Evidence Extractions

Question: What are the core elements of initial clinical history and examination, in the evaluation of children and young people under 19 years old who have nocturnal enuresis?

Well-conducted case–control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal

Butler R;Holland P;Devitt H;Hiley E;Roberts G;Redfern E;

The effectiveness of desmopressin in the treatment of childhood nocturnal enuresis: predicting response using pretreatment variables

| Ref ID 774 | | | 1998 |
|--|--------|---|---|
| Study Type | Cohort | Funding | Ferring Pharmaceuticals |
| Number of partici | pant | 66 children | |
| Inclusion/Exclusi Criteria | | Inclusion: Aged 8 to 16 years, wet at least 4 times over daytime wetting, primary NE – never been dry for more examination with no neurological or urological cause for statemented for learning difficulties, not undergoing enu parental and child consent given. | than 6 months, normal clinical the enuresis, not |
| Patient Character | | 54 boys and 12 girls. Mean age was 10.4 (SD 1.7) year years. | s and age range 8.1 to 14.5 |
| Recruitment | | Referred as outpatients for monosymptomatic NE. | |
| Setting | | Leeds, UK. | |
| Interventions/ Tes Factor being investigated | st/ | Child and parental factors. | |
| Comparisons | | No comparison | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | No follow up | |
| Outcome measure studied | es | Factors affecting treatment outcomes. | |
| Results | | Child measures: impact on lifestyle, beliefs about bedwe self image, self esteem and self-perception profile. Parental measures: concerns, beliefs about bedwetting scale, attitudes to bedwetting, efforts to treat NE, mater stress scale. | , revised maternal intolerance |
| | | The measures were collected at a pre-clinic home visit on statistically significant differences in patients betwee Children had 20 micrograms intranasal or 0.2 mg oral d parents were then instructed to double the dose. A follo weeks. | n any of the measures. esmopressin for 2 weeks, |
| | | The study showed the following were significant in pred wetting before treatment, child's birth weight, child's per intolerance, the perceived impact on the child's life (situ the enuresis is a physical problem, that it will go on for y the bed to retaliate against the parent. | ception of maternal ational), parental belief that |
| | | The following were not significant in predicting outcome situational variables. | : age, sex, demographic or |
| Safety and advers | se | None reported. | |

| Does the study answer the question | The study showed the following were significant in predicting outcome: severity of wetting before treatment, child's birth weight, child's perception of maternal intolerance, the perceived impact on the child's life (situational), parental belief that the enuresis is a physical problem, that it will go on for years and that the child wets the bed to retaliate against the parent. | |
|---|---|--|
| Effect due to facto study? | r in The study identified significant predictors of treatment outcome. | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | |
| Directly applicable guideline population | | |
| Internal Validity | Not addressed | |
| Butler RJ;Brewin CR;I | Forsythe W; | |
| Relapse in children tre | ated for nocturnal enuresis: prediction of response using pre-treatment variables | |
| Ref ID 1500 | 1990 | |
| | | |
| Study Type (| Cohort Funding Not reported | |
| Number of particip | ant 37 patients (n=24 who had been treated with modified dry bed training and n=13 has been treated with an alarm). | |
| Inclusion/Exclusio Criteria | n Inclusion: successfully treated (achieved 14 consecutive dry nights) within 16 week of treatment with an alarm or modified dry bed training. | |
| Patient Characteristics 28 boys, 9 girls; the mean age was 9.6 years (age range 6.1 to 12.4 time of treatment; 83.8% had primary NE, 16.2% had secondary NE treated with modified dry bed training, 13 had been treated with an a | | |
| Recruitment | Patients successfully treated with alarm or modified dry bed training, and followed u | |
| Setting | Leeds, UK. | |
| Interventions/ Test Factor being investigated | Pre-treatment assessment variables predicting relapse. | |
| Comparisons | Between children who relapsed and children who did not relapse. | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up. | |
| Outcome measures | Predicting relapse. | |
| Results | The study conducted a parent-child interview – age, wetting history, presence of urgency, frequency and action previously adopted to control bedwetting; maternal questionnaire on bed wetting – maternal beliefs of cause, attribution, feelings, concerns over bed wetting; tolerance scale (Morgan and Young, 1975); child interview – beliefs and reactions to bedwetting. The treatment outcomes were speed of acquisition to dryness, and persistence of dryness. The study conducted 2 sets of follow-up appointments, 6 at 4 week intervals to find out the number of wet nights, the other a long term follow-up by questionnaire. | |
| | The study combined children who relapsed after modified dry bed training and children who relapsed after alarm therapy, In total 13 children relapsed (10 were originally treated with modified dry bed training and 3 were originally treated with | |
| | alarm therapy) | |
| | alarm therapy) The study showed children who relapsed were more likely to have had more wet | |

| | nights over the 16 weeks of treatment (p<0.05); more likely to attribute their bed wetting to drinking too much prior to going to bed (p<0.05); less likely to attribute it to being too cold to arise from the bed during the night (p<0.05); more likely to have a history of secondary NE (p<0.001); and more likely not to worry over the bedwetting (p<0.01). The study states only more likely to have a history of secondary NE and more likely to have a state alpha level should be set no higher than p<0.01 with 78 comparisons. |
|---|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study reports children who relapsed were more likely to have a history of secondary NE and more likely not to worry over the bedwetting. There was a small correlation that children who relapsed were more likely to have had more wet nights over the 16 weeks of treatment, more likely to attribute their bed wetting to drinking too much prior to going to bed and less likely to attribute it to being too cold to arise from the bed during the night. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | The study identified predictors for relapse. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had been sucessfully treated with alarms of DBT and had a mean age of 9.6 years. |
| Internal Validity | |
| Butler RJ;Holland P;Robinso | on J; |
| Examination of the structure Ref ID 527 | d withdrawal program to prevent relapse of nocturnal enuresis 2001 |
| | |
| Study Type Cohord | |
| - | Funding Leeds Metropolitan |
| Study Type Cohord | Funding Leeds Metropolitan University. |
| Study Type Cohord Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion | Funding Leeds Metropolitan University. 51 patients Inclusion: at least 90% dry nights while on medication (desmopressin or imipramine), on medication for at least 4 months, no neurological or urological cause of NE, and vulnerable to relapse due to at least 2 unsuccessful attempts at medication |
| Study Type Cohord Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Funding Leeds Metropolitan University. 51 patients Inclusion: at least 90% dry nights while on medication (desmopressin or imipramine), on medication for at least 4 months, no neurological or urological cause of NE, and vulnerable to relapse due to at least 2 unsuccessful attempts at medication withdrawal by gradual dose tapering. 37 were boys and 14 girls. Mean age was 11.8 (sd 2.06) years and age range 7.7 to 15.9 years. 37 children were treated with desmopressin and 14 with imipramine. The mean length of treatment was 10.6 (sd 5.04) months (range 4 to 24 months). The doses of desmopressin were 8 children on 10 micrograms, 17 on 20 micrograms or 0.2 mg, 9 on 40 micrograms or 0.4 mg, 2 on 60 micrograms or 0.6 mg and 1 on 80 |
| Study TypeCohordNumber of participantInclusion/ExclusionCriteriaPatient Characteristics | Funding Leeds Metropolitan University. 51 patients Inclusion: at least 90% dry nights while on medication (desmopressin or imipramine), on medication for at least 4 months, no neurological or urological cause of NE, and vulnerable to relapse due to at least 2 unsuccessful attempts at medication withdrawal by gradual dose tapering. 37 were boys and 14 girls. Mean age was 11.8 (sd 2.06) years and age range 7.7 to 15.9 years. 37 children were treated with desmopressin and 14 with imipramine. The mean length of treatment was 10.6 (sd 5.04) months (range 4 to 24 months). The doses of desmopressin were 8 children on 10 micrograms, 17 on 20 micrograms or 0.2 mg, 9 on 40 micrograms or 0.4 mg, 2 on 60 micrograms or 0.6 mg and 1 on 80 micrograms. The doses of imipramine were 10 on 25 mg, 2 on 50 mg and 2 on 75 mg. |
| Study TypeCohordNumber of participantInclusion/ExclusionCriteriaPatient CharacteristicsRecruitment | Funding Leeds Metropolitan University. 51 patients Inclusion: at least 90% dry nights while on medication (desmopressin or imipramine), on medication for at least 4 months, no neurological or urological cause of NE, and vulnerable to relapse due to at least 2 unsuccessful attempts at medication withdrawal by gradual dose tapering. 37 were boys and 14 girls. Mean age was 11.8 (sd 2.06) years and age range 7.7 to 15.9 years. 37 children were treated with desmopressin and 14 with imipramine. The mean length of treatment was 10.6 (sd 5.04) months (range 4 to 24 months). The doses of desmopressin were 8 children on 10 micrograms, 17 on 20 micrograms or 0.2 mg, 9 on 40 micrograms or 0.4 mg, 2 on 60 micrograms or 0.6 mg and 1 on 80 micrograms. The doses of imipramine were 10 on 25 mg, 2 on 50 mg and 2 on 75 mg. Not reported. |
| Study TypeCohordNumber of participantInclusion/ExclusionCriteriaPatient CharacteristicsRecruitmentSettingInterventions/ Test/ Factor being | Funding Leeds Metropolitan University. 51 patients Inclusion: at least 90% dry nights while on medication (desmopressin or imipramine), on medication for at least 4 months, no neurological or urological cause of NE, and vulnerable to relapse due to at least 2 unsuccessful attempts at medication withdrawal by gradual dose tapering. 37 were boys and 14 girls. Mean age was 11.8 (sd 2.06) years and age range 7.7 to 15.9 years. 37 children were treated with desmopressin and 14 with imipramine. The mean length of treatment was 10.6 (sd 5.04) months (range 4 to 24 months). The doses of desmopressin were 8 children on 10 micrograms, 17 on 20 micrograms or 0.2 mg, 9 on 40 micrograms or 0.4 mg, 2 on 60 micrograms or 0.6 mg and 1 on 80 micrograms. The doses of imipramine were 10 on 25 mg, 2 on 50 mg and 2 on 75 mg. Not reported. Leeds, UK |
| Study Type Cohord Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Funding Leeds Metropolitan University. 51 patients Inclusion: at least 90% dry nights while on medication (desmopressin or imipramine), on medication for at least 4 months, no neurological or urological cause of NE, and vulnerable to relapse due to at least 2 unsuccessful attempts at medication withdrawal by gradual dose tapering. 37 were boys and 14 girls. Mean age was 11.8 (sd 2.06) years and age range 7.7 to 15.9 years. 37 children were treated with desmopressin and 14 with imipramine. The mean length of treatment was 10.6 (sd 5.04) months (range 4 to 24 months). The doses of desmopressin were 8 children on 10 micrograms, 17 on 20 micrograms or 0.2 mg, 9 on 40 micrograms or 0.4 mg, 2 on 60 micrograms or 0.6 mg and 1 on 80 micrograms. The doses of imipramine were 10 on 25 mg, 2 on 50 mg and 2 on 75 mg. Not reported. Leeds, UK Factors predicting response to structured withdrawal program. |
| Study TypeCohordNumber of participantInclusion/Exclusion CriteriaPatient CharacteristicsPatient CharacteristicsRecruitmentSettingInterventions/ Test/ Factor being investigatedComparisons Length of Study/ | Funding Leeds Metropolitan University. 51 patients Inclusion: at least 90% dry nights while on medication (desmopressin or imipramine), on medication for at least 4 months, no neurological or urological cause of NE, and vulnerable to relapse due to at least 2 unsuccessful attempts at medication withdrawal by gradual dose tapering. 37 were boys and 14 girls. Mean age was 11.8 (sd 2.06) years and age range 7.7 to 15.9 years. 37 children were treated with desmopressin and 14 with imipramine. The mean length of treatment was 10.6 (sd 5.04) months (range 4 to 24 months). The doses of desmopressin were 8 children on 10 micrograms, 17 on 20 micrograms or 0.2 mg, 9 on 40 micrograms or 0.4 mg, 2 on 60 micrograms or 0.6 mg and 1 on 80 micrograms. The doses of imipramine were 10 on 25 mg, 2 on 50 mg and 2 on 75 mg. Not reported. Leeds, UK Factors predicting response to structured withdrawal program. |

| _ . | |
|--|---|
| Results | The withdrawal program started during week 1 on taking medication on day 1, 3, 4 and 6; in week 2 on days 1, 2, 4 and 7; in week 3 on days 2 5 and 7; in week 4 on days 1, 3 and 6; in weeks 5 on days 2 and 5; in week 6 on days 2 and 6; in week 7 on days 3 and 7 and in week 8 on day 4. Alarm treatment was offered on medication free nights. 38 children stayed dry during weeks 9 and 10, 72.2% of these remained dry at 6 months. |
| | The study showed there was no association between the types of medication and outcome at weeks 9 and 10 ($p=0.303$) or at 6 months ($p=0.667$). |
| | At weeks 9 and 10 success was associated with a higher number of dry medication nights ($p=0.011$) and no mediation nights ($p=0.002$). |
| | At weeks 9 and 10 there was no association between success and age ($p=0.057$), gender ($p=0.259$), use of the alarm ($p=0.976$), dose level of desmopressin ($p=0.7$) or imipramine ($p=0.65$), duration of treatment before withdrawal ($p=0.760$). |
| | At 6 months success was associated with a higher number of dry medication nights $(p=0.005)$ and no mediation nights $(p=0.008)$. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | At weeks 9 and 10 and at 6 months success was associated with a higher number of dry medication nights and no medication nights. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study showed a structured withdrawal program could increase continued dryness. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had a mean age of 11.8 years. |
| Internal Validity | |
| Internal Validity | |
| Butler RJ;Redfern EJ;Forsyt | he WI; |
| Butler RJ;Redfern EJ;Forsyt | cturnal enuresis: a method of inquiry and prediction of outcome |
| Butler RJ;Redfern EJ;Forsyt | |
| Butler RJ;Redfern EJ;Forsyt | cturnal enuresis: a method of inquiry and prediction of outcome 1990 |
| Butler RJ;Redfern EJ;Forsyt The child's construing of noc Ref ID 1215 | cturnal enuresis: a method of inquiry and prediction of outcome 1990 |
| Butler RJ;Redfern EJ;Forsyt The child's construing of noc Ref ID 1215 Study Type Cohort | cturnal enuresis: a method of inquiry and prediction of outcome 1990 Funding Not reported. |
| Butler RJ;Redfern EJ;Forsyt The child's construing of noo Ref ID 1215 Study Type Cohort Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion | cturnal enuresis: a method of inquiry and prediction of outcome 1990 1990 Funding Not reported. 45 patients with nocturnal enuresis Inclusion: aged over 6 years, wet at least 4 times a month, normal examination, normal urine microscopy, normal intelligence, not undergoing any enuresis related |
| Butler RJ;Redfern EJ;Forsyt The child's construing of noo Ref ID 1215 Study Type Cohort Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | cturnal enuresis: a method of inquiry and prediction of outcome 1990 Funding Not reported. A Funding Not reported. 45 patients with nocturnal enuresis Inclusion: aged over 6 years, wet at least 4 times a month, normal examination, normal urine microscopy, normal intelligence, not undergoing any enuresis related treatment, living with natural parent(s). 42 boys and 13 girls. The mean age was 10.2 (sd 2.04) years, age range 6.9 to 14.7 |
| Butler RJ;Redfern EJ;Forsyt The child's construing of noo Ref ID 1215 Study Type Cohort Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics | cturnal enuresis: a method of inquiry and prediction of outcome 1990 Funding Not reported. 45 patients with nocturnal enuresis Not reported. Inclusion: aged over 6 years, wet at least 4 times a month, normal examination, normal urine microscopy, normal intelligence, not undergoing any enuresis related treatment, living with natural parent(s). 42 boys and 13 girls. The mean age was 10.2 (sd 2.04) years, age range 6.9 to 14.7 years, and 4 children had associated diurnal enuresis. |
| Butler RJ;Redfern EJ;Forsyt The child's construing of noo Ref ID 1215 Study Type Cohort Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment | turnal enuresis: a method of inquiry and prediction of outcome 1990 Funding Not reported. 45 patients with nocturnal enuresis Not reported. Inclusion: aged over 6 years, wet at least 4 times a month, normal examination, normal urine microscopy, normal intelligence, not undergoing any enuresis related treatment, living with natural parent(s). 12 boys and 13 girls. The mean age was 10.2 (sd 2.04) years, age range 6.9 to 14.7 years, and 4 children had associated diurnal enuresis. Referred as outpatients because of NE. |
| Butler RJ;Redfern EJ;Forsyt The child's construing of noo Ref ID 1215 Study Type Cohort Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being | turnal enuresis: a method of inquiry and prediction of outcome 1990 Funding Not reported. 45 patients with nocturnal enuresis Inclusion: aged over 6 years, wet at least 4 times a month, normal examination, normal urine microscopy, normal intelligence, not undergoing any enuresis related treatment, living with natural parent(s). 42 boys and 13 girls. The mean age was 10.2 (sd 2.04) years, age range 6.9 to 14.7 years, and 4 children had associated diurnal enuresis. Referred as outpatients because of NE. Out-patients, UK. |
| Butler RJ;Redfern EJ;Forsyt The child's construing of noo Ref ID 1215 Study Type Cohort Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being investigated | turnal enuresis: a method of inquiry and prediction of outcome 1990 Funding Not reported. 45 patients with nocturnal enuresis Inclusion: aged over 6 years, wet at least 4 times a month, normal examination, normal urine microscopy, normal intelligence, not undergoing any enuresis related treatment, living with natural parent(s). 42 boys and 13 girls. The mean age was 10.2 (sd 2.04) years, age range 6.9 to 14.7 years, and 4 children had associated diurnal enuresis. Referred as outpatients because of NE. Out-patients, UK. Tolerance scale and child interview. |

| Outcome measures studied | Factors relating to treatment success with an alarm. | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Results | The study examined – tolerance scale, child interview – anticipation of change, resistance to change, perceived family reactions, secrecy. Children were treated with an alarm and were encouraged to waken quickly, remove and dry sensitive plate, switch off the alarm and use the toilet to complete urination; the child was required to remove wet clothes and sheets and replace them with dry ones and reset the alarm. Treatment was for 16 weeks. | | |
| | 4 children dropped out and excluded from results, and 2 children had missing outcome measures. | | |
| | There was no statistically significant difference in ages or between males and females, but maternal intolerance was significantly higher in males than females. 65.3% were successfully treated. The study showed age was a significant predictor of response, but maternal intolerance, sex or presence of diurnal enuresis was not related to response. | | |
| | The presence of resistance constructs and the absence of perceived family support were significant predictors of treatment failure (p<0.05). The following were not predictors of treatment failure: perceived family intolerance, teased by siblings and secrecy. | | |
| | The study showed the probability of successful treatment increases with age but decreases with the presence of resistance constructs. | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that the probability of successful treatment increased with age but decreased with the presence of resistance constructs. | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study identified significant predictors of treatment response. | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had a mean age of 10.2 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | | | |
| Butler RJ;Robinson JC;Holl | and P;Doherty-Williams D; | | |
| | ems approach to complex childhood nocturnal enuresismedical treatment interventions | | |
| Ref ID 331 | 2004 | | |
| Study Type Cohor | t Funding Ferring Pharmaceuticals, UK | | |
| Number of participant | 66 patients | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged 5 to 16 years old, wetting at least 4 times a week, no major daytime wetting, attending mainstream school, no neurological or urological problems, and parents and child consented. | | |
| Patient Characteristics | 44 males and 22 females with a mean age of 10.41 years (sd 2.38). 47 had primary Ne, 16 had secondary NE, 63.6% had no dry nights during 14 night baseline, 18.2% had 1 to 2 dry nights, 9.1% had 3 to 6 dry nights, 24 had multiple wetting and 11 did not have multiple wetting. 29 had a family history of NE, 12 did not have a family history of NE, 57.4% had tried alarms, 51.8% had tried desmopressin 9.5% had tried imipramine, 11.9% had tried oxybutynin and 16.7% had tried other treatments. | | |
| Recruitment | Referred as outpatients for NE. | | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 6 of 219 | | |

| Setting | Leeds, UK |
|--|---|
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | 3 systems approach |
| Comparisons | No comparison. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up. |
| Outcome measures studied | Dryness (assessed on a banding scale where dry nights were judged against number of nights). |
| Results | The three system approach was used to obtain information on 6 clinical signs – urgency, frequency, passes small voids, wakes after wetting, small or variable wet patches, or wets soon after sleep. Parents answered often or rarely to each sign. The study also recorded demographics, school attended, family history, birth weight, mothers age at birth, early feeding practice, child's weight and height, presence of other predictive factors, and previous and current treatments. |
| | If children scored on 2 or more items representing bladder overactivity they were described as non-monosymptomatic and prescribed 5 to 10 mg oxybutynin or ditropan for 4 weeks. Iif the child did not have 2 or more signs they were described as monosymptomatic and prescribed 0.4 mg desmopressin for 4 weeks. Treatment was continued for a further 4 weeks if success criterion (of >50 reduction in number of wet nights) was met. If children did not meet the success criterion they were prescribed desmopressin and anticholinergics. |
| | 13 children dropped out |
| | In the desmopressin group of 42 children (7 were missing) 7 children achieved no dry nights, 4 children achieved 1 to 24% dry nights, 7 children achieved 25 to 49% dry nights, 10 children achieved 50 to 89% dry nights and 7 children achieved 90 to 100% dry nights. |
| | In the anticholinergic group of 24 children (6 were missing) 3 children achieved no dry nights, 6 children achieved 1 to 24% dry nights, 3 children achieved 25 to 49% dry nights, 5 children achieved 50 to 89% dry nights and 1 child achieved 90 to 100% dry nights. |
| | In the desmopressin and anticholinergic group of 30 children (2 were missing) 5 children achieved no dry nights, 5 children achieved 1 to 24% dry nights, 3 children achieved 25 to 49% dry nights, 10 children achieved 50 to 89% dry nights and 5 children achieved 90 to 100% dry nights. |
| | The study showed there were no predictive factors for response to desmopressin, although 50% of children wet soon after sleep. For anticholinergics medication the predictive factors were age ($p = 0.03$), frequency ($p = 0.01$), passing small voids ($p = 0.03$), small or variable wet patches ($p = 0.029$) and wakes soon after voiding ($p = 0.062$). There were no predictive variables for the combination group. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed there were no predictive factors for response to desmopressin, although 50% of children wet soon after sleep. For anticholinergics medication the predictive factors were age, frequency, passing small voids, small or variable wet patches and wakes soon after voiding. There were no predictive variables for the combination group. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study identified predictive factors for treatment outcome with anticholinergics. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |

Directly applicable to guideline population?

Children had a mean age of 10.41 years.

Internal Validity

Cayan S;Doruk E;Bozlu M;Duce MN;Ulusoy E;Akbay E;

The assessment of constipation in monosymptomatic primary nocturnal enuresis

| Ref ID 3910 | | 2001 | |
|---|--|---|-----------|
| Study Type | Cohort | Funding Not reported. | |
| Number of partic | Sipant 5350 patients surveye enuresis attended for enuresis). | ed. 679 found to have primary NE. 125 of the 679 with prim interview and ultrasound scan. There were 4671 controls (r | ary no |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | Exclusion: secondary | nosymptomatic NE, wetting 2 or more times a week. NE, neurological abnormalities, history of colon surgery, bo al delay, or metabolic disease. | wel |
| Patient Characte | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | e mean age was 9.23 years (sd 2.36) and 59.6% were male an age was 9.14 years (sd 2.89) and 59.6% were male. |). |
| Recruitment | Day care centres and August 1999. | primary and secondary schools between October 1998 and | b |
| Setting | Both rural and urban a | areas of Turkey. | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ Diagnosis of constipat | tion. | |
| Comparisons | Comparison between proportion of controls | proportion of children with enuresis who were constipated a with constipation. | and |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up. | | |
| Outcome measur studied | es Diagnosis of constipat | tion. | |
| Results | response rate). Childr neurological examinat serum creatinine level out of 679 attended. A sonograms. Constipation was desc | questionnaire about micturition and defecation habits (82.3 en with NE were invited to attend a hospital to undergo a tion (physical examination, electroencephalogram if needed ls) and abdominal sonogram (plain abdominal film), which 1 Il children had normal serum creatinine levels and renal cribed as less than 3 bowel movements a week for at least | l, 125 |
| | months | | |
| | | Ily significant difference in the number of children with the children with NE (7.06%) and control children (1.45%) p |) = |
| Safety and adver effects | rse None reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | | lly significant difference in the number of children with the children with NE and control children. | |
| Effect due to fac study? | tor in The study identified di children without NE. | ifferences in constipation rates between children with NE ar | nd |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | No other similar studi Pr | es | |

Directly applicable to Children had primary NE and a mean age of 9.23 years. guideline population?

Internal Validity

Cutler C;Middleton AWJ;Nixon GW;

Radiographic findings in children surveyed for enuresis

| Ref ID 1624 | 1978 |
|--|---|
| Study Type Coho | rt Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 216 patients |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: Children with NE who were referred for intravenous pyelogram and voiding cystourethrogram between July 1974 and June 1975. |
| Patient Characteristics | 59.3% were male. The male mean age was 7.54 years, the female mean age was 5.36 years. The mean age of males with normal studies was 7.35 years, the mean age of males with abnormal studies was 8.17 years. The mean age of females with normal studies was 7.54 years, the mean age of females with abnormal studies was 4.68 years. 47% had NE alone, 51% had NE and diurnal enuresis, 2% had diurnal enuresis alone. |
| Recruitment | Referred of NE for investigations between July 1974 and June 1975. |
| Setting | Primary Medical Centre, Utah, USA. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Intravenous pyelogram and voiding cystourethrogram. |
| Comparisons | No comparison. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up |
| Outcome measures studied | Radiographic abnormalities, and need for surgery. |
| Results | 89 radiographic abnormalities were found, 59 of which were clinically significant. 31.5% of males had radiographic abnormalities and 28.4% of females had radiographic abnormalities. There were 53 cases of upper urinary tract abnormalities, 43 of which were clinically significant. The most common was vesicoureteral reflux in 32 children, 5 of which had bilateral reflux and 27 had unilateral reflux. 4 children had ureteropelvic junction obstruction, 2 had hydrocalycosis, 2 had ureterovesical junction obstruction, 1 had pyelonephritis. Other non clinically significant abnormalities identified were duplicated collecting structure (5 children), renal ectopia (2 children), malrotation (2 children) and pyelocalyceal diverticulum (1 child) 135 children had clinical data available, of these 31% had a history of UTI prior to roentgenography. 5.2% of children with NE alone had UTI, 25.2% of children with diurnal enuresis with or without Ne had UTI. 54.8% of children with UTI had abnormal radiographic findings. Surgery from radiographic findings: Children with UTI – 7 had meatotomy, internal urethrotomy or urethral dilatation for stenosis (minor surgery), 6 had bilateral or unilateral ureteroneocystostomy and 1 had posterior urethral valve destruction (major surgery). Children without UTI – 8 had meatotomy, internal urethrotomy or urethral dilatation for stenosis (minor surgery), 4 had bilateral or unilateral ureteroneocystostomy and 0 had posterior urethral valve destruction (major surgery). |

| | Statistical evaluation of children needing surgery Minor surgery – 0 males with UTI, 5 males without UTI, 9 females with UTI, 1 female without UTI, 5 with NE, 8 with diurnal enuresis with or without NE. the mean age was 5.6 years. |
|---|--|
| | Major surgery – 3 males with UTI, 2 males without UTI, 4 females with UTI, 1 female without UTI, 1 with NE, 7 with diurnal enuresis with or without NE. the mean age was 5.5 years. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the questio | 89 radiographic abnormalities were found, 59 of which were clinically significant. 31.5% of males had radiographic abnormalities and 28.4% of females had radiographic abnormalities. |
| Effect due to factor study? | in Study identified readiographic findings in children with NE. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable guideline populatic | |
| Internal Validity | |
| Devlin JB;O'Cathain C | |
| Predicting treatment of | utcome in nocturnal enuresis |
| Ref ID 1183 | 1990 |
| | |
| Study Type C | ohort Funding Not reported |
| Study Type C Number of particip | |
| | ant 127 patients |
| Number of particip | ant 127 patients Inclusion: aged 6 to 18 years, and wet at least 2 nights a week. Exclusion: overt psychiatric disturbance requiring urgent referral to the child guidance service, moderate or greater mental handicap, or urological or neurological causes of incontinence. |
| Number of participa Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | 127 patients Inclusion: aged 6 to 18 years, and wet at least 2 nights a week. Exclusion: overt psychiatric disturbance requiring urgent referral to the child guidance service, moderate or greater mental handicap, or urological or neurological causes of incontinence. tics The mean age was 8.8 years, age range 6 to 17 years; male:female ratio was 2:1; 11% were in socioeconomic group I, 14% in group II, 11% in group III, 32% in group IV, 25% in group V and 7% in group V1; the unemployment rate was 30% among fathers, 70% among mothers; 83% had primary NE, 17% had secondary NE; 83% had night time only wetting, 17% had night and day time wetting; 2 children had UTI, 4 had urological abnormality. 20% had a stressful event in early childhood consisting of a hospital admission (17% due to physical illness, 2% due to physical handicap, 1% due to sexual abuse) A prior attendance at the child guidance clinic was recorded: 21% for a reactive disorder, 6% for a learning disorder, 3% for a mental handicap, 2% for developmental delay, 2% for a personality disorder and 1% for a psychosomatic disorder. 52 children admitted to stress in the family – 13 due to financial difficulties, 11 due to marital discord, 7 due to unemployment, 6 due to death in family, 6 due to other family disharmony, 2 due to serious illness in the family and 7 due a combination of the above. 9% had a family history of psychiatric illness and 18% had adverse housing conditions. 2% of parents had no concern about the bedwetting, 12% were a little concerned, 44% had moderate concern and 42% had a great deal of concern. 8% of children had no concern about the bedwetting, 26% were a little concerned, |
| Number of participa Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteris | ant 127 patients Inclusion: aged 6 to 18 years, and wet at least 2 nights a week. Exclusion: overt psychiatric disturbance requiring urgent referral to the child guidance service, moderate or greater mental handicap, or urological or neurological causes of incontinence. tics The mean age was 8.8 years, age range 6 to 17 years; male:female ratio was 2:1; 11% were in socioeconomic group I, 14% in group II, 11% in group III, 32% in group IV, 25% in group V and 7% in group VI; the unemployment rate was 30% among fathers, 70% among mothers; 83% had primary NE, 17% had secondary NE; 83% had night time only wetting, 17% had night and day time wetting; 2 children had UTI, 4 had urological abnormality. 20% had a stressful event in early childhood consisting of a hospital admission (17% due to physical illness, 2% due to physical handicap, 1% due to sexual abuse) A prior attendance at the child guidance clinic was recorded: 21% for a reactive disorder, 6% for a learning disorder, 3% for a mental handicap, 2% for developmental delay, 2% for a personality disorder and 1% for a psychosomatic disorder. 52 children admitted to stress in the family – 13 due to financial difficulties, 11 due to marital discord, 7 due to unemployment, 6 due to death in family, 6 due to other family disharmony, 2 due to serious illness in the family and 7 due a combination of the above. 9% had a family history of psychiatric illness and 18% had adverse housing conditions. 2% of parents had no concern about the bedwetting, 12% were a little concerned, 44% had moderate concern and 42% had a great deal of concern. Konsecutive referrals to community based enuresis clinic from GP, paediatricians, |

| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Factors which affect continuing success. | |
|--|---|--|
| Comparisons | No comparisons. | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 12 month follow up. | |
| Outcome measures studied | Factors which affect continuing success at 6 and 12 months. | |
| Results | 22 children became dry at the baseline and were not treated with an alarm, and 1 of these relapsed by 12 months. 8 children were lost to follow up after the initial visit and 1 was referred for surgical management. Therefore 96 children were treated with an alarm, 81 of these children became dry for 42 nights. | |
| | The study showed no stressful event for the child (p <0.05), no psychiatric disorder (p <0.01), no stress in the family (p <0.02), moderate to great parental concern (p <0.05) and moderate to great child distress (p <0.01) increased the chance of continuing success at 6 months. The study showed at 6 months that children with no adverse events increased failure rate by 5%, family stress increased the risk by 20%, lack of child's distress doubled the risk, and family stress and lack of child's distress quadrupled the risk. | |
| | The study showed no daytime wetting (p<0.02), no urological disorder (p<0.01), no psychiatric disorder (p<0.01), no developmental disorder (p<0.01), parental concern (p<0.01) and the child's distress (p<0.01) increased the chance of continuing success at 12 months. The study showed at 12 months that for children with no adverse events the risk of failure was 1 in 10, lack of child distress stress increased the risk by 1 quarter, developmental delay increased the risk by 1 third, psychiatric disorder increased the risk by 1 third, having lack of child's distress and developmental delay quadrupled the risk, having lack of child's distress and psychiatric disorder quadrupled the risk, developmental delay and psychiatric disorder increased the risk fivefold, and having all there increased the risk 20-fold. | |
| | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | |
| | None reported The study showed no stressful event for the child, no psychiatric disorder, no stress in the family, moderate to great parental concern and moderate to great child distress increased the chance of continuing success at 6 months. The study showed no daytime wetting, no urological disorder, no psychiatric disorder, no developmental disorder, parental concern and the child's distress increased the chance of continuing success at 12 months. | |
| effects Does the study | The study showed no stressful event for the child, no psychiatric disorder, no stress in the family, moderate to great parental concern and moderate to great child distress increased the chance of continuing success at 6 months. The study showed no daytime wetting, no urological disorder, no psychiatric disorder, no developmental disorder, parental concern and the child's distress increased the | |
| effects Does the study answer the question? Effect due to factor in | The study showed no stressful event for the child, no psychiatric disorder, no stress in the family, moderate to great parental concern and moderate to great child distress increased the chance of continuing success at 6 months. The study showed no daytime wetting, no urological disorder, no psychiatric disorder, no developmental disorder, parental concern and the child's distress increased the chance of continuing success at 12 months. | |
| effects Does the study answer the question? Effect due to factor in study? Consistency of results with other | The study showed no stressful event for the child, no psychiatric disorder, no stress in the family, moderate to great parental concern and moderate to great child distress increased the chance of continuing success at 6 months. The study showed no daytime wetting, no urological disorder, no psychiatric disorder, no developmental disorder, parental concern and the child's distress increased the chance of continuing success at 12 months. The study identified charactersitics which predict continuing success with treatment. | |
| effects Does the study answer the question? Effect due to factor in study? Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to | The study showed no stressful event for the child, no psychiatric disorder, no stress in the family, moderate to great parental concern and moderate to great child distress increased the chance of continuing success at 6 months. The study showed no daytime wetting, no urological disorder, no psychiatric disorder, no developmental disorder, parental concern and the child's distress increased the chance of continuing success at 12 months. The study identified charactersitics which predict continuing success with treatment. No other similar studies. | |
| effects Does the study answer the question? Effect due to factor in study? Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? | The study showed no stressful event for the child, no psychiatric disorder, no stress in the family, moderate to great parental concern and moderate to great child distress increased the chance of continuing success at 6 months. The study showed no daytime wetting, no urological disorder, no psychiatric disorder, no developmental disorder, parental concern and the child's distress increased the chance of continuing success at 12 months. The study identified charactersitics which predict continuing success with treatment. No other similar studies. Children had a mean age of 8.8 years. | |
| effects Does the study answer the question? Effect due to factor in study? Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? Internal Validity Dische S;Yule W;Corbett J;H | The study showed no stressful event for the child, no psychiatric disorder, no stress in the family, moderate to great parental concern and moderate to great child distress increased the chance of continuing success at 6 months. The study showed no daytime wetting, no urological disorder, no psychiatric disorder, no developmental disorder, parental concern and the child's distress increased the chance of continuing success at 12 months. The study identified charactersitics which predict continuing success with treatment. No other similar studies. Children had a mean age of 8.8 years. | |
| effects Does the study answer the question? Effect due to factor in study? Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? Internal Validity Dische S;Yule W;Corbett J;H Childhood nocturnal enures | The study showed no stressful event for the child, no psychiatric disorder, no stress in the family, moderate to great parental concern and moderate to great child distress increased the chance of continuing success at 6 months. The study showed no daytime wetting, no urological disorder, no psychiatric disorder, no developmental disorder, parental concern and the child's distress increased the chance of continuing success at 12 months. The study identified charactersitics which predict continuing success with treatment. No other similar studies. Children had a mean age of 8.8 years. Hand D; is: factors associated with outcome of treatment with an enuresis alarm. | |
| effects Does the study answer the question? Effect due to factor in study? Consistency of results with other studies? Directly applicable to guideline population? Internal Validity Dische S;Yule W;Corbett J;H Childhood nocturnal enures Ref ID 1472 | The study showed no stressful event for the child, no psychiatric disorder, no stress in the family, moderate to great parental concern and moderate to great child distress increased the chance of continuing success at 6 months. The study showed no daytime wetting, no urological disorder, no psychiatric disorder, no developmental disorder, parental concern and the child's distress increased the chance of continuing success at 12 months. The study identified charactersitics which predict continuing success with treatment. No other similar studies. Children had a mean age of 8.8 years. Hand D; is: factors associated with outcome of treatment with an enuresis alarm. | |

| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: wet at least 3 times every week. | |
|--|--|--|
| Patient Characteristics | Mean age was 7.9 years, age range 4.2 to 14.3 years, ratio of boys:girls was 1.6:1. | |
| Recruitment | Referred to clinician over 5 year period from April 1972 to March 1977 to 4 Community Health special investigation clinics in south-east London UK. | |
| Setting | Southeast London UK. | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Factors affecting treatment outcome. | |
| Comparisons | No comparison. | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up. | |
| Outcome measures studied | Factors predicting treatment outcome. | |
| Results | Initial interview and examination and the exclusion of urinary infection or other abnormalities by laboratory assessment. Children kept a diary of dry nights for 9 weeks during which parents and children were seen every 2 to 3 weeks and given encouragement and help with family difficulties. The study collected data on demographic data – age, sex, birth order, family size, social class based on the registrar general's classification of the occupation of the male head of household. Unemployed and single-parent families were coded in separate categories. Other characteristics recoreded were parents rating of child's behaviour; teachers rating of child's behaviour; previous treatment for NE; primary or secondary NE; presence of UTI; occurrence of marked daytime wetting; occurrence of soiling; family difficulties; unsatisfactory housing; serious financial hardship; mother working full or part time; history of enuresis in parents or siblings. Children were treated with an alarm. The study showed unsatisfactory housing (p<0.01) and family difficulties (p<0.05) adversely affect initial success. The failure rate when one of these was present was 12% and if both were present the failure rate increased to 47%. The study showed children with deviant scores on the teacher's rating scale (p<0.05) and the presence of family difficulties (P<0.01) were related to relapse. | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed unsatisfactory housing and family difficulties adversely affect initial success. The study showed children with deviant scores on the teacher's rating scale and the presence of family difficulties were more likely to relapse. The study showed deviant scores on the teacher's rating scale and the presence of family difficulties adversely affected long-term success. | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | The study identified factors affecting treatment success | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had a mean age of 7.9 years | |
| Internal Validity | | |
| Eller DA;Austin PF;Tanguay | S;Homsy YL; | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 12 of 219 | |

Daytime functional bladder capacity as a predictor of response to desmopressin in monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis

1998

Ref ID 4098

| Study Type | Cohort | Funding Not reported. |
|--|--------|--|
| Number of partic | ipant | 51 patients |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | ion | Inclusion: monosymptomatic NE and wet at least 3 times a week. |
| Patient Characteristics | | 37 boys and 14 girls, mean age 11 years, and age range 5 to 11 years. |
| Recruitment | | Children presenting to the institutions. |
| Setting | | 2 centre in Canada and 1 centre in USA |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | | Factors predicting response to desmopressin. |
| Comparisons | | No comparison. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | , | No follow up. |
| Outcome measur studied | es | Factors predicting response to desmopressin. |
| Results | | 8 patients were excluded due to insufficient data. 8 patients were excluded due to lack of bladder capacity values. Therefore 35 patients were included in the evaluation. |
| | | A voiding history was conducted on the number of wet nights per week, nocturia, frequency, urgency dysuria and the presence of daytime wetting; if patients displayed symptoms other than NE they were excluded from the study. The study conducted voiding diaries, daytime functional bladder capacity and urine osmolality. Desmopressin was given over 2 weeks starting at 10 micrograms rising by 10micrograms every 3 days until a response was achieved or 40 micrograms was reached. |
| | | The study showed daytime functional bladder capacity ($p=0.009$), maximal functional bladder capacity expressed as a percentage of normal for age ($p=0.006$) and age ($p=0.008$) were significant predictors of response to desmopressin. |
| | | The study showed children who had 70% or more bladder capacity had an 83% chance of success with desmopressin. |
| Safety and adver effects | rse | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the ques | tion? | The study showed that daytime functional bladder capacity, maximal functional bladder capacity expressed as a percentage of normal for age and age were significant predictors of response to desmopressin. |
| Effect due to fac study? | tor in | The study identified signficant predictors of response to desmopressin. |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | er | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicat guideline popula | | Children had monosymptomatic NE and a mean age of 11 years. |
| Internal Validity | | |
| 08 March 2010 | | Page 13 of 219 |

Evans JH;Meadow SR;

Desmopressin for bed wetting: length of treatment, vasopressin secretion, and response.[see comment] Ref ID 1134

| Study Type | Cohort | Funding Ferring Pharmaceuticals |
|---|---------|--|
| Number of partic | ipant | 55 children: 28 in the 1 month group, and 27 in the 3 month group. |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | ion | Inclusion: aged 5 to 16 years, wet at least 2 nights a week, and referred by GP, community medical officer, urologist or paediatrician. Exclusion: taking medication that might cause diuresis (e.g. salbutamol), or UTI in previous 2 weeks. |
| Patient Characte | ristics | In the 1 month group: the median age was 10 years, age range 6 to 16 years, 17 out of 28 were male, 19 had previously tried treatment for NE, 15 were wet less than or equal to 2 nights a week, 10 had adverse family or social factors, 9 had a Rutter A2 score of greater than 18, 9 were aged less than 8 years, 9 had adverse housing, 8 had diurnal symptoms, and 1 had allergic rhinitis. In the 3 month group: the median age was 10.8 years, age range 6 to 16 years, 17 out of 27 were male, 19 had previously tried treatment for NE, 17 were wet less than or equal to 2 nights a week, 11 had adverse family or social factors, 8 had a Rutter A2 score of greater than 18, 7 were aged less than 8 years, 6 had adverse housing, 7 had diurnal symptoms, and 1 had allergic rhinitis. |
| Recruitment | | Referred by GP, community medical officer, urologist or paediatrician to enuresis clinic. |
| Setting | | Hospital outpatient department, Leeds. |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Comparison of characteristics between responses to desmopressin for children treated with 1 month desmopressin and 3 month desmopressin. |
| Comparisons | | Between treatment lengths and response. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 1 | No follow up. |
| Outcome measur studied | es | Differences in characteristics of children who responded to desmopressin and children who did not respond to desmopressin. |
| Results | | Children were given 20 micrograms desmopressin rising to 40 micrograms desmopressin if child had any wet nights in first 3 nights of treatment. The study measured response to desmopressin based on treatment length and nocturnal urine volume, nocturnal urine osmolality and nocturnal urine AVP concentration. |
| | | There were no significant differences between children treated for 1 month and children treated for 3 months in: the proportion of responders during and after treatment and the difference in the number of children who became completely dry. |
| | | The study showed there were no significant differences between children who responded and children who did not to desmopressin in nocturnal urine volume, nocturnal urine osmolality and nocturnal urine AVP concentration. |
| Safety and adver effects | rse | 1 child had chest pain and wheezing and stopped treatment, 5 children failed to respond and stopped treatment early. |
| Does the study answer the ques | tion? | The study showed there were no significant differences between children who responded and children who did not to desmopressin in nocturnal urine volume, nocturnal urine osmolality and nocturnal urine AVP concentration. The study showed the length of treatment did no significantly change the response rate. |
| Effect due to fac study? | tor in | The study showed there were no significant differences between children who responded and children who did not to desmopressin. |

| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | |
|--|--|---|
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had an age range 6 to 16 years. | |
| Internal Validity | | |
| Kruse S;Hellstrom AL;Hanso | on E;Hjalmas K;Sillen U;Swedish ESG; | |
| Treatment of primary monos | ymptomatic nocturnal enuresis with desmopressin: predi | ctive factors |
| Ref ID 3920 | | 2001 |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding | Ferring Pharmaceuticals, Malmo, Sweden |
| Number of participant | 392 patients with primary nocturnal monosymptomatic | enuresis. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged 6 to 12 years, primary NE, and at least | 10 wet nights in 28 nights. |
| Patient Characteristics | 75% were male, and the age range was 6 to 12 years. | |
| Recruitment | Not reported. | |
| Setting | Multicentre study, Sweden. | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Patient characteristics and predictive factors for the out 40 micrograms desmopressin. | come of treatment with 20 to |
| Comparisons | Between those who responded to treatment with desmo | opressin and those who didn't. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up. | |
| Outcome measures studied | Response to desmopressin in relation to characteristics | 5. |
| Results | The following characteristics were recorded: gender, ag previous treatments, number of wet episodes during the episodes, diary of 4 week baseline period including num treatment, number of wet nights in last 4 weeks of treat | e night, timing of wet nber of wet nights, dose of |
| | Patients had 6 weeks of 20 to 40 micrograms desmopre desmopressin was spilt into 4 categories: no response, 50% reduction), responders (50 to 90% reduction) and 90% reduction). | partial response (less than |
| | The study showed there was a significant difference in the desmopressin by age (responders and full responders we episodes (responders wet after midnight, where as non after midnight). Fewer wet nights during observation per rate to desmopressin, and the frequency of wetting was with more frequent being less likely to respond. | were older), the timing of wet responders wet before and riod had a better response |
| | The study showed there was no difference in the respon- gender, hereditary and previous treatment. | nse rate to desmopressin for |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | |
| | | |

| Does the study answer the quest | ion? | The study showed there was a significant difference in the response rate to desmopressin by age (responders and full responders were older), the timing of wet episodes (responders wet after midnight, where as non responders wet before and after midnight). Children with fewer wet nights during observation period had a better response to desmopressin, and the frequency of wetting was also significantly different with more frequently wet children being less likely to respond. |
|--|----------|--|
| Effect due to fact study? | or in | The study showed significant predictors for treatment success with desmopressin. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | r | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicabl guideline populat | | Children had an age range of 6 to 12 years and monosymptomatic primary NE. |
| Internal Validity | | |
| Kruse S;Hellstrom A | L;Hjalma | as K; |
| Daytime bladder dys | function | in therapy-resistant nocturnal enuresis. A pilot study in urotherapy |
| Ref ID 674 | | 1999 |
| Study Type | Cohort | Funding Not reported. |
| Number of partic | ipant | 22 children, 11 in the treatment group and 11 controls. |
| Inclusion/Exclusi Criteria | on | Inclusion: aged over 10 years, tried several treatments for NE and with hold pattern daytime Exclusion: no neurological disorder or other disease |
| Patient Character | istics | For children who were in the treatment group: 8 boys and 3 girls, mean age was 12.8 years, median ages was 12, age range was 10 to 16 years, 6 children had been treated for daytime urge incontinence but for 1 to 5 years had no micturition problems during the day. The mean baseline wetting was 10.5 nights over 2 weeks. Most children had received latest treatment in previous 12 months For children who were in the control group: 6 boys and 5 girls, mean age was 12 years, median ages was 11, age range was 10 to 16 years, 5 children had been treated for daytime urge incontinence. The mean baseline wetting was 8.7 nights over 2 weeks. |
| Recruitment | | Referred to the department for severe therapy-resistant primary NE. |
| Setting | | Sweden |
| Interventions/ Tea Factor being investigated | st/ | Micturition treatment |
| Comparisons | | Between children treated for micturition problems and those not treated. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | No follow up. |
| Outcome measure studied | es | Response rates. |
| Results | | For the treatment group: volumes and time of micturitions and fluid intakes were recorded over 1 or 2 days, voided volumes at night were also recorded, if the child was dry the amount voided in the morning was recorded. |
| | | The child was given information on how the bladder works and was told to void every 2 to 3 hours and drink regularly during the day. |
| | | After 1 month all children in the treatment group had significantly improved, 1 child was taken to the bathroom at night and 5 had started desmopressin, 1 of which |
| 08 March 2010 | | Page 16 of 210 |

| | became completely dry. After 5 months 4 children had started desmopressin, 1 had dropped out and started acupuncture. In the group without pre-treatment measurements 5 children used the alarm, after 5 months 2 children were still using the alarm and after 12 months no one was using the alarm. |
|--|---|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | After 1 month all children treated for micturition were significantly drier. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study suggest micturition increases dryness. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were treatment resistant. |
| Internal Validity | |
| Kwak KW;Park KH; | |
| Clinical inconsistency of low nocturnal enuresis | er urinary tract symptoms between questionnaire and bladder diary in children with |
| Ref ID 3921 | 2008 |
| Study Type Cohord | t Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 108 patients with enuresis. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: wet at least 2 times a week. |
| Patient Characteristics | 80 males and 28 females, mean age 7.2 years, age range 5 to 15 years, and 60% had previous treatment for NE. |
| Recruitment | Visiting enuresis clinic between Jan 2003 and March 2006. |
| Setting | Hospital, Korea. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Bladder diaries. |
| Comparisons | Non validated LUTS questionnaire. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up. |
| Outcome measures studied | Difference in two methods: 3-day bladder diary and questionnaire. |
| Results | Non validated LUTS questionnaire completed 1 month before clinic visit, Bladder diary kept for 3 days 1 to 2 weeks after first visit, comparison on classification of NE (monosymptomatic (MNE) or non monosymptomatic (NMNE)), urinary frequency, daytime incontinence and voiding postponement. The study also considered the differences in MVV, AVV, % MVV/EBC, max flow rate and residual urine between monosymptomatic children and non monosymptomatic children as classified by the questionnaire and then by the bladder diaries |
| | The study used the Kappa test to compare results of the two methods. The results discrepancies between the classification of NE (kappa test 0.292), and showed there |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 17 of 219 |

| | was no significant consistency for urinary frequency (kappa test = 0.912). The study showed no consistency on daytime incontinence (kappa test 0.356) and voiding postponement (Kappa test 0.505). |
|---|--|
| | For classification of MNE and NMNE by the bladder diaries the study showed the MVV ($p = 0.006$), AVV ($p = 0.001$) and % MVV/EBC ($p = 0.041$) to be statistically different between children with MNE and NMNE, There was no statistical difference in the max flow rate ($p = 0.225$) and residual urine ($p = 0.854$) between MNE and NMNE. |
| | For classification of MNE and NMNE by the non validated LUTS questionnaire the study showed no statistically significant difference between MNE and NMNE on the MVV ($p = 0.559$), AVV ($p = 0.597$) and % MVV/EBC ($p = 0.947$), the max flow rate ($p = 0.122$) and residual urine ($p = 0.187$). |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed there were differences in the results of the non validated LUTS questionnaire and the bladder diaries. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | The study identified differences in information collected from a bladder diary and from a questionnaire. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were treatment resistant and had a mean age of 7.2 years |
| Internal Validity | |
| McGrath KH;Caldwell PHY; | Jones MP; |
| The frequency of constinution | on in children with nocturnal enuresis: A comparison with parental reporting |
| | in onitiatori with nootarrial onaroolo. 7 oompanoon with parontal roporting |
| Ref ID 667 | 2008 |
| | 2008 |
| Ref ID 667 | t Funding Not reported |
| Ref ID 667 Study Type Cohor | t Funding Not reported |
| Ref ID 667 Study Type Cohor Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion | 2008 The second |
| Ref ID 667 Study Type Cohor Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | 2008 Funding Not reported 277 patients Inclusion: referred to clinic by GP or paediatrician between 26 June 2003 and 7 November 2005 for assessment and management of bedwetting. |
| Ref ID 667 Study Type Cohor Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics | 2008 Funding Not reported 277 patients Inclusion: referred to clinic by GP or paediatrician between 26 June 2003 and 7 November 2005 for assessment and management of bedwetting. The mean age was 9.25 years, age range 4.8 to 17.5 years and 65.7% were male. Referred to clinic by GP or paediatrician between 26 June 2003 and 7 November 2005 for assessment and management of bedwetting, NE clinic, The Children's |
| Ref ID 667 Study Type Cohor Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment | 2008 Funding Not reported 277 patients Inclusion: referred to clinic by GP or paediatrician between 26 June 2003 and 7 November 2005 for assessment and management of bedwetting. The mean age was 9.25 years, age range 4.8 to 17.5 years and 65.7% were male. Referred to clinic by GP or paediatrician between 26 June 2003 and 7 November 2005 for assessment and management of bedwetting, NE clinic, The Children's Hospital, Westmead, Sydney, Australia (tertiary paediatric teaching institution). |
| Ref ID 667 Study Type Cohor Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being | 2008 Funding Not reported 277 patients Inclusion: referred to clinic by GP or paediatrician between 26 June 2003 and 7 November 2005 for assessment and management of bedwetting. The mean age was 9.25 years, age range 4.8 to 17.5 years and 65.7% were male. Referred to clinic by GP or paediatrician between 26 June 2003 and 7 November 2005 for assessment and management of bedwetting, NE clinic, The Children's Hospital, Westmead, Sydney, Australia (tertiary paediatric teaching institution). Australia |
| Ref ID667Study TypeCohorNumber of participantInclusion/Exclusion CriteriaPatient CharacteristicsRecruitmentSettingInterventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | 2008 Terminal Motion Provided Terminal Motion Provided Terminal Motion Provided Terminal Motion Provided Motio |
| Ref ID 667 Study Type Cohor Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ | 2008 Termodia Not reported 277 patients Action Provided to Clinic by GP or paediatrician between 26 June 2003 and 7 November 2005 for assessment and management of bedwetting. The mean age was 9.25 years, age range 4.8 to 17.5 years and 65.7% were male. Referred to clinic by GP or paediatrician between 26 June 2003 and 7 November 2005 for assessment and management of bedwetting, NE clinic, The Children's Hospital, Westmead, Sydney, Australia (tertiary paediatric teaching institution). Australia Constipation is assessed by parental questionnaire and clinician assessment. Between two assessments. |

| Results | The parental questionnaire was completed at initial presentation prior to seeing the clinician. It contained questions on child's pattern of NE, history of UTIs, fluid intake, | |
|---|--|--|
| | bowel habits and parental identification of constipation. The clinician's assessment was by an experienced paediatrician using the parental questionnaire as a prompt to obtain further information and clarification from parent and child, a history of urinary function, bowel habits, fluid and dietary intake and previous treatment for NE. The child was examined including abdominal palpitation. Constipation was defined on the Rome II definition: having more than one of the following – frequency of defecation 3 times a week or less, consistency of stool described as hard (Bristol types 1-3), the presence of straining during defecation | |
| | Differences in constipated and non constipated children: There was a statistical difference between children who were constipated and children who were not constipated on previous treatment with an alarm (more children who were constipated had tried an alarm). There was a statistical difference between children who were constipated and children who were not constipated on gender, severity of NE – frequency and amount of urine leakage, previous treatment with desmopressin and triclyclics. | |
| | Comparison of parental questionnaire and clinicians assessment: Of the children parents reported as constipated, 22 out of 39 were found to be constipated by the clinicians and 17 out of 39 were found not to be constipated by the clinician. Of the children parents reported as not constipated 73 out of 231 were found to be constipated by the clinicians and 158 out of 231 were found not to be constipated by the clinician. | |
| | Differences in individual parameters of two assessments: There was a statistical difference in the reported of soiling in the last 6 months and frequency of defecation between parental questionnaires and clinicians assessment. There was no statistical difference between straining and consistency of stools between parental questionnaires and clinicians assessment. | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed children who were constipated were more likely to have tried an alarm. The study showed there was a statistical difference in the reporting of soiling in the last 6 months and frequency of defecation between parental questionnaires and clinicians assessment. There were some differences in the parental diagnosis of constipation and the clinicians. | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study considered the difference in reporting of constipation and soiling. | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Set in tertiary care and had a mean age of 9.25 years. | |
| Internal Validity | | |
| Persson-Junemann C;Seemann O;Kohrmann KU;Junemann KP;Alken P; | | |
| | findings and response to oxybutynin in nocturnal enuresis 1993 | |
| Ref ID 1077 | 1992 | |
| Study Type Cohord | t Funding Not reported | |
| Number of participant | 63 patients | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: pre-treated persistent NE, and wet at least 4 nights a week. Exclusion: anatomic-urologic defects, overt neurologic disease, or prior infection. | |
| 00 M / 00/0 | 5 10 1010 | |

| Patient Characteristics | 37 were male and 26 were female. The age range was 6 to 14 years and median was 8.5 years. 59% had diurnal symptoms (frequency, urge) and 14% had occasional daytime wetting. |
|--|---|
| Recruitment | Not reported, at Department of Urology, Mannheim Hospital, University of Heidelberg, FRG. |
| Setting | University of Heidelberg, FRG. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Standard urodynamic evaluation and response to treatment with oxybutynin. |
| Comparisons | No comparison |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up |
| Outcome measures studied | Differences in urodynamic findings and response to treatment with oxybutynin. |
| Results | In 10 (16%) children the urodynamic findings were normal without any detectable urinary storage or micturition disorder. |
| | In the remaining 53 patients (84%) had findings attributed to an inadequate bladder storage function. |
| | 51 children (81%) had reduced maximal bladder capacity, values of less than 50% predicted normal was found in 20 children (32%). 2 children (3%) had reduced bladder capacity which was concomitant with a decreased bladder compliance. 43 children had uninhibited detrusor contractions – 18 children had Grade 1 involuntary contraction (16-50 cm H20); 11 children had Grade 2 involuntary contraction (50-100 cm H20); and 14 children had Grade 3 involuntary contraction (greater than 100 cm H20). In all but 1 child the uninhibited contractions and reduced capacity were coherent. Most children also presented with a low-compliant bladder (mean 77%) the grade-related frequency was reciprocal depending on tendency. |
| | All children were treated with oxybutynin. 70% of the original 63 children were either successful or had an improved rate. In the children with normal urodynamic findings 30% of children were either successful or had an improved rate. In children with uninhibited bladder contractions 77% were either successful or had an improved rate. In children with detrusor instability: 78% of children with Grade 1 were either successful or had an improved rate; 9% of children with Grade 2 were either successful or had an improved rate; 9% of children with Grade 2 were either successful or had an improved rate; 64% of children with Grade 3 were either successful or had an improved rate and 76% of all children with reduced bladder capacity were either successful or had an improved rate. There was significant benefit in 84% of children with limited reduction and in 65% of children with more than 50% reduction of the age-corresponding estimate. 41% of children with normal bladder capacity responded to treatment. |
| Cofety and advance | There was no significant age or gender response to treatment with oxybutynin. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | Children with uninhibited bladder contractions, graduation of destrusor instability, reduced bladder capacity and the extent of volume decrease were all more successful on treatment with oxybutynin. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study identified predictors of response to oxybutynin. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |

| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Treatment resistant population with a median age of 8.5 years. |
|--|--|
| Internal Validity | |
| Redman JF;Seibert JJ; | |
| The uroradiographic evaluat Ref ID 1585 | tion of the enuretic child 1979 |
| Study Type Cohor | t Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 138 patients |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | #Deleted |
| Patient Characteristics | Not reported |
| Recruitment | Referred for NE between July 1972 and July 1977, University of Arkansas college of medicine and Arkansas Children's Hospital |
| Setting | Arkansas, USA |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | IVP or cystography |
| Comparisons | No comparison |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up |
| Outcome measures studied | Radiographic findings |
| Results | #Deleted |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | #Deleted |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study identified characterisitcs in a NE population |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had an age range of 3 to 8 years |
| Internal Validity | |
| Riccabona M;Oswald J;Glau | uninger P; |
| Long-term use and tapered Ref ID 776 | dose reduction of intranasal desmopressin in the treatment of enuretic children 1998 |
| Study Type Cohor | t Funding Not reported |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 21 of 219 |

| Number of participant | 155 patients | |
|--|---|--|
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged over 5 years, wet at least 3 nights a week, no urological abnormalities on ultrasound, no post-residual urine, negative urine culture, and nocturnal urine volume exceeding their present bladder capacity as recorded on a 3- day/night/frequency/volume chart. | |
| Patient Characteristics | 68% were male, 32% were female, mean age was 8 years, and age range was 5 to 19 years. 15% had additional daytime urge symptoms and received oxybutynin before desmopressin therapy. 85% had monosymptomatic NE. | |
| Recruitment | Patients had primary NE. | |
| Setting | Austria. | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Desmopressin withdrawal program. | |
| Comparisons | No comparison. | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Median 18 months follow up. | |
| Outcome measures studied | Response to structured withdrawal program from desmopressin. | |
| Results | Children had 20 micrograms intranasal desmopressin titrated to 40 micrograms or 50 micrograms after 2 days if the child did not become dry within 48 hours. This was maintained for 4 to 6 weeks. | |
| | After 4 weeks of complete dryness the dose was reduced by 10 micrograms and after each additional 4 weeks dry a further 10 micrograms reduction was done. The medication was stopped after 4 weeks dry on 10 micrograms dose. Medication was restarted at the previous dose if a relapse occurred in the reduction phase (relapse was 2 or more wet nights over 2 weeks). Children were also advised to minimize or avoid drinking 2 to 3 hours before bedtime. | |
| | 113 patients responded to desmopressin. 110 patients achieved complete dryness with no relapses and remained dry without treatment. 11 patients achieved dryness after relapses during or after therapy, 5 had relapses during the reduction phase, 6 after therapy. 11 children improved and had no more than 2 wet nights per week. 22 children did not respond to therapy or improved slightly and had more than 2 wet nights per week. | |
| | The mean duration of treatment was 28 weeks with a range of 3 months to over 2 years. The mean dosage of desmopressin was 30 micrograms and median follow up was 18 months | |
| Safety and adverse effects | 3 children had headaches, and 1 had rhinitis. | |
| Does the study answer the question? | 71% of children achieved complete dryness with no relapses and remained dry without treatment with the withdrawal program. | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study suggested withdrawal program increased continuing success. | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Chidlren had a mean age of 8 years. | |
| Internal Validity | | |
| Schaumburg HL;Rittig S;Djurhuus JC; | | |

