

Delirium – Guideline Committee Meeting 1

Date: 9th September 2022

Location: Virtual Meeting via Zoom

Minutes: Final

Committee members present:		
Adam Firth (Chair)		Present for all items
Richard Clibbens		Present for all items
Daniel Davis		Present for all items
Jayne Etches		Present for all items
Ahmed Jaafar		Present for all items
Sue Jones		Present for all items
Gary Mitchell		Present for all items
Anna Ferguson Montague		Present for all items
Elizabeth Sampson		Present for all items
Nicola Wallace-King		Present for all items
Karen Whitehead		Present for all items
Sharadha Wisidagama		Present for all items

In attendance:		
Keona Bennett	Administrator, Guideline Development Team B	Present for all items
Rebecca Boucher	Medical Editor, NICE	Present for all items
Chris Carmona	Technical Adviser, Guideline Development Team B	Present for all items
Catrina Charlton	Guideline Commissioning Manager, NICE	Present for all items
Giacomo De Guisa	Technical Analyst, Guideline Development Team B	Present for all items
Syed Mohiuddin	Technical Adviser (Health Economics), NICE	Present for items 1-5
Adam O’Keefe	Project Manager, Guideline Development Team B	Present for all items
Hannah Tebbs	Technical Analyst (Health Economics), NICE	Present for all items

NICE Observers:	
Yuanyuan Zhang	Technical Analyst (Health Economics)

1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the committee members and attendees to the 1st meeting on Delirium. The committee members and attendees introduced themselves.

The Chair outlined the objectives for the day.

2. Confirmation of matter under discussion, and declarations of interest in relation to the matter under discussion: Delirium: prevention, diagnosis and management.

The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the matter under discussion was Delirium: prevention, diagnosis and management.

The Chair asked everyone to verbally declare any new interests that are not listed in the registry. There were no new declarations.

The Chair noted that committee member Daniel Davis is a co-author of one of the included studies in some of the systematic reviews identified. The NICE team had considered this declaration and considered that any risk of potential conflict is mitigated by the paper being a robust evaluation and not an opinion piece about the value of any of the tools, the paper is within systematic reviews, and those systematic reviews are a small subset of the total evidence base. Therefore, balanced against the need for the committee member's input, the decision was to declare and participate.

The Chair advised that no interests previously declared did not prevent the attendees from fully participating in the meeting.

3. Review Question: What is the diagnostic accuracy of diagnostic tests compared with the reference standard DSM-5, to identify delirium in people in hospital and long-term residential care settings?

The Chair introduced Giacomo De Guisa, who presented the clinical evidence on diagnostic tests to identify delirium in people in hospital and long-term residential care settings.

The committee had the opportunity to give feedback and ask questions.

4. Presentation of health economic evidence

The Chair introduced Hannah Tebbs, who presented the health economics evidence to the committee. The committee had the opportunity to give feedback and ask questions.

5. Drafting of recommendations and research recommendations

Chris Carmona led a discussion with the committee in light of the evidence presented and the recommendations were drafted accordingly.

The research recommendations were reviewed with the committee and updated to consider stakeholder comments.

6. Equalities Impact Assessment

Chris Carmona (CC) led a discussion on the Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) for this update. CC discussed with the committee equalities issues relating to the review question and updated the EIA accordingly.

7. Summary of the day and next steps.

Adam O'Keefe confirmed the next meeting date and next steps. The Chair thanked those present for their contributions. The meeting concluded.