, Substance Abuse
		Sample sizes:	services utilisation (e.g., doctor	=3.08; p =0.08. Comparison group	and Mental Health Services
Quasi experimental study	Inclusion:		visits and medication usage)	reported a more significant decrease	Administration, Department of
	English-speaking older adults older	Assessed for eligibility:		in level of weekly activity than did	Health and Human Services;
Quality score:	than age 64 who were ambulatory		Follow-up periods:	the intervention group.	National Institute of Mental Health,
	and healthy enough to participate	Randomised: Not stated		6 T	National Institutes of Health;
+	regularly in community-based		12 Months and 24 months	The average number of weekly	National Retired Teachers
	activities.	Baseline data:		activities for the intervention group	Association/AARP; International
External validity score:			Method of analysis:	went from 5.37 at baseline to 4.29	Foundation for Music Research;
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Intervention: 90		12 months later. The comparison	Stella and Charles Guttman
-			For measures that showed no group	group reported a decrease from 4.88	Foundation, New York City
	None listed	Control: 76	differences direct comparisons made	to 2.58, t (140) = -4.62 ; p<0.01.	
			of groups at follow-up using either		
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Baseline comparisons:	Pearson chi-square. For measures	Independence results	
		N	that demonstrated significant	•	
	Not reported	Demographic analysis found no	differences at baseline, analyses of	Not applicable	
		statistically significant differences	covariance controlling for baseline		
		between the groups. Significant	assessments. Significance set at		
		differences between intervention			

and comparison group for	P<0.10.	Attrition:	
depression scale scores, loneliness			
scale scores, and other health		Attrition rates:	
problems – with comparison group			
having worse values.		Intervention : 13/90=14%	
C C			
Study power:		Control: 12/76=16%	
~ *			
Not calculated			
Intervention delivery:			
The intervention included weekly			
singing rehearsals for 30 weeks as			
well as public performances several			
times during the intervention period.			
Target group:			
Community dwelling older people			

Collins et al 2006					
First author and year:	Setting: Largely older persons day	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):Sample
Collins et al 2006	villages at 20 sites in rural and urban communities of Clark County, Nevada	Not applicable Intervention(s): 16 week course	The Mastery Scale (Hayslip B, Maloy R, Kohl R 1995). Revised UCLA Loneliness Scale (four item	Pre test to post test comparisons showed significant improvements on all outcome measures. Mastery	included only those who completed both the pretest and the posttest. Therefore, it is not representative of
Country of study: USA	Participants: 339 people ages of 52 and 93 years (mean=73.20, SD	(2hrs per session) taught by cooperative extension paraprofessionals, volunteer peer	scale) Independence measures: None	increased from a mean score of 24.96 +/- 0.28 to 27.01 +/-0 .25 (t= 12.08, df = 323, p <0 .001).	all older adults. Evaluation design lacked a control group, assessed only short-term improvements, and
Aim of chudu	8.64). 80% female; 68% white.The ethnic affiliations of other	educators, and on-site staff. It includes 15 lessons on topics including nutrition and food;	stated Other measures:	Loneliness decreased from a mean score of 8.64+/-0 .10 to 7.86 +/-0.09 (t =29.20, df = 329, p <0001)	did not account for the potential effect of the pretest itself. Data were self-reported and may be limited by
And of study.	African-American (10%), Asian American (6%), and Native American (2%), 10% taught in	accidents in the home; financial strategies to manage limited resources; general wellness, such as	Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10). Pearlin LI, Schooler C (1980)	The greatest reduction in loneliness occurred among ethnic minorities (precise figures not reported).	the participants' desire to represent themselves in a manner they deem to be more socially desirable. Poor internal consistency for longliness
To evaluate the effectiveness of the Seniors CAN educational intervention, a 16-week educational	Spanish. Inclusion: Not stated	immunisation and hand washing; and productive ageing.	Follow-up periods: At the end of last class (4 month	Independence results	scores
health promotion intervention	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Control: None Sample sizes:	course duration) Method of analysis:	Attrition: Stated to be less than 5%	information on health state of participants. No information on the volunteer peer participants
Study design:	None stated	Assessed for eligibility: Not stated	Participants' scores on mastery, loneliness and stress from pretest		Evidence gaps: Longer term follow
Uncontrolled before and after study	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Baseline data: See participants Study power: No	and post test were compared using paired t-tests. To assess the relative effectiveness according to		about relevant role of volunteer peer educators in delivery of intervention
Quality score: -		Intervention delivery: delivered in	participants' sociodemographic characteristics, score differences from protoct to posttact (i.e.		Funding resources: Not stated
		hrs per session	improvement scores) were then computed and group means were		Applicable to UK? Yes there are similar schemes being evaluated, except that they are delivered by
External validity score: -		dwelling older people	ANOVA.		nealth care professionals and occupational therapists and fall out of scope as result.

Coulton et al 2015					
First author and year:	Setting: 5 localities in east	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
-	Kent. Various community	Independently determined	Mental health component of		Predominantly white
Coulton 2015	venues such as Age UK	using random permuted blocks	SF12	There was a significant improvement in mean SF-12 mental	population in one geographical
	centres used. In general for	of variable length, stratified by		health component scores for the intervention at 6 months	area so not clear if results can
Country of study: UK	Silver Song Clubs the	centre and gender.	Indonandanca magguras: Not	compared to the control group. Mean difference 2.35 (0.06 -	be generalised. Short
country of study. OK	objective is to have a venue	6	applicable	4.76) P=0.05. In the intervention group scores improved from	intervention period –
Aim of study. To access	that provides space for the		applicable	48.8 (46.8 - 50.8) CI to 52.3 (50.7 - 54.0) compared with 50.0	potentially greater effect if
All of Study: 10 assess	Song Club circle with clear	Intervention(s): Participation		(47.9 - 52.2) to 49.9 $(48.2 - 51.7)$ in the control group. The 3	longer duration. No process
effectiveness and cost	vision of the lead facilitator	in Silver Song Clubs –	04	month mean difference was greater: $4.77 (2.53 - 7.01) \text{ n} < 0.01$	evaluation. Benefits may be
enectiveness s of active	and alternative	musician led community	Other measures:		due to group interaction rather
singing on massing of montal	accommodation for	group singing programmes		In economic analysis noted that intervention would have 60%	than to singing per se $-$ this
singing on measures of mental	individuals who do not want	Groups met for 90 minutes for	Cost per QALY	chance of being cost effective with cost per OALY gained	needs to be tested. Population
and physical health-felated	to take part.	14 weeks to sing songs from		threshold of £20000	was self-selecting population
quality of file, depression and	to tune purt	different eras and in different			of people who
anxiety for older people.	Particinants: 258 community	styles		Independence results:	wanted to engage in singing
	dwelling people over the age	styles.			groups.
Study design: Pilot RC1	of 60 Overall mean age of the		Follow-up periods: 3 and 6	Not applicable	8
	population was 69.2 (s d	Control: Continuing on with	months	not upprouble	
	7 14) 81% female in	usual activities			
	intervention and 87% female	ubuur ubur vities.		Attrition:	Limitations (review team):
Quality score: ++	in control group 25% were			Attrition.	Intervention delivered mainly
	still in employment and 98%	Samula sizes:	Method of analysis: Intention		to women with no BME
	were white, 63% had been in	Sumple sizes.	to-	3 Months: Intervention 18/131 (14%) Control 18/127 (14%)	participation.
	education after age 16.	Assessed for eligibility: 393 of	Trea. The SF12 mental	5 Monuis. Increation 16/151 (14%), Conuol 16/127 (14%)	F
External validity score: +		which 258 were eligible and	components at 6 months was	Six Months: Intervention 26/121 (20%); control 28/121 (21%)	
		consented to participate	analysed by analysis of	51x Wolduls. Intervention 20/151 (20/0), control 20/151 (21/0)	Evidence gans: Looking at
	Inclusion: All people over the	consented to participate.	covariance adjusting for		benefits of singing
	age of 60	Pandomised: 127 (10%)	baseline age and gender. As		interventions for different
	uge of oo	control group and $121(51\%)$	intervention involved groups,		population group and
		intervention group	the analysis was adjusted using		comparing singing with other
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	intervention group.	the Huber-White sandwich		group based activities
	Older people unable to	Pagalina data: Maan aga in	estimation technique to generate		or the cased and these
	provide informed consent.	intervention and control	robust standard errors.		
	r	groups was 60.2 and 60.5	Secondary outcomes were		
		groups was 09.2 and 09.3	analysed in a similar manner		Funding resources: National
	Motivation/ referral/	intervention and 870% formals			Institute for Health Research
	navment:	in control group 99.2% of			under the Research for
	No specific motivation stated	intervention and 06 8% of			Patient Benefit Programme.
	- individuals were recruited	controls were white 16% of			
	through multiple methods:	intervention group were			
	study widely publicized in	amployed and 0% of control			Applicable to UK?
	five local areas in Kent	group 0.2% of intervention			Yes, implemented in UK
	Researchers also attended day	and 6.8% of controls had			context
	researchers also attended day	and 0.8% of controls had			l

· 1.1	1 '		
centres and other venues	depression.		
where older people met for			
group activities to provide	Baseline comparisons:		
information on the study. In	No statistical significant		
addition, advertisements were	differences in baseline		
placed in the local media.	demographics or clinical		
general practices and	characteristics		
community venues	characteristics.		
community venues.			
	Study power: To detect an		
	effect size of 0.5 at power of		
	80% (two-tailed test, alpha of		
	0.05) and power required 63		
	participants in each arm of the		
	trial.		
	Intervention delivery:		
	Delivered by Silver Song		
	Clubs (Big Lottery Funded) in		
	clubs (big Lottery I unded) in		
	community venues		
	-		
	Target group: People over		
	the age of 60.		

Creech et al., 2013 (also reported in Hallam et al., 2014)						
Creech et al., 2013 (also reported in First author and year: Creech et al 2013 and Hallam 2014 Country of study: UK Aim of study: To explore how participation in making music might support the social emotional and cognitive	Hallam et al., 2014) Setting: 3 sites in the London area where older people engaged with musical activity, as well as comparison settings where non-musical activities were provided Participants: Community-dwelling older adults participating in the provided activities (81% female); the oldest	Method of allocation: Not applicable Intervention(s): 1. The Silver Programme at the Sage Gateshead, provided a wide range of musical opportunities for people over the age of 50 including singing, the playing of steel pans, guitars, ukulele, recorder and activities involving folk ensemble,	Mental wellbeing measures: Quality of life: CASP-12 Psychological wellbeing: Basic Psychological Needs Test Independence measures: Not applicable Other measures: Socio-demographic variables Information about their previous	Wellbeing results Factor analysis looking at the scores on CASP and Psychological Needs Test revealed three factors: purpose (having a positive outlook on life); autonomy and control; and social affirmation (positive social relationships, competence and a sense of recognised accomplishment).	Limitations (author): Sample not based on a randomised sample but members of self- selecting musical groups who may already have had higher self- assessed wellbeing High attrition rate between the first and second presentations of the questionnaire The comparisons between the participants in the third and fourth	
<pre>social, enfortunal and cognitive well-being of older people Study design: Quasi-experimental study applying mixed method approaches (quantitative data reported here as within scope of the review) Quality score: + External validity score: -</pre>	participant was 93 and the youngest 50 Inclusion: Community-dwelling older adults residing in the study region Exclusion (reasons listed): Not listed Motivation/ referral/ payment: Participants were recruited through the organisations providing the activities	music theory and samba. Participants had the opportunity to perform regularly in public concerts 2. The Connect Programme of the Guildhall School of Music and Drama, community projects with people of all ages The project's focus was on activities where participants created and performed music together, linking storytelling and reminiscing to creative music making The musical activities with older people took place in the community rooms of sheltered housing accommodation in East London The activities included intergenerational music sessions involving older people making music with children from local primary schools 3. Westminster Adult Education Service (WAES) music department, a wide range of musical genres, specialising in singing, playing instruments, sound engineering and using sequencers, music theory and composing Control:	 musical experiences Follow-up periods: Measurements pre and post intervention (9-month time period) Method of analysis: Factor analysis of the data retrieved from questionnaires The individual elements of the Basic Needs Satisfaction Scale were summed into their subcomponents (control, autonomy and relatedness) and comparisons using an independent t-test were made between those participating in the music and non-musical groups Also comparisons between time points were made using t-tests 	There were statistically significant differences between the groups on three factors: sense of purpose (effect size 0.19) $p<0.0001$ control/autonomy (0.15) $p<0.001$ and social affirmation (0.11) p<0.05. In all cases the scores of those participating in the music groups were better indicating more positive responses. Independence results Not applicable Attrition: Overall, 398 responses (80%) were received from those participating in musical groups and 102 (20%) from those in the other groups	ages were between different members of the music groups when the analysis should have been based on longitudinal data Limitations (review team): Self-reported measurements on mental wellbeing outcomes Study design meant that it was not possible to collect baseline data obtained – just data after participation in intervention Evidence gaps: More research needed on the mechanisms of activity choices – e.g. those selecting music as an activity of choice in later life may do so based on previous experiences with music Funding resources: This research was part of the New Dynamics of Aging programme, which was funded across the five UK research councils: AHRC, BBSRC, EPSRC, ESRC, MRC. Grant Reference no. RES-356-25- 0015	

A comparison group comprised		Applicable to UK?
older adults involved in a range of		Yes, implemented in UK context
activities which involved attending		
classes other than music, including		
individuals attending language		
classes (four groups): art/craft		
classes (five groups); voga; social		
support (two groups) and a book		
group and a social alub		
group and a social club		
Sample sizes:		
Assessed for eligibility:		
Questionnaires were distributed to		
500 older people participating in the		
activities at the baseline and follow-		
up measurements of the		
intervention study		
,		
Randomised: Not applicable		
Baseline data:		
N= 337 (intervention groups)		
N=89 (comparison group)		
Baseline comparisons:		
Not applicable		
Study power:		
Not powered to achieve statistical		
significance		
Intervention delivery:		
The activities were included in		
community projects provided by		
the organisations mentioned above		
Target group:		
Older adults (50 or over) who		
participated in community based		
activities in the London area		

Davidson, 2013					
First author and year:	Setting: Community venues	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Davidson, 2013	Participants:	Not applicable	UCLA Loneliness Scale Version 3	There were no statistically significant differences between the	Short follow-up period, small sample size.
Country of study: Australia	Older adults aged 70 and over.	Intervention(s): Eight consecutive weekly singing	SF-36: mental health	Sliver Chain group and the community group on the UCLA	Limitations (review team):
Aim of study:	The Silver Chain group (mean 79, SD 4.2)	sessions led by an experienced community musician at a local	Independence measures:	scores.	No control design
To evaluate the effect of a singing programme developed for older people on health and well-being.	The community group (mean 76, SD 5.2 years)	community centre. Each session started with vocal and physical warm-ups and singing songs popular in Australia over the last 60 years.	Not applicable. Other measures:	For the 16 participants recruited through a community newspaper advertisement there were no significant differences in SF-36	The figures on the positive experience could have been reported separately in the two groups rather
	Living in Perth, receiving home help services without a diagnosis of	Control:	SF-36: physical functioning, role physical, bodily pain, general health,	Mental Health component scores reported pre and post intervention: 86.3 (SD=11.4) and 82.0 (SD=15.1)	than for the entire participants.
Study design:	dementia.	No control	vitality, SF-36: Social functioning, and musical outcomes.	30.3 (3D-11.4) and $32.0 (3D-13.1)$.	Evidence gaps:
Before and after study, applying both quantitative and qualitative	Inclusion:	Sample sizes:	The Cariatric Depression Scale	For the 13 participants from Silver Chain SF-36 Mental Health component scores reported pre and	More studies are needed to confirm the potential benefits of the social
	People living independently, although those accessing Sliver	36 were recruited.	(GDS)	post intervention were : 77.7 (SD 13.5)and 73.0 (21.2) in Sliver Chain	components of the singing programme.
Quanty score:	Chain were recipients of nome help.	Assessed for eligibility:	Follow-up periods:	group	Funding resources:
- External validity score:	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Not applicable	8 weeks	Vitality scores on the SF-36 fell	Silver Chain, the University of Western Australia and the City of
External valuity score.	None	Randomised:	Method of analysis:	significantly in the community group from 72.5 (SD = 11.0) to 62.1 (SD=17.2) $r=0.02$	Stirling.
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Not applicable	The t-test was performed, alongside interview-based qualitative analyses	(SD=17.5) p=0.05	
	Older people were recruited from two: Home care clients of Silver	Baseline data:		showed most participants found the experience positive during and after	Applicable to UK?
	Chain, a large health and aged care service provider in Western	N=17 from Silver Chain		the intervention. 68% frequently felt improved sense of well-being during	Yes
	Australia.	N= 19 from advertisement		after the intervention. 77% of the participants reported gained self-	
		Baseline comparisons:		confidence in performing.	
		The Silver Chain group was significantly older than the community group (p<0.05).		Independence results	

	Study power:	Not applicable				
	Not powered to achieve statistical significance	Attrition: Participants: 7/36 (29 withdrew:				
	The singing sessions were led by an experienced community musician at a local community centre.	1970).				
	Silver Chain provided volunteer drivers for those unable to provide their own transport.					
	The participants were paid by the researchers (but the exact amount of money not mentioned).					
	Target group: Silver Chain clients were in receipt of some home help services but living independently.					
Author de Medeiros et al 2011						
--	---------------------------------------	---	---	--	---	--
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):	
de Medeiros 2011	Retirement communities in Maryland.	Participants were randomly allocated	Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE)	Changes were examined in three areas: (i) autobiographical memory;	Possible limitations with using the AMI and RMWAT instruments.	
Country of study:	Dentisiananta	Trada a martine a colo	Mood, personality, self-concept and	(ii) new episodic memory and (iii)	They are usually used to assess	
US	rarucipants:	Intervention(s):	quanty of me.	life.	and it is possible that the	
	Older adults (67-96 years); 20 men	Writing workshop intervention to	- Geriatric Depression Scale-short		participants were tired of repeating	
Aim of study:	and 31 women.	improve autobiographical memory and well-being in older adults	form (GDS) - NEO Five Factor Inventory (NEO-	No significant main effects or interactions on the GDS.	the same stories three or more times and therefore shortened their	
To assess the effectiveness of a	Inclusion:		FFI)		versions or reduced the level of	
structured autobiographical writing		Control:	- Tennessee Self-Concept Scale	Even though the results for SF-36	details included.	
workshop on autobiographical memory (AM) mood and self	Age 65 years or older, high-school	Two control groups: active control	-Short Form-36 (SF-36)	group or a group X time interaction	Limitations (review team):	
concept in older adults.	symptoms of dementia, score of 25	group and a no-treatment control		for the emotional well-being section		
······	or above on the Mini-Mental State	group.		of the SF-36, there was however a	Small sample size	
Study design:	Exam, normal vision and hearing			significant effect of time [F(1.75 ,		
	(with or without correction),	Sample sizes:	Independence measures:	84.13)=3.48, p=0.4].	Evidence gaps:	
RCT	with an interest in writing physical	51 older odulta, 19 in writing		The findings indicated that self-	Not reported	
Auglity score:	ability to write (by hand or	workshop group(AWW) 18 oral		ratings of overall well-being	Not reported	
Quality score.	keyboard), no formal memory	reminiscence group (REM) and 15	Other measures:	decreased over time across groups.	Funding resources:	
+	training within the past year, and	no intervention group (CTL)		There was a significant effect of	-	
	willingness take part in the 34-week		Autobiographical memory	time for conscientiousness $[F(2, 96)-4.51, p-0.01]$ with all groups	Funded by the Brookdale	
External validity score:	study.	Assessed for eligibility:	(Autobiographical Memory	obtaining higher scores.	Foundation grant #3101-F08	
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Assessed for aligibility through a	Word Association Task (RMWAT))		Applicable to UK?	
+		phone interview	word Association Task (Ren witt))	For self-concept, again a significant	Applicable to CK.	
	Due to poor health and difficulties	F	New episodic learning ((Hopkins	effect of time was found	Yes	
	with arranging the sessions, five	Randomised:	Verbal Learning Test—Revised	[F(2,96)=8.3, p<0.001], with an		
	initially recruited participants did		(HVLT-R) and Brief Visuospatial	reported by all groups		
	not complete all testing at three	Participants were assigned randomly	Memory Test-Revised (BVMT-R))	reported of an groupor		
	occasions.	autobiographical writing workshop		A significant effect by time [F(2,		
		a reminiscence group (active control		96)=3.68, p=0.03] was found on		
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	condition) or a no-treatment control	Follow-up periods:	energy/fatigue, with all groups		
	Participants recruited via flyer from	group.		was also a significant group X time		
	two retirement communities in	Baseline data:	8 and 34 weeks after baseline testing	interaction on pain [F(4,96)=2.58,		
	Maryland	Dasenne uata.	Method of analysis:	p=0.04]. Compared to the baseline		
		Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE):	method of analysis.	scores, participants in the writing		
		Autobiographical memory; New	ANOVA	workshop (Aww) reported		

	episodic learning: Mood	Mixed model ANOVAs	increased pain at 8 and 34 weeks	
	personality self-concept and quality	Mixed model / if to this	mereused pain at 6 and 51 weeks.	
	-f 1:f-		04	
	of file.		Other measures:	
	.			
	Baseline comparisons:		No significant group differences at	
			the baseline on any of the six AMI	
	Baseline data was compared to the		variables. A significant difference	
	study tests at 8 and 34 weeks		was found for the mean detail score	
			of the RMWAT $[F(2.53)=3.2]$.	
	Study power:		n=0.05) the REM group had a	
	Study power.		slightly higher score indicating	
			more details $(M-1.8, SD-0.40)$ then	
	Not reported		hole details $(M=1.6, SD=0.40)$ that	
			both the Aw w group (M=1.6,	
	Intervention delivery:		SD=0.40) and the CTL group	
	-		(M=1.6, SD=0.44).	
	After completing baseline			
	assessment, participants were		Across groups, participants reported	
	assigned randomly to a writing		more semantic memories from the	
	workshop intervention (AWW) an		recent past (late adulthood) than	
	active control condition (oral		from previous periods of their lives	
	active control condition (oral		[F(1,50)=13,54, p=0.001]	
	remniscence group, KEWI), or to a		[1 (1,00) 100 i,p 01001].	
	no treatment control condition		On the PMWAT a significant effect	
	(CTL). The AWW workshops and		of the Kivi wA1, a significant effect	
	REM groups met for 90 min, once a		of time for mean detail $F(2, 45) = 0.4$	
	week. The same facilitator led the		[F(2,45)=8.4, p<0.001] was found.	
	AWW and REM groups and was		Across groups, amount of detail in	
	blinded to participants' test scores.		memories reported decreased over	
			time. A significant effect of time	
	The follow-up testing was carried		was also found on the number of	
	out after 8 and 34 weeks.		pleasant memories reported (F(1.45,	
			66.7)=25.6, p<0.001). Across	
	Each week as part of the		groups, the number of 'pleasant'	
	Autobiographical writing workshop		memories increased from the	
	(AWW) nonticinents		baseline to 8 weeks, and staved high	
	(A w w), participants were		at 34 weeks.	
	introduced to a literary genre			
	(memoir, letter, poem, third-person		Indonandanca results	
	story) in which to write about their		independence results	
	past.			
	Oral reminiscence group (the REM			
	group) was focused on specific		Attrition:	
	chronological periods: childhood			
	(birth to 12 years); adolescence (age		8.9% (5 out of 56 participants were	
	12–19): vounger adulthood (age 20–		not able to take part in the study)	
	29): adulthood (age $30-39$): middle		1	
	adulthood (age 40–64); and older			
	adulthood (age 65 to present)			
	Topics for each period were decided			
	Topies for each period were declued			

by group consensus (e.g. childhood games, going to college, etc.).
Participants in the no treatment control group were given the opportunity to take part in either a writing workshop or reminiscence group at the end of the study.
Target group:
Older people

Dickens, 2011

First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation: Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
-		applicable.			
Dickens, 2011	Devon, Community settings		SF-12 mental health component	At six months there were no	The study participants may not be
		Intervention(s): The Devon	score (MCS)	significant difference between	representative of the broader pool of
Country of study: UK	Participants:	community mentoring model		groups in SF-12 MCS scores (mean	mentoring clients, therefore
	-	intervention included training	Social Health including social	between group different 0.8 (S.D:	generalizability issues to more
Aim of study:	Community-dwelling older adults	mentors to facilitate older people's	activities (four items from the	1.5 to 3.2) p=0.48).	socially isolated older adults.
<i>Stady</i> .		participation in individually tailored	RAND Social Health Battery).	/ 1 /	-
To examine the effects of a	Inclusion:	creative and social activities with	social support (six items from the	There was no significant between	Matched controlled study design can
community-based mentoring service	menusion	mentors reducing the level of	Medical Outcomes Study Social	group differences in social support	be more susceptible to bias than
for improving health, social	Being 50 years of age and over	support over time as appropriate.	Support Survey (MOS-6).	mean scores on the MOS-6 (mean	randomised design.
engagement and physical health for	being socially isolated or at risk of			score 0.03 S.D: (-0.2 to 0.2)	<u> </u>
socially isolated older people.	becoming socially isolated being	Control: Matched controlled group	Independence measures:	p=0.75). There were no significant	Different matching criteria could
,	able to provide informed consent			differences in social activities	have used.
Study design:	and being able to complete	Sample sizes:Not randomised.	None	except for 'getting along with	
~,•×- B	questionnaire with or without	Matched control. Pairs matched		others' which was significantly	Imbalances were evident at baseline.
Prospective controlled trial design	assistance.	using mental health status and social	Other measures:	which significantly deteriorated in	
respective controlled that design		activity scores.		the intervention group (Odds Ratio	Limitations (review team): Manv
Quality score:	Exclusion (reasons listed):		Sf-12 physical health component	0.6 Inter Quartile Range (0.4 to 0.9)	clients actually had mental and
Laure bore		Baseline data: N= 200	score (PCS). Geriatric Depression	p<0.01).	physical health problems so analysis
+	People with dementia, psychosis or	(intervention); 69% female	Scale (SDS-10), EuroQol EO-5D).		did not focus just on healthy older
	alcohol dependency or living in a	N= 195 (control). Mean age 71.8		No significant differences were	people.
External validity score:	nursing home. People with a	intervention; 69.8 control;		found in number of other social	
External valuity score.	terminal illness or classified as			activities such as no. of	Evidence gaps:
_	temporary residents.	Baseline comparisons: Ccontrol	Follow-up periods:	friends/family, no. Clubs/groups, get	U 4
-		group had significantly better levels	ronow up perious.	together with friends/family.	None reported
	Motivation/ referral/ navment:	of mental, physical, and social	6 months		· · · · · ·
	received receiver pupillent.	health, relative to intervention	0 monuis	Independence results	Funding resources:
	Particinants identified from a	group.	Method of analysis		
	nonulation of individuals who were		withou of analysis:	Not applicable	Devon County Council in
	currently receiving mentoring	Study power: Minimum of 140	Imputed analyzas, statistical analyzis		partnership with NHS Devon with
	(intervention) or those receiving	participants per group were required	for matching	Attrition: 37/395 (9 %)	funding from the Department of
	usual care via routinely available	(two-sided alpha=0.05, 85% power).	tor matching.		Work and Pensions and the
	health, social and voluntary care				Department of Health.
	services (control)	Intervention delivery: Community:			
		mentoring delivered by two main			Applicable to UK?
		voluntary organisations, through			
		operational clusters across Devon.			Yes
		Target group: Older people being			
		socially isolated or at risk of being			
		socially isolated.			

Author: Ducharme et al 2011 and 2012						
First author and year:	Setting: 2 urban areas of Quebec	Method of allocation: Not stated	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results: No significant	Limitations (author): Concerning	
	province, Canada			impact on informal support received	informal support, it may be that	
Ducharme 2011 and Durcharme	* •	Intervention(s): Psychoeducational	For both studies: Informal Social	or family conflicts for either the	family and friends are at a loss as to	
2012	Participants: See sample size	programme that focuses on the	Support frequency of support	2011 study at 3 months after the	the type of support to offer given	
		acquisition of skills to help	received by caregivers from family	programme or 6 months after	that they rarely have prior	
Country of study:	Inclusion: Participants had to be	caregivers adapt to their new role.	(excluding the ill relative), friends,	programme in the 2012 study.	experience of what the caregivers	
Canada	the caregiver (spouse or offspring)	There are seven sessions or modules	and neighbours, using the 27-item		are going through or that they do not	
	self-defined as the one principally	covering the following topics:	Inventory of Socially Supportive	Other outcomes:	know enough about Alzheimer	
Aim of study: To evaluate the	responsible (notion of primary	caregiver perceptions of the care	Behaviours (Krause & Markides,		disease to help.	
effectiveness of the	caregiver) for a relative 65 years of	situation; coping strategies for	1990). The instrument covers	Note: more confident in dealing		
psychoeducational intervention	age or older diagnosed with	dealing with difficulties and	emotional support (e.g., expressing	with caregiving situations, better	Focused exclusively on caregivers	
targeted at family carers of people	Alzheimer disease in the past 9	averting psychological distress; how	interest in caregiver), informational	prepared to provide care and more	who had been informed of the	
newly diagnosed with dementia.	months.	to communicate and enjoy time	support (e.g., indicating a person to	effective in their caregiver role,	diagnosis by geriatricians or	
		spent with the relative suffering	see in order to obtain help), and	were better able to plan for the	neurologists working in memory	
Study design:		from dementia; how to use one's	instrumental support (e.g., providing	future care needs of their relative,	clinics. Role transition in this	
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	strengths and experiences to take	caregiver with transportation		particular situation might differ for	
Controlled before and after study	Caregivers receiving psychotherapy	care of the relative; how to get		Attrition: For 2011 study:	caregivers who are not dealing with	
	or participating in a support group at	family and friends to help;	Independence measures: None	Intervention group: 2/62: 3%	such specialised care.	
Quanty score: +	time of study	knowledge of services and how to				
External validity secret		ask for them; and planning ahead for	Other measures: Revised Scale for	Control: 8/49=16%	Limitations (review team):	
External valuity score: +	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	the future.	Caregiving Self-Efficacy (Steffen,	E 2012 (1		
	Caregivers were recruited by a		McKibbin, Zeiss, Gallagher-	For 2012 study:	Very little focus on the mental	
	designated professional in each	The programme consists of 90-min	Thompson, & Bandura, 2002) to	Intermention answer $10/80 - 240/$	wellbeing of carers; this was only	
	memory clinic.	individual sessions once a week for	evaluate caregiver capacity in	Intervention group: $19/80 = 24\%$	one small part of the study outcome	
		7 weeks Manualised programme	relation to the caregiving role. The	Control group: 17/52-220/	measures.	
		with workbooks for a group leader	Family Caregiver Conflict scale.	Control group: $17/35 = 32\%$.		
		and caregivers. Minimal training of	The Carers' Assessment of		Evidence gaps:	
		3 days needed to deliver course.	Managing Index. Planning for		Englisher and a state of the st	
			Future Care Needs scale. 8-item		Funding resources: Alzneimer	
		Control: Usual care : putting	Preparedness for Caregiving scale		Society of Canada, Canadian	
		caregivers in contact with local	(Archbold, Stewart, Greenlick, &		the Consider Nerroe Foundation	
		community service centres and to	Harvath, 1990)		ule Canadian Nuises Foundation	
		offer a range of available services,	Salf officery cools (Kukn & Folton		Applicable to UK?	
		including those of the Alzheimer	2004) which commisses 15 if		Applicable to UK:	
		Society.	2004), which comprises 15 items on		Potentially yes	
			which caregivers rate their level of		rotentially yes	
			confidence in dealing with			

		caregiving situations	
	Sample sizes: For the 2011 study 111 caregivers. 62 in intervention group and 49 in controls. Mean age of carers 60.37 (SD 13.12) and 36% were spousal carers (26% women and 10% men) For the 2012 study 133 caregivers participated 80m in intervention group and 53 in control group and 36% were spousal carers (26% women and 10% men) Assessed for eligibility: Not stated Baseline data: Tested for differences Study power:	 Follow-up periods: end of programme and 3 months later for 2011 study and 6 months for 2012 study Method of analysis: The research hypotheses regarding the efficacy of the intervention program were tested through repeated-measures analyses of covariance (ANCOVA). 	
	Yes for 2012 study - Sample size enabled detection of a large program effect with statistical power of 80% and an alpha error of 5%, taking into account a correlation coefficient of 0.5 between measurement times Intervention delivery: delivered in classroom setting over 16 weeks, 2 hrs per session Target group: Carers of people newly diagnosed with Alzheimer's Disease		

Eyigor et al 2009						

First author and year:	Setting: at a rehabilitation unit	Method of allocation: Not stated	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results:	Limitations (author)	
Eyigor et al 2009	Participants: 40 older adult healthy volunteers aged 65 and over.	Intervention(s): Turkish folklore dance lasted 1 hour three times per	The Medical Outcomes Study (MOS) 36-item short from healthy	In the dance group, there was a significant improvement in mental	Small sample, short-term follow-up	
	Indusion	week at the rehabilitation unit under	survey (SF-36)	health measured in SF-36 at post-	Limitations (review team):	
Country of study:	physically active and able to perform activities of daily living	dance expert.	Independence measures:	However, no significant differences were found in vitality, social	Larger sample with longer duration needed. Transferability of the	
Turkey	independently. No one had any experience in strength or regular	Control: Those in the control group did not have any exercise.	Not applicable	functioning, and emotional role in the intervention and control groups	Turkish folklore dance movements to other ethnic groups.	
	exercise training.	Sample sizes: 40	Other measures:	at follow-up assessments.	Evidence gaps:	
Aim of study:	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Assessed for eligibility: yes	20-m walk test, a 6-min walk test. Stair climbing, chai rise time, Berg	Independence results	More diverse styles of ethnic dances	
To examine the impacts of Turkish	Neurological impairment (stroke, Parkinson's disease, paresis), severe	Randomised: yes	balance scale, geriatric depression scale questionnaires.	Not applicable	Funding resources:	
folklore dance on the physical performance, balance, depression	cardiovascular disease, unstable chronic or terminal illness (diabetes,	Baseline data: mental health on the	Follow-up periods:	Attrition:	Not stated	
and quality of life in older women.	cancers), major depression, severe cognitive impairment or severe	SF-36 scores, 69.3 ±25.1	8 weeks	37 out of 40 completed the study.	Applicable to UK?	
Study docime	musculo-skeletal impairment (inability to participate in the	Baseline comparisons: No differences found.	Method of analysis:	7.5 % dropped out	Yes	
Randomised controlled study	trainings)	Study power: Not powered to	The paired t-test with a significance level $(p < 0.05)$			
Kandonnised controlled study		achieve statistical significance	level (p<0.03).			
Quality score: +	Motivation/ referral/ payment: Volunteers were recruited among those who responded to advertisements in outpatient clinics.	Intervention delivery: Dance teacher decided whether the movements were suitable for older people and simplified. The dance sessions consisted of three sections				
External validity score:		including a 10min warm-up, 40 min of special folklore dance, and 10 min of stretching and cooling-down activities.				
		Target group: healthy older women				

Greaves 2006					
First author and year:	Setting: Community intervention delivered in Mid Devon Primary	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Greaves 2006	Care Trust area, UK	Not applicable	Quality of life: SF 12 Social support: Medical Outcomes	At 6 months, there were significant	No control
Country of study:	Participants: Community-dwelling	Intervention(s):	Social Support Scale	component (MD = 3.02 , 95%CI: 1.01 to 5.04, p < 0.005). There was	High attrition rates
UK	physical health problems) 76% were female mean age was 77 (range: 52	The Upstream Healthy Living	Independence measures:	no significant improvement in MOSS (social support) mean scores	Limitations (review team):
Aim of study:	to 96)	intervention. Trained mentors work	Not applicable	1.98 (1.11 s.d.) to 2.04 (1.03 s.d).	Self-reported measurements on
To evaluate a complex	Inclusion:	re-kindle their interest in life by engaging in participant-determined	Other measures:	At 12 months, there were significant	
isolation in older people, including	Community residents (50+)	programmes of creative, exercise and/or cultural activities with an	Depression: Geriatric Depression	mean scores $1.88(1.11 \text{ s.d})$ to 2.08	Evidence gaps:
encouraging creativity and	Exclusion (reasons listed):	emphasis on social interaction. Activity-based interventions are	Beach and output of the intervention	SF12 mental component change was	More intervention research applying controlled design is needed, looking
mentoring among the participants	No mental or physical health	provided, with visits from mentors initially on a weekly basis, and	(qualitatively assessed)	0.71 – not significant)	at this type of initiatives
Study design:	Motivation/ reformal/ normant:	regular telephone contact, which is gradually diminished as participants	Follow-up periods:	The qualitative data showed that the	Funding resources:
applying a mixed method	Poor vitront through a community	become more confident	At baseline, 6 months and 12	intervention was well-received by participants The data indicated a	The Big Lottery
	networking approach, including	Control: No control	months post intervention	wide range of responses (both physical and emotional), including	Applicable to UK?
Quanty score:	services staff, churches, voluntary	Sample sizes:	Method of analysis:	increased alertness, social activity, self-worth, optimism about life, and	Yes, implemented in UK
-	and the residential care/assisted	Assessed for eligibility:	Qualitative content analysis	positive changes in health behaviour	
External validity score:	Introductory leaflets and posters are also distributed through these outlets	N=229	Mean outcome scores were	Independence results	
-	also distributed through these outlets	Randomised:	up with separate analyses at 6 and 12 months using two-sided related	Not applicable	
		Not applicable	samples t-tests	Attrition:	
		Baseline data:		121/172 (70 %) at 12-month follow- up	
		Intervention group (n= 172)		-	
		Baseline comparisons:			
		Not applicable			

Study power:
Powered to achieve statistical significance
Intervention delivery:
Community-based intervention approach
Target group:
Older adults (50+), whose lives had changed or were about to change in some way (e.g. retirement, moving home, ageing or illness) and who found it difficult to keep in touch with the local community

Greenfield et al. 2012 First author and year: Setting: Method of allocation: Mental wellbeing measures: Wellbeing results Limitations (author): Greenfield 2012 OASIS program sites in the United Not included The perceived benefits of OASIS Caregivers were more likely to Data collected using self-report program participation were assessed report benefit on all measures (p <States survev: by 6 items designed to measure .05). **Country of study: Intervention**(s): psychosocial benefits of **Participants:** No information about participants' engagement: Analysis of caregiver status on the employment status not available; US My circle of friends has increased. summative psychosocial benefit Participants were selected from 18 OASIS programme which consists *My* outlook on life has improved. score found a statistically significant OASIS program (includes of community-based volunteer and The benefit scale may not capture Aim of study: I feel better about myself. difference in benefit scores, with community-based volunteer and educational activities all of the benefits specifically I feel that I have made a difference. educational activities) sites across caregivers reporting more benefit relevant to caregivers; To examine whether caregivers *My life feels more meaningful.* than non-caregivers ($\beta = 0.64$, US; report a greater benefit from Control: I feel more engaged in my t=3.85, p=.0013). Findings may not be generalisable participating in community-based community. The average age of caregivers was to the population other than already volunteer and educational Not included Each item was measured with a 5-The adjusted mean benefit score 70.5 years active class-takers and volunteers activities than non-caregivers point scale. The six items were was 20.63 for caregivers vs. 19.99 Sample sizes: summed to create a psychosocial for non caregivers. Study design: Inclusion: Limitations (review team): benefit score. 5092 participants of which 1022 **Independence results** Involved in the OASIS program Survey of a randomly selected were identified as caregivers Independence measures: OASIS participants Not applicable Exclusion (reasons listed): Assessed for eligibility: as above **Evidence gaps:** Not included Quality score: + Not reported Further examination of the benefits Randomised: of educational and volunteer Attrition: activities among caregivers is Motivation/ referral/ payment: Survey sample was randomly Other measures: selected from 12 000 OASIS needed. External validity score: Survey distributed to over Surveys were distributed by post participants Caregiver status; 12 000; responses received from To develop more programs focusing and email to randomly selected Intensity of caregiving (assessed on 5092 participants (41% response on encouraging engagement in OASIS participants Baseline data: a 4-point scale - from daily to less rate) educational and volunteer roles. than once a month); Due to the program's focus on Funding resources: health promotion 2 items assessing **Baseline comparisons:** health were included in the survey. Supported by The Atlantic Philanthropies and the John A. Caregivers were more likely than Follow-up periods: Hartford Foundation non caregivers to be female, married, and low-income; slightly Not applicable Applicable to UK? less active in OASIS activities (8.4 classes taken vs. 9.5 for non Method of analysis: Yes caregivers, and 6.8 volunteer hours vs. 7.1 for non caregivers). Descriptive statistics; Logistic

No difference between caregivers and non caregivers in terms of self- rated health, education or race.	regression analysis	
Study power:		
Not reported		
Intervention delivery:		
Not included		
Target group:		
Caregivers		

Hanser et al., 2011

		1			1
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation: Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
		applicable			
Hanser 2011	The study was conducted in		Psychological state: Self-report on a	Both care recipients and caregivers	Small sample size, no controls and no
	Massachusetts, USA.	Intervention(s):	Visual Analogue Scale (VAS).	experienced enhanced relaxation	repeated measures
Country of study:			Caregivers rated their own	during the treatment period by an	
		Music-facilitated stress reduction	relaxation, comfort and happiness,	average of 1.96 and 2.55 points,	Limitations (review team):
US		program designed as a low-cost	as well as their perception of these	respectively on the VAS scale.	
	Participants:	model whereby a music therapist	states in their care recipients		Self-reported measures on mental
Aim of study:		trains the family caregiver in		Care recipients and caregivers	wellbeing. Unclear what upper limit
	Family caregivers of individuals	strategies that are conducted in the	Caregiver burden: The 5-item	demonstrated an average increase of	of visual analogue scale is.
To test a caregiver-administered	with dementia. All caregivers were	home by the caregiver alone. In an	Caregiving Satisfaction Scale	1.60 and 1.86 points, respectively, in	
music program with family	over the age of 65 and lived with the	initial 2-hour training session, the	(Lawton, 1988), which address	comfort level	Evidence gaps:
members who have dementia	person with dementia. 5 of the 8	music therapist met with the	caregivers' feelings of enjoyment,		
	carers were women. Two of the	caregiver/care recipient dyad to	pleasure, appreciation, self-esteem	Happiness increased by .93 points in	More empirical evidence needed on
Study design:	carers were daughters.	discuss musical selections	and closeness within the relationship	care recipients and 1.45 points in	the effects of these kinds of
	_	appropriate for relaxation, and to	r	caregivers	interventions for individuals and
Exploratory feasibility study,		rehearse how the family member	Independence measures:		communities
applying an applied behavior		with dementia could be engaged	• • • • • • • • • • • •	Overall, caregivers experienced a	
analysis design	Inclusion	with the music. The emphasis was	Not applicable	greater benefit than care recipients	Funding resources:
	Inclusion.	on using music from the 1930s to	11	in all three areas by an average of	-
	Family caregivers of individuals	the 1960s as well as classical	Other measures:	1.37 points	The John A. Hartford Foundation
	with dementia	music			through the Hartford Geriatric Social
Quality score:	with deficitua	music.	Qualitative measures of quality of	Most of these positive changes were	Work Scholars Program
		Families were asked to listen to an	life, change in the relationship	found to be statistically significant	č
-		individualised CD together on 2	between family member and	as determined by Wilcoxon	
	Evolution (reasons listed):	days each week	caregiver and their satisfaction with	Matched-Pairs Signed Ranks tests	
External validity score:	EXCLUSION (FEASONS IISTED):	uays cach week.	the music program	Matched-1 and Orghed Ranks USIS	Applicable to UK?
-	None	Recommended number of sessions:	the music program	There was an overall decrease in	
-			Follow-up periods:	caregiving satisfaction over time:	Yes
		0-20	ronow up perious.	but these changes were not	
		Control: No control	At the completion of each music	statistically significant $(t = 15)$	
	Mativation (notannol (norm to	Control: No control	listening session caregivers were	statistically significant $(t=15)$	
	wouvauon/ referral/ payment:	Somula sizes Assessed for	asked to write anecdotal reports of	Independence results	
	Detential participants wars reit-1	Sample Sizes: Assessed for	responses to the music and	independence results	
	Foreinitial participants were recruited	engibility:	internetions with their formit	Net and leads	
	from memory disorder clinics,		interactions with their family	Not applicable	
	diagnostic centres, the Multicultural		member with dementia		

Coalition on Aging, and the	N= 14	Each caregiver was interviewed at	Attrition:	
Alzheimer's Association in the US		the end of the treatment period		
	Randomised:		Of the 14 recruited dyads, 8 were	
		Method of analysis:	able to complete or comply with the	
	Not applicable		project requirements long enough to	
		Mean baseline and treatment scores	provide sufficient data	
	Baseline data:	were compared, using the non-	1	
		parametric Wilcoxon Matched-Pairs		
	N=14	Signed Ranks test		
		C		
	Baseline comparisons:	Pre to post treatment Caregiving		
		Satisfaction Scale scores were		
	Not applicable	compared also with the Signed		
		Ranks Test		
	Study power:			
		Anecdotal reports from interviews		
	Not powered to achieve statistical	and comments on questionnaires		
	significance	were analysed through identifying		
		core themes in these data		
	Intervention delivery:			
	In the caregiver dyad's home by			
	person with musical therapy			
	experience			
	The sector			
	1 arget group:			
	Francisco e a la constante da la discidar e la			
	raining caregivers to individuals			
	with dementia (moderate or severe)			

Haslam 2014					
First author and year:	Setting: in each care community	Method of allocation: Randomly	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results:	Limitations (author)
·		assigned.			
Haslam, 2014	Participants: 40 participants living	-	Quality of life was measured by the	In the three groups, there were	Small sample size, people with
	either in independent living,	Intervention(s): 12 sessions for	Satisfaction with life Scale (Diener,	significant increases in life	severe mood disturbance were
Country of study:	retirement living or assisted care.	secular song reminiscence (n=13),	Emmons, Larsen and Griffin, 1985)	satisfaction at the post-test. Secular	excluded, this might have
Canada		sharing and singing along with	from 1 to 5 on a five-point scale	song group (p=0.005), religious	contributed to failing to find main
	Inclusion: All participants were	popular music from the 1920s to the	indicating higher mean values with	song group (p=0.018) and story	effects of the treatment. The absence
Aim of study:	required to consent independently,	1970s and brief conversations about	a stronger sense of wellbeing.	reminiscence group (p=0.01). The	of an index of depression.
To investigate the effects of	to have time in their schedules to	the songs.		largest improvement in life	
traditional story-based	take part in the interventions and to		Independence measures:	satisfaction was found in the	Limitations (review team):
reminiscence and novel forms of	have sufficient comprehension skills	Religious song-based reminiscence		religious song group : fro m 3.8 to	Participants were recruited from
song-based reminiscences.	as judged by village and activity	(n=13) was focused on all Christian	Not applicable	4.0, compared with the secular song	three different living arrangements
	staff.	songs selected by a chaplain from	0.1	group from 4.5 to 4.6 and the story	such as independent living,
		the 1920 to 1970s. Each session	Other measures:	group from 3.9 to 3.9.	retirement living, and assisted care.
Study design.	Exclusion (reasons listed): No	lasted 30 minutes for 12 sessions,	Cognitive performance enviety		However, the outcomes were not
Study design.	exclusion criteria applied	two times per week over 6 weeks.	Cognitive performance, anxiety	Independence results	reported separately. No evidence
Randomised controlled study	Mativation / referred / neverant: 40	Controls 12 standard stars	Follow-up periods:	Net englischle	that power calculations used to
Randonnised controlled study	participants were recruited from two	Control: 12 standard story	i onow-up perious.	Not applicable	determine sample size. It is not clear
	congregated living communities	held twice per week. Each accord	6 weeks	Attrition	whether all participants completed
	managed by the same parent	leated 20 minutes. The focus was on		Attrition.	information to account attend
Ouality score:	company	talking about past memories and	Method of analysis:	88% responded at the end	unidity
	company.	experiences with other people in the	·	sove responded at the end.	vandity.
-		group using props	Analyses of variance (ANOVAs).		Evidence gans: Future research can
		group using props			explore the impacts of the
External validity score:		Sample sizes: 40			programmes on older adults with
					different living arrangements to see
-		Assessed for eligibility:			whether it would be worth targeting
		6 ,			any particular group of people
		Not applicable.			any paraceum group or people.
		**			Funding resources:
		Randomised: Yes			3
					Sponsored by the Canadian Institute
		Baseline data: Higher in secular			for Advanced Research and funded
		song group for life satisfaction			by the Schlegel-UW Research
		scores, Story group: 3.9, Secular			Institute for Aging (Kitchener,
		song group: 4.5, Religious song			Ontario).

	group: 3.8		
	Baseline comparisons: the highest		Applicable to UK?
	score found in secular group.		Yes
	Study power:		
	Not known.		
	Intervention delivery:		
	A manualised intervention to		
	facilitate consistent delivery, containing details on the theme,		
	content, and structure of each		
	session.		
	Target group: older adults living in independent living, retirement living		
	and assisted living facilities.		

Honigh-de Vlaming et al. 2013 First author and year: Setting: Method of allocation: Mental wellbeing measures: Wellbeing results Limitations (author): Honigh-de Vlaming 2013 Community-based multi-component Not applicable Loneliness literacy: The Loneliness At two year follow up the Not RCT design intervention in the Netherlands Literacy Scale (Honigh- de Vlaming intervention group scored more et al., 2013) favourably than the control group on Country of study: The Netherlands Intervention(s): Large attrition rates loneliness literacy subscales: **Participants:** Loneliness: The De Jong Gierveld motivation mean scores 2.98 (SD = Aim of study: Intervention encompassing five Insufficient time to expect to see 0.74) vs 3.07 (SD = 0.77) (relative loneliness scale (1985) Older community dwelling adults in components: a mass media complex intervention translate into effect size -4.4%, 95% CI-8.3the Netherlands (mean age 74) campaign, information meetings for impact on loneliness outcomes. To study the effects of an -0.7) p<0.05, perceived social Social support: Social Support Listintervention targeting loneliness interested local elderly people. support mean scores 2.07 (SD = Interactions (SSL12-I, Kempen et psychosocial group courses for per-Limitations (review team): Inclusion: 0.77) vs 2.17 (0.80) (relative effect sons with mental health problems al., 1995) Study design: size -8.2%, 95% CI-13.6 - -2.4) (mild depressive symptoms) or Community-dwelling older adults Self-reported measurements on p<0.05 and subjective norm mean chronic diseases, social activation Independence measures: Quasi-experimental study residing in the study region mental wellbeing outcomes scores 2.44 (SD=1.00) vs 2.65 (SD by the community-based = 1.00) (relative effect size -11.5%, Neighbours Connected intervention Exclusion (reasons listed): Not applicable **Evidence gaps:** Quality score: 95% CI-17.4 - -5.4) p<0.05. and training of intermediaries (homecare nurses, municipal Institutionalised older adults Other measures: Involvement of representatives of No overall effects were observed for advisors, and volunteers) different segments of the local target social support and loneliness Socio-demographic variables population and intervention Motivation/ referral/ payment: External validity score: No significant effects was found on Control: Prevalence of chronic diseases providers during all stages of the social support and loneliness Self-rated health intervention is needed in the Participants were recruited by A control community was selected Intervention output - reach development, implementation and advertisements in the newspaper, **Independence results** with characteristics comparable to leaflets in the waiting room of evaluation of community the intervention community general practitioners (GP), and GP Follow-up periods: interventions In the control community, the usual Not applicable referral More attention should be given to municipal health and welfare vulnerable elderly people who are at Measurements pre and post services and social activities were Attrition: increased risk of becoming isolated intervention (2-year period) offered and lonely; these people, with the Intervention condition: 465/905 (51 highest needs, are the most difficult Method of analysis: Sample sizes: %) to reach Control condition: 481/899 (54 %) To evaluate the effect of the Assessed for eligibility: Funding resources: intervention, linear regression models were constructed with the From both the intervention and change scores as dependent variable. The Ministry of Public Health, control community, a random Welfare, and Sports (ZonMw project with an indicator variable for the sample of 1,350 nonnumber 7120.0001) intervention (intervention institutionalised elderly people aged community versus control 65 years and over was selected from community) as the effect measure. Applicable to UK? the municipal administration Adjustment was done for age and gender, followed by additional Yes Randomised: adjustment for mental health and

	Not applicable	church attendance (final model).	
	Baseline data:		
	N= 905 (intervention)		
	N=899 (control)		
	Baseline comparisons:		
	Baseline scores for loneliness and		
	social support did not differ		
	significantly between the		
	There were more participants with		
	neer montal health in the		
	intervention than in the control		
	(14%) versus $8%$ $p < 0.01$		
	group (14% versus 8%, p <0.01)		
	Study nowory		
	Study power:		
	Not powered to achieve statistical		
	significance		
	significance		
	Internetion dellement		
	Intervention derivery:		
	Interneted annual channelind		
	integrated approach was applied,		
	delivering intervention common at		
	to different target groups and in		
	different settings; and influencing a		
	range of outcomes		
	range of outcomes		
	Toward means		
	Larget group:		
	Both general older adult population,		
	as well as at risk older adults or		
	individuals suffering from mental		
	nearm problems		
			1

Lee 2010					
First author and year:	Setting: at a community centre	Method of allocation: Randomly	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results: After 4 weeks	Limitations (author): Small sample
		assigned with random number	Quality of life was measure by	there were significant improvements	size, not sure whether improved
Lee, 2010		generator.	version 2.0 of the SF-36 Hong-Kong	in vitality, social functioning,	quality life was due to the chosen
	.		in Chinese.	emotional role, and mental health	music or the Hawthorne effect. Only
Country of study:	Participants: older adults aged	Intervention(s):	.	between the intervention and the	one-site study, non-parametric tests
Hong Kong	between 65 and 90 with a mean age	XX7 11 11, 11, 1	Independence measures: Not	control groups (p<0.006).	used.
Aim of study:	of 76.3 years.	There was a choice of five types of	applicable	Terden and an an annulla	T :: (: ()
To assess the effectiveness of music		music. The chosen type was then	Other measures: Physical	Independence results	Limitations (review team):
intervention on the quality of life for		played for 30 minutes. The five	functioning physical role bodily	Not applicable	longer duration of intervention time
older adults	Inclusion:	types of music included meditative	pain general health	Not applicable	and follow-up periods and more
		music Chinese classical Asian	pani, general nearth.	Attrition:	exposure to the programme Sample
Study design: Randomised	Older adults living at home, who	classical. Western classical and slow	Follow-up periods: 4 weeks		size was slightly below power
controlled study	were alert, oriented and able to hear	jazz. A total of 62 musical pieces		4 out 70 people withdrew as they	calculation required sample size.
	and communicate verbally in	were loaded onto an MP3 player to	Method of analysis: The Shapiro-	didn't like the prepared music in the	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
Quality score:	Cantonese	allow participants to choose their	Wilk test, the Mann-Whitney U test	intervention group.	Evidence gaps: Parametric tests
		preferred music.	to test for group differences at each		taking into account confounding
++			time point.	5.7% dropped out	factors needed.
		Control: Older people who did not			
External validity score:	Exclusion (reasons listed):	take part in music intervention.			Funding resources: No specific
	No evolution criteria applied	~			grant from any funding agency in the
+	No exclusion criteria applied	Sample sizes:			public, commercial, or not-for-profit
		70 25 in each group			sectors.
		70 – 55 m each group			Applicable to UK2
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Assessed for eligibility:			Applicable to UK?
		rissessed for engloting.			Ves
		Older people living in community,			105
		who were able to hear and			
	40 by the same parent company.	communicate in Cantonese.			
		Randomised: yes			
		Baseline data: Baseline			
		comparisons: no significant			
		differences between the two groups.			
		Study power: Powered to achieve			
		Stady power rowered to demove			

statistical significance,. Needed 70	
to achieve 80% power at a 5% level	
of statistical significance.	
Intervention delivery: Participants	
were given a MP3 player with	
earphone and disposable ear pads.	
The music listening intervention	
was carried out in a quiet and restful	
environment without interruptions in	
the community centre with	
comfortable chairs and dim light.	
The researcher left the participant	
alone.	
Target group:	
Community-dwelling older adults	

Author Malekafzali et al 2010						
First author and year:	Sotting	Mothod of allocation:	Montal wallbaing massures:	Wollboing results	Limitations (author):	
First author and year.	Setting.	Withou of anocation.	Wientar wendenig measures.	wendering results	Limitations (autior).	
Malekafzali 2010	Ekbatan Complex in the western	Not clear from the description what	The questionnaire included items	Indicators related to mental health -	Participants were unlikely to be	
	part of Tehran, Iran.	method of allocation was used.	related to mental health, leisure	having a meaningful life and a	fully representative sample.	
Country of study:			time, group activity and nutrition.	feeling of happiness - for women, in		
	Participants:	Intervention(s):		all age groups, had increased after	Reduced sample size due to the lack	
Iran			The following dimension were listed	the interventions ($p=0.00$).	of cooperation of the older people	
	Older people from the Ekbatan	An educational intervention	in the questionnaire:	E 170 1 11 N.	during the interventions. The study	
Aim of study:	Complex	developed to promote older peoples'	T: 6	For women aged 70 and older, Not	was based on self-reported	
		health.	Life sansiaction	significantly different before and	hu the participante' recall	
To assess the effectiveness of	Inclusion:	Control	Not worried about the future	after the intervention $(p=0.004)$	by the participants recail.	
educational intervention design to	For the proliminary accomments	Control:	Feeling of happiness	While some 53% of the women	Limitations (review team): Lack of	
promote the health of older people.	For the preliminary assessments,	Not reported	Hope for the future	aged 60-69 before the interventions	standardised measures of mental	
Study dosign.	were selected	Not reported	1	reported that, they were happy most	wellbeing and independence.	
Study design.	were selected.	Sample sizes:	Spend time in leisure activities	of the time, following the		
Before and after study design	In order to evaluate the	Sumple Sizes.	Performance of exercise	intervention 78% reported feeling	Evidence gaps: Not reported	
before and after study design	interventions, all older people who	100 participants (86% women and	Different types of exercises	happy most of the time (p=0.01).		
Ouality score:	have received at least 3 pamphlets	24% men)			Funding resources: This project	
2	and had appropriate cooperation	,	Consumption of healthy foods	For men, -the feeling of happiness-	was supported by Tehran University	
-	with the research team members	Assessed for eligibility:	Avoidance of detrimental foods	was significantly different before	of Medical Science	
	were selected to answer the		Second food priority	and after the intervention ($p=0.05$).		
External validity score:	questionnaire (100 males and	Randomised:	Third food priority	increased after the intervention	Applicable to UK?	
	females).		Third food phoney	(n=0.01) After the intervention	N N N N N N N N N N	
+	Englasian (manager listad).	Not applicable	Participation in group activities	walking have decreased and	Possibly – may also be a model for	
	Exclusion (reasons listeu):		Club membership	aerobics and warming up	populations	
		Baseline data:		movements have increased (p=	populations	
				0.00).		
	Not reported	Needs assessment questionnaire	Independence measures:			
	The reported	niciuded, demographic details,	0.4	Similar results were found for men		
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	recreational activities and nutrition	Other measures:	indicating a significant decrease in		
			Fallow up porioda	walking after the intervention, and		
		Baseline comparisons:	ronow-up periods:	an increase in warm-ups and aerobics $(p=0.00)$. After the		
		The second se	Effect of the intervention assessed	intervention there was a significant		
		Study power:	after a 9-month period.	increase in the group activities		
			periodi	among older women (from 16.7%		
		Not reported	Method of analysis:	before the intervention to 61.5%		
				following the intervention (p=0.00).		
		Intervention delivery:	Descriptive statistics			
			Chi-square	As for the changes in food		
		Following the needs assessment,		preterences, only the second		

	collected data was analysed. Based	preference among women aged 60-	
	on the findings, educational	69 was significantly different from	
	intervention for improving the	before to after the intervention	
	health of older people was designed.	(p=0.05) (this change was from rice	
		before the intervention to vegetables	
	Volunteers attended a four-day	following the intervention)	
	training workshop	Tono (Ting the Inter (endon))	
	training workshop.	Indonandanaa rasulta	
	A ft	independence results	
	After achieving necessary	A +	
	knowledge and skill requirement,	Aurition:	
	the volunteers passed on their		
	knowledge to all older people in	Not reported	
	Ekbatan through different forms		
	including home visits and face to		
	face older people education; referral		
	to physicians; education through		
	distributing educational pamphlets		
	to older people; education through		
	arranging a general meeting-		
	question and answer session - with		
	the presence of the experts; and		
	attending exercising session.		
	Within a 9-month period of the		
	intervention, each participant		
	received at least four home visits.		
	During this time, volunteers were		
	monitored by the research team and		
	the effectiveness of the interventions		
	was measured through a		
	questionnaire.		
	Target group:		
	Older people		
	r r r r		
			1

Mehta 2004					
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation: Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author): Small sample
	8	applicable	5	0	size
Mehta 2004	A wellness centre dedicated to	11	Life satisfaction (Likert scale from	Content analysis highlighted the	
	promoting productive aging and	Intervention(s): Active senor centre	1-9)	differences in the life satisfaction	Limited generalizability to other
	enhancing the physical and mental	programme participants for more		and happiness level between regular	contexts and population groups. No
		than 18 months (regular members)	Psychological wellbeing	members and fresh members after	random assignment of participants to
Country of study:	health of the elderly.			joining the Good Life Programme	the groups. Self-reported and broad
Singapore		The centre provides a holistic and	Happiness level		non-standardised measures were used
	Participants:	broad array of programmes and		The mean score change in life	
		activities ranging from knowledge-	The questions on life satisfaction	satisfaction was reported as 3.7 and	Limitations (review team): Scarce
	Older adults aged 60 and older,	based and educational (cooking	and happiness level were adopted	0.0 for the regular and fresh	reporting
Aim of study:	women in majority, who participate	courses, balloon twisting) to social	from the Satisfaction With Life	members respectively; 4 out of 6	
	in the activities organized in the	and recreational (farms visits,	Scale (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, &	regular members showed at least	Evidence gaps: Future research is
To explore the psychological well-	community centre	potlucks, line dancing),	Griffin, 1985; Pavot & Diener,	44% increase in their life	needed on the well-being of older
being of older adults aged 60 and		physiological (massage facilities) to	1993)	satisfaction level after joining the	adults in the local context, in order to
older, participating in a senior	Inclusion:	interactional (intergenerational		programme.	better integrate them into society
centre programme	Older adults that participated in the	activities), personal wellness	The establishment of new		
	senior centre activities	(manicure, pedicure, facial, do-it-	friendships	The mean score change in happiness	Funding resources:
		yourself) to health care (basic health		was 2.8 for the regular members and	
Passarch questions:	Exclusion (reasons listed):	screening, cancer screening), as well	Questions on these domains were	0.2 for fresh members; 4 out of 6	Not reported
Research questions.	N-4 1:-4- 4	as community projects on	adopted from various instruments	regular members had shown at least	A 11 11 / 11720
1 Does the Good Life	Not listed	prevention of diseases such as	and applied in semi-structured	33% increase in their happiness	Applicable to UK?
programme have an	Mativation / referral / normants	Dengue Fever.	interviews	level after becoming programme	Limited applicability considering the
effect on older adults'	Wouvauon referrat payment.		Independence measures.	participants. Any statistical	context
psychological well-	Purposive sampling by the	Control: Centre programme	independence measures.	difference between groups was not	context
being?	coordinator of the project	participants for less than 6 months	Not applicable	reported.	
2. What is the added	econumitor of the project	(fresh members) served as a		Y. L L Ka	
approach in the		comparison group	Other measures:	Independence results	
delivery of the Good		Samuela ataun		Not applicable	
Life programme?		Sample sizes:	Socio-demographic factors	Not applicable	
		Assessed for aligibility: Not		Attrition:	
		applicable	Frequency and length of	Aution.	
Study design:		applicable	membership of the older adults at	Not reported	
		Randomised: Not applicable	Good Life Senior Centre	reported	
Qualitative comparative study		Randonised. Not appreable			
(exploratory study)		Baseline data: Group 1: N= 6.			

	Group 2: N= 6	Follow-up periods:	
Quality score:	Baseline comparisons: Not	Not applicable	
	applicable		
-		Method of analysis:	
	Study power: Not powered to		
External validity score:	achieve statistical significance	Content analysis of the semi-	
		structured interview material . Mean	
-	Intervention delivery: The Good	score calculations	
	Life @ South East was a project of		
	the Marine Parade Family Service		
	Centre (MPFSC), in collaboration		
	with the South East Community		
	Development Council (SECDC) and		
	Citizens' Consultative Committee		
	(CCC)		
	Target group: Older adults aged 60		
	and over who participate in the		
	activities organised in the		
	community centre		

Mui et al, 2013						
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results:	Limitations (author):	
Mui, 2013	Community senior centre or homes in New York	Not mentioned.	A focus group and a short questionnaire with closed and open-	100% of the volunteers "I feel empowered and happier because I	Future studies looking at older people living alone, with mental health	
Country of study: USA	Participants:	Intervention(s):	ended questions.	have the opportunity to serve others." And "I have developed a	problems, new immigrants etc.	
Aim of study: To evaluate the	-	A Phone Angel Programme,	Independence measures:	stronger sense of purpose in my life.	Limitations (review team):	
effect of a pilot programme for	Older Chinese immigrants with the	designed to address caregiver				
older Chinese immigrants on social engagement and social	mean age of 72.1(64-86) and with very low English proficiency	burden in Chinese immigrant families with additional stresses of	Not applicable.	I feel better about myself (67%)	No control group.	
support.	Mostly women (72%), married (89%), born in mainland China	linguistic and social isolation.	Other measures:	"My spouse and I have become more active in social activities	Generalisability issues to other ethnic groups	
Study design:	(94%). 72% reported their health	The Phone Angel programme was	Close/open-ended questionnaires for	(61%)."		
	condition was "fair", 22% good, 6%	deigned to train volunteers to serve	various perceived benefits of		Evidence gaps:	
Exploratory pilot study; survey	excellent.	as friendly volunteers for isolated	volunteering, rating options of	"My relationship with my family		
following intervention.		caregivers and provide them	"agree" or disagree or worse, same	has improved (72%)."	Funding resources:	

Study design:	(89%), born in mainland China (94%), 72% reported their health	The Phone Angel programme was	Close/open ended questionnaires for	more active in social activities (61%) "	groups
Study design.	condition was "fair", 22% good, 6%	deigned to train volunteers to serve	various perceived benefits of	(0170).	Evidence gaps:
Exploratory pilot study; survey following intervention.	Inclusion:	as friendly volunteers for isolated caregivers and provide them emotional and coping skill support	volunteering, rating options of "agree" or disagree or worse, same better"	"My relationship with my family has improved (72%)."	Funding resources:
Quality score:		in their native language.		"I have enlarged my social circle of	The Unite Hospital Fund
	willing to volunteer to help other	Training was comprised of 72 hour,		Irlends (83%).	Applicable to UK?
	Chinese immigrants with caregiving burden	intensive training sessions from Nov 2010 to Feb 2011 followed by	Follow-up periods:	Independence results	
External validity score:	Exclusion (reasons listed):	ongoing training sessions every 3-4 weeks. Volunteers were trained to	6 months	Not applicable.	Yes, potentially it could be applied to the Chinese immigrant population
TT	Not mentioned	provide telephone support to caregivers using Mandarin or	Method of analysis:	Attrition:	
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Cantonese, whichever language the caregiver had the most linguistic comfort with, at least once per	Mainly qualitative analyses	Older adults: 1/ 19 (5%)	
	A bilingual flyer with an overview	week.			
	the senior centre. The flyer included	Control:			
	information on the programme, the stipend, the 6-month commitment,	No-intervention control			
	the telephone support component.	Sample sizes:			
	Chinese caregivers of ill relatives with unmet needs were identified by the hospital based social worker and	19 (older adults)			
	referred to the senior centre social worker for matching with volunteers	Randomised: not applicable.			
	based on Chinese dialect and				

gender.	Baseline data:		
Phone Angel volunteers received a \$50 stipend after the intensive	Intervention (older adults): 19		
training programme and anther \$50 after 6 months of service.	Baseline comparisons:		
Volunteers could call Chinese	Not applicable.		
family caregivers from both the senior centre and their own homes.	Study power:		
Calling cards were provided to the Phone Angels so that they did not have to use their own phones, which	Not powered to achieve statistical significance		
protected their privacy.	Intervention delivery:		
	Initial training was conducted every 2 weeks but as volunteers gained confidence and a sense of competence in their role as volunteers for caregivers, training was spaced to 2 or 4 weeks apart.		
	Phone Angels were advised to keep calls to 30-60 min in duration and maintain the relationship over the phone rather than suggesting face- to- face contact.		
	Target group:		
	Chinese immigrants with extra burden of caring giving and social isolation, linguistic and cultural barriers.		

First author and year: O'Shea	Setting: The intervention was	Method of allocation: Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
2012	implementation across Ireland	applicable. Purpose sampling			
		method	Quality of life, Self-expression,	Both participants (87 %) and	The questionnaire and included
Country of study:	Participants: Around 100 000		Personal development, Critical	organisers (68 %) shared the view	question items were not tested for
Ireland	people across the country, mainly	Intervention(s): Bealtaine is a	appraisal, Social networking,	that Bealtaine facilitates self-	validity and reliability. Uncontrolled
	retired older people (65+)	month-long festival, held annually	Engagement with the community	expression among older people This	study design with purpose sampling
Aim of study:		during the month of May, to		was particularly evident in relation	methods
To evaluate a national arts festival	Inclusion:	celebrate creativity in older age. The	Independence measures: Not	to dance, visual art and creative	
called Bealtaine that celebrates	People retired from paid and unpaid	festival encompasses many art-	applicable	writing. 59 % of organisers	Limitations (review team):
creativity in older people each	work who are aged 65 years and	forms and includes both long-		perceived the impact of Bealtaine on	
year	over, but there is no exclusion	standing professionally facilitated	Other measures: Socio-	the personal development of older	Rather descriptive, uncontrolled study
	policy - younger older people may	arts programmes, sometimes using	demographic variables. Engagement	people to be strong or very strong.	with limited opportunities to measure
	also attend events in the festival	international co-ordinators and one-	with the arts. Type of		impact of the intervention. No
		off events linked to local	event/programme attended. Type of	89 % of participants agreed that	standardised measures, one-item
Study design:	Exclusion (reasons listed): No	organisations. Each year there is a	organisation. Level of involvement	participation in Bealtaine	questions only.
	exclusion criteria applied	unifying theme for the festival	among organisations	encouraged their personal	
Exploratory study. Two major		which various organisers across the		development in terms of enhanced	Evidence gaps: Social and health care
postal surveys and face to face	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	country can subsequently use, if	Follow-up periods:	learning and organisational skills.	systems often view public support for
interviews were used to assess the	The widely distributed Bealtaine	they wish, as a focus for their own			older people in terms of an illness
impact of the festival.	brochure lists the major events and	event.	None	86 % of the participants reported	paradigm, rather than a health-
	venues in each county and describes			that participation in Bealtaine has	enhancing framework. More holistic
	in more detail some of the high-	Control:	Method of analysis:	improved their quality of life. 67 %	approaches are needed in the
	profile activities. Inclusiveness is a	No control		of organisers believed that	promotion of mental health and social
Quality score:	major aim of the festival		The evaluation used quantitative and	participating in Bealtaine had a	inclusion among older people. More
		Sample sizes: Postal survey of all	qualitative methods to analyse two	strong impact on the quality of life	information is needed on the various
-		435 organisers of Bealtaine events	major postal surveys with organisers	of older participants including	pathways and transmission
		across the country. (Response rate	and consumers of the festival and	reducing loneliness, combats	mechanisms between creativity in
External validity score:		43%). Participant postal	face-to-face interviews with older	depression; increased social	older age and improved personal and
		questionnaires for older people sent	participants, artists and organisers	networking; pride in	public health.
++		to one randomly selected Active		skills/achievements	
		Retirement Association (ARA) in			Funding resources:
		each county in Ireland. The ARA		59 % of organisers saw strong	
		was asked to distribute the		effects on social networking among	None reported
		questionnaire to all of its members		the participants and 95 % of the	
		and a stamped addressed envelope		participants reported that they	Applicable to UK?
		was provided for the return of		agreed with the statement that	
		completed questionnaires to the		'participating in Bealtaine means	Yes
		researchers. 235 returned – 100%		that I have got to know people I	

O'Shea & Ni Léime 2012

response rate in some ARAa. 26 face to face interviews. Intervention delivery:	wouldn't otherwise have met' Those engaged in intergenerational projects mention that they have extended their social networks by	
organisation operates the festival. The intervention engaged different types of organisations, such as local authorities, libraries, educational institutions, health and social care organisations and voluntary bodies	87 % of the older participants agreed with the statement that 'participation in Bealtaine had increased their level of involvement in their community'	
For older people Events are organised both by older volunteers, arts officers, librarians, artists, facilitators and health-care workers. The intervention was delivered energy traded	Independence results Not applicable Attrition:	
Target group: People retired from paid and unpaid work who are aged 65 years and over	Qualitative interviews with older participants in various arts programmes, facilitators of arts programmes, artists and organisers of events: 26 face-to-face interviews conducted	
	Survey targeting 435 organisers of Bealtaine events across the country: 43 % response rate Survey targeting older participants:	
	253 completed questionnaires out of approx. 800	

Rosenbaum et al 2009						
First author and year:	Setting: Community activity café	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results: 27 individuals	Limitations (author):	
	for older people.		_	has high levels of restoration and 60		
Rosenbaum 2009		Not applicable	Hartig's 13-item Short- Version	low levels of restoration.	Do not have enough evidence to	
	Participants: 14 (16%) were under		Revised Perceived Restorativeness	Volunteering personal time at the	determine whether the lack of male	
Country of study:	60, 21 (23%) were 60 to 69, and 55		Scale (SPRS)	café was associated with high levels	presence in the restorative group was	
USA	(61%) were 70 to 89. 18 (20%) of	Intervention(s): Café represents a		of restoration. 14 of those who	due to the low sample size or to an	
	participants were male.	"hybrid third place," one between an	Respondent's perceived social	achieved high levels of restoration	unknown cause.	
Aim of study:		archetypical neighbourhood café	support from other customers from	(51%) volunteered compared to 14		
	Inclusion: None stated	and an older person's activity	the Social Support Questionnaire	(23% of those that did not achieve	Limitations (review team):	
To look at how a café that offers		centre. It offers its customers		restoration) P<0.001).	Convenience sample; one point in	
senior-age customers breakfast,	Exclusion (reasons listed):	breakfast, lunch, and snack options,	Transactions Scale (SSQT), which		time measurement only; associations	
lunch, coffee, snacks, and social		as well as myriad daily activities,	was refined for a third place diner	ANOVA where high or low	rather than demonstrating	
activities (e.g., exercise classes,	None stated	such as weight-lifting, yoga, art	by Rosenbaum (2006; Rosenbaum	restoration cluster membership	effectiveness of interventions.	
game clubs, computer classes, blood		classes, blood pressure screenings,	& Massiah, 2007).	independent variable and number of		
pressure screenings) has crafted an	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	computer classes and volunteering		activities dependent indicated this	Evidence gaps: Authors suggest	
environment where some of its		opportunities.	Independence measures:	was significant was significant:	future researchers are encouraged to	
customers sense its restorative	Each respondent received a small			F(1,85) = 4.72, p < .05).	continue to explore whether gender	
stimuli.	gift (valued at \$5) for participation	Control:	Other measures:	Respondents in the high restoration	influences restoration in commercial	
	in the study.	None		group participate in approximately	versus natural settings.	
Study design:			Follow-up periods: One time point	nine activities ($M = 9.26$, $SD =$		
		Sample sizes: Convenience sample	survey only	4.19), those in the low restoration	Funding resources:	
Survey		of 90 Café customers.		group participate in about seven (M		
Quell'ter exercis			Method of analysis: Cluster	= 7.46, SD $=$ 3.45). Respondents in	Applicable to UK? Yes such a cafe	
Quanty score: -		Assessed for eligibility: No	analysis then ANOVA and	the low restoration group had an	could exist	
External validity gaanse			MANOVA to look at associations	average social integration score of		
External valuity score: -		Baseline data: 90 participants; 72	between social support, activity	16 (M = 16.00, SD = 8.17), but		
		women and 18 men	participation and customer social	those in the high restoration group		
		Deseline comperisons:	support	had a score of nearly 23 ($M = 22.93$,		
		basenne comparisons:		SD = 11.89). The high restoration		
		Not applicable		cluster also had more social support		
				from other customers Wilks's		
		Study power: Not applicable		lambda = $.70$, F(2, 78) = 19.22, p		
		study power. Not appleable		<.001.		
		Intervention delivery: Community				
		café		Independence results		
				A 44 11		
		Target group: Older people		Aurition: $3/90 = 3\%$		
				1		

et al 2012	Saito et
------------	----------

First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Saito 2012	Public facility in City A located in the suburbs of Tokyo	Participants were randomly assigned to two groups	Indicators of subjective well-being, depression, and loneliness	The intervention had a significant positive effect on subjective well-	Small sample size; self selected participation in programme so
Country of study:			Subjective well-being was assessed	being measured by the LSI-A (p =	results may not be geralisable.
Japan	Participants:	Intervention(s): A group-based educational, cognitive, and social support program designed to prevent	by a 10-item Japanese version of the the LSI-A which measures the long- term cognitive evaluation of a	0.039), social support ($p = 0.013$), and familiarity with services scores ($p = 0.008$). A significant negative	Group allocation and analyses were not blinded.
Aim of study:	who experienced relocation within 2 years. The average age of	social isolation of older people who recently relocated	person's life as well as transient affective feelings (scores ranged	effect on the AOK loneliness scale $(p = 0.011)$ was found over the 6	Limitations: Review Team
To evaluated the effects of an	participants in the intervention		from 10 to 30). Loneliness was	months of the study period.	Not clear whether health or social
intervention program aimed at preventing social isolation,	group was 72.6. 40.0% were male and 45% were married.	Control: Randomly assigned control group	scale - a version of the revised	In the control group, the AOK score	care professionals play a role in service delivery.
loneliness, depression, and		Somula sizes	OCLA Ionenness scale.	at 11 significantly increased at 12 $(p<0.05)$ and the social support	Evidence conce
among elderly Japanese migrants.	Inclusion:	Sample sizes:	Indicators of social support, network and activity	score at T1 and T2 significantly	Evidence gaps:
Study design:	Older people who moved into City A within 2 years	n=21 (intervention group) n=42 (control group)	Social support was measured uings four items related to emotional	Additional subgroup analyses of a	To develop a variety of group-based programs targeted at specific groups utilise existing resources
Randomized controlled design	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Assessed for eligibility:	support and four items related to instrumental support provided by	high-risk group with AOK scores of 11 or above, found that the LSI-A	such as community volunteer organizations, and provide a
Quality score: ++	People who moved to residential facilities within 2 years	Randomised: Participants were randomly assigned to two groups with an allocation ratio of 1.2 for	such as family members, children who live apart from the participant,	scores of the intervention group at T1 increased significantly at the 6 month post-test (T3) $(p<0.05)$.	specially developed services for individuals who require greater social integration in the community
F 4	Motivation/ referral/ navment.	the intervention and control groups.	relatives, friends, or neighbours. A score of 1 was assigned to each for	No significant effect was found in	setting.
External validity score:+	Houvadon Telefrai paynent.	Baseline data: All participants in the intervention group were assessed for	each item if they received support from any informal networks, and a	the high-risk control group. In the low-risk intervention group with no	Funding resources:
		their health status, and 18 were found to be independent with	score of 0 if they received no support.	loneliness, only increased familiarity with services was	Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research C (17590535) from the Japan
		instrumental activities of daily living. Five participants (25.0%)	Social network was assessed with	significant (p<0.05).	Society for the Promotion of Science
		from the intervention group and 20 (50.0%) from the control group	frequency of face-to-face contact with friends or neighbours on a	Independence results	Applicable to UK?
		were categorised as having at least mild depressive status.	scale from 1 (no contact) to 6 (contact more than two times a	Not applicable	Yes
		Baseline comparisons:	week).	Attrition:	
		No statistical difference was found between the intervention and control groups in terms of participant characteristics at pre-test other than	Frequency of participation in group activities such as neighbourhood organisation, commercial organisation, hobby group, or	Intervention group: 1/21 (4.8%) Control group: 2/42 (4.8%)	

familianity with convises whi-t-	religious group was assessed	
rammarity with services, which was	rengious group was assessed with	
significantly higher in the control	one item that ranged from 1 (not	
group ($p = 0.041$).	participating) to 6 (participating	
	more than two times a week).	
Study power:		
, really re	Independence measures:	
Not concerted	mucpenuence measures.	
Not reported		
	Not included	
Intervention delivery:		
-	Other measures:	
Six weeks intervention period.		
bill weeks intervention periodi	Familiarity with the formal services	
	movided by City A was used	
The intervention consisted of 4 two-	provided by City A was used as a	
hour sessions. Sessions were	proxy measure for service	
conducted once every 2 weeks.	utilisation.	
The first session introduced the	Follow-up periods:	
intervention programmes and		
provided an opportunity for	Post test 1 month after intervention	
provided an opportunity for	(T2)	
participants to meet each other and	(12)	
staff. The second session was focus		
group discussion about relocation	Post-test 6 months after intervention	
experiences. The third session was	(T3)	
used to determine the activities that		
different participants were interested	Method of analysis:	
in. In the final session, participants	intented of unurybiot	
were taken on a sightseeing tour of	t taata Eichan's soort taata a l'	
City A to show them public	t-tests; Fisher's exact tests; a linear	
facilities and historical places	mixed-model analysis; Subgroup	
racinties and instorical places.	analyses by severity-of-loneliness	
	level were carried out to determine	
Target group:	whether the effect of the	
	intervention programme was	
Older people who recently relocated	different on people with different	
respice and recently resoluted	rick lavels. Wilcovon signed ronk	
	test used to test for these	
	test used to test for these	
	differences.	

Savundranayagam et al., 2011						
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation: Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author): Lack of	
	-	applicable	-	Group allocation was a significant	random assignment to treatment and	
Savundranayagam 2011	PTC classes offered in eight states		Caregiver burden:	predictor of stress and objective	comparison groups	
	of the US (California, Illinois, Iowa,	Intervention(s):	Montgomery et al. (2000) burden	burden (standardized co-efficient =		
Country of study: US	Montana, North Carolina, Oregon,	"Powerful Tools for Caregiving"	measure. Stress burden included five	0.14 and 0.12 p < 0.05 respectively);	Limitations (review team):	
	Washington, and Wisconsin)	Programme, an education	items such as anxiety and			
Aim of study: To investigate how		programme for family caregivers of	depression. Relationship burden	PTC participants reported	No general wellbeing measures	
the psychoeducational intervention	Participants: Spouse caregivers	older adults Based on a self-efficacy	included five items assessing the	significantly lower levels of stress	applied	
"Powerful Tools for Caregivers"	Average age of caregivers: 71 years	model, the program empowers	extent to which caregivers perceived	burden and objective burden than		
influences burden of spouse	in the PTC group and 65 years in the	family caregivers to reduce negative	care-receivers' behaviour as	comparison group participants post	Evidence gaps:	
caregivers.	comparison group. The majority of	effects of caregiving and to practice	manipulative and overly demanding.	intervention period.		
	caregivers (78%) were wives to the	self-care. 2.5 hour sessions, once a	Objective burden included six items		The mixed results regarding the	
Study design: Quasi-experimental	care receiver	week, over a 6-week period	assessing the extent to which care	There were no group differences for	impact of PTC on burden raise	
			demands infringed upon time or	relationship burden	questions about which aspects of	
Quality score:-	Inclusion: Caregivers and their		privacy that caregivers had for		PTC's curriculum are linked with	
	spouses	Control: Comparison group of	themselves and others	Independence results	decreases in objective burden and	
External validity score:-		spousal caregivers from the League			stress burden and why PTC did not	
	Exclusion (reasons listed): None	of Experienced Family Caregivers	Independence measures:	Not applicable	affect relationship burden	
		(LEFC), which is a registry of				
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	family caregivers who volunteer to	Not applicable	Attrition:	Future research needed on	
		share information about their			characteristics of caregivers who are	
	Spouses were recruited from PTC	caregiving experiences.	Other measures:	22 %	likely to benefit the most from PTC	
	classes offered in eight states				and similar programmes	
	(California, Illinois, Iowa, Montana,	Sample sizes:	Caregiver's general health status			
	North Carolina, Oregon,				More research needed on expanding	
	Washington, and Wisconsin)	Assessed for eligibility: Not	Length of caregiving		the programme target group to be	
	between April 2007 and December	reported			more culturally diverse	
	2008		Functional status of the Care			
		Randomised: Not applicable	receiver		Funding resources:	
		Baseline data:	Problem behaviours of the care		Hartford Foundation's Geriatric	
			receiver		Social Work Faculty Scholars	
		N=115 (intervention)			program and Helen Bader	
			Follow-up periods:		Foundation	
		N=95 (control)				
			Before and after the six-week			
			intervention			

	Baseline comparisons: Differences	Method of analysis:	Applicable to UK?
	were observed between both groups		
	on four characteristics; almost twice	Structural equation modelling	Yes
	as many comparison group	(SEM) using LISREL 8.8 was	
	participants (67%) than PTC	employed	
	participants (34%) had provided		
	care for five or more years; the	The inclusion of propensity scores	
	average score for self reported	in the analysis reduces the potential	
	health was higher for PTC	impact of baseline differences on the	
	participants than comparison	observed outcomes	
	participants. They were also less		
	educated and were caring for people		
	with less functional decline than		
	comparison group participants		
	Study power: Not powered to		
	achieve statistical significance		
	Intervention delivery:		
	The intervention was offered in		
	eight states (California, Illinois,		
	Iowa, Montana, North Carolina,		
	Oregon, Washington, and		
	Wisconsin) between April 2007 and		
	December 2008		
	-		
	Target group:		
	Second complete		
	Spousal caregivers		

Seinfeld 2013						
First author and year:	Setting: Community centre in	Method of allocation: Non-random	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):	
	Barcelona.	allocation process	C C			
Seinfeld 2013		Intervention(s):Weekly group	Quality of life: WHO QOL-BREF	For WHO QOL-BREF, a significant	Relatively small sample size. No	
	Participants: Healthy older adults	based piano lessons and individual		Group × Condition interaction was	random assignment of participants	
	aged 60 to 84	45 minutes daily practice for 4-	Profile of Mood States (POMS),	found in the psychological health	to the groups. The group class	
		months. This included learning	subscales such as tension, anger,	domain [$F(4.45)$, $p = 0.045$, $\eta 2p =$	format of the piano training makes it	
Country of study:	Inclusion:	musical theory, sight-reading and	fatigue	0.151).	difficult to determine whether some	
Spain	Older adults over the age of 60	playing a keyboard			of the observed effects were also	
	years, naïve to reading music or		Independence measures:	Psychological health scores (pre-	related to social interactions in the	
	playing a musical instrument and	Control: Participating in other types		programme mean score and SE:	weekly class	
	with no history of mental or	of leisure activities (e.g. physical	Not applicable	30.81 ± 0.53 ; post-programme mean		
Aim of study: To study the specific	cognitive disorders. Mean age in	exercise, computer lessons, painting		score and SE: 29.50 ± 0.33)	Limitations (review team):	
effects of musical training vs. the	both intervention and control group	lessons). 62% practiced more than	Other measures:	increased. Scores of the control		
effects of other leisure activities in	69. Another requirement for	one single physical activity per		group showed a tendency to	Limited measures of positive mental	
elderly people	participation was a high interest in	week and 83% also participated in	Depression: Beck depression	decrease or not to change maintain	wellbeing. Drop outs excluded from	
	playing the piano and making time	other types of academic and art	Inventory (BDI)	the same in psychological domains	analysis.	
To evaluate the impact of piano	for practice.	training.		(pre-programme mean score and SE:		
training on cognitive function, mood			Socio-demographic factors	23.50 ± 0.41 ; post-programme mean	Evidence gaps:	
and quality of life (QOL) in older	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Sample sizes: Assessed for	A	score and <i>SE</i> : 23.27 ± 0.56).		
adults		eligibility: N=41	Amount of time for practice		Future studies should explore the	
	Older adults suffering from any		Follow up porioda	For POMS there was a significant	effects of music training with larger	
	mental or cognitive disorder or who	Randomised: Not applicable	ronow-up periods.	Group \times Condition interaction in the	sample sizes, random assignment to	
Study designs	used psychoactive medications		Before and immediately after the	Fatigue factor[$F(6.86)$, p=0.015,	the group, and blinded examiners, to	
Study design:		Baseline data: Intervention (n=13),	intervention (after 4 months)	$\eta p2 = 0.20$] and in the total POMS	explore the generalisability of	
Quasi experimental study	Motivation/ referral/	Control (n=16)	intervention (arter 4 months)	score[$F(4.91)$, $p = 0.036$, $\eta 2p =$	results.	
Quasi-experimental study	payment:Participants were		Method of analysis:	0.16]. The fatigue scores (pre-		
	recruited from local community	Baseline comparisons: Not		programme mean score and SE:	Funding resources:	
	centres in the city of Barcelona. The	applicable	Analysis of data was carried out	4.23 ± 1.20 ; post-programme mean		
Quality score:	assignment of participants to the	Starder a community Net a community of	using 2-Group \times 2-Condition Split-	score and SE: 2.92 ± 0.70) and the	Agrupacio Mutua	
Quality sector	piano group was done upon	Study power: Not powered to	Plot Analysis of Variance	total score in the POMS (pre-		
+	motivation, level of interest for the	acmeve statistical significance	(ANOVAs)	programme mean score and SE:		
	activity, time available for practice	Intervention delivery Community		117.70 ± 7.18 ; post-programme	Applicable to UK?	
External validity score:	and fulfilment of the inclusion and	contro on a weakly basis by the		mean score and SE: 111.33 ± 6.23)	Applicable to OK.	
·	exclusion criteria. Matched controls	music teacher who had designed the		decreased from the pre-programme	Yes	
-	in other leigure activities for the 4	programme		to the post-programme assessment		
	in other leisure activities for the 4-	programme		in the piano group.		
	month that the study lasted. Plano					
				The control group showed the		

lessons were totally free.	Target group: Healthy older adults (60+) who were naïve to reading music or playing a musical instrument	opposite pattern, (pre-program mean score and SE: 104.31 ± 3.14 ; post- program mean score and SE: 106.93 ± 2.85) and fatigue (pre-program mean score and SE: 2.13 ± 0.55 ; post-program mean score and SE: 3.19 ± 0.58).	
		Attrition: 12/25 in piano group (48%)	

Sole 2010					
First author and year:	Setting: leisure centres	Method of allocation: Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
1 not addior and your	Second Contracts	applicable: Purpose sampling		i enseing resens	
Sole et al 2010	Participants: healthy older adults	method	Bespoke questionnaire of (range 0-	Using the bespoke questionnaire	Larger sample needed, semi-
	aged 65 and over with a mean age of		4) perceptions of change	there were participants in the three	structured interviews should be
Country of study:	72.6. 83 % were women and 17%	Intervention(s): 3 music		groups reported: feeling more	added in the future to capture more
Spain	were men. 51% 2343 married, 355	programmes including choir, music	Lawton's life satisfaction scale	useful: Choir 2.81, Music	subtle emotional aspects.
	widowed, monthly income: average	appreciation and preventive music	(PGC).	appreciation: 2.17, PMTP:3	-
Aim of study:	income was between €900-1200.	therapy (PMTP) sessions.			Limitations (review team):
			Independence measures:	Feeling more optimistic: Choir 2.81,	
	Inclusion: to live at home, to	Control: No control		Music appreciation 2.4, PMTP 3.25.	Uncontrolled relatively small
To evaluate and to compare the	maintain an independent life, and		Not applicable		sample study . Unclear ho w change
impact of three music programmes	not to have an major cognitive	Sample sizes: 83 older people. hoir:		Feeling satisfied with myself: Choir	questionnaire was developed and
on quality of life if healthy older	impairment	52; Music appreciation: 19;	Other measures:	2.81, Music appreciation 2.4, PMTP	whether validated.
people.		Preventive music therapy 19		3.25. There were no significant	
			The Yesavage depression Scale	dufferences between groups.	Evidence gaps:
Study design:	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Assessed for eligibility: Yes			
		Randomised: Not applicable	Follow-up periods:	New friendships: choir 3.03, music	Funding resources:
Before and after uncontrolled study	No exclusion criteria applied			appreciation 3.2, PMTP 3.27.	
		Baseline comparisons: Not	9 months		It was supported by a grant from
Quality score:	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	applicable	Mathad of an alasia	Life satisfaction increased pre and	Obra Social Caixa DE Sabadell.
		a	Wiethou of analysis:	posttest: 42.30 vs. 43.84 (no	
-	Participation was totally voluntary.	Study power: Not powered	Quantitative analyses at pretest and	significance reported.	
External validity gappa			Qualificative analyses at prefest and		
External valuity score:	The type of motivation: social	Intervention delivery: Choir:	positest.	Independence results	Applicable to UK:Potentially
_	reasons (to a good time with friends	weekly (recreational).		NT (1' 11	relevant
	and to make mends), cognitive	Music empresistion, weakly		Not applicable	
	develop my imagination to look for	(advastional)		Attrition	
	new knowledge)	(educational).		Attrition.	
	new knowledge).	Preventive music therapy (PMTP):		27 people did not return	
		weekly to work on functional skills		questionnaires at post-test	
		for physical cognitive and social-		questionnances at post testi	
		emotional aspects. All delivered by		=27/83 (32.5%)	
		music professionals.			
		r r			
		Target group: healthy older people			

Travers et al 2011

First author and year:	Setting: Individuals homes or	Method of allocation:Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
	elsewhere listening to radio	applicable			
Travers 2011	programme broadcast by Brisbane	Intervention(s): 'Silver Memories'	A single-question item was used to	No change on the loneliness	Relatively low level of loneliness
	community radio station .Accessible	a radio service with the specific aim	measure loneliness: 'How often do	question outcomes (Z=1.27, p=0.2).	and very low level of social
Country of study:	via internet or custom built radio.	of addressing social isolation and	you feel lonely?' Answers to this		isolation among participants in this
Australia		loneliness among older Australians	question ranged from always to	Other results	study may have left little room for
	Participants: Community-dwelling	by broadcasting music (primarily),	never, i.e. (1) I always feel lonely,		change and it is certainly possible
Aim of study:	older people as well as residents of	serials and other segments of radio	(2) I often feel lonely, (3) I	It should be noted that Quality of	that a different result would be
	care facilities. 61% lived in their	programs that were popular when	sometimes (occasionally) feel lonely	Life scores and Geriatric Depression	achieved in a more lonely, socially
To evaluate the impact of a radio	own homes. Mean age 79.9; 71%	they grew up - the 1920-1950s.	or (4) I never feel lonely	Scale scores improved from baseline	isolated group of older people.
programme on older listeners mood,	female. 25% visually impaired.			to follow up. Participants satisfied	
loneliness and quality of life		Control: None	Independence measures: None	generally as well.	Single question measure of
	Inclusion: Participant aged 60				loneliness perhaps not sensitive to
Study design:	years or older who agreed to listen	Sample sizes: Assessed for	Other measures: The quality of life	Attrition:	detect change
	to Silver Memories for an hour a	eligibility: See inclusion criteria	- Alzheimer's disease (QOL-AD;		
Before and after study	day for three month.		Logsdon, Gibbons, McCurry, &	Intervention group: 41/154=26%	Limitations (review team):
		Baseline data: 154 participants	Teri, 1999) scale for community-		
Quality score: -	Exclusion (reasons listed):	enrolled	dwelling residents' and QOL-AD		Very little empirical data on social
	Profoundly deaf, severe dementia		for use in nursing homes. Geriatric		isolation and loneliness presented;
External validity score: -	(Mini Mental State Examination	Baseline comparisons: Not	Depression Scale-5 (GDS-5; Hoyl et		quality of life measures may include
	<14) or unable to speak or	applicable	al., 1999) . Satisfaction with Silver		specific mental wellbeing measures
	comprehend English.		Memories		but not reported. Mixed population
		Study power: Not applicable			and unable to determine whether
	Motivation/ referral/ payment: All		Follow-up periods:		differences in impact based on
	participants received few radio for	Intervention delivery: Broadcast			health state etc.
	trial.Flyers advertising programme	every day for 3 months - minimum	3 months		
	widely distributed through	of 1 hour listening per day required.			Evidence gaps: See limitations
	community groups, social		Method of analysis: Non-		above
	organisations, local community.		parametric test (Wilcoxon signed-		
	Individual facilities, respite services		rank test) was used to analyse the		Funding resources: JO & JR
	and community organisations also	Target group: Older people	responses to the loneliness question		Wicking Trust
	approached directly to invite	without severe dementia	(non-normally distributed data) and		
	participation from their		compare baseline to follow-up		Applicable to UK?: Yes
	residents/members.		scores on this measure.		
Won et al, 2008					
---	---	---	--	--	--
First author and year:	Setting: Offered in a variety of	Method of allocation: Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
First author and year: Won 2008 Country of study: US Aim of study: To examine the impact on	Setting: Offered in a variety of community context venues throughout western Washington state, US, such as senior centres, senior apartments, church halls, and public libraries Participants: Adult informal caregivers to frail older adults (half of the sample was 65 years or older; 42 % spouse of the care recipient:	Method of allocation: Not applicable Intervention(s): The Powerful Tools for Caregiving Programme (PTC) . 6 weekly sessions Control: No control group	Mental wellbeing measures: Psychological well-being: The mental health index-5 (MHI-5) (Berwick et al., 1991) Independence measures: Not applicable Other measures: Health-risk behaviours (i.e. 1) putting off going to the doctor, 2) failing to stay in bed when ill 3) postponing getting	Wellbeing results Only 42 of 188 caregivers who completed the programme were over the age of 65. Psychological wellbeing on the MHI-5 scale improved significantly in these caregivers from 9.2 +/- 2.0 S.D to 10.3 +/- 2.0 S.D P<0.001. It can be noted that caregivers aged 65+ had less improvement in	Limitations (author): No control group No follow-up measures post intervention Limitations (review team): Heterogeneous group of caregivers and only minority above 65.
self-care skill-building, self-	91% were female)	Sample sizes.	regular check ups or exams, 4)	psychological well-being than the	Evidence gaps:
self-care skill-building, self- efficacy enhancing, community- based programme Study design: Exploratory design Quality score: - External validity score: -	 91% were female) Inclusion: Family caregivers to frail older adults Exclusion (reasons listed): Not reported Motivation/ referral/ payment: Family caregivers joined PTC workshops by responding to announcements at senior centres and in community newspapers or at the recommendation of senior centre social workers 	Assessed for eligibility: N=208 Randomised: Not applicable Baseline data: N=165 Baseline comparisons: Not applicable Study power: Not powered to achieve statistical significance Intervention delivery: See setting Target group: Adult informal caregivers of frail older adults	regular check ups or exams, 4) cancelling or missing medical appointments, 5) failing to get enough rest, 6) taking medications improperly, 7) failing to get enough exercise, 8) eating poorly, and 9) putting off recreational activities you enjoy) Self-care: Time spent on physical exercise and stress management or relaxation techniques Follow-up periods: Pre- and post- intervention Method of analysis: Student t-test or Mann- Whitney U test were used (for data not normally distributed) for continuous variables and chi- square tests for categorical data. Change from baseline (pre test to post test) assessed using the Wilcoxon Signed Rank test for continuous variables and McNemar's test for matched pairs for categorical variables. Multivariate linear regression analysis was used to identify independent correlates of change in the measured outcomes	<pre>psychological well-being than the younger subgroup (mean score change = +1.1 points vs. +1.9 points; Mann Whitney U P=0.008) Independence results Not applicable Attrition: Participants: 47/165 (28 %) Sessions: 58 of 118 participants (49%) attended all six sessions</pre>	Evidence gaps: RCTs needed that directly measure health status and health care utilization in order to provide unequivocal evidence for the efficacy of PTC on these outcomes Funding resources: The Washington state Aging and Adult Services Administration provided financial support for the program Applicable to UK? Yes

Table for Evidence Statements 2.1 to 2.4

Basran et al 2012					
First author and year:	Setting: University of	Method of allocation: Purposive	Mental wellbeing measures:	Attitudinal results	Limitations (author): Lack of
	Saskatchewan Medical School.	sampling method			randomised controlled trial. Small
Basran et al 2012			Not applicable	After the programme student	sample size meant that some
	Participants: 184 medical students.	Intervention(s): Longitudinal		attitudes towards a 80 year old man	differences in effectiveness between
Country of study:	Interprofessional teams of three to	Elderly Person Shadowing (LEPS) -	Independence measures:	and 80 year old woman were found	different medical professional
Canada	four students from medicine,	senior mentoring programme.		to have improved significantly with	groups may not have been detected.
	pharmacy, nutrition, nursing and		Not applicable	Polizzi's Aging Semantic	Insufficient numbers of social
Aim of study:	social work were partnered with 54	Control:		Differential Scores reducing (which	workers participated in the study.
To evaluate the long term impact on	older adult volunteers ("senior	No control	Other measures: Polizzi's Aging	indicates improvement). Post test	
health care professional attitudes of	partners") recruited from a older		Semantic Differential;	scores for the 80 year old man were	The follow up response rate of
a Senior Mentoring Programme - an	persons housing complex.	Sample sizes:	Interprofessional Education	66.54 (SD 19.27) compared with	63.7% is lower than the
intergenerational educational			Perception Scale; Student self rating	78.71 pre-intervention (p<0.01); for	recommended follow up response
intervention aimed at increasing	Inclusion:	Randomised: Not applicable	of impact on knowledge of	the woman scores were 56.61 (SD	rate of 70% to 80% in these
student health care professionals	Mandatory participation by all		geriatrics, interprofessional	18.87) and 69.47 (SD 15.06) p	programmes.
knowledge of older people and the	medical students at the university,	Baseline comparisons: Not	teamwork, resources for older	<0.01. Effect sizes were large with	
ageing process; improving attitudes	other than nursing students for	applicable	people, and ability to communicate	partial $\eta 2 = .28$ and .30 for an 80	Limitations (review team):
toward, comfort with, and respect of	whom the intervention is voluntary.		with older people on a scale of 1 to	year old man and woman	
older persons; and enhancing the		Study power:	5, with higher scores reflecting more	respectively.	Uncontrolled relatively small
skills required to work with older	Exclusion (reasons listed): No		positive perceptions. Students were		sample study
adults, such as assessment, listening,	exclusion criteria applied	Not powered to achieve statistical	also asked how beneficial they	Paired samples t-tests conducted	
and communication skills		significance	found various aspects of LEPS,	with Polizzi scores collected from	Evidence gaps: Need for longer
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:		from 1 (not at all beneficial) to 6	the 2009 cohort at one-year follow-	term larger scale follow up studies
Study design:	Mandatory for most students, with	Intervention delivery:	(very beneficial).	up found that though attitudes	where intervention a formal part of
	academic credits available to			deteriorated between posttest and	medical school curriculum. Will
Before and after uncontrolled study	nursing students to encourage their	3-4 medical students paired with a	Follow-up periods: One year	one year follow-up, follow-up	help also to identify whether
	voluntary participation.	community dwelling healthy older		scores were not significantly	different components of
Quality score:		person for four meetings over the	Method of analysis:	different from posttest scores for	programmes are more or less
		autumn academic term. Students		either an 80 year-old man, $t(31) = -$	effective.
-		also keep reflective diaries and	The evaluation used quantitative and	0.48, p = .34, or an 80-year-old	
		participate in two large-group	qualitative methods: surveys and	woman, $t(31) = -0.96$, $p = 0.64$.	Funding resources:
External validity score:		interprofessional meetings designed	focus groups with data collected pre		
		to integrate learning and allow an	programme, at the end of the	Paired samples t-tests comparing the	

++	opportunity to share their insights	intervention programme and 12	pretest scores with the one-year	None reported
	about their senior partners.	months later.	follow-up scores found no	
			significant difference for an 80-	
			year-old man, $t(32) = 1.45$, $p = 0.16$	
			but did find a significant different	Applicable to UK?
	Students met with their assigned		for an 80-year-old woman, t(33) =	
	senior partner 4 times in the autumn		2.67, p = 0.01.	Yes
	term using guidelines provided by			
	faculty to stimulate discussion. In		18/28 students in 2008, 40/68 in	
	Meeting 1, which occurred during		2009 and 26/20 in 2010 agreed or	
	the program's orientation dinner,		strongly agreed that the programme	
	students collected a general life		had better helped them to	
	history from their older partner. The		communicate with older people.	
	theme for Meeting 2 was "Living			
	Situations and Our Changing		Focus group work indicated that	
	World"; during this meeting,		"Many students felt participating in	
	students asked their older partner		LEPS increased their awareness of	
	about their living situation,		myths and helped reduce the	
	significant life events, changes in		stereotypes they held about older	
	the world over their life span, and		adults"	
	knowledge of available community			
	resources for seniors. In Meeting 3,		Independence results	
	students reviewed their solder			
	partners' knowledge about their		Not applicable	
	education, nutrition and physical			
	activities.			
	The final meeting between students		Attrition:	
	and their older partner was during			
	the program's wrap-up dinner and		Of the 184 medical students who	
	social event, which provided an		participated 141 completed post test	
	opportunity for students and senior		surveys but only 44.3% completed	
	partners to interact in an		one year follow up surveys.	
	unstructured, informal manner and			
	further develop their relationships.			
	Target group:			
	Medical students			

Bernard et al., 2011						
First author and year:	Setting: The homes of telementors	Method of allocation: Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results: Older adults,	Limitations (author):	
		applicable	Behaviour changes in self-	exhibited higher motivation and		
Bernard 2011	Participants: Older adults aged		confidence, self-expression,	compliance rates compared to	Small sample size	
	70 ± 7 years (range: 59-82) residing	Intervention(s):	enjoyment and confidence in	unemployed youth. All participants		
Country of study: Canada	in Ottawa, Canada; Young people	10 weekly, 1-hour, telementoring	carrying out a conversation in	(youth and seniors) highly valued	Limitations (review team):	
	(9 students, 9 unemployed youth)	sessions were offered to the	english, and sen-enfloady in overcoming barriers to	80%) particularly its inter-cultural		
Aim of study:	residing in Paris, France	participants.	pronunciation and communication	aspects as well as the relationships	No validated measurements on	
	Inclusion	Control: No control	Social relationships (structural or	they developed. Positive behavioural	mental wellbeing or social	
To evaluate a intergenerational	inclusion.	Control: No control	functional aspects)	shifts were observed after only 2 to	relationships	
on social interaction	Fighteen senior volunteer candidates	Sample sizes: Assessed for	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	4 sessions. No significance levels	No control design	
on social interaction	were recruited as telementors	eligibility: Not applicable	Independence measures: Not	reported, only based on descriptive	No control design	
Study design:	All exhibited some bilingual skills	englometri englometri	applicable	data	Evidence gaps:	
Study design.	(French/English), and were natives	Randomised: Not applicable			Evidence gaps.	
Exploratory uncontrolled study.	of the other language	11	Other measures: Basic	Independence results: Not	Further research on how	
applying both quantitative and		Baseline data: N=18 (Older adults),	demographic data on background	applicable	videoconference based	
qualitative analyses	Exclusion (reasons listed):	N= 18 (young people)	education, preferred leisure		telementoring may function as a tool	
			activities, existing language skills	Attrition: Participants: 2/18 (11%,	for a new field of medical research,	
Quality score:	None	Baseline comparisons: No	and computer literacy	older adults)	aiming at understanding how social	
		comparisons described	Follow up periods: Pro. and post	Sassions: Of a total of 180 sassions	relationships develop and also have	
-	Motivation/ referral/ payment:		programme questionnaires and/or	planned for an evaluation period of	an impact on the risk of health	
		Study power: Not powered to	direct observation data recorded by	ten weeks (90 sessions	problems	
External validity score:	The senior participants were	achieve statistical significance	the respective intergenerational	for each group), only 98 sessions		
	area in a seniors club, as well as	The second second Press	coordinators after each session.	(54%) were completed	Funding resources:	
-	residents of a long term care centre	Intervention delivery:				
	Some the individuals had	The DACE 2000 International	Method of analysis:		New Horizons for Seniors,	
	participated in previous activities	Foundation delivered the			Human Resources and Skills	
	of intergenerational video-	intervention Training was	The t-test and Chi squared analyses		Canada Works: The Ontario	
	conferencing group sessions;	provided.	were performed, along with		Trillium Foundation: E.E. Baulieu	
	interested participants enrolled at the	r · · · · · · · ·	observations and interview-based		MD. PhD. President of the Institut	
	end of an introductory presentation		qualitative analyses		pour la Longévité et le	
					Vieillissement; and Catherine	
		Target group:			Peyge, Mayor of the City	
					of Bobigny, France.	
		Older adults and young people				
		interested in intergenerational and			Applicable to UK?	
		intercultural interaction			X.	
					res	

de Souza et al., 2007					
First author and year:	Setting: Ceilandia, one of the	Method of allocation: A three	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results: For older	Limitations (author): Low number of
-	satellite cities of Brasilia	stage sampling design was used to	Cognitive components of social	people: Those in the intervention	older people in the intervention group
De Souza 2007		recruit participants;	capital, including questions on trust	group were nearly three times as	who actually participated in the
	Participants: Community-dwelling	The primary unit, one of the	and reciprocity: The American	likely as those in the control group	activities. Limited generalisability of
Country of study: Brazil	older adults (60 years and over) and	secondary schools of Ceilandia, was	Social General Social Survey	to report that "all or most	results. Using measures taken from
	students (age range 12-18 years)	chosen purposively based on the	(Kawachi, 1999) and the health	neighbours help each other" (OR	English and American questionnaires;
Aim of study:		number of students in the seventh	survey for England (Bajekal &	2.27, CI 1.249–4.131, p = 0.007)	may not have been appropriate for the
-	Inclusion: Older community-	and eighth grades and the	Purdon, 2001)	and "all or most people are honest"	population included in the study. The
To evaluate the effect of an	dwelling older adults (60+) living in	willingness of its head teacher to	Questions on family relationships	(rather than "few or none") (OR	clustering design of the sampling
intergenerational intervention	the school catchment area	co-operate with the study. All the		2.50, C11.26-4.93, p = 0.008)	method
involving school students and elders		other units were randomly selected	Independence measures:		
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	using a random numbers table		The intervention group were	Limitations (review team):
Study design:	Individuals already participating in		Not applicable	significantly more likely to report	
	reminiscence programmes, severe	Intervention(s): A 4-month		that their family relationships were	Dichotomisation of variables
RCT	alcoholism, severe speech	programme of intergenerational	Other measures:	good of Very good (OR 2.61, CI 1/4)	
	impairment, severe cognitive	sinal group-based activities in		1.21–3.01, p 1/4 0.014)	Evidence gaps:
Quality score:	impairment, or being bedridden	memories with the students. The	Self-rated health: The Brazilian Old	A	
		sessions were facilitated by seven	Age Scale (Veras, 1992)	Active participants were	More research needed on promotion
++	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	teachers from the school and a		significantly more likely than	of social capital. Conceptual and
	None reported	purse from the neighbouring health	Basic socio-demographic	family relationships (OP 2.70, CI	methodological work is needed to
External validity score:		centre. Sessions of approximately 2	measurements	1.07, 12.46, m = 0.020	refine and develop appropriate designs
e e		h were held once a week at school		1.07–13.40, p– 0.039).	for studies examining social capital.
+		during class time	Follow-up periods:	T. 1 1	Alternative instruments for social
		during class time		Independence results	capital in low-income countries need
		Control: No intervention control	Pre and post intervention	NY . 17 11	to be developed and validated.
		Control: No-Intervention control		Not applicable	
		Somula gizage 266 (alder adulta)	Method of analysis:		Funding resources:
		Dandomicade Intervention (older			
		adulta): 140 Control (older adulta):	Logistic regression analyses		CAPES, BEX 1213/99-7
		117 Descline data Intervention	Intention to Treat (ITT)-analyses	Attrition:	The UK Department for International
		(older adults): 149 Control (older	applied		Development Knowledge Programme
		adults): 117		Older adults: 29/ 266 (11 %)	
					Applicable to UK?
		Baseline comparisons: 66 % of the			
		intervention group and 81% of the			The intervention concept yes, but the
		control group reported that their			generalisability of the outcomes may
		income was insufficient to meet			be limited due to the delivery context
		their expenses			
		then expenses			
		Study nower:			
		Sound Ponor.			
		Not powered to achieve statistical			

	significance		
	Intervention delivery:		
	The intervention was facilitated by teachers, as well as a nurse from the neighbouring health centre		
	Target group:		
	Residents of the school district area		

Fujiwara et al. 2009						
First author and year: Fujiwara et al. 2009 Country of study: Japan Aim of study: To examine the effects of the REPRINTS intervention on senior volunteers' physical health, subjective and psychological health, social participation, social network, social support, and their cognitive functions. Study design: Before-and after (BA) study with a control group Quality score: + External validity score: -	 Setting: 6 public elementary schools, 3 kindergartens, and 6 child care centres in 3 areas: Chuo- Ward in central Tokyo, Tama-Ward in Kawasaki City in Kanagawa Prefecture, suburb of Tokyo, and Nagahama City in Shiga Prefecture. Participants: The average age of the participants was 68 years. Inclusion: Not specified although the participants were relatively healthy and independent. Exclusion (reasons listed: Collagen disease. Motivation/ referral/ payment: Participants were recruited through community newspapers, specially organised events, and word-of- mouth. The participants in the control group were recruited from various kinds of social activity clubs with adults other than REPRINTS. 	 Method of allocation: No randomised allocation reported Intervention(s): REPRINTS (Research of Productivity by Intergenerational Sympathy) Program designed to educate and engage senior volunteers in picture book reading to young and school- aged children. First the intervention group attended 3-month weekly training sessions . Control: Engaged in conventional social activities Sample sizes: 67 intervention group; 74 control group. Assessed for eligibility: 76 people applied for participation Randomised: Not applicable Baseline comparisons: No differences in age and gender. Volunteers were significantly more likely not to have grandchildren and to have more years of education. Study power: Not reported Intervention delivery: Volunteers divided into groups of 6-10 volunteers to visit 6 elementary schools, 3 kindergartens, and 6 after school care centres once a week or every two weeks. 	 Mental wellbeing measures: None Independence measures: Social activity was assessed by a social activity checklist developed for self-evaluation of social activity. Social network and social support: Social networks were assessed according to the amount of daily contact with individuals fitting into four different types of relations: relatives, business acquaintances, neighbours, and others. Social support was measured by a scale of four items developed to measure provided social support. Other measures: Self-rated health Mental health status assessed with Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS). 1 Follow-up periods: First follow-up: 9 months after the collection of baseline data; Second follow-up: 21 months after the baseline. Method of analysis: ANOVA; Chi-square; General linear models. 	Independence: 56 volunteers who were active in the programme for more than nine months were significantly more motivated to continue participation in order to make new friendships compared to the 11 volunteers who withdrew from the programme before nine months (67.9% versus 27.3%, p = 0.019). There were no significant differences between volunteers and control group (N=56) in social activities or in providing social support to other family members. At nine month follow up no differences in frequency of non- family contacts between volunteers and controls was seen except for contacts with children which increased from a mean of 1.6 (between less than once a month and a few times per month) (\pm 1.7 s.d) to 3.3 (between one and two times per week) (\pm 1.1 s.d) versus 1.6 (\pm 1.8 s.d) to 1.4 (\pm 1.5 s.d) resulting in a significant difference between volunteers and controls (p<0.001). At 21 month follow up for 37 volunteers still in the programme versus 60 controls, the frequency of interaction with children continued to increase significantly (p<0.001) (precise figures not reported – approximate values: 3.8 versus 1.7). Attrition: Intervention group = 11/67 (16.4%); Control group = 11/67 (16.4%); Control group =	 Limitations (author): Even though the participants were healthy elderly, a longer follow-up would needed to fully account for significantly higher scores on some dimensions between the intensive volunteers group and control group during the 21 months period. Limitations (review team): No randomised allocation to the intervention and control group. Evidence gaps:Long-term follow-up studies with larger sample sizes. Funding resources: Grants-in-aid from Comprehensive Research on Aging and Health, Ministry of Health, Welfare, and Labour, Japan; Nippon Life Insurance Foundation; and Mitsui Sumitomo Insurance Welfare Foundation. Applicable to UK? Yes 	
		in community				

Hernandez 2008					
First author and year:	Setting: community	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Nor applicable	Limitations (author):
Hernandez, 2008		Not applicable	Not applicable		The interaction was based on a deficiency (negative stereotype).
	Participants:			Attitudinal results:	
Country of study: Spain	Slightly depressed older people with a mean age of 75 years old and university students studying for a	Intervention(s): 32 interactive recreational activities sessions (talks, accursions, cultural	Independence measures:	In the post-test, young people with	
	degree in sport and exercise science	events) between the students and the	The applicable	older people showed that 4%	Limitations (review team):
	at the University of Leon, Spain.	older adults on a weekly basis in the		strongly agree, 36% agree, 48%	
Aim of study:		San Andres Local Council Social		disagree, and 12% strongly disagree.	Statistical significance was not
To explore the effects of an		Centres	Other (attitudinal) measures:		mentioned
intergenerational service-learning	Inclusion:				
programme with university students		Control:	The questionnaire for negative old	In the control group 4% strongly	
and slightly depress older people	T	University students in the control	1991)	agree with stereotypes 36% agree	Evidence gans:
	living alone over 65 and over	group: 100	1771)	46% disagree, and 14% strongly	L'indence gaps.
Study design:	average to low income, 8 years of schooling, complaint of slight depression, and a core of no more	Older adults in the control group: 67		disagree.	Exploring factors for how to reduce ageism
Before and after controlled study	than 18 on the Yesavage Depression				
	Scale (Yesavage, 1983).			The group of the young people that interacted with older people tended	
		Sample sizes:	Follow-up periods:	to reduce their stereotypes.	
Quality score:	Exclusion (reasons listed):	179 university students	32 weeks	However, the young people who did not interact with the older adults	Funding resources:
- External validity score:	People with severe mobility difficulties (need of a walking stick	101 older people		show also a tendency towards moderating their stereotypes.	None reponed
-	for standing) and/or under medication for depression		Method of analysis:		Applicable to UK?
			Simple descriptive analyses,		••

	Assessed for eligibility:	expressed as percentage	Independence results	Yes
Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Yes		Not applicable	
University students studying for a degree in sport and exercise science	Randomised:		Attrition:	
	Not applicable		Not reported	
	Baseline data:			
	Baseline comparisons:			
	Young people with older people: in the pre-test, strongly agree (6%), agree (39%), disagree (44%), and strongly disagree (11%).			
	Young people in control group: in the pre-test, strongly agree (6%), agree (31%), disagree (43%), and strongly disagree (20%).			
	Study power: Not reported			
	Intervention delivery:			
	The intergenerational interaction			

Herrmann et al., 2005					
First author and year:	Setting: Schools and older persons	Method of allocation: Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
	centres	applicable	_	-	
Herrmann 2005			Psychosocial wellbeing: The	Participation in intergenerational	Heterogeneous group with varying
	Participants:	Intervention (s): 1. A violence-	Measures of Psychosocial	programming appeared to influence	psychosocial health status between
Country of study:		anger-reduction intervention	Development (MPD, Hawley, 1988)	generativity. Volunteers engaged in	the group of volunteers
	36 retired senior citizen volunteers	supervised by trained senior	scales. Only four of the scales were	violence/anger-reduction curriculum	
US	aged 60 to 81 participated as trainers	volunteers. 2. Vocational-education	used including generativity versus	demonstrated significantly higher	Limitations (review team):
	in the project 18 trainers were	supervised by trained senior	stagnation scales – generativity (a	component of psychosocial health	N (DOT 1)
Aim of study:	reduction curriculum and 18 were	volunteers Duration: Twice per	development)	measurement at post test compared	Not RC1 design
	assigned to teach a vocational-	week for 8 weeks	de velopment)	to non-participants (F $(1, 54)=10.37$	Evidence conce
To determine if a group of senior	education and career-development		Independence measures:	$p<0.005$, $n^2=0.16$, large effect size)	Evidence gaps:
an intergenerational program with	curriculum. The groups of students	Sample sizes:	-independence incubil est	This change was not found in the	More research applying measures on
students would show changes in	consisted of 8 to 12 sixth grade	•	Not applicable	other group of volunteer trainers	psychological wellbeing when
their psychosocial wellbeing as a	students.	Assessed for eligibility: N=66	11		evaluating intergenerational
result of their participation			Other measures:	Independence results	programmes. More research
Also to determine if the specific	Inclusion:	Randomised: Not applicable			comparing participation in different
program content would influence			Socio-demographic characteristics	Not applicable	types of intergenerational
the direction or way in which	Older adults interested in	Baseline data: N= 36 (senior			programmes.
psychosocial change occurred	participating in the programme	volunteers), N= 30 (non-		Attrition:	
		participants)	Follow-up periods:	O(1) = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1	Funding resources
Study design:	Exclusion (reasons listed):			Older adults: 11/06 (17 %)	
Oursei enverimentel		Baseline comparisons:	pre- and post-intervention		Not reported
Quasi-experimental	Not reported	The conjour who were trainers were	measurements		
Auglity score:		already more healthy than the non	Mathad of analysis		Applicable to UK?
Quality score.	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	trainers in terms of psychosocial	Method of analysis:		V
±	Service to income and a service of formers	health status	Synthesising qualitative interview		res
Т	Senior trainers were recruited from a	nourin Status	and quantitative (descriptive) data		
External validity score:	Advertisements were placed in	Study power: Not powered to	and quantitative (descriptive) data		
	community newspapers	achieve statistical significance.	One-way MANCOVA with		
+	announcements were made on a		treatment group (trainer versus non-		
	local cable TV station,	Intervention delivery: Community-	trainer) serving as the independent		
	and flyers were distributed at a	based project in collaboration with	variable, MPD as the dependent		
	community senior centre asking	e.g. schools and senior centres	variable and respective pre-test		
	seniors to volunteer for an	—	scores as covariates		
	"intergenerational project working	Target group: Older adults			
	with community youth?.	interested in participating in the			
		programme			

Kamei et al 2011 First author and year: Setting: The sessions were held at Method of allocation: Not reported Mental wellbeing measures: Wellbeing results Limitations (author): the St. Luke's College of Nursing, Kamei (2011) Tokvo, Japan. **Intervention(s):** Intergenerational Medical Outcomes Study 8-Item In terms of health-related quality of The participants were a convenience day programme (IDP) consisting of Short-Form Health Survey: The SFlife at 3 months and 6 months post sample in one urban community and **Country of study: Participants:** 22 program sessions over 6 months 8 is the eight-domain evaluation of programme older adults had the room capacity limited the sample significantly improved mental health size. Some children's perceptions HRQOL with each of the eight Participants recruited from Chuo-ku Control: 8 programme volunteers items covering a wide range of (F[2.26] = 4.00, p = 0.030).might have been influenced by the Japan health indicator related functions. experiences with their grandparents. - urban community in Tokyo. A The participant observation method group of 14 community dwelling Independence results **Sample sizes:** Older people (n = Aim of study: had some limitations. There were older people (average age 75.6 14), program volunteers (n = 8), and **Independence measures:** also issues related to the years), 8 programme volunteers school children (n = 7). Other measures: To evaluate the effects of the programmes sustainability. (average age 68.6 years), and 7 Other measures: intergenerational interactions school children (average age 9.9 Assessed for eligibility: Not The older adults group was between the older adults and vears) took part in the intervention. Limitations (review team): reported Geriatric Depression Scale-15: The significantly more satisfied with the children who participated in an GDS-15 has 15 items and a 0–15 intervention than the programme intergenerational day programme Inclusion: point rating scale. Higher scores volunteer group at 6 months (t [20] Eligibility criteria not clearly (IDP). Randomised: Not applicable indicate higher levels of depression. = 3.66; p = 0.002). Older people defined: lack of standardised measures to assess the participants' An eligible convenience sample of The cut-off score of ≥ 5 is accepted were found to participate Study design: Baseline comparisons: Blood satisfaction with the program; only seniors, volunteers and primary for the screening of mild, moderate, significantly more compared to the pressure, mental status, number of school children. programme volunteer's group female participants. family members living in the and severe depression. Qualitative and quantitative mixed-(M=16.7 SD=4.1 vs. M=6.3 participant's home, and fall risk. methods approach SD=2.9; p<0.001). **Evidence gaps:** Exclusion (reasons listed): The level of program satisfaction was assessed with an original Study power: Not reported Quality score: questionnaire of one item with an 11 The score on the 11 point (0-10) Not reported Excluded were 2 older people who were unable to completely respond point score ranging from 0 (not at all program satisfaction scale for the Intervention delivery: The older adult group was significantly to the questionnaires; 2 programme satisfied) to 10 (very much Funding resources: intervention consisted of weekly 3 higher than that of the program volunteers who did not complete the satisfied). hours IDP including External validity score: volunteer group at 6 months (t [20] questionnaires; and one child that Funded by Shigeo and Megumi intergenerational group activities, = 3.66, p = 0.002).was absent for 21 weeks. Two semantic differential scales Takayama Foundation (2007 such as communication facilitation onwards) and Meiji Yasuda Kokorogames and handicrafts. The first half were used to assess the program The children rated older adults Motivation/ referral/ payment: outcomes in terms of the changes in no Zaidan (2007-2008), Japan. of the sessions was older-adult the children's perceptions of the highly on the five-point semantic centred as children were only able to differential scale but their Participants were recruited through attend the sessions after school. older people and their enjoyment of Applicable to UK? perceptions were not significantly the program. Children could respond posters, brochures, and notices on through interviews and self-reports. different. the website. Activities included communication Yes facilitation game programme; quilt Follow-up periods: Attrition: work, tapestry-making; playing card games; Japanese poetry (haiku); intergenerational new calligraphy; 3 and 6 months 12.5% (2 of 16 older adults not able to take part), 12.5% (1 out of 8) aromatherapy hand massage and

228

	aromatherapy hand cream creation; making photograph frames; singing	Method of analysis:	children, and 20% (2 out of 10) volunteers.	
	and "singing" with sign language; and playing games from the seniors' childhood.	Thematic analysis of the qualitative data collected through interviews and participant observations.		
	At the beginning of each weekly session the nurses assessed older peoples' physical and mental condition.	ANOVA repeated measures		
	Target group:			
	Older people and school-aged children			

	Baseline data:		
	Baseline comparisons: No		
	statistically significant differences		
	between groups for longlings		
	between groups for ionenness.		
	Study power: Not powered to		
	achieve statistical significance		
	Intervention delivery: At no cost		
	to the older adults, a computer		
	centre on the ground floor of their		
	apartment building (complete with		
	free tech support) and one to one		
	email tutorial sessions were offered		
	Sessions lasted from 45 minutes to		
	sessions lasted from 45 minutes to		
	one nour. The computer centre		
	remained open 24 hours per day.		
	In the visiting programme: a group		
	of 20 elementary school children (7-		
	11 years old) visited on a monthly		
	basis for 8 times. Each month, a		
	reminder flyer was sent to each		
	older people's mailbox 2 days		
	before a visit. Each visit lasted 90		
	minutes. Activities consisted of a		
	talent show, playing board games		
	group sing-alongs solving a		
	crossword puzzle 1 to 1 interviews		
	of the older recents has the objit		
	of the older people by the children.		
	Larget group: older adults living in		
	senior apartment.		

Morita et al., 2013					
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation: Time-	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Morita 2013	An adult day care centre in Tokyo	sampling Intervention(s):	Intergenerational conversation	Constructive behaviour and	Cross-sectional research design,
Country of study:	Participants:	Intergenerational programmes with	Independence measures:	significantly higher in the social- oriented programme group than the	of participants in intergenerational programs, the effect of continuity of
Japan	Older adults aged 71 to 101 years (mean: 85), 80 % female	preschool children aged 5 to 6 years at an adult day care centre in Tokyo	Not applicable	performance-based programme group (p<0.001, no specific	the programs could not be determined Small sample size
Aim of study:	Inclusion:	The 25 older participants of intergenerational programs were	Other measures:	comparing figures provided)	
To determine a desirable		divided into two groups based on	Visual attention	Independence results	No randomisation
interaction style for older adults, brought about by a performance based	Healthy older adults living independently	their interaction style: Performance- based intergenerational programme (children sing songs and dance for	Facial expression Engagement/behaviour	Not applicable	Limitations (review team):
intergenerational programme and a social-oriented programme	Exclusion (reasons listed):	the older people, n=11) and Social- oriented intergenerational	Follow-up periods:	Attrition:	Lacks in detailed reporting Limited mental wellbeing
Study design:	Those who required assistance with their daily activities due to	programme (older adults and children play games together, n=14)	One-point measurements only	Not reported	measurements
Structured observation study	symptoms of severe cognitive impairment	Control: No control	Method of analysis:		Evidence gaps:
Quality score:	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Sample sizes: Assessed for eligibility: N= 25	Based on 5-minute video observations, changes in visual		Development of new programs which attract the participation of both older adults and children needed
-	Divided into two groups based on their interaction style	Randomised: Not applicable	attention, facial expression, engagement/behaviour, and		Intergenerational programs should be
External validity score:		Baseline data: N=11 (performance-	between the performance-based and		principles of contact theory (support
-		based programme) N=14 (social-oriented programme)	social-oriented programs were compared		from authority, common goals, cooperation, equal group status, and concertunity for friendship) are
		Baseline comparisons: There were	Pearson's χ2 test and the Mann– Whitney U test were used		essential
		characteristics between the performance-based and social-			Funding resources:
		oriented programme groups			This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22792257
		Study power: Not powered to achieve statistical significance			Applicable to UK?
		Intervention delivery: In an adult day care centre in Tokyo, Japan			Yes
		Target group: Healthy independent older adults			

Mui et al, 2013

First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results:	Limitations (author):
Mui, 2013	Community senior centre or homes	Not mentioned.	A focus group and a short	100% of the volunteers "I feel	Future studies looking at older people
Country of study: USA	III New TOIK	Intermention(s):	ended questions	have the opportunity to serve	problems new immigrants etc
Country of study: USA	Particinants:	Intervention(s):	chucu questions.	others " And "I have developed a	problems, new minigrants etc.
Aim of study:	i ai ucipants.	A Phone Angel Programme	Independence measures:	stronger sense of purpose in my life.	Limitations (review team):
Ann of study.	Older Chinese immigrants with the	designed to address caregiver	independence incusures.		
To evaluate the effect of a pilot	mean age of 72.1(64-86) and with	burden in Chinese immigrant	Not applicable.	I feel better about myself (67%)	No control group.
programme for older Chinese	very low English proficiency.	families with additional stresses of	- ··· ····		gr
immigrants on social engagement	Mostly women (72%), married	linguistic and social isolation.	Other measures:	"My spouse and I have become	Generalisability issues to other ethnic
and social support.	(89%), born in mainland China	-		more active in social activities	groups
**	(94%). 72% reported their health	The Phone Angel programme was	Close/open-ended questionnaires for	(61%)."	•
Study design:	condition was "fair", 22% good, 6%	deigned to train volunteers to serve	various perceived benefits of		Evidence gaps:
	excellent.	as friendly volunteers for isolated	volunteering, rating options of	"My relationship with my family	
Exploratory pilot study; survey		caregivers and provide them	"agree" or disagree or worse, same	has improved (72%)."	Funding resources:
following intervention.	Inclusion:	emotional and coping skill support	better"		0
		in their native language.		"I have enlarged my social circle of	The Unite Hospital Fund
Quality score:	Community-dwelling older adults	T		friends (83%)."	1
	willing to volunteer to help other	Training was comprised of 72 hour,			Applicable to UK?
-	Chinese immigrants with caregiving	intensive training sessions from Nov	Follow-up periods:	Independence results	••
	burden	2010 to Feb 2011, followed by			Yes, potentially it could be applied to
External validity score:		weeks. Volunteers were trained to	6 months	Not applicable.	the Chinese immigrant population
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	provide telephone support to			
++	Not montioned	caregivers using Mandarin or	Method of analysis:	Attrition:	
	Not mentioned.	Cantonese, whichever language the			
	Mativation / referral / normant	caregiver had the most linguistic	Mainly qualitative analyses	Older adults: 1/ 19 (5%)	
	Wouvauon/ referral/ payment:	comfort with, at least once per			
	A bilingual flyer with an overview	week.			
	of the programme was distributed at				
	the senior centre. The flyer included	Control:			
	information on the programme, the				
	stipend, the 6-month commitment,	No-intervention control			
	the telephone support component.				
		Sample sizes:			
	Chinese caregivers of ill relatives				
	with unmet needs were identified by	19 (older adults)			
	the hospital-based social worker and				
	referred to the senior centre social	Randomised: not applicable.			
	worker for matching with volunteers				
	based on Chinese dialect and				

gender	Baseline data:		
gender.	Dasenne data.		
Phone Angel volunteers received a	Intervention (older adults): 10		
\$50 stipend after the intensive	intervention (older addits). 19		
training programme and anther \$50	Deceline composizonal		
after 6 months of service	Baseline comparisons:		
after o monthis of service.	NT (
Voluntaors could call Chinage	Not applicable.		
family agregivers from both the			
sonior contro and their own homes	Study power:		
Calling cards were provided to the			
Phone Angels so that they did not	Not powered to achieve statistical		
have to use their own phones which	significance		
protected their privacy	T (1)		
protected atom privacy:	Intervention delivery:		
	T '.' 1, ' ' 1, 1, 1		
	Initial training was conducted every		
	2 weeks but as volunteers gained		
	compatence and a sense of		
	volunteers for caregivers, training		
	was spaced to 2 or 4 weeks apart		
	was spaced to 2 of 4 weeks apart.		
	Phone Angels were advised to keep		
	calls to 30-60 min in duration and		
	maintain the relationship over the		
	phone rather than suggesting face-		
	to- face contact.		
	Target group:		
	•		
	Chinese immigrants with extra		
	burden of caring giving and social		
	isolation, linguistic and cultural		
	barriers.		

Power et al (2007)						
First author and year:	Setting: 22 acre intentional planned	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results:	Limitations (author)	
	intergenerational neighbourhood					
Power, 2007	where families adopt or foster	Not applicable	Qualitative interviews	They felt joy, happiness when being	Not stated	
~	children.			around with children. They also		
Country of study:		•		gave and received help from other	Limitations (review team):	
USA		Intervention(s):	Indonondonos moscuras	neighbours when they needed	Langer complemented	
Aim of study. To explore the	Participanta Two unrelated alder	Hone Mandows is an	independence measures:	personal care support and	Transforability issues of the findings	
importance of social participation	adults one man aged 70 and one	intergenerational community	Not applicable	transportation and so on.	in other country contexts	
and wellness through the stories of	woman aged 80 who were friends	Initially residents at Hope Meadows	Not applicable	They increased sense of purpose in	in other country contexts.	
two older people at an	woman aged 80 who were menus	agreed to adopt 3 or 4 children from		life and self-worth though	Evidence gans:	
intergenerational community called		the foster care system and they		volunteering activities for children	Z maine gapsi	
the Hope Meadows.		received their housing free and one	Other measures:	and younger generations.	Funding resources:	
I	Inclusion:	of parents was paid a salary. Older				
	Not stated.	adults volunteered to provide 6	Not applicable.	Independence results	Applicable to UK?	
		hours per week and paid rent, which		-		
Study design: Qualitative study		was lower than the market price for		Not applicable	Probably not. Depends on the	
		their housing. The volunteering			housing market's capacity to build	
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	activities could vary depending on	Follow-up periods:	Attrition:	such a community and also whether	
	XY 1 1 1 1 1 1	individuals' capacities such as	9 voors		such a location which is specifically	
Quality score:	No exclusion criteria applied	fixing bikes for children, teaching	o years	One person passed away due to pre-	set aside for fostering and adopting	
		sewing, cooking and so on.		existing physical illnesses.	children would be considered	
-					appropriate	
External validity score:	Motivation/ referral/ navment:	Control:.not applicable.	Method of analysis:			
	1.100. (uuloii) 10101101, pugiiloitu	Sample sizes: 2	·			
-	Older people are required to provide	Sample Sizes. 2	An interpretive ethnographic			
	six hours per week of volunteer time	Assessed for eligibility: Not	framework.			
	and, in return, pay below-market	applicable.				
	rent for their housing					
		Randomised: not applicable				
		Study power: not applicable				

First author and year:	Setting: The research was	Method of allocation: Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
	conducted in 2 large cities in Texas,	applicable			
Scott 2003	US. The interviews were mainly		Generativity: The Loyola	The four volunteer/non-volunteer	Small sample size
	conducted in the childcare centres or		Generativity Scale (McAdams & de	groups differed in their levels of	
Country of study:	via telephone	Intervention(s): The participants of	St. Aubin, 1992)	generativity, based both on a one-	Groups recruited from different
US		the study were engaged in one of the		way analysis of variance (ANOVA)	cities
		following interventions:	Life Satisfaction: Diener's brief	for unadjusted means $(F[3, 97] =$	
Aim of study: To evaluate "Young			(five-item) Satisfaction with Life	5.94, $p = .001$) and an analysis of	Cross-sectional design
at Heart," a US programme that	Participants:	1. Young at Heart (a programme	Scale (Pavot & Diener, 1993)	covariance (ANCOVA) for adjusted	
places older volunteers in childcare		that places elderly volunteers in		means (F [3, 83] = 5.97, p = .001)	
settings, as well as Meals on Wheels	14 Young at Heart volunteers	childcare settings)			.
volunteers, and other older person				In neither analysis did the groups	Limitations (review team):
volunteers.	14 Meals on Wheels volunteers	2. Meals on Wheels	Independence measures:	differ on life satisfaction (<i>p</i> values	Pather descriptive study with limited
	40		N-4	of .227 and .399)	connectunities to measure impact of
Study design: Quasi-experimental	49 hon-volumeer control group	3. Other volunteering activities.	Not applicable		the interventions
study	25 miscellaneous volunteers	Controle		Although the Young at Heart	the interventions
Quality georee	25 miscenaneous volunteers	Non volunteer control group		volunteers had a relatively high	No information on gender
Quanty score		Non-volumeer control group	Other measures:	mean level of generativity,	breakdown or age
External validity score:		Sample sizes:	other measures.	conservative Schelle post noc	breakdown of age
External valuity score.		Sumple sizes.	Gender	contrasts on the unadjusted	
-	Inclusion:	Randomised: Not applicable		means found the only significant	
	Older adults participating in	II I	Race/ethnicity	differences $(n < 05)$ to be between	Evidence gaps:
	volunteering activities	Baseline data: See participants	-	under the end of $(p < .05)$ to be between	
		1 1	Age	the miscellaneous volunteers (who	Future research is needed to examine
		Baseline comparisons: Not		had the highest generativity)	the ways in which generativity is
	Evolution (reasons listed): No	applicable	Marital status	nad the ingliese general (19),	used to maintain continuity or as a
	exclusion criteria applied			on the one hand, and the "Meals"	conduit for a changing sense of self
	exclusion enterta applied	Study power: Not powered to	Whether they had children	and the non-volunteer groups (who	in relationship to the larger
		achieve statistical significance			environment
			Education	were the two lowest groups on	
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Target group: Older adults		generativity), on the other	
	Fujiiono Pereira paginono	engaged in volunteering activities	Retirement status		
	Not reported				Longitudinal studies of volunteers
	-		Self-rating of physical health		warranted, starting as they begin
			compared to others their age	Independence results	their work with an organization
			Whether they had grandchildren or		This could tell whether volunteers

	great-grandchildren	Not applicable	who were high in generativity and
			placed in a setting that involved
	Amount of interaction with children		guiding or nurturing younger
	below 12 ("talk with, play		generations tended to remain in their
		Attrition:	volunteer positions longer (and with
	with, visit "; choices from never		greater satisfaction) than less well-
	to daily)	102 participants were included in	matched volunteers (i.e., high-
		the study, but most analyses had an	generativity volunteers in a low-
		N of 101 due to one respondent, in	generativity setting, or vice-versa)
		the non-volunteer group, having	
	Follow-up periods:	extensive missing data	
	NL-t		
	Not applicable		Funding resources:
			Creat from the Toyog Department of
			Brotective and Pegulatory Services
	Method of analysis:		(TDPRS)
	·		(IDIRD)
	Differences between groups and		
	covariance were measured with		
	ANOVA and ANCOVA.		Applicable to UK?
			Yes

Table for Evidence Statement 3.1

Butler et al., 2006					
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation: Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
	, seeiing.	applicable	include to the second include to the	i ensenig results	
Butler 2006	A federal programme delivered in a		Social networks: The Lubben's Social	Very limited. Scores on all the	Small sample size
	very rural county in Maine, USA	Intervention(s):	Network Scale-Abbreviated (LSNS-A,	social network and loneliness scales	
Country of study: USA		Senior companion programme	Lubben & Gironda, 2000)	were good. For volunteers on the	Redundancy and interrelations
	Participants:	(including social support and	Loneliness: The UCLA Loneliness	LSNS-A the mean score was 17.4	among some of the measures (e.g.
Aim of study:	T : 11 11 1 C	volunteers)	Scale (Russell, 1996)	(no range reported); this is well above scores of 12 or less which	correlations between depression and
To combrate the officient of a state	Low income older adults: sample of	volumeers)	Independence measures:	would signify greatest risk of very	mood state scales)
To evaluate the effects of a older	people supported had age range: 62	Control:No control (but outcomes	Not applicable	limited social networks. The Senior	No control
to develop an instrument that would	to 99, mean age: 78). Senior	compared between clients and	TT	Companions had a mean UCLA	No repeated measures
allow individual programmes to	companions provide companionship	volunteers)	Other measures:	loneliness scale score of 29.1 which	T
assess their impact on an ongoing	and offer assistance to frail			is lower than the reported norm of	
basis	community elders	Sample sizes: Assessed for	Socio-demographic characteristics	32-37. The study design does not	Limitations (review team):
		eligibility: No	Depression: The Centre for	make it possible to determine	
Study design:	Inclusion:	Dendemised, Neternitischie	Epidemiological Studies Depression	explained by the intervention	Self-reported measures on mental
		Kandonnised: Not applicable	Mood states: The Profile of Mood	explained by the intervention	wellbeing. No baseline data on
Exploratory study, applying a mixed method approach	None listed	Baseline data: N-34 (volunteers)	States (POMS)-	Independence results	impossible to determine length of
mixed-method approach		N=32 (clients)	Short Form (McNair, Lorr, &	•	exposure to intervention by both
Ouality score:	Exclusion (reasons listed):		Droppleman, 1992)	Not applicable	volunteers and people being
2	None listed	Baseline comparisons: Celients	Reach and outcomes of the programme		supported.
-	None listed	were, on average, older (82 vs. 74	(measured with open-ended questions)	Attrition:	
	Motivation/ referral/ navment:	years of age, $p < .05$), more likely			Evidence gaps:
External validity score:	filour adoli forertal payment	to be widowed (78.1% vs. 41.2%,	Follow-up periods:	Not applicable	
		p < .05) and more likely to live	One-point measurement		More empirical evidence needed on
-		No statistically significant	one-point measurement		interventions for individuals and
		difference in educational	Method of analysis:		communities
		background.			
			Descriptive statistics		Funding resources:
		Study power: Not powered to			
		achieve statistical significance	Transcripts of all open-ended		The John A. Hartford Foundation
			subjected to thematic analysis		through the Hartford Geriatric
		Target group: Low-income and			Social Work Scholars Program
		adults			Applicable to UK?
		uuuus			Applicable to UK:
					Yes

Lawlor et al 2014					
First author and year: Lawlor	Setting: The study was set in both	Method of allocation: Block	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
2014	urban and rural areas of three	randomisation was conducted and a			
	counties in the east of the Republic	computer generated random	Loneliness: the De Jong Gierveld	Participants	Due to the nature of the intervention
Country of study:	of Ireland	sequence list was used to randomly	Loneliness Scale (11 item)		it was not possible to blind the
Ireland		allocate participants. Group		Total scores on the primary outcome	participants from their allocation
	Participants: Community-dwelling	allocation was concealed from both	Social networks: The Lubben Social	measure, the De Jong Gierveld	
Aim of study:	older adults (60+), the majority	participants and the researchers until	Network Scale	scale, were significantly lower in the	
To implement a brief peer visiting	(75%) was female and widowed.	after baseline data collection was		intervention group at 3-month	
programme for community dwelling	Median age 80. 46 healthy	conducted.	Social support: OSLO social support	follow-up (p=0.003, adjusted for	Limitations (review team):
older adults who experience	volunteers over the age of 55.		scale	baseline values)	
loneliness and to test the		Intervention (s): The intervention			No detailed reporting on the analysis
effectiveness of the programme	Inclusion: The following criteria	contained four elements; the	Independence measures:	This reflected differences between	methods of the effect sizes/ changes
	were applied in the selection of	recruitment, training and retention		the groups on both the social	as measured for the RCT study
Study design: RCT	participants: Aged over 60 years,	of volunteers and home visits to the	Not applicable	loneliness subscale (p=0.022) and	
	community-dwelling, have no	intervention participants from the		the emotional loneliness subscale	Study could potentially have been
Quality score:	significant memory problems, a	volunteers. Each intervention	Other measures:	(p=0.015)	designed to have a control group for
	score of 3 or more on the De Jong	participant was matched with a			volunteers.
+	Gierveld Loneliness Scale OR	volunteer. Volunteers visited them	Depression and anxiety: The Center	The Lubben social network scale	
	answer 'Yes' to the question Item 5	for an hour once a week for ten	for Epidemiologic Studies	scores did not differ significantly	
External validity score:	on the CESD scale 'Would you say	weeks over approximately a three	Depression (CES-D) Scale &	between groups (p=0.065) with	
	that much of the time during the	month period	Hospital Anxiety and Depression	higher scores in the intervention	Evidence gaps:
+	past week you felt lonely?' Agree to		Scale (HADS)	group	N 1
	have a volunteer visiting them in	Control:			None reported
	their own home if allocated to the	Participants in the control group	Cognition: The Montreal Cognitive	Of the intervention participants that	
	intervention group	received their usual individualized	Assessment Scale (MOCA)	were followed up at three months 30	
		care from community services. In		had sustained a new social	Funding recourses
	Exclusion (reasons listed): See	addition, they received a home visit	Self-efficacy, sense of control:	connection since the commencement	running resources:
	criteria listed above	from a member of the research team	CASP 19 (Control, Autonomy, Self-	of the study. 25 of the participants	Funding received from Agoing Well
		to conduct data collection at three	Realisation and Pleasure scale)	continued to receive visits from a	Network and the Atlantic
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	data collection time points	Fallers and a set of a	volunteer, mostly the original	Philenthropies
	Potential participants were		Follow-up periods:	volunteer they were allocated to at	Finiantinopies
	identified by people working with	Sample sizes: Assessed for	Data ware callected from	the beginning of the study	
	older people in the community	eligibility: N=290	participants in their homes at		
	including general practitioners,		baseling and at one and three	Volunteers	Applicable to UK?
	public health nurses, parish staff,	Randomised: N=100	months post intervention using a		Applicable to UIX:
	day centre staff, home helps and		months post intervention using a	There may also be benefits for older	Ves
	members of local active retirement		researcher-aummistereu	volunteers in the trial, with a	
	groups. Individuals identified were			reduction in loneliness measured	

asked if they were interested in	Baseline data: N=49 (intervention),	questionnaire	using the De Jong Gierveld	
participating in the study and if so	N=51 (control)	*	Loneliness Scale from 2.1 at	
information was sent to them. This		Method of analysis:	baseline to 1.6 at 3 month follow up	
was followed up by a phone call	Baseline comparisons: The		(p=0.046 Wilcoxon matched-pairs	
from a member of the research team	intervention and control groups	STATA was used for statistical	signed-ranks test). However there	
	were similar in age, sex, marital	analysis of the gathered data	was no control group for volunteers	
	status and education. The mean age	material	and while both emotional and social	
	was similar in both groups (81.5		loneliness sub-scales improved,	
	years in the control group and 80 in	Details on analysis methods used	neither was statistically significant.	
	the intervention group)	not reported	There was also no statistically	
			significant change in their social	
	Study power:		network scale scores.	
	Not powered to achieve statistical		Independence results	
	significance		_	
			Not applicable	
	Intervention delivery: The			
	intervention contained four elements			
	delivered through a collaboration			
	between the research team and the		Attrition:	
	local communities; the recruitment,			
	training and retention of volunteers		4/51 (control)	
	and home visits to the intervention			
	participants from the volunteers		10/49 (intervention)	
	Target group:			
	Community-dwelling older adults			
	experiencing loneliness			

Martina et al., 2006

First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Martina 2006	Intervention offered in local senior service agencies in the Netherlands	Non-randomised	Friendship availability and development using the Personal	Six months after completing the programme 63% of participants	Significant group differences at baseline in levels of loneliness,
Country of study:		Intervention(s):	Convoy Model of relationships	had made new friends compared	although accounted for in study
	Participants:		y 1	to 33% of the control group	
The Netherlands	-	Friendship enrichment programme	Ability to take initiative in social	$\gamma^2 = 9.569$, p<0.005). There was no	Limitations (review team):
	Older community-dwelling women	(n=69)	relationships: The social situations	significant difference in the	
Aim of study:	with an age range from 53-86	A multifaceted intervention that	inventory (IOA; Van Dam-Baggen	quality of existing friendships	Not RCT design
	(mean: 63)	focuses on several self- management	& Kraaimaat, 1990)	although this was higher in the	
Examines effects of a friendship	67 % lived alone	abilities with the aim of	Control and in polotion which	intervention group 62% versus	Evidence gaps:
enrichment programme targeting	Ter alexander of	develop and maintain desired	Social support in relationships:	$46\% \chi^2 = 2.418, p = 0.120$).	
older women	Inclusion:	friendships	developed by Van Tilburg (1988)		More research is needed on
Study design.	Older women (501)	menusinps	developed by van Thoung (1988)	At six month follow up, compared	management abilities
Study design:	Older wollien (50+)	The friendship enrichment	Self-esteem:	to the control group, there was a	management aonnies
Quasi-experimental	Exclusion (reasons listed):	programme consists of 12 lessons		modest improvement in self-	Funding resources:
Quasi experimentar		focused on different topics related to	10 item from an assertiveness scale	esteem (32.31 s.d. +/-7.77 to	
Ouality score:	None listed	friendship, such as expectations in	(Brinkman 1977)	34.56 s.d +/-6.35 versus 37.53 s.d.	Supported by ZonMw; The
2		friendship, self-esteem, making new		+/- 6.48 to 37.56 s.d. +/- 6.54 but	Netherlands Organization for Health
+	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	friends, setting goals and boundaries	Loneliness: Scale of De Jong	this was not significant $p=0.063$	Research and Development
		and solving conflicts in friendship	Gierveid & Kamphuis (1985)	F=2.83.	
External validity score:	Participants of the programme	Each lesson consists of theory	Satisfaction with Life Scale (Payot	There was a modest improvement	Applicable to UK?
	recruited to the study	practice in skills that are important	and Diener 1993)	in life satisfaction (14.08 s.d. $\pm/$	
-		in friendship role-playing of social	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 19 to 15 19 s d $\pm/-3$ 93 versus	Yes
	The participants received a gift	situations that are difficult for	Positive and Negative Affect Scale	17.24 s d +/- 3.48 to 16.84 s d	
	voucher for 12,50 euro after each	participants and a homework		+/-3.99 This was almost	
		assignment	Independence measures:	significant p=0.051 F=3.06.	
	For the control group, participants were recruited based on their	At a follow-up meeting six months	Not applicable	There was a significant	
	interest to participate in the	after the programme, participants	Other measures:	improvement in positive affect in	
	programme in the near future	redefine their goals relating to		the intervention group versus the	
		friendship and self management in	Socio-demographic characteristics	control group (30.83 s.d. +/-4.19	
		friendship for the future	•	to 31.34 s.d $\pm/-3.82$ versus 34.60	
			Follow-up periods:	s.d. +/- 8.1/ to 26.95 s.d. +/- 2.60.	
		Control:		This was significant $p=0.0000$	
			At baseline, 3 and 6 months later	1-/0.10.	
		No intervention control (n=60)		There was a significant reduction	
			Method of analysis:	in negative affect in the	
		Sample sizes:		intervention group versus the	
			Parametric/non-parametric	intervention group versus the	

	Assessed for eligibility:	statistical tests	control group (29.46 s.d. +/-5.37	
		Logistic regression analyses	to 28.14 s.d +/-5.10 versus 25.98	
	N=60 (intervention)		s.d. +/- 4.65 to 29.25 s.d. +/- 3.44.	
	N=55 (control)		This was significant p=0.0000	
			F=11.77.	
	Randomised:			
			Using a paired comparison	
	Not applicable		between baseline and six month	
			follow up in the intervention	
	Baseline data:		group there was a significant	
			increase in life satisfaction (t= -	
	N=60 (intervention)		2.60, p=0.012) and self-esteem	
	N=55 (control)		(t=-4.31, p=0.000). There was	
	Baseline composizona		also a significant decline in	
	basenne comparisons:		negative affect (t= 2.274, p=	
	The groups significantly differed on		0.027) and loneliness (t=2.904,	
	everyday health limitations: 68% of		p=0.041).	
	the participants in the programme			
	reported health restrictions.		Six months after completing the	
	compared to 48% in the control		programme 63% of participants	
	group (p < 0.005)		had made new friends compared	
	The women who participated		to 33% of the control group	
	in the friendship programme also		χ^2 =9.569, p<0.005). There was no	
	scored significantly higher on the		significant difference in the	
	loneliness scale than those in the		quality of existing friendships	
	control group $p < 0.01$) at the		although this was higher in the	
	baseline		intervention group 62% versus	
			$46\% \chi^2 = 2.418, p = 0.120$).	
	Study power:			
	Not nowared to achieve statistic-1			
	significance			
	significance		Independence results	
	Intervention delivery:		Net and include	
			Not applicable	
	Intervention offered in local senior		Attrition:	
	service agencies in the Netherlands		210010000.	
	-		Intervention group: 9/69 (13.0%)	
	Target group:		Control group: 5/60 (8.3%)	
	Older women			

Martina et al 2012

First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
The unit function	Setting.	fictuod of unocution.	intential wentbeing measures.	the endering results	Limitations (author).
Martina 2012	Intervention offered in local senior	Non-randomised	Self-efficacy: The orientation in	There were no significant	Significant group differences at
	service agencies in the Netherlands		friendships scale (developed for this	differences in changes in the	baseline in loneliness
Country of study:	C	Intervention(s):	study)	autonomy and control sub-scales of	
	Participants:	()	57	the orientation in friendships scale	Limitations (review team):
The Netherlands		Friendship enrichment programme	Friendship availability and	between baseline and 9 month	
	Older community-dwelling women	(n=69)	development using the Personal	follow up.	Not RCT design
Aim of study:	with an age range from 53–86	A multifaceted intervention that	Convoy Model of relationships		6
	(mean: 63)	focuses on several self- management		Programme participants were more	Evidence gaps:
Examines effects of a friendship	67 % lived alone	abilities with the aim of	Ability to take initiative in social	likely to express their opinions and	0
enrichment programme targeting		empowering the participants to	relationships: The social situations	to take initiative in making contact	More research is needed on
older women	Inclusion:	develop and maintain desired	inventory (IOA; Van Dam-Baggen	with others at the second post-test,	interventions designed to improve self-
		friendships	& Kraaimaat, 1990)	compared to baseline.	management abilities
Study design:	Older women (50+)				-
		The friendship enrichment	Social support in relationships:	A paired comparison of the first	Funding resources:
Quasi-experimental	Exclusion (reasons listed):	programme consists of 12 lessons	Social support questionnaire	with the second and third	_
		focused on different topics related to	developed by Van Tilburg (1988)	measurement moment (T0-T1; T0-	Supported by ZonMw; The
Quality score:	None listed	friendship, such as expectations in		12) in the intervention group	Netherlands Organization for Health
		friendship, self-esteem, making new	Independence measures:	showed a significant increase in	Research and Development
+	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	friends, setting goals and boundaries	NT . 11 11	taking initiative in making contact	
		and solving conflicts in friendship	Not applicable	by the participants at both the first	Applicable to UK?
External validity score:	Participants of the programme	E 11	0.4	post-test $(t_{(1,59)} = -2.062, p=0.044)$	
-	recruited to the study	Each lesson consists of theory,	Other measures:	and the second post-test $(t(1,59) = -$	Yes
-		practice in skills that are important		2725, p=0.008).	
	The participants received a gift	in friendship, fole-playing of social	Socio-demographic characteristics		
	voucher for 12,50 euro after each	narticipants and a homowork		Independence results	
	interview	assignment	Follow-up periods:		
		assignment		Not applicable	
	For the control group, participants	At a follow up meeting six months	At baseline, 3 and 6 months later		
	were recruited based on their	after the programme participants		Attrition:	
	interest to participate in the	meet to evaluate their success and	Method of analysis:		
	programme in the near future	redefine their goals relating to		Intervention group: 9/69 (13.0%)	
		friendship and self management in	Multiple measure MANOVA	Control group: 5/60 (8.3%)	
		friendship for the future			
		<u>r</u>			
		Control:			
		No intervention control (n=60)			
			•	•	•

	Sample sizes:		
	Assessed for eligibility:		
	N=60 (intervention) N=55 (control)		
	Randomised:		
	Not applicable		
	Baseline data:		
	N=60 (intervention) N=55 (control)		
	Baseline comparisons:		
	The groups significantly differed on everyday health limitations: 68% of the participants in the programme reported health restrictions, compared to 48% in the control group ($p < 0.005$) The women who participated in the friendship programme also scored significantly higher on the loneliness scale than those in the control group $p < 0.01$) at the baseline		
	Study power:		
	Not powered to achieve statistical significance		
	Intervention delivery:		
	Intervention offered in local senior service agencies in the Netherlands		
	Target group:		
	Older women		

First author and year:	Setting: Church	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Pope. 2013		Not applicable	Social Support (SS): 8 items were	Using two-way repeated measures	The quantitative measures used in
T, T	Participants:	II	selected from the original 20 items	ANOVAs, Social Support(SS):	the study were not sensitive enough
Country of study: USA		Intervention(s):	of the MOS-SSS. These covered		to detect the programme's impact or
country of study cont	African American and white people	African American congregations	Affectionate Support (A)	Tangible social support scores	social support.
Aim of study:	aged 50 and above. (mean age=	were paired with white	Emotional Support	improved overall. Overall mean	
	65.33, SD 9.89). From eight	congregations for participation (12	Informational Support(E/I)	scores increased from 64.32 , SD =	Limitations (review team):
To evaluate the impacts of a church-	counties in South Carolina.	groups). Over 1 year, weekly two-	Positive social interaction (PSI)	25.53 at baselines to 74.72 , SD =	, , ,
based health promotion programme		hour meetings addressing spiritual,	Tangible Support (T)	22.95) at follow up [F(1,88) =	No control design
of the United Methodist Church on	Inclusion:	physical, emotion, mental and		11.22, p = 0.0012]. Mean tangible	6
religiosity, spirituality and social		social aspects of health.	Independence measures:	social support scores increased from	Evidence gaps:
support by race.	Not reported.	-		67.95 SD=22.90 at baseline to 77.56	0.1
11 5	1	Meetings started with a guided	Not applicable.	SD= 21.30 for African Americans at	Funding resources:
		meditation followed by deep		follow up and from 61.50 SD=27.30	
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	breathing and stretching activities	Other measures:	at baseline to 72.55 $SD= 24.11$ for	The Caring Communities Program
Study design:		and then engaged in mental exercise		White participants at follow up.	of the Duke Endowment.
	None	targeting a range of cognitive	DSE (questionnaire to measure the		
One group before and after study		functions including a curriculum	understanding of the divine and	The authors suggested that the	Applicable to UK?
design, a mixed methods approach.	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	designed to facilitate spiritual and	relationship. Religious Orientation	programme may have facilitated	
	1.0	social formation and growth.	Scale (ROS): types of motivations	social networks that led to more	Yes
Ouality score:	A judicatory official's letter of the		(intrinsic vs. extrinsic).	tangible social support.	
	programme support to church	Control:			
-	ministers. A staff member of the			There were no significant	
	Older Adult Ministry of the South	No control	Follow-up periods:	differences in other measures of	
External validity score:	Carolina Conference of the United			social support	
	Methodist Church (SCCUMC)	Sample sizes:	One year	social support.	
-	contacted church ministers to offer				
	programme information.	142 members. Up to 6 from each	Method of analysis:	In qualitative analysis the most	
		race group, 12 groups.		commonly reported themes was	
	Leaders were asked to recruit up to		Confirmatory factor analyses, a two-	enjoyment of the fellowship	
	six members within their	Assessed for eligibility:	way repeated measures analysis of	between participants (African	
	congregation to take part in the		variance (ANOVA), a paired t-test	American $(n=14)$ and white groups	
	programme. Therefore two leaders	Not applicable	were performed, alongside	(n=26).	
	including an African American		interview-based qualitative analyses		
	leader and a white leader) shared	Randomised:		Independence results	
	group facilitation responsibility for a				
	single group (up to 12 members).	Not applicable		Not applicable	

Not applicable

Participants: 51/145 (35% did not

Attrition:

Not applicable

Baseline data:

D at al 2013

	N= 65 (African American)	complete)	
	N= 77 (white)		
	Baseline comparisons:		
	50% of participants were college graduate and 28% with some college education.		
	Study power:		
	Not powered to achieve statistical significance		
	Intervention delivery:		
	Group leaders encouraged the participants to develop a customized method for contact within the group to ensure each member was contacted by at least one other member regularly.		
	Target group:		
	Two different racial groups including African American and white congregation members.		

Stevens et al 2006

First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Stevens et al 2006	Intervention offered in local senior	Non-randomised	Friendship availability and	Study 2: Six months after	Significant group differences at baseline Participants in studies are
Country of study:	Participants:	Intervention(s): Friendship enrichment programme n=52 in	Convoy Model of relationships	of participants had made new friends compared to 33% of the	self selected. No baseline measures in Study 1.
The Netherlands	Study 1: Older community-	Study 1; (n=69 in Study 2) A multifaceted intervention that	Loneliness: Scale of De Jong Gierveld & Kamphuis (1985)	control group χ^2 =9.569, p<0.005). There was no significant	Limitations (review team):
Aim of study:	dwelling women with an age range from 52–80 (mean: 63.6)	focuses on several self- management abilities with the aim of empowering the participants to develop and	Independence measures:	difference in the quality of existing friendships although this	Not RCT design
Examines effects of a friendship enrichment and loneliness reduction programme targeting older women	Study 2: Older community-dwelling	maintain desired friendships	Not applicable	was higher in the intervention group 62% versus 46% χ^2 =2.418,	Evidence gaps:
Study design:	women with an age range from 53– 86 (mean: 63.2)	The friendship enrichment programme consists of 12 lessons	Other measures:	p=0.120). These results were robust in logistic regression	More research is needed on interventions designed to improve
Uncontrolled before and after study	67 % lived alone	focused on different topics related to friendship, such as expectations in	Socio-demographic characteristics	levels of loneliness in the	self- management abilities
(Study 1) and quasi-experimental (Study 2) (results of two studies	Quasi control: Dutch Aging Survey Comparison Group: 226, mean age	friendship, self-esteem, making new friends, setting goals and boundaries	Follow-up periods:	intervention group.	Funding resources:
combined)	65, 100% women	and solving conflicts in friendship	Study 1: at end of intervention and 10-12 months later	Logistic regression analysis also indicated that the Friendship	Supported by ZonMw; The Netherlands Organization for Health
Quality score:		Each lesson consists of theory,		Programme significantly reduced	Research and Development
+	Inclusion:	in friendship, role-playing of social situations that are difficult for	Study 2: At baseline, 3 months later and 6 months after the	women who both developed new friendships and improved the	Applicable to UK?
External validity score:	Older women (50+)	participants and a homework assignment	months after baseline)	quality of existing friendships (p<0.001).	Yes
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	At a follow-up meeting six months	Method of analysis:	Independence results	
	None listed	meet to evaluate their success and	Parametric/non-parametric statistical	Not applicable	
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	friendship for the future	Logistic regression analyses	Attrition:	
	Study 1: Participants recruited through newspaper and leaflet adverts	Control: Study 1: No controls		Study 1: 20/72 (27%) Study 2: Intervention group: 9/69	
	Study 2:	Study 2: No intervention control (n=55)		(13.0%) Control group: 5/60 (8.3%)	
	Recruitment method not stated	Sample sizes:			
	The participants received a gift				

voucher for 12,50 euro after each interview	Assessed for eligibility:		
-	Study 1: N=72 (intervention); no		
For the control group, participants were recruited based on their	controls		
interest to participate in the	Study 2: N=69 (intervention)		
programme in the near future	N=55 (control)		
	Randomised: Not applicable		
	Baseline data: Study 2:		
	N=69 (intervention) N=55 (control)		
	Quasi control group: Dutch Aging Survey Comparison Group: 226, mean age 65, 100% women		
	Baseline comparisons:		
	Study 2: The groups significantly differed on everyday health limitations: 68% of the participants in the programme reported health restrictions, compared to 48% in the control group ($p < 0.005$) The women who participated in the friendship programme also scored significantly higher on the loneliness scale than those in the control group $p < 0.01$) at the baseline		
	Study power:		
	Not powered to achieve statistical significance		
	Intervention delivery:		
	Intervention offered in local senior service agencies in the Netherlands		
	Target group: Older women		

Table for Evidence Statements 4.1 to 4.2

Arkoff et al 2004						
First author and year:	Setting: University of Hawaii's	Method of allocation: Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):	
	Manoa Academy of Life Long	applicable				
Arkoff 2004	Learning		84-item Scales of Psychological	For the workshop group, t tests	Results only applicable to healthy	
		Intervention(s): Workshop using a	Well-Being (Ryff 1989)	between pretest and posttest means	older women, not women with any	
Country of study:		comprehensive, structured		indicated significant gain on all six	chronic health problems or	
USA		procedure called The Illuminated	Independence measures:	scales.	disabilities.	
	Participants:	Life. 14 weekly 2-hour sessions,				
Aim of study:		each devoted to one "life question".	Not applicable	Autonomy: Pretest Mean 64.9 SD	Limitations (review team):	
	Women aged 56 plus participating	The first 7 questions deal primarily		9.88, Posttest Mean 71.1 SD 8.4		
To assess the effectiveness of a life	in Third Age educational activities	with the past and present, and the	Other measures:	(P<0.001) t=4.18. Environment	Inclusion and exclusion criteria not	
review programme in helping	at a University. Mean age of 65.5	rest with the present and future.		mastery Mean 62.8 9 SD 14.04,	clearly stated and control group	
independent older people enhance	years in intervention group and 74.8	Leader of group discussions uses a	Follow-up periods:	Posttest Mean 71.6 SD 11.45 t=4.45	older although no differences in	
their psychological functioning to	years in comparison group.	leaders manual.	At the and of the 14 week course	(P<0.001). Personal Growth Mean	scale scores at baseline	
better deal with the threats,	Ter alexander of		At the end of the 14 week course	73.8 SD 7.23, Posttest Mean 78.7		
chainenges and opportunities of their	Inclusion:	Control: Women attending the	Method of analysis:	SD 4.9 t=3.82 (P<0.01). Positive	very small scale study	
unità age	Not stated	Lifelong Learning Academy but not	Wethou of analysis.	Relations with others Mean 66.4		
	Not stated	receiving the life review	Ouantitative analysis	SD 12.10, Posttest Mean /1.3 SD		
Study design: Case control study	Exclusion (reasons listed):	programme.		11.4 $t=2.73$ (P<0.05). Purpose in	Evidence gans: Look at	
Study design. Case control study	Enclusion (reusons insted).	Sample sizes: 18 women in each of		Life Mean 05.9 SD 11.04, Posttest	intervention with men as well as	
Ouality score: -		the intervention and comparison		(P < 0.01) Solf Accortance 62.1 SD	women and with larger groups.	
2 ,		groups		(F<0.01). Sen Acceptance 05.1 SD	No information either on the types	
External validity score: -	Men and younger adults excluded –	groups.		t=2.48 (D < 0.01)	of individuals who participate in	
·	no reasons listed	Assessed for eligibility		1-3.46 (1<0.01).	third age learning programme	
		1 issessed for engloting.		For the comparison group, there was	6 61 6	
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Randomised: Not applicable		no significant difference between	Funding resources:	
		11		pretest and posttest means	-	
	None reported	Baseline data: Women with a mean		r	None stated	
		age of 65.5 years in intervention		Independence results		
		group and 74.8 years in comparison		-	Applicable to UK?	
		group. 18 women in each group		Not applicable		
					Yes	
		Baseline comparisons:				

T tests between the workshop and	Attrition: There was no loss to
comparison groups indicated that	follow up in either group.
there were no significant differences	
in mean scale scores at pretest (p >	
.05)	
Study power: Not stated	
Intervention delivery: The	
participants prepare for each session	
by reading a brief chapter in a	
workbook: The Illuminated Life:	
Your Third Age Lifebook, and	
completing an "exploration" (and	
sometimes additional exercises) that	
helps them arrive at their answers to	
the question.	
The first half of each session is for	
whole-group discussion. Then	
participants form groups of	
annuarimetaly 4 members to show	
approximately 4 members to share	
consideration A caring discloser	
role was formulated to help	
participants judiciously disclose and	
pace the responses they share. The	
rules for sharing give each	
participant an equal amount of time	
to divide between uninterrupted	
disclosure and reception of the	
response of the group	
Target group:	
Healthy independent older retired	
women	

Caprara 2013 and Fernandez-Ballesteros et al 2005						
First author and year: Caprara 2013	Setting: Clubs for older people	Method of allocation: Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):	
and Fernandez Ballesteros 2005	and residential care facilities in the	applicable	Social networks and social contact			
	Madrid region of Spain. The face to		questions including frequency of	Quantitative results are not reported.	Small sample sizes and short term	
Country of study: Spain for all	face course was delivered at the	Intervention(s): "Vital Aging-M"	contact with friends and neighbours	Stated that after both face to face and	follow up make it difficult to see	
interventions - Mexico, Cuba and	Autonomous University of Madrid.	is a 50 hour video course with 22	(1-5 levels) and level of satisfaction	multi-media course participants	any effects.	
Chile only for e-learning intervention.		themes and additional supporting	with these relationships (1-5 levels).	reported higher frequency of cultural,		
	The e-learning course was delivered	material on the internet. It provides		intellectual and social activities while	Participants were volunteers who	
Aim of study: To evaluate the	at Autonomous University of	courses to meet the following	Life Satisfaction. One question	no changes were found among	were willing to take part in an	
effectiveness of a multi-media	Madrid, the Catholic University of	objectives: "To transmit basic	asking how satisfied they were with	controls	educational programme and may	
programme Vital Aging-M and the	Chile, La Habana University (Cuba)	knowledge on how to age actively	life on that day (1-4 where 4 is a lot		not reflect wider community.	
manual face to face version of the	and the National Autonomous	and competently"; "To promote	of satisfaction)	Significantly better life satisfaction in		
programme "Vivir con Vitalidad" on	University of Mexico.	healthy lifestyles"; "To provide		participants receiving multi-media	Limitations (review team):	
the wellbeing of older people and a		training in strategies for	Independence measures:	course in first study but not in second.		
new Vital Ageing e-learning course.	Participants: 25 attending senior	compensating cognitive, memory			Sample size small and may not have	
	citizen clubs mean age 68.1; 28	and functional decline"; "To	Other measures: 18 questions on	Other	been able to detect differences in	
Study design: Before and after study	receiving face to face programme,	provide training in strategies for	participation in various activities,		effect. Measure of life satisfaction	
with controls	mean age 65.3 and 37 control group	optimising affective/emotional,	with four levels of response.	In first study participants had a	very crude – one four item question	
	that attended the same social club	motivational and social	Questions on opinions of activities,	significantly better view of ageing	and unclear if validated.	
Quality score: -	mean age 70.7.	competencies"; "To promote	opinions on death, ageing and	after the course, but no effect was		
		personal development and social	health among others	seen in the second study.	Evidence gaps: Long term	
External validity score: -	In another study, 115 people aged	participation," and "To promote the			longitudinal studies needed.	
	over 54. Of these, 73 had attended	use of new technologies." The	Physical exercise, diet, health	Attrition: Not stated.		
	five different editions of the Vital	lectures are given by academic			Funding resources: IMSERO –	
	Aging-M program (mean age =	professors mainly from Spain, but	Formative evaluation only of the		Institute for Older Adults and Social	
	62.56, 52.2% women) and 42 had	also from Germany and Italy.	vital e-learning programme		Services, Spain, European	
	not attended the programme (mean		E-llass an assistant (months		Commission Socrates-Minerva	
	age = 62.29 ; 57.5% women).	Vivir con Vitalidad as above but	Follow-up periods: 6 months		Programme, UAM Santander	
		lectures given face to face at a	Mathod of analysis:		America	
	Inclusion: Not stated	University in Madrid. The course	Wethou of analysis.		America.	
	Exclusion (reasons listed): None	last 70 hours in total. The e-learning	Initial baseline comparisons -			
	stated	course Vital Ageing e-Learning	ANOVA for comparisons between			
		lasted 3 months. Like the other	groups where appropriate Use of		Applicable to UK?	
	Notivation/ referral/ payment:	courses it also involved tutorials.	Kruskal Wallis where more than		Applicable to Cix.	
	Participants are all volunteers		two independent variables		Yes	
	responding to a general	Control: Attended same social club	the interpendent variables.			
	announcement for vital Aging-M	centre where undertook other	Comparison of before and after eans			
	and vivir con vitandad in selected	regular activities				
	senior citizen residences and clubs.					

Announcements also made to		for each group with t tests	
students from University			
	Complete Accessed for		
Programmes for Older Adults.	Sample sizes: Assessed for		
	eligibility: Not stated		
	Baseline data: Tested for		
	differences in education, sex and		
	civil status.		
	Study newers Not stated		
	Study power: Not stated		
	Intervention delivery:		
	Particinants are all volunteers		
	responding to a general		
	announcement for "Vital Aging-M"		
	in selected senior citizen residences		
	and clubs Every group has		
	and clubs. Every group has		
	approximately 20 participants.		
	Each group is supported by a tutor		
	who is responsible for equipment		
	who is responsible for equipment,		
	distribution of materials, and		
	collection of tests. Sessions last 2-3		
	h with a break of 15 min, and cover		
	one tonic anch. Those tonics		
	one topic each. Those topics		
	requiring 4 h are distributed across		
	two sessions. The entire course		
	takes about 3 months to deliver. In		
	the sessions written material is		
	the sessions, written material is		
	distributed to all participants		
	(video-lesson transcription, tests,		
	and exercises for the lesson) they		
	watch the video losson and where		
	watch the video lesson, and, where		
	required, they fill out the		
	instruments proposed and		
	distributed		
	distributed.		
	Target group: Retired community		
	dwelling older people		
	5 · · · · · ·		

L
Fernandez-Ballesteros et al 2004 & 2005a,b						
First author and year:	Setting: Clubs for older people	Method of allocation: Not	Mental wellbeing measures: Social	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author): The	
	and residential care facilities in the	applicable	networks and social contact		programme does not appear to have	
Fernandez Ballesteros 2004 & 2005	Madrid region of Spain and a		questions including frequency of	There were no significant	been effective in increasing either	
	university in Madrid.	Intervention(s): "Vital Aging-M" is	contact with friends and neighbours	differences in changes in the	the frequency or satisfaction of	
Country of study: Spain		a 50 hour video course with 22	(1-5 levels) and level of satisfaction	frequency of social contacts or in	social relationships. A post-hoc	
	Participants: People aged 60 to 88.	themes and additional supporting	with these relationships (1-5 levels).	satisfaction with these relationships	explanation is that experimental	
Aim of study:	13 in residential facilities, mean age	material on the internet. It provides		between the three groups following	subjects have a very high baseline	
	79.3, Women 92.3%; 44 attending	courses to meet the following	Life Satisfaction. One question	the course.	level in both. Participants made very	
	senior citizen clubs mean age 69.9,	objectives: "To transmit basic	asking how satisfied they were with		little use of the internet homepage	
	women 83.7%; 31 in control group	knowledge on how to age actively	life on that day (1-4 where 4 is a lot	Life satisfaction improved	and their outside tutors. There was a	
To evaluate the effectiveness of a	that attended the same day care	and competently"; "To promote	of satisfaction)	significantly in the community	lack of satisfaction with the use of	
multi-media programme vital	centre, mean age 74.2, women	healthy lifestyles"; "To provide		dwelling intervention group from 2.9	translated products rather than	
Aging-M on the wellbeing of older	77.4%. 31 people received the	training in strategies for	Independence measures:	(SD 0.65) to 3.19 (SD 0.79)	Spanish language products.	
people.	traditional face to face lectures at a	compensating cognitive, memory		p=0.005.		
	university. They were all over 60	and functional decline"; "To provide	Other measures: 18 questions on		Limitations (review team): Sample	
	(mean age 67.84, women 75%.	training in strategies for optimising	participation in various activities,	Similar results were seen for the face	size small and may not have been	
A separate evaluation Fernandez	T 1 1 X 1 1	affective/emotional, motivational	with four levels of response.	to face programme and it tended	able to detect differences in effect.	
Ballesteros 2005 compared with	Inclusion: Not stated	and social competencies"; "To	Questions on opinions of activities,	towards an improvement in life	Measure of life satisfaction very	
multi-media programme with a		promote personal development and	opinions on death, ageing and neath	satisfaction but this was not	crude – one four item question and	
traditional face to face a version of		social participation," and "To	among others. Physical exercise,	significant with scores improving	unclear if validated.	
the programme "Vivir con vitalidad"	Exclusion (reasons listed):	promote the use of new	diet, nealth	from 2.93 (SD 0.75) to 3.14 (SD 0.70) = 0.11		
	None stated	technologies." The lectures are given	Follow-up pariods: 6 months	(0.79) p=0.11	Evidence gaps: Long term	
	None stated	by academic professors mainly from	ronow-up perious. O montins	Attrition	longitudinal studies needed.	
	Mativation/ referral/ navment.	Spain, but also from Germany and	Method of analysis. Initial baseline	Attrition:	Funding resources, European	
	wouvation/ referral/ payment.	Italy.	comparisons - ANOVA for	Residential care group: 3/13-23%	Commission	
	Participants are all volunteers	Control: Attanded day ages control	comparisons between groups where	Residential care group. 5/15–25/6	Commission	
Study design:	responding to a general	where undertools other regular	appropriate Use of Kruskal Wallis	Community group: 13/44=30%		
	announcement for "Vital Aging-M"	activities	where more than two independent			
Before and after study with controls	in selected senior citizen residences	activities	variables.	Control group: 4/31=13%	Applicable to UK?	
o	and clubs.					
Quality score: -		Sample sizes: Assessed for	Comparison of before and after		Yes	
		eligibility: Not stated	means for each group with t tests			
External validity score: +						
		Baseline data:				

	Tested for differences in education,		
	sex and civil status.		
	Study power:No		
	Intervention delivery: Participants		
	are all volunteers responding to a		
	general announcement for "Vital		
	Aging-M" in selected senior citizen		
	residences and clubs. Every group		
	has approximately 20 participants.		
	Each group is supported by a tutor		
	who is responsible for equipment.		
	distribution of materials, and		
	collection of tests. Sessions last $2-3$		
	h with a break of 15 min and cover		
	one topic each. Those topics		
	requiring 4 h are distributed across		
	two sessions. The entire course takes		
	about 2 months to deliver. In the		
	about 5 months to deriver. In the		
	sessions, written material is		
	distributed to all participants (video-		
	lesson transcription, tests, and		
	exercises for the lesson), they watch		
	the video lesson, and, where		
	required, they fill out the instruments		
	proposed and distributed.		
	Target group: Retired community		
	dwelling older people		

Fernandez-Ballesteros 2012					
First author and year:	Setting: The Autonomous	Method of allocation: None – all	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author): A quasi
-	University of Madrid	students who consented were	PANAS (Watson, Clark, &	Ū.	experimental design is a very poor
Fernandez-Ballesteros 2012		included in intervention group.	Tellegen, 1988): Positive and	Significant benefits for students as	tool from the point of view of
Fernandez-Ballesteros 2012 Country of study: Spain Aim of study: To evaluate the impact of participation of older adults in a university programme on the core of active aging, which involves cognitive, emotional, and social factors Study design: Quasi-experimental study Quality score: + External validity score: +	University of Madrid Participants: Students on the University Program for Older Adults (PUMA) from 2007 to 2011. Controls were older people not enrolled on educational programmes. 82 individuals were eligible, 54% of them women, with an age range of 55 to 70 (mean age = 61.06, SD = 4.19), assessed in the year 2007 and in May 2010 at post assessment. Inclusion: Individuals were recruited on the standard basis (after an exam) and registered on a 3-year PUMA programme at the Autónoma University of Madrid in October 2007. Control group had to be over the age of 55. Exclusion (reasons listed): No additional stated Motivation/ referral/ payment: Students invited to participate in evaluation	students who consented were included in intervention group. Controls were a subsample of the Spanish Longitudinal Study of Active Ageing that representative probabilistic sample of the population of Madrid Intervention(s): 3 year university degree with many different possible academic subjects covered, largely humanities and arts. 450 hours of teaching. Attendance at lectures is mandatory, and they are taught by lecturers at the university. goals of the PUMA program are as follows: (1) to promote knowledge and competences (measured by tests and exams), (2) to promote personal development, and (3) to increase social participation. Control: No participation in education programme Sample sizes: Intervention group: Of 67 who has completed programme 56 chose to participate in evaluation, mean age 60.89 (SD 4.33); 50% women; Controls: 39, mean age 61.76 (SD 3.90) 36% women.	 PANAS (Watson, Clark, & Tellegen, 1988): Positive and negative affect and balance scale. Questions on social participation. Independence measures: Other measures: Promotion of personal development.: cognitive and physical functioning. Follow-up periods: At the end of the 3 year course Method of analysis: t-test tests were applied to determine extent of any significant differences between the two groups at baseline. Second, to examine whether there were differences between the groups attributable to intervention repeated measures ANOVA and ANCOVA (with age and education as covariant) for each dependent variable under study. 	Significant benefits for students as they maintain their negative Affect at post test on PANSS changing from1.71 (SD 0.41) to 1.65 (SD 0.41) compared to 2.07 (SD 0.55) to 1.79 (SD 0.46) in the control group F=4.448 p=0.039. The intervention group also increased their positive affect from 3.0 (SD 0.42) to 3.15 (SD 0.44) compared with a decline in the control group from 2.98 (SD 0.57) to 2.88 (SD 0.50) F=7.267 p=0.008 Both groups increase their social, information seeking and productive activities significantly. Other results Note that health levels maintained in intervention group but declined in control group. Memory and learning performance improved in intervention group but cognitive function declined in control group. Attrition: Intervention: 11/67=16%	 experimental design is a very poor tool from the point of view of threats to internal validity, and it also restricts the potential for generalisation of the results Limitations (review team): High level of dropouts in control group potentially may positively bias the control responses. Unclear how much of a barrier the initial entrance exam is to participation on the course Evidence gaps: A randomised controlled trial (RCT) would be highly advantageous with a view to obtaining results on which to support the promotion of active ageing. Funding resources: Applicable to UK? Yes

Assessed for eligibility: 82	There were no significant
	differences among the variables of
Baseline data: Controls meant to be	interest between people finishing the
representative of Madrid older	programme and those who dropped
population	out; nor were any significant
	differences found in the quasi-
Study power: No	control group between baseline and
	follow-up.
Intervention delivery: university	
classes	
Target group: Community	
dwelling older people who could	
pass an entrance exam	

Fernandez-Ballesteros et al 2013					
First author and year:	Setting: Pontificia Universidad	Method of allocation: None – all	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results: Significant	Limitations (author): A quasi
	Catolica de Chile; Universidad de	students who consented were		benefits for students as they	experimental design is a very poor
Fernandez-Ballesteros 2013	La Habana (Cuba); Universidad	included in intervention group.	PANAS (Watson, Clark, &	maintain their negative Affect at	tool from the point of view of
	Nacional Autonoma de Mexico; and		Tellegen, 1988): Positive and	post test on PANSS changing	threats to internal validity, and it
Country of study:	Universidad Autonoma de Madrid	Controls were a representative	negative affect and balance scale	from 1.71 (SD 0.41) to 1.65 (SD	also restricts the potential for
Spain, Mexico, Chile, Cuba	(Spain).	probabilistic sample of local		0.41) compared to 2.07 (SD 0.55) to	generalisation of the results
		populations	To increase social participation.	1.79 (SD 0.46) in the control group	
Aim of study: To evaluate the	Particinants: Students on the		This includes the following	F=4.448 p=0.039.	Limitations (review team): High
impact of participation of older	Luissensite Program for Older	Intervention(s): 3 year university	activities: information-seeking	_	level of dropouts in control group
adults in a university programme on	A data (DUMA) Cantala areas alder	degree with many different possible	(reading books, reading newspapers,	The intervention group also	potentially may positively bias the
the core of active aging, which	Adults (PUMA) Controls were older	academic subjects covered, largely	listening to the radio); social	increased their positive affect from	control responses.
involves cognitive, emotional, and		humanities and arts. 450 hours of	activities (going to shows, going on	3.0 (SD 0.42) to 3.15 (SD 0.44)	
social factors	programmes.	teaching. Attendance at lectures is	excursions, doing physical exercise,	compared with a decline in the	Unclear how much of a barrier the
	Inclusion: Individuals were	mandatory, and they are taught by	and going to church); and	control group from 2.98 (SD 0.57)	initial entrance exam is to
Study design: Quasi-experimental	recruited on the standard basis (after	lecturers at the university. goals of	productive activities (adult and child	to 2.88 (SD 0.50) F=7.267 p=0.008	participation on the course
study	an axam) and registered on a 2 year	the PUMA program are as follows:	caregiving, shopping, household		
	DUMA programme at one of the	(1) to promote knowledge and	management, household work, DIY	Both groups increase their social,	Evidence gaps:
Quality score: +	four universities Control group had	competences (measured by tests and	and handicrafts, etc.). For each	information seeking and productive	
	to be over the age of 55	exams), (2) to promote personal	activity the question asked was:	activities significantly.	A randomised controlled trial (RCT)
External validity score: +	to be over the age of 55.	development, and (3) to increase	"How often do you do these		would be highly advantageous with
		social participation	activities: Yearly, monthly, weekly,	Independence results	a view to obtaining results on which
	Exclusion (reasons listed): Not		1daily, or never?"		to support the promotion of active
	Exclusion (reasons listed). Not	Control: No participation in		Other results	aging
	stated	education programme	Independence measures:		
	Mativation/reformal/newmont:			Note that health levels maintained in	
	Students invited to participate in		Other measures: Promotion of	intervention group but declined in	
	students invited to participate in	Sample sizes: Intervention group:	personal development .: cognitive	control group. Memory and learning	Funding resources:
	evaluation	Of 67 who completed programme	and physical functioning	performance improved in	
		56 chose to participate in evaluation,		intervention group but cognitive	
		mean age 60.89 (SD 4.33); 50%	Follow-up periods:	function declined in control group.	
		women; Controls: 39, mean age			
		61.76 (SD 3.90) 36% women.	At the end of the 3 year course	Attrition:	
					Applicable to UK?
				Intervention: 63/313=20%	
		Assessed for eligibility: Not stated	Method of analysis:	Control: 125/190= 65%	Vac
					105

Target group: Community dwelling older people who could pass an entrance exam

Orte et al., 2007					
First author and year:	Setting: The community-based	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
	programme is offered in the Balearic				
Orte 2007	Islands, Spain	Not applicable	Social support: Reception, perception, delivery and demand for	Participants were reported to have made a significant number of new	No control group
Country of study:		Intervention(s):	emotional, informational and	relationships ($p<0.000$). No values	Limitations (review team):
a .	Participants: Older adults (age		material social support	reported. Most students claimed to	
Spain	participating in the offered open	Open University for Seniors programme, Organised into 3	and maintenance of social	(p<0.0000) No values reported.	Non-validated, non-standardised mental wellbeing measurements
Aim of study:	university programme	academic years during which two or	relationships, feeling of loneliness	· · ·	mental wencerng measurements
To evaluate the effects of an Open	Inclusion:	three afternoons a week are spent attending classes	and expectations for maintaining current social relationships	Independence results	Evidence gaps:
-					More research needed investigating

University for Seniors programme	Community-dwelling older adults		Independence measures:	Not applicable	the potential role of providing
	motivated to enrol the open	The programme aimed to: open up			education in active and healthy
Study design:	university programme	the university with a specific offer	Not applicable	Attrition:	ageing, especially with regards to the
		for older people and to integrate	11		psychosocial outcomes
Exploratory design applying both	Exclusion (reasons listed):	them into the university's	Other measures:	90/186 (48 %)	
quantitative and qualitative		sociocultural context.	other measures.	90/100 (10 /0)	Funding resources
methods	Not reported		Socio domographia abaractoristias		- unung resources
methods	Not reported	Control: No control group	Socio-demographic characteristics		Not reported
0		control ito control group			Not reported
Quanty score:	Notivation/ referral/ payment:	Samuela atom Assess of fam			
		Sample sizes: Assessed for	Follow-up periods:		Applicable to UK?
-	The participants enrolled for several	eligibility: N=186			
	reasons, e.g. need to keep active or		Questionnaires distributed twice		Yes
External validity score:	make a change in their lives, an	Randomised: Not applicable	each academic year for the three		
	interest in a particular subject,		years of the course		
+	willingness to accompany a friend	Baseline data: N=96			
	or relative who wishes to enrol in		Method of analysis: Synthesising		
	the program, the desire to get to	Baseline comparisons: Not	qualitative and quantitative		
	know new people, the	applicable	(descriptive) data The frequency		
	pleasure of studying, the pride in	approacte	distribution and significant		
	learning day by day and the	Study newer: Not newered to	differences analyses were analysed		
	satisfaction in accomplishing good	schique statistical significance	through r^2		
	work	achieve statistical significance	unougn x		
	work	T (1 1 1			
		Intervention delivery:			
		The community-based programme is			
		offered in the Balearic Islands,			
		Spain			
		Target group:			
		Older adults with an interest to enrol			
		as senior students in university			
		programmes			
		r			
		1	1	1	

Portero, 2007

First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results:	Limitations (author):
Portero, 2007	University	Not applicable.	The Scale of Well-being (EBP): subjective psychological well-being	There was a significant increase in a level of happiness or psychological	Further studies are needed to conform the positive impacts on
Country of study: Spain	Participants:	Intervention(s):	and relationship with partner (Sanchez Canovas 1998). Social	well-being from 3.6 (SD=0.4) at baseline to 3.9 (SD=0.4) at follow-	well-being whether it was due to intervention or other individual or
Aim of study:	Students aged 55 and over, enrolled in the Third Age University	The educational group activities in the university programme.	support questionnaire (Parmar et al 1998).	up (p<0.000). Overall social support increased significantly from a mean of 21,1 SD 2,2 to mean 22,7 SD $=$	social factors.
To examine the effect of the Third Age University Programme on health and well-being of the older	the University of Seville. Women (62 5%) 44 3% had an average level	Control:	Independence measures:	2.4(P=0.000).	Concurrent control group is absent
adults.	of education, implying having completed studies up to university	No control.	None	Independence results	Evidence gaps:
Study design:	level and 19% with post graduate	Sample sizes:	Other measures:	Not applicable	
	level degree.		The Concred Health Questionneire	Attrition: 16/147 (10.8%)	None reported
design	Inclusion:	Assessed for eligibility:	(GHQ-28), material and labour well- being.	Autilian, 10/147 (10.070)	Funding resources:
Quality score:	Not stated	Kaldonised.			Not mentioned.
+	Exclusion (reasons listed):	No randomised.	Follow-up periods:		Applicable to UK?
External validity score:	Not mentioned.	Baseline data:	The course of a complete academic year.		Yes
++	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	N= 163	Method of analysis:		
	Not mentioned.	Baseline comparisons: Psychological wellbeing, health and social support	Descriptive statistical analyses.		
		Study power: not reported.			
		Intervention delivery:			
		Not mentioned in detail.			
		Target group:			
		Older students			

Table for Evidence Statement 5.1

Frieswijk et al 2006						
First author and year	Setting: Correspondence course	Method of allocation:	Montal wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results: ANOVA: No	Limitations (author):	
First author and year.	posted to individuals homes	Withou of anocation.	Wentar wendenig measures.	effect of time of measurement on	Emitations (autior).	
Frieswiik 2006	posted to individuals nomes	Randomised: odd and even number	Brief 7 item Pearlin and Schooner	mastery $F(2 314) = 2.52$, $n = ns$ and	Mean differences between the	
- 1100 Mgit 2000	Participants:	randomisation	Mastery Scale	no significant differences seen in	experimental and the control group	
Country of study:			intustery seale	changes in mastery scores by second	were relatively small and may not	
Netherlands	Community dwelling older people	Intervention(s): A bibliotherapy,	SPF-Index Level Scale (SPFIL) to	follow up	be clinically significant the SMA-S	
	with slight to moderate levels of	called "GRIP on life". This was	measure Subjective Wellbeing	Tonow up.	and the SPFIL have not been used	
Aim of study: To evaluate the use	frailty. Intervention group average	delivered as a correspondence	5 6	At baseline SPF-IL scores in	very much as they were recently	
of bibliotherapy to help increase	age 72.1 (SD 6.2). 58% of treatment	course on how to maintain a firm	Independence measures: Self-	intervention and control groups:	developed. Disappearance of effect	
self-management ability. This in	completers male. Control group	grip on life with increasing age. It	Management Ability (SMA) Scale	2.84 (SD 0.42) and 2.81 (SD 0.38).	on wellbeing after 6 months.	
turn is hypothesised to help people	average age 72.1 (SD 6.2). 58% of	consisted of five different parts,	(6 sub-scales)	Participants in the experimental	C C	
manage resources in such a way that	treatment completers male.	each composed of 11-19 pages,		condition scored slightly higher on	Limitations (review team):	
sustainable positive well-being is	_	which were printed one-sided in	Other measures:	the SPF-IL at the time of the first		
reached.	Inclusion:	black and white.		post-test 2.81 (SD 0.33) vs 2.71 (SD	Evidence gaps:	
	Individuals who scored slightly to		Follow-up periods: First follow up	0.42) than participants in the control		
Study design: Before and after	moderately frail (score 1 until 5) on	Control:	at 10 weeks with second follow up 6	condition (b = 0.11 , p < 0.05 .	Evaluating bibliotherapy that more	
controlled study with wait list	the Groningen Frailty Indicator (GFI	Wait-list control	months later	However this difference was not	explicitly has a long-term	
control group				significant at second post test after 6	application e.g. by including some	
		Sample sizes: Assessed for	Method of analysis: Differences	months $F(1,156) = 0.34$, p = ns	additional exercises for future use.	
Quality score:		eligibility:	between groups measured with			
	Exclusion (reasons listed):		ANOVA. The F-ratio was used to	Independence results	Funding resources: ZonMw (The	
++		500 random community dwelling	test the significance of mean		Netherlands Organisation for Health	
	No exclusion criteria applied	older people contacted in each of 6	differences between conditions.	With ANOVA a main effect of time	Research and Development)	
External validity score:		areas. 1338 responded, 825 met	Cohen's d used to describe the	of measurement found $F(2,314) =$		
		inclusion criteria and 193 agreed to	magnitude of group differences	3.16, $p < 0.05$, with respondents	Applicable to UK? Potentially	
++	Mativation (referred (normant.	participate. They were randomly	Hierarchical regression analysis was	reporting the highest level of SMA	could be delivered in UK	
	Wouvation/ referral/ payment:	assigned to intervention and control	performed to test the effect of	at the pre-test $(M = 21.48)$, and		
		groups.	bibliotherapy on subjective well-	lower levels at the time of the first		
		Baseline deter 07 in internetion	being and control for differences in	(M = 21.36) and the second post-		
		group and 06 in a six month weit list	subjective wendering at baseline.	tests ($M = 21.10$). Significant		
		group and 96 in a six month wait list		difference in SMA scores favouring		
		control group.		intervention group. The intervention		
				group showed an increase in SMA		
				at the time of the first post-test ($M =$		
1	1	1	1	1	1	

Baseline comparisons: No	21.73 SD1.96) as compared to the
significant differences stated	pretest (M = 21.20 SD 2.79), while
	the control group showed a decrease
Study power: Not powered to	in SMA at the time of the first post-
achieve statistical significance	test (M = $20.96 \text{ SD } 3.13$) as
	compared to the pre-test ($M = 21.50$
Intervention delivery:	SD 2.89).
Correspondence course where	
experimental group received a new	
part of the bibliotherapy every 2	
weeks.	Attrition:
Target group: Older mild and	Intervention group: 20/97=21%
moderately frail people	
	Control group: 14/96= 15%

Kremers et al 2006 & 2007							
First author and year:	Setting: Not explicitly stated but	Method of allocation: Not stated	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results: Although well-	Limitations (author):		
	women met in groups of 8-12.			being of women in the intervention			
Kremers et al 2006 & 2007		Intervention(s):Group self	The Social Production Function	group remained at a higher level at	Relatively small sample size may		
	Participants: 142 women aged 55	management of wellbeing course -	Index Level Scale (SPF-IL, Nieboer,	T2 the well-being of the controls	explain lack of evidence of		
Country of study:	and over. Mean age of treatment	course 'Giving life more LUSTER'.	Lindenberg, Boomsma, & Van	improved so there was no longer a	intervention on wellbeing in contrast		
Netherlands	completers 62.8 (SD 6.4) and	Six meetings each lasting 21/2 hours.	Bruggen, 2005) was used to assess	significant effect of the intervention	to other studies. It is possible that		
	controls 65.2 (SD 7.6).		well-being and its five dimensions	on wellbeing after six months.	the extremely high scores for		
Aim of study: To assess impact of		Control:			loneliness in both groups at baseline		
newly designed self-management	Inclusion: Single community	Controls received no intervention	De Jong Gierveld and Kamphuis	Loneliness was reduced in both the	caused a regression to the mean,		
group intervention based on the	dwelling women, 55 years of age		(1985) loneliness scale,	intervention and control groups at	resulting in improvements in both		
Self-Management of Well-being	and older, were asked to respond by	Sample sizes: 142 women randomly		T1; they did not differ significantly.	groups.		
(SMW) theory on self-management	phone if they missed having people	assigned to either the intervention	Independence measures:	Loneliness scores did not differ			
ability, well-being, and social and	around them, wished to have more	group (n=63) or the control group		significantly after 6 months.	Limitations (review team):		
emotional loneliness in older	friends, participated in very few	(n=79).	Self-management abilities were				
women.	leisure activities, or had trouble in		measured with the Self-Management	Independence results:	Setting not stated. No power		
	initiating activities.	Assessed for eligibility: No	Ability Scale (SMAS-30,		reported and high levels of loss to		
			Schuurmans et al., 2005).	Using SMA-30 scores, the	follow up with much higher drop		
	Exclusion (reasons listed): None	Baseline comparisons: No		intervention group increased	out rates in intervention group.		
Study design: Randomised	stated	significant differences in baseline		significantly in overall self-			
controlled trial		characteristics between groups (after	Other measures, I avail of churcical	management ability after the	Intervention may have been too		
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	dropouts) found.	functioning was massured with the	intervention (at T1), compared to	short to have effect.		
	Potential participants were recruited		six item Physical Eurotioning sub	the controls. Intervention group	Neted in 2007 rementions and		
Auglity score: +	in 2004 through advertisements in		six-hem Physical Functioning sub-	scores increased from 44.7 (SD 9.6)	Noted in 2007 paper that a more		
Quality score. +	local newspapers in two regions of	Study power:	scale of the WOS	to 48.6 (SD 8.1) vs controls 47.4	been as a had many approximate		
	the Netherlands.	Study power.	Short Form General Health Survey	(SD 7.3) to 47.5 (SD 8.6).	target group 2007 study indicated		
		Not stated	(Kempen Brilman Hevink &	ANCOVA: F(1, 108)=5.61,	that study participants not reflective		
		The stated	Ormel 1995: Stewart Havs &	p<0.05.	of community based nonvistion		
			Ware 1988)	Although intervention group coord	of community based population.		
External validity score: +			wale, 1960).	Autough Intervention group scored	Evidence gans		
c .		Intervention delivery: Guided by		even higher at 12 (6 hoher secrets se	Evidence gaps		
		the SMW theory, each meeting		the difference between groups not	Inconsistent findings compared to		
		focused on one or more of the six	Follow-up periods: T1 at the end	significant $E(1, 28) = 2.74$ n=0.10	previous studies are difficult to		
		self management abilities. The	of the 6 week intervention period;	significant. r(1, 00)–2.74, p=0.10.	interpret, and should be investigated		
		women were taught to apply these	T2 6 months later	At T1 there were significant group	further in future research		
		abilities to the five basic needs		effects for the subscales 'taking			
		(dimensions)of well-being, which		initiatives' $F(1, 115)=5.93$ n<0.05			
		were referred to with the acronym		'nositive frame of mind' $F(1)$			
	l	1	l	Positive nume of ninu 1(1,	l		

GLANS, which is Dutch for	Method of analysis:	116)=15.77, p<0.001, and	Funding resources: Grant from
'luster'(G for Gemak [Comfort], L		'multifunctionality' F(1, 114)= 4.82,	Stichting Sluyterman van Loo, and
for Leuke ezigheden [Stimulation],		p<0.05, indicating that the	also support from the University of
A for Affectie [Affection], N for		intervention was effective for these	Groningen
Netwerk [Behavioural	To compare score for self	self-management abilities but there	
confirmation], and S for Sterke	management (ANCOVA) was	were no significant differences at	
punten [Status]).	performed, with SMAS-30 scores at	Т2.	
	T1 as the dependent variable, group		Applicable to UK?
During the first meeting the	as the independent variable, and	In regression analysis the	
'GLANS-plate with five slices' was	SMAS-30 scores at T0 and marital	intervention was associated with	
introduced. This is comparable to	status as covariates.	higher wellbeing scores at T1. 4% of	
the food plate with five slices that is		variance was associated with	Yes
used in the Netherlands to stimulate		intervention (F change (1,	
healthy eating habits. The women		102)=7.90, p<= 0.01).	
were then asked to consider their	Hierarchical regression analyses		
own GLANS-plate and to self	were performed to study the direct	Attrition:	
diagnose' their own situation: which	effect of the intervention on well-		
aspects of the plate they missed, or	being and the mediating effect of	Time 1 Follow Up:	
would like to change or to work on.	overall self management ability on		
During the second and subsequent	well-being. Wilcoxon signed rank	Intervention group $17/63 = 27\%$	
meetings the women learned how to	tests were performed on the	-	
work with their own GLANS-plate	loneliness scores.	Control group: $6/79 = 8\%$.	
by adding activities and people to			
the slices.			
Target group: Women		Time 2 Follow Up:	
experiencing loneliness over the age		L · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
of 55		Intervention 27/63=43%	
		Control $17/70 - 220/$	
		Control 17/79= 22%	

Table for Evidence Statements 6.1 to 6.4

Bernard et al., 2011					
Bernard et al., 2011 First author and year: Bernard 2011 Country of study: Canada Aim of study: To evaluate a intergenerational telementoring program and its effects on social interaction Study design: Exploratory uncontrolled study, applying both quantitative and qualitative analyses Quality score: External validity score: .	Setting: The homes of telementors Participants: Older adults aged 70±7 years (range: 59-82) residing in Ottawa, Canada; Young people (9 students, 9 unemployed youth) residing in Paris, France Inclusion: Eighteen senior volunteer candidates were recruited as telementors All exhibited some bilingual skills (French/English), and were natives of the other language Exclusion (reasons listed): None Motivation/ referral/ payment: The senior participants were recruited in the Ottawa-Carleton area in a seniors club, as well as residents of a long term care centre Some the individuals had	Method of allocation: Not applicable Intervention(s): 10 weekly, 1-hour, telementoring sessions were offered to the participants. Control: No control Sample sizes: Assessed for eligibility: Not applicable Randomised: Not applicable Baseline data: N=18 (Older adults), N= 18 (young people) Baseline comparisons: No comparisons described Study power: Not powered to achieve statistical significance Intervention delivery:	Mental wellbeing measures: Behaviour changes in self- confidence, self-expression, enjoyment and confidence in carrying out a conversation in English, and self-efficacy in overcoming barriers to pronunciation and communication. Social relationships (structural or functional aspects)Independence measures: Not applicableNot applicableOther measures: Basic demographic data on background education, preferred leisure activities, existing language skills and computer literacyFollow-up periods: Pre- and post programme questionnaires and/or direct observation data recorded by the respective intergenerational coordinators after each session.Method of analysis:	 Wellbeing results: Older adults, exhibited higher motivation and compliance rates compared to unemployed youth. All participants (youth and seniors) highly valued the program (average rating over 80%), particularly its inter-cultural aspects as well as the relationships they developed. Positive behavioural shifts were observed after only 2 to 4 sessions. No significance levels reported, only based on descriptive data Independence results: Not applicable Attrition: Participants: 2/18 (11 %, older adults) Sessions: Of a total of 180 sessions planned for an evaluation period of ten weeks (90 sessions for each group), only 98 sessions (54%) were completed 	Limitations (author): Small sample size Limitations (review team): No validated measurements on mental wellbeing or social relationships No control design Evidence gaps: Further research on how videoconference based telementoring may function as a tool for a new field of medical research, aiming at understanding how social relationships develop and also have an impact on the risk of health problems Funding resources: New Horizons for Seniors,
<pre>qualitative analyses Quality score: - External validity score: -</pre>	Exclusion (reasons listed): None Motivation/ referral/ payment: The senior participants were recruited in the Ottawa-Carleton area in a seniors club, as well as residents of a long term care centre Some the individuals had participated in previous activities of intergenerational video- conferencing group sessions; interested participants enrolled at the end of an introductory presentation	 N= 18 (young people) Baseline comparisons: No comparisons described Study power: Not powered to achieve statistical significance Intervention delivery: The PACE 2000 International Foundation delivered the intervention. Training was provided. Target group: Older adults and young people interested in intergenerational and intercultural interaction 	education, preferred leisure activities, existing language skills and computer literacy Follow-up periods: Pre- and post programme questionnaires and/or direct observation data recorded by the respective intergenerational coordinators after each session. Method of analysis: The t-test and Chi squared analyses were performed, along with observations and interview-based qualitative analyses	Attrition: Participants: 2/18 (11 %, older adults) Sessions: Of a total of 180 sessions planned for an evaluation period of ten weeks (90 sessions for each group), only 98 sessions (54%) were completed	telementoring may function as a tool for a new field of medical research, aiming at understanding how social relationships develop and also have an impact on the risk of health problems Funding resources: New Horizons for Seniors, Human Resources and Skills Development Canada; Youth Canada Works; The Ontario Trillium Foundation; E.E. Baulieu, MD, PhD, President of the Institut pour la Longévité et le Vieillissement; and Catherine Peyge, Mayor of the City of Bobigny, France. Applicable to UK? Yes

Blazun et al., 2012					
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Blazun 2012	Training courses were organized in two elderly care homes in Slovenia	Not applicable	Quality of life, focusing on the older people's daily physical activities	Inferential statistics showed a significant difference in the	Both questionnaires used in the study were developed in the English
Country of study: Slovenia	In Finland, the older people applied	Intervention(s):	Level of loneliness	reduction of loneliness between the countries, and a decreased level of	language and translated; differences may have occurred
Aim of study:	spontaneously to the computer training courses and were mostly independent living	3-week computer training courses with plenary sessions and	Number of friends	loneliness of older people after completing the computer training course (Mann-Whitney U: p =	Limited sample size, not able to use
training courses on reduction of loneliness of older people in Finland	Participants:	In Finland once a week for 4 h	Involvement in society	0.001)	Cultural differences between the
and Slovenia	Community-dwelling older adults	In Slovenia once a week for 3 h	General wellbeing	Although older people experience many age-related problems which	country study samples
Study design:	(Finnish sample)	Control:	Satisfaction with life	may reduce their interest in learning information and communication technology (ICT) skills, it is	Due to the different organizational settings of the ICT training courses,
Exploratory design	Home care residents (Slovenian sample)	No control	The authors did not use standardized measurement tools	important that they are computer- proficient, because computer	more self-motivated, and thus no special sampling type or selection
Quanty score:	Inclusion:	Sample sizes:	The questionnaires used focused mainly on subjective indicators of	engagement can reduce the level of loneliness of older people and in this	criteria were used for the older people registered for the ICT course
External validity score:	All participants had little or no ICT knowledge, were healthy, able to	Assessed for eligibility:	the quality of life, which were obtained through self-reporting by	quality of life	Limitations (review team):
-	read, write and speak, and had the opportunity to devote time to practicing computer skills in their	Finns spontaneously applied for the training courses	the elderly	Independence results	The authors did not use standardized measurement tools
	own time and at their own pace The study included participants	Slovenes had to be encouraged by motivational workshops	None	Not applicable	No control group
	whose minimum age was 57 years	Randomised:	Other measures:	Attrition: 13/58 (22.4 %)	Evidence gaps:
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Not applicable	ICT-related questions; access to		None reported
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Baseline data:	computer, mobile or land-line phone, routine access to the Internet, familiarity with the Skype		Funding resources:
	In Finland, the older people applied	N = 27 (Finland)	application etc.		The research in Slovenia was supported by the European Com-
	spontaneously to the computer training courses and were partly	Baseline comparisons:	Follow-up periods:		mission within the project PRIMER- ICT, agreement number 2008-
	contributions	In Finland, older people mainly live in apartments, while in Slovenia all	Post-intervention, after 3 weeks		42/9/001-001, Project number 143665-LLP-1-2008-1-SI-KA3- KA3MP
	No special sampling type or	participants lived in elderly homes	Method of analysis:		

-			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
	selection criteria were used for the older people registered for the ICT course in Finland	Among Finnish participants, 81.5% possessed a computer and 84.1% had access to the Internet	Descriptive statistics, nonparametric tests (Pearson's Chi-square, Mann– Whitney test)		The provided courses were partly financed by the Finnish government and the City of Kuopio
	In Slovenia, the participants were selected by caregivers among interested residents according to their health status	Only 6.5% of the Slovene participants possessed a computer, but 51.7% of them had the possibility to access the Internet			Applicable to UK? Yes
		A majority of the Finnish participants had already used a computer (84.6%), while only a few Slovene participants (16.1%) were acquainted with computers before the research study			
		Study power:			
		Not powered to achieve statistical significance			
		Intervention delivery:			
		In Finland, the computer training courses were guided by a facilitator, who was responsible for a group of 10–15 participants			
		In Slovenia multipliers were responsible for 1–2 older participant/s within a group of 5–8 older people			
		In both countries the courses were designed using a task-based teaching approach supporting an effective lifelong learning process.			
		Target group:			
		Healthy older adults with no or limited ICT knowledge			

Campbell et al., 2004					
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Campbell 2004 Country of study: US Aim of study:	A large suburban Pittsburgh public library and two senior community centres delivering a series of Internet training seminars Participants: Older adults aged 60-83 years, with a mean age of 70	Not applicable Intervention(s): Small group-based training in internet usage The sessions were five weeks in length, meeting once a week for 2 hours	Anxiety: the Computer Anxiety Subscale of the Computer Attitude Scale (Gressard & Loyd, 1986) Locus of control: Adopted version of the Multidimensional Health Locus of Control (MHLC) Scale (Wallston & Wallston 1978)	 Only results for women were reported. A between-group t-test was performed. It reported a significant reduction in computer anxiety scores p=0 .002 from pre- (36.55) to post-test (38.83) anxiety scores. (Higher scores represent lower levels of anxiety 	None reported Limitations (review team): No control group No advanced statistical analyses Only results for women provided
To explore the effects that training had on older adults' willingness to use the internet to manage their health care	58 women; 21 men Inclusion:	Each session began with an overview of the day's topic, followed by intensive hands-on instruction and practice	Independence measures:	toward the computer). The standard deviations were 6.97 (pre) and 6.73 (post), and the t score was 3.284.	Evidence gaps: To examine the outcomes of this kind of intervention in terms
Study design: Exploratory design Quality score: - External validity score: -	Inclusion: Not reported Exclusion (reasons listed): Not listed Motivation/ referral/ payment: The training sessions were advertised in two local newspapers, a local magazine, and a local senior citizen newsletter Flyers were placed in the library and senior community centres	 instruction and practice Control: No control group Sample sizes: Assessed for eligibility: not stated Baseline data: N=79 Baseline comparisons: Not applicable Study power: Not powered to achieve statistical significance Intervention delivery: Public library and two senior community centres delivered a series of Internet training seminars Target group: Older adults interested in ICT training 	Not applicable Other measures: Levels of self-efficacy using computers to locate health information (Busch, 1996; Lee & Bobko , 1994). Follow-up periods: Pre- and post-intervention Method of analysis: Mainly descriptive statistics	Based on the results, it was concluded that highly educated women who either own a computer or have access to one, and have low levels of anxiety toward computers, with strong feelings of self-efficacy toward computers and the Internet, and an internal locus of control, are more willing than men to use the Internet to find medical information to manage a chronic health problem No statistically significant results and no statistics reported. Independence results Not applicable Attrition: 9/58 (16%) (Women only)	 For examine the outcomes of this kind of intervention in terms of utilisation of health care services and costs that are generated by these individuals Funding resources: Not stated Applicable to UK? Yes

Campbell 2005					
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Campbell 2005	A large suburban Pittsburgh public library and two senior community	Not applicable	Locus of control: Adopted version of the	MHLC chance scores showed statistically significant differences	Study sample self selected and a high rate of attrition. Small sample
Country of study:	centres delivering a series of Internet training seminars	Intervention(s): Small group-based training in	Multidimensional Health Locus of Control (MHLC) Scale (Wallston	between observed baseline and 5- week follow-up results for both men	size and time frame. Lack of control group.
US	Participants:	internet usage	&Wallston, 1978)	19.00 (SD 2.62) to 15.88 (SD 3.0) (p=0.02), and for women 16.44 (SD 4.72) to 15.29 (SD 4.1) (p=0.05)	Limitations (review team):
Aim of study:	60 older adults. 42 older people completed the study -34 women	The sessions were five weeks in length, meeting once a week for 2 hours	Not applicable	suggesting that participants' perceptions of the role chance plays in	Evidence gaps:
had on older adults' willingness to use the internet to manage their health	and 8 men. Mean age 72.	Each session began with an overview of the day's topic,	Other measures:	their health declined	To examine the outcomes of this kind of intervention in terms
care	Inclusion:	followed by intensive hands-on instruction and practice	Follow-up periods:	Independence results	of utilization of health care services and costs that are generated by
Study design:	Not reported	Control: No control group	Pre- and post-intervention	Not applicable	these individuals
Before and after	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Sample sizes:	Method of analysis:	Attrition:	Funding resources:
Quality score:	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Randomised:	Mainly descriptive statistics	18/60 (30%)	Applicable to UK?
- External validity score:	The training sessions	Baseline data:			Yes
-	were advertised in two local newspapers, a local magazine,	N=60			
	Flyers were placed in the library and senior community centres	Baseline comparisons:			
		Study power: Not powered to			
		achieve statistical significance			
		Intervention delivery: Public library and two senior community centres delivered a series of Internet training seminars			
		Target group: Older adults interested in ICT training			

Cornejo et al 2013a and Cornejo et al 2013b						
First author and year:	Setting: Home of older adult	Method of allocation:Not	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):	
Cornejo 2013	Participants:	Intervention(s): Use of a situated	Impact of level of interaction by younger family members with older	In the first evaluation children uploaded 3.35 photos per day and		
Country of study: Mexico and the UK.	For the original version of Tlatoque: 1 88 year old active and independent woman living with her daughter 19	display (Tlatoque) – something that looks like an everyday object (in this case a picture frame) to digitally	adult.	grandchildren 9.8 photos per day (No significance statistics reported).	Limitations (review team): Very small uncontrolled study that does not use any standardized wellbeing or	
	family members were involved: 6 children (4 female, 2 male) and 13	provide a way of interacting with family members on a pared down	Independence measures: None	2 family members joined Facebook and others reactivated accounts.	independence measures	
Aim of study: To evaluate the impact of a situated display interface for information from a	grandchildren (/ female, 6 male). Relatives were scattered throughout several locations, with almost half	version of Facebook. An enhanced version of Tlatloque which allowed the older adult to provide feedback	stated	children uploaded 0.65 photos per day and grandchildren 3.74 photos	Evidence gaps: Need larger scale	
social network on participation of older person in online interactions	of the participants living in the same city as the older adult, with 3 in the UK and the rest in different cities in	including messages, rating and play a photo related game in response to pictures news messages and poems	Other measures: Use and adoption	per day (No significance statistics reported)	studies to see if these findings can be replicated	
members.	Mexico.	received was developed and used by the second older adult / family in the	of Hatoque;	Qualitative responses indicated that older adults became engaged with		
Study design: Uncontrolled before	For the enhanced version of Tlatoque: 1 87 year old active and independent woman living with her	study. Control: No control.	Follow-up periods: 21 days	the social network activities of their relatives. New offline interactions and conversations between the older	Funding resources: Mexican National Council of Science and Technology (Conseio Nacional de	
and after study covering 21 week period.	grandson. There were 11 members of her extended and scattered family in the study: 3 children (all female).	Sample sizes: See participants – just 2 families		adults and family members started. There were new offline meetings and video communications with	Ciencia y Tecnología – CONACYT) and author scholarship.	
	6 grandchildren (3 female, 3 male), 1 grandniece and 1 nephew. 7 of these family members lived in the	Assessed for eligibility: Not stated	Method of analysis: Analysis of Facebook posts and structured interviews with family members	distant relatives.		
Quality score: -	same city as the older adult, 4 lived in another city.	Randomised: Not applicable		Independence results: Not stated	Applicable to UK? Yes – could be used in the UK and some family members were in UK. The product has	
External validity score:	Inclusion: Active and independent older adult with no previous	Baseline data: Not applicable			also been adapted for use in a tablet.	
	computing knowledge	applicable		Attrition: Not applicable		
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Study power: Not applicable				
	Motivation/ referral/ payment: Not stated	Intervention delivery: Not stated who delivered training on how to use Tlatoque				
		Target group: Very old people				

Cotten et al., 2013

First outhor and years	Satting	Method of allocations	Montal wallbaing magazine	Wellbeing regults	Limitations (author)
First author and year:	Community based interportion	Not stated	Longlings: LICLA Scale	Populta of regression analyses	Small sample size
Couch 2015	conducted in Alabama a US state	not stated	Derceived social isolation:	showed a relationship between the	The lack of diversity in terms of
Country of study US	ranked among the lowest in regards	Intermention(a)	Unstandardised scale including	frequency of going online and the	ander and race/ethnicity and look of
Country of study: US	to individuals living in households	Intervention(s):	dustions on how much of the time	mequency of going online and the	measures of disability, areagiving
	with Internet access	IC I training intervention	the participants were bothered by	and between frequency of going	migration abronic boalth conditions
Aim of study:	with internet access	Olden e delte liein e in ensisted and	not having a close companion not	and between frequency of going	The study was only conducted in
To examine now internet use affects	Dauticinanta	independent living communities	having across companion, not	usefulness outcomes:	Alabama
perceived social isolation and	Participants:	macpendent fiving communities	seeing enough of the people they	among the socioemotional	Cross sectional nature of the data no
ioneliness of older adults in assisted	independent living communities	were given 8 weeks of training in	feel close to	automas increased frequency of	casual relationships identified
and independent living communities	macheminantly famala (82.9/) with	using computers and the internet to		going online was associated with	casual relationships identified
To examine the perceptions of now	a mean age of 82 years	friends (primerily through email and		decrease in loneliness scores	.
Internet use affects communication	The complexies almost evenly calit	Easthealt) and to find information	Independence measures:	(P-001)	Limitations (review team):
and social interaction	hetween assisted and independent	Facebook) and to find information	Not applicable	(1001)	Self-reported measurements on mental
	living residents	Control		friends and family	wellbeing outcomes
	inving residents	2 groups: Attention control group:	Other measures:	nhysical/emotional social	i ne relationship between internet use
Study design:	Inclusion	2 groups. Attention control group.	Socio-demographic variables	limitations age and study arm the	and mental wellbeing outcomes were
RCT	Older adults living in assisted and	arm were involved in 8 weeks of	The quality and quantity of	association remained ($P=005$)	measured among a group of self-
(Ongoing study, data from the first	independent living communities	activities unrelated to ICTs	communication with others as a	ussociation remained (r .003)	motivated internet users
wave of data collection reported	independent inving communities	activities unrelated to le 13	result of Internet use: Participants	Frequent internet use was associated	
here)	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Control group: Participants in the	who reported going online at least	with a decrease in respondents'	Evidence gaps:
	Not listed	true control group did not	once every few months were asked a	perceived social isolation ($P=06$)	Research needed to provide insights
Quality score:	Not listed	participate in any intervention	series of / questions regarding their	percerved social isolation (r	on older adults' expectations about
-	Mativation/reformal/payment:	activities	perceptions of now internet use had	Among the measures of perception	now going online might impact levels
	Not reported	activities	affected their social interactions	of the social effects of the Internet.	of ioneliness and social isolation
External validity score:	Not reported	Converte stores	with others	all outcomes showed a statistically	Also, further research is needed on
-		Sample sizes:		significant relationship with	now technology usage may impact
		Assessed for engibility:	Follow-up periods:	frequency of going online. Each 1-	older adults not living in assisted and
			Participants from all 3 arms were	point increase in the frequency of	how those processes may your of a
		Randomised:	surveyed 5 times over the course of	going online was associated with a	function of conder recolothnicity
		Not applicable (at this reporting	1 year: before the 8 weeks (at	0.508-point increase in agreement	function of gender, face/etimicity,
		stage)	baseline); at the end of the 8-week	that using the Internet had made it	region of the country
		Deadline dates	intervention; and at 3, 6 and 12	easier to reach people ($P < .001$); a	region of the country
		Basenne data:	months after the end of the 8-week	0.516-point increase in agreement	T
		N= 200	intervention	that using the Internet had	Funding resources:
				contributed to the respondents'	I his study was supported by grant
		Baseline comparisons:	Method of analysis:	ability to stay in touch ($P < .001$); a	number R01AG030425 from the
		As this is in focus of this paper,	Because data collection is not yet	0.297-point increase in agreement	National Institute on Aging, US
		please see under results	complete for all waves of the study,	that using the Internet had made it	
			inis analysis only uses time 1 (or	easier	Applicable to UK?
		Study power:	pretest) data for a cross-sectional	to meet new people ($P=.01$); a	r es, implemented in a socio-cultural
		Not powered to achieve statistical	anaiysis	0.306-point increase in agreement	context similar to UK
				that using the Internet had increased	

	D 1 1 1 1		
significance	Regression analysis was used to	the quantity of respondents	
	determine the relationship between	communication with others (P=.01);	
Intervention delivery:	frequency of going online and	a 0.491-point increase in agreement	
The ICT intervention was delivered	isolation and loneliness (n=205) and	that using the Internet had made the	
in assisted and independent living	perceptions of the effects of Internet	respondent feel less isolated	
community contexts	use on communication and social	(P < .001); a 0.392-point increase in	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	interaction (n=60)	agreement that using the Internet	
Target group:	× /	helped the respondent feel more	
Older adults living in assisted and		connected to friends and family	
independent living communities		(P=.001): and a 0.289-point increase	
independent inving communities		in agreement that using the Internet	
		had increased the quality of	
		respondents' communication with	
		others $(P=01)$	
		others (1 –.01)	
		Indonandanaa rasulta	
		Not applicable	
		Not applicable	
		A A.A	
		Attrition:	
		There were 205 participants in the	
		entire sample, with data from 205	
		participants for the mental wellbeing	
		analyses, and data from 60	
		participants for the Internet	
		outcomes because people who	
		responded that they never went	
		online (n=145) were not asked the	
		Internet outcome questions	
		I	

Dow et al., 2008					
First author and year:	Setting: A rural community setting	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Dow (2008) Aim of study:	in Australia Participants:	Not applicable Intervention(s):	Loneliness was measured using the UCLA Loneliness Scale (UCLA); depressive symptoms were assessed	Improvement for most participants in depressive symptoms and social isolation, but little change in carer	Small sample size Some components of the intervention
To test the feasibility of a computer intervention for improving social interaction and promoting the mental health of rural carers	12 women and 2 men, aged from 50 to 81 years, with an average of 65.5 years. Most carers (13) cared for a spouse and one cared for her son.	Computer training consisted of two groups of 8 with one three hour session per week over a 4-week period.	using the GDS-15; and <u>carer burden</u> using the Zarit Burden Interview (ZBI). Independence measures:	burden. Participants identified many social benefits associated with the computer intervention, such as intergenerational connection, community building, skills and confidence and preparation for the	could be better tailored for this population. Installation should take place during normal business hours and training could be extended.
Study design: Combined pre- and post- intervention measures with interviews to determine the feasibility of the intervention and the acceptability of the study design to participants	Living in the Pyrenees sub region; providing personal care for a co- resident relative (at least one personal activity of daily living); 65 years of age or over; not having a computer; scoring \geq 5 on Geriatric Depression Scale – 15-item short	Control: No no-intervention control Sample sizes: 14 carers and 2 care recipients	None Other measures: Measures of confidence in using email and Internet developed for this study. The confidence scales were Likert scales from 0 to 10 with	future. Most carers reported increased confidence in email and Internet use.	The focus of the study was mainly to test feasibility of the intervention rather than outcomes. Evidence gaps: ?
Quality score:	form (GDS-15); and not linked with carer support (excluding respite). Exclusion (reasons listed):	attended computer training in a local venue. Assessed for eligibility:	0 - 'not at all confident' and 10 - 'very confident'.	Not applicable	Funding resources: Beyondblue, the National Depression Initiative
-	No Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Randomised: Not applicable	Follow-up periods: 3-month follow-up	Attrition: ?	Applicable to UK?
External validity score: ?	Carers were recruited via local newspapers, word of mouth and carer support groups. Respite and travel costs were covered as required.	Baseline data: Measures of confidence in using email and Internet; loneliness; depressive symptoms; and carer burden Baseline comparisons:	Method of analysis: Descriptive analysis; content and thematic analyses		
		Three months after baseline, participants were re-administered baseline measures.			

a: *		
Study power:		
Not powered to achieve statistical significance		
Intervention delivery:		
<i>Materials:</i> Participants were given refurbished personal desktop computer with a new modem, internet connection including 6 months of unlimited time and download Internet access for the cost of a local call. A free telephone help line was also available for 6 months.		
The computer training program included: basic computer operation, Internet searching, sending and receiving emails, virus protection and avoiding dangers (such as scam emails).		
After the final training session, participants were asked to complete a survey about computer installation and training, software and help desk support.		
Three months after baseline, participants were re-administered baseline measures. They were interviewed about their experiences using the computer and intentions about future use. They were also invited to a group discussion about their experiences of the computer intervention.		
Target group: rural carers		

Fitzpatrick et al., 2003					
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Fitzpatrick (2003)	The Mercyknoll Incorporated -a retirement community for older	Participants were allocated between the two groups using a needs	Psychological General Well-Being (PGWB) Schedule developed as an	The results from the PGWB survey indicated that mean scores from the	Residents who live in a retirement facility already receive considerable
Country of study:	religious sisters (approx. 100 residents)	assessment questionnaire where they expressed their interest in	index to measure self- representations of interpersonal	total PGWB Schedule and the 6 subscales were higher for the non-	social support which may create difficulties in detecting meaningful
US	Participants:	participating in the computer training program.	affective or emotional states reflecting a sense of subjective well-	participating group than for the participating group.	differences between groups.
Aim of study:	24 sisters; age range from 59 to 93	Two groups: a participant group and	being or distress.	Independence results	Sample size
To examine the relationship between participation in a computer	(a mean age of 76.3 years); the majority were in relatively good	a non-participant group.	Independence measures:		Pre-intervention and post-intervention tests
training program and well-being among religious sisters living in a	Inclusion	with non-participants group on age,	Other measures:	Attrition:	Limitations (review team):
Study design:	Inclusion.	education, and income.	other measures.	Not reported	Limited statistical analysis of the well-
Quasi experimental design	Exclusion (reasons listed).	Intervention(s):	Follow-un periods:		Evidence gans.
Multi-method connects his shuding	Not listed	Computer-training program	No follow up		L'interice gaps.
participant observation, field notes,	Not instea	Control:	Mothod of analysis		Funding recourses
assessing the effectiveness of	Sample recruited using a peeds	Yes	Descriptive statistics (fraquancies		The Sisters of Mercy and the Institute
Ouality score:	assessment questionnaire about their interest in participating in the	Sample sizes:	and means)		in Gerontology at Saint Joseph College, West Hartford, Connecticut,
-	computer training program	Participant group (N = 12); Non- participant group (N = 12)	Content analyses methods		supported the computer-training program.???
External validity score: ?		Assessed for eligibility:			Applicable to UK?
		Randomised:			Yes
		No			
		Baseline data:			
		Baseline comparisons:			
		Study power:			

Not powered to achieve statistical significance		
Intervention delivery:		
The first phase of the data collection: participant observation and the collection of field notes.		
The second phase: self-administered interviews of the Psychological General Well-Being (PGWB) Schedule to both the participant group and the non-participant group (lasted approx. one hour).		
The third phase: face-to-face interviews.		
Target group:		
Religious sisters living in a retirement community		

Jimison et al 2013					
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation: Not stated	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
•	8	Intervention(s): Computer-based	5	5	
Jimison 2013	Community dwelling older people	health coaching interventions for	Size of social network and time	Study participants logged 4410	
	in Oregon	older people in their homes. This	interacting with people.	minutes of videoconferencing, with	
		includes modules to assess health		a peak usage occurring during week	Limitations (review team): Very
	Participants:	behaviour goals, motivations,	Independence measures:	three (1247 min).	small scale feasibility study which
Country of study:		barriers and readiness to change.			needs to be evaluated on larger scale.
US	9 independently living older adults				Unclear how individuals selected to
	(mean age $73.8 \pm 6.7, 89\%$ female)	Control: No control group			participate in study – very limited
	without dementia		Other measures:	On average each participant talked	measurement of social networks in this
		Sample sizes: 9 people in feasibility		to 5 people using Skye (range $2 - 10$	feasibility study.
Aim of study:	Inclusion: Not stated	study		people). Although trained to speak	
			Douticipanta ware interviewed at 2	with family members they often	
	Frankration (and a set of the start)	Study power: Not applicable	months to determine user	contacted other study participants.	
To test feasibility and assess impact	Exclusion (reasons listed):		satisfaction usehility issues with the	This led to 'fast friendships'	Evidence gaps: Will expand
of a low-cost and scalable approach	People with dementia	Intervention delivery: Dynamic	technology as well as general	developing.	Intervention and evaluate using the
to providing a comprehensive	reopie with dementia	user model continuously updated	impressions and suggestions for		(LSNS D)10, which is a brief
socialisation intervention for older		the home. Sensor data from the	future use		(LSINS-K)10, which is a brief
adults using a health coaching		home provides feedback and	luture use.	Indonondonoo roculta	in the estepories of family and friends
platform for facilitating a health	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	updates on the adherence of nationts'		independence results	(including paighbours) Will also
coach in managing health	······································	activities and adherence to their		Not applicable	evaluate using the LICLA P
interventions	Not reported	health goals. This data then triggers	Follow-up periods:		Loneliness Scale10 to assess
	-	active methods for both alerting and			loneliness at baseline and after the
		automated coaching messages	3 months		intervention
		There are 2 interfaces to the		Attrition:	
Study design:		coaching platform: 1) the patient			Funding resources: National Institute
		interface and a coach interface. The		None	on Aging (Grants NIA P30AG024978
Pilot before and after study		patient interface has a home page	Method of analysis:		and ASMMI0116ST) and the
		with general news, semi-automated			Alzheimer Association
		tailored weekly messages from the	Descriptive		
		coach, and an action plan for the			
Quality score:		week.			
					Applicable to UK?
-		Target group: Community			
External validity score: -		dwelling older people			Yes
Litter funding scorer					

Kambaugh et al., 2011						
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation: 28 people were randomly assigned to a Wij	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):	
Kahlbaugh, 2011	Independent living residential	game or watching television	The UCLA Loneliness Scale version	For entire sample (not separated for	Small scale	
Country: USA	apartments	programmes of their choice.	3, the Positive and Negative Affect Scale (PANAS). The life	(SD=9.1) for pretest and 40.67	Limitations (review team):	
Aim of study:	Participants:	Intervention(s): Wii is a computerised version of leisure	satisfaction Scale, the MOS 36-item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36).	(11.8) for posttest,	Other simulation games other than	
To evaluate the effects of	4 men, 32 women, the mean age=82 (SD=9.8) dominantly white	activities, simulation games such as bowling.	Independence measures:	Positive mood: 36(7.3) and 31(7.7) for before and after respectively	blowing alone could have been	
compensatory strategies provided by Wij technology on physical activity	widowed, with at least a high school degree.	Control: TV control. and no visit	NA	Life satisfaction: 12,49(3,8), 11,94	Evidence gans:	
loneliness and mood.		control		(4.4).	Evidence gaps.	
Study design:	Inclusion:	Sample sizes: Assessed for	Other measures:	In figure, the Wii group presented		
Before and after	Healthy older people	eligibility: not known in detail but older people in good health in	Physical activity, health quotient.	graphically lower loneliness (p<0.005) and no group difference	Funding resources:	
Onelity george	Exclusion (reasons listed):	general.	Follow-up periods:	in positive mood, relative to the TV group. (precise figures in each	Provided by a CSU grant	
Quanty score:	Unknown	Randomised: yes	10 weeks	group at posttest not reported)	Applicable to UK?	
- External validity score:	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Baseline data: N=16 (Wii); N=12 (TV control); N=7 (no visit control)	Method of analysis:	Independence results	Yes	
	Participants were recruited via flyers posted in the residential facilities	Baseline comparisons: Loneliness:	Descriptive statistics, three repeated measure of ANOVAs, hierarchical	NA		
	and through informational sessions by the first author.	40 (9.0) for Wii, 41(9.20 for TV, 37 (10.0) for no visit control.	regression analyses.	Attrition: 1 person died.		
	Resident directors recruited seven	Positive mood: 36.8(7.3), 33.2 (7.3),		1/36 (2.8 %)		
	participants willing to serve as "no visit control". Participants were paid	33.7 (7.2). Life satisfaction: 12 (3.8), 12 (4.0), 13 (3.7).				
	\$5 per session.	Study power: Unknown				
		Intervention delivery: Research assistants were assigned to visit a participant either to play Wii or to watch TV, and stayed with that participant over the course.				
		Target group: healthy older people				

Kahlbaugh et al., 2011

Lagana et al., 2013						
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):	
Lagana, 2013	Non-institutionalised residents in community	Unknown	Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale	Self-esteem: 15.66 for intervention vs. 16.46 for control at posttest.	Small sample	
Country: USA Aim of study:	Participants:	Intervention(s): Computer and Internet training: one to one manualized training	SF-12 Health survey Independence measures:	There was no significant difference between groups in self-esteem after	Short follow-up period Most participants were non-white,	
To explore the impacts pf computer and internet training in older age and attempt to address the diversity	Mean age= 69.12 ± 10.37 , age range: $51-92$, 42 women and 18 men. $1/3$ white.	2 hour-session per week for 6 weeks	Computer self-efficacy Other measures:	Independence results	which limits generalization of the study results.	
gaps in the ethnogeriatric literature. Study design:	Inclusion: Being at least 50 years old	Control: The waiting list/control group: the	The Back Depression Inventory	The intervention group reported greater self-efficacy than the waitlist/control group F(1,56)=28.89	Limitations (review team):	
RCT	Being fluent in English	same training was administered to the group after their post-test.	The Older Adults' Computer Technology Attitudes Scale	(p=0.001). Attrition:	Unclear whether any drop outs or exclusions from analysis as this data	
+	six sessions of the one-to-one training	Assessed for eligibility: yes	Follow-up periods:	Unknown	used to assign individuals to intervention or control groups.	
External validity score: +	Staying in the area for the next two months	Randomised: yes Baseline data:	6 weeks Method of analysis:		Evidence gaps:	
	Being able to access a computer at their home.	N=60	MANCOVAs, Roy-Bargmann's stepdown analyses.			
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Baseline comparisons:			Funding resources:	
	Residing in an institutional setting	Self-esteem-15.66 for intervention, 15.76 for control group.			No conflict of interest	
	Being unable to grant informed consent	Study power:			Applicable to UK?	
	Having more 'minor' computer technology experience.	a-priori power analyses conducted 13 participants for the self-esteem variable based on Billipp's findings			Yes	
	Motivation/ referral/ payment: Participants were volunteered to take part in Sampling strategies	[ES=0.87] 12 people for computer self-efficacy variable [ES=0.04]				
	used were purposive sampling using	valiable [ES=0.94]				

their conn communit sampling participan were look older adul the study.	nections in their ethnic ities and snowballing by mentioning to research nts that the researchers king for referrals to other ilts who could participate in 7.	e for computer attitudes 87] cipants for depressive ms [ES=0.55] per limits of 30 participant aps chosen		
	Interver The first ensure th one com deviating instruction the training trainee a anomalic instruction Target g	ention delivery: st author trained all RAs to their effectiveness as one-on- nputer trainees and to avoid g from training manual ions and to keep a diary of ning experience with each and to document ies/deviations from the ions. group: older people		

Larsson et al., 2013

First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Larsson, 2013	Community	Not applicable	The Social Network offline & online and the UCLA Loneliness	Social network online & offline	The study results could have been biased by the first author, who also
Country: Sweden	Participants:	Intervention(s):	Scale.	No of social contacts on the internet	participated in data collection.
Aim of study:	Age 65-85	Client-centred occupational therapy intervention processes for	Independence measures:	Ann 1-2 vs. 5-6	
To explore how client-centred occupational therapy intervention	Inclusion:	meaningful Social Internet-Based Activities (SIBAs)	NA	Sven 1-2 vs. 1-2	Limitations (review team):
processes for meaningful Social Internet-Based Activities (SIBAs)	Living independently, 65 years or older, experiences from using the	Control:	Other measures:	Marie 1-2 vs. 5-6	
can be designed and to assess the impacts of SIBAs on seniors' social	internet, no regular or independent in SIBAs, access to the internet at	No control	The Assessment of Computer- Related Skills (ACRS).	Bengt 11-12 vs. 7-8	Evidence gaps:
activities and social contacts.	home.	Sample sizes:	Goal Attainment Scaling (GAS)	Greta 3-4 vs. 7-8	
Study design:	Exclusion (reasons listed):			The UCLA Loneliness Scale	Funding resources:
A mixed qualitative and quantitative study	Not listed	Assessed for eligibility:	Canadian Occupational Performance Measure (COPM)	Self-reported loneliness:	It was supported by the European
Quality score: -	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Baseline data:	Follow-up periods:	Ann 33 vs. 32	the Strategic Research programme in Care Science, Umea University, the
	All participants signed a voluntary consent letter before the	N=5	One month	Sven 38 vs. 37	Swedish Research Council's Linnaeus Grant.
External validity score: -	intervention.	Baseline comparisons:	Method of analysis:	Marie 36 vs. 40	Applicable to UK?
		Ann 1-2	A qualitative, descriptive, multiple case study.	Bengt 37 vs. 41	Yes
		Sven 1-2	Field notes and interviews	Greta 44 vs. 44	
		Marie 1-2		There were no significant differences in self-reported	
		Bengt 11-12		contacts.	
		Greta 3-4		Most participants reported expressed increased independence	
		Self-reported loneliness:		when using SIBAs.	
		Ann 33		Independence results	

Sven 3	38	Not applicable	
Marie	36	Attrition:	
Bengt	37	0%	
Greta	44		
Study	power:		
Not ap	oplicable.		
Interv	vention delivery:		
A mut individecide on par assign messa friend regula map. The in usually homes workp call or	tually agreed intervention and dual assignments were ed on for each week depending ticipant progress. The iment could be to reply to a ge using Facebook, call a using Skype, visit a forum rly, or draw a social network adividual meetings were y held in the participants' s and occasionally at the OT's place or via an online video nce a week for 1-2h.		

Mountain et al., 2014						
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):	
Mountain 2014	Participants recruited from general practices for a telephone-based	By centralised web-based randomisation service that allocated	Mental wellbeing: The Short Form Health Instrument (SF-36), mental	The mean SF-36 MH score at 6 months post-randomisation was 77.5	High attrition rate	
Country of study:	support initiative delivered in UK	participants to either the intervention or control condition	health (MH) dimension Functional health and well-being:	(SD 18.4) in the intervention group and 70.7 (SD 21.2) in the control	Early closure of the main trial resulting in not undertaking planned	
UK	Participants:	Intervention(s):	Other dimensions of the SF-36 Subjective wellbeing: The Office for	group, a non-significant mean difference of 6.5 (95% CI, -3.0 to	analysis, e.g. a cost-effectiveness analysis	
Aim of study:	Community-dwelling older adults (mean age: 82 and 80 in the	Telephone befriending intervention,	National Statistics (ONS) approach (Tinkler & Hicks, 2011)	16.0) or 9.5 (4.5 to 14.5), adjusting for age, sex and baseline scores	Limitations (review team):	
To evaluate the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a telephone	intervention and control group respectively)	led by volunteers	Optimistic self-beliefs about the ability to cope with difficult life:	Also for the other dimensions of the SF-36, the differences in quality of	Pilot study with preliminary study	
befriending intervention compared with usual health and social care	Inclusion:	Initial one-to-one befriending involved 10- to 20-minute calls once	General Perceived Self Efficacy (GSE) scale (Schwarzer &	life favoured the intervention group (i.e. role physical, bodily pain,	design and presented results	
provision for the maintenance of health-related quality of life and	Community-dwelling older adults	per week for up to 6 weeks made by the volunteer befriender to an	Jerusalem, 1995) Loneliness: The De Jong Gierveld	social functioning, physical component summary and mental	Evidence gaps:	
subjective well-being in community-based older people	aged 75 or over who had good cognitive function, lived	allocated participant One-to-one calls aimed to	& Kamphuls, 1985)	no significant results	Well-conducted studies evaluating theoretically informed interventions to	
Study design:	independently (alone or with others) or in sheltered housing could	familiarize the participant with the volunteer, conduct everyday	Independence measures:	scores between the intervention and control groups, observed for the	alleviate loneliness and reduce social isolation in older people are needed	
RCT, pilot study	Converse in English	participants for the telephone	Not applicable	other measures used, except for the ONS wellbeing total score (mean	Funding resources:	
Quality score:	Exclusion (reasons listed):	The friendship groups consisted of	Other measures:	difference 0.8 (95 % CI 0.2 to 1.4)	The Public Health Research	
++	telephone even if provided with	up to 6 participants and involved 1 hour teleconferences, at a pre-	Health status: The Euro Qol 5- Dimension (EO-5D, Brazier et al.,	Independence results	Applicable (PHK 09/ 3004/01)	
External validity score:	who lived in residential/nursing care homes, those who suffered from	arranged time, once per week for 12 weeks facilitated by the same	2007) ; Depression: The Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9,	Not applicable	Applicable to UK?	
++	cognitive decline and who were already receiving telephone	volunteer as had conducted one-to- one befriending	Spitzer et al., 1995)	Attrition:	res, the study origins from OK	
	interventions	Friendship groups did not aim to	Socio-demographic characteristics	Participants: 56/157 (36 %)		
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	induce behaviour change but to reduce social isolation by providing				
	General practices sent brief study information and invitations to	a safe environment for building relationships, sharing experiences,	Follow-up periods:			
	contact the research team to their clients Invitations were also sent to	companionship and support	At baseline and at 6-months post- randomisation			
	participants of an existing longitudinal observational study who had consented to be contracted	Control: No intervention - Usual health and	Method of analysis:			

about further research	social care provision	The analysis was largely descriptive	
Invitations were also issued to local	-	and focused on confidence interval	
NHS social care and third sector	Sampla sizes:	estimation	
organisations who agreed to	Sample sizes.	estimation	
organisations who agreed to			
distribute them	Assessed for eligibility:	A marginal general linear model	
		(GLM) with robust standard errors	
The group intervention was	N-178	and an exchangeable correlation to	
preceded by using one-to-one	N=170	compare the mean SE-36 MH scores	
talanhona hafrianding to anaourage		from the treatment and control	
telephone bernending to encourage	Randomised:	from the treatment and control	
participants to join telephone		groups were used	
friendship groups	N-157		
	11-157	A 95% CI for the between-arm	
		difference in scores was reported	
	Baseline data:	difference in scores was reported	
	N=78 (intervention)		
	N-79 (control)		
	~		
	Baseline comparisons:		
	Not reported		
	Ē		
	64 J		
	Study power:		
	Not powered to achieve statistical		
	significance		
	c		
	Intervention delivery		
	intervention derivery:		
	The intervention was led by trained		
	volunteers		
	The volunteers leading the		
	intervention were rearrited by a		
	intervention were recruited by a		
	local tranchise of a national UK		
	charity dedicated to improving the		
	lives of older people (Age UK)		
	Target group:		
	rarger group.		
	Community-dwelling older adults		

Newall et al., 2013

	gt			····	
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Newall 2013	SCWOW program delivered in	Not applicable	Loneliness	Participants were satisfied with the	No control condition – no causal
	Manitoba, Canada		Social isolation and meaningful	program and reported that SCWOW	relationships measured
Country of study: Canada		Intervention(s):	social contact	had several positive effects (e.g.,	
	Participants:			connecting to the	Small sample
Aim of study:	-	The SCWOW program	Independence measures:	larger community, affecting mental	-
	Older adults in Manitoba, Canada:	offering social and educational	*	well-being)	No validated measures used
To examine whether The Seniors	92% females: aged 57-85 years	sessions	Not applicable	No barriers to participation were	
Centre Without Walls (SCWOW)	(mean age: 71)	Sessions are offered at specific	III III	identified	Only self-reported measures
program was reaching its target	(g)	times and are facilitated by invited	Other measures:	The study suggests that telephone-	only sen reported measures
program was reaching its target	Inclusion	guests health professionals or	ouler measures.	based programs can successfully	Vounger alder adults (balow 60 years
feedback about program	inclusion.	staff and volunteers	Sociadamographia variablas	reach socially isolated older adults	of age) and man not well represented
implementation and perceived	All individuals taking part in	Participants are linked on the	Health and limitations (general	No statistics with significance levels	of age) and men not wen represented
satisfaction and impact	SCWOW assigns were aligible (M	telephone, calling in for particular	health arrive health mehlem	were reported	
satisfaction and impact	SCWOW sessions were eligible (N	sessions at a set time, with a session	limitations in substanticipants	T T	Limitations (review team):
Standar da starra	= 02)	leader	minitations in what participants	Independence results	
Study design:		leader	would like to do by their health,	independence results	No control group
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Control	income, or residence location)	Not applicable	
Exploratory design		Control.	program feedback	Not applicable	Evidence gaps:
	None listed	NT / 1		A 44 - 44 - 14	
Quality score:		No control group	Follow-up periods:	Attrition:	Research specifically targeting older
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	~			men and their participation in social
-		Sample sizes:	Telephone interviews	3/26 (10 %)	programs is scarce
	Not applicable		were conducted with participants		Further development programming
External validity score:		Assessed for eligibility:	near the end of each 4-month term		designed to facilitate friendship
					formations
+		N= 26			
			Method of analysis:		Funding resources:
		Randomised:			
			Quantitative and qualitative content		Canadian Institute
		Not applicable	analysis		of Health Research (CIHR) Post-
		Triedore			Doctoral Award in the area of
		Baseline data:			Longitudinal Study on
		Busenne uata.			Aging Social Sciences and
		N-26			Humanities Research Council of
		IN-20			Canada (SSHPC) Community
					University Research Alliance grant
		Baseline comparisons:			(no. 833 2007 1013)
					(110. 033-2007-1013)
		Most participants (73.1%)			
		lived alone			Applicable to UK?
		About 38% of the participants had			
		some high school education or			

	had completed high school,		Yes
	61.5% had some university		
	or a university degree		
	Participants reported that their		
	income met their needs "with		
	difficulty"		
	Although people generally reported		
	having "good" health, most people		
	(69%) had at least one health		
	problem that they considered serious		
	(e.g., nip problems, eyesignt loss)		
	42 % of the sample was socially isolated and more than half reported		
	being lonely		
	being foliery		
	Study power:		
	Not powered to achieve statistical		
	significance		
	6		
	Intervention delivery:		
	The project was completed in		
	organization Age & Opportunity		
	Winning Manitoba		
	, impeg, mantoba		
	Target group:		
	Socially isolated older adults		

Shapira et al., 2007

First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
This aution and year.	berning.	memor of anocation.	mental wendenig measures.	wentering results	Eminutions (aution).
Shapira 2007	Program delivered in a day care	Not applicable	Life satisfaction: Life-satisfaction	The study evidenced significant	Small sample size
	centre context in Israel		scale (LSS)	differences between the intervention	
Country of study: Israel		Intervention(s):	Perceived control: Sense of Mastery	and the comparison groups in all	The intervention sample consisted of a
	Participants:	Course in computer energian	Scale Life quality: Self Anchoring Scale	mental health and wellbeing	motivated group, which may have
Aim of study:	Older adults in Israel (mean age of	and Internet browsing	(SAS)	satisfaction ($F = 39.94$; $df = 1:33$;	blased the outcomes
To test the psychological impact of	80) who went to day-care centres for		Depression: Depressive adjective	p<0.001; η^2 =0.55); sense of control	Limitations (review team): Likely to
learning how to use computers and	the elderly or resided in nursing	The program lasted 15 weeks and	checklist	(F = 13.22; df = 1:33; p < 0.001;	be biased with high rate of attrition
the Internet in old age on well-being	homes	included one or two lessons per	Loneliness: UCLA loneliness scale	$\eta^2 = 0.29$) and life quality (F = 7.42;	
and personal sense of empowerment	To I down	week, each approximately 60	Perceived control	$df = 1:33$; p<0.01; η ^{-=0.18}) and significantly lower levels of	
	Inclusion:	minutes long	Independence measures:	depression (F = 10.00 ; df = $1:33$;	E-dames and
Study design:	Sufficient cognitive capability (as	Controle	independence incusures.	p<0.01; η^2 =0.23 and feeling of	Evidence gaps:
Study design.	reported by permanent sites' staff		Not applicable	loneliness (F = 34.71; df = 1:33;	A closer examination of the dynamics
Quasi-experimental study	who knew participants closely) to	A comparison group with		$p < 0.001; \eta^2 = 0.51$). Only for	of personal change prompted by
	participate in the offered activity	participants engaging in other	Other measures:	was found to be not statistically	computer and Internet use is warranted
Quality score:		activities	Commutan	significant (F = 2.24; $df = 1:33$;	F
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	a h i	Physical functioning	$\eta^2 = 0.06)$	Funding resources:
-	Exclusion (reasons listen).	Sample sizes:	Thysical functioning		This project was supported by a grant
External validity score:	None listed	Assessed for eligibility	Follow-up periods:		from Myers-JDC-Brookdale Institute
		rissessed for engronity.		Indonondonos regulta	of Gerontology and Human
+	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	N=22 (intervention)	At pre- and	Independence results	Development; Eshel, The Association
	Descrited based on		post-intervention four months after	Not applicable	for the Planning and Development of
	their willingness to participate and	N=26 (control)	the interventions	T T	Aged in Israel and the Fraenkel
	interest in the		Method of analysis:	Attrition:	Family Fund
	different activities organised	Randomised:	-		
			ANCOVA was employed for	9/48 (19 %)	Applicable to UK?
		Not applicable	controlling the effects of control		X
			differences on participants who		res
		Baseline data:	completed the activities		
		N-22 (interpretion)	1		
		19-22 (intervention)			
		N=26 (control)			
		Baseline comparisons:			

	Study power: Not powered to achieve statistical significance Intervention delivery:					
	The teaching was carried out in specially dedicated rooms The instructors, veteran teachers in the use of computers and Internet, were especially experienced in working with older people. They were assisted by volunteers, who provided participants with additional help and guidance when needed					
	Target group:					
Slegers et al., 2007 (and parallel publications in 2008 and 2012)						
---	---	--	--	---	---	--
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):	
Slegers 2007, 2008, 2012	Computer use training course in Maastricht, the Netherlands	Two-phase randomization procedure	Social well-being: the loneliness questionnaire (De Jong-Gierveld & Kamphuis 1986)	No significant group X time interaction effects for any of the groups for any measure	Self-reported measures used	
Country of study.	Participants:		Nature and frequency of	groups for any measure.	Limitations (review team):	
The Netherlands	Healthy community-dwelling older	Intervention(s):	participants' social networks Emotional well-being:	Evidenced differences in changes over time in the frequency of	Evidence gans:	
Aim of study:	adults aged between 64 and 75 years	Training including 3 4-hour training sessions over the	Psychological component of the SF- 36	contacting people x^2 (2, n=44)=7.93, p=.02) in the training – no	Future research should aim at	
To examine the causal relationship	Inclusion:	period of 2 weeks Computer instructors guided the	Locus of control: Belief in External Control scale (Andriessen, 1972)	intervention group – with no significant impacts on other groups.	identifying populations more sensitive	
of wellbeing, activity and autonomy	Healthy older adults	sessions	Perceived level of control in life: Mastery scale (Pearlin & Schooler	Participants in the no intervention	Funding resources:	
Study design:	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Control: No training– no intervention group	1978) Mood: 3 subscales of the	groups also considered themselves to be less active at the follow-ups (4	The Dutch Research Council (NWO: 014-91-048) and the Faculty of	
RCT	General mental functioning in a	No interest in computer use group	90-item Symptom Check List (SCL- 90; Arrindell & Ettema,	and 12 months) compared to baseline x^2 (2, n=50) =17.27,	Psychology, University Maastricht	
	cognitive disorder (score, 24 on the	Sample sizes:	1986)	p<.01).		
Quality score:	Mini-Mental State Examination, MMSE)	Assessed for eligibility:	Independence measures:	Significant interaction effects were	Applicable to UK?	
++	Participants with no prior active computer experience	N=366	Autonomy: 3 measures of (perceived) autonomy	use and time for the sense of mastery outcome ($F(2, 48) = 3.31$,	Yes	
External validity score:	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Randomised:	Other measures:	p= .04, showing that between baseline and the 12-month follow-		
+	Not reported	N= 236	Engagement in various activities	up, heavy computer users showed an increase on the Mastery scale -		
		Baseline data:	and volunteer work	whereas light users showed a significant decrease (p=.01).		
		Training and intervention group (n= 62)	Measures of computer use	Also, some significant changes over		
		Training – no intervention group (n=61)No training– no intervention	Physical well-being: Physical component of the 36-item Short-	time were evidenced for the frequency of meeting people – the		
		group (n= 68) No interest in computer use group	Form Health Survey (SF-36)	light computer users showed an increase between baseline and the 4-		
		(n=45)		month follow-up and a decrease after the 4-month follow-up, with x^2		
		Baseline comparisons:	Follow-up periods:	(2, n = 24) = 8.23, p = .01). For time spent on hobbies heavy computer		
		At baseline the groups did not differ with respect to	At baseline, after 4 and 12 months	users showed an increase over all time intervals, (Q $(2, n = 24) = 6.33$,		

demographic variables	Method of analysis:	n = 04)	
demographic variables	incention of unarysis.	P=.01)	
		T. J	
Baseline comparisons of the	Analyses of variance and	Independence results	
outcome variables showed	chi-square tests on all dependent		
differences in belief in external	variables	Not applicable	
control and time spent on light	General linear model with a		
sports	repeated-measures analysis	Attrition:	
We found differences between	of variance		
interested and not interested		22/226(14.0%)	
participants for the anxiety scale of		32/230 (14 %)	
the SCL -90: the former showed less			
anviety			
Deseline comparisons of			
Baseline comparisons of			
participants who dropped out of the			
study with participants who did not			
showed differences in level of			
education,			
with lower levels for dropouts; in			
the belief in external control,			
also with lower levels for dropouts;			
and the time spent on shopping,			
cooking, and doing personal			
care, with dropouts spending more			
time on these activities			
time on these ded vides			
94 1			
Study power:			
Powered to achieve statistical			
significance			
Intervention delivery:			
Not reported			
······			
Target group:			
Target Group.			
Haalthy alder adults living			
indexest dentity			
independently			

Studenski et al., 2010					
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Studenski 2010	Three senior living centres in the USA	Uncontrolled and unblinded	SF-36 mental components	SF-36 mental components: 3.9±8.2 (P=0.0180)	No control group
Country: USA	Participants:	Intervention(s): Three months of training and	Independence measures:	Completers reported improvement	There are differences between the balance tasks trained during the game
Aim of study:	Mean age 80.1+5.4 years, 83%	supervision using a video dance game particularly targeted at older	NA	in self- reported mental health.	and in the Short Physical Performance Battery Score (dynamic vs. static).
To assess health older adults' interests and participation in	women	people	Other measures:	Independence results	
interactive video dance games adapted for older people.	Inclusion:	Control:	Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, BMI, SPPB balance.	NA	
Study design:	People aged 65 and above with ability to walk half mile and without	No control	walk, chair rise, Narrow walk time (seconds), DSST, SF-36 physical	Attrition: 10/35 (28.5%)	Limitations (review team):
Before and after	medical problems such as chest pain at rest or during physical activity	Sample sizes:	component, balance confidence.		
Quality score:	and with no histories of hospitalization to A&E for the last 6	36	Follow-up periods:		Evidence gaps:
-	months, no history of falls and bone fracture	Assessed for eligibility:	Three months		
External validity score:	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Randomised:	Method of analysis:		Funding resources:
		Baseline data:	Wilcoxon signed rank tests for making comparisons between pre=		The study was funded by Humana Inc.
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	SF 36 mental component summary: 52.7 ± 7.9 for completers and 50.4 ± 10.5 f	and post-dance measurements to assess the significance of change.		Applicable to UK?
	Permission to participate was obtained from their physicians	(p=0.73)			Yes
	obtailed from their physicialis.	Baseline comparisons:			
		Study power:			
		Unknown			
		Intervention delivery: each site was led by a trained coordinator			
		Target group: healthy older people, who volunteered to take part in.			

Torp et al., 2008

First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Torp (2008)	Participant families homes;	Not applicable	Carers' <u>social contacts</u> (measured by	At follow-up, quantitative measures	Small sample and not a randomised
Aim of study:	The focus group interviews were conducted in a rehabilitation centre:	Intervention(s):	scale); <u>burden of care</u> (measured by the 15-item Relative Stress scale);	stress or mental health problems. However, carers reported extensive	Possible that in a focus group context
To explore whether family carers were able to make use of the ICT- based intervention to gain increased knowledge about the cared-for person's illness, caring and coping. To investigate if the intervention	A call centre run by experienced health personnel Participants:	Three 3-hour classes, over a 3-week period and administered in groups of 3-6 carers. A discussion forum was set up in	social support was measured with a 20-item scale, and <u>mental health</u> was measured with the 20-item version of the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-20).	use of the ICT service, more social contacts and increased support and less need for information about chronic illness and caring.	some participants may have withheld some sensitive information due to group pressure Some carers experienced that their spouse was negative to their use of the
enabled them to establish an informal support network. To examine it the intervention	Elderly spousal carers were recruited from two, mixed urban- rural, municipalities in eastern Norway.	which participants could provide information, pose questions and receive answers from other participants in the network either	and caring, stress and mental health and use of ICT (examined via a composite carer questionnaire).	The intervention also enhanced	ICT equipment and their contact with other carers. This may increase strain among both carers and the persons they care for.
helped to reduce carer stress and mental health problems.	Inclusion:	After a couple of months - 3 hours	Independence measures:	outside the carer network.	Due to the small-scale nature of the study, it is not possible to determine
Study design:	All selected participants had to meet the following criteria: (i) close relative of an elderly person with a diagnosis of domentia or stroke	of additional training on how to use and collect information from the Internet	None	Independence results Not applicable	which of the intervention's multiple dimensions were the most effective with regards to the outcomes of the study, and for whom
Quasi-experimental study (with a multi-method evaluation model)	living in the same household who (ii) wished to continue caring for the relative at home, (iii) were	A call centre run by experienced health personnel was established to provide help related to the use of the	Other measures: Use of ICT –based services through	Attrition:	Limitations (review team):
Quality score:	approximately 60 years of age or older, (iv) had preferably been a carer for less than 2 years, (v) were	ICT and receiving a professional advice and support.	data collected from focus group interviews.		Limited involvement of cared-for persons' in both the use of ICT and the social activities
+	not an advanced ICT user, and (vi) had Norwegian as their first language.	Control: No no-intervention control	Follow-up periods:	At follow-up (12 months later) all 19 carers took part in a focus group interview, and 18 filled out the questionnaire.	Evidence gaps: ?
External validity score: -	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Sample sizes:	Quantitative data collected immediately prior to the study and		Funding resources:
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Assessed for eligibility:	at 12 months. Qualitative data via focus group interviews with participant carers at		The study was supported by the Directorate for Health and Social Affairs and the Norwegian
	Most of the couples were referred to the project from general practitioners, hospital physicians,	The couples referred to the project were all interviewed by a project nurse in their own home regarding	7 months.		Association of Local and Regional Authorities.

and community care nurses	background variables and the		Applicable to UK?
and community care nurses.	aligibility aritaria		Applicable to UK:
Second second sector and the second s	engionity enteria.	Mathad of an also	V
Several were self-referred, having	Dan da mia di	wieniou of analysis:	1 08
learned about the project from a	Randomised:		
local voluntary organization and/or		The data from the focus group	
newspaper advertisement.	Not applicable	interviews were content analysed	
		together with the observation and	
The participants did not pay for the	Baseline data:	reflection notes taken during and	
equipment, the internet, or any of		immediately after the interviews.	
the other activities.	N=19	-	
		Descriptive statistics Cronbach's α -	
	In the baseline interview the project	values: and Wilcoxon signed ranks	
	nume collected information	test	
	nuise conected information	test.	
	regarding age, nousing, education,		
	occupation, public services, and		
	when the cared-for person received		
	their current diagnosis.		
	The self-administered carer		
	questionnaire contained questions		
	regarding ICT use, knowledge about		
	chronic disease and caring, social		
	network, social support, and mental		
	health.		
	Baseline comparisons:		
	r		
	Carer's mean scores with regards to		
	knowledge about disease and caring:		
	knowledge about disease and caring,		
	stress and montal health mehlang		
	stress, and mental health problems		
	from baseline to follow-up one year		
	later.		
	Study power:		
	A power calculation was not		
	estimated as due to funding		
	constraints it was only feasible to		
	recruit a maximum of 20 carers.		
	Intervention delivery:		
	A call centre was run by run by		
	experienced health personnel		
	Participant carers had monthly		
	aroup meetings together with the		
	group meetings together with the		

	staff at the call centre.		
	Every second month these meetings were 'formal' with an agenda, such as discussions about how the project was progressing and suggestions for further improving the service.		
	Professionals were sometimes invited to the meetings to lecture on topics that were of interest to the carers.		
	The carers agreed on the frequency of the meetings and the agenda for each meeting. At the carers' specific request, the meetings were purely for carers.		
	The cared-for persons were invited along with their carer to attend informal social gatherings with other participant families that were held twice a year.		
	Target group: carers		

Torp et al., 2013

First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Torp (2013)	Vestfold County in Norway				Small sample size
Country of study:	Participants:	Intervention(s):	Independence measures:	Independence results	Eight participants had participated in
Norway	79 informal carers	Safety Net intervention			the pliot project preceding Safety Net.
Aim of study:	Inclusion:	Control:	Other measures:		T • • • • • • • • • •
To investigate whether Safety Net participants (includes different	Eligibility criteria: (i) living in the same household as the person in	No	Data collected about use of Safety Net including frequency of use,	Other measures:	Limitations (review team):
groups of informal carers) could make use of ICT to gain increased knowledge about caring and coping	need of care; (ii) wishing to continue caring for their relative at home: (iii) willing to cover the cost	Sample sizes:	types of components used, and participants' satisfaction with the intervention.	The data was analysed by testing the differences in scores between the experienced (N=6) and novice	Evidence gaps:
and	of the equipment needed to access the services provided by Safety Net,	Randomised:	Follow-up periods:	(N=9) Safety Net participants. The results showed that experienced	
intervention would enable them to establish informal support networks	personal computer with a web camera and Internet connection; (iv)	Baseline data:		components extensively (mean score=5.3(SD=1.1)). The average	Funding resources:
and thereby adapt and self-manage their situation.	willing to take part in meetings with other carers in the network; and (v)	Not applicable	Method of analysis:	score on the five different components of Safety Net for	Applicable to UK?
Study design:	language.	Baseline comparisons:	Descriptive statistics; t-test	the experienced older participants rated the maximum satisfaction with	Yes
	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Study power:	Content analyses	Safety Net (7 out of 7-point scale) while the novice participants scored	
Quality score:		Intervention delivery:		M=3.8 (SD=1.3). The differences between the two groups were	
-	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	and Safety Net		significant for satisfaction with Safety Net (p<0.001), overall use of	
External validity score: ?	No one uniform approach was used to recruit potential carers. Participants were recruited through different channels including	Carers were able to maintain contact with each other by using a web camera and through group meetings		Safety Net (p<0.001), and use of web camera and discussion forum (p<0.001) respectively.	
	community care nurses, general practitioners, rehabilitation settings, hospitals, and various voluntary organizations.	After 12 months, 17 informal carers participated in focus group interviews and completed a short questionnaire.		Attrition:	
		Target group: Informal carers			

White et al. 2002					
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
White (2002)	Four congregate housing ² sites and two nursing facilities	Participants randomly assigned to either intervention or control group	(i)UCLA Loneliness scale (Lower score = less lonely; range: 20–60, 20	Although there was a trend toward decreased loneliness and depression	Extended follow-up period may be needed to capture the full effect of the
Country of study:	Participants	Intervention(a)	items)	in intervention subjects compared to	intervention;
US	100 participants (15% were African-	Intervet training	(ii) Modified CES Depression scale (Lower score = less depressed; range: 0, 20, 10 items)	significant changes from baseline to the end of trial between groups.	Possible inadequate targeting of the intervention to those most likely to
Aim of study:	American and 2% were Hispanic).	Control	(iii)Perceived Control scale (Lower	At the end of the trial, 60% of the	benefit;
To determine the psychosocial effects of providing Internet access	Inclusion:	Yes	score = less control; range: 8–32, 8 items)	intervention group continued to use the Internet on a weekly basis.	Perhaps a need for a more intense intervention;
to older adults	All residents of these communities were eligible to participate. At the pursing facilities health care	Sample sizes:	(iv) Life satisfaction (very satisfying, fairly satisfying;	Among Internet users $(n = 29)$ in the intervention group there were trends	Include an automatic computer measure of Internet time to more
Randomised controlled trial	personnel were asked to identify residents whom they thought had	100 participants entered the trial	moderately satisfying; somewhat satisfying; and not satisfying)	toward less loneliness, less depression, more positive attitudes	accurately track individual participants' use.
	the cognitive ability to participate.	Assessed for eligibility:		toward computers, and more confidants than among intervention	
Quality score:	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Health care personnel identified residents whom they thought had	Independence measures:	recipients who were not regular users $(n = 19)$ of this technology.	Limitations (review team): ?
+	Excluded as they lacked the cognitive ability to take part in the	the cognitive ability to participate.			
	study?	Randomised:	Other measures:	Independence results	Evidence gaps:
	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	At each of the six sites individual	(i)Attitudes Toward Computers		
External validity score: -	Information sessions open to all residents on the general use of	to either intervention or control group.	scale (Lower score = more favourable attitude; range: 9–36, 9 items;	Attrition:	Funding resources:
	provided at each facility.	51 participants randomised to the intervention group	(ii) Number of confidants	Out of 51 participants randomized to the intervention group 9 dropped	Not reported
	Volunteers were sought at these	intervention group.		out of the training but completed the follow-up interview. Reasons for	

² Congregate Housing is a shared living environment designed to integrate the housing and services needs of elders and younger disabled individuals. The goal of Congregate Housing is to increase self-sufficiency through the provision of supportive services in a residential setting. Congregate Housing is neither a nursing home nor a medical care facility. http://www.mass.gov/elders/housing/congregate-housing/

	sessions and through posted flyers	49 participants randomised to the		not completing training were health	Applicable to UK?
	sessions and unough posted flyers.	control group		problems $(n - 7)$ and insufficient	Applicable to OK.
		control group	Follow up poriod	time $(n - 2)$ One participant	Vac
		Deseline data:	ronow-up periods:	dropped out of training and refused	108
		Dasenne data:	• · · · · · ·	to complete the follow up interview	
			Interviews were conducted at	to complete the follow-up interview.	
		1)Age 2) Gender 3) Living situation	baseline and follow-up,		
		4) Educational level 5) Self-rated	approximately 20 weeks after	1 participant died before the study	
		health 6)Activity limitation due to	training started.	ended and 1 could not be tested at	
		health 7) IADL assistance 8)ADL		the time of the follow-up interview	
		assistance 9) Marital status 10)	Method of analysis:	due to progression of physical	
		Work status 11) Living environment	-	illness. A total of 39 intervention	
		12)Experience with computers 13)	Descriptive statistics;	participants (76% of the initial 51)	
		PC ownership	A	completed training and the follow-	
		_	Nonparametric Wilcoxon rank sum	up interview after five months.	
		Outcomes measures	test for continuous measures:		
			test for continuous measures,	A total of 48 intervention	
		(i) UCLA Loneliness scale	Chi Square test for categorical	participants (94% of the initial 51)	
		(ii) Modified CES Depression scale	mangurage	were used in the statistical analysis,	
		(ii) Perceived Control scale	measures;	including nine who dropped out of	
		(iv) Attitudes Toward Computers		training.	
		scale	An intention-to-treat model of		
		(v) Life satisfaction	analysis was used to compare the	Of the 49 participants randomized to	
		(v) Life satisfaction (vi) Number of confidents	intervention and control groups.	the control group 1 died 1 moved	
		(VI) Number of confidants		away and 2 were not tested at the	
				time of the follow-up interview	
				Therefore 45 control participants	
				(92% of the initial 49) were	
		Baseline comparisons:		(92%) of the initial 49) were	
		Busenne comparisons.		included in the statistical analysis.	
		There were no statistically			
		significant differences between the			
		intervention and control groups on			
		the 12 demographic veriables at			
		haseling			
		basenne.			
		Starder an annual			
		Study power:			
		Intervention delivery:			
		Following the baseline interview,			
		subjects were randomly assigned to			
		one of two study groups: (1) Internet			
		training; or (2) wait list control.			
		Control subjects were offered a			
		token gift to compensate them for			
		waiting five months for training.			
		Intervention subjects received 9			
L	1		1	1	1

hours of group training (3 two-hour sessions and 3 one-hour sessions, with 2 elderly participants per computer with 4-6 in a class) over a two-week period, which covered basic computer operation, use of e- mail, and an introduction to accessing the www.		
Computers were available for continued use over five months and the trainer was available 2 hours/week for questions.		
To avoid contamination, members of the intervention group were asked not to share what they were learning with members of the control group. Also, control group members were not provided access to the computer equipment.		
Interviews were conducted by two trained interviewers, at baseline and follow-up, approximately 20 weeks after training started.		
Target group: Older people without internet access		

Woodward et al., 2011 (with follow up in 2013)					
First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:			
Woodward 2011/2013	ICT usage training course targeting	Not applicable			

study.

First author and year:	Setting:	Method of allocation:	Mental wellbeing measures:	Wellbeing results	Limitations (author):
Woodward 2011/2013	ICT usage training course targeting healthy older adults living	Not applicable	Social support-related outcomes; Social networks online and offline:	Mental health and social support outcomes did not significantly	Initially a convenience sample randomised to intervention and control
Country of study:	independently in Michigan, US	Intervention(s):	Perceived social support measured by the Multidimensional Scale of	change in the 2011 study.	groups. Participants reported to be younger, had more education and
USA	Participants:	ICT usage training intervention with peer tutors	Perceived Social Support (MSPSS; Zimet et al., 1988)	Mental health and social support outcomes did not significantly	more use of ICT than reported in a community survey.
Aim of study:	Healthy older adults living	Bi-weekly for a total of 11 sessions	Loneliness measured by a six-item scale (De Jong Gierveld and Van Tilburg 2006)	change in the 2013 peer delivered version of the intervention.	Limitations (review team):
To test a peer tutor model (Technology and Aging Project TAP) to teach adults aged	72% female.	for beginners. In 2013 follow up delivered by peers – maximum of 20	Mental health-related	Independence results	Not clear how randomisation done in 2011 study.
60 and older how to use information and communication technologies	Mean age of the peer tutors in 2013 follow up was 66.5	sessions.	outcomes;	Not applicable	No analysis in the 2013 follow up of
(ICTs)		Control:	Quality of life (Flanagan, 1978). Depressive symptoms: Geriatric		the impacts on peer trainers.
Study design:	Inclusion:	Former intervention group with no tutors	Depression Scale (GDS; Yesavage et al.,1982)	Other measures: participants did report increased competence with	Evidence gaps:
with exploratory quasi-experimental follow up in 2013.	Healthy older adults aged over 60	Sample sizes:	Independence measures:	Attrition: 2011 study: 24/83: 29%.	Funding resources:
Quality score:	Exclusion (reasons listed):	Assessed for eligibility:	Not applicable	(No breakdown between intervention and control groups	Michigan State University Pearl J.
-	Not listed	Randomised:	Other measures:	provided)	Aldrich Faculty Research Award
External validity score:	Motivation/ referral/ payment:	Yes	Computer-related	No information provided for 2013 study – but participants attended on	Applicable to UK?
-	Not applicable	Baseline data:	outcomes	average 14.9 of 20 sessions.	Potentially could be implemented
		Experimental group: 45	Follow-up periods:		
		Control Group: 38	Baseline, 3 months, 6 months and 9 months (three months after the end		
		In 2013 follow up 19 individuals from control group became an intervention group and were taught	of the training)		
		by 6 peers who had been in the experimental group in the earlier	Method of analysis:		
		r or	Mine day and a start of the sta		

Mixed regression models (MRMs)

	Baseline comparisons:		
	No significant differences reported at baseline		
	Study power:		
	Not powered to achieve statistical significance		
	Intervention delivery:		
	Otsego County Commission on Aging (OCCOA), a community agency serving older adults in Otsego County, Michigan, USA		
	Target group:		
	Healthy older adults living Independently		

Appendix 3: Internal and External Validity Checklist

Quality Check	Arkoff	Bartlett	Basran	Bedding	Bernard	Blazun	Boise
Section 1: Population External Validity							
Is the source population or source area well described?	NR	NR	+	-	NR	+	+
Is the eligible population or area representative of the source population or area?	NR	NR	++	NR	NR	-	+
Do the selected participants or areas represent the eligible population or area?	NR	-	++	NR	NR	-	+
Section 2: Method of allocation to intervention (or comparison) (internal validity)							
Allocation to intervention (or comparison). How was confounding minimised	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Were interventions (and comparisons) well described and appropriate?	+	+	+	-	++	+	++
Was the allocation concealed?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Were participants or investigators blind to exposure and comparison?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Was the exposure to the intervention and comparison adequate?	NA	+	+	NA	NR	-	++
Was contamination acceptably low?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Were other interventions similar in both groups?	+	NA	NA	NA	NA	NR	NA
Were all participants accounted for at study conclusion?	NR	-	++	++	++	++	-
Did the setting reflect usual UK practice?	NA	+	NA	NR	NA	NA	-
Did the intervention or control comparison reflect usual UK practice?	NA	NA	NA	NR	NA	NA	-

	Arkoff	Bartlett	Basran	Bedding	Bernard	Blazun	Boise
Section 3: Outcomes (internal validity)							
Were outcome measures reliable?	++	++	+	NA	+	-	+
Were all outcome measurements complete?	NR	+	-	NA	+	+	-
Were all important outcomes assessed?	NR	++	NR	NA	-	-	+
Were outcomes relevant?	NA	++	++	NA	-	+	+
Were there similar follow-up times in exposure and comparison groups?	+	NA	NA	NA	NA	++	NA
Was follow-up time meaningful?	-	++	++	NA	NR	-	++
Section 4: Analyses (internal validity)							
Were exposure and comparison groups similar at baseline?	+	NA	NA	NA	NA	-	NA
Was intention to treat (ITT) analysis conducted?	NR	++	-	NA	+	-	-
Was the study sufficiently powered to detect an intervention effect (if one exists)?	NR	-	-	NA	NA	-	-
Were the estimates of effect size given or calculable?	++	++	++	NA	NA	++	++
Were the analytical methods appropriate?	-	-	-	NA	-	-	+
Was the precision of intervention effect given or calculable: were they meaningful?	+	++	+	NA	-	+	+
Section 5: Summary							
Are the study results internally valid (i.e. unbiased)?	-	-	-	NA	-	-	-
Are the findings generalisable to the source population (i.e. externally valid)?	-	-	+	NA	-	-	+
Overall quality assessment	-		-	-	-	-	-

				Caprara 2013 & Fernandez			
		Campbell	Campbell	Ballesteros	Cohen	Cohen	.
Quality Check	Butler	2004	2005	2005	2006	2007	Collins
Section 1: Population External Validity							
Is the source population or source area well described?	+	-	-	-	++	++	-
Is the eligible population or area representative of the source population or area?	+	-	-	+	-	-	+
Do the selected participants or areas represent the eligible population or area?	-	+	+	+	-	-	+
Section 2: Method of allocation to intervention (or comparison) (internal validity)							
Allocation to intervention (or comparison). How was confounding minimised	NA	NA	NA	-	NR	NR	NA
Were interventions (and comparisons) well described and appropriate?	++	++	++	++	++	++	++
Was the allocation concealed?	NA	NA	NA	-	NR	NR	NA
Were participants or investigators blind to exposure and comparison?	NA	NA	NA	-	NR	NR	NA
Was the exposure to the intervention and comparison adequate?		NA	NA	++	NR	NR	+
Was contamination acceptably low?		NA	NA	NR	NR	NR	NA
Were other interventions similar in both groups?		NA	NA	NR	NA	NA	NR
Were all participants accounted for at study conclusion?	++	NR	NR	-	+	+	NR
Did the setting reflect usual UK practice?	+	+	+	-	NA	NA	NA
Did the intervention or control comparison reflect usual UK practice?	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	NA

		Comphell	Comphell	Caprara 2013 & Fernandez	Caban	Cohon	
	Butler	2004	2005	2005	2006	2007	Collins
Section 3: Outcomes (internal validity)							
Were outcome measures reliable?	+	+	+	-	++	++	+
Were all outcome measurements complete?	-	-	-	-	+	+	NR
Were all important outcomes assessed?	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
Were outcomes relevant?	+	+	+	+	++	++	++
Were there similar follow-up times in exposure and comparison groups?	NA	NA	NA	++	++	++	++
Was follow-up time meaningful?	NA	NA	NA	++	NR	NR	NR
Section 4: Analyses (internal validity)							
Were exposure and comparison groups similar at baseline?	NA	NA	NA	-	-	-	NA
Was intention to treat (ITT) analysis conducted?	NA	NA	NA	-	NA	NA	+
Was the study sufficiently powered to detect an intervention effect (if one exists)?	NA	NA	-	-	-	-	NR
Were the estimates of effect size given or calculable?	NA	+	+	++	-	-	++
Were the analytical methods appropriate?	-	-	-	+	+	+	++
Was the precision of intervention effect given or calculable: were they meaningful?	NA	-	-	++	-	-	+
Section 5: Summary							
Are the study results internally valid (i.e. unbiased)?	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Are the findings generalisable to the source population (i.e. externally valid)?	-	-	-	+	+	-	+
Overall quality assessment	-	-	-	-	+	-	-

		Corneio			Creech 2013 Hallam		de
Quality Check	Cook	2013 a,b	Cotten	Coulton	2014	Davidson	Medeiros
Section 1: Population External Validity							
Is the source population or source area well described?	-	NR	-	NR	-	NR	-
Is the eligible population or area representative of the source population or area?	-	-	+	NR	-	+	++
Do the selected participants or areas represent the eligible population or area?	-	-	-	NR	+	NR	++
Section 2: Method of allocation to intervention (or comparison) (internal validity)							
Allocation to intervention (or comparison). How was confounding minimised	NA	NA	++	++	NA	NA	NR
Were interventions (and comparisons) well described and appropriate?	++	++	++	++	++	++	++
Was the allocation concealed?	NA	NA	NR	NR	NA	NA	NR
Were participants or investigators blind to exposure and comparison?	NA	NA	NR	-	NA	NA	+
Was the exposure to the intervention and comparison adequate?	+	NA	+	++	+	-	++
Was contamination acceptably low?	NA	NA	NR	++	NA	NA	++
Were other interventions similar in both groups?	NR	NA	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Were all participants accounted for at study conclusion?	-	++	NA	+	-	+	++
Did the setting reflect usual UK practice?	+	NA	-	++	+	NA	NA
Did the intervention or control comparison reflect usual UK practice?	+	NA	-	+	+	NA	NA

	Cornejo Cook 2013 a b Co		•		Creech 2013 Hallam	de	
Casting 2. Outperson (intermediation)	Cook	2013 a,b	Cotten	Coulton	2014	Davidson	Medeiros
Section 3: Outcomes (Internal validity)				11			
were outcome measures reliable?	+	-	+	++ (1.1	+	++	+
Were all outcome measurements complete?	-	NR	-	++ ())	-	+	NR
Were all important outcomes assessed?	+	NR	-	++	+	+	+
Were outcomes relevant?	++	NA	+	·++	++	+	++
Were there similar follow-up times in exposure and comparison groups?	NA	NA	NA	·++	++	+	++
Was follow-up time meaningful?	+	NR	NA	'+	+	-	NR
Section 4: Analyses (internal validity)							
Were exposure and comparison groups similar at baseline?	NA	NA	NR	++	++	NA	++
Was intention to treat (ITT) analysis conducted?	NR	NA	NR	++	-	++	++
Was the study sufficiently powered to detect an intervention effect (if one exists)?	-	NA	NR	++	-	-	-
Were the estimates of effect size given or calculable?	+	NA	-	'++	-	+	-
Were the analytical methods appropriate?	-	-	+	'++	-	+	+
Was the precision of intervention effect given or calculable: were they meaningful?	+	NA	-	'+	-	+	-
Section 5: Summary							
Are the study results internally valid (i.e. unbiased)?	-	-	-	'++	-	-	+
Are the findings generalisable to the source population (i.e. externally valid)?	-	-	-	+	-	-	+
Overall quality assessment	-	-		'++	+	-	+

				2005a,0
-	-	NR	-	-
+	+	NR	+	+
+	+	NR	+	+
++	++	NR	-	-
++	++	+	++	++
++	++	NR	-	-
++	++	NR	-	-
++	++	NR	++	++
++	++	NR	NR	NR
++	++	NR	NR	NR
++	-	-	-	-
NA	NA	NA	-	-
NA	NA	NA	+	+
	- + + + + ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ NA NA	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	NR + + H NR + NR + H NR + NR + H NR + H H H + H H + H NR + H	

	de Souza	Dickens	Dow	Ducharme 2011	Ducharme 2012	Eyigor	Fernandez Ballesteros 2004	Fernandez Ballesteros 2005 a,b
Section 3: Outcomes (internal validity)								
Were outcome measures reliable?	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Were all outcome measurements complete?	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Were all important outcomes assessed?	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-
Were outcomes relevant?	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+
Were there similar follow-up times in exposure and comparison groups?	++	++	NA	++	++	++	++	++
Was follow-up time meaningful?	++	+	-	++	++	-	++	++
Section 4: Analyses (internal validity)								
Were exposure and comparison groups similar at baseline?	++	-	NA	++	++	-	-	-
Was intention to treat (ITT) analysis conducted?	++	++	++	++	++	-	-	-
Was the study sufficiently powered to detect an intervention effect (if one exists)?	NR	++	-	-	+	-	-	-
Were the estimates of effect size given or calculable?	++	++	-	+	+	-	++	++
Were the analytical methods appropriate?	++	++	-	+	+	-	+	+
Was the precision of intervention effect given or calculable: were they meaningful?	++	++	-	+	+	-	++	++
Section 5: Summary								
Are the study results internally valid (i.e. unbiased)?	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-
Are the findings generalisable to the source population (i.e. externally valid)?	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+
Overall quality assessment	++	+		+	+	-	-	-

Quality Check	Fernandez Ballesteros 2005b	Fernandez Ballesteros 2012	Fernandez Ballesteros 2013	Fitzpatrick	Frieswijk	Fujiwara	Greaves
Section 1: Population External Validity							
Is the source population or source area well described?	-	+	+	+	++	-	++
Is the eligible population or area representative of the source population or area?	+	+	+	-	++	NR	-
Do the selected participants or areas represent the eligible population or area?	+	-	-	-	++	NR	-
Section 2: Method of allocation to intervention (or comparison) (internal validity)							
Allocation to intervention (or comparison). How was confounding minimised	-	-	-	-	++	NA	NA
Were interventions (and comparisons) well described and appropriate?	++	++	++	+	++	++	+
Was the allocation concealed?	-	-	-	NR	++	NA	NA
Were participants or investigators blind to exposure and comparison?	-	-	-	NR	NR	+	NR
Was the exposure to the intervention and comparison adequate?	++	++	++	NR	++	++	NR
Was contamination acceptably low?	NR	++	++	NR	++	NA	NR
Were other interventions similar in both groups?	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NA
Were all participants accounted for at study conclusion?	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
Did the setting reflect usual UK practice?	-	-	-	NA	+	NA	+
Did the intervention or control comparison reflect usual UK practice?	+	-	-	NA	+	NA	+

	Fernandez Ballesteros 2005 b	Fernandez Ballesteros 2012	Fernandez Ballesteros 2013	Fitzpatrick	Frieswijk	Fujiwara	Greaves
Section 3: Outcomes (internal validity)							
Were outcome measures reliable?	-	+	+	++	+	+	++
Were all outcome measurements complete?	-	-	-	+	+	++	+
Were all important outcomes assessed?	-	+	+	+	+	++	+
Were outcomes relevant?	+	++	++	++	++	++	++
Were there similar follow-up times in exposure and comparison groups?	++	++	++	-	++	++	NA
Was follow-up time meaningful?	++	++	++	-	++	++	+
Section 4: Analyses (internal validity)							
Were exposure and comparison groups similar at baseline?	-	++	++	NR	++	++	NA
Was intention to treat (ITT) analysis conducted?	-	-	-	NA	+	++	NA
Was the study sufficiently powered to detect an intervention effect (if one exists)?	-	-	-	-	NR	-	-
Were the estimates of effect size given or calculable?	++	++	++	-	++	++	-
Were the analytical methods appropriate? Was the precision of intervention effect given or calculable; were they	+	++	++	-	++	-	-
meaningful?	++	++	++	-	+	++	-
Section 5: Summary							
Are the study results internally valid (i.e. unbiased)?	-	-	-	-	++	+	-
Are the findings generalisable to the source population (i.e. externally valid)?	+	++	++	-	++	-	-
Overall quality assessment	-	+	+	-	++	+	-

Quality Check	Greenfield	Hanser	Haslam	Hernandez	Herrmann	Honigh-de Vlaming	Jimison
Section 1: Population External Validity							
Is the source population or source area well described?	-	NR	-	+	-	++	NR
Is the eligible population or area representative of the source population or area?	+	-	++	+	+	-	NR
Do the selected participants or areas represent the eligible population or area?	+	-	-	+	+	-	NR
Section 2: Method of allocation to intervention (or comparison) (internal validity)							
Allocation to intervention (or comparison). How was confounding minimised	++	NA	++	NR	+	NA	NA
Were interventions (and comparisons) well described and appropriate?	-	++	++	++	++	+	++
Was the allocation concealed?	NA	NA	NR	NR	NR	NR	NA
Were participants or investigators blind to exposure and comparison?	NA	NA	+	NR	NR	NR	NA
Was the exposure to the intervention and comparison adequate?	NA	NA	++	++	++	NR	NA
Was contamination acceptably low?	++	NA	++	NR	++	NR	NA
Were other interventions similar in both groups?	NA	NR	NR	NR	++	NR	NR
Were all participants accounted for at study conclusion?	++	+	NR	++	++	+	++
Did the setting reflect usual UK practice?	NA	NA	NA	-	NA	NA	-
Did the intervention or control comparison reflect usual UK practice?	NA	NA	NA	-	NA	NA	-

	Greenfield	Hanser	Haslam	Hernandez	Herrmann	Honigh-de Vlaming	Jimison
Section 3: Outcomes (internal validity)							
Were outcome measures reliable?	+	-	+	-	+	++	++
Were all outcome measurements complete?	+	NA	NR	+	+	+	++
Were all important outcomes assessed?	+	-	+	+	+	+	-
Were outcomes relevant?	+	+	++	+	+	++	+
Were there similar follow-up times in exposure and comparison groups?	NA	NA	++	+	+	+	NA
Was follow-up time meaningful?	NA	+	NR	NR	+	-	+
Section 4: Analyses (internal validity)							
Were exposure and comparison groups similar at baseline?	+	NA	+	NR	++	-	NA
Was intention to treat (ITT) analysis conducted?	NA	-	+	++	+	NA	+
Was the study sufficiently powered to detect an intervention effect (if one exists)?	+	-	NR	NA	NR	-	NA
Were the estimates of effect size given or calculable?	+	+	-	+	++	-	NR
Were the analytical methods appropriate?	+	+	+	-	+	-	+
Was the precision of intervention effect given or calculable: were they meaningful?	NR	+	-	-	++	-	NR
Section 5: Summary							
Are the study results internally valid (i.e. unbiased)?	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
Are the findings generalisable to the source population (i.e. externally valid)?	+	-	-	-	+	+	-

Quality Check	Kalbaugh	Kamei	Kremers 2006/2007	Lagana	Larsson	Lawlor
Section 1: Population External Validity						
Is the source population or source area well described?	-	-	+	-	-	++
Is the eligible population or area representative of the source population or area?	+	-	+	+	-	++
Do the selected participants or areas represent the eligible population or area?	+	-	-	+	+	++
Section 2: Method of allocation to intervention (or comparison) (internal validity)						
Allocation to intervention (or comparison). How was confounding minimised	++	NA	++	+	NA	++
Were interventions (and comparisons) well described and appropriate?	++	++	++	++	++	++
Was the allocation concealed?	NR	NA	NR	NR	NA	++
Were participants or investigators blind to exposure and comparison?	NR	NA	NR	NR	NA	++
Was the exposure to the intervention and comparison adequate?	+	NA	++	++	NA	++
Was contamination acceptably low?	NR	NA	++	NR	NA	++
Were other interventions similar in both groups?	NR	NA	NR	NR	NA	++
Were all participants accounted for at study conclusion?	++	+	-	NR	++	++
Did the setting reflect usual UK practice?	-	NA	NR	-	+	+
Did the intervention or control comparison reflect usual UK practice?	-	NA	NA	-	+	+

			Kremers	j				
	Kalbaugh	Kamei	2006/2007	Lagana	Larsson	Lawlor		
Section 3: Outcomes (internal validity)								
Were outcome measures reliable?	+	+	+	+	+	+		
Were all outcome measurements complete?	+	+	+	+	+	-		
Were all important outcomes assessed?	+	+	+	+	+	++		
Were outcomes relevant?	+	+	++	++	+	++		
Were there similar follow-up times in exposure and comparison groups?	++	NA	++	++	++	++		
Was follow-up time meaningful?	++	+	++	-	+	++		
Section 4: Analyses (internal validity)								
Were exposure and comparison groups similar at baseline?	++	NA	++	+	NA	++		
Was intention to treat (ITT) analysis conducted?	++	+	-	NR	NA	+		
Was the study sufficiently powered to detect an intervention effect (if one exists)?	NR	NR	NR	++	-	++		
Were the estimates of effect size given or calculable?	-	++	++	+	+	++		
Were the analytical methods appropriate?	+	+	+	+	+	++		
Was the precision of intervention effect given or calculable: were they meaningful?	-	++	+	-	-	++		
Section 5: Summary								
Are the study results internally valid (i.e. unbiased)?	-	-	+	+	-	++		
Are the findings generalisable to the source population (i.e. externally valid)?	-	-	-	-	-	++		

Overall	quality	assessment
---------	---------	------------

-	+	+	-	++

			Martina	Martina			
Quality Check	Lee	Malekafzali	2006	2012	Marx	Mehta	Morita
Section 1: Population External Validity							
Is the source population or source area well described?	-	++	+	+	NR	+	-
Is the eligible population or area representative of the source population or area?	++	+	+	+	+	-	+
Do the selected participants or areas represent the eligible population or area?	++	-	-	-	-	-	-
Section 2: Method of allocation to intervention (or comparison) (internal validity)							
Allocation to intervention (or comparison). How was confounding minimised	++	NA	-	-	-	NA	NA
Were interventions (and comparisons) well described and appropriate?	++	+	++	++	++	+	+
Was the allocation concealed?	++	NA	-	-	-	NA	NA
Were participants or investigators blind to exposure and comparison?	+	NA	-	-	-	NA	NA
Was the exposure to the intervention and comparison adequate?	++	NA	++	++	++	NA	+
Was contamination acceptably low?	NR	NA	++	++	-	NA	NA
Were other interventions similar in both groups?	NR	NA	NR	NR	NR	NA	NR
Were all participants accounted for at study conclusion?	++	-	++	++	-	++	++
Did the setting reflect usual UK practice?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	+	NA
Did the intervention or control comparison reflect usual UK practice?	NA	NA	NA	NA	+	+	NA

	Lee	Malekafzali	Martina 2006	Martina 2012	Marx	Mehta	Morita
Section 3: Outcomes (internal validity)							
Were outcome measures reliable?	+	-	+	+	-	-	+
Were all outcome measurements complete?	+	NR	+	+	-	++	++
Were all important outcomes assessed?	+	-	+	+	-	-	+
Were outcomes relevant?	++	-	++	++	-	+	+
Were there similar follow-up times in exposure and comparison groups?	++	NA	++	++	+	NA	++
Was follow-up time meaningful?	-	+	++	++	+	+	+
Section 4: Analyses (internal validity)							
Were exposure and comparison groups similar at baseline?	++	NA	+	+	-	NA	NA
Was intention to treat (ITT) analysis conducted?	++	NA	++	++	-	++	++
Was the study sufficiently powered to detect an intervention effect (if one exists)?	+	-	NR	NR	-	-	-
Were the estimates of effect size given or calculable?	++	-	++	++	-	+	-
Were the analytical methods appropriate?	+	-	++	++	-	+	+
Was the precision of intervention effect given or calculable: were they meaningful?	++	-	++	++	-	-	-
Section 5: Summary							
Are the study results internally valid (i.e. unbiased)?	++	-	+	+	-	-	-
Are the findings generalisable to the source population (i.e. externally valid)?	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
Overall quality assessment			Ŧ	1	_		
Overall quality assessment	++	-	+	+	-	-	-

Quality Check	Mountain	Mui	Newall	O'Shea	Orte	Роре
Section 1: Population External Validity						
Is the source population or source area well described?	++	+	++	++	++	-
Is the eligible population or area representative of the source population or area?	+	++	+	++	++	+
Do the selected participants or areas represent the eligible population or area?	+	++	-	+	+	+
Section 2: Method of allocation to intervention (or comparison) (internal validity)						
Allocation to intervention (or comparison). How was confounding minimised	++	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Were interventions (and comparisons) well described and appropriate?	++	++	++	+	++	++
Was the allocation concealed?	++	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Were participants or investigators blind to exposure and comparison?	+	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Was the exposure to the intervention and comparison adequate?	++	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Was contamination acceptably low?	NR	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Were other interventions similar in both groups?	NR	NR	NA	NA	NA	NA
Were all participants accounted for at study conclusion?	++	+	++	NA	-	++
Did the setting reflect usual UK practice?	+	NA	NA	+	-	NA
Did the intervention or control comparison reflect usual UK practice?	++	NA	NA	+	-	NA

	Mountain	Mui	Newall	O'Shea	Orte	Роре
Section 3: Outcomes (internal validity)						
Were outcome measures reliable?	+	-	-	-	-	+
Were all outcome measurements complete?	-	++	-	NR	NR	-
Were all important outcomes assessed?	+	-	-	NA	NR	+
Were outcomes relevant?	+	-	+	+	+	+
Were there similar follow-up times in exposure and comparison groups?	++	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Was follow-up time meaningful?	+	+	NA	+	++	++
Section 4: Analyses (internal validity)						
Were exposure and comparison groups similar at baseline?	++	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Was intention to treat (ITT) analysis conducted?	++	++	NA	NA	-	-
Was the study sufficiently powered to detect an intervention effect (if one exists)?	-	-	NA	NA	NA	NA
Were the estimates of effect size given or calculable?	+	-	NA	NA	NR	++
Were the analytical methods appropriate?	+	-	-	+	NR	+
Was the precision of intervention effect given or calculable: were they meaningful?	-	-	NA	-	-	++
Section 5: Summary						
Are the study results internally valid (i.e. unbiased)?	+		-	-	-	-
Are the findings generalisable to the source population (i.e. externally valid)?	++	++	+	++	+	+
Overall quality assessment	++	-	_	-	_	_

Quality Check	Portero	Power	Rosenbaum	Saito	Savundranayagam	Scott
Section 1: Population External Validity						
Is the source population or source area well described?	++	++	NR	+	-	-
Is the eligible population or area representative of the source population or area?	++	+	NR	+	+	+
Do the selected participants or areas represent the eligible population or area?	++	-	NR	+	NR	+
Section 2: Method of allocation to intervention (or comparison) (internal validity)						
Allocation to intervention (or comparison). How was confounding minimised	NA	NA	NA	++	NA	NA
Were interventions (and comparisons) well described and appropriate?	++	++	NA	+	++	++
Was the allocation concealed?	NA	NA	NA	++	NA	NA
Were participants or investigators blind to exposure and comparison?	NA	NA	NA	-	NR	NA
Was the exposure to the intervention and comparison adequate?	++	NA	NA	+	+	++
Was contamination acceptably low?	NA	NA	NA	++	NA	NR
Were other interventions similar in both groups?	NA	NA	NA	NR	NR	NR
Were all participants accounted for at study conclusion?	+	++	NA	++	-	++
Did the setting reflect usual UK practice?	-	-	+	NA	NA	NA
Did the intervention or control comparison reflect usual UK practice?	-	-	+	NA	NA	NA

	Portero	Power	Rosenbaum	Saito	Savundranayagam	Scott
Section 3: Outcomes (internal validity)						
Were outcome measures reliable?	+	-	-	+	+	+
Were all outcome measurements complete?	+	NA	++	NR	-	+
Were all important outcomes assessed?	+	NA	-	NR	-	+
Were outcomes relevant?	+	+	+	++	-	+
Were there similar follow-up times in exposure and comparison groups?	NA	NA	NA	++	+	+
Was follow-up time meaningful?	++	NA	-	+	+	
Section 4: Analyses (internal validity)						
Were exposure and comparison groups similar at baseline?	NA	NA	NA	+	+	+
Was intention to treat (ITT) analysis conducted?	++	NA	++	++	-	++
Was the study sufficiently powered to detect an intervention effect (if one exists)?	NR	NA	-	-	-	-
Were the estimates of effect size given or calculable?	++	NA	-	+	-	-
Were the analytical methods appropriate?	+	+	-	+	+	-
Was the precision of intervention effect given or calculable: were they meaningful?	++	NA	-	+	-	-
Section 5: Summary						
Are the study results internally valid (i.e. unbiased)?	+	-	-	+	-	-
Are the findings generalisable to the source population (i.e. externally valid)?	+	-	-	+	-	-

Are the findings generalisable to the source population (i.e. externally valid)?

+

			Slegers 2007, 2008,			
Quality Check	Seinfield	Shapira	2012	Sole	Stevens	Studenski
Section 1: Population External Validity						
Is the source population or source area well described?	-	-	+	-	+	-
Is the eligible population or area representative of the source population or area?	+	-	+	NR	+	-
Do the selected participants or areas represent the eligible population or area?	NR	-	+	+	-	-
Section 2: Method of allocation to intervention (or comparison) (internal validity)						
Allocation to intervention (or comparison). How was confounding minimised	-	NR	+	NA	-	NA
Were interventions (and comparisons) well described and appropriate?	++	++	++	+	++	++
Was the allocation concealed?	-	NR	NR	NA	-	NA
Were participants or investigators blind to exposure and comparison?	-	+	NR	NA	-	NA
Was the exposure to the intervention and comparison adequate?	++	++	++	++	++	NA
Was contamination acceptably low?	++	++	++	NA	++	NA
Were other interventions similar in both groups?	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NA
Were all participants accounted for at study conclusion?	-	-	+	-	++	-
Did the setting reflect usual UK practice?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-
Did the intervention or control comparison reflect usual UK practice?	NA	NA	+	NA	NA	-

	Slegers 2007, 2008,					
	Seinfield	Shapira	2012	Sole	Stevens	Studenski
Section 3: Outcomes (internal validity)						
Were outcome measures reliable?	+	+	+	+	+	+
Were all outcome measurements complete?	-	-	+	-	+	-
Were all important outcomes assessed?	+	+	+	-	+	+
Were outcomes relevant?	++	++	++	+	++	+
Were there similar follow-up times in exposure and comparison groups?	++	++	++	++	++	NA
Was follow-up time meaningful?	++	++	++	++	++	+
Section 4: Analyses (internal validity)						
Were exposure and comparison groups similar at baseline?	+	-	+	-	+	NA
Was intention to treat (ITT) analysis conducted?	-	-	+	NR	++	-
Was the study sufficiently powered to detect an intervention effect (if one exists)?	-	NR	++	-	NR	NA
Were the estimates of effect size given or calculable?	++	+	++	+	+	+
Were the analytical methods appropriate?	+	-	+	-	+	+
Was the precision of intervention effect given or calculable: were they meaningful?	-	+	++	+	+	+
Section 5: Summary						
Are the study results internally valid (i.e. unbiased)?	+	-	++	-	+	-
Are the findings generalisable to the source population (i.e. externally valid)?	-	-	+	-	-	-
Overall quality assessment		+	++	-	+	-

	Torp	Torp				
Quality Check	2008	2013	Travers	White	Won	Woodward
Section 1: Population External Validity						
Is the source population or source area well described?	+	+	-	-	-	-
Is the eligible population or area representative of the source population or area?	+	+	+	+	+	+
Do the selected participants or areas represent the eligible population or area?	-	+	-	+	+	-
Section 2: Method of allocation to intervention (or comparison) (internal validity)						
Allocation to intervention (or comparison). How was confounding minimised	NA	NA	NA	++	NA	+
Were interventions (and comparisons) well described and appropriate?	++	++	+	++	++	++
Was the allocation concealed?	NA	NA	NA	NR	NA	NR
Were participants or investigators blind to exposure and comparison?	NA	NA	NA	NR	NA	NR
Was the exposure to the intervention and comparison adequate?	++	+	++	++	++	++
Was contamination acceptably low?	NA	NA	NA	++	NA	NR
Were other interventions similar in both groups?	NA	NR	NA	NR	NA	NR
Were all participants accounted for at study conclusion?	++	++	-	+	-	-
Did the setting reflect usual UK practice?	+	-	NA	NA	NA	NA
Did the intervention or control comparison reflect usual UK practice?	+	-	NA	NA	NA	NA

	Torp 2008	Torp 2013	Travers	White	Won	Woodward
Section 3: Outcomes (internal validity) Were outcome measures reliable?						
Were outcome measures reliable?	+	-	-	+	+	+
Were all outcome measurements complete?	+	NA	-	+	-	NR
Were all important outcomes assessed?	+	-	+	++	+	++
Were outcomes relevant?	+	+	+	+	+	+
Were there similar follow-up times in exposure and comparison groups?	NA	NA	NA	++	NA	++
Was follow-up time meaningful?	+	+	+	++	+	++
Section 4: Analyses (internal validity)						
Were exposure and comparison groups similar at baseline?	NA	NA	NA	+	NA	+
Was intention to treat (ITT) analysis conducted?	++	NA	-	++	-	NR
Was the study sufficiently powered to detect an intervention effect (if one exists)?	-	NA	-	NR	-	NR
Were the estimates of effect size given or calculable?	++	-	-	++	++	-
Were the analytical methods appropriate?	+	-	+	+	+	+
Was the precision of intervention effect given or calculable: were they meaningful?	+	-	-	+	++	-
Section 5: Summary						
Are the study results internally valid (i.e. unbiased)?	-		-	+	-	-
Are the findings generalisable to the source population (i.e. externally valid)?	-	+		-	+	-
Overall quality assessment	+	_	-	+	-	-
Appendix 4: Search Strategies

Searches were run in March 2014 with the exception of DARE databases which were searched in July 2014.

Review 1 Syntax search strategy Medline

- 1. Aged/
- 2. Retirement/
- 3. Elder*.ti,ab
- 4. Frail*.ti,ab
- 5. Geriatric*.ti,ab
- 6. Gerontology.ti,ab
- 7. Seniors.ti,ab
- 8. Retire*.ti,ab
- 9. Pensioner\$.ti,ab
- 10. (Later-life or later life) .ti,ab
- 11. (Late-life or late life) .ti,ab
- 12. Old age.ti,ab
- 13. "Old people" .ti,ab
- 14. "Older people".ti, ab
- 15. Old person.ti,ab
- 16. Older person.ti,ab
- 17. (Older man) .ti,ab
- 18. (Older men).ti,ab

20. Older male\$.ti,ab 21. Older female\$.ti,ab 22. (Old old or old-old) OR (Oldest old or Oldest-old).ti,ab 23. Very old.ti 24. (Senior Citizen OR Senior Citizens).ti,ab 25. Older adult*.ti,ab 26. 1 OR 2 27. 3-25/OR 28. 26 OR 27 29. Psychological Resilience/ 30. Psychological Adaptation/ 31. Social Support/ 32. Community Networks/ 33. Independent Living/ 34. Quality of Life/ 35. Social Identification/ 36. Happiness/ 37. Mental Health/ 38. Personal Satisfaction/ 39. Social Distance/ 40. 29-39/OR 41. Mental health.ti 42. Quality of life.ti 43. Emotional health.ti 44. Emotional capital.ti 45. Mental capital.ti 46. Wellness.ti

19. (Older woman or Older women) .ti,ab

47. Wellbeing or Well-being or Well being.ti

48. Sense of coherence.ti

49. (Activities of daily living or ADL\$) .ti

50. Independent living.ti

51. (healthy ageing or healthy aging) .ti

52. (active aging or active ageing) .ti

53. happiness .ti,

54. meaningfulness.ti

55. resilien*.ti

56. loneliness.ti

57. mastery.ti

58. locus of control.ti

59. capabilit*.ti

60. empower* .ti

61. social capital.ti

62. participation.ti

63. social support.ti

64. social contact.ti

65. civic engagement.ti

66. civic involvement.ti

67. community engagement.ti

68. sense of belonging.ti

69. psychosocial.ti

70. social inclusion.ti

71. social exclusion.ti

72. independence.ti

73. dignity.ti

74. choice.ti

75. isolation.ti 76. adl\$.ti 77. social n1 relation*.ti 78. family n1 relation* .ti 79. social n1 activit*.ti 80. civic n1 activit* .ti 81. 41-80/OR 82. 40 OR 81 83. 27 AND 82 84. Elder*.ti 85. Frail*.ti 86. Geriatric*.ti 87. Gerontology.ti 88. Seniors.ti 89. Retire*.ti 90. Pensioner\$.ti 91. (Later-life or later life) .ti 92. (Late-life or late life) .ti 93. Old age.ti 94. Old people.ti 95. Older people.ti 96. Old person.ti 97. Older person.ti 98. Older man.ti 99. Older men.ti 100. (Older woman or Older women) .ti 101. Older male.ti 102. Older female.ti

103. (Old old OR Oldest old).ti

104. Very old.ti

- 105. (Senior Citizen OR senior citizens).ti
- 106. (Older adult OR Older adults).ti
- 107. 84-106/OR
- 108. Mental health.ti,ab
- 109. Quality of life.ti,ab
- 110. Emotional health.ti,ab
- 111. Emotional capital.ti,ab
- 112. Mental capital.ti,ab
- 113. Wellness.ti,ab
- 114. Wellbeing or Well-being or Well being.ti,ab
- 115. Sense of coherence.ti,ab
- 116. (Activities of daily living or ADL\$).ti,ab
- 117. Independent living.ti,ab
- 118. (healthy ageing or healthy aging) .ti,ab
- 119. (active aging or active ageing) .ti,ab
- 120. happiness .ti,ab
- 121. meaningfulness.ti,ab
- 122. resilien*.ti,ab
- 123. loneliness.ti,ab
- 124. mastery.ti,ab
- 125. locus of control.ti,ab
- 126. capabilit*.ti,ab
- 127. empower* .ti,ab
- 128. social capital.ti,ab
- 129. social relation*.ti,ab
- 130. family relation* .ti,ab

131. participation.ti 132. social support.ti,ab 133. social contact.ti,ab 134. social activit*.ti,ab 135. civic activit* .ti,ab 136. civic engagement.ti,ab 137. civic involvement.ti,ab 138. community engagement.ti,ab 139. sense of belonging .ti,ab 140. psychosocial.ti,ab 141. social inclusion.ti,ab 142. social exclusion.ti,ab 143. independence.ti,ab 144. dignity. ti,ab 145. choice.ti 146. isolation.ti 147. 105-143/OR 148. 104 AND (144 OR 78) 149. intervention*.ti,ab 150. initiative*.ti,ab program\$.ti,ab OR programme\$.ti,ab 151. 152. (Promote\$ OR Promoting OR Promotion).ti,ab 153. access* .ti 154. Social Media/ 155. Communication/ 156. Health Promotion/ 157. Family/ 158. Friends/

- 159. 146-155/OR
- 160. (145 AND 156) OR (81 AND 156)
- 161. Residential Facilities/
- 162. Nursing Homes. Txt
- 163. Residential care.txt
- 164. Long Term Care/
- 165. Palliative Care/
- 166. 158-162/OR
- 167. 157 NOT 163
- 168. editorials, comments, case reports, letters
- 169. 164 NOT 165
- 170. Limit 166 (English language, abstract, year = "2003-2014"

Review 1 Syntax search strategy Psychinfo

- 1. (ZG "aged (65 yrs & older)") ((Index) term
- 2. DE Retirement (Major Concept)
- 3. Elder*.ti,ab
- 4. Frail*.ti,ab
- 5. Geriatric*.ti,ab
- 6. Gerontology.ti,ab
- 7. Seniors.ti,ab
- 8. Retire*.ti,ab
- 9. Pensioner\$.ti,ab
- 10. (Later-life or later life) .ti,ab
- 11. (Late-life or late life) .ti,ab
- 12. Old age.ti,ab
- 13. "Old people" .ti,ab
- 14. "Older people".ti, ab

15. Old person.ti,ab

16. Older person.ti,ab

17. (Older man) .ti,ab

18. (Older men).ti,ab

- 19. (Older woman or Older women) .ti,ab
- 20. Older male\$.ti,ab
- 21. Older female\$.ti,ab
- 22. (Old old or old-old) OR (Oldest old or Oldest-old).ti,ab
- 23. Very old.ti
- 24. (Senior Citizen OR Senior Citizens).ti,ab
- 25. Older adult*.ti,ab
- 26. 1 OR 2
- 27. 3-25/OR
- 28. 26 OR 27
- 29. DE "Resilience (Psychological)" (Major Concept)
- 30. DE "Emotional Adjustment" OR DE "Emotional Control" OR DE "Identity Crisis" (Emotional Adjustment Major Concept Exploded)
- 31. Social Support (Major Concept)
- 32. DE "Social Networks" OR DE "Online Social Networks"
- 33. DE "Self Care Skills"
- 34. DE "Quality of Life"
- 35. DE "Social Identity"
- 36. DE Happiness
- 37. DE "Mental Health" OR "Community Mental Health"
- 38. DE "Satisfaction" (Not exploded)
- 39. DE "Social Isolation"
- 40. 29-39/OR
- 41. Mental health.ti

42. Quality of life.ti 43. Emotional health.ti 44. Emotional capital.ti 45. Mental capital.ti 46. Wellness.ti 47. Wellbeing or Well-being or Well being.ti 48. Sense of coherence.ti 49. (Activities of daily living or ADL\$) .ti 50. Independent living.ti 51. (healthy ageing or healthy aging) .ti 52. (active aging or active ageing) .ti 53. happiness .ti, 54. meaningfulness.ti 55. resilien*.ti 56. loneliness.ti 57. mastery.ti 58. locus of control.ti 59. capabilit*.ti 60. empower* .ti 61. social capital.ti 62. participation.ti 63. social support.ti 64. social contact.ti 65. civic engagement.ti 66. civic involvement.ti 67. community engagement.ti 68. sense of belonging.ti 69. psychosocial.ti

70. social inclusion.ti 71. social exclusion.ti 72. independence.ti 73. dignity.ti 74. choice.ti 75. isolation.ti 76. adl\$.ti 77. social n1 relation*.ti 78. family n1 relation* .ti 79. social n1 activit*.ti 80. civic n1 activit* .ti 81. 41-80/OR 82. 40 OR 81 83. 27 AND 82 84. Elder*.ti 85. Frail*.ti 86. Geriatric*.ti 87. Gerontology.ti 88. Seniors.ti 89. Retire*.ti 90. Pensioner\$.ti 91. (Later-life or later life) .ti 92. (Late-life or late life) .ti 93. Old age.ti 94. Old people.ti 95. Older people.ti 96. Old person.ti 97. Older person.ti

09 Olden men ti	
98. Older man.ti	
99. Older men.ti	
100.	(Older woman or Older women) .ti
101.	Older male.ti
102.	Older female.ti
103.	(Old old OR Oldest old).ti
104.	Very old.ti
105.	(Senior Citizen OR senior citizens).ti
106.	(Older adult OR Older adults).ti
107.	84-106/OR
108.	Mental health.ti,ab
109.	Quality of life.ti,ab
110.	Emotional health.ti,ab
111.	Emotional capital.ti,ab
112.	Mental capital.ti,ab
113.	Wellness.ti,ab
114.	Wellbeing or Well-being or Well being.ti,ab
115.	Sense of coherence.ti,ab
116.	(Activities of daily living or ADL\$) .ti,ab
117.	Independent living.ti,ab
118. (healthy ageing or healthy aging) .ti,ab	
119. (active aging or active ageing) .ti,ab	
120. happiness .ti,ab	
121. meaningfulness.ti,ab	
122. resilien*.ti,ab	
123. loneliness.ti,ab	
124. mastery.ti,ab	
125. locus of control.ti,ab	

126. capabilit*.ti,ab 127. empower* .ti,ab 128. social capital.ti,ab 129. social relation*.ti,ab 130. family relation* .ti,ab 131. participation.ti 132. social support.ti,ab 133. social contact.ti,ab 134. social activit*.ti,ab 135. civic activit* .ti,ab 136. civic engagement.ti,ab 137. civic involvement.ti,ab 138. community engagement.ti,ab 139. sense of belonging .ti,ab 140. psychosocial.ti,ab 141. social inclusion.ti,ab 142. social exclusion.ti,ab 143. independence.ti,ab 144. dignity. ti,ab 145. choice.ti 146. isolation.ti 147. 105-143/OR 148. 104 AND (144 OR 78) 149. intervention*.ti,ab 150. initiative*.ti,ab 151. program\$.ti,ab OR programme\$.ti,ab 152. (Promote\$ OR Promoting OR Promotion).ti,ab 153. access* .ti

- 154. Social Media/
- 155. Communication/
- 156. Health Promotion/
- 157. Family/
- 158. Friends/
- 159. 146-155/OR
- 160. (145 AND 156) OR (81 AND 156)
- 161. Residential Facilities/
- 162. Nursing Homes. Txt
- 163. Residential care.txt
- 164. Long Term Care/
- 165. Palliative Care/
- 166. 158-162/OR
- 167. 157 NOT 163
- 168. editorials, comments, case reports, letters
- 169. 164 NOT 165
- 170. Limit 166 (English language, year = "2003-2014"

Similar strategies were run for Ageline, ASSIA and ERIC.

Review 1 Syntax search strategy DARE

Searches of the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness at the University of York were run looking for key terms wellbeing, independence AND older people, or loneliness in any field. This also included searches of the NHS Economic Evaluation Database for these terms.

Review 1 Syntax search strategy Social Care Online

- 1. Older people [Subject Term]
- 2. Ageing [Subject Term]
- 3. Age Discrimination [Subject Term]
- 4. 1 OR 2 OR 3
- 5. Wellbeing [Subject Term]
- 6. Psychosocial Intervention [Subject Term]
- 7. Psychology [Subject Term]
- 8. Psychosocial approach [Subject Term]
- 9. Resilience [Subject Term]
- 10. Social Networks [Subject Term]
- 11. Independent Living [Subject Term]
- 12. Independence [Subject Term]
- 13. Quality of Life [Subject Term]
- 14. Happiness [Subject Term]
- 15. Mental Health [Subject Term]
- 16. Emotions [Subject Term]
- 17. Social Capital
- 18. Activities of Daily Living
- 19. Loneliness
- 20. Empowerment

21. Participation

22. Social Inclusion

23. Social Exclusion

24. Dignity

25. Choice

26. Isolated People

27. 5-26/OR

28. Internet

29. Computers

30. Befriending schemes

31. Social Media

32. Communication

33. Intervention

34. Intergenerational Relationships

35. 28-34/OR

36. 4 AND 27

37. 4 AND 36

38. 36 OR 37

39. Limit 38 2003-2014

Note: The Social Care Online strategy had to be run separately one year at a time due to the limit of 500 records that can be retrieved from this database.

Review 1 Syntax search strategy Google Scholar and Google

Limited search for terms "mental wellbeing" OR "loneliness" OR "isolation" AND "older people" AND "evaluation". First 20 pages of search results only examined for Google and Google Scholar

Appendix 5: Excluded studies

Note: This appendix covers studies excluded at full text stage only. Some papers are listed under more than one exclusion criteria category in this Appendix.

Health and social care delivered interventions

1. Aday RH, Kehoe GC, Farney LA. Impact of senior center friendships on aging women who live alone. Journal Of Women & Aging. 2006;18(1):57-73.

2. Allemand M, Steiner M, Hill PL. Effects of a forgiveness intervention for older adults. Journal Of Counseling Psychology. 2013;60(2):279-86.

3. Bass-Haugen J, Flinn N, Giles-Heinz A, Matuska K, Neighbor M. Outcomes of a pilot occupational therapy wellness program for older adults. American Journal of Occupational Therapy. 2003;57(2):220-4.

4. Behm L, Ivanoff SD, Zidén L. Preventive home visits and health--experiences among very old people. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:378-.

5. Behm L, Wilhelmson K, Falk K, Eklund K, Zidane L, Dahlin-Ivanoff S. Positive health outcomes following health-promoting and disease-preventive interventions for independent very old persons: Long-term results of the three-armed RCT Elderly Persons in the Risk Zone. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics. 2014;58(3):376-83.

6. Bleijenberg N, ten Dam VH, Drubbel I, Numans ME, de Wit NJ, Schuurmans MJ. Development of a Proactive Care Program (U-CARE) to Preserve Physical Functioning of Frail Older People in Primary Care. Journal of Nursing Scholarship. 2013;45(3):230-7.

7. Boen H, Dalgard OS, Johansen R, Nord E. A randomized controlled trial of a senior centre group programme for increasing social support and preventing depression in elderly people living at home in Norway. BMC Geriatrics. 2012;12(Journal Article):20-.

8. Burgio LD, Collins IB, Schmid B, Wharton T, McCallum D, DeCoster J. Translating the REACH Caregiver Intervention for Use by Area Agency on Aging Personnel: the REACH OUT Program. Gerontologist. 2009;49(1):103-16.

9. Cameron ID, Fairhall N, Langron C, Lockwood K, Monaghan N, Aggar C, et al. A multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention reduces frailty in older people: randomized trial. BMC Medicine. 2013;11(Journal Article):65-.

10. Carretero S, Garcés J, Ródenas F. Evaluation of the home help service and its impact on the informal caregiver's burden of dependent elders. International Journal Of Geriatric Psychiatry. 2007;22(8):738-49.

11. Chang AK, Park Y-H, Fritschi C, Kim MJ. A Family Involvement and Patient-Tailored Health Management Program in Elderly Korean Stroke Patients' Day Care Centers. Rehabilitation Nursing: The Official Journal Of The Association Of Rehabilitation Nurses. 2013.

12. Cheung C-K, Kwan AY-H. Inducing older adults into volunteer work to sustain their psychological well-being. Ageing International. 2006;31(1):44-58.

13. Cheung KS, Lau BH, Wong PW, Leung AY, Lou VW, Chan GM, et al. Multicomponent intervention on enhancing dementia caregiver well-being and reducing behavioural problems among Hong Kong Chinese: a translational study based on REACH II. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2014. Epub 2014/07/22.

14. Chiang K-J, Lu R-B, Chu H, Chang Y-C, Chou K-R. Evaluation of the effect of a life review group program on self-esteem and life satisfaction in the elderly. International journal of geriatric psychiatry. 2008;23(1):7-10.

15. Chippendale T. Life Review through Writing Workshops: Lessons Learned from Successful Implementation in a Senior Residence Setting. Physical and Occupational Therapy in Geriatrics. 2011;29(4):311-9.

16. Chippendale T, Bear-Lehman J. Effect of life review writing on depressive symptoms in older adults: a randomized controlled trial. The American Journal Of Occupational Therapy: Official Publication Of The American Occupational Therapy Association. 2012;66(4):438-46.

17. Chiu M, Wesson V, Sadavoy J. Improving caregiving competence, stress coping, and mental well-being in informal dementia carers. World Journal Of Psychiatry. 2013;3(3):65-73.

18. Clark F, Jackson J, Carlson M, Chou C-P, Cherry BJ, Jordan-Marsh M, et al. Effectiveness of a lifestyle intervention in promoting the well-being of independently living older people: results of the Well Elderly 2 Randomised Controlled Trial. Journal of epidemiology and community health. 2012;66(9):782-90.

19. Clark S, Jackson L. The Wellbeing Project: improving the psychological wellbeing of older adults. Working with Older People. 2011;15(2):87-91.

20. Creswell JD, Irwin MR, Burklund LJ, Lieberman MD, Arevalo JMG, Ma J, et al. Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction training reduces loneliness and pro-inflammatory gene expression in older adults: a small randomized controlled trial. Brain, behavior, and immunity. 2012;26(7):1095-101.

21. Crone DM, O'Connell EE, Tyson PJ, Clark-Stone F, Opher S, James DVB. 'Art Lift' intervention to improve mental wellbeing: an observational study from U.K. general practice. International Journal Of Mental Health Nursing. 2013;22(3):279-86.

22. Davis JD, Tremont G, Bishop DS, Fortinsky RH, Locher JL, Bales CW, et al. A telephone-delivered psychosocial intervention improves dementia caregiver adjustment following nursing home placement. International journal of geriatric psychiatry. 2011;26; 30(4; 4):380; 4-7; 402.

23. Drossel C, Fisher JE, Mercer V. A DBT Skills Training Group for Family Caregivers of Persons With Dementia. Behavior Therapy. 2011;42(1):109-19.

24. Fairchild JK, Scogin FR. Training to Enhance Adult Memory (TEAM): an investigation of the effectiveness of a memory training program with older adults. Aging & Mental Health. 2010;14(3):364-73.

25. Finkelstein SM, Speedie SM, Zhou X, Potthoff S, Ratner ER. Perception, satisfaction and utilization of the VALUE home telehealth service. Journal of telemedicine and telecare. 2011;17(6):288-92.

26. Gitlin LN, Winter L, Corcoran M, Dennis MP, Schinfield S, Hauck WW. Effects of the Home Environmental Skill-Building Program on the Caregiver-Care Recipient Dyad: 6-Month Outcomes from the Philadelphia REACH Initiative. Gerontologist. 2003;43(4):532-46.

27. Gustafsson S, Eklund K, Wilhelmson K, Edberg A-K, Johansson B, Kronlof GH, et al. Long-term outcome for ADL following the health-promoting RCT--elderly persons in the risk zone. The Gerontologist. 2013;53(4):654-63.

28. Gustafsson S, Wilhelmson K, Eklund K, Gosman-Hedstrom G, Zidan L, Kronlof GH, et al. Health-promoting interventions for persons aged 80 and older are successful in the short term--results from the randomized and three-armed Elderly Persons in the Risk Zone study. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2012;60(3):447-54.

29. Haberstroh J, Neumeyer K, Krause K, Franzmann J, Pantel J. TANDEM: Communication training for informal caregivers of people with dementia. Aging & Mental Health. 2011;15(3):405-13.

30. Hanaoka H, Okamura H. Study on effects of life review activities on the quality of life of the elderly: a randomized controlled trial. Psychotherapy and psychosomatics. 2004;73(5):302-11.

31. Hastings EC, West RL. The Relative Success of a Self-Help and a Group-Based Memory Training Program for Older Adults. Psychology and Aging Psychology and Aging. 2009;24(3):586-94.

32. Heathcote J, Hong CS. Groupwork as a tool to combat loneliness among older people: Initial observations. Groupwork. 2009;19(2):121-30.

33. Hekmatpou D, Shamsi M, Zamani M. The effect of a healthy lifestyle program on the elderly's health in Arak. Indian journal of medical sciences. 2013;67(3-4):70-7.

34. Ichida Y, Hirai H, Kondo K, Kawachi I, Takeda T, Endo H. Does social participation improve self-rated health in the older population? A quasi-experimental intervention study. Social science & medicine (1982). 2013;94(Journal Article):83-90.

35. Iliffe S, Kharicha K, Goodman C, Swift C, Harari D, Manthorpe J. Smarter Working in Social and Health Care (SWISH): Enhancing the quality of life of older people using an 'expert system'. Quality in Ageing - Policy, practice and research. 2005;6(4):4-11.

36. Ingersoll-Dayton B, Campbell R, Ha J-H. Enhancing forgiveness: a group intervention for the elderly. Journal Of Gerontological Social Work. 2009;52(1):2-16.

37. Judge KS, Yarry SJ, Looman WJ, Bass DM. Improved Strain and Psychosocial Outcomes for Caregivers of Individuals with Dementia: Findings from Project ANSWERS. Gerontologist. 2013;53(2):280-92.

38. Kamegaya T, Araki Y, Kigure H, Yamaguchi H. Twelve-week physical and leisure activity programme improved cognitive function in community-dwelling elderly subjects: a randomized controlled trial. Psychogeriatrics: The Official Journal Of The Japanese Psychogeriatric Society. 2014;14(1):47-54.

39. Kamegaya T, Maki Y, Yamagami T, Yamaguchi T, Murai T, Yamaguchi H. Pleasant physical exercise program for prevention of cognitive decline in community-dwelling elderly with subjective memory complaints. Geriatrics & Gerontology International. 2012;12(4):673-9.

40. Kharicha K, Iliffe S, Harari D, Swift CG, Goodman C, Manthorpe J, et al. Feasibility of repeated use of the Health Risk Appraisal for Older people system as a health promotion tool in community-dwelling older people: retrospective cohort study 2001-05. Age and Ageing. 2012;41(1):128-31.

41. Kidd LI, Zauszniewski JA, Morris DL. Benefits of a Poetry Writing Intervention for Family Caregivers of Elders with Dementia. Issues in Mental Health Nursing. 2011;32(9):598-604.

42. Kim SK. A randomized, controlled study of the effects of art therapy on older Korean-Americans' healthy aging. The Arts in Psychotherapy. 2013;40(Journal Article):158-64.

43. Kuczmarksi MF, Cotugna N. Outcome evaluation of a 3-year senior health and wellness initiative. Journal of community health. 2009;34(1):33-9.

44. Kwok T, Wong A, Chan G, Shiu YY, Lam K-C, Young D, et al. Effectiveness of cognitive training for Chinese elderly in Hong Kong. Clinical Interventions In Aging. 2013;8:213-9.

45. Li H, Melnyk BM, McCann R, Chatcheydang J, Koulouglioti C, Nichols LW, et al. Creating avenues for relative empowerment (CARE): a pilot test of an intervention to improve outcomes of hospitalized elders and family caregivers. Research In Nursing & Health. 2003;26(4):284-99.

46. Li IC. The effectiveness of a health promotion program for the low-income elderly in Taipei, Taiwan. Journal of community health. 2004;29(6):511-25.

47. López J, Crespo M. Analysis of the efficacy of a psychotherapeutic program to improve the emotional status of caregivers of elderly dependent relatives. Aging & Mental Health. 2008;12(4):451-61.

48. Lopez J, Crespo M, Zarit SH. Assessment of the Efficacy of a Stress Management Program for Informal Caregivers of Dependent Older Adults. Gerontologist. 2007;47(2):205-14.

49. Mahoney DF, Tarlow BJ, Jones RN. Effects of an automated telephone support system on caregiver burden and anxiety: findings from the REACH for TLC intervention study. Gerontologist. 2003;43(4):556-67.

50. Maki Y, Ura C, Yamaguchi T, Murai T, Isahai M, Kaiho A, et al. Effects of intervention using a community-based walking program for prevention of mental decline: a randomized controlled trial. Journal Of The American Geriatrics Society. 2012;60(3):505-10.

51. Martire LM, Schulz R, Keefe FJ, Rudy TE, Starz TW. Couple-oriented education and support intervention: Effects on individuals with osteoarthritis and their spouses. Rehabilitation Psychology. 2007;52(2):121-32.

52. Marziali E, Donahue P. Caring for Others: Internet Video-Conferencing Group Intervention for Family Caregivers of Older Adults with Neurodegenerative Disease. Gerontologist. 2006;46(3):398-403.

53. Mastel-Smith B, McFarlane J, Sierpina M, Malecha A, Haile B. Improving depressive symptoms in community-dwelling older adults: a psychosocial intervention using life review and writing. Journal of gerontological nursing. 2007;33(5):13-9.

54. Mathieu SI. Happiness and Humor Group Promotes Life Satisfaction for Senior Center Participants. Activities, Adaptation and Aging. 2008;32(2):134-48.

55. McCallion P, Janicki MP, Kolomer SR. Controlled Evaluation of Support Groups for Grandparent Caregivers of Children with Developmental Disabilities and Delays. American Journal on Mental Retardation. 2004;109(5):352-61.

56. McDougall GJ, Jr., Becker H, Acee TW, Vaughan PW, Pituch K, Delville C. Health-Training Intervention for Community-Dwelling Elderly in the SeniorWISE Study. Archives of Psychiatric Nursing. 2010;24(2):125-36.

57. McHugh L, Simpson A, Reed P. Mindfulness as a potential intervention for stimulus over-selectivity in older adults. Research in developmental disabilities. 2010;31(1):178-84.

58. Mountain G, Mozley C, Craig C, Ball L. Occupational Therapy Led Health Promotion for Older People: Feasibility of the Lifestyle Matters Programme. The British Journal of Occupational Therapy. 2008;71(10):406-13.

59. Noice H, Noice T. Extending the reach of an evidence-based theatrical intervention. Exp Aging Res. 2013;39(4):398-418. Epub 2013/07/24.

60. Nomura M, Makimoto K, Kato M, Shiba T, Matsuura C, Shigenobu K, et al. Empowering older people with early dementia and family caregivers: A participatory action research study. International journal of nursing studies. 2009;46(4):431-41.

61. Oakes SL, Hepburn K, Ross JS, Talamantes MA, Espino DV. Reaching the heart of the caregiver. Clinical gerontologist. 2006;30(2):37-49.

62. Ollonqvist K, et a. Alleviating loneliness among frail older people - findings from a randomised controlled trial. International Journal of Mental Health Promotion. 2008;10(2):26-34.

63. Oyama H, Koida J, Sakashita T, Kudo K. Community-based prevention for suicide in elderly by depression screening and follow-up. Community Mental Health Journal. 2004;40(3):249-63.

64. Phelan EA, Williams B, Penninx BWJH, LoGerfo JP, Leveille SG. Activities of daily living function and disability in older adults in a randomized trial of the health enhancement program. The Journals Of GerontologySeries A, Biological Sciences And Medical Sciences. 2004;59(8):838-43.

65. Phung KTT, Waldorff FB, Buss DV, Eckermann A, Keiding N, Rishøj S, et al. A three-year follow-up on the efficacy of psychosocial interventions for patients with mild dementia and their caregivers: the multicentre, rater-blinded, randomised Danish Alzheimer Intervention Study (DAISY). BMJ Open. 2013;3(11):e003584-e.

66. Pitkala KH, Routasalo P, Kautiainen H, Sintonen H, Tilvis RS. Effects of Socially Stimulating Group Intervention on Lonely, Older People's Cognition: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 2011;19(7):654-63.

67. Pitkala KH, Routasalo P, Kautiainen H, Tilvis RS. Effects of psychosocial group rehabilitation on health, use of health care services, and mortality of older persons suffering from loneliness: a randomized, controlled trial. The Journals Of GerontologySeries A, Biological Sciences And Medical Sciences. 2009;64(7):792-800.

68. Rabiei L, Mostafavi F, Masoudi R, Hassanzadeh A. The effect of family-based intervention on empowerment of the elders. Journal Of Education And Health Promotion. 2013;2(Journal Article):24-.

69. Rabinowitz YG, Mausbach BT, Coon DW, Depp C, Thompson LW, Gallagher-Thompson D. The moderating effect of selfefficacy on intervention response in women family caregivers of older adults with dementia. The American Journal Of Geriatric Psychiatry: Official Journal Of The American Association For Geriatric Psychiatry. 2006;14(8):642-9.

70. Ramírez E, Ortega AR, Chamorro A, Colmenero JM. A program of positive intervention in the elderly: memories, gratitude and forgiveness. Aging & Mental Health. 2014;18(4):463-70.

71. Rana AKMM, Wahlin A, Lundborg CS, Kabir ZN. Impact of health education on health-related quality of life among elderly persons: results from a community-based intervention study in rural Bangladesh. Health promotion international. 2009;24(1):36-45.

72. Robare JF, Bayles CM, Newman AB, Williams K, Milas C, Boudreau R, et al. The "10 Keys" to Healthy Aging: 24-Month Follow-Up Results From an Innovative Community-Based Prevention Program. Health Education & Behavior. 2011;38(4):379-88.

73. Routasalo PE, Tilvis RS, Kautiainen H, Pitkala KH. Effects of psychosocial group rehabilitation on social functioning, loneliness and well-being of lonely, older people: randomized controlled trial. Journal Of Advanced Nursing. 2009;65(2):297-305.

74. Savikko N, Routasalo P, Tilvis R, Pitkala K. Psychosocial group rehabilitation for lonely older people: favourable processes and mediating factors of the intervention leading to alleviated loneliness. International Journal of Older People Nursing. 2010;5(1):16-24.

75. Schmitt EM, Sands LP, Weiss S, Dowling G, Covinsky K. Adult Day Health Center Participation and Health-Related Quality of Life. Gerontologist. 2010;50(4):531-40.

76. Schoenmakers B, Buntinx F, Delepeleire J. Supporting family carers of community-dwelling elder with cognitive decline: a randomized controlled trial. International Journal Of Family Medicine. 2010;2010:184152-.

77. Senior HEJ, Parsons M, Kerse N, Chen M-H, Jacobs S, Hoorn SV, et al. Promoting independence in frail older people: a randomised controlled trial of a restorative care service in New Zealand. Age and Ageing. 2014(Journal Article).

78. Short JL. Psychological Fitness for Older Adults: A Pilot Intervention. Seniors Housing & Care Journal. 2012;20(1):71-84.

79. Sirey JA, et a. A community treatment intervention AdVancing Active Treatment in the Elderly (ACTIVATE): a pilot study. Journal of Gerontological Social Work. 2012;55(5):382-91.

80. Smith TL, Toseland RW. The Effectiveness of a Telephone Support Program for Caregivers of Frail Older Adults. Gerontologist. 2006;46(5):620-9.

81. Stinson CK, Kirk E. Structured reminiscence: an intervention to decrease depression and increase self-transcendence in older women. Journal Of Clinical Nursing. 2006;15(2):208-18.

82. Strand KA. Promoting older adult wellness through an intergenerational physical activity exergaming program. : Iowa State University; 2012.

83. Strand KA, Francis SL, Margrett JA, Franke WD, Peterson MJ. Community-Based Exergaming and Wellness Program Increases Physical Activity and Subjective Health Among Rural Older Adults. Journal of Aging and Physical Activity. 2013(Journal Article).

84. Szanton SL, Thorpe RJ, Boyd C, Tanner EK, Leff B, Agree E, et al. Community aging in place, advancing better living for elders: A bio-behavioral-environmental intervention to improve function and health-related quality of life in disabled older adults. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2011;59(12):2314-20.

85. Theander E, Edberg A-K. Preventive Home Visits to Older People in Southern Sweden. Scandinavian Journal of Public Health. 2005;33(5):392-400.

86. Trudel G, Boyer R, Villeneuve V, Anderson A, Pilon G, Bounader J. The Marital Life and Aging Well Program: effects of a group preventive intervention on the marital and sexual functioning of retired couples. Sexual and Relationship Therapy. 2008;23(1):5-23.

87. Ulstein ID, Sandvik L, Wyller TB, Engedal K. A one-year randomized controlled psychosocial intervention study among family carers of dementia patients-effects on patients and carers. Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders. 2007;24(6):469-75.

88. Vacha-Haase T. The "We Care" program for long-term care: providing family members with support following the death of a loved one. Omega. 2013;67(1-2):221-6.

89. van't Veer-Tazelaar PJ, van Marwijk HWJ, van Oppen P, van der Horst HE, Smit F, Cuijpers P, et al. Prevention of late-life anxiety and depression has sustained effects over 24 months: a pragmatic randomized trial. The American Journal Of Geriatric Psychiatry: Official Journal Of The American Association For Geriatric Psychiatry. 2011;19(3):230-9.

90. Wang J-J, Hsu Y-C, Cheng S-F. The effects of reminiscence in promoting mental health of Taiwanese elderly. International journal of nursing studies. 2005;42(1):31-6.

91. Warren S, Kerr JR, Smith D, Schalm C. The impact of adult day programs on family caregivers of elderly relatives. Journal Of Community Health Nursing. 2003;20(4):209-21.

92. Westerhof GJ, Bohlmeijer E, Valenkamp MW. In Search of Meaning: A Reminiscence Program for Older Persons. Educational Gerontology. 2004;30(9):751-66.

93. Wilhelmson K, Eklund K. Positive effects on life satisfaction following health promoting interventions for frail older adults: a randomised controlled study. Health Psychology Research. 2013;1(e12):44-0.

94. Yamada T, Kawamata H, Kobayashi N, Kielhofner G, Taylor RR. A randomised clinical trial of a wellness programme for healthy older people. The British Journal of Occupational Therapy. 2010;73(11):540-8.

95. Zauszniewski JA, Eggenschwiler K, Preechawong S, Chung C, Airey TF, Wilke PA, et al. Focused Reflection Reminiscence Group for Elders: Implementation and Evaluation. Journal of Applied Gerontology. 2004;23(Journal Article):429-42.

96. Zauszniewski JA, Eggenschwiler K, Preechawong S, Roberts BL, Morris DL. Effects of teaching resourcefulness skills to elders. Aging and Mental Health. 2006;10(4):404-12.

No substantive quantitative evaluation

1. Adams N, Stubbs D, Woods V. Psychological barriers to Internet usage among older adults in the UK. Medical Informatics And The Internet In Medicine. 2005;30(1):3-17.

2. Alfageme A. The clients and functions of Spanish university programmes for older people: a sociological analysis. Ageing and Society. 2007;27(3):343-61.

3. Anon. Dorset POPP. Final local evaluation report. Dorchester: Dorset County Council; 2008.

4. Anstadt SP. Community connections: an intergenerational and multicultural community group program. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships. 2009;7(4):442-6.

5. Ayala JS, et a. Intergenerational programs: perspectives of service providers in one Canadian city. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships. 2007;5(2):45-60.

6. Barros C, Mountain L. Helping older widows rebuild their lives. Journal of Social Work in End-of-Life and Palliative Care. 2011;7(2-3):115-20.

7. Bellamy P, Meyerski D. JEWEL: Joining Elders With Early Learners. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships. 2011;9(4):462-5.

8. Berg-Warman A, Brodsky J. The supportive community: a new concept for enhancing the quality of life of elderly living in the community. Journal of aging & social policy. 2006;18(2):69-83.

9. Bicknell J. Body of Knowledge: a practice as research case study on the capacity for dance-theatre to promote wellbeing. Working with Older People. 2014;18(1):18-23.

10. Bushway LJ, Dickinson JL, Stedman RC, Wagenet LP, Weinstein DA. Benefits, Motivations, and Barriers Related to Environmental Volunteerism for Older Adults: Developing a Research Agenda. International Journal of Aging and Human Development. 2011;72(3):189-206.

11. Cant B, Taket A. Promoting Social Support and Social Networks among Irish Pensioners in South London, UK. Diversity in Health and Social Care. 2005;2(4):263-70.

12. Cattan M, Kime N, Bagnall A-M. The use of telephone befriending in low level support for socially isolated older people - an evaluation. Health and Social Care in the Community. 2011;19(2):198-206.

13. Cheng S-T, Chan AC, Phillips DR. Quality of Life in Old Age: An Investigation of Well Older Persons in Hong Kong. Journal of community psychology. 2004;32(3):309-26.

14. Conway F, Jones SC. Training Bachelor of Social Work Students to Meet the Needs of Grandparent Caregivers. Educational Gerontology. 2012;38(2):127-37.

15. Demski H, Hildebrand C, LÃ³pez BolÃ³s J, Tiedge W, Wengel S, O Broin D, et al. Technical requirements of a social networking platform for senior citizens. Studies in health technology and informatics. 2012;180(Journal Article):818-22.

16. Diwan S, Wertheimer MR. Aging services or services to the aging? Focus of a university-community curriculum development partnership to increase awareness of aging issues in social work practice. Journal of Gerontological Social Work. 2007;50(1/2):187-204.

17. Eheart BK, Power MB. Intergenerational programming for foster-adoptive families: creating community at Hope Meadows. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships. 2003;1(1):17-28.

18. Erickson J, Johnson GM. Internet Use and Psychological Wellness during Late Adulthood. Canadian Journal on Aging. 2011;30(2):197-209.

19. Farrell L, Townsend K. Vintage Radio: a Media Trust Community Voices project. Working with Older People. 2011;15(3):123-6.

20. Gillet K, Dixon M. VitalLinks: nurturing emotional well-being in older people through one-to-one communication. Working with Older People. 2009;13(4):20-3.

21. Godfrey M, Johnson O. Digital circles of support: Meeting the information needs of older people. Computers in Human Behavior. 2009;25(Journal Article):633-42.

22. Golding B. Older men's wellbeing through community participation in Australia. International Journal of Men's Health. 2011;10(1):26-44.

23. Goodman D. Bringing seniors and kindergarten children together through Qigong. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships. 2013;11(2):199-202.

24. Goulding A. How can contemporary art contribute toward the development of social and cultural capital for people aged 64 and older. Gerontologist. 2013;53(6):1009-19.

25. Gracia N, Moyle W, Oxlade D, Radford K. Addressing loneliness in a retirement village community: a pilot test of a printdelivered intervention. Australasian Journal On Ageing. 2010;29(4):179-82. 26. Guénaux D, Ly V, Hervieu F. UbiQuiet: Fighting loneliness by analysing environment and habits as a whole. Gerontechnology. 2009;8(2).

27. Gum AM, Watson MA, Smith BA, Briscoe R, Goldsmith J, Henley B. Collaborative Design of a Church-Based, Multidimensional Senior Wellness Program by Older Adults, Church Leaders, and Researchers. Journal of Religion, Spirituality & Aging. 2012;24(3):213-34.

28. Hong S-I, Morrow-Howell N. Increasing older adults' benefits from institutional capacity of volunteer programs. Social work research. 2013;37(Journal Article):99-108.

29. Housing Associations' Chartitable T. Designed to deliver: reducing the isolation of older people in their rural communities. London: Housing Associations' Charitable Trust; 2007. 7p. p.

30. Hull-Brown M, Nichol J, Luxford L. Building the knowledge base through mental health promotion practice: A partnership project to support positive ageing in Auckland city. The International Journal of Mental Health Promotion. 2003;5(Journal Article):25-30.

31. Koopman-Boyden PG, Reid SL. Internet/E-Mail Usage and Well-Being among 65-84 Year Olds in New Zealand: Policy Implications. Educational Gerontology. 2009;35(11):990-1007.

32. Kuh D, Cooper R, Richards M, Gale C, von Zglinicki T, Guralnik J. A life course approach to healthy ageing: The HALCyon programme. Public health. 2012;126(3):193-5.

Lamoinen P, et a. Activity as a predictor of mental well-being among older adults. Aging and Mental Health. 2006;10(5):454-66.

34. Larkin M. Social networks promote engagement, reduce isolation, boost health. Journal on Active Aging. 2008;7(5):32-40.

35. Larson R. Building intergenerational bonds through the arts. Generations. 2006;30(1):38-41.

36. Lehto P. Interactive CaringTV® supporting elderly living at home. The Australasian Medical Journal. 2013;6(8):425-9.

37. Lelkes O. Happier and less isolated: internet use in old age. Journal of Poverty and Social Justice. 2013;21(1):33-46.

38. Martinez IL, Crooks D, Kim KS, Tanner E. Invisible civic engagement among older adults: Valuing the contributions of informal volunteering. Journal of Cross-Cultural Gerontology. 2011;26(1):23-37.

39. Marx JI, Miller LQ. Cybernetic Service-Learning Course Development: Lessons Learned. Educational Gerontology. 2009;35(1):47-54.

40. McDonald RM, Brown PJ. Exploration of social support systems for older adults: a preliminary study. Contemporary Nurse. 2008;29(2):184-94.

41. McDonald TW, Chown EL, Tabb JE, Schaeffer AK, Howard EKM. The impact of volunteering on seniors' health and quality of life: An assessment of the retired and senior volunteer program. Psychology. 2013;4(Journal Article):283-90.

42. Mellor D, Firth L, Moore K. Can the internet improve the well-being of the elderly? Ageing International. 2008;32(1):25-42.

43. Moody E, Phinney A. A Community-Engaged Art Program for Older People: Fostering Social Inclusion. Canadian Journal on Aging. 2012;31(1):55-64.

44. Mulvihill J. The unique and valuable support provided by mentoring and befriending. Working with Older People. 2011;15(1):34-7.

45. Murray M, Crummett A. 'I Don't Think They Knew We Could Do These Sorts of Things': Social Representations of Community and Participation in Community Arts by Older People. Journal of Health Psychology. 2010;15(5):777-85.

46. Murray M, Lamont A, Hale B. The benefits of being in a choir. Report on research conducted with Golden Voices. Keele, Keele University; 2010.

47. Noel JG, Epstein J. Social support and health among senior Internet users: results of an online survey. Journal of Technology in Human Services. 2003;21(3):35-54.

48. Ormsby J, Stanley M, Jaworski K. Older men's participation in community-based men's sheds programmes. Health & Social Care in the Community. 2010;18(Journal Article):607-13.

49. Orte Socias C, Ballester Brage L, Touza Gama C. University programs for Seniors in Spain: analysis and perspectives. Educational Gerontology. 2004;30(4):315-28.

50. O'Sullivan J. Breaking the cycle of intergenerational isolation in London neighbourhoods'. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships. 2009;7(4):447-9.

51. Parker MW, Dunn LL, MacCall SL, Goetz J, Park N, Li AX, et al. Helping to create an age-friendly city: A town & gown community engagement project. Social Work & Christianity. 2013;40(Journal Article):422-45.

52. Paulson S, Willig C. The role of music and emotion in older people's experience of taking part in dance groups. Generations Review. 2011;21(1).

53. Putney JM. Older lesbian adults' psychological well-being: the significance of pets. Journal of Gay and Lesbian Social Services. 2014;26(1):1-17.

54. Robertson DN, Pelclova J. Social dancing and older adults: playground for physical activity. Ageing International. 2014;39(2):124-43.

55. Skingley A, Bungay H. The Silver Song Club Project: singing to promote the health of older people. British journal of community nursing. 2010;15(3):135-40.

56. Son JS, Kerstetter DL, Yarnal C, Baker BL. Promoting older women's health and well-being through social leisure environments: what we have learned from the Red Hat Society. Journal Of Women & Aging. 2007;19(3-4):89-104.

57. Southcott JE. "And as I Go, I Love to Sing": The Happy Wanderers, Music and Positive Aging. International Journal of Community Music. 2009;2(2-3):143-56.

58. Sum S, Mathews MR, Pourghasem M, Hughes I. Internet technology and social capital: How the Internet affects seniors' social capital and wellbeing. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication. 2008;14(1):202-20.

59. Tsai T-C, Hsu Y-L, Ma A-I, King T, Wu C-H. Developing a telepresence robot for interpersonal communication with the elderly in a home environment. Telemedicine Journal And E-Health: The Official Journal Of The American Telemedicine Association. 2007;13(4):407-24.

60. Vacha-Haase T. The "We Care" program for long-term care: providing family members with support following the death of a loved one. Omega. 2013;67(1-2):221-6.

61. Wangmo T, Ewen H, Webb AK, Teaster PB, Hatch LR. Mentoring in gerontology doctoral education: The role of elders in mentoring gerontologists. Gerontology & Geriatrics Education. 2009;30(1):47-60.

62. White JA, Drechsel J, Johnson J. Faithfully Fit Forever: A Holistic Exercise and Wellness Program for Faith Communities. Journal of Holistic Nursing. 2006;24(2):127-31.

63. Whitehead I, et a. Bringing generations together: community well-being in West Lothian: lessons from MOOD. Edinburgh: Scottish Development Centre for Mental Health; 2006. 24p. p.

No intervention for mental wellbeing and independence of older people

1. Adler G, Schwartz J, Kuskowski M. An Exploratory Study of Older Adults' Participation in Civic Action. Clinical gerontologist. 2007;31(2):65-75.

2. Aida J, Kondo K, Kawachi I, Subramanian SV, Ichida Y, Hirai H, et al. Does social capital affect the incidence of functional disability in older Japanese? A prospective population-based cohort study. Journal Of Epidemiology And Community Health. 2013;67(1):42-7.

3. Alpass FM, Neville S. Loneliness, health and depression in older males. Aging and Mental Health. 2003;7(3):212-6.

4. An JS, Cooney TM. Psychological Well-Being in Mid to Late Life: The Role of Generativity Development and Parent-Child Relationships across the Lifespan. International Journal of Behavioral Development. 2006;30(5):410-21.

5. Arai A, Ishida K, Tomimori M, Katsumata Y, Grove JS, Tamashiro H. Association between lifestyle activity and depressed mood among home-dwelling older people: a community-based study in Japan. Aging and Mental Health. 2007;11(5):547-55.

6. Ardelt M, Koenig CS. Role of religion for hospice patients and relatively healthy older adults. Research on aging. 2006;28(2):184-215.

7. Baker LA, Cahalin LP, Gerst K, Burr JA. Productive Activities and Subjective Well-Being among Older Adults: The Influence of Number of Activities and Time Commitment. Social Indicators Research. 2005;73(3):431.

8. Baker LA, Silverstein M. Depressive symptoms among grandparents raising grandchildren: the impact of participation in multiple roles. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships. 2008;6(3):285-304.

9. Barnes LL, Wilson RS, Bienias JL, Mendes dL, Kim H-JN, Buchman AS, et al. Correlates of life space in a volunteer cohort of older adults. Experimental aging research. 2007;33(1):77-93.

10. Barnes M, et a. The social exclusion of older people: evidence from the first wave of the English longitudinal study on ageing (ELSA): final report. London: Great Britain. Office of the Deputy Prime Minister; 2006. 112p. p.

11. Blit-Cohen E, Litwin H. Computer utilization in later-life: Characteristics and relationship to personal well-being. Gerontechnology. 2005;3(3):138-48.

12. Brown WM, Consedine NS, Magai C. Altruism relates to health in an ethnically diverse sample of older adults. Journals of Gerontology: Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences. 2005;60B(3):P143-P52.

13. Chen Y, Hicks A, While AE. Loneliness and social support of older people living alone in a county of Shanghai, China. Health and Social Care in the Community. 2014;22(4):429-38.

14. Cohen-Mansfield J, Parpura-Gill A. Loneliness in older persons: a theoretical model and empirical findings. International Psychogeriatrics / IPA. 2007;19(2):279-94.

15. Cornwell B, Laumann EO. The health benefits of network growth: New evidence from a national survey of older adults. Social Science & Medicine (1982). 2013.

16. Cramm JM, Hartgerink JM, de Vreede PL, Bakker TJ, Steyerberg EW, Mackenbach JP, et al. The relationship between older adults' self-management abilities, well-being and depression. European Journal Of Ageing. 2012;9(4):353-60.

17. Crawford H, Booth H. Staying Connected: Social Engagement and Wellbeing Among Mature Age Australians. Canberra: National Seniors Productive Ageing Centre (NSPAC) 2013.

18. Damodaran L, Olphert W, Phipps S. Keeping silver surfers on the crest of a wave – older people's ICT learning and support needs. Working with Older People. 2013;17(1):32-6.

19. Domingues MA, Ordonez TN, Lima-Silva TB, Torres MJ, de Barros TC, Cachioni M. Social support network for the elderly attending the Open University program for senior citizens at the School of Arts, Sciences and Humanities, University of São Paulo, Brazil. Educational Gerontology. 2013;39(3):209-21.

20. Drennan J, Treacy M, Butler M, Byrne A, Fealy G, Frazer K, et al. The experience of social and emotional loneliness among older people in Ireland. Ageing & Society. 2008;28(8):1113-32.

21. Erickson J, Johnson GM. Internet Use and Psychological Wellness during Late Adulthood. Canadian Journal on Aging. 2011;30(2):197-209.

22. Forsman AK, Herberts C, Nyqvist F, Wahlbeck K, Schierenbeck I. Understanding the role of social capital for mental wellbeing among older adults. Ageing & Society. 2013;33(5):804-25.

23. Forsman AK, Nyqvist F, Schierenbeck I, Gustafson Y, Wahlbeck K. Structural and cognitive social capital and depression among older adults in two Nordic regions. Aging & Mental Health. 2012;16(6):771-9.

24. Fukunaga R, Abe Y, Nakagawa Y, Koyama A, Fujise N, Ikeda M. Living alone is associated with depression among the elderly in a rural community in Japan. Psychogeriatrics: The Official Journal Of The Japanese Psychogeriatric Society. 2012;12(3):179-85.

25. Giles LC, Glonek GFV, Luszcz MA, Andrews GR. Do social networks affect the use of residential aged care among older Australians? BMC Geriatrics. 2007;7:24-.

26. Gilleard C, Hyde M, Higgs P. Community and communication in the third age: the impact of internet and cell phone use on attachment to place in later life in England. The Journals Of Gerontology Series B, Psychological Sciences And Social Sciences. 2007;62(4):S276-S83.

27. Glass TA, Mendes dL, Bassuk SS, Berkman LF. Social engagement and depressive symptoms in late life: longitudinal findings. Journal of aging and health. 2006;18(4):604-28.

28. Golden J, et a. Loneliness, social support networks, mood and wellbeing in community-dwelling elderly. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 2009;24(7):694-700.

29. Hao Y. Productive Activities and Psychological Well-Being among Older Adults. Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences. 2008;63B(2):S64-S72.

30. Hawton A, Green C, Dickens AP, Richards SH, Taylor RS, Edwards R, et al. The impact of social isolation on the health status and health-related quality of life of older people. Quality of life research : an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation. 2011;20(1):57-67. Epub 2010/07/27.

31. Hogeboom DL, McDermott RJ, Perrin KM, Osman H, Bell-Ellison BA. Internet use and social networking among middle aged and older adults. Educational Gerontology. 2010;36(2):93-111.

32. Huxhold O, Miche M, Schüz B. Benefits of Having Friends in Older Ages: Differential Effects of Informal Social Activities on Well-Being in Middle-Aged and Older Adults. The Journals Of Gerontology Series B, Psychological Sciences And Social Sciences. 2013.

 James BD, Boyle PA, Buchman AS, Bennett DA. Relation of Late-Life Social Activity With Incident Disability Among Community-Dwelling Older Adults. Journals of Gerontology: Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences. 2011;66A(4):467-73.

34. Jansen D. Mentally Restorative Activities and Daily Functioning Among Community-Dwelling Elders. Activities, Adaptation & Aging. 2008;32(3-4):181-97.

35. Jansen DA, von Sadovszky V. Restorative activities of community-dwelling elders. West J Nurs Res. 2004;26(4):381-99; discussion 400-4. Epub 2004/05/25.

36. Kadoya Y. Toward an age-friendly city: the constraints preventing the elderly's participation in community programs in Akita city. Working with Older People. 2013;17(3):101-8.

37. Katz R. Intergenerational family relations and life satisfaction among three elderly population groups in transition in the Israeli multi-cultural society. Journal Of Cross-Cultural Gerontology. 2009;24(1):77-91.

38. Krašovec SJ, Kump S. Education of older adults in communities with varying levels of well-being. Educational Gerontology. 2014;40(3):212-29.

39. Krueger KR, Wilson RS, Kamenetsky JM, Barnes LL, Bienias JL, Bennett DA. Social Engagement and Cognitive Function in Old Age. Experimental aging research. 2009;35(1):45-60.

40. Lawler FH, Mold JW, McCarthy LH. Do older people benefit from having a confidant? An Oklahoma Physicians Resource/Research Network (OKPRN) study. Journal Of The American Board Of Family Medicine: JABFM. 2013;26(1):9-15.

41. Litwin H, Shiovitz-Ezra S. Social Network Type and Subjective Well-Being in a National Sample of Older Americans. Gerontologist. 2011;51(3):379-88.

42. Liu Z, Li L, Huang J, Qian D, Chen F, Xu J, et al. Association between subjective well-being and exceptional longevity in a longevity town in China: a population-based study. Age (Dordrecht, Netherlands). 2014.

43. López J, López-Arrieta J, Crespo M. Factors associated with the positive impact of caring for elderly and dependent relatives. Archives Of Gerontology And Geriatrics. 2005;41(1):81-94.

44. Losada A, Márquez-González M, García-Ortiz L, Gómez-Marcos MA, Fernández-Fernández V, Rodríguez-Sánchez E. Loneliness and mental health in a representative sample of community-dwelling Spanish older adults. The Journal Of Psychology. 2012;146(3):277-92.
45. Lowton K, Laybourne AH, Whiting DG, Martin FC. Can Fire and Rescue Services and the National Health Service work together to improve the safety and wellbeing of vulnerable older people? Design of a proof of concept study. BMC Health Services Research. 2010;10:327-.

46. Lyonette C, Yardley L. The influence on carer wellbeing of motivations to care for older people and the relationship with the care recipient. Ageing and Society. 2003;23(4):487-506.

47. Margrett JA, et a. Affect and loneliness among centenarians and the oldest old: the role of individual and social resources. Aging and Mental Health. 2011;15(3):385-96.

48. McHugh JE, Lawlor BA. Exercise and social support are associated with psychological distress outcomes in a population of community-dwelling older adults. Journal of Health Psychology. 2012;17(6):833-44.

49. Nicolaisen M, Thorsen K. Loneliness among men and women: a five-year follow-up study. Aging and Mental Health. 2014;18(2):194-206.

50. Norton MC, Singh A, Skoog I, Corcoran C, Tschanz JT, Zandi PP, et al. Church attendance and new episodes of major depression in a community study of older adults: the Cache County Study. Journals of Gerontology: Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences. 2008;63B(3):P129-P37.

51. Nummela O, Sulander T, Rahkonen O, Karisto A, Uutela A. Social participation, trust and self-rated health: A study among ageing people in urban, semi-urban and rural settings. Health & place. 2008;14(2):243-53.

52. Oberg B-M. Meeting the other: a way of fighting age discrimination? A discussion circle with young and old participants in Sweden. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships. 2007;5(2):27-44.

53. O'Luanaigh C, et a. Loneliness and cognition in older people: the Dublin Healthy Ageing study. Aging and Mental Health. 2012;16(3):347-52.

54. Pettigrew S, et al. Older people's perceived causes of and strategies for dealing with social isolation. Aging and Mental Health. 2014;18(7):914-20.

55. Rabinowitz YG, Mausbach BT, Thompson LW, Gallagher-Thompson D. The relationship between self-efficacy and cumulative health risk associated with health behavior patterns in female caregivers of elderly relatives with Alzheimer's dementia. Journal Of Aging And Health. 2007;19(6):946-64.

56. Rodriguez SMM, de JGJ, Buz J. Loneliness and the exchange of social support among older adults in Spain and the Netherlands. Ageing and Society. 2014;34(2):330-54.

57. Savikko N, Routasalo P, Tilvis RS, Strandberg TE, Pitkala KH. Loss of parents in childhood--associations with depression, loneliness, and attitudes towards life in older Finnish people. International Journal of Older People Nursing. 2006;1(1):17-24.

58. Schoenmakers EC, Tilburg TGv, Fokkema T. Coping with loneliness: what do older adults suggest? Aging and Mental Health. 2012;16(3):353-60.

59. Sum S, Mathews RM, Pourghasem M, Hughes I. Internet use as a predictor of sense of community in older people. Cyberpsychology & Behavior: The Impact Of The Internet, Multimedia And Virtual Reality On Behavior And Society. 2009;12(2):235-9.

60. Theeke LA, Goins RT, Moore J, Campbell H. Loneliness, depression, social support, and quality of life in older chronically ill Appalachians. Journal of Psychology: Interdisciplinary and Applied. 2012;146(1-2):155-71.

61. Tiikkainen P, Heikkinen RL. Associations between loneliness, depressive symptoms and perceived togetherness in older people. Aging and Mental Health. 2005;9(6):526-34.

62. Toepoel V. Ageing, leisure, and social connectedness: How could leisure help reduce social isolation of older people? Social Indicators Research. 2013;113(1):355-72.

63. Tsai F-J, Motamed S, Rougemont A. The protective effect of taking care of grandchildren on elders' mental health? Associations between changing patterns of intergenerational exchanges and the reduction of elders' loneliness and depression between 1993 and 2007 in Taiwan. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:567-.

64. Windle G, Woods RE. Variations in subjective wellbeing: the mediating role of a psychological resource. Ageing and Society. 2004;24(4):583-602.

Focused on older people with substantive health and social care needs

 Abbotts J, Spence W. Art and wellbeing in a deprived Scottish community. Journal of Public Mental Health. 2013;12(2):58-69.

2. Afonso RM, Bueno B, Loureiro MJ, Pereira H. Reminiscence, psychological well-being, and ego integrity in Portuguese elderly people. Educational Gerontology. 2011;37(12):1063-80.

3. Andrews GG, et a. Assisting friendships, combating loneliness: users' views on a 'befriending' scheme. Ageing and Society. 2003;23(3):349-62.

4. Anstadt SP. Community connections: an intergenerational and multicultural community group program. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships. 2009;7(4):442-6.

5. Aoun S, Osseiran-Moisson R, Shahid S, Howat P, O'Connor M. Telephone Lifestyle Coaching: Is It Feasible as a Behavioural Change Intervention for Men. Journal of Health Psychology. 2012;17(2):227-36.

6. Ardelt M, Koenig CS. Role of religion for hospice patients and relatively healthy older adults. Research on aging. 2006;28(2):184-215.

7. Barnes C. Step Change: Full evaluation report. South Petherton, Somerset: Take Art; 2010.

8. Behm L, Ivanoff SD, Zidén L. Preventive home visits and health--experiences among very old people. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:378-.

9. Cameron ID, Fairhall N, Langron C, Lockwood K, Monaghan N, Aggar C, et al. A multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention reduces frailty in older people: randomized trial. BMC Medicine. 2013;11(Journal Article):65-.

10. Chippendale T. Life Review through Writing Workshops: Lessons Learned from Successful Implementation in a Senior Residence Setting. Physical and Occupational Therapy in Geriatrics. 2011;29(4):311-9.

11. Chou C-C, Chang C-P, Lee T-T, Chou H-F, Mills ME. Technology acceptance and quality of life of the elderly in a telecare program. Computers, Informatics, Nursing: CIN. 2013;31(7):335-42.

12. Chua RLE, de Guzman AB. Effects of third age learning programs on the life satisfaction, self-esteem, and depression level among a select group of community dwelling Filipino elderly. Educational Gerontology. 2014;40(Journal Article):77-90.

13. Clements-Cortes AA. Buddy's Glee Club: Singing for Life. Activities, Adaptation and Aging. 2013;37(4):273-90.

14. Cox EO, Green KE, Hobart K, Jang L-J, Seo H. Strengthening the Late-Life Care Process: Effects of Two Forms of a Care-Receiver Efficacy Intervention. Gerontologist. 2007;47(3):388-97.

15. Cramm JM, Hartgerink JM, de Vreede PL, Bakker TJ, Steyerberg EW, Mackenbach JP, et al. The relationship between older adults' self-management abilities, well-being and depression. European Journal Of Ageing. 2012;9(4):353-60.

16. Fokkema T, Knipscheer K. Escape loneliness by going digital: a quantitative and qualitative evaluation of a Dutch experiment in using ECT to overcome loneliness among older adults. Aging Ment Health. 2007;11(5):496-504. Epub 2007/09/21.

17. Gitlin LN, Winter L, Corcoran M, Dennis MP, Schinfield S, Hauck WW. Effects of the Home Environmental Skill-Building Program on the Caregiver-Care Recipient Dyad: 6-Month Outcomes from the Philadelphia REACH Initiative. Gerontologist. 2003;43(4):532-46.

18. Guerra SR, Mendes ÁF, Figueiredo DM, Sousa LX. ProFamilies-dementia: A programme for elderly people with dementia and their families. Dementia: The International Journal of Social Research and Practice. 2012;11(5):589-96.

19. Heathcote J, Hong CS. Groupwork as a tool to combat loneliness among older people: Initial observations. Groupwork. 2009;19(2):121-30.

20. Holland SK, Greenberg J, Tidwell L, Newcomer R. Preventing disability through community-based health coaching. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2003;51(2):265-9.

21. Kono A, Kanaya Y, Fujita T, Tsumura C, Kondo T, Kushiyama K, et al. Effects of a preventive home visit program in ambulatory frail older people: a randomized controlled trial. The Journals Of GerontologySeries A, Biological Sciences And Medical Sciences. 2012;67(3):302-9.

22. Martire LM, Schulz R, Keefe FJ, Rudy TE, Starz TW. Couple-oriented education and support intervention: Effects on individuals with osteoarthritis and their spouses. Rehabilitation Psychology. 2007;52(2):121-32.

23. Niemelä K, Leinonen R, Laukkanen P. A supportive home visit program for older adults implemented by non-professionals: Feasibility and effects on physical performance and quality of life at one year – A pilot study. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics. 2012;54(3):e376-e82.

24. Ollonqvist K, Aaltonen T, Karppi SL, Hinkka K, Pöntinen S. Network based rehabilitation increases formal support of frail elderly home dwelling persons in Finland: Randomised controlled trial. Health & Social Care in the Community. 2008;16(Journal Article):115-25.

25. Ollonqvist K, et a. Alleviating loneliness among frail older people - findings from a randomised controlled trial. International Journal of Mental Health Promotion. 2008;10(2):26-34.

26. Phelan EA, Williams B, Penninx BWJH, LoGerfo JP, Leveille SG. Activities of daily living function and disability in older adults in a randomized trial of the health enhancement program. The Journals Of GerontologySeries A, Biological Sciences And Medical Sciences. 2004;59(8):838-43.

27. Rabiner DJ, Scheffler S, Koetse E, Palermo J, Ponzi E, Burt S, et al. The impact of the senior companion program on quality of life outcomes for frail older adults and their families. Home health care services quarterly. 2003;22(4):1-26.

28. Roe B, Beech R, Harris M, Beech B, Russell W, Gent D, et al. Improving quality of life for older people in the community: findings from a local Partnerships for Older People Project innovation and evaluation. Primary Health Care Research & Development. 2011;12(3):200-13.

29. Rotenberg-Shpigelman S, Maeir A. Participation-Centered Treatment for Elderly with Mild Cognitive Deficits: A "Book Club" Group Case Study. Physical and Occupational Therapyin Geriatrics. 2011;29(3):222-32.

30. Samus QM, Johnston D, Black BS, Hess E, Lyman C, Vavilikolanu A, et al. A Multidimensional Home-Based Care Coordination Intervention for Elders with Memory Disorders: The Maximizing Independence at Home (MIND) Pilot Randomized Trial. The American Journal Of Geriatric Psychiatry: Official Journal Of The American Association For Geriatric Psychiatry. 2014;22(4):398-414.

31. Senior HEJ, Parsons M, Kerse N, Chen M-H, Jacobs S, Hoorn SV, et al. Promoting independence in frail older people: a randomised controlled trial of a restorative care service in New Zealand. Age and Ageing. 2014(Journal Article).

32. Stinson CK, Kirk E. Structured reminiscence: an intervention to decrease depression and increase self-transcendence in older women. Journal Of Clinical Nursing. 2006;15(2):208-18.

33. Theeke LA, Goins RT, Moore J, Campbell H. Loneliness, depression, social support, and quality of life in older chronically ill Appalachians. Journal of Psychology: Interdisciplinary and Applied. 2012;146(1-2):155-71.

34. Winningham RG, Anunsen R, Hanson LM, Laux L, Kaus KD, Reifers A. MemAerobics: A Cognitive Intervention to Improve Memory Ability and Reduce Depression in Older Adults. Journal of Mental Health and Aging. 2003;9(3):183-92.

35. Winningham RG, Pike NL. A cognitive intervention to enhance institutionalized older adults' social support networks and decrease loneliness. Aging & Mental Health. 2007;11(6):716-21.

36. Zauszniewski JA, Eggenschwiler K, Preechawong S, Chung C, Airey TF, Wilke PA, et al. Focused Reflection Reminiscence Group for Elders: Implementation and Evaluation. Journal of Applied Gerontology. 2004;23(Journal Article):429-42.

37. Zauszniewski JA, Eggenschwiler K, Preechawong S, Roberts BL, Morris DL. Effects of teaching resourcefulness skills to elders. Aging and Mental Health. 2006;10(4):404-12.

Not focused on the mental wellbeing and independence of older people

1. Abolfathi Momtaz Y, Ibrahim R, Hamid TA. The impact of giving support to others on older adults' perceived health status. Psychogeriatrics: The Official Journal Of The Japanese Psychogeriatric Society. 2014;14(1):31-7.

2. Anderson KA, Cimbal AM, Maile JJ. Hairstylists' relationships and helping behaviors with older adult clients. Journal of Applied Gerontology. 2010;29(3):371-80.

3. Anon. Dorset POPP. Final local evaluation report. Dorchester: Dorset County Council; 2008.

4. Aspinall EE, Beschnett A, Ellwood AF. Health literacy for older adults: using evidence to build a model educational program. Medical Reference Services Quarterly. 2012;31(3):302-14.

5. Beech R, et a. Evaluating Wigan's partnerships for older people project: end of project report. Keele: Keele University. Research Institute for Life Course Studies; 2008. 43p. p.

6. Beech R, Roe B, Beech B, Russell W, Harris M. An evaluation of Wigan Council's Partnership for Older People Project. Research Policy and Planning. 2012;29(2):69-81.

7. Bekhet AK, Zauszniewski JA, Matel-Anderson D. Resourcefulness training intervention: assessing critical parameters from relocated older adults' perspectives. Issues in Mental Health Nursing. 2012;33(7):430-5.

8. Belleville S, Gilbert B, Fontaine F, Gagnon L, Ménard E, Gauthier S. Improvement of episodic memory in persons with mild cognitive impairment and healthy older adults: evidence from a cognitive intervention program. Dementia And Geriatric Cognitive Disorders. 2006;22(5-6):486-99.

9. Berkowsky RW, Cotten SR, Yost EA, Winstead VP. Attitudes Towards and Limitations to ICT Use in Assisted and Independent Living Communities: Findings from a Specially-Designed Technological Intervention. Educational Gerontology. 2013;39(11).

10. Blomqvist L, Pitkälä K, Routasalo P. Images of loneliness: using art as an educational method in professional training. Journal Of Continuing Education In Nursing. 2007;38(2):89-93.

11. Bostrom A-K. Intergenerational Learning in Stockholm County in Sweden: a practical example of elderly men working in compulsory schools as a benefit for children. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships. 2003;1(4):7-24.

12. Brownell P, Heiser D. Psycho-educational support groups for older women victims of family mistreatment: a pilot study. Journal Of Gerontological Social Work. 2006;46(3-4):145-60.

13. Bruvik FK, Allore HG, Ranhoff AH, Engedal K. The effect of psychosocial support intervention on depression in patients with dementia and their family caregivers: an assessor-blinded randomized controlled trial. Dementia And Geriatric Cognitive Disorders Extra. 2013;3(1):386-97.

14. Buijs R, O'Brien Cousins S, Ross-Kerr J, Wilson D. Promoting participation: evaluation of a health promotion program for low income seniors. Journal of community health nursing. 2003;20(2):93-107.

15. Buiza C, Etxeberria I, Galdona N, Gonzalez Ma F, Arriola E, Lopez M, et al. A randomized, two-year study of the efficacy of cognitive intervention on elderly people: the Donostia Longitudinal Study. International journal of geriatric psychiatry. 2008;23(1):85-94.

16. Cameron ID, Fairhall N, Langron C, Lockwood K, Monaghan N, Aggar C, et al. A multifactorial interdisciplinary intervention reduces frailty in older people: randomized trial. BMC Medicine. 2013;11(Journal Article):65-.

Care OBUIOP. Tameside POPP: local evaluation report. Oxford: Oxford Brookes University. Institute of Public Care; 2009.
52p. p.

18. Carlson MC, Saczynski JS, Rebok GW, Seeman T, Glass TA, McGill S, et al. Exploring the Effects of an "Everyday" Activity Program on Executive Function and Memory in Older Adults: Experience Corps[R]. Gerontologist. 2008;48(6):793-801.

19. Caserta MS, Lund DA, Obray SJ. Promoting self-care and daily living skills among older widows and widowers: evidence from the pathfinders demonstration project. Omega: Journal of Death and Dying. 2004;49(3):217-36.

20. Centre For Social J. 'When I get off the phone I feel like I belong to the human race': evaluation of the Silver Line Helpline pilots. London: Centre for Social Justice; 2013. 61 p.

21. Chippendale T, Bear-Lehman J. Effect of life review writing on depressive symptoms in older adults: a randomized controlled trial. The American Journal Of Occupational Therapy: Official Publication Of The American Occupational Therapy Association. 2012;66(4):438-46.

22. Chow EOW, Ho HCY. The relationship between psychological resources, social resources, and depression: results from older spousal caregivers in Hong Kong. Aging & Mental Health. 2012;16(8):1016-27.

23. Chu A, Huber J, Mastel-Smith B, Cesario S. "Partnering with Seniors for Better Health": computer use and Internet health information retrieval among older adults in a low socioeconomic community. Journal Of The Medical Library Association: JMLA. 2009;97(1):12-20.

24. Chu RJ-c. How family support and internet self-efficacy influence the effects of e-learning among higher aged adults— Analyses of gender and age differences. Computers & Education. 2010;55(1):255-64.

25. Colvin J, Chenoweth L, Bold M, Harding C. Caregivers of Older Adults: Advantages and Disadvantages of Internet-Based Social Support. Family Relations. 2004;53(1):49-57.

26. Crewe SE. Joy of Living: A Community-Based Mental Health Promotion Program for African American Elders. Journal of Gerontological Social Work. 2007;48(3-4):421-38.

27. Cusack SA, Thompson WJ, Rogers ME. Mental Fitness for Life: Assessing the Impact of an 8-Week Mental Fitness Program on Healthy Aging. Educational Gerontology. 2003;29(5):393-403.

28. Cusicanqui M, Salmon R. Seniors, small fry, and song: a group work libretto of an intergenerational singing group. Journal of Gerontological Social Work. 2004;44(1/2):189-210.

29. de Guzman AB, Santos JIM, Santos MLB, Santos MTO, Sarmiento VVT, Sarnillo EJE, et al. Traditional Filipino Arts in Enhancing Older People's Self-Esteem in a Penal Institution. Educational Gerontology. 2010;36(12):1065-85.

30. Devor M, Renvall M. An educational intervention to support caregivers of elders with dementia. American Journal Of Alzheimer's Disease And Other Dementias. 2008;23(3):233-41.

31. Domingues MA, Ordonez TN, Lima-Silva TB, Torres MJ, de Barros TC, Cachioni M. Social support network for the elderly attending the Open University program for senior citizens at the School of Arts, Sciences and Humanities, University of São Paulo, Brazil. Educational Gerontology. 2013;39(3):209-21.

32. Feldman S, Radermacher H, Lorains F, Haines T. A Research-Based Community Theater Performance to Promote Ageing: Is It More than Just a Show? Educational Gerontology. 2011;37(10):885-98.

33. Finkelstein SM, Speedie SM, Zhou X, Potthoff S, Ratner ER. Perception, satisfaction and utilization of the VALUE home telehealth service. Journal of telemedicine and telecare. 2011;17(6):288-92.

34. Fruhauf CA, Bundy-Fazioli K, Miller JL. Larimer County Alliance for Grandfamilies: A Collaborative Approach to Meeting a Community Need. The Journal of Applied Gerontology. 2012;31(2):193-214.

35. Galvin JA, Benson H, Deckro GR, Fricchione GL, Dusek JA. The relaxation response: reducing stress and improving cognition in healthy aging adults. Complementary Therapies In Clinical Practice. 2006;12(3):186-91.

36. Gammonley D. Psychological well-being and social support among elders employed as lay helpers. Journal of Gerontological Social Work. 2009;52(1):64-80.

37. Ganong LH, Coleman M, Benson JJ, Snyder-Rivas L, Stowe JD, Porter EJ. An intervention to help older adults maintain independence safely. Journal Of Family Nursing. 2013;19(2):146-70.

38. Gaugler JE, Roth DL, Haley WE, Mittelman MS. Modeling trajectories and transitions: results from the New York University caregiver intervention. Nursing Research. 2011;60(3 Suppl):S28-S37.

39. Gigler KL, Blomeke K, Shatil E, Weintraub S, Reber PJ. Preliminary evidence for the feasibility of at-home online cognitive training with older adults. Gerontechnology. 2013;12(Journal Article):26-35.

40. Gilleard C, Hyde M, Higgs P. Community and communication in the third age: the impact of internet and cell phone use on attachment to place in later life in England. The Journals Of Gerontology Series B, Psychological Sciences And Social Sciences. 2007;62(4):S276-S83.

41. Gillet K, Dixon M. VitalLinks: nurturing emotional well-being in older people through one-to-one communication. Working with Older People. 2009;13(4):20-3.

42. Glueckauf RL, Ketterson TU, Loomis JS, Dages P. Online support and education for dementia caregivers: overview, utilization, and initial program evaluation. Telemedicine Journal And E-Health: The Official Journal Of The American Telemedicine Association. 2004;10(2):223-32.

43. Glueckauf RL, Loomis JS. Alzheimer's Caregiver Support Online: lessons learned, initial findings and future directions. Neurorehabilitation. 2003;18(2):135-46.

44. Godfrey M, Johnson O. Digital circles of support: Meeting the information needs of older people. Computers in Human Behavior. 2009;25(Journal Article):633-42.

45. Guerra SR, Mendes ÁF, Figueiredo DM, Sousa LX. ProFamilies-dementia: A programme for elderly people with dementia and their families. Dementia: The International Journal of Social Research and Practice. 2012;11(5):589-96.

46. Gum AM, Watson MA, Smith BA, Briscoe R, Goldsmith J, Henley B. Collaborative Design of a Church-Based, Multidimensional Senior Wellness Program by Older Adults, Church Leaders, and Researchers. Journal of Religion, Spirituality & Aging. 2012;24(3):213-34.

47. Haberstroh J, Neumeyer K, Krause K, Franzmann J, Pantel J. TANDEM: Communication training for informal caregivers of people with dementia. Aging & Mental Health. 2011;15(3):405-13.

48. Habron J, et a. Being well, being musical: music composition as a resource and occupation for older people. British Journal of Occupational Therapy. 2013;76(7):308-16.

49. Haley WE, Bergman EJ, Roth DL, McVie T, Gaugler JE, Mittelman MS. Long-term effects of bereavement and caregiver intervention on dementia caregiver depressive symptoms. The Gerontologist. 2008;48(6):732-40.

50. Hamilton JB, Sandelowski M, Moore AD, Agarwal M, Koenig HG. "You Need a Song to Bring You through": The Use of Religious Songs to Manage Stressful Life Events. Gerontologist. 2013;53(1):26-38.

51. Hao Y. Productive Activities and Psychological Well-Being among Older Adults. Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences. 2008;63B(2):S64-S72.

52. Hastings EC, West RL. The Relative Success of a Self-Help and a Group-Based Memory Training Program for Older Adults. Psychology and Aging Psychology and Aging. 2009;24(3):586-94.

53. Hatamian A, Pearmain D, Golden S. Outcomes of the active at 60 community agent programme: research report. London: Great Britain. Department for Work and Pensions; 2012. 103p. p.

54. Hong SI, Morrow-Howell N. Health outcomes of Experience Corps: a high-commitment volunteer program. Soc Sci Med. 2010;71(2):414-20. Epub 2010/06/01.

55. Hoogenhout EM, de Groot RH, Jolles J. A New Comprehensive Educational Group Program for Older Adults with Cognitive Complaints: Background, Content, and Process Evaluation. Educational Gerontology. 2011;37(1):51-73.

56. Hoogenhout EM, de Groot RHM, van der Elst W, Jolles J. Effects of a comprehensive educational group intervention in older women with cognitive complaints: A randomized controlled trial. Aging & Mental Health. 2012;16(2):135-44.

57. Ichida Y, Hirai H, Kondo K, Kawachi I, Takeda T, Endo H. Does social participation improve self-rated health in the older population? A quasi-experimental intervention study. Social science & medicine (1982). 2013;94(Journal Article):83-90.

58. Iliffe S, Kharicha K, Goodman C, Swift C, Harari D, Manthorpe J. Smarter Working in Social and Health Care (SWISH): Enhancing the quality of life of older people using an 'expert system'. Quality in Ageing - Policy, practice and research. 2005;6(4):4-11. 59. Ingersoll-Dayton B, Campbell R, Ha J-H. Enhancing forgiveness: a group intervention for the elderly. Journal Of Gerontological Social Work. 2009;52(1):2-16.

60. Janevic MR, Connell CM. Exploring self-care among dementia caregivers: the role of perceived support in accomplishing exercise goals. Journal Of Women & Aging. 2004;16(1-2):71-86.

61. Joling KJ, van Hout HPJ, van't Veer-Tazelaar PJ, van der Horst HE, Cuijpers P, van de Ven PM, et al. How effective is bibliotherapy for very old adults with subthreshold depression? A randomized controlled trial. The American Journal Of Geriatric Psychiatry: Official Journal Of The American Association For Geriatric Psychiatry. 2011;19(3):256-65.

62. Kamegaya T, Araki Y, Kigure H, Yamaguchi H. Twelve-week physical and leisure activity programme improved cognitive function in community-dwelling elderly subjects: a randomized controlled trial. Psychogeriatrics: The Official Journal Of The Japanese Psychogeriatric Society. 2014;14(1):47-54.

63. Kamegaya T, Maki Y, Yamagami T, Yamaguchi T, Murai T, Yamaguchi H. Pleasant physical exercise program for prevention of cognitive decline in community-dwelling elderly with subjective memory complaints. Geriatrics & Gerontology International. 2012;12(4):673-9.

64. Keller HH, Gibbs A, Wong S, Vanderkooy PD, Hedley M. Men can cook! Development, implementation, and evaluation of a senior men's cooking group. Journal of nutrition for the elderly. 2004;24(1):71-87.

65. Kelley-Gillespie N, Wilby F, Farley OW. Older adults' satisfaction with the Neighbors Helping Neighbors program. Working with Older People. 2012;16(4):154-69.

66. Kessler E-M, Staudinger UM. Intergenerational potential: effects of social interaction between older adults and adolescents. Psychology and aging. 2007;22(4):690-704.

67. Kime N, Cattan M, Bagnall A-M. The delivery and management of telephone befriending services - whose needs are being met? Quality in Ageing and Older Adults. 2012;13(3):231-40.

68. Kinney JM, Kart CS, Murdoch LD, Conley CJ. Striving to provide safety assistance for families of elders: the SAFE House project. Dementia. 2004;3(3):351-70.

69. Ko HJ, Youn CH. Effects of laughter therapy on depression, cognition and sleep among the community-dwelling elderly. Geriatrics & Gerontology International. 2011;11(3):267-74.

70. Koopman-Boyden PG, Reid SL. Internet/E-Mail Usage and Well-Being among 65-84 Year Olds in New Zealand: Policy Implications. Educational Gerontology. 2009;35(11):990-1007.

71. Kuczmarksi MF, Cotugna N. Outcome evaluation of a 3-year senior health and wellness initiative. Journal of community health. 2009;34(1):33-9.

72. Kwok T, Wong A, Chan G, Shiu YY, Lam K-C, Young D, et al. Effectiveness of cognitive training for Chinese elderly in Hong Kong. Clinical Interventions In Aging. 2013;8:213-9.

73. Kwong EW-Y, Kwan AY-H. Stress-management methods of the community-dwelling elderly in Hong Kong: implications for tailoring a stress-reduction program. Geriatric nursing (New York, NY). 2004;25(2):102-6.

74. Laakkonen M-L, Savikko N, Holtta E, Tilvis R, Strandberg T, Kautiainen H, et al. Self-management groups for people with dementia and their spousal caregivers. A randomized, controlled trial. Baseline findings and feasibility. European Geriatric Medicine. 2013;4:389-93.

75. Lally E. The power to heal us with a smile and a song': Senior Well-being, Music-based Participatory Arts and the Value of Qualitative Evidence. Journal of Arts and Communities 2009;1(1):25-44.

76. Lam J, Lee MKO. Investigating the role of internet self-efficacy in the elderly's learning of ICT in Hong Kong, China: a twopart study. Journal of Technology in Human Services. 2007;25(1/2):159-76.

77. Laybourne AH, Martin FC, Whiting DG, Lowton K. Could Fire and Rescue Services identify older people at risk of falls? Primary Health Care Research & Development. 2011;12(4):395-9.

78. Lehto P. Interactive CaringTV® supporting elderly living at home. The Australasian Medical Journal. 2013;6(8):425-9.

79. Li H, Melnyk BM, McCann R, Chatcheydang J, Koulouglioti C, Nichols LW, et al. Creating avenues for relative empowerment (CARE): a pilot test of an intervention to improve outcomes of hospitalized elders and family caregivers. Research In Nursing & Health. 2003;26(4):284-99.

80. Li Y. Recovering from spousal bereavement in later life: does volunteer participation play a role? The Journals Of Gerontology Series B, Psychological Sciences And Social Sciences. 2007;62(4):S257-S66.

81. Lin CI, Tang W-h, Kuo F-Y. "Mommy Wants to Learn the Computer": How Middle-Aged and Elderly Women in Taiwan Learn ICT through Social Support. Adult Education Quarterly: A Journal of Research and Theory. 2012;62(1):73-90.

82. Lindsay S, Smith S, Bellaby P. Can informal e-learning and peer support help bridge the digital divide? Social Policy and Society. 2008;7(3):319-30.

83. Lopez J, Crespo M, Zarit SH. Assessment of the Efficacy of a Stress Management Program for Informal Caregivers of Dependent Older Adults. Gerontologist. 2007;47(2):205-14.

84. MacKean R, Abbott-Chapman J. Older people's perceived health and wellbeing: The contribution of peer-run communitybased organisations. Health Sociology Review. 2012;21(1):47-57.

85. Mackenzie CS, Wiprzycka UJ, Hasher L, Goldstein D. Does Expressive Writing Reduce Stress and Improve Health for Family Caregivers of Older Adults? Gerontologist. 2007;47(3):296-306.

86. Mackenzie CS, Wiprzycka UJ, Hasher L, Goldstein D. Seeing the glass half full: optimistic expressive writing improves mental health among chronically stressed caregivers. British Journal Of Health Psychology. 2008;13(Pt 1):73-6.

87. MacRae N, Pardue KT. Use of Readers Theater to Enhance Interdisciplinary Geriatric Education. Educational Gerontology. 2007;33(6):529-36.

88. Maki Y, Ura C, Yamaguchi T, Murai T, Isahai M, Kaiho A, et al. Effects of intervention using a community-based walking program for prevention of mental decline: a randomized controlled trial. Journal Of The American Geriatrics Society. 2012;60(3):505-10.

89. Martin-Cook K, Davis BA, Hynan LS, Weiner MF. Randomized, controlled study of an Alzheimer's caregiver skills training program. American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias. 2005;20(4):204-10.

90. Martinez IL, Frick K, Glass TA, Carlson M, Tanner E, Ricks M, et al. Engaging older adults in high impact volunteering that enhances health: recruitment and retention in The Experience Corps Baltimore. Journal Of Urban Health: Bulletin Of The New York Academy Of Medicine. 2006;83(5):941-53.

91. Marx MS, Pannell AR, Parpura-Gill A, Cohen-Mansfield J. Direct observations of children at risk for academic failure: benefits of an intergenerational visiting program. Educational Gerontology. 2004;30(8):663-75.

92. Marziali E, Donahue P. Caring for Others: Internet Video-Conferencing Group Intervention for Family Caregivers of Older Adults with Neurodegenerative Disease. Gerontologist. 2006;46(3):398-403.

93. Mastel-Smith B, McFarlane J, Sierpina M, Malecha A, Haile B. Improving depressive symptoms in community-dwelling older adults: a psychosocial intervention using life review and writing. Journal of gerontological nursing. 2007;33(5):13-9.

94. McDonald TW, Chown EL, Tabb JE, Schaeffer AK, Howard EKM. The impact of volunteering on seniors' health and quality of life: An assessment of the retired and senior volunteer program. Psychology. 2013;4(Journal Article):283-90.

95. McHugh L, Simpson A, Reed P. Mindfulness as a potential intervention for stimulus over-selectivity in older adults. Research in developmental disabilities. 2010;31(1):178-84.

96. McInnis-Perry G, Good JM. Psychoeducational codependency support group for older adults who reside in the community. Journal of gerontological nursing. 2006;32(8):32-42.

97. Medeiros FdL, Xavier AJ, Schneider IJC, Ramos LR, Sigulem D, d'Orsi E. Digital inclusion and functional capacity of older adults living in Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brazil (EpiFloripa 2009-2010). Revista Brasileira De Epidemiologia = Brazilian Journal Of Epidemiology. 2012;15(1):106-22.

98. Medlock S, Eslami S, Askari M, Sent D, de Rooij SE, Abu-Hanna A. The consequences of seniors seeking health information using the internet and other sources. Studies In Health Technology And Informatics. 2013;192:457-60.

99. Mittelman MS, Roth DL, Coon DW, Haley WE. Sustained benefit of supportive intervention for depressive symptoms in caregivers of patients with Alzheimer's disease. The American Journal Of Psychiatry. 2004;161(5):850-6.

100. Morrow-Howell N, Hong S-I, Tang F. Who benefits from volunteering? Variations in perceived benefits. The Gerontologist. 2009;49(1):91-102.

101. Mukherjee D. An exploratory study of older adults' engagement with virtual volunteerism. Journal of Technology in Human Services. 2010;28(Journal Article):188-96.

102. Niemelä K, Leinonen R, Laukkanen P. A supportive home visit program for older adults implemented by non-professionals: Feasibility and effects on physical performance and quality of life at one year – A pilot study. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics. 2012;54(3):e376-e82.

103. Noice H, Noice T. An arts intervention for older adults living in subsidized retirement homes. Neuropsychol Dev Cogn B Aging Neuropsychol Cogn. 2009;16(1):56-79. Epub 2008/08/08.

104. Norton MC, Singh A, Skoog I, Corcoran C, Tschanz JT, Zandi PP, et al. Church attendance and new episodes of major depression in a community study of older adults: the Cache County Study. Journals of Gerontology: Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences. 2008;63B(3):P129-P37.

105. Oakes SL, Hepburn K, Ross JS, Talamantes MA, Espino DV. Reaching the heart of the caregiver. Clinical gerontologist. 2006;30(2):37-49.

106. Onrust S, Smit F, Willemse G, van den Bout J, Cuijpers P. Cost-utility of a visiting service for older widowed individuals: randomised trial. BMC Health Serv Res. 2008;8:128. Epub 2008/06/14.

107. Onrust S, Willemse G, van den Bout J, Cuijpers P. Effects of a visiting service for older widowed individuals: a randomized clinical trial. Death Stud. 2010;34(9):777-803. Epub 2010/10/01.

108. Ormsby J, Stanley M, Jaworski K. Older men's participation in community-based men's sheds programmes. Health & Social Care in the Community. 2010;18(Journal Article):607-13.

109. O'Shea E. An economic and social evaluation of the Senior Help Line in Ireland. Ageing and Society. 2006;26(2):267-84.

110. O'Sullivan J. Breaking the cycle of intergenerational isolation in London neighborhoods. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships. 2009;7(4):447-9.

111. Oyama H, Koida J, Sakashita T, Kudo K. Community-based prevention for suicide in elderly by depression screening and follow-up. Community Mental Health Journal. 2004;40(3):249-63.

112. Phelan EA, Williams B, Penninx BWJH, LoGerfo JP, Leveille SG. Activities of daily living function and disability in older adults in a randomized trial of the health enhancement program. The Journals Of GerontologySeries A, Biological Sciences And Medical Sciences. 2004;59(8):838-43.

113. Pitkala KH, Blomquist L, Routasalo P, Saarenheimo M, Karvinen E, Oikarinen U, et al. Leading Groups of Older People: A Description and Evaluation of the Education of Professionals. Educational Gerontology. 2004;30(10):821-33.

114. Pitkala KH, Routasalo P, Kautiainen H, Sintonen H, Tilvis RS. Effects of Socially Stimulating Group Intervention on Lonely, Older People's Cognition: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 2011;19(7):654-63.

115. Pyman T, Rugg S. Participating in a community theatre production: A dramatherapeutic perspective. International Journal of Therapy & Rehabilitation. 2006;13(12):562-71.

116. Rabiner DJ, Scheffler S, Koetse E, Palermo J, Ponzi E, Burt S, et al. The impact of the senior companion program on quality of life outcomes for frail older adults and their families. Home health care services quarterly. 2003;22(4):1-26.

117. Ramsay CE, Reisinger Walker E, Ramsay R, Compton MT, Thompson N. An exploration of perceptions of possible depression prevention services for caregivers of elderly or chronically ill adults in rural Georgia. Community Mental Health Journal. 2012;48(2):167-78.

118. Ravid-Horesh RH. 'A temporary guest': The use of art therapy in life review with an elderly woman. The Arts in Psychotherapy. 2004;31(5):303-19.

119. Rebok GW, Ball K, Guey LT, Jones RN, Kim H-Y, King JW, et al. Ten-Year Effects of the Advanced Cognitive Training for Independent and Vital Elderly Cognitive Training Trial on Cognition and Everyday Functioning in Older Adults. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2014(Journal Article).

120. Rebok GW, Carlson MC, Glass TA, McGill S, Hill J, Wasik BA, et al. Short-term impact of Experience Corps participation on children and schools: results from a pilot randomized trial. Journal Of Urban Health: Bulletin Of The New York Academy Of Medicine. 2004;81(1):79-93.

121. Reder S, Ambler G, Philipose M, Hedrick S. Technology and Long-term Care (TIC): A pilot evaluation of remote monitoring of elders. Gerontechnology. 2010;9(1):18-31.

122. Reynolds F. 'Colour and communion': Exploring the influences of visual art-making as a leisure activity on older women's subjective well-being. Journal of Aging Studies. 2010;24(2):135-43.

123. Robare JF, Bayles CM, Newman AB, Williams K, Milas C, Boudreau R, et al. The "10 Keys" to Healthy Aging: 24-Month Follow-Up Results From an Innovative Community-Based Prevention Program. Health Education & Behavior. 2011;38(4):379-88.

124. Roe B, Beech R, Harris M, Beech B, Russell W, Gent D, et al. Improving quality of life for older people in the community: findings from a local Partnerships for Older People Project innovation and evaluation. Primary Health Care Research & Development. 2011;12(3):200-13.

125. Rotenberg-Shpigelman S, Maeir A. Participation-Centered Treatment for Elderly with Mild Cognitive Deficits: A "Book Club" Group Case Study. Physical and Occupational Therapyin Geriatrics. 2011;29(3):222-32.

126. Russell C, Campbell A, Hughes I. Ageing, social capital and the Internet: findings from an exploratory study of Australian 'silver surfers'. Australasian Journal On Ageing. 2008;27(2):78-82.

127. Sahar J, Courtney M, Edwards H. Improvement of family carers' knowledge, skills and attitudes in caring for older people following the implementation of a Family Carers' Training Program in the community in Indonesia. International Journal Of Nursing Practice. 2003;9(4):246-54.

128. Sahlen K-G, Löfgren C, Mari Hellner B, Lindholm L. Preventive home visits to older people are cost-effective. Scandinavian Journal Of Public Health. 2008;36(3):265-71.

129. Schlag PA. Older adults' computer use: A case study of participants' involvement with a SeniorNet program. International Journal on Disability and Human Development. 2011;10(2):139-44.

130. Schlimbach T. Intergenerational mentoring in Germany: older people support young people's transitions from school to work. Working with Older People. 2010;14(4):4-15.

131. Schoenmakers B, Buntinx F, Delepeleire J. Supporting family carers of community-dwelling elder with cognitive decline: a randomized controlled trial. International Journal Of Family Medicine. 2010;2010:184152-.

132. Schroder-Butterfill E. Inter-generational family support provided by older people in Indonesia. Ageing and Society. 2004;24(4):497-530.

133. Scott K, DeBrew JK. Helping older adults find meaning and purpose through storytelling. Journal Of Gerontological Nursing. 2009;35(12):38-43.

134. Seddon D, Harper G. What works well in community care: supporting older people in their own homes and community networks. Quality in Ageing. 2009;10(4):8-17.

135. Segrist KA. Impact of support groups on well-being of older women. Journal of Gerontological Social Work. 2008;51(1/2):42-52.

136. Sicotte M, et a. Social networks and depressive symptoms among elderly women and men in Havana, Cuba. Aging and Mental Health. 2008;12(2):193-201.

137. Sirey JA, et a. A community treatment intervention AdVancing Active Treatment in the Elderly (ACTIVATE): a pilot study. Journal of Gerontological Social Work. 2012;55(5):382-91.

138. Son JS, Kerstetter DL, Yarnal C, Baker BL. Promoting older women's health and well-being through social leisure environments: what we have learned from the Red Hat Society. Journal Of Women & Aging. 2007;19(3-4):89-104.

139. Stahl ST, Albert SM, Dew MA, Lockovich MH, Reynolds CF, 3rd. Coaching in healthy dietary practices in at-risk older adults: a case of indicated depression prevention. The American Journal Of Psychiatry. 2014;171(5):499-505.

140. Stephenson RC. Promoting well-being and gerotranscendence in an art therapy program for older adults. Art Therapy. 2013;30(Journal Article):151-8.

141. Strand KA. Promoting older adult wellness through an intergenerational physical activity exergaming program. : Iowa State University; 2012.

142. Strand KA, Francis SL, Margrett JA, Franke WD, Peterson MJ. Community-Based Exergaming and Wellness Program Increases Physical Activity and Subjective Health Among Rural Older Adults. Journal of Aging and Physical Activity. 2013(Journal Article).

143. Sum S, Mathews MR, Pourghasem M, Hughes I. Internet technology and social capital: How the Internet affects seniors' social capital and wellbeing. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication. 2008;14(1):202-20.

144. Taylor A. Participation in a Master Class: Experiences of Older Amateur Pianists. Music Education Research. 2010;12(2):199-217.

145. Torres ACS. Cognitive effects of video games on old people. International Journal on Disability and Human Development. 2011;10(Journal Article):55-8.

146. Trickey R, Kelley-Gillespie N, Farley OW. A look at a community coming together to meet the needs of older adults: an evaluation of the neighbors Helping Neighbors program. Journal Of Gerontological Social Work. 2008;50(3-4):81-98.

147. Trudel G, Boyer R, Villeneuve V, Anderson A, Pilon G, Bounader J. The Marital Life and Aging Well Program: effects of a group preventive intervention on the marital and sexual functioning of retired couples. Sexual and Relationship Therapy. 2008;23(1):5-23.

148. Tsai T-C, Hsu Y-L, Ma A-I, King T, Wu C-H. Developing a telepresence robot for interpersonal communication with the elderly in a home environment. Telemedicine Journal And E-Health: The Official Journal Of The American Telemedicine Association. 2007;13(4):407-24.

149. Tse MMY, Choi KCY, Leung RSW. E-health for older people: the use of technology in health promotion. Cyberpsychology & Behavior: The Impact Of The Internet, Multimedia And Virtual Reality On Behavior And Society. 2008;11(4):475-9.

150. Umemuro H. Computer attitudes, cognitive abilities, and technology usage among older Japanese adults. Gerontechnology. 2004;3(2):64-76.

151. Varkey P, Chutka DS, Lesnick TG. Aging game: improving medical students' attitudes toward caring for the elderly. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association. 2006;7(4):224-9.

152. Varma VR, Carlson MC, Parisi JM, Tanner EK, McGill S, Fried LP, et al. Experience Corps Baltimore: Exploring the Stressors and Rewards of High-intensity Civic Engagement. The Gerontologist. 2014.

153. Verbrugge LM, Chan A. Giving help in return: family reciprocity by older Singaporeans. Ageing and Society. 2008;28(1):5-34.

154. Vladeck F, Segel R. Identifying Risks to Healthy Aging in New York City's Varied NORCs. Journal of Housing for the Elderly. 2010;24(3-4):356-72.

155. Waddington P, Downs B. The Sandwell Telecare Project. Journal of Integrated Care. 2005;13(3):40-8.

156. Wahrendorf M, et a. Social productivity and depressive symptoms in early old age-results from the GAZEL study. Aging and Mental Health. 2008;12(3):310-6.

157. Ward R, Ferguson J, Murray S. Evaluation of the Angus Gold project (a partnership approach to digital education and social inclusion). Edinburgh: Scotland. Scotlish Government Social Research; 2008. 81p. p.

158. Watt P, et a. Towards a business case for LinkAge Plus. Leeds: Corporate Document Services; Great Britain. Department for Work and Pensions; 2007. 37p., bibliog. p.

159. Westerhof GJ, Bohlmeijer E, Valenkamp MW. In Search of Meaning: A Reminiscence Program for Older Persons. Educational Gerontology. 2004;30(9):751-66.

160. Whitehouse PJ, Rajcan JL, Sami SA, Patterson MB, Smyth KA, Edland SD, et al. ADCS Prevention Instrument Project: pilot testing of a book club as a psychosocial intervention and recruitment and retention strategy. Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders. 2006;20(4):S203-S8.

161. Wild KV, Mattek NC, Maxwell SA, Dodge HH, Jimison HB, Kaye JA. Computer-related self-efficacy and anxiety in older adults with and without mild cognitive impairment. Alzheimer's & dementia : the journal of the Alzheimer's Association. 2012;8(6):544-52. Epub 2012/10/30.

162. Wilson NJ, Cordier R, Wilson Whatley L. Older male mentors' perceptions of a Men's Shed intergenerational mentoring program. Australian Occupational Therapy Journal. 2013;60(6):416-26.

163. Windle K, et a. National evaluation of Partnerships for older people projects: final report. Canterbury: Personal Social Services Research Unit; 2009. 286p., bibliog. p.

164. Winningham RG, Anunsen R, Hanson LM, Laux L, Kaus KD, Reifers A. MemAerobics: A Cognitive Intervention to Improve Memory Ability and Reduce Depression in Older Adults. Journal of Mental Health and Aging. 2003;9(3):183-92.

165. Wolinsky FD, Unverzagt FW, Smith DM, Jones R, Stoddard A, Tennstedt SL. The ACTIVE cognitive training trial and health-related quality of life: protection that lasts for 5 years. The Journals Of GerontologySeries A, Biological Sciences And Medical Sciences. 2006;61(12):1324-9.

166. Wolinsky FD, Unverzagt FW, Smith DM, Jones R, Wright E, Tennstedt SL. The effects of the ACTIVE cognitive training trial on clinically relevant declines in health-related quality of life. The Journals Of GerontologySeries B, Psychological Sciences And Social Sciences. 2006;61(5):S281-S7.

167. Wolinsky FD, Vander Weg MW, Martin R, Unverzagt FW, Willis SL, Marsiske M, et al. Does Cognitive Training Improve Internal Locus of Control among Older Adults? Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences. 2010;65B(5):591-8. 168. Xaverius PK, Mathews RM. Evaluating the impact of intergenerational activities on elders' engagement and expressiveness levels in two settings. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships. 2003;1(4):53-69.

169. Xie B. Older Chinese, the Internet, and Well-Being. Care Management Journals. 2007;8(1):33-8.

170. Xie B. Civic engagement among older Chinese Internet users. Journal of Applied Gerontology. 2008;27(4):424-45.

171. Yamashita T, Carr DC, Brown JS. Analyzing state-based Silver Alert programs: the case of North Carolina. North Carolina medical journal. 2013;74(2):111-7.

172. Yanagisawa H, Sakakibara H. Factors affecting satisfaction levels of Japanese volunteers in meal delivery services for the elderly. Public health nursing (Boston, Mass). 2008;25(5):471-9.

Not focused on retired older people

 Abbotts J, Spence W. Art and wellbeing in a deprived Scottish community. Journal of Public Mental Health. 2013;12(2):58-69.

2. Bode C, de Ridder DT, Kuijer RG, Bensing JM. Effects of an Intervention Promoting Proactive Coping Competencies in Middle and Late Adulthood. Gerontologist. 2007;47(1):42-51.

3. Bowers H, et a. Making a difference through volunteering: the impact of volunteers who support and care for people at home. London: CSV; 2006. 140p. p.

4. Collins AB, Wrigley J. Can a neighbourhood approach contribute to people's wellbeing? York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation; 2014.

5. de Viggiani N, Machintosh S, Lang P. Music in time: An evaluation of a participatory creative music programme for older prisoners. Bristol: University of West of England; 2010.

6. Dias A, Dewey ME, D'Souza J, Dhume R, Motghare DD, Shaji KS, et al. The effectiveness of a home care program for supporting caregivers of persons with dementia in developing countries: a randomised controlled trial from Goa, India. Plos One. 2008;3(6):e2333-e.

7. Ducharme FC, Levesque LL, Lachance LM, Giroux F, Legault AJ, Preville M. 'Taking Care of Myself' Efficacy of an intervention programme for caregivers of a relative with dementia living in a long-term care setting. Dementia. 2005;4(1):23-47.

8. Fruhauf CA, Bundy-Fazioli K, Miller JL. Larimer County Alliance for Grandfamilies: A Collaborative Approach to Meeting a Community Need. The Journal of Applied Gerontology. 2012;31(2):193-214.

9. Glueckauf RL, Ketterson TU, Loomis JS, Dages P. Online support and education for dementia caregivers: overview, utilization, and initial program evaluation. Telemedicine Journal And E-Health: The Official Journal Of The American Telemedicine Association. 2004;10(2):223-32.

10. Glueckauf RL, Loomis JS. Alzheimer's Caregiver Support Online: lessons learned, initial findings and future directions. Neurorehabilitation. 2003;18(2):135-46.

11. Hogeboom DL, McDermott RJ, Perrin KM, Osman H, Bell-Ellison BA. Internet use and social networking among middle aged and older adults. Educational Gerontology. 2010;36(2):93-111.

12. Kajiyama B, et a. Exploring the effectiveness of an internet-based program for reducing caregiver distress using the iCare Stress Management e-Training program. Aging and Mental Health. 2013;17(5):544-54.

13. Kamioka H, Nakamura Y, Yazaki T, Uebaba K, Mutoh Y, Okada S, et al. Comprehensive health education combining hot spa bathing and lifestyle education in middle-aged and elderly women: one-year follow-up on randomized controlled trial of three- and six-month interventions. Journal of epidemiology / Japan Epidemiological Association. 2006;16(1):35-44.

14. Kelly-Gillespie N, Wilby F. Experiences of volunteers serving older adults. Working with Older People. 2012;16(1):31-40.

15. Kidd LI, Zauszniewski JA, Morris DL. Benefits of a Poetry Writing Intervention for Family Caregivers of Elders with Dementia. Issues in Mental Health Nursing. 2011;32(9):598-604.

16. Lelkes O. Happier and less isolated: internet use in old age. Journal of Poverty and Social Justice. 2013;21(1):33-46.

17. Lin CI, Tang W-h, Kuo F-Y. "Mommy Wants to Learn the Computer": How Middle-Aged and Elderly Women in Taiwan Learn ICT through Social Support. Adult Education Quarterly: A Journal of Research and Theory. 2012;62(1):73-90.

18. Livesey L, et a. Benefits of choral singing for social and mental wellbeing: qualitative findings from a cross-national survey of choir members. Journal of Public Mental Health. 2012;11(1):10-26.

19. Mackenzie CS, Wiprzycka UJ, Hasher L, Goldstein D. Does Expressive Writing Reduce Stress and Improve Health for Family Caregivers of Older Adults? Gerontologist. 2007;47(3):296-306.

20. Mackenzie CS, Wiprzycka UJ, Hasher L, Goldstein D. Seeing the glass half full: optimistic expressive writing improves mental health among chronically stressed caregivers. British Journal Of Health Psychology. 2008;13(Pt 1):73-6.

21. Martin-Cook K, Davis BA, Hynan LS, Weiner MF. Randomized, controlled study of an Alzheimer's caregiver skills training program. American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias. 2005;20(4):204-10.

22. McFadden SH, Lunsman M. Arts Involvement and Spirituality as Sources of Well-Being in Older People. Journal of Religion, Spirituality & Aging. 2009;21(4):330-43.

23. Montoro-Rodriguez J, Pinazo S. Evaluating social integration and psychological outcomes for older adults enrolled at a University intergenerational program. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships. 2005;3(3):65-81.

24. Mukherjee D. An exploratory study of older adults' engagement with virtual volunteerism. Journal of Technology in Human Services. 2010;28(Journal Article):188-96.

25. Mukherjee D. Participation of older adults in virtual volunteering: a qualitative analysis. Ageing International. 2011;36(2):253-66.

26. Oakes SL, Hepburn K, Ross JS, Talamantes MA, Espino DV. Reaching the heart of the caregiver. Clinical gerontologist. 2006;30(2):37-49.

27. Riley J, Corkhill B, Morris C. The benefits of knitting for personal and social wellbeing in adulthood: findings from an international survey. British Journal of Occupational Therapy. 2013;76(2):50-7.

28. Sahar J, Courtney M, Edwards H. Improvement of family carers' knowledge, skills and attitudes in caring for older people following the implementation of a Family Carers' Training Program in the community in Indonesia. International Journal Of Nursing Practice. 2003;9(4):246-54.

29. Stewart M, Barnfather A, Neufeld A, Warren S, Letourneau N, Liu L. Accessible Support for Family Caregivers of Seniors with Chronic Conditions: From Isolation to Inclusion. Canadian Journal on Aging. 2006;25(2):179-92.

30. Weiss RS, Bass SA, Heimovitz HK, Oka M. Japan's silver human resource centers and participant well-being. Journal Of Cross-Cultural Gerontology. 2005;20(1):47-66.

Reviews, methods and discussion papers

1. Bicknell J. Body of Knowledge: a practice as research case study on the capacity for dance-theatre to promote wellbeing. Working with Older People. 2014;18(1):18-23.

2. Choi M, Kong S, Jung D. Computer and internet interventions for loneliness and depression in older adults: a meta-analysis. Healthcare informatics research. 2012;18(3):191-8. Epub 2012/11/02.

3. Cohen-Mansfield J, Parpura-Gill A. Loneliness in older persons: a theoretical model and empirical findings. International Psychogeriatrics / IPA. 2007;19(2):279-94.

4. Collins E. Preventing loneliness and social isolation in older people. Glasgow: Institute for Research and Innovation in Social Services; 2014 [25]; Available from: http://www.iriss.org.uk/resources/preventing-loneliness-and-social-isolation-older-people.

5. Hong S-L, et a. Engaging older adults in volunteering: conceptualizing and measuring institutional capacity. Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly. 2009;38(2):200-19.

6. Jones M, Rowbottom C. The role of telecare in overcoming social exclusion in older people. Journal of Assistive Technologies. 2010;4(3):54-9.

7. Milligan C, Bingley A, Gatrell A. Digging Deep: Using Diary Techniques to Explore the Place of Health and Well-Being amongst Older People. Social science & medicine. 2005;61(9):1882-92.

8. Muir K. Measuring the Benefits of Mentoring for Foster Grandparents: A Research Note. Educational Gerontology. 2006;32(5):379-87.

9. Nyqvist F, Forsman AK, Cattan M. A comparison of older workers' and retired older people's social capital and sense of mastery. Scandinavian Journal Of Public Health. 2013;41(8):792-8.

Out of Review Scope

1. Aoun S, Osseiran-Moisson R, Shahid S, Howat P, O'Connor M. Telephone Lifestyle Coaching: Is It Feasible as a Behavioural Change Intervention for Men. Journal of Health Psychology. 2012;17(2):227-36.

2. Austin EN, Johnston YAM, Morgan LL. Community Gardening in a Senior Center: A Therapeutic Intervention to Improve the Health of Older Adults. Therapeutic recreation journal. 2006;40(Journal Article):48-56.

3. Barnes C. Step Change: Full evaluation report. South Petherton, Somerset: Take Art; 2010.

4. Barnes DE, Santos-Modesitt W, Poelke G, Kramer AF, Castro C, Middleton LE, et al. The Mental Activity and eXercise (MAX) trial: a randomized controlled trial to enhance cognitive function in older adults. JAMA Internal Medicine. 2013;173(9):797-804.

5. Bates T, Cohan M, Bragg DS, Bedinghaus J. The Medical College of Wisconsin Senior Mentor Program: Experience of a Lifetime. Gerontology & geriatrics education. 2006;27(2):93-103.

6. Bell CS, Fain E, Daub J, Warren SH, Howell SH, Southard KS, et al. Effects of Nintendo Wii on Quality of Life, Social Relationships, and Confidence to Prevent Falls. Physical and Occupational Therapyin Geriatrics. 2011;29(3):213-21.

7. Bonura KB. The psychological benefits of yoga practice for older adults: evidence and guidelines. International Journal Of Yoga Therapy. 2011(21):129-42.

8. Bonura KB, Tenenbaum G. Effects of Yoga on Psychological Health in Older Adults. Journal Of Physical Activity & Health. 2013.

9. Brownell P, Heiser D. Psycho-educational support groups for older women victims of family mistreatment: a pilot study. Journal Of Gerontological Social Work. 2006;46(3-4):145-60.

10. Buffel T, de Donder L, Phillipson C, Dury S, de Witte N, Verté D. Social participation among older adults living in mediumsized cities in Belgium: the role of neighbourhood perceptions. Health Promotion International. 2013.

11. Buijs R, O'Brien Cousins S, Ross-Kerr J, Wilson D. Promoting participation: evaluation of a health promotion program for low income seniors. Journal of community health nursing. 2003;20(2):93-107.

12. Bullard R. Voices of Experience. Community Care. 2007(1697):32-3.

13. Camic PM, Tischler V, Pearman CH. Viewing and making art together: a multi-session art-gallery-based intervention for people with dementia and their carers. Aging and Mental Health. 2014;18(2):161-8.

14. Choi NG, Kim J. The effect of time volunteering and charitable donations in later life on psychological wellbeing. Ageing and Society. 2011;31(4):590-610.

15. Clark F, Jackson J, Carlson M, Chou C-P, Cherry BJ, Jordan-Marsh M, et al. Effectiveness of a lifestyle intervention in promoting the well-being of independently living older people: results of the Well Elderly 2 Randomised Controlled Trial. Journal of epidemiology and community health. 2012;66(9):782-90.

16. Cleak H, Howe JL. Social networks and use of social supports of minority elders in East Harlem. Social Work in Health Care. 2003;38(1):19-37.

17. Creswell JD, Irwin MR, Burklund LJ, Lieberman MD, Arevalo JMG, Ma J, et al. Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction training reduces loneliness and pro-inflammatory gene expression in older adults: a small randomized controlled trial. Brain, behavior, and immunity. 2012;26(7):1095-101.

18. de Souto Barreto P, Ferrandez A-M, Saliba-Serre B. Are Older Adults Who Volunteer to Participate in an Exercise Study Fitter and Healthier than Non-Volunteers? The participation bias of the study population. Journal Of Physical Activity & Health. 2012.

19. Deuter K, Procter N, Rogers J. The emergency telephone conversation in the context of the older person in suicidal crisis: a qualitative study. Crisis. 2013;34(4):262-72.

20. Ferry M, Sidobre B, Lambertin A, Barberger-Gateau P. The SOLINUT study: analysis of the interaction between nutrition and loneliness in persons aged over 70 years. The Journal Of Nutrition, Health & Aging. 2005;9(4):261-8.

21. Fruhauf CA, Bundy-Fazioli K, Miller JL. Larimer County Alliance for Grandfamilies: A Collaborative Approach to Meeting a Community Need. The Journal of Applied Gerontology. 2012;31(2):193-214.

22. Gutheil IA, Chernesky RH, Sherratt ML. Influencing student attitudes toward older adults: results of a service-learning collaboration. Educational Gerontology. 2006;32(9):771-84.

23. Hekmatpou D, Shamsi M, Zamani M. The effect of a healthy lifestyle program on the elderly's health in Arak. Indian journal of medical sciences. 2013;67(3-4):70-7.

24. Horowitz BP, Wong SD, Dechello K. Intergenerational Service Learning: To Promote Active Aging, and Occupational Therapy Gerontology Practice. Gerontology & geriatrics education. 2010;31(1):75-91.

25. Hui E, Chui BT-k, Woo J. Effects of dance on physical and psychological well-being in older persons. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics. 2009;49(1):e45-e50.

26. James BD, Boyle PA, Yu L, Bennett DA. Internet use and decision making in community-based older adults. Frontiers In Psychology. 2013;4:605-.

27. Kamegaya T, Araki Y, Kigure H, Yamaguchi H. Twelve-week physical and leisure activity programme improved cognitive function in community-dwelling elderly subjects: a randomized controlled trial. Psychogeriatrics: The Official Journal Of The Japanese Psychogeriatric Society. 2014;14(1):47-54.

28. Kamegaya T, Maki Y, Yamagami T, Yamaguchi T, Murai T, Yamaguchi H. Pleasant physical exercise program for prevention of cognitive decline in community-dwelling elderly with subjective memory complaints. Geriatrics & Gerontology International. 2012;12(4):673-9.

29. Kamioka H, Nakamura Y, Yazaki T, Uebaba K, Mutoh Y, Okada S, et al. Comprehensive health education combining hot spa bathing and lifestyle education in middle-aged and elderly women: one-year follow-up on randomized controlled trial of three- and six-month interventions. Journal of epidemiology / Japan Epidemiological Association. 2006;16(1):35-44.

30. Liddle J, et al. Exploring the age-friendliness of purpose-built retirement communities: evidence from England. Ageing and Society. 2014;34(9):1601-29.

31. Markle-Reid M, Weir R, Browne G, Roberts J, Gafni A, Henderson S. Health promotion for frail older home care clients. Journal of advanced nursing. 2006;54(3):381-95.

32. McDougall GJ, Jr., Becker H, Pituch K, Acee TW, Vaughan PW, Delville CL. The SeniorWISE Study: Improving Everyday Memory in Older Adults. Archives of Psychiatric Nursing. 2010;24(5):291-306.

33. Melis RJF, van Eijken MIJ, van Achterberg T, Teerenstra S, Vernooij-Dassen MJFJ, van de Lisdonk EH, et al. The effect on caregiver burden of a problem-based home visiting programme for frail older people. Age And Ageing. 2009;38(5):542-7.

34. Middling S, Bailey J, Maslin S, Scharf T. Gardening and the social engagement of older people. Working with Older People. 2011;15(3):112-22.

35. Oida Y, Kitabatake Y, Nishijima Y, Nagamatsu T, Kohno H, Egawa K, et al. Effects of a 5-year exercise-centered health-promoting programme on mortality and ADL impairment in the elderly. Age And Ageing. 2003;32(6):585-92.

36. Parsons M, Senior H, Mei-Hu Chen X, Jacobs S, Parsons J, Sheridan N, et al. Assessment without action; a randomised evaluation of the interRAI home care compared to a national assessment tool on identification of needs and service provision for older people in New Zealand. Health & Social Care in the Community. 2013;21(5):536-44.

37. Phillips LR. Abuse of aging caregivers: test of a nursing intervention. ANS Advances In Nursing Science. 2008;31(2):164-81.

38. Reijneveld SA, Westhoff MH, Hopman-Rock M. Promotion of health and physical activity improves the mental health of elderly immigrants: results of a group randomised controlled trial among Turkish immigrants in the Netherlands aged 45 and over. Journal Of Epidemiology And Community Health. 2003;57(6):405-11.

39. Samus QM, Johnston D, Black BS, Hess E, Lyman C, Vavilikolanu A, et al. A Multidimensional Home-Based Care Coordination Intervention for Elders with Memory Disorders: The Maximizing Independence at Home (MIND) Pilot Randomized Trial. The American Journal Of Geriatric Psychiatry: Official Journal Of The American Association For Geriatric Psychiatry. 2014;22(4):398-414.

40. Schlimbach T. Intergenerational mentoring in Germany: older people support young people's transitions from school to work. Working with Older People. 2010;14(4):4-15.

41. Sin M-K, Belza B, Logerfo J, Cunningham S. Evaluation of a community-based exercise program for elderly Korean immigrants. Public health nursing (Boston, Mass). 2005;22(5):407-13.

42. Sugano K, Yokogawa M, Yuki S, Dohmoto C, Yoshita M, Hamaguchi T, et al. Effect of cognitive and aerobic training intervention on older adults with mild or no cognitive impairment: a derivative study of the nakajima project. Dementia And Geriatric Cognitive Disorders Extra. 2012;2(1):69-80.

43. Tsai F-J, Motamed S, Rougemont A. The protective effect of taking care of grandchildren on elders' mental health? Associations between changing patterns of intergenerational exchanges and the reduction of elders' loneliness and depression between 1993 and 2007 in Taiwan. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:567-.

44. Wang DS. Feasibility of a Yoga Intervention for Enhancing the Mental Well-Being and Physical Functioning of Older Adults Living in the Community. Activities, Adaptation and Aging. 2010;34(2):85-97.

45. Weiss RS, Bass SA, Heimovitz HK, Oka M. Japan's silver human resource centers and participant well-being. Journal Of Cross-Cultural Gerontology. 2005;20(1):47-66.

46. White JA, Drechsel J, Johnson J. Faithfully Fit Forever: A Holistic Exercise and Wellness Program for Faith Communities. Journal of Holistic Nursing. 2006;24(2):127-31.

47. Windle G, et a. Public health interventions to promote mental well-being in people aged 65 and over: systematic review of effectiveness and cost-effectiveness. Bangor: University of Wales Bangor; 2008. 143p., bibliog. p.

48. Yamashita T, Carr DC, Brown JS. Analyzing state-based Silver Alert programs: the case of North Carolina. North Carolina medical journal. 2013;74(2):111-7.

Off topic

1. Bekhet AK, Zauszniewski JA, Matel-Anderson D. Resourcefulness training intervention: assessing critical parameters from relocated older adults' perspectives. Issues in Mental Health Nursing. 2012;33(7):430-5.

2. Bicknell J. Body of Knowledge: a practice as research case study on the capacity for dance-theatre to promote wellbeing. Working with Older People. 2014;18(1):18-23.

3. Boswell SS. Predicting Trainee Ageism Using Knowledge, Anxiety, Compassion, and Contact with Older Adults. Educational Gerontology. 2012;38(11):733-41.

4. Brown WM, Consedine NS, Magai C. Altruism relates to health in an ethnically diverse sample of older adults. Journals of Gerontology: Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences. 2005;60B(3):P143-P52.

5. Choi NG, Kim J. The effect of time volunteering and charitable donations in later life on psychological wellbeing. Ageing and Society. 2011;31(4):590-610.

6. Finkenzeller T, Müller E, Würth S, Amesberger G. Does a skiing intervention influence the psycho-social characteristics of the elderly? Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports. 2011;21(Suppl 1):69-75.

7. Guerra SR, Mendes ÁF, Figueiredo DM, Sousa LX. ProFamilies-dementia: A programme for elderly people with dementia and their families. Dementia: The International Journal of Social Research and Practice. 2012;11(5):589-96.

8. Hastings EC, West RL. The Relative Success of a Self-Help and a Group-Based Memory Training Program for Older Adults. Psychology and Aging Psychology and Aging. 2009;24(3):586-94.

9. Johansson PM, de Leon AP, Sadigh S, Tillgren PE, Rehnberg C. Statistical modelling needed to find the effects from a community-based elderly safety promotion program. European journal of public health. 2009;19(1):100-5.

10. Kamegaya T, Maki Y, Yamagami T, Yamaguchi T, Murai T, Yamaguchi H. Pleasant physical exercise program for prevention of cognitive decline in community-dwelling elderly with subjective memory complaints. Geriatrics & Gerontology International. 2012;12(4):673-9.

11. Khan HT. Factors Associated with Intergenerational Social Support among Older Adults across the World. Ageing Int. 2014;39(4):289-326.

12. Rebola CB, Ogunmakin G, Vela PA. Design and technologies for understanding older adults social interactions in retirement communities. International Journal of Social Robotics. 2013;5(Journal Article):575-91.

13. Skarupski KA, Fitchett G, Evans DA, Mendes dL. Daily spiritual experiences in a biracial, community-based population of older adults. Aging and Mental Health. 2010;14(7):779-89.

14. Stahl ST, Albert SM, Dew MA, Lockovich MH, Reynolds CF, 3rd. Coaching in healthy dietary practices in at-risk older adults: a case of indicated depression prevention. The American Journal Of Psychiatry. 2014;171(5):499-505.

15. Willis M, Dalziel R. LinkAge Plus: capacity building: enabling and empowering older people as independent and active citizens. London: Great Britain. Department for Work and Pensions; 2009. 74p., bibliog. p.