No relationship between family history of enuresis and response to desmopressin

2001

Ref ID 4077

| Study Type | Cohort | Funding Not reported. |
|---|---------|--|
| Number of partic | ipant | 381 patients: 328 with NE and n= 53 controls (no enuresis). |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | ion | Inclusion: monosymptomatic NE and had received some type of treatment previously. |
| Patient Characte | ristics | NE group: 220 boys and 108 girls. The mean age of the boys was 10 years (age range 5 to 17 years), and the mean age of the girls was 10.5 years (age range 5 to 17 years). Controls: 31 boys and 22 girls. The mean age was 10 years (age range 7 to 13 years). There was no statistically significant difference in the gender differences between the two groups. |
| Recruitment | | Referred by GP to enuresis clinic. |
| Setting | | Enuresis clinic Denmark. |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | st/ | Questionnaire of NE, 20 micrograms intranasal desmopressin for 1 week, 40 micrograms intranasal desmopressin for second week. |
| Comparisons | | Between children with NE and controls (no enuresis). |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | No follow up. |
| Outcome measure studied | es | Differences in family history of enuresis and response to desmopressin. |
| Results | | Questionnaire - type of NE (primary or secondary – secondary was wetting after a period of at least 6 months dry), family history of NE (1st order relatives were siblings and parents) if a family history was present the duration of NE was specified, and presence of daytime symptoms. Children were described as severe NE if they had at least 3 wet nights a week. |
| | | There was a statistically significant difference for family history of NE between children with NE and children without NE. 245 out of 328 (75% of children with NE) had a positive family history, compared to 20 out of 53 (38%) of children in the control group (p<0.001). The prevalence of first order relatives with a history of NE was higher in patients with NE than the controls. |
| | | Although patients were referred for monosymptomatic NE 27% indicated additional daytime symptoms. 90% of patients had severe NE, 10% had non severe NE. There was a high prevalence of family history in both these groups. There was no statistically significant difference within or between subgroups regarding gender, monosymptomatic NE and the presence of additional daytime symptoms, primary/secondary EN or a positive family history of NE (first order or other relative). |
| | | All patients with NE had treatment with desmopressin. There was no statistical differences in the rates of response between children with severe NE and children with non-severe NE or in the prevalence of a positive family history. |
| Safety and adver effects | se | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the quest | tion? | There was a statistically significant difference for family history of NE between children with NE and children without NE. There was no statistical differences in the rates of response to desmopressin between children with severe NE and children with non-severe NE or in the prevalence of a positive family history. |
| Effect due to fact study? | or in | Study identified differences in charateristics of patients with NE and without NE. |
| 08 March 2010 | | Page 23 of 219 |

| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
|--|---|
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had an age range of 5 to 17 years. |
| Internal Validity | |
| Siegel S;Rawitt L;Sokoloff B | ;Siegel B; |
| Relationship of allergy, enur Ref ID 1689 | resis, and urinary infection in children 4 to 7 years of age 1976 |
| Study Type Cohor | t Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 234 patients |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: 4 to 7 years, middle to upper middle class, Caucasian Exclusion: children with occasional NE and occasional day wetting |
| Patient Characteristics | 75% were aged 4 to 5 years, 25 % were aged 6 to 7 years |
| Recruitment | Not reported |
| Setting | USA |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Rate of NE in children treated for UTI and children with allergy |
| Comparisons | No comparison |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children with persistent NE (wetting every week) |
| Results | Group 1 included 50 children previous treated for UTI and 55 healthy controls matched for age and sex. Group 2 included 69 children with allergies, with nasal bronchial hypersensitivity to respiratory allergens severe enough to warrant avoidance, medication and desensitization and 60 controls without allergies matched for age and sex. |
| | There was no statistical difference between the number of children with persistent NE (night wetting every week) who had previously been treated for UTI and controls (20% in each group). There was no statistical difference between the number of children with persistent NE (night wetting every week) who had allergies and controls (13% in allergy group and 23% in control group). |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | There was no statistical difference between the number of children with persistent NE (night wetting every week) who had previously been treated for UTI and controls (20% in each group). There was no statistical difference between the number of children with persistent NE (night wetting every week) who had allergies and controls (13% in allergy group and 23% in control group). |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study did not identiiy any relationship between respiratory allergy, enuresis, and urinary infection. |

| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | |
|--|--|----------|
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Chidlren had an age range of 4 to 7 years. | |
| Internal Validity | | |
| Sujka SK;Piedmonte MR;Gro | eenfield SP; | |
| Enuresis and the voiding cys Ref ID 1152 | tourethrogram: a re-evaluation.[see comment] 1991 | |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding Grant from the National Cancer Institute | |
| Number of participant | 86 patients in results (132 originally) | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: NE, and patients give full historical details (see result section) Exclusion: UTI | |
| Patient Characteristics | 46 out of 86 were male. 13 patients had reflux and 70 did not have reflux In the reflux group: the mean age was 6.6 years, 23% were male, 62% had daytime wetting, 46% had urgency, 31% had frequency, and 82% had secondary NE. In the non-reflux group: the mean age was 7.45 years, 61% were male, 54% had daytime wetting, 24% had urgency, 24% had frequency, and 23% had secondary NE | Ξ. |
| Recruitment | Patients seen between July 1984 and July 1986. | |
| Setting | Department of Urology, Buffalo, USA | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Historical details, urine cultures, a contract voiding cystourethrogram (VCUG), upper urinary tract studies (intravenous pyelogram (IVP) or renal ultrasound). | • |
| Comparisons | No comparisons. | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up. | |
| Outcome measures studied | Presence of symptoms indicating a greater likelihood of VUR. | |
| Results | Historical details: age of presentation, daytime wetting, urgency, frequency, UTI, primary or secondary NE. Urine cultures, a contract voiding cystourethrogram (VCUG), upper urinary tract studies (intravenous pyelogram (IVP) or renal ultrasound). The study conducted a linear logistic regression model to investigate if the presence of symptoms indicating a greater likelihood of VUR. Historical details: In the reflux group the mean age was 6.6 years, 23% were male, 62% had daytime wetting, 46% had urgency, 31% had frequency, and 82% had secondary NE. In the non-reflux group the mean age was 7.45 years, 61% were male, 54% had daytime wetting, 24% had urgency, 24% had frequency, and 23% had secondary NE. Results of logistic regression analysis: daytime wetting had a regression coefficient of 0.1798, irgenery had a regression coefficient of 1.1794 and p value of 0.1708; frequency had a regression coefficient of 0.5378 and p value of 0.3221 Results of 17 refluxing ureters in 13 patients with reflux and no history of UTI: grade reflux there were 7 refluxing ureters, 1 with scarring and 0 had undergone surgery; grade II reflux there were 5 refluxing ureters, 0 with scarring and 0 had undergone | Ξ. of |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 25 of 219 | |

| | surgery; grade III reflux there were 3 refluxing ureters, 0 with scarring and 0 had undergone surgery; grade IV reflux there were 4 refluxing ureters, 2 with scarring and 2 had undergone surgery; grade V reflux there were 0 refluxing ureters, 0 with scarring and 0 had undergone surgery. |
|---|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed no historical details could predict if children had VUR. The study showed out of 13 patients with reflux there were 7 grade I refluxing ureters and 12 greater than or equal to grade II refluxing ureters. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study did not identify any differences. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The mean age of children with reflux was 6.6 years and the mean age of chidlren without reflux 7.45 years |
| Internal Validity | |
| Tanaka Y;Kawauchi A;Yone | da K;Naitoh Y;Yamao Y;Iwasaki H;Mizutani Y;Miki T; |
| Vesicoureteral reflux detected | ed among patients with nocturnal enuresis |
| Ref ID 443 | 2003 |
| Study Type Cohor | t Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 1088 patients had voiding cystourethrography (VCUG) after presenting with nocturnal enuresis. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Patients with nocturnal enuresis. |
| | |
| Patient Characteristics | Mean age was 9.9 years. 738 were male and 350 were female. 627 had monosymptomatic NE and 461 had day time symptoms. 70 children had reflux, 36 of which had monosymptomatic NE and 34 had day time symptoms. |
| Patient Characteristics | monosymptomatic NE and 461 had day time symptoms. 70 children had reflux, 36 of |
| Patient Characteristics | monosymptomatic NE and 461 had day time symptoms. 70 children had reflux, 36 of which had monosymptomatic NE and 34 had day time symptoms. Characteristics of children with reflux: the mean age of children with reflux was found was 8.8 (sd 3.1) years, 71% were male, 7% had pyuria, 1% had a renal (kidney) scar, |
| | monosymptomatic NE and 461 had day time symptoms. 70 children had reflux, 36 of which had monosymptomatic NE and 34 had day time symptoms. Characteristics of children with reflux: the mean age of children with reflux was found was 8.8 (sd 3.1) years, 71% were male, 7% had pyuria, 1% had a renal (kidney) scar, and 75% had over active bladder. Visited clinic with NE and underwent voiding cystourethrography (VCUG), |
| Recruitment | monosymptomatic NE and 461 had day time symptoms. 70 children had reflux, 36 of which had monosymptomatic NE and 34 had day time symptoms. Characteristics of children with reflux: the mean age of children with reflux was found was 8.8 (sd 3.1) years, 71% were male, 7% had pyuria, 1% had a renal (kidney) scar, and 75% had over active bladder. Visited clinic with NE and underwent voiding cystourethrography (VCUG), Department of urology, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being | monosymptomatic NE and 461 had day time symptoms. 70 children had reflux, 36 of which had monosymptomatic NE and 34 had day time symptoms. Characteristics of children with reflux: the mean age of children with reflux was found was 8.8 (sd 3.1) years, 71% were male, 7% had pyuria, 1% had a renal (kidney) scar, and 75% had over active bladder. Visited clinic with NE and underwent voiding cystourethrography (VCUG), Department of urology, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine Japan. Clinical history of NE, family history, check for exsisting diseases, urinary analysis, urological disease, voiding cystourethrography (VCUG), cystometry, intravenous pyelography or renal ultrasonography, radiography of lumbar vertebrae to assess |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | monosymptomatic NE and 461 had day time symptoms. 70 children had reflux, 36 of which had monosymptomatic NE and 34 had day time symptoms. Characteristics of children with reflux: the mean age of children with reflux was found was 8.8 (sd 3.1) years, 71% were male, 7% had pyuria, 1% had a renal (kidney) scar, and 75% had over active bladder. Visited clinic with NE and underwent voiding cystourethrography (VCUG), Department of urology, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine Japan. Clinical history of NE, family history, check for exsisting diseases, urinary analysis, urological disease, voiding cystourethrography (VCUG), cystometry, intravenous pyelography or renal ultrasonography, radiography of lumbar vertebrae to assess occult spina bifida. |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ | monosymptomatic NE and 461 had day time symptoms. 70 children had reflux, 36 of which had monosymptomatic NE and 34 had day time symptoms. Characteristics of children with reflux: the mean age of children with reflux was found was 8.8 (sd 3.1) years, 71% were male, 7% had pyuria, 1% had a renal (kidney) scar, and 75% had over active bladder. Visited clinic with NE and underwent voiding cystourethrography (VCUG), Department of urology, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine Japan. Clinical history of NE, family history, check for exsisting diseases, urinary analysis, urological disease, voiding cystourethrography (VCUG), cystometry, intravenous pyelography or renal ultrasonography, radiography of lumbar vertebrae to assess occult spina bifida. No comparison |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures | monosymptomatic NE and 461 had day time symptoms. 70 children had reflux, 36 of which had monosymptomatic NE and 34 had day time symptoms. Characteristics of children with reflux: the mean age of children with reflux was found was 8.8 (sd 3.1) years, 71% were male, 7% had pyuria, 1% had a renal (kidney) scar, and 75% had over active bladder. Visited clinic with NE and underwent voiding cystourethrography (VCUG), Department of urology, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine Japan. Clinical history of NE, family history, check for exsisting diseases, urinary analysis, urological disease, voiding cystourethrography (VCUG), cystometry, intravenous pyelography or renal ultrasonography, radiography of lumbar vertebrae to assess occult spina bifida. No comparison No follow up. |

| | 70 children had reflux, 36 of whom had monosymptomatic NE and 34 of whom had day time symptoms. |
|--|---|
| | Clinical characteristics of children with reflux: the mean age of children with reflux was 8.8 (sd 3.1) years, 71% were male, 7% had pyuria, 1% had a renal (kidney) scar, and 75% had an over active bladder. |
| | A total of 86 ureters had reflux: 25 (29%) were grade I, 50 (58%) were grade II, 11 (13%) were grade III, 0 (0%) were grade IV and 0 (0%) were grade V. |
| | Resolution of reflux in patients follow-up for 2 years or more 4 out of 6 (66%) with grade I reflux had resolved; 11 out of 20 (55%) with grade II reflux had resolved; 3 out of 6 (50%) with grade III reflux had resolved. |
| | There was no statistically significant difference in the number of children with improved NE 2 years after the first visit between children with resolution of reflux and children without resolution of reflux. 61% of children with resolution of reflux had improved NE compared to 36% of patients without resolution of reflux. |
| | The study compared characteristics of patients with reflux to patients without reflux. Having a positive history of NE in siblings and frequency were both statistically more common in children with reflux. The following showed no statistical difference: sex, age, secondary NE, frequency of NE per week, positive history of NE in parents, daytime incontinence, UTI and occult spina bifida. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed having a positive history of NE in siblings and frequency were both statistically more common in children with reflux. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study identified differences between the two groups. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had a mean age of 9.9 years. |
| Internal Validity | |
| van dM; | |
| Urodynamics in enuretic chil Ref ID 1120 | dren 1992 |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 124 patients |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Included children with enuresis. |
| Patient Characteristics | 50 children were aged 5 to 7 years, 37 patients were aged 8 to 10 years, 22 patients were aged 11 to 13 years and 15 patients were aged 14 to 18 years. Treatment resistant patients. |
| Recruitment | Not reported |
| Setting | Netherlands |

| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Renography |
|--|--|
| Comparisons | No comparison |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up |
| Outcome measures studied | Urodynamic findings |
| Results | Children were examined under physiologic conditions |
| | 61% of children had micturition 55% had decreased bladder capacity 22% had abnormal urine flow pattern 7% had anatomical obstruction 14% had functional disturbance 4% had changeable urine flow patterns 23% had renography 9.6% had had vesico-renal reflux 1.5% had slight non-obstructive dilated pelvis 7% had parenchymal kidney damage (3 out of 5 of these children also had vesico-renal reflux) 0.8% had had afunctional kidney 2.4% had other clinical factors which were not important. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study aim was to identify abnormalities probably related to NE. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | The study identified charateristics of an NE population. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Treatment resistant populatiuon with an age range of 5 to 18 years. |
| Internal Validity | |
| Yeung CK;Sreedhar B;Leung | g VT;Metreweli C; |
| Ultrasound bladder measure outcome correlation | ments in patients with primary nocturnal enuresis: a urodynamic and treatment |
| Ref ID 4091 | 2004 |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 514 patients |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged 5 to 18 years and monosymptomatic primary NE. |
| Patient Characteristics | Mean age 11.2 years. |
| Recruitment | Enuresis clinic from 1998 to 2002. |
| Setting | Hong Kong |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 28 of 219 |

| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Bladder wall thickness and bladder volume and their correlations to response to desmopressin. |
|--|---|
| Comparisons | No comparison. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up |
| Outcome measures studied | Relationship between parameters (bladder wall thickness and bladder volume) and response to desmopressin. |
| Results | 339 normal age matched children without urinary symptoms referred for other minor surgery. Children under went scans with patient supine using ATL 500 and ESAOTE Technos ultra sound unit with 5 MHz frequency probe 20 minutes after drinking as much as possible. Renal volumes were also calculated. |
| | The study showed children with a thicker bladder wall were less likely to respond to desmopressin. The study showed children with a larger bladder volume were more likely to respond to desmopressin. |
| | Children who became completely dry on desmopressin had a mean bladder wall thickness of 0.3633 (sd 0.098), children who had a good response (greater than 90% reduction in wet nights compared with the baseline)had 0.3763 (sd 0.10), partial response (greater than 50% but less than 90% reduction in wet nights) 0.4153 (sd 0.14), no response (no effect or less than 50% reduction0.4143 (sd 0.15). The overall mean BT was 0.3953 (sd 0.13). |
| | Children who became completely dry on desmopressin had a mean bladder volume of 636 (sd 232.6), children who had a good response had 564 (sd 264), partial response 527.96 (sd 273.5), and no response 454 (sd 275.57). The overall mean BVI was 535 (sd 261.27). |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed children with a thicker bladder wall were less likely to respond to desmopressin. The study showed children with a larger bladder volume were more likely to respond to desmopressin. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study showed children with a thicker bladder wall were less likely to respond to desmopressin. The study showed children with a larger bladder volume were more likely to respond to desmopressin. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had monosymptomatic NE and had a mean age of 11.2 years. |
| Internal Validity | |
| Zink S;Freitag CM;von G; | |
| Behavioral Comorbidity Diff Ref ID 665 | ers in Subtypes of Enuresis and Urinary Incontinence 2008 |
| Study Type Cohor | t Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 97 patients |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: Referred to specialist outpatient clinic for elimination of disorders between January 2004 and July 2006 Exclusion: organic forms of urinary incontinence. |

| Patient Characteristics | 45 children had monosymptomatic NE (MNE) and 52 children had non monosymptomatic NE (NMNE). |
|--|--|
| Recruitment | Referred for elimination disorders to Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. |
| Setting | Saarland University Hospital, Germany |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Psychological and radiological examination. |
| Comparisons | Between monosymptomatic (MNE) and non monosymptomatic (NMNE) children. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not reported |
| Outcome measures studied | Differences in CBCL score, ICD-10 score, uroflow, ultrasound residual urine,and bladder wall thickness. |
| Results | The study conducted: a detailed history, pediatric examination (height, weight, head circumference, examination of chest organs, ears, nose, throat, blood pressure, abdomen, neurological investigation and genital examination), 24 to 48 hour voiding protocols, sonography (kidneys, urinary tract, bladder wall thickness, residual urine, rectal diameter), uroflowmetry. The ICD-10 score was based on a standardised mental status examination (Clinical Assessment Scale of Child and Adolescent Psychopathology-D6) and mutual consensus conferences. CBCL questionnaire which consisted of 113 problem items, 3 aspects – internalizing problem score (withdrawal, somatic complaints, anxiety, depression) externalizing problem score (delinquent and aggressive behaviour) and total problem score (sum of all behaviour problems). A problem score of greater than 63 was the 90th percentile and used for diagnosis. Statistically significant difference for having more than 5 ml residual urine (more common in NMNE), mean number of mm bladder wall thickness (thicker in NMNE). |
| Safety and adverse | with greater than 2.5mm None reported |
| effects | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed children with NMNE were more likely to have more than 5 ml residual urine and a higher mean number of mm bladder wall thickness. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | The study showed children with NMNE were more likely to have more than 5 ml residual urine and a higher mean number of mm bladder wall thickness. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | No age range given. |
| Internal Validity | |

| Grading: 2- | Case–control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding bias, or chance and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal* |
|--|--|
| | |
| Fielding D; | |
| Factors associated with drop Ref ID 3287 | p-out, relapse and failure in the conditioning treatment of nocturnal enuresis 1985 |
| | |
| Study Type Cohor | t Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 97 patients: 46 with night and day time wetting and 51 with night time only wetting. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged 5 to 15 years, no UTI, showed no evidence of organic pathology and had not been treated with the previous 12 months. Nocturnally enuretic only – child must have displayed no day time wetting after the age of 4 years. Diurnal enuresis was defined as persistent daytime wetting in the absence of organic lesion after the age of 5 years with a wetting frequency of at least once a week. The extent of wetting ranged from damp underclothes to more obvious voiding causing the wetting of top clothes. |
| Patient Characteristics | Not reported. |
| Recruitment | Referred to the two specialist clinics set up for the trial Liverpool UK. |
| Setting | Liverpool UK. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | 30 variables to predict treatment outcome. |
| Comparisons | No comparison. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 12 month follow up. |
| Outcome measures studied | 30 variables to predict treatment outcome |
| Results | Children with daytime wetting were not included in the investigation |
| | Extensive interview – history and current status of enuresis, family history of enuresis, social background, occurrence of other behavioural problems. Parents were asked to keep a 4 week record of wet and dry days before treatment began. At the second appointment a water load test was conducted to assess maximum functional bladder capacity. Patients were randomly assigned to retention control training with an alarm or to alarm only therapy. 30 variables were derived from 3 pre-treatment assessment measures – interview, baseline record of wetting-frequency, and clinic measurement of bladder capacity. |
| | Factors to predict treatment outcome: 52 children had treatment, 17 children did not become dry after 14 weeks treatment with the alarm. Three variables were associated with treatment failure: frequency of micturition (p <0.01), urgency of miturition (p <0.05) and previous experience of alarm treatment (p <0.02). Neither small pre-treatment functional bladder capacity , behavioural deviance score or severity of night time wetting were related to treatment failure. |
| | Factors to predict relapse: 18 out of 34 children had relapsed by 12 months after treatment with the alarm. Many however had subsequently become dry following re-treatment. None of the 30 variables were associated with relapse. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 31 of 219 |

| Does the study answer the question? | Three variables were associated with treatment failure: frequency of micturition, urgency of miturition and previous experience of alarm treatment. None of the 30 variables were associated with relapse. |
|--|--|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study identified variables associated with treatment failures |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had an age range of 5 to 15 years |
| Internal Validity | Not addressed |
| Houts AC;Peterson JK;Liebe | ert RM; |
| The effect of prior imipramin | e treatment on the results of conditioning therapy in children with enuresis |
| Ref ID 1411 | 1984 |
| Study Type Cohord | Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 57 patients |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: lifelong history of NE |
| Patient Characteristics | 45 males and 12 females. The mean age for males was 7.97 (SD 2.16) years, the mean age for females was 8.13 (sd 2.04) years, and the majority wet the bed every night. All had consulted a family physician about NE, and 16% had undergone at least 1 major urological examination. 39% had been treated with clinical trial of imipramine in the previous year and had failed to correct the problem. |
| Recruitment | Not reported. |
| Setting | USA. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Factors associated with relapse after alarm treatment: age, gender family history, length of treatment, and previous treatment with imipramine. |
| Comparisons | No comparison. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 1 year follow up. |
| Outcome measures studied | Factors associated with relapse. |
| Results | Treatment success was defined as 14 consecutive dry nights at the end of 8 to 12 weeks of treatment and still dry at follow up interviews (at 6 and 12 months). Relapse was described as 1 wet night per 2 weeks. The study reported the majority of relapses happened by 6 months. |
| | The study showed the following factors were significantly associated with relapse: prior treatment with imipramine. |
| | The study showed the following factors were not significantly associated with relapse: age, gender family history, and length of treatment. |
| | The study went on to examine the relationship between prior treatment with imipramine and with relapse. The study suggested severity of NE could be a factor. However there was no significant difference in the mean number of wet nights, the longest reported period of dryness and initial severity of bed wetting between children |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 32 of 219 |

| | who relapsed and those who remained dry. |
|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that prior treatment with imipramine was significantly associated with relapse. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study identified factors associated with relapse. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Males had a mean age of 7.97 years and females had a mean age of 8.13 years. |
| Internal Validity | |
| Jensen IN;Kristensen G; | |
| Alarm treatment: analyses of | f response and relapse |
| Ref ID 3918 | 1999 |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 237 patients |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged 5 to 19 years, no daytime urination problems, no other disease of the urinary tract, at least 3 wet nights per week, and personal and parental motivation to solve the problem together. |
| Patient Characteristics | Aged 5 to 19 years. |
| Recruitment | Not reported. |
| Setting | Denmark. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | 4 variables to predict response to alarm treatment. |
| Comparisons | No comparison. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up. |
| Outcome measures studied | Response to alarm treatment. |
| Results | The study considered: how often the child was wet before treatment, how often the child was wet after treatment, did the child become completely dry during treatment, was the child dry 1 year after treatment |
| | The study stated the patients with the highest number of wet nights were more successful than those with fewer wet nights. The study showed age and gender impact on treatment response. The study stated girls had a higher number of wet nights and therefore have a higher probability of being cured by an alarm. The study reported the number of wet nights rises until the child is 10 years old, while the number of children with NE declines between 6 and 10 years old. However the frequency of wet nights for the remaining age group increases, the authors state this could be because spontaneous remission is more frequent for children with a lower number of wet nights or secondary NE may also lead to an increased frequency of wet nights. |

| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
|--|---|
| Does the study answer the question? | The study stated the patients with the highest number of wet nights were more successful than those with fewer wet nights. The study showed age and gender impact on treatment response. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study identified factors predicting treatment response. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had an age range of 5 to 19 years. |
| Internal Validity | |
| Nappo S;Del G;Chiozza ML | ;Biraghi M;Ferrara P;Caione P; |
| Nocturnal enuresis in the ac | olescent: a neglected problem |
| Ref ID 448 | 2002 |
| Study Type Cohor | t Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 107 patients |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: wet at least 1 night a month in previous 6 months, pubertal stage >2 using tanner classification, aged over 13 years, and no neurological disease or known renal or urinary tract disease. |
| Patient Characteristics | 63 males, 44 females, mean age 15.3 years. 74% had primary NE, 71% had monosymptomatic NE, 37% were first born, and 75% were only child. |
| Recruitment | From 7 centres in Northern and Southern Italy. |
| Setting | Centres in Northern and Southern Italy. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Questionnaire to inform treatment. |
| Comparisons | No comparisons. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not reported. |
| Outcome measures studied | Patient characteristics and response to desmopressin. |
| Results | A questionnaire based on history, results of physical and diagnostic examinations and therapy. History – family and personal with attention to pregnancy, delivery and birth weight; neonatal period, age of requirement and attainment of urinary and faecal control, coexistent pathologies, occurrence of allergies, and surgery and stressful events. |
| | Characteristics of NE – primary or secondary, monosymptomatic or symptomatic, severity of bedwetting, presence of obstipation or encopresis, UTIs, sleep characteristics, previous examinations and therapies, day time symptoms (occurrence of frequency – more than 7 voids/day, urgency, urge incontinence, and / or holding manoeuvres). |
| | Physical examination – weight, height, arterial BP, assessment of purbertal stage, inspection of abdomen, external genitalia, lower legs and lumbosacral regions, tests for glucose, bacteria, protein and blood cells in urine, urine cluture, serum glucose, creatinine and electolytes, renal and bladder ultrasonography and uroflowmetry. |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 34 of 219 |

| | Further examination (cystography and urodynamics) were undertaken in selected patients with daytime symptoms. |
|--|---|
| | The study showed statistical differences: Monosymptomatic NE was significantly more frequent in males than females. NMNE was more frequent in females regardless of age.Females were more likely to have UTI. |
| | Non statistical differences: 74% had primary NE, 26% had secondary NE. 80% of males had primary NE and 64% of females had primary NE. 71% had monosymptomatic NE, 29% had symptomatic NE There was no difference in family history of NE according to age, gender, types of NE. 37% of patients were first born, 28% second born, 75% were an only child, 2.8% were adopted The mean neonatal weight was 3.45kg, range 2.1 to 4.7 kg, two patients were born at less than 2.5kg, 9 patients were born at less than 38 weeks all had primary NE 17 patients reported major stressful event, this was not related to the type of NE 29.9% had undergone minor surgery, 5.6% had eating disorders 12.1% had UTIs, 20% in MNE and 42% in NMNE (not statistically different) Ostipation was found in 34% of females and 17% of males (54% with NMNE and 20% with MNE) NE was severe (greater than 3 wet nights a week) in 80% of patients 45% were wet every night (no relation to age, gender or type of NE) Previous treatment: 20% had never sought medical help (no difference in age, gender or severity) 39% had seen paediatricians, 24% had seen psychiatrists, 17% had seen GPs and 15% seen urologists, 3% had seen gynaecologists, also sees were andrology, ephrology or alternative medicine specialists 40% had never received previous treatment, 52.3% had tried oral desmopressin – 79% had responded with 1 patient having a headache. The patients with symptomatic NE were treated with anticholinergics (8 patients) or anticholinergics and oxybutynin (5 patients) or bladder training and biofeedback (4 patients). 8 patients who had not responded to desmopressin were treated with an alarm which was not tolerated by 2. 3% of patients refused all treatment or were not compliant with treatment. |
| | Response to desmopressin: There was no statistically significant difference in the following variables between those who responded to desmopressin and those who did not: gender, age, family history, frequency of NE (number of wet nights per week) |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study considered the characteristics as shown in the results section. There was no statistically significant difference in the following variables between those who responded to desmopressin and those who did not: gender, age, family history, frequency of NE (number of wet nights per week). |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Uncertain. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had a mean age of 15.3 years. |
| Internal Validity | |
| O'Regan S;Yazbeck S;Haml | berger B;Schick E; |
| Constipation a commonly ur Ref ID 3940 | nrecognized cause of enuresis 1986 |
| Study Type Cohor | Funding Not reported. |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 35 of 219 |

| Number of participant | 29 patients |
|--|---|
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Patients were referred for assessment or to eliminate renal pathologic conditions. Constipation was described as – more than 72 hours between bowel movements, presence of overflow incontinence (encopresis), passage of small, hard, scibalous stools with intermittent passage of large stools, poor emptying and dilation of rectal ampulla after defecations determined by rectal examination and grossly decreased level of perception and increased tolerance to balloon insufflation during rectal manometry combined with any element of the four alone. |
| Patient Characteristics | Not reported. |
| Recruitment | Referred for assessment or to eliminate renal pathologic conditions. |
| Setting | University of Montreal, Canada. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Assessment and treatment of constipation. |
| Comparisons | No comparison. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 9.2 months follow up. |
| Outcome measures studied | Becoming dry. |
| Results | 25 out of 29 patients had been referred for assessment and treatment of enuresis, 4 had severe functional constipation in the absences of urinary symptoms. |
| | 22 out of 25 with enuresis children had a history of constipation. The children underwent urodynamic studies to assess for bladder instability. The children were treated with phosphate enemas. |
| | All patients had bladder instability identified by either the presence of uninhibited contractions of the detrusor during the filling phase of the bladder with an amplitude equal or greater than 15 cm H2O or the occurrence of destrusor contraction at the end of or after urinary flow. |
| | The mean response time to treatment of NE was 16 (sd 10) days with a range of 3 days to 6 weeks prior to resolution. |
| | At follow up 5 out of 7 males had no NE, 2 out of 7 had partial response or wet once a week (from a baseline of 7 nights a week). 9 out of 10 girls had no NE, and 1 out of 10 had partial response or wet once a week (from a baseline of 7 nights a week). 5 children failed to undergo therapy, 1 was treated with imipramine and had a complete response, and 4 children continued to have NE. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | All children who were treated for constipation initially became dry, there were some relapses at follow up. The authors said the study "strongly implicated unrecognized rectal distention as an atiologic facto of enuresis. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study showed treating constipation can increase dryness. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Very specialist referral group, unclear patient charactieristics. |
| Internal Validity | |
| Robson W;Leung AKC;Van 08 March 2010 | H; Page 36 of 219 |
Primary and secondary nocturnal enuresis: Similarities in presentation

Ref ID 817 2005

| Study Type | Cohort | Funding Not reported |
|---|----------|---|
| Number of partie | cipant | 170 patients in total; 123 patients had primary nocturnal enuresis (PNE) and 47 had secondary nocturnal enuresis (SNE). |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | Inclusion: aged of 3.5 years, wet at least 1 night a month, primary NE (PNE) was children who had never achieved a period of night time dryness of over 6 consecutive months; or secondary NE (SNE) was described as the child having had a period of night time dryness of over 6 consecutive months Exclusion: parent or guardian did not know if the child had experience a period of dryness of at least 6 months, structural abnormality of bladder or urethra, major neurologic problem, or morbid obesity. |
| Patient Characte | eristics | 103 were male, 67 were female, the mean age for children with PNE was 8.05 (sd 2.96), for SNE was 8.12 (sd 3.78) |
| Recruitment | | Attended pediatric urology voiding dysfunction clinic, Health Sciences Centre |
| Setting | | University of Oklahoma, USA |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Comparison of patient characteristics for PNE and SNE |
| Comparisons | | No comparison |
| Length of Study Follow-up | 1 | No follow up |
| Outcome measu studied | res | Differences in patient characteristics of children with PNE or SNE |
| Results | | Questionnaire considering: age and gender, frequency of voiding, nocturia, urgency, squatting behaviour for girls, daytime wetting, UTI, constipation, ADHD, VUR, uroflow and post void residual |
| | | There was a statistically significant difference between PNE and SNE for constipation: In PNE 74.59% had constipation compared to 57.54% in SNE ($p = 0.394$; OR 2.17, 95% CI 1.07, 4.41) |
| | | There was no statistically significant difference between PNE and SNE for: Male gender: In PNE 59.35% compared to 63.93% in SNE ($p = 0.7259$) Age when voiding on own: In PNE 2.35 (sd 0.71) years compared to 2.13 (sd 0.61) years in SNE ($p = 0.0.538$) Age when assessed: In PNE 8.05 (sd 2.96) years compared to 8.12 (sd 3.78) years in SNE ($p = 0.0.9024$) Infrequent voiding: In PNE 14% compared to 17% in SNE ($p = 0.6275$) Normal frequency: In PNE 45% compared to 48% in SNE ($p = 0.7318$) Frequent voiding: In PNE 41% compared to 35% in SNE ($p = 0.4825$) Nocturia: In PNE 25% compared to 22% in SNE ($p = 0.6906$) Urgency: In PNE 85% compared to 77% in SNE ($p = 0.6906$) Urgency: In PNE 85% compared to 77% in SNE ($p = 0.2468$) UTI: In PNE 57.72% compared to 65.96% in SNE ($p = 0.3832$) ADHD: In PNE 18.03% compared to 15.22% in SNE ($p = 0.8199$) VUR: In PNE 36.73% compared to 17.65% in SNE ($p = 0.2731$) Normal uroflow: In PNE 63.72% compared to 60.98% in SNE ($p = 0.8199$) VUR: In PNE 0.88% compared to 4.88% in SNE ($p = 0.1731$) Interrupter: In PNE 19.47% compared to 17.07% in SNE ($p = 1.000$) Postvoid residual: In PNE 39.47% compared to 38.30% in SNE ($p = 1.0$) |
| Safety and adve effects | rse | None reported |

| Does the study answer the question? | The authors reported the only significant difference between children with PNE and SNE was constipation with more children with SNE having constipation |
|--|--|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Study identified a significant difference between patients with primary and seconda NE |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Mean age 8.05 and 8.12 years |
| Internal Validity | |
| Van Hoecke E;Baeyens D;Va | anden B;Hoebeke P;Vande W; |
| | ical problems in a population of children with enuresis: construction and validation c ent for Psychological Problems in Enuresis |
| Ref ID 86 | 2007 |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | Phase I (construction of the SSIPPE): Sample 1, n=261. n=141 patients from previous psychological/emotional problems prevalence studies and 120 from ADH prevalence studies. Sample 1A (emotional problems): n=63 children. Sample 1B (ADHD): n=48. Phase II (validation of SSIPPE): Sample 2 (new admitted): n=109. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Phase II: exclusion: children with anatomical or neurological abnormalities, metna retardation or chronic diseases. |
| Patient Characteristics | Aged 6 to 12 years old. Participants had monosymptomatic and non- monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis diagnosed in a tertiary care setting. The participants had taken part in prevalence studies on psychological/emotional problems (141 patients) and ADHD (120 patients) in enuresis. Sample 1A (63 patients) had enuresis with a clinical/subclinical score on the internalising scale of the CBCL. Sample 1B (48 patients) with diagnosis of ADHD on the DBDRS and the Diagnost interview schedule for children, parent version. |
| | Phase II (validation of SSIPPE): 109 children (76 boys and 33 girls) with a mean a of 8.5 years (s.d. 2.4). |
| Recruitment | Validation participants were newly admitted to hospital. |
| Setting | Pediatric Uro/Nephrologic centre (Ghent Uni hosp). |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Psychological assessments. |
| Comparisons | No comparisons. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow-up. |
| Outcome measures studied | Phase I: emotional problems -31 items of internalising scale of the CBCL. ADHD based on the DBDRS -18 items of the inattention and hyperactivity/impulsivity sca used to find highest loading items for inclusion in SSIPPE.Phase II:sensitivity/specificity |
| Results | Phase I: Highest loading items of internalising scale of CBCL and ADHD scales of |
| Results | DBDRS on prinicpal factor analysis: |

| | Unhappy: 0.65 (anxious/depressed) - CBCL Lacks energy: 0.69 (withdrawn) - CBCL Nausea: 0.78 (physical complaints) - CBCL Headaches: 0.73 (physical complaints) - CBCL Stomach problems: 0.72 (physical complaints) - CBCL Insufficient attention: 0.82 (inattention) - DBDRS Difficulty organising tasks: 0.82 (inattention) - DBDRS Forgetful in daily tasks: 0.81 (inattention) - DBDRS Talks continuously: 0.79 (hyperactivity/impulsivity) - DBDRS Busy: 0.79 (hyperactivity/impulsivity) - DBDRS Running or climbing: 0.75 (hyperactivity/impusivity) - DBDRS Phase II: Prediction of CBCL internalising symptoms - sensitivity: 0.75, specificity: 0.91. DBDRS - inattention sensitivity: 0.29, specificity: 0.99; hyperactivity/impulsivity sensitivity: 0.36, specificity: 0.99. |
|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | ROC curve analysis showed classification accuracy of 88% (considered good). Showed that the 3 SSIPPE subscales had an excellent specificity, leading to few false-negative results and low sensitivity of inattention and hyperactivity/impulsivity leading to higher number of false-positive results. |
| | The authors concluded that the SSIPPE was a time efficient and cost-effective first screening of psychological problems and gives an indication of whether a full psychiatric /psychological screening is necessary. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Aged 6 to 12 years. |
| Internal Validity | |
| Van Hoecke E;Hoebeke P;Br | aet C;Walle JV; |
| An assessment of internalizir Ref ID 4083 | ng problems in children with enuresis 2004 |
| | |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 84 patients with enuresis and 36 patients without enuresis |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: night time and or day time wetting. Exclusion: anatomical neurological abnormalities,or mentally retarded children. |
| Patient Characteristics | Enuresis group: 75% were male, the mean age was 10 years, 77% had night time wetting, and 23% had night and day time wetting. Control group: 51.4% were male, and the mean age was 10.2 years. |
| | There was a statistically significant difference in distribution of gender types of the two groups. |
| Recruitment | Second visit to Paediatric Uro/Nephrologic Centre. |
| Setting | The Ghent University Hospital, Belgium. |

| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | The Social Anxiety Scale for Children (SAS-C), the state-trait anxiety inventory for children (STAI-C), The shortened depression questionnaire for children (SDQ-C), The Self-Perception Profile for Children by Harter (SPP-C), and Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL). | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Comparisons | Between children with enuresis and without enuresis. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up. | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Scores on the measurement scales. | | |
| Results | The Social Anxiety Scale for Children (SAS-C) – measures cognitive and affective anxious reactions in different situation in 9 to 12 years old children. The state-trait anxiety inventory for children (STAI-C) – self reported inventory measure instrument to identify situation anxiety in 8 to 15 years old children, contains 20 sentences which refer to the feelings of the child at a certain moment. The shortened depression questionnaire for children (SDQ-C) – 9-item scale is a shortened version of the depression questionnaire for children and was developed for early identification of depressive or declining depressive children. The Self-Perception Profile for Children by Harter (SPP-C) – measures self-concept in 8 to 12 year of children and consists of 6 subscales. Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL) – 3 broadband scales of internalising, externalising and total problems, study only used withdrawal, somatic complaints, anxious/depressive and social problem, a T-score of 63 or higher was considered clinical. | | |
| | Results of CBCL score: Comparing children with enuresis and children without enuresis the following were statistically significantly different: the raw score for withdrawal and the raw score for anxious/depressive; the t scores for internalising problems and total problems. The following were not statistically significantly different: the raw score for physical complaints and social problems. The study showed for children within the clinical range of the CBCL score for internalizing problems in the enuresis group 19.7% compared to 11.6% in the control group; for internalizing problems in the enuresis group 20.4% compared to 6.1% in the control group. | | |
| | Results of the SAS-C, STAI-C, SDQ-C and SPP-C scores: Comparing children with enuresis and children without enuresis the following were statistically significantly different: on the SAS-C score was social desirability. The following were not statistically significantly different: on the SAS-C score – social situations, intellectual situations, athletic situations, physical appearance, cognitive reactions, emotional reactions, total anxiety; the STAI-C score, the SDS-C score, on the SPP-C score – scholastic competence, social acceptance, athletic competence, physical appearance, behavioural conduct, global self worth. | | |
| | The study compared correlations between CBCL and SPP-C, STAI-C and SDQ-C for the entire sample: there was a statistically significant difference for SPP-C score compared to CBCL score for social acceptance, behavioural conduct and global self worth. There was no statistically significant difference between the STAI-C and SDQ- C scores and CBCL scores. | | |
| | The study compared correlations between CBCL and SPP-C, STAI-C and SDQ-C for the children with enuresis: there was no statistically significant difference for SPP-C, the STAI-C and SDQ-C scores compared to CBCL score. | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | There was a statistically significant difference between children with NE and children without NE on the CBCL score for the raw score for withdrawal and the raw score for anxious/depressive, and the t scores for internalising problems and total problems; and on the SAS-C score for social desirability. | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | There was a statistically significant difference between children with NE and children without NE. | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 40 of 219 | | |

Directly applicable to Children had a mean age of 10 years in the NE group and 10.2 years in the control group. guideline population? **Internal Validity** Yeung CK;Chiu HN;Sit FK; Bladder dysfunction in children with refractory monosymptomatic primary nocturnal enuresis 1999 Ref ID 650 Study Type Cohort Funding Not reported. N=46 Number of participant Inclusion: monosymptomatic priamry nocturnal enuresis with treatment failure Inclusion/Exclusion defined as non-response (failure to achieve an average decrease of 50% or greater Criteria in bedwetting frequency weekly during therapy) or significant relapse of enuretic symptoms to 3 or more wet nights weekly after stopping treatment. Exclusions: any identifiable urinary symptoms other than nocturnal enuresis. **Patient Characteristics** 37 chinese boys and 9 girls. Aged 7 to 15 years old (mean age 10.2). The participants had monosymptomatic primary nocturnal enuresis (3 or more nights weekly) and had treatment failure. Previously the participants had been part of an interhospital prospective treatment study of primary nocturnal enuresis and had 12-weeks of oral desmopressin with or without an enuretic alarm. Recruitment Not reported. Evaluation done in hostpital. Assume in China? Setting Interventions/ Test/ Bladder dysfunction through urodynamic study(day) and EEG and cystometry monitoring (night). Factor being investigated Comparisons Not reported. Length of Study/ None reported. Follow-up Davtime and nighttime urinary output: functional bladder capacity: decrease of 50% **Outcome measures** or greater in no. of wet nights during treatment. studied Evaluations were done by natural and conventional filling urodynamic study in the Results daytime and simultaneous EEG and cystometry monitoring during sleep at night. The study wrote that: pattern 1 - normal daytime urodynamics with significant bladder instability at night with normal volume voiding precipitated by unstable detrusor contractions in 14 boys(34%). Pattern 2- normal daytime urodynamics with frequent small volume voiding at night, probably representing latent bladder instability, in 4 boys (10%). Pattern 3 involved abnormal daytime urodynamics with small bladder capacity, a discoordinated daytime voiding pattern and marked nighttime bladder instability associated with poor sleep in 6 boys (15%). Pattern 4 was abnormal daytime urodynamcis with an obstructive pattern, and marked daytime and nighttime detrusor hypercontractility (mean maximum detrusor pressure 178 cm water) in 8 boys (20%). Pattern 5 was abnormal daytime urodynamics with a dysfunctional daytime voiding pattern and frequent small volume nighttime voiding in 8 girls and 1 boy (22%). The home recordings of urinary output showed the majority of patients had a daytime to nightime urinary output ratio of greater than 1 (mean 2.43, range 0.89 to 6.32). Almost none had nocturnal polyuria (table 1). Table 1: daytime and nighttime urine output: Mean urine output +/- s.d (ml):

| pattern | daytime | nighttime | mean daytime/nighttime output +/- s.d |
|----------|--------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | 810 +/- 291 | 360 +/-222 | 2.92 +/-1.77 |
| 2 | 1182 +/- 348 | 596 +/-364 | 2.31 +/-0.89 |
| 3 | 797 +/- 72 | 423 +/-224 | 2.44 +/-1.27 |
| 4 | 818 +/- 196 | 433 +/-67 | 1.98 +/-0.75 |
| 5 | 868 +/- 78 | 436 +/-129 | 2.15 +/-0.69 |
| overall: | 867 +/-244 | 429 +/-209 | 2.43 +/-1.24 |

Functional bladder capacity ranged from 60 to 380ml (mean 192) which was closely correlated with bladder capcity on cystometry during natural filling urodynamics (table 2).

Table 2: functional bladder capacity

| | Mean Bladder c | apacity +/- s.d (i | ml) | |
|---------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| pattern | cystometric | functional | expected for age | % functional/expected |
| capacit | y | | | |
| 1 | 252 +/-103 | 247 +/-95 | 343 +/-67 | 72 +/-23 |
| 2 | 233 +/-30 | 210 +/-26 | 353 +/-75 | 62 +/-19 |
| 3 | 129 +/-123 | 167 +/-88 | 355 +/-79 | 49 +/-27 |
| 4 | 92 +/-58 | 118 +/-51 | 390 +/-57 | 30 +/-9 |
| 5 | 174 +/-77 | 197 +/-71 | 385 +/-69 | 53 +/-22 |
| overall | 178 +/-106 | 192 +/-85 | 364 +/-67 | 54 +/-25 |

A 4-week course of 400microgrames desmopressin orally at bedtime still produced a significant response - greater than 50% decrease in the number of wet nights during treatment in 47% of the patients, although symptoms relapsed on stopping therapy in all.

Response to desmopressin therapy

| | Mean no. Pattern improved | wet nights/week +/- s before therapy | during therapy | % patients signficantly |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| Safety and adverse | 1 2 3 4 5 overall None repo | 5.9 +/- 1.5 5.5 +/- 1.0 5.2 +/- 1.1 5.0 +/- 1.1 4.6 +/- 1.7 5.3 +/- 1.4 prted. | | 50 75 60 50 14 47 |
| effects | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | their age a various pa They conc condition o dysfunctio patients w | Ind they voided smal tterns of bladder dys luded that monosym consissting of a spec n that probably contr ith treatment failure a | I volumes frequently. T function. ptomatic nocturnal enu trum of disorders and v ibute significantly to its and refractory symption | mpared with that expected of This finding was associated with resis is a heterogeneous various types of bladder pathogenesis, especially in ns. Nocturnal enuresis may be ng bladder dysfunction. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other s | similar studies | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Aged 7 to NE. | 15 years old, mean | age 10.4 years and ha | d primary monosymptomatic |
| Internal Validity | | | | |

Question: What is clinical and cost effectiveness of additional

investigation and treatment in children who have not responded to an adequate trial of both desmopressin and or alarms?

Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias

Aladjem M; Wohl R; Boichis H; Orda S; Lotan D; Freedman S; Desmopressin in nocturnal enuresis 1982 Ref ID 477 Study Type Randomised Controlled Trial Funding Study states desmopressin was Ferring AB, Sweden but does not report funding. Number of participant 32 in total: 15 in group A and 17 in group B. Inclusion/Exclusion Inclusion: enuretic children. Exclusion: organic disease of the urinary tract Criteria **Patient Characteristics** The age range was 7-15 years. In group A 7 out of 15 were boys and the mean age was 10.5 years. The mean number of wet nights in the baseline 30 night period was 18.7 (SD 6.5), 12 had previously tried clorimipramine hydrochloride (2 of which had responded). 4 had a family history of NE. In group B 8 out of 17 were boys and the mean age was 10 years. The mean baseline number of wet nights in 30 night baseline period was 21.3 (SD 8.3). 11 had previously tried clorimipramine hydrochloride (3 of which had responded). 6 had a family history of NE. 3 patients had a history of UTI and of healed vesicoureteric reflux Recruitment Not reported Israel Setting Group A: 10 micro grams intranasal desmopressin Interventions/ Test/ Group B: intranasal placebo Factor being investigated Comparisons Between groups A and B. Length of Study/ 90 days. Follow-up **Outcome measures** Number totally dry, number of wet nights during final month and at follow up. studied Results Treatment for 30 days Number of children who achieved total drvness: In group A (desmopessin) 6 out of 15 chidlren achieved total dryness compared to 1 out of group B (placebo). Mean number of wet nights in final month: In group A (desmopressin) the mean number of wet nights during final month was 6.5 (SD 9.2) and in group B (placebo) was 18.8 (SD 8.3). Mean number of wet nights at follow up: In group A (desmopressin) the mean number of wet nights was 15.7 (SD 8.9) and in group B (placebo) was 16.9 (SD 9.4). The study reported a significant difference in response dependant on age. Only children aged over 10 years became completely dry and the only failures were ages under 10 years (3 failures in total). Response rate to desmopressin: As early as 1-3 days

| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | |
|--|--|---|
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that more children became dry with desmopressin and children on desmopressin had fewer wet nights at the end of treatment but there was little difference in the number of wet nights at end of treatment and the number of wet nights at follow up. | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range 7-15 years. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment | |
| Austin PF;Ferguson G;Yan Y | (;Campigotto MJ;Royer ME;Coplen DE; | |
| | smopressin and an anticholinergic medication for nonresponders to c enuresis: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial | lesmopressin for |
| Ref ID 3902 | | 2008 |
| Study Type Randor | mised Controlled Trial Funding National Kie | dney Foundation |
| Number of participant | 34 patients, 16 in desmopressin and placebo, 18 in desmopressin a | nd tolterodine |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged 6 to 17 years, monosymptomatic primary NE, failed t tablet 0.6mg desmopressin as monotherapy (both partial and non re nights a week Exclusion: PUT symptoms, bowel elimination problems (eg encopres consitpation), day time wetting, increased or descrease voiding frequ anticholinergic treatment, know allery to anticholingergics used for b any history of gastric retention, uncontrolled narrow-angle glaucoma | esponse), 4 wet sis or uency, receiving ladder relaxation, |
| Patient Characteristics | In the desmopressin and placebo group the mean age was 10.5 (sd 2.25) years, 12 out of 16 were male, the mean number of wet nights was 6.56 (sd 0.81) per week a baseline. In the desmopressin and tolterodine group the mean age was 10.56 (sd 2.28) year 12 out of 18 were male, the mean number of wet nights was 6.22 (sd 1.16) per week at baseline | |
| Recruitment | Patients referred to paediatric clinic for treatment of NE | |
| Setting | Paediatric clinic, USA | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: 0.6 mg Desmopressin and placebo Group B: 0.6 mg desmopressin and 4 mg tolterodine | |
| Comparisons | Between groups A and B | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 1 months | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights, Numbe achieved >50% improvements in the number of dry nights | er of children who |
| Results | 1 month of treatment | |
| | Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights: In group A (desmopressin and placebo) 1 out of 16 achieved 14 con nights compared to 3 out of 18 in group B (desmopressin and tolterc | |

| | Number of children who achieved >50% improvements in the number of dry nights: In group A (desmopressin and placebo) 4 out of 16 achieved >50% improvements in the number of dry nights compared to 5 out of 18 in group B (desmopressin and tolterodine) |
|---|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed there was no statistically significant difference in the number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights and the number of children who achieved greater than 50% improvement in the number of dry nights between children treated with desmopressin and placebo and children treated with desmopressin and tolterodine |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies consider this comparison in this population |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had a mean age od 10.5 and 10.56 years |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allcoation concealment |
| Neveus T;Tullus K; | |
| Tolterodine and imipramine | in refractory enuresis; a placebo-controlled crossover study |
| Ref ID 18 | 2008 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. |
| | |
| Number of participant | 27 in total (25 after 2 drop outs). |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | 27 in total (25 after 2 drop outs). Inclusion: primary NE, wet at least 7 nights in 2 weeks, unresponsive to both desmopressin and alarms when treated for 6 months. Exclusion: concomitant cardiac, nephrologic, metabolic or neurologic disease, UTI, previous treatment with anitcolinergic or tricyclic drug, or day time wetting. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion | Inclusion: primary NE, wet at least 7 nights in 2 weeks, unresponsive to both desmopressin and alarms when treated for 6 months. Exclusion: concomitant cardiac, nephrologic, metabolic or neurologic disease, UTI, |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary NE, wet at least 7 nights in 2 weeks, unresponsive to both desmopressin and alarms when treated for 6 months. Exclusion: concomitant cardiac, nephrologic, metabolic or neurologic disease, UTI, previous treatment with anitcolinergic or tricyclic drug, or day time wetting. The mean age was 9.4 (SD 2.1) years, age range 6-13 years. 22 out of 27 were boys. 7 children had monosymptomstic NE, 17 had urgency, 16 had previously been daytime incontinent, 3 had increased daytime microturition frequency, and 8 suffered |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics | Inclusion: primary NE, wet at least 7 nights in 2 weeks, unresponsive to both desmopressin and alarms when treated for 6 months. Exclusion: concomitant cardiac, nephrologic, metabolic or neurologic disease, UTI, previous treatment with anitcolinergic or tricyclic drug, or day time wetting. The mean age was 9.4 (SD 2.1) years, age range 6-13 years. 22 out of 27 were boys. 7 children had monosymptomstic NE, 17 had urgency, 16 had previously been daytime incontinent, 3 had increased daytime microturition frequency, and 8 suffered from constipation. The mean baseline wetting was 11 (SD 3.6) in 2 weeks. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment | Inclusion: primary NE, wet at least 7 nights in 2 weeks, unresponsive to both desmopressin and alarms when treated for 6 months. Exclusion: concomitant cardiac, nephrologic, metabolic or neurologic disease, UTI, previous treatment with anitcolinergic or tricyclic drug, or day time wetting. The mean age was 9.4 (SD 2.1) years, age range 6-13 years. 22 out of 27 were boys. 7 children had monosymptomstic NE, 17 had urgency, 16 had previously been daytime incontinent, 3 had increased daytime microturition frequency, and 8 suffered from constipation. The mean baseline wetting was 11 (SD 3.6) in 2 weeks. Not reported. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being | Inclusion: primary NE, wet at least 7 nights in 2 weeks, unresponsive to both desmopressin and alarms when treated for 6 months. Exclusion: concomitant cardiac, nephrologic, metabolic or neurologic disease, UTI, previous treatment with anitcolinergic or tricyclic drug, or day time wetting. The mean age was 9.4 (SD 2.1) years, age range 6-13 years. 22 out of 27 were boys. 7 children had monosymptomstic NE, 17 had urgency, 16 had previously been daytime incontinent, 3 had increased daytime microturition frequency, and 8 suffered from constipation. The mean baseline wetting was 11 (SD 3.6) in 2 weeks. Not reported. Sweden. Group A: 1-2mg tolterodine at bedtime (higher dose given to children aged over 8 years) Group B: 25-50 mg imipramine at bedtime (higher dose given to children aged over 8 years) |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Inclusion: primary NE, wet at least 7 nights in 2 weeks, unresponsive to both desmopressin and alarms when treated for 6 months. Exclusion: concomitant cardiac, nephrologic, metabolic or neurologic disease, UTI, previous treatment with anitcolinergic or tricyclic drug, or day time wetting. The mean age was 9.4 (SD 2.1) years, age range 6-13 years. 22 out of 27 were boys. 7 children had monosymptomstic NE, 17 had urgency, 16 had previously been daytime incontinent, 3 had increased daytime microturition frequency, and 8 suffered from constipation. The mean baseline wetting was 11 (SD 3.6) in 2 weeks. Not reported. Sweden. Group A: 1-2mg tolterodine at bedtime (higher dose given to children aged over 8 years) Group B: 25-50 mg imipramine at bedtime (higher dose given to children aged over 8 years) Group C: placebo |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ | Inclusion: primary NE, wet at least 7 nights in 2 weeks, unresponsive to both desmopressin and alarms when treated for 6 months. Exclusion: concomitant cardiac, nephrologic, metabolic or neurologic disease, UTI, previous treatment with anitcolinergic or tricyclic drug, or day time wetting. The mean age was 9.4 (SD 2.1) years, age range 6-13 years. 22 out of 27 were boys. 7 children had monosymptomstic NE, 17 had urgency, 16 had previously been daytime incontinent, 3 had increased daytime microturition frequency, and 8 suffered from constipation. The mean baseline wetting was 11 (SD 3.6) in 2 weeks. Not reported. Sweden. Group A: 1-2mg tolterodine at bedtime (higher dose given to children aged over 8 years) Group B: 25-50 mg imipramine at bedtime (higher dose given to children aged over 8 years) Group C: placebo Between treatment groups. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures | Inclusion: primary NE, wet at least 7 nights in 2 weeks, unresponsive to both desmopressin and alarms when treated for 6 months. Exclusion: concomitant cardiac, nephrologic, metabolic or neurologic disease, UTI, previous treatment with anitcolinergic or tricyclic drug, or day time wetting. The mean age was 9.4 (SD 2.1) years, age range 6-13 years. 22 out of 27 were boys. 7 children had monosymptomstic NE, 17 had urgency, 16 had previously been daytime incontinent, 3 had increased daytime microturition frequency, and 8 suffered from constipation. The mean baseline wetting was 11 (SD 3.6) in 2 weeks. Not reported. Sweden. Group A: 1-2mg tolterodine at bedtime (higher dose given to children aged over 8 years) Group D: 25-50 mg imipramine at bedtime (higher dose given to children aged over 8 years) Group C: placebo Between treatment groups. None. Full and partial response, mean number of wet nights in last 2 weeks of trial, adverse |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied | Inclusion: primary NE, wet at least 7 nights in 2 weeks, unresponsive to both desmopressin and alarms when treated for 6 months. Exclusion: concomitant cardiac, nephrologic, metabolic or neurologic disease, UTI, previous treatment with anitcolinergic or tricyclic drug, or day time wetting. The mean age was 9.4 (SD 2.1) years, age range 6-13 years. 22 out of 27 were boys. 7 children had monosymptomstic NE, 17 had urgency, 16 had previously been daytime incontinent, 3 had increased daytime microturition frequency, and 8 suffered from constipation. The mean baseline wetting was 11 (SD 3.6) in 2 weeks. Not reported. Sweden. Group A: 1-2mg tolterodine at bedtime (higher dose given to children aged over 8 years) Group B: 25-50 mg imipramine at bedtime (higher dose given to children aged over 8 years) Group C: placebo Between treatment groups. None. Full and partial response, mean number of wet nights in last 2 weeks of trial, adverse events, and numbers who dropped out. |

| Full response 5 out of 25 responded to imipramine, 0 out of 25 responded to tolterodine or placebo. |
|--|
| >50% improvement 2 out of 25 had a partial response to imipramine only and 1 out of 25 children had a partial response to tolterodine. 0 out of 25 had a partial response to placebo. |
| Mean number of wet nights in last 2 weeks of treatment: In the tolterodine group the mean number of wet nights in the last 2 weeks of treatment was 10.4 (SD 3.9), in the imipramine group the mean was 7.8 (SD 5.1) and in the placebo group the mean was 11 (SD 3.9). Imipramine was significantly better than the placebo (p=0.001) and significantly better than tolterodine (p=0.006). |
| Number of drop outs: In the imipramine group 1 child dropped out due to nausea and in the placebo group 1 child dropped out due to becoming spontaneously dry. |
| Adverse events: In the group treated with imipramine, 3 children had slight mood changes, 2 had insomnia, 1 had palpitations, and 2 had slight nausea (1 of which dropped out). In the group treated with tolterodine 1 child had slight mood change. There were no adverse events in the placebo group. |
| Imipramine: 3 children had slight mood changes, 2 had insomnia, 1 had palpitations, and 2 had slight nausea (1 of which dropped out). Toloterodine: 1 had slight mood change. Placebo: none |
| The study showed that imipramine was more effective in giving a full response, it also showed that imipramine was significantly better than both tolterodine and placebo treatment. |
| Yes |
| No other studies to compare to. |
| Age range 6-13 years. |
| Unclear allocation concealment |
| |
| treatment of severe nocturnal enuresis in adolescents |
| 1994 |
| mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. |
| 10 patients were allocated either to placebo or desmopressin tablets. |
| Inclusion criteria: adolescents (12 years or older) suffering from severe Primary NE, defined as a mininum of 3 wet nights per week during an observation period of 2 weeks. Exclusion criteria: daytime wetting, urinary tract infection, or urinary tract abnormalities. Patients could have not been treated with any conditioning device or any other antienuretic regimen 2 weeks before entry into the study. |
| 20 males and 5 females with a mean age of 13.5 years (range 11 to 21 years) median 13.0. Baseline wetting 4.7 (SD 1.1) wet nights per week. All patients but three had previously used the bell and pad conditioing system with no improvement. 15 patients had been treated with antidepressant drugs. Other drugs, which had failed were: ephedrine (4 patients) and terodiiline, propantheline, and emepromium bromide (1 patient each). 9 patients had a family history of enuresis. |
| |

| Recruitment | Not reported. |
|--|---|
| Setting | Children's hospital. Sweden |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | First 2 week single blind titration period was started and 200 and 400micrograms of desmopressin were administered. All patients were given diary cards and the registration of dry and wet nights was done by the parents. For the long-term treatment period, each patient was given the lowest dosage of demopressin that reduced the number of wet nights by 50% or more. The patients who did not have a reduction in wet nights continued on the 400microgram dose. |
| | Double-blind period |
| | During the first 2 weeks the first 10 patients were allocated to placebo or desmopressin tablets. After 2 weeks treatment, each patient was crossed over to the alternate therapy. This was a separate part of the study and all patients who were included in the double blind part continued with the long-term studies. |
| | Long term treatment period- open period with two 12 week spans with demopressin treatment each followed by a 2 week observation period without use of medication. Patients were then divided into 1) full responders, with just 1 wet night; 2)intermediate responders with two to 3 wet nights, and 3)nonresponders with more than 3 wet nights per week. No significant crossover period occurred between full responders and nonresponders. |
| Comparisons | Desmopressin versus placebo |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Follow up for 2 weeks after treatment. |
| Outcome measures studied | Mean number of wet nights, adverse events |
| Results | First 2 week single blind titration period- during this period the mean number of wet nights per week was 4.9 ± 1.2 and during the dose titration for the first week when the patients received 200 micrograms, the mean number of wet nights was 2.8 ± 2.2 . In the second week of dose titration the patients received a daily dose of 400micrograms. The mean number of wet nights 2.4 ± 2.3 . Througout the dose titration period, there was a decrease of about 50% in bed wetting compared to the observation period. |
| | Double-blind period- The mean basline value of wet nights per week was 4.7 ± 1.1 . During the 2 weeks on desmopressin, the mean number of wet nights was reduced to 1.8 ± 1.4 . The corresponding value for the placebo period was 4.1 ± 1.5 . the difference between placebo and desmopressin in mean number of wet nights was 2.35 units wth a 95% confidence interval for the population difference from 1.5 to 3.1 Long term treatment period I23 patients entered the first long term treatment |
| | period. The number of wet nights for the whole group was 2.0 ± 2.1 . Eleven patients were full responders, 5 intermediate and 7 did not respond to the drug. |
| | Midtreatment observation period- mean number of wet nights per week increased to 3.0 ± 2.3 . 1 patient did not turn up for follow up. |
| | Long term treatment period II- 17 patients entered the second long term period, 3 on 200micrograms tablets and 14 on 400 micrograms daily. The mean number of wet nights per week for the whole group was 1.7 ± 1.7 . the mean number of wet nights for the intermediate responders was 2.3 ± 1.2 . 6 patients never started this treatment |
| | Posttreatment observation period- 16 patients were followed up for 2 weeks and the number of wet nights per week was 2.8 ± 2.4 . |
| | Side effects: 5 children suffered from headache, 6 from abdominal pain and 1 from nausea and vertigo |

| Safety and adverse effects | the total weight gain was 5%. 1 patient had an increase in blood pressure during the first part of the second long-term period and was excluded from the last 6 weeks of this period. Most common complaints were headache and abdominal pain, which occurred in 5 and 6 patients, respectively. The symptoms disappeared during treatment. 1 patiens also complained of nausea and vertigo. | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Does the study answer the question? | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | There is a risk of bias: randomisation not clearly described and ITT not reported. Age range of participants is from 11 to 21 years, with mean age of 13.5 years. | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Relevant comparisons. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment | | |
| Terho P;Kekomaki M; | | | |
| Management of nocturnal en Ref ID 460 | uresis with a vasopressin analogue 1984 | | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported | | |
| Number of participant | 49 children | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion criteria: aged 7-17 years with serious nocturnal wetting. Exclusion: day time wetting or faecal soiling; voiding difficulties; obvious neurological abnormalities; and diurnal wetting. | | |
| Patient Characteristics | 80% had failed treatment with imipramine and were aged 7 to 16 years. | | |
| | 49 had awakening protocol: 46 had water deprivation; 43 had tricyclic antidepressants 13 had psychological counseling; 2 had alarm device and 1 had no previous treatment. | | |
| Recruitment | Not reported. | | |
| Setting | Finland | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: 20 micro grams desmopressin Group B: placebo | | |
| Comparisons | Between desmopressin and placebo. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 4 weeks of follow up | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Mean number of wet nights | | |
| Results | 3 weeks of treatment | | |
| | Mean number of wet nights Group A (desmopressin) had a mean number of wet nights of 30.9 (sd 28.7) while Group B (placebo) had a mean number of wet nights of 57.5 (sd 26.1). | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | |

| Does the study | The study shows children treated with desmopressin had fewer wet nights compared |
|--|--|
| answer the question? | to children treated with placebo. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Not clear. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had an age range of 7 to 16 years. |
| Internal Validity | No wash out |
| Tuvemo T; | |
| DDAVP in childhood nocturr | al enuresis |
| Ref ID 495 | 1978 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 18 children in total. 8 patients received intervention in the first 28 day period and 10 received it in the second 28 day period. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | #Deleted |
| Patient Characteristics | Age: ranged 6-12 years. Previous treatment: children had not responded satisfactorily to previous treatment with imipramine or amitriptyline. Baseline wetting: mean (SEM) number of dry nights out of 28: 7.5 (2.98). |
| Recruitment | Not reported. |
| Setting | University Hospital, Sweden. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | A: Intervention group received 20 micro-grams intranasal DDAVP (minerin) just before bedtime after emptying bladder. B: Identical placebo as above (crossover trial). 28 days in each condition. |
| Comparisons | Placebo then intervention (crossover trial). |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow-up |
| Outcome measures studied | Mean number of dry nights out of 28. Side effects. Number of children whose results were said to be excellent. Follow-up after 6 months. |
| Results | #Deleted |
| Safety and adverse effects | Not reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | #Deleted |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Not sure. No power calculation given and only 18 participants with no clear allocation concealment. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 50 of 219 |

reported were those relevant to the guideline. quideline population? **Internal Validity** Unclear allocation concealment Tuygun C; Eroglu M; Bakirtas H; Gucuk A; Zengin K; Imamoglu A; Is second-line enuretic alarm therapy after unsuccessful pharmacotherapy superior to first-line therapy in the treatment of monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis? 2007 Ref ID 32 Study Type Randomised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported 84 patients in total, 35 in group A, 49 in group B and 19 in group C Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Inclusion: monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis, wet at least 3 times a week during the last 3 months Criteria Exclusion: Diurnal enuresis, polyuric disorders, genitourinary system abnormalities, neurological disorders, recurrent UTIs **Patient Characteristics** The median age was 8 years (range 6-13 years). The ratio of male/ female was 3/2. There was no significant difference between the three group's age or sex. 71.73% had at least one parent with a history of enuresis. At baseline 54.34% were wet 25-30 nights a month, 20.65% were wet 20-25 nights a month and 25% were wet 15-20 nights a month. Recruitment Not reported Setting Turkey, treatment at home Interventions/ Test/ Group A: alarm Group B: desmopressin Factor being Group C: those who were in group B but did not become dry were changed to have investigated alarm treatment Between groups A, B and C Comparisons Length of Study/ 6 months Follow-up **Outcome measures** >90% decrease in number of wet nights, 50-90% decrease in number of wet nights, relpase at 6 months, change in number of wet nights studied Results Treatment was for 3 months >90% decrease in number of wet nights: After 3 months of treatment in group A (alarm) 20 out of 35 children (57,14%) had achieved a >90% in number of wet nights compared to 25 out of 49 (51.02%) in group B (desmopressin) and 13 out of 19 (68.42%) in group C (desmopressin then alarm). These differences were not significant. 50-90% decrease in number of wet nights: After 3 months of treatment in group A (alarm) 9 out of 35 children (27.71%) had achieved a 50-90% in number of wet nights compared to 15 out of 49 (30.61%) in group B (desmopressin) and 3 out of 19 (15.78%) in group C (desmopressin then alarm). These differences were not significant. Relapse at 6 months: At 6 months 10 out of 35 children (28.57%) had relapsed compared to 27 out of 49 (55.10%) in group B (desmopressin) and 6 out of 9 (31.57) in group C (desmopressin then alarm). The difference between groups A and B was significant p=0.008 but the difference between groups A and C was not significant. Change in mean number of wet nights: In group A (alarm) at baseline the mean number of wet nights per month was 23.2 (SD 6.23) at the end of treatment it was 3.41 (SD7.68), this difference was significant p<0.001. In group B (desmopressin) at baseline the mean number of wet nights per

Intervention and population was of interest to the guideline, few of the outcomes

Directly applicable to

| | month was 23.44 (SD 6.3) at the end of treatment it was 10.7 (SD 10.94), this difference was significant p<0.001. In group C (desmopressin then alarm) at baseline the mean number of wet nights was 28 (SD 1.37) at the end of treatment it was 5.5 (SD 10.65), this difference was significant p<0.001. The difference between groups A, B and C was also significant p=0.008. |
|--|---|
| Safety and adverse effects | none reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that treating children with an alarm was more effective than desmopressin in reducing the number of wet nights, it also showed that if patients did not respond to desmopressin treating them with an alarm did lead to a reduction in the number of wet nights. The study showed that few children who were treated with an alarm, both as initial treatment and as secondary treatment were significantly less likely to relapses than those treated with desmopressin. All groups had a significant reduction in the mean number of wet nights per month. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged between 6-13 years |
| Internal Validity | |

Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias*

Butler RJ;Brewin CR;Forsythe WI;

A comparison of two approaches to the treatment of nocturnal enuresis and the prediction of effectiveness using pre-treatment variables

| Ref ID 27 | | 1988 I |
|---|--|--|
| Study Type Rand | omised Controlled Trial Funding | Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 74 in total | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Inclusion: Aged over 6 years, wetting at least 5 times a week for a month, no clinical examination, normal urine on microscopy, normal intelligence, not have form of enuresis-related drug or psychotherapeutic treatment | | nal intelligence, not having any |
| Patient Characteristics | 76% were boys, The mean age was 9.7 years (range 6.1-14.4 years). 48.6% has previously been treated with an alarm. In the DBT group 66% had previously been treated with an alarm compared to 25% in the alarm group. | |
| Recruitment | Patients were referred as out patients for treatment of | NE. |
| Setting | Leeds, UK. Treatment administered at home. | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm Group B: MDBT with alarm but without reprimands | |
| Comparisons | Between groups A and B | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 16 weeks. | |
| Outcome measures studied | Numbers of children dry for 14 consecutive nights, change in number of wet nights, and number of dropouts. | |
| Results | Modified dry bed training removed the punitive elements. | |
| | 16 weeks treatment | |
| | The drop out rates were 8 in the alarm group and 2 in were terminated by agreement early in the DBT-M group | |
| | 14 consecutive dry nights were achived by 20 out of 28 and 15 out of 35 in the DBT group. | 3 children in the alarm group |
| | The baseline number of dry nights (during 4 week base DBT-M group and 1.07 for the Enuresis Alarm, t<1, p> nights in the last 4 weeks of treatment was 23.79 for th the enuresis alarm group. The differences between a statistically significant. | 0.10. The number of dry the DBT-M group and 20.76 for |
| | The mean number of wet nights per week at end of tre compared to 1.05 for the dry bed training. | atment for the alarm was 1.81 |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | |
| Does the study answer the question? | A modified version of the Dry Bed Training was compa alarm treatment. The DBT-M was an alarm plus training alarm. Both had success rates of 70%. The author co procedures involved in DBT-M do not seem to increase maybe due to the amount of training given (one night). | ng in comparision to just an ncludes that the additional e effectiveness substantially |

| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged over 6 years. | | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blind | ling | | |
| Butler RJ;Forsythe WI;Robe | ertson J; | | | |
| The body-worn alarm in the Ref ID 362 | treatment of childhood enuresis | 1990 | | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding Not reported | | |
| Number of participant | In study 1: 40 in total, 20 in each group In study 2: 48 in total, 24 in each group (S resistant to treatment) | tudy 2 included children previously | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: wet at least 4 nights a week for a normal urine microscopy, normal intelligen conditioning method (except alarms), prev and no associated diurnal enuresis. | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | In group A the mean age was 10.2 years, and the male to female ratio was 19:5. In g baseline number of dry nights was 1.3, an | ean age was 10.6 years (range 7.4-14.7 years). 81% were boys. p A the mean age was 10.2 years, the baseline number of dry nights was 1.2, a male to female ratio was 19:5. In group B the mean age was 11.1 years, the e number of dry nights was 1.3, and the male to female ratio was 20:4. ents had previously been unsucessfully treated with pad and bell alarm. | | |
| Recruitment | Referred as out-patients for treatment of NE (in both studies). | | | |
| Setting | Leeds, UK, treatment administered at hom | ne. | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: MDBT with alarm (pad and bell) Group B: alarm (body worn) | | | |
| Comparisons | Between group A and B. | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Dry for 14 consecutive nights, number of v | vet nights, relapses | | |
| Results | Modified dry bed training removed the pun | itive elements. | | |
| | 16 weeks treatment | | | |
| | | | | |
| | worn alarm group consistently achieved m | 5); and week 4 (t=2.26, df=42, p<0.05). At | | |
| | Mean number of wet nights in 16 weeks | | | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 54 of 219 | | | |

| 08 March 2010 | Page 55 of 219 |
|--|--|
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 2 weeks. |
| Comparisons | Between groups A and B. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: intranasal desmopressin (20 micro grams) Group B: matching placebo |
| Setting | London, UK. |
| Recruitment | Patients who attended enuretic clinic Woolwich, east London, UK. |
| Patient Characteristics | 14 were boys, the age range was 6-13 years, baseline wetting was greater than 50% wet nights during a 2 week observation period. 3 children had encopresis. All children had failed to respond to alarms and drug treatment (study reposts drug treatment to be mainly imipramine and amitriptyline). |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: failed to responded to drugs or alarm, and aged 6-13 years. Exclusion: organic cause of NE, UTI, more dry nights than wet in baseline period of 2 years, or had been dry between 2 and 3 1/2 - 4years. |
| Number of participant | 17 in total in this cross over trial. |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Ferring Pharmaceuticals |
| DDAVP and urine osmolality Ref ID 436 | in refractory enuresis 1986 |
| Dimson SB; | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged between 6.1 years and 15.6 years |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| effects Does the study answer the question? | It compares two types of alarms, the body-worn alarm and the pad and bell (modified dry-bed training). Both were equally effective in the first study (70%) and the second study initial arrest was 58% for the MDBT group and 83% for the body-worn alarm group, however the difference was not significant. The body-worn alarm achieved a greater number of dry nights earlier than the other group. The relapse rate was higher in the second study than the first study, the difference between groups was not significant. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| | Number of drop outs: 1 out of 24 children dropped out of the alarm group compared to 2 out of 24 in the DBT group. |
| | Number of children who relapsed: 7 out of 14 of the DBT group relapsed within 6 months, and 9 out of 20 relapsed in the alarm group. |
| | The mean number of wet nights per week at end of treatment for the alarm was 1.6 compared to 1.8 for the MDBT. |
| | In group A (DBT) the mean number of wet nights was 28.7 compared to 25 in group B (alarm). The difference was not statistically significant. |

| Outcome measures studied | Numbers of children achieving 14 consecutive dry nights after 2 weeks of treatment, and numbers relapsing. | s, mean number of wet nights |
|--|--|--|
| Results | 2 weeks of treatment | |
| | Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nig In group A (desmopressin) 2 out of 17 children achieved compared to 0 in group B (placebo). | |
| | Relapse All children relapsed after trial ended (17 out of 17). | |
| | Mean number of wet nights per week: In group A (desmopressin) the mean number of wet nigh in group B (placebo). | nts was 3.4 compared to 5.0 |
| | There were no side effects. | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that children were more likely to beco desmopressin and have fewer wet ngihts than when trea | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Aged 6-13 years. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | |
| Fjellestad-Paulsen A;Wille S | ;Harris AS; | |
| Comparison of intranasal an | d oral desmopressin for nocturnal enuresis | |
| Ref ID 429 | | 1987 |
| | | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding | Ferring AB Malmo Sweden. |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding 30 in total | Ferring AB Malmo Sweden. |
| | | diurnal wetting, faecal |
| Number of participant | 30 in total Inclusion:primary nocturnal enuresis. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, day time wetting, UTI, soiling, neurological or urological abnormalities, or more | diurnal wetting, faecal than 3 wet nights a week ars (range 6-15 years), and 0.2). 60% had failed to |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | 30 in total Inclusion:primary nocturnal enuresis. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, day time wetting, UTI, soiling, neurological or urological abnormalities, or more during baseline. There were 20 boys, the mean age was 9.8 (SD 2.5) yea the mean number of dry nights at baseline was 2.2 (SD respond to alarms, 23% to desmopressin, 26% to tricycl | diurnal wetting, faecal than 3 wet nights a week ars (range 6-15 years), and 0.2). 60% had failed to |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics | 30 in total Inclusion:primary nocturnal enuresis. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, day time wetting, UTI, soiling, neurological or urological abnormalities, or more during baseline. There were 20 boys, the mean age was 9.8 (SD 2.5) yea the mean number of dry nights at baseline was 2.2 (SD 4 respond to alarms, 23% to desmopressin, 26% to tricycl anticholinergics. | diurnal wetting, faecal than 3 wet nights a week ars (range 6-15 years), and 0.2). 60% had failed to |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment | 30 in total Inclusion:primary nocturnal enuresis. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, day time wetting, UTI, soiling, neurological or urological abnormalities, or more during baseline. There were 20 boys, the mean age was 9.8 (SD 2.5) yea the mean number of dry nights at baseline was 2.2 (SD respond to alarms, 23% to desmopressin, 26% to tricycl anticholinergics. Not reported. | diurnal wetting, faecal than 3 wet nights a week ars (range 6-15 years), and 0.2). 60% had failed to |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being | 30 in total Inclusion:primary nocturnal enuresis. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, day time wetting, UTI, soiling, neurological or urological abnormalities, or more during baseline. There were 20 boys, the mean age was 9.8 (SD 2.5) yea the mean number of dry nights at baseline was 2.2 (SD respond to alarms, 23% to desmopressin, 26% to tricycl anticholinergics. Not reported. Sweden. Group A: oral desmorpessin 200 micro grams Group B: intransal desmopressin 20 micro grams | diurnal wetting, faecal than 3 wet nights a week ars (range 6-15 years), and 0.2). 60% had failed to |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being investigated | 30 in total Inclusion:primary nocturnal enuresis. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, day time wetting, UTI, soiling, neurological or urological abnormalities, or more during baseline. There were 20 boys, the mean age was 9.8 (SD 2.5) yea the mean number of dry nights at baseline was 2.2 (SD respond to alarms, 23% to desmopressin, 26% to tricycl anticholinergics. Not reported. Sweden. Group A: oral desmorpessin 200 micro grams Group B: intransal desmopressin 20 micro grams Group C: placebo | diurnal wetting, faecal than 3 wet nights a week ars (range 6-15 years), and 0.2). 60% had failed to |

| Outcome measures | Mean number of dry nights, and numbers becoming tot | ally dry. | |
|--|---|--|--|
| studied | | | |
| Results | Patients had 2 weeks of placebo then 2 weeks of each treatment | | |
| | During treatments the mean number of dry nights was a desmopressin), 4.1 in group B (intranasal desmopressi (placebo). | | |
| | In group A (oral desmopressin) 2 patients became totally dry, compared to 1 in group B (intranasal desmopressin). | | |
| | At follow up 9 children were totally dry. | | |
| | Side effects: 2 patients had nasal discomfort and 3 con was no difference between placebo and treatment arms | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | 2 patients had nasal discomfort and 3 complained of ep difference between placebo and treatment arms). | bistaxis (there was no | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that desmopresisn is more effective | than placebo. | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age 6-15 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concelament and who was blinded | | |
| Gibb S;Nolan T;South M;No | ad L;Bates G;Vidmar S; | | |
| Evidence against a synergis Ref ID 233 | tic effect of desmopressin with conditioning in the treatm | ent of nocturnal enuresis 2004 | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding | Research grant from Ferring Pharmaceuticals to the Mudoch Children's Reseach Institute. | |
| Number of participant | 207 patients: 101 in group A and 106 in group B. | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: non-responders to desmopressin intranasal spray after 4 weeks of treatment, aged 6-16 years old and who wet the bed at least 2 a week. Exclusion: neuropathic bladder, urinary tract abnormality, cystic fibrosis, allergic rhinitis, UTI in the previous 2 weeks, or taking imipramine or diuretics. | | |
| Patient Characteristics | In group A (desmopressin and alarm) 63% were male, the mean age was 8.5 (1.78 SD), the mean number of wet nights in the preceeding 28 nights was 23.9 (5.05 SD), 45% had a positive family history, 14% had secondary enursis, and 11% had day time wetting. 37% had previously tried alarms and 31% had previously tried medication for treatment of NE. In group B (placebo and alarm) 73% were male, the mean age was 8.3 (1.93 SD), the mean number of wet nights in the preceeding 28 nights was 23.7 (5.83 SD). 42% had a positive family history, 8.5% had secondary enursis, 7.5% had day time wetting. 31% had previously tried alarms and 26% had previously tried medication for treatment of NE. | | |
| Recruitment | Children were recruited from the general paediatric out Children's hospital Melborne. | -patient clinic at the Royal | |

| Setting | At home. | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: 20 - 40 micro grams desmopressin (nasal spray) and alarm (pad and bell) Group B: placebo (nasal spray) and alarm (pad and bell) | | |
| Comparisons | Between group A and B | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 2 months | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children achieving 28 dry nights, wet nights during treatment, drop outs, and adverse events. | | |
| Results | The study ran a 4-week "run in" of 358 patients treated After 4 weeks non responders were randomised to two desmopressin internasal spray and alarm (pad and bell nasal spray and alarm (pad and bell). | groups: group A had | |
| | Number achieving 28 dry nights: In group A (alarm and placebo) 51 out of 106 children a compared to 52 out of 101 children in group B (alarm a | | |
| | Drop out: In group A (alarm and placebo) 17 out of 106 children of of 101 children in group B (alarm and desmopressin). | dropped out compared to 9 out | |
| | Mean number of wet nights: In group A (alarm and placebo) the mean number of wet nights per week was 2.4 (sd1.53) compared to 1.8 (sd 1.13) in group B (alarm and desmopressin). | | |
| | Adverse events: 1 child who received desmopressin with alarm reported headaches. 1 child who received placebo with alarm reported nose bleeds. | | |
| | The authors noted that day wetters were more likely to desmopressin 71% (20 out of 28). | be non-responders to | |
| Safety and adverse effects | In group A 1 child suffered from headaches and in group B 1 child had nose bleeds. | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed a non-signifcant difference in the number of patients achieving 28 dry nights between patients who received alarm with desmopressin and patients who received alarm with placebo. There was also a non-significant difference between the groups for the number of patients who relapsed and the change in number of wet nights. | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Yes - age range was 6-16 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | | |
| Terho P; | | | |
| Desmopressin in nocturnal | enuresis | | |
| Ref ID 390 | | 1991 | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding | Does not say how funded. The author is from the Department of Health, Central School Clinic, Turku, | |

Finland. Desmopressin was provided by Mr Per Wilhelmson, Ferring pharmaceuticals.

| Number of participant | 52 children in a crossover trial. |
|--|---|
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: lifelong nocturnal enuresis; no diurnal wetting; no soiling; no urological or renal pathological conditions. |
| Patient Characteristics | Previous treatment: 52 had night awakening; 52 had fluid restriction; 29 had used tricyclic antidepressants; and 25 had used eneuresis alarms. |
| | Age range: 5-13 years; 35 boys and 17 girls. |
| | Baseline wetting: mean (SD) number of dry nights per week: 0.6 (0.2). |
| | Almost all patients had a family history of wetting. |
| Recruitment | Finnish School children. Does not say how recruited. |
| Setting | Turku, Finland. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | A: intranasal desmopressin (20 micrograms) at bedtime rising to 40 micrograms if no response. B: placebo. Duration of treatment: 2 periods of 3 weeks in each condition. This part of the study was followed by a 3-week observation period. |
| Comparisons | Between treatment and placebo. Crossover trial. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 12 weeks treatment and 3 weeks observation period after the study. |
| Outcome measures studied | Mean number of dry nights per week; amount becoming totally dry during and after treatment; relapse after treatment; and side effects. |
| Results | Mean (SD) number of dry nights per week: |
| | The mean number of wet nights per week in the desmopressin group was 2.6 compared to 4.9 in the placebo group. |
| | All comparisons among the treatment options differed significantly (p<0.01). |
| | 15 (29%) children became totally dry during desmopressin treatment. 5 children remained dry after treatment. 47 patients relapsed after treatment. |
| | Side effects: non reported. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The authors conclude that 'in a selected and severely enuretic population an increase from a mean of 0.6 to a mean of 4.5 dry nights per week with a dose of 20 micrograms desmopressin is regarded as a good response, although a further increase of dry nights would be welcomed.' |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Can not be sure. Description of the methodology is lacking. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The intervention and population are the interest of this guideline. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment |
| 08 March 2010 | Dogo 50 of 210 |

Vogt M;Lehnert T;Till H;Rolle U;

Evaluation of different modes of combined therapy in children with monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis 2009 Sep 17

Ref ID 4119

| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not stated. |
|---|----------|---|---|---|
| Number of partic | cipant | N=43 children (Group A N=24, C | Group B N=19) | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | Inclusion criteria: patients aged enuresis. Exclusion criteria: treatment of e symptoms, or renal disease. | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | 13/43 children achieved dryness Group A (N=16): female/male: 5 (sd 2.93) Group B (N=14): female/male: 7 (sd 3.59) | /11, mean age: 6.7 (5-1 | 3), number of wet nights: 9.81 |
| Recruitment | | Patients attending the outpatien | t clinic were invited to ta | ake part in the study. |
| Setting | | Outpatient clinic | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Desmopressin followed by alarn by desmopressin. Desmopressin 0.2mg (one tablet) for the first tw time for another 10 weeks. | n was administered oral | lly with an initial dose of |
| Comparisons | | Comparisons are made between B (initially treated with alarm). | n Group A (initially treat | ed with desmopressin) Group |
| Length of Study, Follow-up | 1 | Treatment for 12 weeks (desmo weeks further treatment of desm desmopressin for Group B. Fina | opressin/alarm for Gro | up A and alarm/ |
| Outcome measur studied | res | Complete dryness (maximum of nights =response) in accordance Chiildren's Continence Society. | | |
| Results | | After 12 weeks of treatment, 4/2 excluded from the treatment stu | | |
| | | The remaining 30 were included After the 3 months of single ther children in Group A and 11/14 ir | apy and 3 months of co | mbined therapy, 11/16 |
| | | In total, 22/30 (73%) of children girls (P>0.2). Of the children with a normal madryness, whereas only 3/6 children 13/19 of children with nocturnal dry after 6 months (P=0.672). | aximum voided volume, ren with small voided vo | 79% (19/24) achieved Jumes become dry (P=0.3). |
| Safety and adve effects | rse | Not described. | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | tion? | Yes. This study showed that cor children with MNE to achieve dr between Group A and Group B, | yness in 73%. No signif | icant difference was found |
| Effect due to fac study? | tor in | There was an unclear risk of sel of sample study was conducted intervention. | | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | er | | | |
| 00.14 | | | | |

Directly applicable to Direct. guideline population?

Internal Validity

| Grading: 2+ | | Well-conducted case–control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal | | |
|--|--------|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Kosar A;Arikan N; Effectiveness of ox Ref ID 663 | , | drochloride in the treatment of enuresis nocturnaa cli | nical and urodynamic study 1999 | |
| Study Type | Cohort | Funding | Not reported | |
| Number of participant 36 children | | | | |

Inclusion/Exclusion
CriteriaInclusion: failure to respond to 25 mg imipramine for children aged 6 to 8 years and
50 mg imipramine from children aged over 8 years, wet at least 4 nights a week,
stopped taking medication 2 months before the trial, no history of any other urological
problem, and appeared healthy.Patient CharacteristicsChildren had an age range of 6 to 18 years, and the mean baseline number of wet
nights per week was 6.1 (sd 1.4). 3 children had spina bifida, 33.3% had a family
history of bedwetting and 19.4% were wet in day time.RecruitmentAttending clinic.SettingTurkey.

Interventions/ Test/ Oxybutinin. Factor being investigated Comparisons No comparison. Length of Study/ None reported. Follow-up Mean number of wet nights per week. Outcome measures studied The study showed that in children treated with 15 mg daily oxybutynin the mean Results number of wet nights per week was 2.7 (sd 1.3) compared to a baseline wetting of 6.1 (sd 1.4) wet nights per week. The study did not present results for 10 mg daily oxybutynin or 20 mg daily oxybutynin. Safety and adverse None reported effects The study showed oxybutinin reduced the mean number of wet nights per week in Does the study children who had failed to respond to imipramine. answer the question? Yes Effect due to factor in study?

Consistency of
results with other
studies?No other studies.Directly applicable to
guideline population?Children were aged 6 to 18 years.Internal ValidityAdequately addressed

Radvanska E;Kovacs L;Rittig S;

The Role of Bladder Capacity in Antidiuretic and Anticholinergic Treatment for Nocturnal Enuresis

| Ref ID 785 | | | 2006 |
|---|------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Study Type | Cohort | Funding | Slovak Academic Grant Agency |
| Number of partic | cipant | 19 in total | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | ion | The trial was the second part to a study Inclusion: non responders (less than 50% improvement micrograms intranasal desmopressin. Primary monosyn nights per week, aged 5 to 18 years, no history of urolo time incontinence, and no constipation. | mptomatic NE, wet at least 3 |
| Patient Characte | ristics | The mean age was 10.1 (sd 2.1) years, the mean numb before desmopressin treatment was 5.2 (sd 1.6) after d baseline mean number of wet nights per week was 4 (s | esmopressin treatment the |
| Recruitment | | Attending enuresis outpatients clinic. | |
| Setting | | University Children's Hospital, Bratislava | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Desmopressin 20 micrograms intranasal and 5 mg oxyl | butynin twice daily. |
| Comparisons | | None. | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 1 | Not reported. | |
| Outcome measur studied | es | Mean number of wet nights. | |
| Results | | 2 weeks of treatment | |
| | | The mean number of wet nights after 2 weeks of treatm week. Before treatment but after 2 weeks of desmopres wet nights per week was 4 (sd 1.2), $p < 0.001$. | |
| Safety and adver effects | rse | Not reported | |
| Does the study answer the ques | tion? | The study showed children resistant to desmopressin c desmopressin and oxybutynin to see a reduction in the | |
| Effect due to fac study? | tor in | Yes | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | er | No other studies | |
| Directly applicab guideline popula | | Mean age of children 10.1 years | |
| Internal Validity | | Well covered | |
| Serel TA;Perk H;Ko | yuncuogl | u HR;Kosar A;Celik K;Deniz N; | |
| Acupuncture therap comment] | y in the r | nanagement of persistent primary nocturnal enuresispr | eliminary results.[see |
| Ref ID 576 | | | 2001 |
| Study Type | Cohort | Funding | Not reported |

| Number of participant | 50 patients | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: wet at least 3 nights a week, and failed treatment with desmopressin, imipramine or oxybutinin. Exclusion: history of UTI, bladder dysfunction, and other medical problems. | | |
| Patient Characteristics | 33/50 were male, and the mean age was 10.3 years (range 9 to 18 years). | | |
| Recruitment | Seen between January 1997 and April 1999. | | |
| Setting | Turkey | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Acupuncture. | | |
| Comparisons | No comparison. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 13 months follow up. | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Complete dryness | | |
| Results | Children had a 30 minute acupuncture treatment with disposable acupuncture needles on 10 consecutive days in a month. The study showed that within 6 months of starting treatment 43 out of 50 (86%) were completely dry, 2 out of 50 (4%) were 80% dry, 5 (10%) had relapsed and their therapy was intensified to produce a satisfactory response. After 13 months 40 patients were available for follow up. 35 of these were dry, 7 continued to have acupuncture of 2 days each month and were at least 80% dry. 3 patients had showed success and had started other treatments. There were no side effects. | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that treatment with acupuncture could lead to complete dryness in children who had failed treatment with desmopressin, imipramine or oxybutinin. | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had an age range of 9 to 18 years | | |
| Internal Validity | Poorly addressed | | |
| Wikstrom S;Tapper J; | | | |
| Are repeated desmopressin | treatment attempts successful? | | |
| Ref ID 872 | 1997 | | |
| Study Type Cohor | t Funding Not reported | | |
| Number of participant | 96 patients | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged 5 to 8 years when first attempted treatment; no urological history, UTI, structural abnormality; no daytime incontinence; no urological, gastrointestinal, renal or cardiovascular disease; and have tried 3 previous treatments with the most recent being desmopressin. | | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 64 of 219 | | |

| Patient Characteristics | 44 were male. The mean age was 6 years when children first tried treatment. The study age range was 7 to 18 years. 79% had a positive family history. 96% were wet 6 to 7 nights a week and 28% had only tried desmopressin. 71% had tried alarms and 58% had tried alarms with desmopressin. |
|--|---|
| Recruitment | Patients treated for primary NE between 1983 and 1994. |
| Setting | Childrens Hospital, University of Helsinki Finland |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Intranasal desmopressin 20-40 micrograms at bedtime. |
| Comparisons | Comparisons were made between desmopressin alone, alternately or in combination with an alarm device. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 3 to 6 months |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children who became dry |
| Results | Children were given 20 to 40 micro grams intranasal desmopressin at bedtime for 4 to 6 weeks. If patients responded the treatment was continued for 3 months using the dose the child responded at. If the child still dry after 3 months the treatment was continued for 3 to 6 months, but gradually reduced in dosage to 10 micro grams until the child was dry for 3 to 6 months. |
| | If the child did not respond to desmopressin after 4 to 6 weeks, children who had partially responded were given an alarm as well for 12 weeks, those who had not responded were taken off desmopressin and given an alarm instead for 12 weeks. In some children who failed treatment was stopped for 6 to 9 months and then started again. |
| | The study showed in children treated with desmopressin alone 14 out of 28 (50%) were cured, 10 out of 28 (36%) were dry when on desmopressin and 4 (14%) were still wet. In children treated with desmopressin and alarm 36 out of 68 (53%) were cured, 15 out of 68 (22%) were dry on treatment and 17 out of 68 (25%) were still wet. |
| | The study noted children over the age of 14 years thought desmopressin alone was the only acceptable form of treatment. |
| | The study did a sub group analysis on age to show that in children aged 7 to 8 years, 7 out of 10 (70%) were cured, 1 out of 10 (10%) were dry with desmopressin and 2 out of 10 (20%) were still wet. For children aged 9 to 13 years 35 out of 67 (52%) were cured, 15 out of 67 (22%) were dry with desmopressin and 17 out of 67 (25%) were still wet. For children aged 14 to 18 years, 8 out of 19 (42%) were cured, 9 out of 19 (47%) were dry with desmopressin and 2 out of 19 (11%) were still wet. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | Study shows children who have not responded to desmopressin during the first 3 attempts of treatment for nocturnal enuresis may respond to another attempt of demsopressin but most chilldren require the addition of an alarm as they did not respond to desmopressin. The study noted children over the age of 14 years thought desmopressin alone was the only acceptable form of treatment. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies consider this treatment. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had a mean age of 6 years. |
| Internal Validity | Adequately addressed |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 65 of 219 |

Question: In children and young people with nocturnal enuresis, how does patient or parent/carer choice over treatment intervention influence treatment outcomes?

Ref ID 35

High-quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a very low risk of bias

2007

Lottmann H;Froeling F;Alloussi S;El-Radhi AS;Rittig S;Riis A;Persson BE;

A randomised comparison of oral desmopressin lyophilisate (MELT) and tablet formulations in children and adolescents with primary nocturnal enuresis

Study Type Randomised Controlled Trial Funding Ferring pharmaceutical Number of participant 221 in total Inclusion: aged 5-15 years and primary NE. Inclusion/Exclusion Exclusion: daytime urgency, frequency (>7 micturitions during day time), voiding Criteria postponement, infrequency (< 3 voiding during daytime), the use of nonpharmacological treatment for NE in previous 60 days, organic urological disease, day time wetting, diabetes insipidus, UTI, clinically significant renal, hepatic, gastrointestinal, pulmonary, cardiovascular, endocrine, or neurological disease. The mean age was 9.6 (SD 2.4) years and 71.6% were male. 34.4% were aged 5-8 **Patient Characteristics** years, 40.8% were aged 9-11 years 24.8% and were aged 12-15 years. Recruitment Not reported. 26 centres in Europe. Setting 120 or 240 micrograms desmopresisn melt and 0.2 or 2X0.2 mg desmopresisn tablet. Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Between desmopressin melts and desmopressin tablets. Length of Study/ 6 weeks Follow-up **Outcome measures** Patient preference. studied Results 26 centres in France, Germany, the Netherlands, UK, Sweden, Denmark, Norway, Finland and Iceland 3 weeks of each treatment. The study did ITT analysis. The study showed: 55.7% preferred the MELT formulation (95% CI: 48.7-62.7), compared with 44.3% who preferred the tablet formulation (95% CI: 37.5-51.3%; p=0.112). Treatment preference was strongly correlated with age (p=0.006), but not with treatment sequence (p=0.54) or dose (p=0.08). For patients aged <12 years (n=160), a statistically significant preference for the MELT formulation (60.6%; 95% CI: 52.6-68.2% and p=0.009) was reported. In the 5-8 years age group (n=72) and the 9-11 years (n=89), preference for MELT approached significance. 6 out of 109 patients in the melt desmopressin group had headaches compared to 0 Safety and adverse out of 109 in the tablet desmopressin group effects 3 out of 109 patients in the melt desmopressin group had diarrhoa compared to 0 out of 109 in the tablet desmopressin group 3 out of 109 patients in the melt desmopressin group had viral gastroenteritis compared to 0 out of 109 in the tablet desmopressin group

| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed: 55.7% preferred the MELT formulation, compared with 44.3% who preferred the tablet formulation. Treatment preference was strongly correlated with age, but not with treatment sequence or dose. |
|--|--|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range 5-15 years. |
| Internal Validity | cross over trial |

Well-conducted case–control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal

Diaz SD;Chaviano AH;Maizels M;Yerkes EB;Cheng EY;Losavio J;Porten SP;Sullivan C;Zebold KF;Hagerty J;Kaplan WE;

Office Management of Pediatric Primary Nocturnal Enuresis: A Comparison of Physician Advised and Parent Chosen Alternative Treatment Outcomes

Ref ID 686 2007

| Study Type | Cohort | Funding Not reported. | | |
|---|---------|---|--|--|
| Number of partic | ipant | 19 patients: n=76 in the physician treatment plan group and n=43 in the parent reatment plan group. | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusi Criteria | ion | Inclusion: Primary NE, wetting at night during sleep during any 6 month interval without any known causative problem, more than 2 wet nights per week. Exclusion: coexisting anatomical urological problems (vesicouretral reflux or posterior urethral valves), dysfunctional elimination syndrome or urinary tract infection within a year before evaluation, and day-time wetting. | | |
| Patient Character | ristics | 85 males and 34 females. The mean age (sd) was 10 ± 3 . | | |
| Recruitment | | Not reported | | |
| Setting | | Children's Memorial Hospital, Chicago Illinois USA | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | st/ | Physician treatment plan (n=76) Parent treatment plan (n=43) The physician treatment plans (76 patients) included an alarm, age appropriate incentives to reward dryness, an elimination diet to address possible underlying food sensitivities, oxybutinin to address small functional bladder capacity using a 3 times daily dose when functional bladder capacity is decreased according to the home diary, oxybutinin at a nightly dose (based on empirical clinical experience), desmopressin prescribed at a dose of 0.1mg at bedtime for children 8 to 13 years, and finally a bowel program if there was constipation. The parent chosen plans (43 children) included the personalised choice of single or combined use of a moisture alarm with age appropriate inducements, oxybutinin/desmopressin according to the presented dose scheme, an elimination diet and/or a bowel program. | | |
| Comparisons | | Between physician and parent chosen plans. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | 12 weeks. | | |
| Outcome measure studied | es | Differences in physician advised treatment and parent chosen treatment | | |
| Results | | Time to Primary Nocturnal Enuresis (PNE) remission using physician advised treatment was significantly sooner than with parent chosen therapy (25th percentile 2 vs. 10 weeks). At the end of 12 weeks the probability of remission for the physician advised treatment group was significantly higher than for the parent chosen alternative treatment group (88% vs. 29%, p<0.00001). | | |
| Safety and adver effects | se | None reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the quest | tion? | The study showed physician advised treatment was more effective than parent chosen treatment. | | |

| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
|--|--|
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Not clear. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had a mean age of 10 (sd 3) years |
| Internal Validity | |

Question: What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of dry bed training for children and young people under 19 years old who have nocturnal enuresis?

Grading: 1+

Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias

Bennett GA;Walkden VJ;Curtis RH;Burns LE;Rees.J.;Gosling JA;

| Pad-and-buzzer training, dry Ref ID 360 | <i>i-</i> bed training, and stop-start training in the tr | reatment of p | rimary nocturnal enuresis 1985 |
|--|--|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | None reported |
| Number of participant | 40 in total: 9 in group A (pub and buzzer tra 10 in group C (dry bed training), 9 in group | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary NE, not dry for more that night baseline, and negligible day time wet Exclusion: encopresis, previous behavioura | ting. | |
| Patient Characteristics | 63% were boys, the mean age was 8.5 (3.2 years. | 2 SD) years, | and the age range was 5-12 |
| Recruitment | Referred from GP. | | |
| Setting | Treatment administered at home, Rochdale | e UK. | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm (pad and buzzer) Group B: stop-start training (sphincter mus Group C: DBT with alarm Group D: Control group - waiting list were g | | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 0 months | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Numbers achieving 14 consecutive dry nights, mean number of wet nights at end of treatment, and drop outs. | | |
| Results | Dry bed training included: waking schedule practice and cleanliness training. | e, retention co | ontrol training, positive |
| | Stop start training was sphincter muscle ex | kercises | |
| | 12 weeks treatment | | |
| | Results: Dry for 14 consecutive nights: In group A (alarm) 4 out of 9 children, bec 12 in group B (stop start training), 5 out of and 0 out of 9 children, in group D (contro | 10 children, | |
| | Drop out: 32 children in total dropped out. In group A to 11 children in group B (stop start training and 3 children in group D (control). All drop before treatment was started | g) 10 childrer | n in group C (DBT with alarm) |
| | Mean number of wet nights: The mean number of wet nights per week a 1 (SD 1.95) compared to 3.25 (SD 3.55) in for the dry bed training group and 5.15 (SD | the stop star | t training group, 1.4 (SD 4.65) |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | |

| Does the study answer the question? | Both alarm alone and DBT with alarm gave good results for achieving 14 dry nights (44% and 50%) and were more effective than the stop start training and no treatment. There was no significant difference in the number of drop outs in each group. | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar results with other studies. | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Yes the age range was 5-12 years old. | | | |
| Internal Validity | High drop out rate, unclear allocation concealment | | | |
| Bollard J;Nettelbeck T;Roxbe | ee L; | | | |
| Dry-bed training for childhoo Ref ID 1754 | d bedwetting: a comparison of group with individually administered parent instruction 1982 | | | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding | | | |
| Number of participant | 30 in total: 10 in each group (Group A: DBT with alarm, Group B: DBT without alarm, and Group C: Waiting list) | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: wet at least 1 night a week, no underlying pathology, and no current treatment. Exclusion: organic causes of NE. | | | |
| Patient Characteristics | 18 were male. In group A (DBT and alarm) the mean age was 8 years and 5 months, the mean baseline wetting was 4.9 nights per week. In group B (DBT without alarm) the mean age was 9 years and 4 months, the mean baseline wetting was 5.0 nights per week. In group C (waiting list) the mean age was 9 years and 5 months, the mean baseline wetting was 5.3 nights per week. | | | |
| Recruitment | Selected from outpatients list, Adelaide Children's Hospital, Australia. | | | |
| Setting | Outpatients, Adelaide Children's Hospital. | | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: DBT with alarm Group B: DBT without alarm Group C: Waiting list | | | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 3 months of follow up. | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children achieving 14 consecutive dry nights, mean number of wet nights per week in the last week of treatment, and number of children relapsing or failed. | | | |
| Results | Results from Cochrane review, presented in graphs in paper. | | | |
| | Dry bed training included: waking schedule, retention control training, positive practice and cleanliness training and weekly meetings for parents and children. | | | |
| | Treatment was until 14 consecutive dry nights were achieved or 8 weeks. | | | |
| | 14 consecutive dry nights: In Group A (DBT with alarm) 9 out of 10 children achieved 14 consecutive dry nights compared to 2 out of 10 in Group B (DBT without alarm) and 0 out of 10 in Group C (waiting list). | | | |
| | Mean number of wet nights per week in the last week of treatment: In Group A (DBT with alarm) the mean number of wet nights was 0.2 compared to | | | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 72 of 219 | | | |
| | 3.25 in Group B (DBT without alarm) and 5.3 in Group C (waiting list). |
|--|---|
| | Number of children relapsing or failed: In Group A (DBT with alarm) 3 out of 10 children relapsed compared to 4 out of 10 in Group B (DBT without alarm) and there were no results for Group C (waiting list). |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study shows children treated with DTB and alarm were more likely to achieve 14 consecutive dry nights and have fewer wet nights compared to children treated with DBT without alarm or no treatment. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar results with other studies comparing DBT without an alarm to a control group. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had mean ages of 8 years and 5 months to 9 years and 5 months. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment |
| Nawaz S;Griffiths P;Tappin | D; |
| Parent-administered modifie alarm conditioning when de | ed dry-bed training for childhood nocturnal enuresis: Evidence for superiority over urine- livery factors are controlled |
| Ref ID 54 | 2002 |
| Study Type Rando | omised Controlled Trial Funding None reported. |
| Number of participant | 36 in total: 12 in each of the three groups. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: functional NE defined in DSM-IV (aged over 5 years, wet at least 2 times a week for 3 months, NE not due to primary medical or physiological pathology), aged between 7-12 years, attending a mainstream school, wetting 4 or more nights a week, no forseen domestic disruption during treatment time, and readiness to be involved in trial. Exclusion: diurnal enuresis or encopresis, bedwetting secondary to organic or |
| | psychiatric disorder or those unwilling to cooperate. |
| Patient Characteristics | |
| Patient Characteristics | psychiatric disorder or those unwilling to cooperate. In group A (DBT with alarm) the mean age was 9.93 years (1.81 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.58 (1.31 SD) and the |
| Patient Characteristics | psychiatric disorder or those unwilling to cooperate. In group A (DBT with alarm) the mean age was 9.93 years (1.81 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.58 (1.31 SD) and the mean deprivation category (0-7) was 4.67 (2.15 SD). In group B (alarm) the mean age was 9.93 years (1.99 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.50 (1.45 SD) and the mean |
| Patient Characteristics | psychiatric disorder or those unwilling to cooperate. In group A (DBT with alarm) the mean age was 9.93 years (1.81 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.58 (1.31 SD) and the mean deprivation category (0-7) was 4.67 (2.15 SD). In group B (alarm) the mean age was 9.93 years (1.99 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.50 (1.45 SD) and the mean deprivation category (0-7) was 4.75 (1.91 SD). In group C (control) the mean age was 9.84 years (1.84 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.92 (1.08 SD) and the mean |
| | psychiatric disorder or those unwilling to cooperate. In group A (DBT with alarm) the mean age was 9.93 years (1.81 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.58 (1.31 SD) and the mean deprivation category (0-7) was 4.67 (2.15 SD). In group B (alarm) the mean age was 9.93 years (1.99 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.50 (1.45 SD) and the mean deprivation category (0-7) was 4.75 (1.91 SD). In group C (control) the mean age was 9.84 years (1.84 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.92 (1.08 SD) and the mean deprivation category (0-7) was 5.75 (1.71 SD). From nine health centres (GPs, GP nurses, health visitors, community |
| Recruitment | psychiatric disorder or those unwilling to cooperate. In group A (DBT with alarm) the mean age was 9.93 years (1.81 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.58 (1.31 SD) and the mean deprivation category (0-7) was 4.67 (2.15 SD). In group B (alarm) the mean age was 9.93 years (1.99 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.50 (1.45 SD) and the mean deprivation category (0-7) was 4.75 (1.91 SD). In group C (control) the mean age was 9.84 years (1.84 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.92 (1.08 SD) and the mean deprivation category (0-7) was 5.75 (1.71 SD). From nine health centres (GPs, GP nurses, health visitors, community paediatricians). |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being | psychiatric disorder or those unwilling to cooperate. In group A (DBT with alarm) the mean age was 9.93 years (1.81 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.58 (1.31 SD) and the mean deprivation category (0-7) was 4.67 (2.15 SD). In group B (alarm) the mean age was 9.93 years (1.99 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.50 (1.45 SD) and the mean deprivation category (0-7) was 4.75 (1.91 SD). In group C (control) the mean age was 9.84 years (1.84 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.92 (1.08 SD) and the mean deprivation category (0-7) was 5.75 (1.71 SD). From nine health centres (GPs, GP nurses, health visitors, community paediatricians). Scotland, UK, treatment administered at home. Group A: DBT with alarm Group B: alarm |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | psychiatric disorder or those unwilling to cooperate. In group A (DBT with alarm) the mean age was 9.93 years (1.81 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.58 (1.31 SD) and the mean deprivation category (0-7) was 4.67 (2.15 SD). In group B (alarm) the mean age was 9.93 years (1.99 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.50 (1.45 SD) and the mean deprivation category (0-7) was 4.75 (1.91 SD). In group C (control) the mean age was 9.84 years (1.84 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.92 (1.08 SD) and the mean deprivation category (0-7) was 5.75 (1.71 SD). From nine health centres (GPs, GP nurses, health visitors, community paediatricians). Scotland, UK, treatment administered at home. Group A: DBT with alarm Group B: alarm Group C: control group - no treatment |

| Outcome measures studied | Numbers achieving 14 consecutive dry nights, mean number of wet nights, and numbers relapsing. |
|--|--|
| Results | Dry bed training included: waking schedule, retention control training, positive practice and cleanliness training. |
| | Patients were treated for 16 weeks or until dry Dry for 14 consecutive nights: In group A (DBT with alarm) 8 out of 12 children (67%) became dry for 14 nights compared to 3 out of 12 children (25%) in group B (alarm) and 1 out of 12 children (8%) in group C (control). This difference was significant (p<0.01). |
| | Mean number of wet nights: The mean number of wet nights per week at the end of treatment was 0.83 (sd 1.4) for children who had DBT with an alarm; for children who had an alarm the mean number of wet nights was 3.25 (sd 2.67) and for children in the control group (waiting list) the mean number of wet nights was 5 (sd 2.26). |
| | Relapse: At 3 month follow up, no children had relapsed. At 6 month follow up 1 out of 4 children in group A (DBT with alarm) and 1 out of 9 children in group B (alarm) had relapsed), both children were wetting 3 nights a week. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that DBT with alarm was significantly more effective at achieving 14 dry nights compared to alarm alone and no treatment. The study also showed that DBT with alarm had a greater reduction in the mean number of wet nights compared to alarm alone and no treatment. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar results to other studies comparing DBT with alarm alarm to alarms and control group. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The age range was 7-12 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment. |

Bollard J;Nettelbeck T;

| A comparison of dry Ref ID 371 | -bed tra | ining and standard urine-alarm conditioning | treatment of | childhood bedwetting 1981 |
|---|----------|--|--|---|
| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Research undertaken as part requirement for the degree of doctor of philosophy. |
| Number of partic | ipant | 120 children: 82 males and 38 females. 20 in each of the 6 groups. | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusi Criteria | ion | Inclusion: through medical examination, wet at least 1 night a week, and no other treatment during study. Exclusion: organic causes of NE. | | |
| Patient Character | ristics | In group A (DBT with therapist in home) h male, and the baseline mean number of w In group B (DBT with therapist in hospital) male, and the baseline mean number of w In group C (DBT with parents as therapist 16 were male, and the baseline mean nur In group D (DBT with parents as therapist 8.6 years and 14 were male, and the base In group E (alarm) had a mean age of 8.8 mean number of wet nights was 6.0. In group F (waiting list) had a mean age of baseline mean number of wet nights was | vet nights was had a mean vet nights was in home) had nber of wet nig in home with eline mean nu years and 14 f 8.1 years an | 5.8. age of 8.11 years and 13 were 5.2. a mean age of 9.7 years and ghts was 6.0. but alarm) had a mean age of mber of wet nights was 5.7. were male, and the baseline |
| Recruitment | | Children who were outpatients of the Adelaide Children's Hospital. | | |
| Setting | | Outpatient service of Adelaide Children's | Hospital | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | st/ | Group A: DBT with therapist in home Group B: DBT with therapist in hospital Group C: DBT with parents as therapist in Group D: DBT with parents as therapist in Group E: Alarm Group F: Waiting list | | t alarm |
| Comparisons | | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | Followup at 3, 6 and 12 months. | | |
| Outcome measure studied | es | Number achieving 14 consecutive dry nig the end of week 20, and number of relaps | | nber of wet nights per week at |
| Results | | Dry bed training included: waking schedul practice and cleanliness training. | le, retention co | ontrol training, positive |
| | | Treatment was until patient achieved 14 c | onsecutive dr | y nights or for 20 weeks. |
| | | 14 consecutive dry nights: In group A (DBT with therapist in home) 2 nights compared to 20 out of 20 in group 20 in group C (DBT with parents as therap with parents as therapist in home without 2 out of 20 in group F (waiting list). | B (DBT with th pist in home), | nerapist in hospital), 20 out of 5 out of 20 in group D (DBT |
| | | Mean number of wet nights per week at th In group A (DBT with therapist in home) th compared to 0 in group B (DBT with thera | ne mean numb | per of wet nights was 0 |
| 08 March 2010 | | Page 75 of 219 | | |

| | parents as therapist in home), 3.8 in group D (DBT with parents as therapist in home without alarm), 0.6 in group E (alarm) and 4.4 in group F (waiting list). |
|--|--|
| | Number of children who relapsed: In group A (DBT with therapist in home) 5 out of 20 relapsed compared to 6 out of 20 in group B (DBT with therapist in hospital), 4 out of 20 in group C (DBT with parents as therapist in home), 2 out of 5 in group D (DBT with parents as therapist in home without alarm), 6 out of 16 in group E (alarm) and 2 out of 2 in group F (waiting list). |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | Study showed children treated wtith DBT and an alarm were more likely to achieve 14 consecutive dry nights and have fewer wet nights compared to children treated with DBT and no alarm, alarm or no treatment. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar results with other studies comparing DBT with an alarm to an alarm and control groups. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had mean ages from 8.1 to 9.7. |
| Internal Validity | No blinding, unclear allocation concealment. |
| Keating JCJ;Butz RA;Burk | e E;Heimberg RG; |
| Dry bed training without a | urine alarm: lack of effect of setting and therapist contact with child |
| Ref ID 467 | 1983 |
| Study Type Rand | domised Controlled Trial Funding |
| Number of participant | 30 in total: 7 in group A (DBT with hospital training for parents and child), 9 in group |
| | B (DBT with home training for parent and child), 7 in group C (DBT with hospital training for parents), 7 in group D (waiting list). |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | |
| | training for parents), 7 in group D (waiting list). Include: diurnally continent, child must be able to follow intructions, wet at least 50% of nights Exclude: organic causes of NE, day time wetting. |
| Criteria | training for parents), 7 in group D (waiting list). Include: diurnally continent, child must be able to follow intructions, wet at least 50% of nights Exclude: organic causes of NE, day time wetting. The mean age is 8.1 years, the age range was 4 to 14 years. Children were wet at |
| Criteria Patient Characteristics | training for parents), 7 in group D (waiting list). Include: diurnally continent, child must be able to follow intructions, wet at least 50% of nights Exclude: organic causes of NE, day time wetting. The mean age is 8.1 years, the age range was 4 to 14 years. Children were wet at least 50% of nights. |
| Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment | training for parents), 7 in group D (waiting list). Include: diurnally continent, child must be able to follow intructions, wet at least 50% of nights Exclude: organic causes of NE, day time wetting. The mean age is 8.1 years, the age range was 4 to 14 years. Children were wet at least 50% of nights. Newspaper adverts, referred from friends, paediatric urologist, and psychologist. |
| Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being | training for parents), 7 in group D (waiting list). Include: diurnally continent, child must be able to follow intructions, wet at least 50% of nights Exclude: organic causes of NE, day time wetting. The mean age is 8.1 years, the age range was 4 to 14 years. Children were wet at least 50% of nights. Newspaper adverts, referred from friends, paediatric urologist, and psychologist. Hospital or home, Albany, USA. Group A: DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents and child; 7 children Group B: DBT (no alarm) with home training for parent and child; 9 children Group C: DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents; 7 children |
| Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ | training for parents), 7 in group D (waiting list). Include: diurnally continent, child must be able to follow intructions, wet at least 50% of nights Exclude: organic causes of NE, day time wetting. The mean age is 8.1 years, the age range was 4 to 14 years. Children were wet at least 50% of nights. Newspaper adverts, referred from friends, paediatric urologist, and psychologist. Hospital or home, Albany, USA. Group A: DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents and child; 7 children Group B: DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents; 7 children Group C: DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents; 7 children Group D: waiting list; 7 children |
| Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons | training for parents), 7 in group D (waiting list). Include: diurnally continent, child must be able to follow intructions, wet at least 50% of nights Exclude: organic causes of NE, day time wetting. The mean age is 8.1 years, the age range was 4 to 14 years. Children were wet at least 50% of nights. Newspaper adverts, referred from friends, paediatric urologist, and psychologist. Hospital or home, Albany, USA. Group A: DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents and child; 7 children Group B: DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents, 7 children Group D: waiting list; 7 children Between treatment groups. |
| Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures | training for parents), 7 in group D (waiting list). Include: diurnally continent, child must be able to follow intructions, wet at least 50% of nights Exclude: organic causes of NE, day time wetting. The mean age is 8.1 years, the age range was 4 to 14 years. Children were wet at least 50% of nights. Newspaper adverts, referred from friends, paediatric urologist, and psychologist. Hospital or home, Albany, USA. Group A: DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents and child; 7 children Group B: DBT (no alarm) with home training for parent and child; 9 children Group C: DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents; 7 children Group D: waiting list; 7 children Between treatment groups. 5 months follow up. |
| Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied | training for parents), 7 in group D (waiting list). Include: diurnally continent, child must be able to follow intructions, wet at least 50% of nights Exclude: organic causes of NE, day time wetting. The mean age is 8.1 years, the age range was 4 to 14 years. Children were wet at least 50% of nights. Newspaper adverts, referred from friends, paediatric urologist, and psychologist. Hospital or home, Albany, USA. Group A: DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents and child; 7 children Group B: DBT (no alarm) with home training for parents, 7 children Group C: DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents; 7 children Group D: waiting list; 7 children Between treatment groups. 5 months follow up. Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights, mean number of wet nights in the final week of treatment, number of children who relapsed. Dry bed training included: waking schedule, retention control training, positive |
| Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied | training for parents), 7 in group D (waiting list). Include: diurnally continent, child must be able to follow intructions, wet at least 50% of nights Exclude: organic causes of NE, day time wetting. The mean age is 8.1 years, the age range was 4 to 14 years. Children were wet at least 50% of nights. Newspaper adverts, referred from friends, paediatric urologist, and psychologist. Hospital or home, Albany, USA. Group A: DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents and child; 7 children Group B: DBT (no alarm) with home training for parent and child; 9 children Group C: DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents; 7 children Group D: waiting list; 7 children Between treatment groups. 5 months follow up. Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights, mean number of wet nights in the final week of treatment, number of children who relapsed. Dry bed training included: waking schedule, retention control training, positive practice and cleanliness training. |

| | Number of children achieving 14 consecutive dry nights: In group A (DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents and child) 7 out of 7 children achieved 14 consecutive dry nights compared to 5 out of 9 in group B (DBT (no alarm) with home training for parent and child) and 6 out of 7 in group C (DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents). No results for group D (waiting list). |
|--|--|
| | Mean number of wet nights in final week of treatment: In group A (DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents and child) children had a mean number of wet nights of 2.7 compared to 2.5 in group B (DBT (no alarm) with home training for parent and child), 1.9 in group C (DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents) and 2 in group D (waiting list). |
| | Relapse: In group A (DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents and child) 2 out of 7 children relapsed compared to 2 out of 5 in group B (DBT (no alarm) with home training for parent and child) and 2 out of 6 in group C (DBT (no alarm) with hospital training for parents). No results for group D (waiting list). |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | Study shows children treated with dry bed training where training is given in a hospital were more likely to achieve 14 conseuctive dry nights compared to no treatment or training at home. Children who had DBT where only parents had training in a hospital had fewer wet nights per week at the end of treatment compared to other dry bed training or no treatment. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Study shows similar results to other studies comparing DBT with an alarm and DBT without an alarm and waiting list group. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had an age range of 4 to 14 years. |
| Internal Validity | No blinding, unclear allocation concealment. |
| / reter | is the clinical and cost effectiveness of bladder training ntion control training for children and young people |

under 19 years old who have nocturnal enuresis?

Grading: 1+

Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias

Hamano S;Yamanishi T;Igarashi T;Ito H;Murakami S;

Functional bladder capacity as predictor of response to desmopressin and retention control training in monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis

 Ref ID 1413
 2000

 Study Type
 Randomised Controlled Trial

 Funding
 Not reported

Number of participant 114 in total 54 in group A (desmopressin), 60 in group B (retention control training) Inclusion/Exclusion Inclusion: primary monosymptomatic NE, aged 5 to 15 years, and wet at least 4 times a week Criteria Exclusion: organic causes of NE or UTI. **Patient Characteristics** 88 out of 114 were male. In group A (desmopressin) the mean age was 9.2 (sd 2.2) years. The mean baseline wetting was 6.8 (sd 0.7) nights a week, 88.9% were wet every night and 67% (sd 24) had normal bladder capacity. In group B (retention control training) the mean age was 9.4 (sd 2.3) years. The mean baseline wetting was 6.7 (sd 0.9) nights a week, 85% were wet every night and 59% (sd 22) had normal bladder capacity. Presented to clinic between April 1993 and October 1998. Recruitment Settina Chiba, Japan Interventions/ Test/ Group A: desmopressin 5 micrograms intranasally increasing in 5 microgram increments up to 20 micrograms if no response Factor being Group B: retention control training where children were asked once a day to avoid investigated voiding for a long as possible to expand bladder capacity. The amount voided was recorded. Both groups also had fluid restriction at bedtime. Comparisons Between groups A and B Length of Study/ 2 weeks of follow up Follow-up **Outcome measures** Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights. Adverse events. studied

Results Treatment was for 12 weeks

Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights: In group A (desmopressin) 21 out of 54 children achieved 14 consecutive dry nights compared to 14 out of 60 in group B (retention control training)

Adverse events: In group A (desmopressin) 2 out of 54 children had nasal discomfort, no patients in group B (retention control training) had nasal discomfort.

Relapse rates: In group A (desmopressin) 17 out of 21 children relapsed compared to 5 out fo 14 patients in group B (retention control training).

Safety and adverse
effectsIn group A (desmopressin) 2 out of 54 children had nasal discomfort and no patients
in group B (retention control training) had nasal discomfort.

Does the study The study showed that more children treated with desmopressin achieved 14 consecutive dry nights compared to children treated with retention control training.

Effect due to factor in Yes study?

| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 5 to 15 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment |

Grading: 1-

Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias*

Bennett GA;Walkden VJ;Curtis RH;Burns LE;Rees.J.;Gosling JA;

| | , | | |
|--|--|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Pad-and-buzzer training, dr Ref ID 360 | y-bed training, and stop-start training in the t | reatment of p | rimary nocturnal enuresis 1985 |
| Study Type Rando | omised Controlled Trial Funding None reported | | |
| Number of participant | 40 in total: 9 in group A (pub and buzzer tr 10 in group C (dry bed training), 9 in group | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary NE, not dry for more than 4 weeks, at least 6 wet night during 14 night baseline, negligible day time wetting. Exclusion: encopresis, previous behavioural intervention, or gross psychopathology. | | |
| Patient Characteristics | 63% were boys, th mean age was 8.5 (3.2 SD) years, and the age range was 5-12 years. | | |
| Recruitment | Referred from GP. | | |
| Setting | Treated at home, Rochdale UK. | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm (pad and buzzer) Group B: stop-start training (sphincter mus Group C: DBT with alarm Group D: Control group - waiting list were | | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 0 months | | |
| Outcome measures studied | 14 consecutive dry nights, mean dry nights | s at follow up, | drop out |
| Results | 12 weeks treatment | | |
| | Results: Dry for 14 consecutive nights: In group A (alarm) 4 out of 9 children, bec 12 in group B (stop startr trinaing), 5 out o and 0 out of 9 children, in group D (contro | of 10 children, | |
| | Drop out: 32 children in total dropped out. In group A compared to 11 out of 21 children in group C (DBT with alarm) and 3 children in group | B (stop start | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Both alarm alone and DBT with alarm gave (44% and 50%) and were more effective th treatment. There was no significant differe group. | nan the stop s | start training and no |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Yes the age range was 5-12 years old. | | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 80 of 219 | | |

| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| Harris LS;Purohit AP; | | | |
| Bladder training and enures Ref ID 499 | s: a controlled trial | | 1977 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 18 in total, 9 in Group A (retention control tr | raining), 9 in | Group B (waiting list). |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: recruited form newspaper adverts 13 years. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, day time infection, diabetes, anatomical defects, or c | wetting, men | tal deficiency, urinary |
| Patient Characteristics | In Group A (retention control training) 5 out of 9 were male, the mean age was 9.2 years, the baseline wetting was 3.2 nights a week. In Group B (waiting list) 7 out of 9 were male, the mean age was 8.8 years, the baseline wetting was 5 nights a week. | | |
| Recruitment | Newspaper advert. | | |
| Setting | Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Can | ada | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: retention control training. 5 nights Retention control training - on the first day time to void was recorded as was the volum encouraged to hold for longer, and were giv The child was then taught that the longer the the child understood this they were given po passed. Points were exchanged for toys an Group B: waiting list | the child wa ne voided. A ven 1 point fo ney held the oints based | s asked to drink fluid and the fter this children were or each extra 2 minutes held. more urine the passed. Once on the amount of urine |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 9 weeks of follow up. | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Mean number of wet nights at the end of tre | eatment. | |
| Results | Mean number of wet nights per week at the In Group A (retention control training) the m 2.6 compared to 5 nights in Group B (waitin | nean numbei | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that children treated with nights per week after treatment compared t | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 5-13 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blindir | ng | |
| lester A;Marchesi A;Cohen A;lester M;Bagnasco F;Bonelli R; | | | |
| Functional enuresis: pharma | acological versus behavioral treatment | | |

08 March 2010 Page 81 of 219

Ref ID 384

| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported | | |
|---|---------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Number of partic | ipant | 168 in total, 36 in group A (imipramine), 36 in group B (3 step program) and 96 in group C (counselling, 3 step program and education) | | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | ion | Inclusion: functional enuresis. Exclusion: organic causes of NE or emotional disturbance. | | | | |
| Patient Characte | ristics | The age range was 6 to 11 | | | | |
| Recruitment | | Patients seen between 1979 and 1988. | | | | |
| Setting | | Genoa University, Genova, Italy | University, Genova, Italy | | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Group A: imipramine for 6 weeks 0.9-1.5mg/kg (maximum dosage 50 mg). Group B: 3 step program of reassurance to parents, bladder control training and waking with an alarm clock before micturition, and parental involvement. Group C: motivational therapy and 3 step program. | | | | |
| Comparisons | | Between treatment groups | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | , | 12 month follow up. | | | | |
| Outcome measur studied | es | Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights. Relapse after 12 months. | | | | |
| Results | | Children in the bladder training group too reassurance to the parents and tried to e training (drink more during the morning a voided during the day, trying to hold for a start training) and behaviour training (drin before going to bed and wake up once o were involved in the treatment to help the Children in the motivation therapy group motivational therapy where child, in a gro psychiatrist. | encourage the e and afternoon, at least 8 hours nk as little as p or twice using a e child practice had the 3 step | child; 2) bladder retention reduce the number of times and interrupt voiding – stop ossible after 7 pm, urinate n alarm clock); 3) parents and avoid family conflicts. program as described and | | |
| | | Treatment was for 6 months. | | | | |
| | | Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights: In group A (imipramine) 14 out of 36 achieved 14 consecutive dry nights compared to 24 out of 36 in group B (3 step program) and 81 out of 96 in group C (counselling, 3 step program and education). | | | | |
| | | Relapse after 12 months In group A (imipramine) 2 out of 14 relap step program) and 3 out of 81 in group C education). | | | | |
| Safety and adver effects | se | None reported | | | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | tion? | The study showed that more children tre education achieved 14 consecutive dry r program. | | | | |
| Effect due to fact study? | tor in | Yes | | | | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | r | No other similar studies | | | | |

| Directly applicable to | Children were aged 6 to 11 years. |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| guideline population? | |

Internal Validity Unclear allocation concealment

Kahan E;Morel D;Amir J;Zelcer C;

A controlled trial of desmopressin and behavioral therapy for nocturnal enuresis

| Ref ID 251 | | | 1998 |
|--|---|---|--|
| Study Type Ran | domised Controlled Trial | Funding | Lapidot laboratories. |
| Number of participant | t 228 in total, 70 in group A (desmop behaviour) and 76 in group C (desi | | ır), 75 in group B (placebo and |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary NE, wet at least Exclusion: previous treatment, othe events, psychiatric disorders, or ab | er physical disorders | , previous traumatic life |
| Patient Characteristic | In group A (desmopressin and beh nights a week, in group B (placebo and in group C (desmopressin) it w | and behaviour) the | |
| Recruitment | Seen at primary care clinic. | | |
| Setting | Golda Medical Center, General Sic | k Fund, Israel. | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: desmopressin (20 microgensuring the child knows that NE is psychologic mechanism. Group B: placebo and behaviour the Group C: desmopressin | s not due to "powerfu | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 2 months follow up. | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Mean number of wet nights per we number of children who achieved 1 events. | | |
| Results | The child was made aware that "the external forces, but a psychologic r and that can be solved by taking r muscle exercises. The child was al usual, the child was also taught ge | mechanism which re- esponsibility". The ch so asked to go to be | quires conscious self-control hild was then taught sphincter d earlier and drink less than |
| | Treatment was for 8 weeks | | |
| | Number of children who achieved In group A (desmopressin and beh nights compared to 12 out of 75 in in group C (desmopressin) | aviour) 22 out of 70 | achieved 14 consecutive dry |
| | Mean number of wet nights per we In group A (desmopressin and beh per week was 3.0 (sd 2.0) compare behaviour 75 children) and 4.5 (sd | aviour 70 children) th ed to 3.3 (sd 2.2) in g | ne mean number of wet nights group B (placebo and |
| | Mean number of wet nights per we In group A (desmopressin and beh per week was 2.6 (sd 1.7) compare behaviour 74 children) and 4.7 (sd | aviour 70 children) the d to 3.0 (sd 2.0) in g | roup B (placebo and |
| | Adverse events: In group A (desmopressin and beh out of 75 in group B (placebo and b | | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 83 of 219 | | |

| | (desmopressin). |
|--|--|
| | Drop outs: In group A (desmopressin and behaviour) 6 out of 70 dropped out compared to 1 out of 75 in group B (placebo and behaviour) and 0 out of 76 in group C (desmopressin). |
| | Number of children who relapsed: In group A 18 out of 22 children relapsed compared to 6 out of 12 in group B and 28 out of 31 in group C. |
| Safety and adverse effects | In group A (desmopressin and behaviour) 5 out of 70 had nasal itch compared to 1 out of 75 in group B (placebo and behaviour) and 4 out of 76 in group C (desmopressin). |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study shows more children treated with desmopressin and behaviour or desmoropessin alone had successful treatment compared to chidlren treated with placebo and behaviour. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 8-14 years |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Question: What | is the clinical and cost effectiveness of lifting and/or |

Question: What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of lifting and/or waking for children and young people under 19 years old who have nocturnal enuresis?

Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias

| Bhatia MS;Dhar NK;Rai S;M | alik SC; | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| Enuresis: an analysis of 82 Ref ID 1738 | cases | | 1990 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 60 in tota: I 20 in group A (placebo and res 20 in group B (imipramine) and 20 in group avoiding punishment). The groups were m originally 82 children. 22 dropped out due included in the results. | p C (imipramination of the contract provided the provided the provided the contract of the con | ne and restriction of fluid with e and sex. There were |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged 4 to 12 years, NE or diurnal enuresis or daytime wetting. Exclusion: organic causes of NE. | | |
| Patient Characteristics | 39 out of 60 were male. 15 children were a years and 15 children were aged 9-12 yea NE and diurnal enuresis 7.3% had diurnal of enuresis, 50% wet daily, 31.6% wet 1-3 and 6.1% wet occasionally. | enuresis only | d NE only, 29.3% had both 2 21.9% had a family history |
| Recruitment | Not reported. | | |
| Setting | Delhi, India. | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: placebo and restriction of fluid w Group B: Imipramine 10 mg for children ag over 6 years. The dose was doubled after Group C: imipramine and restriction of flui | ged 3-6 years 2 weeks if the | , 25 mg for children aged ere was no improvement. |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months follow up. | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children who achieved 14 cons | ecutive dry ni | ghts. |
| Results | Fluid restriction was described as "restricti avoiding punitive attitude of the parents ar | | |
| | Treatment was for 6 weeks | | |
| | Number of children who achieved 14 cons In group A (behaviour and placebo) 4 out nights compared to 12 out of 20 in group E (imipramine and behaviour). | of 20 children | achieved 14 consecutive dry |
| | Drop outs 22 in total due to being unavailable for foll | ow up. | |
| Safety and adverse effects | Not reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that children treated wit intervention or imipramine alone were mor nights compared to children treated with a | e likely to ach | ieve 14 consecutive dry |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | | |

| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies compared fluid restriction. |
|--|--|
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 4 to 12 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment |
| El Anany FG;Maghraby HA;E | El-Din S;bdel-Moneim AM; |
| Primary nocturnal enuresis: | A new approach to conditioning treatment |
| Ref ID 1146 | 1999 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 125 in total, 70 in Group A, 55 in Group B |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: wet at least 3 nights a week, and aged over 7 years. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, secondary NE, polysymptomatic, Urinary, structural or clinical neurological abnormalities. |
| Patient Characteristics | In Group A 46 out of 70 were boys, the mean age was 13.23 (sd 3.75) years, and the age range was 7-21 years. The baseline wetting was 5.24 (sd 1.22) wet nights per week. In Group B 32 out of 55 were boys, the mean age was 12.49 (sd 3.62) years, and the age range was 7-19 years. The baseline wetting was 5.13 (sd 1.17) nights a week. |
| Recruitment | Not reported. |
| Setting | Egypt |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: clock alarm set while still expected to be dry i.e. before child usually wets Group B: clock alarm set 2-3 hours after child goes to bed Both groups also had fluid restriction for 2 hours before going to bed |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months |
| Outcome measures studied | Dry for 14 consecutive nights (success) in first month, after 3 months, and at 6 months follow up. |
| Results | Treatment was for 4 months In Group A (alarm set before child wets) 54 out of 70 children became dry compared to 34 out of 55 in Group B (alarm set 2-3 hours after bed) in the first month of treatment. |
| | In Group A (alarm set before child wets) 8 out of 54 children relapsed at 3 months compared to 3 out of 34 in Group B (alarm set 2-3 hours after bed). |
| | In Group A (alarm set before child wets) 13 out of 54 children were relapsed at 6 months compared to 5 out of 34 in Group B (alarm set 2-3 hours after bed). |
| | There was a high drop out rate of 64 children after the first month. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study evaulates two beneifit of waking children at different times. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |

| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|---|
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The study evaluates children aged 7 to 21 and 13 years. | years. Howe | ever the mean ages are 12 |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment | | |
| Fournier JP;Garfinkel BD;Bo | nd A;Beauchesne H;Shapiro SK; | | |
| - | oral management of enuresis | | |
| Ref ID 346 | | | 1987 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported |
| Number of participant | 64 in total, 8 in each group | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged between 5 and 14 years, no neurological disorder, at least 2 wet nights a treatment in previous 3 months, no significa retardation, imformed consent to random al | a week for pr ant cognitive | evious 6 months, no impairment or mental |
| Patient Characteristics | 73% were boys, the mean age was 8.5 yea biological parent, 14% lived with a single pa second eldest child in their family, 77% had and 61% had another relative with enuresis | arent, 83% w I had a first c | ere either the oldest or |
| Recruitment | Newspaper adverts and referred from paed | iatricians | |
| Setting | at home, Montreal Canada | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: Imipramine Group B: Alarm Group C: Placebo Group D: Random waking Group E: Alarm with imipramine | | |
| | The paper also considered alarm with a pla imipramine with random waking however th groups. | | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 3 months | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Change in number of wet nights, drop out | | |
| Results | Random waking was the parent waking the | child any tim | ne before midnight. |
| | 6 weeks treatment There were 8 patients in each group | | |
| | In group A (imipramine) the mean number of group B (alarm), 5 in group C (placebo), 3.3 group E (alarm with imipramine) | | |
| | Drop out: In total 4 boys dropped out due to side-effer out due to having a UTI | cts or non-co | ompliance and 1 girl dropped |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | |

| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that imipramine had a fasted effect than the other treatments, however at 4 weeks the most effective treatments were alarm, alarm with imipramine and imipramine alone. At the 3 month follow up the most successful treatments were alarm and imipramine. | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes (NB there is a 15% spontaneous cure rate) | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | children were aged 5 - 14 years old | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment | | |
| lester A;Marchesi A;Cohen A | A;lester M;Bagnasco F;Bonelli R; | | |
| Functional enuresis: pharma Ref ID 384 | cological versus behavioral treatment 1991 | | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. | | |
| Number of participant | 168 in total: 36 in group A (imipramine), 36 in group B (3 step program) and 96 in group C (counselling, 3 step program and education). | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: functional enuresis. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, or emotional disturbance. | | |
| Patient Characteristics | The age range was 6 to 11. | | |
| Recruitment | Patients seen between 1979 and 1988. | | |
| Setting | Genoa University, Genova, Italy. | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: imipramine for 6 weeks 0.9-1.5mg/kg maximum dosage 50 mg Group B: 3 step program of reassurance to parents, bladder control training and waking with an alarm clock before micturition, parental involvement Group C: motivational therapy and 3 step program | | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 12 month follow up. | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights, and relapse after 12 months. | | |
| Results | Children in the bladder training group took part in a three step program which consisted of 1) reassurance to the parents and encouragement to the child; 2) bladder retention training (drink more during the morning and afternoon, reduce the number of times voided during the day, trying to hold for at least 8 hours and interrupt voiding – stop start training) and behaviour training (drink as little as possible after 7 pm, urinate bedfore going to bed and wake up once or twice using an alarm clock); 3) parents were involved in the treatment to help the child practice and avoid family conflicts. Children in the motivation therapy group had the 3 step program as described and motivational therapy where child, in a group, discussed their problems with a psychiatrist. Treatment was for 6 months Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights | | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 88 of 219 | | |

| | In group A (imipramine) 14 out of 36 act 24 out of 36 in group B (3 step program step program and education). | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| | Relapse after 12 months In group A (imipramine) 2 out of 14 rela step program) and 3 out of 81 in group education). | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that more children tre education achieved 14 consecutive dry program alone. | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 6 to 11 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment | | |
| Turner RK;Young GC;Rachr | man S; | | |
| Treatment of nocturnal enur | esis by conditioning techniques | | |
| Ref ID 164 | | | 1970 |
| Study Type Rando | omised Controlled Trial | Funding | The Bethlem-Maudsley Research Fund |
| | | | |
| Number of participant | 115 in total:81 in groups A,B, 12and C E (controls) | (conditioning tr | eatment), 34 in groups D and |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | | d wet at least 3 nome conditions | times a week. with contra-indicated |
| Inclusion/Exclusion | E (controls) Inclusion: aged between 4-15 years, an Exclusion: organic pathology, adverse h | d wet at least 3 nome conditions onditioning trea 10 children wer were wet even 5.7% were wet | times a week. with contra-indicated tment in the previous year e aged over 10 years), 69.6% y night, 7% were wet 6 times a |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | E (controls) Inclusion: aged between 4-15 years, an Exclusion: organic pathology, adverse h treatment by this method, having tried c The mean age was 7.5 (2.6 SD) years (were boys, 90% had primary NE, 65.2% week, 5.1% were wet 5 times a week, 1 | d wet at least 3 nome conditions onditioning trea 10 children wer were wet ever 5.7% were wet history of NE. | times a week. with contra-indicated tment in the previous year e aged over 10 years), 69.6% y night, 7% were wet 6 times a 4 times a week and 7% were |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics | E (controls) Inclusion: aged between 4-15 years, an Exclusion: organic pathology, adverse h treatment by this method, having tried c The mean age was 7.5 (2.6 SD) years (were boys, 90% had primary NE, 65.2% week, 5.1% were wet 5 times a week, 1 wet 3 times a week. 68.7% had a family Referred from school medical officer or | d wet at least 3 nome conditions onditioning trea 10 children wer were wet ever 5.7% were wet history of NE. brought for trea | times a week. with contra-indicated tment in the previous year e aged over 10 years), 69.6% y night, 7% were wet 6 times a 4 times a week and 7% were |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment | E (controls) Inclusion: aged between 4-15 years, an Exclusion: organic pathology, adverse h treatment by this method, having tried c The mean age was 7.5 (2.6 SD) years (were boys, 90% had primary NE, 65.2% week, 5.1% were wet 5 times a week, 1 wet 3 times a week. 68.7% had a family Referred from school medical officer or in east London, UK. | d wet at least 3 nome conditions onditioning trea 10 children wer were wet even 5.7% were wet history of NE. brought for trea d at home. | times a week. with contra-indicated tment in the previous year e aged over 10 years), 69.6% y night, 7% were wet 6 times a 4 times a week and 7% were tment by parents to 2 clinics |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being | E (controls) Inclusion: aged between 4-15 years, an Exclusion: organic pathology, adverse h treatment by this method, having tried c The mean age was 7.5 (2.6 SD) years (were boys, 90% had primary NE, 65.2% week, 5.1% were wet 5 times a week, 1 wet 3 times a week. 68.7% had a family Referred from school medical officer or in east London, UK. London, UK, and treatment administere Group A: alarm with continuous signal Group B: alarm with twin signal Group C: alarm with intermittent twin sig diconnected) Group D: random waking | d wet at least 3 nome conditions onditioning trea 10 children wer were wet even 5.7% were wet history of NE. brought for trea d at home. | times a week. with contra-indicated tment in the previous year e aged over 10 years), 69.6% y night, 7% were wet 6 times a 4 times a week and 7% were tment by parents to 2 clinics |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | E (controls) Inclusion: aged between 4-15 years, an Exclusion: organic pathology, adverse h treatment by this method, having tried of The mean age was 7.5 (2.6 SD) years (were boys, 90% had primary NE, 65.2% week, 5.1% were wet 5 times a week, 1 wet 3 times a week. 68.7% had a family Referred from school medical officer or in east London, UK. London, UK, and treatment administere Group A: alarm with continuous signal Group B: alarm with twin signal Group C: alarm with intermittent twin sig diconnected) Group D: random waking Group E: placebo tablet | d wet at least 3 nome conditions onditioning trea 10 children wer were wet even 5.7% were wet history of NE. brought for trea d at home. | times a week. with contra-indicated tment in the previous year e aged over 10 years), 69.6% y night, 7% were wet 6 times a 4 times a week and 7% were tment by parents to 2 clinics |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ | E (controls) Inclusion: aged between 4-15 years, an Exclusion: organic pathology, adverse h treatment by this method, having tried c The mean age was 7.5 (2.6 SD) years (were boys, 90% had primary NE, 65.2% week, 5.1% were wet 5 times a week, 1 wet 3 times a week. 68.7% had a family Referred from school medical officer or in east London, UK. London, UK, and treatment administere Group A: alarm with continuous signal Group B: alarm with twin signal Group C: alarm with intermittent twin sig diconnected) Group D: random waking Group E: placebo tablet Between groups A, B, C, D and E | d wet at least 3 nome conditions onditioning trea 10 children wer were wet ever 5.7% were wet history of NE. brought for trea d at home. | times a week. with contra-indicated tment in the previous year e aged over 10 years), 69.6% y night, 7% were wet 6 times a 4 times a week and 7% were tment by parents to 2 clinics |

| Results | Random waking consisted of the parents being given a chart with random times on it when the child should be woken. |
|--|---|
| | 14 consecutive dry nights: 3 out of 15 in group A (alarm with continuous signal) achieved 14 nights dry compared to 2 out of 15 in group B (alarm with twin signal), 1 out of 15 in group D (random waking) and 4 out of 17 in group E (placebo). The study states these differences are not significant. |
| | Drop-out: From groups A, B and C: 39 patients dropped out From groups D and E: 1 patient dropped out These drop outs are due to non-compliance with treatment procedure. |
| | The study did not report the results for group C |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study reported low rates of success of achieving 14 consecutive dry nights, with placebo patients having the highest results of 24%, the alarm with continuous signal (20%), alarm with twin signal (13%) and random waking (6%). The differences were not significant. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range was 4-15 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment |

Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias*

Baker BL;

| Symptom treatment and sym Ref ID 340 | nptom substitution in enuresis | | 1969 |
|--|---|-------------------------|--|
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Func | ding | None reported |
| Number of participant | 30 patients in total | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Patients were excluded if there was an organic ca | ause of | wetting |
| Patient Characteristics | 67% were boys. The median age was 8 years with had secondary enuresis. More than half the patier | | |
| Recruitment | From newpaper adverts | | |
| Setting | At home, USA | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm Group B: waking using an alarm clock and star ch Group C: waiting list group | hart | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | no follow up | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Change in number of wet nights Self image questionnaire | | |
| Results | Star charts were used to keep a record of the child woken at a set time every night (chosen at start of usually wets), once the child was dry for several n week, if dry during the week the parents were told two following nights | of trial to nights t | o be before when the child hey were not woken for a |
| | 10 weeks treatment 10 patients in each group | | |
| | Mean number of wet nights per week in the last 3 In group A (alarm) the mean number of wet nights star chart) the mean number of wet nights was 3.7 mean number of wet nights was 5.9. | s was ´ | 1.8, in group B (waking and |
| | number of chidlren who achieved 14 consective d in the alarm group 11 out of 14 children had 14 cc out of 14 in the waking group and 0 out of 14 in th | onsecu | itive dry ngihts comapred to 2 |
| | Relapsed: In total, 4 patients relapsed | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Significantly more children became dry for 14 night compared to no treatment (79% compared to 0%) | | en treated with alarm therapy |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes (NB there is a 15% sponatnoeus cure rate) | | |

| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies |
|--|---|
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The age range was 6-12 years |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Question: What i | a the alinical and east offective |

Question: What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of fluid and dietary advice for children and young people under 19 years old who have nocturnal enuresis?

Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias

| Bhatia MS;Dhar NK;R | i S;Malik SC; | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Enuresis: an analysis Ref ID 1738 | f 82 cases | | 1990 |
| | | | |
| Study Type | andomised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported |
| Number of particip | int #Deleted | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusic Criteria | Inclusion: aged 4 to 12 yea Exclusion: organic causes of | rs, NE or diurnal enuresis or of NE. | daytime wetting. |
| Patient Characteri | tics #Deleted | | |
| Recruitment | Not reported. | | |
| Setting | Delhi, India. | | |
| Interventions/ Tes Factor being investigated | Group B: Imipramine 10 mg over 6 years. The dose was | iction of fluid with avoiding p g for children aged 3-6 years g doubled after 2 weeks if the estriction of fluid with avoidir | s, 25 mg for children aged ere was no improvement |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months follow up | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children who ac | nieved 14 consecutive dry n | ights. |
| Results | | bed as "restricting fluids in the the parents and waking the | |
| | Treatment was for 6 weeks | | |
| | In group A (behaviour and p | of 20 in group B (imipramine | ights: a achieved 14 consecutive dry and 18 out of 20 in group C |
| | Drop outs 22 in total due to being una | vailable for follow up. | |
| Safety and advers effects | Not reported | | |
| Does the study answer the questi | n? intervention or imipramine a | dren treated with imipramine alone were more likely to acl n treated with a placebo and | hieve 14 consecutive dry |
| Effect due to facto study? | in Yes. | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies compared | I fluid restriction. | |
| Directly applicable guideline populati | | 2 years. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation conceal | ment | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 93 of | 219 | |

McKendry JB;Stewart DA;Khanna F;Netley C;

Primary enuresis: relative success of three methods of treatment

| 5 | relative st | iccess of three methods of treatment | | 1075 |
|--|-------------|--|---|--|
| Ref ID 350 | | | | 1975 |
| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported. |
| Number of parti | cipant | 222 in total: 73 in group A (diet restr detector. | iction), 74 in group | B (imipramine) and 75 in |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | Inclusion: aged over 5 years. Exclusion: organic causes of NE. | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | The mean age was 9 years and age had diurnal wetting. In group A (diet restriction) the mean (imipramine) the mean baseline wet | n baseline wetting v | |
| Recruitment | | Not reported. | | |
| Setting | | The Hospital for Sick Children, Toro | nto, Canada. | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | Group A: diet restriction (the diet co juices, tomato, coca or chocolate. In used as fluid substitutes Group B: 10mg Imipramine increasi 60mg for children older than 10 yea The study also considered an alarm normal clinical practice and therefor review. | nstead apple juice, g ng to 40mg for chilc rs if needed which gave the chi | ginger ale and water were dren aged under 10 years and Id an electric shock, this is not |
| Comparisons | | Between group A and group B. | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | // | Group A (diet restriction) had 3 mon month follow up. | ths follow up and g | roup B (imipramine) had 19 |
| Outcome measu studied | res | Number of children who achieved 14 follow up, greater than 50% improve and at follow up, drop outs, and adv | ement in the number | |
| Results | | Number of children who achieved 14 In group A 1 out of 64 achieved 14 o in group B (imipramine) | | |
| | | Greater than 50% improvement in th In group A 34 out of 64 achieved a g dry nights compared to 28 out of 62 | greater than 50% in | provement in the number of |
| | | Number of children who achieved 14 In group A 1 out of 1 achieved 14 co group B (imipramine) | 4 consecutive dry ni onsecutive dry night | ights at follow up: s compared to 19 out of 34 in |
| | | Greater than 50% improvement in th In group A 0 out of 1 achieved a gre nights compared to 8 out of 34 in gre | ater than 50% impr | ovement in the number of dry |
| | | Drop outs: In group A (diet restriction) 9 out of 7 B (imipramine) | 73 dropped out com | npared to 12 out of 74 in group |
| | | Adverse events: In group A (diet restriction) 2 out of In group B (imipramine)3 out of 16 c | | |
| Safety and adve effects | erse | In group A (diet restriction) 2 out of In group B (imipramine)3 out of 16 c fatigue. | | |
| 08 March 2010 | | Page 94 of 219 | | |

| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that children treated with imipramine were more likely to become dry or have an 50-80% improvement in the number of dry nights compared to children treated with dietary restriction. Children from Group A had a shorter followup as after 3 months parents switched treatment due to the diet being unsuccessful. |
|--|--|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies comparing diet restriction to imipramine. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 5 to 17 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment |

Question: What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of star charts and other reward systems for children and young people under 19 years old who have nocturnal enuresis?

Grading: 1+

Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias

Bennett GA;Walkden VJ;Curtis RH;Burns LE;Rees.J.;Gosling JA;

| Pad-and-buzzer training, dr Ref ID 360 | y-bed training, and stop-start training in the ti | reatment of p | rimary nocturnal enuresis 1985 |
|--|--|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Study Type Rando | omised Controlled Trial | Funding | None reported. |
| Number of participant | 40 in total: 9 in group A (pub and buzzer tra 10 in group C (dry bed training), 9 in group | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary NE, not dry for more tha night baseline period, and negligble day tir Exclusion: encopresis, previous behaviour | ne wetting. | |
| Patient Characteristics | 63% were boys, the mean age was 8.5 (3.2 years. | 2 SD) years, | and the age range was 5-12 |
| Recruitment | Referred from GP. | | |
| Setting | Treatment administered at home, Rochdal | e UK | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm (pad and buzzer) Group B: stop-start training (sphincter mus Group C: DBT with alarm Group D: Control group - waiting list were g | | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 12 weeks. | | |
| Outcome measures studied | 14 consecutive dry nights, mean dry nights | s at follow up | , drop out |
| Results | 12 weeks treatment | | |
| | Results: Dry for 14 consecutive nights: In group A (alarm) 4 out of 9 children beca 12 in group B (stop start training), 5 out of and 0 out of 9 children, in group D (star ch | 10 children, | |
| | Drop out: 32 children in total dropped out. In group A to 11 children in group B stop start training and 3 children in group D (star chart). | | |
| | Change in mean number of wet nights: The mean number of wet nights per week a 1 (SD 1.95) compared to 3.25 (SD 2.60) in the dry bed training group and 5.15 (SD 1.3 | stop start tra | aining group, 1.4 (SD 1.8) for |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Both alarm alone and DBT with alarm gave (44% and 50%) and were more effective th treatment. There was no significant differen group. | nan the stop s | start training and no |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | | |

| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Yes the age range was 5-12 years old. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment | | |
| Ronen T;Wozner Y;Rahav G | ;; | | |
| Cognitive intervention in enu Ref ID 370 | iresis | 1992 | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding Not reported. | |
| Number of participant | 77 in total: 20 in group A (counselling), 19 i chart), and 18 in group D (waiting list). | n group B (alarm), 20 in group C (star | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged over 5 years, children attending a community mental health clinic with primary NE. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, or mental or developmental problems. | | |
| Patient Characteristics | The mean age was 10.05 (sd 2.28) years, the mean baseline wetting over 3 weeks in group A (counselling) was 19.8 (sd 1.73) days, in group B (alarm) was 19.8 (sd 2.14), group C (star chart) was 18.9 (sd 2.21) and in group D (waiting list) was 18 (sd 8.72) days. | | |
| Recruitment | Children attending a community mental hea | Ith clinic with primary NE. | |
| Setting | Israel. | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: Cognitive and behavioural self-control education therapy Group B: Pad and bell alarm Group C: star chart - stars were given for a dry night Group D: waiting list | | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months follow up. | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children dry for 3 consecutive weeks, mean number of wet nights in 3 weeks at the end of treatment, failed or relapse after 6 months, and drop out rates. | | |
| Results | Stars were given as a reward for a dry night; cognitive behaviour therapy consisted of barents and children being taught 5 components of "modification of misconceptions and irrational beliefs; rational analysis of bedwetting; sensitization to pressure in bladder; self-control training in different situations; exercises in self-observation, charting, and Self assessment and self-reinforcement". | | |
| | Treatment was for 18 weeks | | |
| | Number of children who were dry for 3 cons In group A (counselling) 15 out of 20 childre compared to 12 out of 19 in group B (alarm out of 18 in group D (waiting list). | en were dry for 3 consecutive weeks | |
| | Mean number of wet nights in 3 weeks at th The mean number of wet nights over 3 wee (counselling, 18 children) was 1.03 (sd 2.15 was 1.23 (sd 5.28), group C (star chart 14 c (waiting list 16 children) the mean number of | ks at the end of treatment in group A 5). In group B (alarm 15 children) mean children) was 3.33 (sd 5.8) and in group D | |
| | Number of children who failed or relapsed a In group A (counselling) 3 out of 18 childrer 15 in group B (alarm) and 8 out of 14 in gro | n failed or relapsed compared to 9 out of | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 97 of 219 | | |

| | Drop out: In group A (counselling) 2 out of 20 children dropped out compared to 4 out of 19 in group B (alarm), 6 out of 20 in group C (star chart) and 11 out of 18 in group D (waiting list). | |
|--|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study shows children treated with counselling or alarms were more sucessful than the other treatment groups. | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged over 5 years. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment | |
| van Londen A;van Londen- | Barentsen MW;van Son MJ;Mulder GA; | |
| Arousal training for childrer | a suffering from nocturnal enuresis: a 2 1/2 year follow-up | |
| Ref ID 338 | 1993 | |
| Study Type Rand | omised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported | |
| Number of participant | 127 in total; no information for each group. At 2.5 years follow up; 113 in total; 38 in group A, 39 in group B and 36 in group C | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged between 6-12 years | |
| Patient Characteristics | The mean age was 8.6 years, 70% were boys, 87% had primary NE | |
| Recruitment | Not reported | |
| Setting | Netherlands, treatment at home | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm with reward stickers for correct behaviour and punishment stickerfor incorrect behaviour Group B: alarm with reward stickers for dry nights and punishment sticker for wet nights Group C: alarm | |
| Comparisons | Between groups A, B and C | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 2.5 years | |
| Outcome measures studied | dry for 14 consecutive nights, relapse | |
| Results | 20 weeks treatment | |
| | Dry at 14 nights: In group A (alarm with reward stickers for correct behaviour) 37 out of 38 children achieved 14 dry nights compared to 33 out of 39 in group B (alarm with reward sticker for dry nights and punishment sticker for wet nights) and 26 out of 36 in group C (alarm alone). These differences were significant when comparing group A to group B (binomal test P<0.001) and group C (binomal test P<0.000). | |

| | Relapse at 2.5 years follow up: In group A (alarm with reward stickers for correct behaviour) 10 out of 37 had relapsed at the end of 2.5 year follow up compared to 15 out of 33 in group B (alarm with reward sticker for dry nights and punishment sticker for wet nights) and 13 out of 26 in group C (alarm alone). |
|--|---|
| | The two star charts were (1) two reward stickers were given immediately for correct behaviour of waking to the alarm within 3 minutes, going to the toilet after, returning to bed and resetting the alarm, and one sticker was asked for as a charge for incorrect behaviour and (2) two reward stickers were given in the morning for a dry bed or one sticker was asked for as a charge for a wet bed. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that giving a child an alarm with reward stickers for correct behaviour was significantly more successful in achieving 14 dry nights compared to giving a child an alarm with reward stickers for dry nights and punishment sticker for wet nights or an alarm alone. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range is 6-12 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment |

Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias*

Baker BL;

| Symptom treatmen Ref ID 340 | t and syr | nptom substitution in enuresis | | 1969 |
|---|-----------|---|------------|-------------------------------|
| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial Fu | unding | None reported |
| Number of partie | cipant | 30 patients in total | | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | Patients were excluded if there was an organic cause of wetting | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | 67% were boys. The median age was 8 years with a range of 6-12 years. 4 patients had secondary enuresis. More than half the patients wet every night. | | |
| Recruitment | | From newpaper adverts | | |
| Setting | | At home, USA | | |
| Interventions/ To Factor being investigated | est/ | Group A: alarm Group B: star chart and waking Group C: waiting list | | |
| Comparisons | | Between treatment groups | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | / | 0 months | | |
| Outcome measu studied | res | Change in number of wet nights Self image questionnaire | | |
| Results | | Star charts were used to keep a record of the child's progress and the child was woken at a set time every night (chosen at start of trial to be before when the child usually wets), once the child was dry for several nights they were not woken for a week, if dry during the week the parents were told if the child wets wake them for the two following nights | | |
| | | 10 weeks treatment 10 patients in each group | | |
| | | Mean number of wet nights per week in the las In group A (alarm) the mean number of wet nig star chart) the mean number of wet nights was mean number of wet nights was 5.9. | ghts was ' | I.8, in group B (waking and |
| | | Number of children who achieved 14 consecut In group A (alarm) 11 out of 14 children achiev compared to 2 out of 14 in group B (waking an (waiting list). | /ed 14 coi | nsecutive dry nights |
| | | Relapsed: In total, 4 patients relapsed | | |
| Safety and adve effects | rse | None reported | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | stion? | Significantly more children became dry for 14 r compared to no treatment (79% compared to 0 | | en treated with alarm therapy |
| Effect due to fac study? | tor in | Yes. | | |
| | | | | |

| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | | |
|--|--|----------------|------------------------------|
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The age range was 6-12 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blindi | ng | |
| Fava GA;Cracco L;Facco L; | | | |
| Positive reinforcement and e Ref ID 1751 | enuresis | | 1981 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported |
| Number of participant | 20 in total, 10 in Group A (star chart), 10 in | Group B (pla | ay therapy). |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary NE. Wet every night. Exclusion: secondary NE | | |
| Patient Characteristics | In Group A (star chart) 6 out of 10 were male, the mean age was 8 (sd 1.66) years There were no baseline characteristics for Group B. | | |
| Recruitment | Consecutive children at a child guidance centre. | | |
| Setting | Mexico | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: behaviour modification – Childrer whole family could see and a reward (such improvement after 15 nights children were Group B: unstructured play therapy. | as pocket m | oney) for a dry night. If no |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 1 year follow up. | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children who achieved 14 conse who relapsed or failed after 1 year. | ecutive dry ni | ghts and number of children |
| Results | The star chart treatment group had a star given by parents on the family calendar, so the whole family could see. For a dry night, a reward for example pocket money was given after each star. Play therapy was described as "unstructured play therapy; behavioural suggestions were carefully excluded". | | |
| | Treatment was for 3 months | | |
| | Number of children who achieved 14 conse In Group A (star charts) 8 out of 10 children of which had to be lifted) compared to 1 ou | n became dry | for 14 consecutive nights (2 |
| | Number of children who relapsed or failed In Group A (star charts) 2 out of 10 failed of children in Group B (play therapy). | | ompared to 9 out of 10 |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that children treated with 14 consecutive dry nights compared to children the c | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | |

| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies compared star charts to play therapy. | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children in treatment group had a mean age of 8 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | | |
| Maxwell C;Seldrup J; | | | |
| Factors relating to the optim Ref ID 540 | um effect of imipramine in the treatment of enuresis 1971 | | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported | | |
| Number of participant | 135 in total: cross over trial with 125 in each group due to 10 drop outs. | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged 5-12 years, normal except for NE, wet at least 3 times a week, stable home environment for 8 weeks. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, MAO inhibitors within previous 2 weeks. | | |
| Patient Characteristics | 84 out of 125 were male. The age range was 5 to 12 years. Tthe mean baseline number of dry nights in 28 nights was 7 (sd 7). | | |
| Recruitment | Not reported. | | |
| Setting | UK | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: imipramine 25 mg for children aged 5-7 years, 50 mg children aged 8-12 years and star chart. Group B: placebo and star chart. | | |
| Comparisons | Between groups A and B | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | For 4 weeks consisting of the treatment period. | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Mean number of wet nights per month at the end of treatment, adverse events. | | |
| Results | Stars (coloured blue) were given for a dry night. After 3 dry nights in a row an extra gold star was given. | | |
| | Treatment was for 4 weeks | | |
| | Mean number of wet nights per month at the end of treatment: In group A (imipramine and star chart) the mean number of wet nights per month was 11.4 (sd 8.7) compared to 14.8 (sd 8.5) nights in group B (placebo and star chart). | | |
| | Adverse events: In group A (imipramine and star chart) 2 out of 125 suffered anorexia, 1 out of 125 suffered diarrhoea, 1 out of 125 suffered constipation, 1 out of 125 suffered depression, 1 had nose bleed, 1 had irritability, 1 had faecal staining, 1 had drowsiness and 1 had oral infection. There were no side effects in group B (placebo and star chart). | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | In group A (imipramine and star chart) 2 out of 125 suffered anorexia, 1 out of 125 suffered diarrhoea, 1 out of 125 suffered constipation, 1 out of 125 suffered depression, 1 had nose bleed, 1 had irritability, 1 had faecal staining, 1 had drowsiness and 1 had oral infection. There were no side effects in group B (placebo and star chart). | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that children treated with imipramine and star chart had fewer wet nights per month compared to those treated with placebo and star chart. | | |

| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
|--|--|
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies compared star charts to imipramine. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 5 to 12 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment, well blinded |
| | |

Question: What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of alarms for children and young people under 19 years old who have nocturnal enuresis?

Grading: 1+

Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias

Bradbury MG;Meadow SR;

| Combined treatment with e Ref ID 372 | nuresis alarm and desmopressin for nocturnal enuresis 1995 |
|--|---|
| Study Type Rando | omised Controlled Trial Funding None reported |
| Number of participant | 71 in total: 36 in group A and 35 in group B. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged 6-15 years old, and wet at least 1 night per week. Exclusion: if the patient had neuropathetic bladder, urinary tract abnormalities, cystic fibrosis, allergic rhinitis, deafness or learning difficulties, UTI or taking medication which might cause diuresis. |
| Patient Characteristics | In group A (alarm with desmopressin): 69% were male, the mean age was 10 years old, mean number of dry nights in observation week was 2.3, 39% had family problems, 22% had a Rutter A2 score > 17, 0 had housing problems, 44% had tried enuresis alarms before, 5% also day time wet, and 17% had secondary enuresis. In group B (alarm): 66% were male, the mean age was 9.7 years old, mean number of dry nights in observation week was 2.3, 31% had family problems, 17% had a Rutter A2 score > 17, 0 had housing problems, 37% had tried enuresis alarms before, 6% were also day time wet, and 15% had secondary enuresis. |
| Recruitment | Referred by GPs, community medical officers, urologists or paediatricians. |
| Setting | Treatment administered at home, Leeds UK. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: desmopressin with alarm Group B: alarm |
| Comparisons | Between groups A and B |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months. |
| Outcome measures studied | Change in number of wet nights, numbers of children dry for 4 weeks, and numbers relapsing. |
| Results | For all children (71) Dry for 4 weeks: In group A (alarm and desmopressin) 27 out of 33 children achieved 4 weeks dry compared to 16 out of 27 in group B (alarm). This difference is significant (p<0.005). |
| | Relapse: In group A (alarm and desmopressin) 4 children relapsed compared to 3 in group B (alarm). This difference was not significant. |
| | Change in mean number of dry nights per week: During the observation period both group A (alarm and desmopressin) and group B (alarm) had a mean number of dry nights of 2.3. At the end of treatment group A (alarm and desmopressin) had a mean number of dry nights of 6.1 compared to 4.8 in group B (alarm). This difference was significant (P<0.01) |
| | Drop outs In group A (alarm and desmopressin) 0 children dropped out compared to 2 in group B (alarm), this difference was not significant. |
| 08 March 2010 | For children with severe wetting (40) Dry for 4 weeks: In group A (alarm and desmopressin) 14 children achieved 4 weeks dry compared to Page 104 of 219 |

| | 6 in group B (alarm), this difference is sig | pificant (p<0.01) |
|--|--|---|
| | | |
| | Relapse: In group A (alarm and desmopressin) 2 cl children relapsed. | nildren relapsed and in group B (alarm) 2 |
| | Change in mean number of dry nights per During the observation period both group number of dry nights of 0.8 and group B (a 1.1. | |
| | At the end of treatment group A (alarm an | d desmopressin) had a mean number of B (alarm). This difference was significant |
| | For children with family and behavioural p Dry for 4 weeks: In group A (alarm and desmopressin) 13 o 4 in group B (alarm). This difference is sig | children achieved 4 weeks dry compared to |
| | Relapse: In group A (alarm and desmopressin) 2 ch children relapsed. | nildren relapsed and in group B (alarm) 2 |
| | | |
| | 2.5. At the end of treatment group A (alarm an dry nights of 6.3 compared to 4.8 in group (P<0.01) | d desmopressin) had a mean number of B (alarm). This difference was significant |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | |
| Does the study answer the question? | In children with behavioural and family pro dry for 4 weeks in alarm and desmopress had alarm with desmopressin had signific alarm therapy alone. There was no difference No difference in relapse | b. Children who had alarm with hights than those who had alarm therapy between the relapse rate and drop out rate. bblems significantly more children became in than the alarm alone group. Children who antly more dry nights than those who had once between the relapse rate. children became dry for 4 weeks in alarm roup. Children who had alarm with hights than those who had alarm therapy |
| Effect due to factor in | Yes. | |
| study? Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies considered this subgrou | p. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 6 - 15 years old. | |
| Internal Validity | | |
| Butler RJ;Forsythe WI;Rober | rtson J; | |
| The body-worn alarm in the t Ref ID 362 | reatment of childhood enuresis | 1990 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding Not reported |
| 00 March 2010 | | |

| Number of participant | In study 1: 40 in total, 20 in each group In study 2: 48 in total, 24 in each group |
|--|--|
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Study 1 Inclusion: wet at least 4 nights a week for a month, normal physical examination, normal urine microscopy, normal intelligence, not previously treated for NE with any conditioning method. |
| Patient Characteristics | Study 1 The mean age was 8.11 years (range 6.1-15.6 years). 63% were boys. In group A the mean age was 8.2 years, the baseline number of dry nights was 1.2 and the male to female ratio was 14:6. In group B the mean age was 9.1 years, the baseline number of dry nights was 0.7 and the male to female ratio was 11:9. |
| Recruitment | Referred as out-patients for treatment of NE. |
| Setting | Leeds, UK, treatment administered at home. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Study 1 Group A: pad and bell alarm Group B: body worn alarm |
| Comparisons | Between group A and B in each study |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children dry for 14 consecutive nights, change in number of wet nights, and relapses. |
| Results | 16 weeks treatment. |
| | Success was reaching 14 consecutive dry nights. |
| | Study 1: Both alarms were 70% effective: 14 out of 20 children became dry for 14 consecutive nights. The drop out rate was 15%: 3 out of 20 children for the pad and bell alarm and 10% 2 out of 20 children for the body-worn alarm. There were no statistical differences between groups. The relapse rates at 6 months were 4 out of 14 children for the pad and bell alarm and 3 out of 14 children for the body-worn alarm. There was no statistical difference between groups. The mean number of wet nights per week at end of treatment for the pad and bell alarm was 1.2 compared to 1 for the body worn alarm. |
| | Study 2: |
| | 16 weeks treatment |
| | 14 consecutive dry nights: In group A (DBT) 14 out of 24 children achieved 14 consecutive dry nights compared to 20 out of 24 in group B (alarm). The difference was not statistically significant. The mean number of wet nights before achieving 14 consecutive dry nights was 53.7 in group A (DBT) and 40.7 in group B (alarm). There was an immediate response with both interventions, and from week 3 the body- worn alarm group consistently achieved more dry nights. The difference was significant at week 3 (t=2.28, df=43, p<0.05); and week 4 (t=2.26, df=42, p<0.05). At week 7 the children in the MDBT group achieved the same number of dry nights as the body-worn alarm group did in week 3. Mean number of wet nights in 16 weeks In group A (DBT) the mean number of wet nights was 28.7 compared to 25 in group B (alarm). The difference was not statistically significant. The mean number of wet nights per week at end of treatment for the alarm was 1.6 compared to 1.8 for the MDBT. Number of children who relapsed: 7 out of 14 of the DBT group relapsed within 6 months, and 9 out of 20 relapsed in the alarm group. Number of drop outs: 1 out of 24 children dropped out of the alarm group compared to 2 out of 24 in the DBT group. |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 106 of 219 |

| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Does the study answer the question? | It compares two types of alarms, the body-worn alarm and the pad and bell (modified dry-bed training). Both were equally effective in the first study (70%) and the second study initial arrest was 58% for the MDBT group and 83% for the body-worn alarm group, however the difference was not significant. The body-worn alarm achieved a greater number of dry nights earlier than the other group. The relapse rate was higher in the second study than the first study, the difference between groups was not significant. | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged between 6.1 years and 15.6 years | | |
| Internal Validity | | | |
| Leebeek-Groenewegen A;Bl | om J;Sukhai R;Van D; | | |
| Efficacy of desmopressin co | mbined with alarm therapy for monosymptomatic nocturr | nal enuresis | |
| Ref ID 258 | | 2001 | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding | Ferring B. V., Hoofddorp, the Netherlands | |
| Number of participant | 93 in total: 47 in group A and 46 in group B. | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: uncomplicated NE, and wet for at least 3 night Exclusion: treatment in previous 2 weeks for NE, day the medication which interacts with desmopressin, underly urological, or psychiatric disease, or insufficient motivation | me wetting, pollakisuria, use of ng cardiovascular, hepatic, | |
| Patient Characteristics | The age range was 6-14 years old. No other characteri | stics given. | |
| Recruitment | Patients seen in 2 specialist enuresis clinics as part of outpatient department. | a Dutch general paediatric | |
| Setting | Treatment administered at home. | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm with desmopressin for 3 weeks, then de then alarm for 3 weeks. Group B: alarm and placebo for 6 weeks then alarm for | - | |
| Comparisons | Between group A and B. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months. | | |
| Outcome measures studied | The number of children who had a greater than 90% re wet nights, mean number of wet nights, and the numbe greater than 90% reduction in mean number of wet night | r of children who had a | |
| Results | The number of children who had a greater than 90% re wet nights: In the alarm and desmopressin group 15 out of 43 child compared to 18 out of 38 in the alarm and placebo grou | fren achieved a 90% reduction | |
| | Mean number of wet nights: The mean number of wet nights in the alarm and desm compared to 2.21 in the alarm and placebo group. | opressin group was 2.77 | |
| | _ | | |

| | The number of children who had a greater than 90% re- | duction in mean number of | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | wet nights at 6 months: In the alarm and desmopressin group 17 out of 41 children achieved a 90% reduction at 6 months compared to 17 out of 37 in the alarm and placebo group. | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None. | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The authors stated that alarm with desmopressin resulted in significantly fewer wet nights during first 3 weeks compared to alarm alone but there was no significant difference at 6 months. There was no difference in the number of children cured at 2 weeks after treatment or at the 6 month follow up. | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged between 6 -14 years old. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment | | |
| Longstaffe S;Moffatt ME;Wh | alen JC; | | |
| Behavioral and self-concept Ref ID 71 | changes after six months of enuresis treatment: a rando | mized, controlled trial 2000 | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding | National Health Research and Development Program and Fering Inc | |
| Number of participant | 182 in total, 61 in group A, 60 in group B | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged over 7 years, monosyptomatic NE, wett week period, normal urinalysis, no history of fecal soilin functioning. Exclusion: neurological or developmental abnormalities mellitus, chronic renal disease, history of constipation, o desmopressin or alarm therapy. | g and signs of normal bladder , diabetes insipidus, diabetes | |
| Patient Characteristics | In group A (alarm): 78.7% were male, 37.7% were first born, 13.4% had a past history of UTI, 24.6% had a history of constipation. 94.9% had tried fluid restriction, 90% had tried lifting, 53.3% had tried behavioural techniques, 3.4% had tried bladder exercises, 20% had tried alarms, 13.3% had tried desmopressin, 14.3% had tried imipramine, and 10.9% had tried oxybutnin. 36.2% had family history of NE on both sides, 41.4% had family history of NE on one side. | | |
| | In group B (intranasal desmopressin): 75% were male, had a past history of UTI, 23.3% had a history of consti- restriction, 86.7% had tried lifting, 58.3% had tried beha had tried bladder exercises, 18.3% had tried alarms, 21 16.7% had tried imipramine, and 8.6% had tried oxybut of NE on both sides, 41.7% had family history of NE on | pation. 90% had tried fluid avioural techniques, 11.7% .7% had tried desmopressin, nin. 41.7% had family history | |
| Recruitment | Phycisian adverts, newspaper adverts, posters and rad | io. | |
| Setting | Treatment administered at home, Canada. | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm Group B: intranasal desmopressin | | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 108 of 219 | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months. |
|--|---|
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children achieveing 14 dry nights, and self concept changes. |
| Results | 6 months treatment |
| | 14 consecutive dry nights: In group A (alarm) 35 children out of 61 (57%) achieved 14 dry nights compared to 29 out of 60 children (48%) in group B (desmopressin). |
| | Drop out: 17 children in total dropped out. 8 were from group A (alarm) and 5 from group B (desmopressin). |
| | Behavioural changes: The behavioural changes were not related to the type of treatment or success of treatment. However there were significant positive changes in intellectual, physical appearance, anxiety, popularity (analysed through the Piers-Harris Subscales). There were also significant positive results on the Achenbach CBCL, Internalizing and Externalizing Behaviour Scores and Social, Thought and Attention Problems Subscales. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | There was no significant difference in the number of children achieving 14 consecutive dry nights between those receiving alarm and those receiving desmopressin. The study also reported a positive change in the children's behaviour; however this was not related to treatment type or outcome success. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar with other studies comparing alarms to desmopressin. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged over 7 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear blinding |
| Lynch NT;Grunert BK;Vasuc | levan SV;Severson RA; |
| Enuresis: comparison of two | treatments |
| Ref ID 137 | 1984 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 60 children; 20 in control group, 20 in classic conditioning treatment, 20 in the bell and pad apparatus. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: wet at least 2 times a week. Exclusion: day time wetting. |
| Patient Characteristics | The age range was 5-12 years. |
| Recruitment | Selected from a pool of children in the first 3 grades at the local school and from paedatric referrals. |
| Setting | At home. |

| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: star chart for 2 weeks and then an alarm Group B: control - no treatment, waiting list group |
|--|---|
| Comparisons | Between groups A and B. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 10 weeks. |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children dry for 14 consecutive dry nights, change in number of wet nights, and drop out rates. |
| Results | 10 weeks treatment |
| | 14 consecutive nights dry: In group A (star chart then alarm) 7 out of 18 children (39%) became dry for 14 nights compared to 0 out of 18 (0%) in group B (control) |
| | Change in number of wet nights: At baseline group A (star chart then alarm) had a mean number of wet nights of 11.11 (SD 2.90), and group B (control) had a mean number of 11.55. During the last week of treatment group A (star chart then alarm) had a mean number of wet nights of 1.69 (SD 2.28) and group B (control) 5.15 (SD 1.5). |
| | Drop outs 1 child dropped out from the alarm group compared to none in the waiting list group. |
| | The study reported that the alarms did malfunction during the treatment which may have affected the results. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that giving the child an alarm was more effective than no treatment. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing alarms to no treatment. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear bllinding |
| Nawaz S;Griffiths P;Tappi | n D; |
| | fied dry-bed training for childhood nocturnal enuresis: Evidence for superiority over urine- lelivery factors are controlled |
| Ref ID 54 | 2002 |
| Study Type Ran | domised Controlled Trial Funding None reported |
| Number of participant | 36 in total, 12 in each of the three study groups. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: functional NE defined in DSM-IV (aged over 5 years, wet at least 2 times a week for 3 months, NE not due to primary medical or physiological pathology), aged between 7-12 years, attending a mainstream school, wetting 4 or more nights a week, no forseen domestic disruption during treatment time, readiness to be involved in trial, bedwetting secondary to organic or psychiatric disorder and those unwilling to cooperate. Exclusion: diurnal enuresis or encopresis. |

| Patient Characteristics | In group A, Dry-bed training (DBT) with alarm, the mean age was 9.93 years (1.81 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.58 (1.31 SD), The mean deprivation category (0-7) was 4.67 (2.15 SD) |
|--|---|
| | In group B (alarm) the mean age was 9.93 years (1.99 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.50 (1.45 SD), The mean deprivation category (0-7) was 4.75 (1.91 SD) |
| | In group C (control-no treatment) the mean age was 9.84 years (1.84 SD), 50% were male, the mean baseline number of wet nights per week was 5.92 (1.08 SD), The mean deprivation category (0-7) was 5.75 (1.71 SD) |
| Recruitment | From nine health centres (GPs, GP nurses, health visitors, community paediatricians) |
| Setting | Scotland, UK, treatment at home. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: DBT with alarm Group B: alarm Group C: control group - no treatment |
| Comparisons | Between groups A, B and C |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months |
| Outcome measures studied | Dry for 14 consecutive nights, change in number of wet nights, and relapse. |
| Results | Patients were treated for 16 weeks or until dry Dry for 14 consecutive nights: In group A (DBT with alarm) 8 out of 12 children (67%) became dry for 14 nights compared to 3 out of 12 children (25%) in group B (alarm) and 1 out of 12 children (8%) in group C (control). This difference was significant (p<0.01) |
| | Change in number of wet nights: The mean number of wet nights for group A (DBT and alarm) was 0.83 (sd 1.4) compared to 3.25 (sd 2.67) for group B (alarm) and 5 (sd 2.26) for group C (control) |
| | Relapse: At 3 month follow up, no children had relapsed. At 6 month follow up 1 child in group A (DBT with alarm) and 1 child in group B (alarm) had relapsed). Both children were wetting 3 nights a week. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that DBT with alarm was significantly more effective at achieving 14 dry nights compared to alarm alone and no treatment. The study also showed that DBT with alarm had a greater reduction in the mean number of wet nights compared to alarm alone and no treatment. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies for this sub group. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The age range was 7-12 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment |
| Ng CFN;Wong SN;Hong Ko | ng Childhood Enuresis Study Group.; |
| | |

Comparing alarms, desmopressin, and combined treatment in Chinese enuretic children

Ref ID 369

2005

| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Hong Kong Paediatric Nephrology Society with a research grant from Ferring Pharmaceuticals Limited |
|---|---------|---|---|---|
| Number of partic | ipant | 105 in total: 35 in group A, 38 in group I | B, 32 in group C | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | ion | Inclusion: Primary NE, age range 7-15 y baseline 2 weeks. Exclusion: UTI in previous 3 months, da urinanalysis, renal disease, previous diu treatment of alarms, desmopressin or tr | y time wetting, p uretics, unwilling | oolyuric disorders, abnormal |
| Patient Characte | ristics | The mean age was 9.5 (1.8 SD) years,a | and the age ran | ge 7-12 years. |
| | | In group A (alarm) the mean age was 9, age range 7-9 years, 40% in 10-12 year and the mean baseline number of wet n | rs and 3% in 13- | 15 years. 63% were boys, |
| | | In group B (desmopressin) the mean ag in the age range 7-9 years, 26% in 10-1 boys, and the mean baseline number of | 2 years and 5% | in 13-15 years. 68% were |
| | | In group C (alarm with desmopressin) the children were in the age range 7-9 years 66% were boys, and the mean baseline SD). | s, 47% in 10-12 | years and 3% in 13-15 years. |
| Recruitment | | Patients presented to 9 public hospitals | in Hong Kong v | vith primary NE. |
| Setting | | Hong Kong, and treatment administered | d at home. | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Gourp A: alarm Group B: oral desmopressin Group C: alarm with oral desmopressin | | |
| Comparisons | | Between groups A, B and C. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 1 | 12 weeks. | | |
| Outcome measur studied | es | Number of children who were dry for 14 nights, drop out, and relapse. | consecutive nig | hts, change in number of wet |
| Results | | 12 weeks treatment | | |
| | | Dry for 14 consecutive nights: In group A (alarm) 8 out of 35 children a 38 children, in group B (desmopressin) and desmopressin). This difference was | and 20 out of 32 | 2 children in group C (alarm |
| | | The mean number of wet nights per wee 2.8 (SD 2.2) compared to 2.7 (SD 2.4) f for the desmopressin and alarm group. | | |
| | | Drop out: 12 children dropped out in total, 7 out of (5%) from group B (desmopressin) and desmopressin). | | |
| | | Relapse: In the alarm group 0 out of 8 children re in the desmopressin group and 7 out of | | |
| Safety and adver effects | rse | None. | | |

| Does the study answer the quest | ion? | The study showed that significantly more patients achieved if they were treated with alarm or desmopressin alone 52.6%. The study also showed that there was a signification of wet nights during the last during the first 4 weeks of follow up, with patients being desmopressin being significantly more successful that | (71% compare cant difference 4 weeks of tre g treated with | ed to 42.9% and between atment and alarm and |
|--|-----------|---|---|---|
| Effect due to factor study? | or in | Yes. | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | Similar to other studies with same comparison. | | |
| Directly applicabl guideline populat | | Age range was 7-15 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | | Unclear blinding | | |
| Sukhai RN;Mol J;Ha | rris AS; | | | |
| Combined therapy of | f enuresi | s alarm and desmopressin in the treatment of nocturna | l enuresis | |
| Ref ID 353 | | | | 1989 |
| Study Type | Randor | nised Controlled Trial Funding | Ferring B.V. provided Mi pipettes | |
| Number of partici | pant | 28 in total: 28 in each group. Patients switched groups | after 2 weeks | |
| Inclusion/Exclusi Criteria | on | Inclusion: normal urine concentration capacity of 800 r more nights a week, no neurological or renal disorder, no chronic urinary tract infection, and no neurological | no history of c | laytime wetting, |
| Patient Character | istics | The mean age was 11 (2.4 SD) years, 75% were boys school and 29% attended classes or schools for those had a positive family history of bed wetting and 65% h for NE. The mean number of dry nights per week befo (0.3 SD). | with learning ad previously t | difficulties. 31% ried treatment |
| Recruitment | | Not reported. | | |
| Setting | | Holland, treatment administered at home. | | |
| Interventions/ Tes Factor being investigated | st/ | Group A: alarm with desmopressin. Group B: alarm with placebo. | | |
| Comparisons | | Between groups A and B. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | 6 months follow up. | | |
| Outcome measure studied | es | The mean number of dry nights per week. | | |
| Results | | 2 weeks of each treatment with 2 week washout. | | |
| | | The mean number of dry nights per week: In the alarm and desmopressin the mean number of d 0.4) compared to 4.1 (sd 0.4) in the alarm and placebo | | eek was 5.1 (sd |
| Safety and adverse effects | se | None reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the quest | ion? | Alarm and desmopressin had a greater number of dry compared to alarm and placebo. | nights after tre | eatment |
| 08 March 2010 | | Page 113 of 219 | | |

| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range was 7-16 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment |

Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias*

Baker BL;

| Symptom treatmen Ref ID 340 | t and sym | nptom substitution in enuresis | | 1969 |
|---|-----------|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | None reported |
| Number of partic | cipant | 30 patients in total: 14 in each of the two g | roups. | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | Patients were excluded if there was an org | janic cause o | f wetting. |
| Patient Characte | eristics | 67% were boys. The median age was 8 ye had secondary enuresis. More than half th | | |
| Recruitment | | From newpaper adverts. | | |
| Setting | | At home, USA | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Group A: alarm Group B: control group - not treatment (wa The study also considered regular waking relevant comparator | aiting list) which was no | ot included as it is not a |
| Comparisons | | Between groups A and B | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | / | 10 weeks. | | |
| Outcome measur studied | res | Mean number of wet nights, numbers of ch nights, and relapse. | nildren who w | ere dry for 14 consecutive |
| Results | | 10 weeks treatment | | |
| | | Dry for 14 consecutive nights: In group A (alarm) 11 out of 14 children be of 14 children in group B (control). | ecame dry for | 14 nights compared to 0 out |
| | | Mean number of wet nights: In group A (alarm) the mean number of we 5.9 in group B (control). | et nights per 3 | weeks was 1.8 compared to |
| | | Relapsed: In total, 4 patients relapsed | | |
| Safety and adve effects | rse | None reported | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | stion? | Significantly more children became dry for compared to no treatment (79% compared | | en treated with alarm therapy |
| Effect due to fac study? | tor in | Yes. | | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | er | Similar to other studies with same compar | rison. | |
| Directly applicat guideline popula | | The age range was 6-12 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | | Unclear allocation concealment and blind | ing | |
| 08 March 2010 | | Page 115 of 219 | | |

Bennett GA;Walkden VJ;Curtis RH;Burns LE;Rees.J.;Gosling JA;

Pad-and-buzzer training, dry-bed training, and stop-start training in the treatment of primary nocturnal enuresis Ref ID 360 1985

| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | None reported |
|---|---------|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Number of partic | ipant | 40 in total: 9 in group A (pub and buzzer tr 10 in group C (dry bed training), 9 in group | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusi Criteria | ion | Inclusion: primary NE, not dry for more tha night baseline, negligible daytime wetting. Exclusion: encopresis, previous behaviour | | |
| Patient Character | ristics | 63% were boys. The mean age was 8.5 (3 years. | .2 SD) years | and the age range was 5-12 |
| Recruitment | | Referred from GP. | | |
| Setting | | Treatment administered at home, Rochdal | e UK. | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Group A: alarm (pad and buzzer) Group B: dry bed training (DBT) with alarm The study also considered star charts, bla exercises which was not included as it is n | dder training | • |
| Comparisons | | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | 12 weeks | | |
| Outcome measure studied | es | Number of children achieving 14 consecut at follow up,and drop outs. | ive dry nights | , mean number of dry nights |
| Results | | 12 weeks treatment | | |
| | | Results: Dry for 14 consecutive nights: In group A (alarm) 4 out of 9 children, bec of 10 children, in group B (DBT with alarm) | | 4 nights compared to 5 out |
| | | Drop out: 32 children in total dropped out In group A (alarm) 9 children, dropped out with alarm) | compared to | 10 children in group B (DBT |
| | | Mean number of wet nights: The mean number of wet nights per week 1 (SD 1.95) compared to 1.4 (SD 1.8) for t 1.5) for the no treatment alarm group. | at end of trea he dry bed tra | tment for the alarm group was aining group and 5.15 (SD |
| Safety and adver effects | se | None reported | | |
| Does the study answer the quest | tion? | Both alarm alone and DBT with alarm gave (44% and 50%). All patients in the treatme of dry nights over the 12 week follow up, w wet nights then DBT with alarm. There was drop outs in each group. | ent groups sav vith alarms ha | w an increase in the number wing the highest number of |
| Effect due to fact study? | tor in | Yes. | | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | r | Similar to other studies with same compared | rison. | |

| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Yes the age range was 5-12 years | old. | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment ar | nd blinding | |
| Bollard J;Nettelbeck T; | | | |
| A comparison of dry-bed tra Ref ID 371 | ining and standard urine-alarm condi | tioning treatment of | childhood bedwetting 1981 |
| Study Type Rando | omised Controlled Trial | Funding | Research undertaken as part requirement for the degree of doctor of philosophy. |
| Number of participant | 120 children: 20 in each of the 6 gr | oups. | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: through medical examina treatment during study. Exclusion: organic causes of NE. | ation, wet at least 1 r | night a week, and no other |
| Patient Characteristics | In group A (DBT with therapist in he were male. The baseline mean num In group B (DBT with therapist in he 13 were male. The baseline mean in group C (DBT with parents as the years and 16 were male. The base In group D (DBT with parents as the mean age of 8.6 years and 14 were was 5.7. In group E (alarm) children had a r baseline mean number of wet night In group F (waiting list) children had | nber of wet nights wa ospital) children had number of wet nights erapist in home) chil line mean number of erapist in home with e male. The baseline mean age of 8.8 yea ts was 6.0. d a mean age of 8.1 | as 5.8. a mean age of 8.11 years a s was 5.2. dren had a mean age of 9.7 f wet nights was 6.0. out alarm) children had a e mean number of wet nights rs and 14 were male. The |
| Recruitment | Children who were outpatients of th | ne Adelaide Children | 's Hospital. |
| Setting | Outpatient service of Adelaide Chile | dren's Hospital | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: DBT with therapist in hor Group B: DBT with therapist in hos Group C: DBT with parents as thera Group D: DBT with parents as thera Group E: Alarm Group F: Waiting list | pital apist in home | ıt alarm |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Follow up at 3, 6 and 12 months. | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children achieving 14 co per week at the end of week 20, an | | |
| Results | Dry bed training included: waking s practice and cleanliness training | chedule, retention c | ontrol training, positive |
| | Treatment was until patient achieve | ed 14 consecutive dr | y nights or for 20 weeks |
| | 14 consecutive dry nights: In group A (DBT with therapist in he nights compared to 20 out of 20 in 20 in group C (DBT with parents as with parents as therapist in home w 2 out of 20 in group F (waiting list). | group B (DBT with th therapist in home), /ithout alarm), 16 ou | nerapist in hospital), 20 out 5 out of 20 in group D (DBT |
| 08 March 2010 | Mean number of wet nights per we In group A (DBT with therapist in ho Page 117 of 219 | | |

| | compared to 0 in group B (DBT with therapist in hospital), 0 in group C (DBT with |
|---|---|
| | parents as therapist in home), 3.8 in group D (DBT with parents as therapist in home without alarm), 0.6 in group E (alarm) and 4.4 in group F (waiting list). |
| | Number of children who relapsed: In group A (DBT with therapist in home) 5 out of 20 relapsed compared to 6 out of 20 in group B (DBT with therapist in hospital), 4 out of 20 in group C (DBT with parents as therapist in home), 2 out of 5 in group D (DBT with parents as therapist in home without alarm), 6 out of 16 in group E (alarm) and 2 out of 2 in group F (waiting list). |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | Study showed children treated with DBT and an alarm were more likely to achieve 14 consecutive dry nights and have fewer wet nights compared to children treated with DBT and no alarm, alarm or no treatment. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to results from other studies comparing DBT with an alarm to an alarm and control groups. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Mean ages of children in each group ranged from 8.1 to 9.7. |
| Internal Validity | No blinding, unclear allocation concealment. |
| Bollard J;Nettelbeck T; | |
| A component analysis of dry | r-bed training for treatment for bedwetting |
| Ref ID 342 | 1982 |
| | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported |
| Study Type Rando | Funding Not reported N=127 children; 35 in standard conditioning group, 12 in waking schedule, 12 in retention control training, 12 in positive practice and clanliness training, 12 in waking and retention control training, 12 in waking, positive practice and cleanliness training, 12 in retention control training, positive practice and cleanliness traing, 20 in dry bed training. |
| | N=127 children; 35 in standard conditioning group, 12 in waking schedule, 12 in retention control training, 12 in positive practice and clanliness training, 12 in waking and retention control training, 12 in waking, positive practice and cleanliness training, 12 in retention control training, positive practice and cleanliness traing, 20 in dry bed |
| Number of participant | N=127 children; 35 in standard conditioning group, 12 in waking schedule, 12 in retention control training, 12 in positive practice and clanliness training, 12 in waking and retention control training, 12 in waking, positive practice and cleanliness training, 12 in retention control training, positive practice and cleanliness traing, 20 in dry bed training. Organic causes of nocturnal enuresis excluded |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | N=127 children; 35 in standard conditioning group, 12 in waking schedule, 12 in retention control training, 12 in positive practice and clanliness training, 12 in waking and retention control training, 12 in waking, positive practice and cleanliness training, 12 in retention control training, positive practice and cleanliness traing, 20 in dry bed training. Organic causes of nocturnal enuresis excluded Daytime wetting exclusion not mentioned. Children with thorough medical examination; regularly wetting at least one night per |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | N=127 children; 35 in standard conditioning group, 12 in waking schedule, 12 in retention control training, 12 in positive practice and clanliness training, 12 in waking and retention control training, 12 in waking, positive practice and cleanliness training, 12 in retention control training, positive practice and cleanliness traing, 20 in dry bed training. Organic causes of nocturnal enuresis excluded Daytime wetting exclusion not mentioned. Children with thorough medical examination; regularly wetting at least one night per week; no other treatment during trial. Previous treatment: no details. Mean age A: 9.3 B: 8.11 C: 9.7 |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics | N=127 children; 35 in standard conditioning group, 12 in waking schedule, 12 in retention control training, 12 in positive practice and clanliness training, 12 in waking and retention control training, 12 in waking, positive practice and cleanliness training, 12 in retention control training, positive practice and cleanliness traing, 20 in dry bed training. Organic causes of nocturnal enuresis excluded Daytime wetting exclusion not mentioned. Children with thorough medical examination; regularly wetting at least one night per week; no other treatment during trial. Previous treatment: no details. Mean age A: 9.3 B: 8.11 C: 9.7 D: 8.6 |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ | N=127 children; 35 in standard conditioning group, 12 in waking schedule, 12 in retention control training, 12 in positive practice and clanliness training, 12 in waking and retention control training, 12 in waking, positive practice and cleanliness training, 12 in retention control training, positive practice and cleanliness traing, 20 in dry bed training. Organic causes of nocturnal enuresis excluded Daytime wetting exclusion not mentioned. Children with thorough medical examination; regularly wetting at least one night per week; no other treatment during trial. Previous treatment: no details. Mean age A: 9.3 B: 8.11 C: 9.7 D: 8.6 Not reported |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting | N=127 children; 35 in standard conditioning group, 12 in waking schedule, 12 in retention control training, 12 in positive practice and clanliness training, 12 in waking and retention control training, 12 in waking, positive practice and cleanliness training, 12 in retention control training, positive practice and cleanliness training, 20 in dry bed training. Organic causes of nocturnal enuresis excluded Daytime wetting exclusion not mentioned. Children with thorough medical examination; regularly wetting at least one night per week; no other treatment during trial. Previous treatment: no details. Mean age A: 9.3 B: 8.11 C: 9.7 D: 8.6 Not reported Australia, treatment at home |

| Comparisons | Between treatment groups |
|---|--|
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Duration of treatment: until 14 consecutive dry nights or 20 weeks. Follow-up at 3, 6 and 12 months |
| Outcome measures studied | 14 consecutive dry nights, mean number of wet nights |
| Results | DBT compared to alarm only. DBT significantly more effective in terms of number of wet nights and days to dryness compared to alarm. |
| | Mean number of wet nights per week at the end of week 20. (including drop-outs) A:0; B:0; C:0; D: (n=20) 3.8; E: 0.6; F:4.4 (excluding drop-outs) A:0; B:0; C:0; D: (n=8) 1.3; E:0.6; F:4.4 |
| | Number achieving 14 consecutive dry nights: A:20; B:20; C:20; D:5; E:16; F:2. p<0.05. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | DBT compared to alarm only. DBT significantly more effective in terms of number of wet nights and days to dryness. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to results in Bolard 1981 |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Mean age range of 8.6 to 9.7 years |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding. |
| Danquah SA; | |
| Comparative treatment of no Ref ID 364 | octurnal enuresis among Ghanaian school children 1975 |
| | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding None reported |
| Study TypeRandoNumber of participant | mised Controlled Trial Funding None reported 30 boys, 10 in each treatment group (three goups) |
| | |
| Number of participant | 30 boys, 10 in each treatment group (three goups) Inclusion: boys with enuresis |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | 30 boys, 10 in each treatment group (three goups) Inclusion: boys with enuresis Exclusion: those who were undergoing tradional treatment |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics | 30 boys, 10 in each treatment group (three goups) Inclusion: boys with enuresis Exclusion: those who were undergoing tradional treatment The mean age was 10.4 years, the mean IQ is 85.4 (20.12 SD) |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment | 30 boys, 10 in each treatment group (three goups) Inclusion: boys with enuresis Exclusion: those who were undergoing tradional treatment The mean age was 10.4 years, the mean IQ is 85.4 (20.12 SD) From a fishing village in Ghana |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being | 30 boys, 10 in each treatment group (three goups) Inclusion: boys with enuresis Exclusion: those who were undergoing tradional treatment The mean age was 10.4 years, the mean IQ is 85.4 (20.12 SD) From a fishing village in Ghana Ghanian fishing community, at home Group A: amitripyline Group B: alarm The study also looked at shaming which is not a relevent comparison so results are |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | 30 boys, 10 in each treatment group (three goups) Inclusion: boys with enuresis Exclusion: those who were undergoing tradional treatment The mean age was 10.4 years, the mean IQ is 85.4 (20.12 SD) From a fishing village in Ghana Ghanian fishing community, at home Group A: amitripyline Group B: alarm The study also looked at shaming which is not a relevent comparison so results are not reported |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ | 30 boys, 10 in each treatment group (three goups) Inclusion: boys with enuresis Exclusion: those who were undergoing tradional treatment The mean age was 10.4 years, the mean IQ is 85.4 (20.12 SD) From a fishing village in Ghana Ghanian fishing community, at home Group A: amitripyline Group B: alarm The study also looked at shaming which is not a relevent comparison so results are not reported Between treatment groups. |

| Results | 7 weeks treatment |
|---|--|
| | The mean number of wet nights per week at the end of treatment was 3.2 for the alarm group and 4 for the amitripyline group. |
| | The median number of days for initial arrest were 15.5 for alarm therapy and 20 for amitriptyline |
| | Follow-up was conducted after 3 months after treatment. