## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

## HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME Equality impact assessment – Guidance development STA

## Spesolimab for treating generalised pustular psoriasis flares ID3963

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

## Consultation

- Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

  No
- 2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?
  No
- 3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

The committee discussed a concern that had been raised about whether the use of the GPPGA pustulation subscore could potentially underestimate severity in people with darker skin as redness is less visible. The committee heard from clinical experts that assessment is based on the visibility of pustules and not the observation of redness therefore there was no cause for this concern. Clinical experts also confirmed that ethnicity is not a prognostic characteristic for General Pustular Psoriasis flares. The committee concluded that there are no other equality issues.

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No.

5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No.

Technology appraisals: Guidance development Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal Spesolimab for treating generalised pustular psoriasis flares [ID3963]

Issue date: January 2025 Page 1 of 2

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable.

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the draft guidance, and, if so, where?

Section 3.2.

Approved by Associate Director (name): Emily Crowe

**Date:** 16/01/2025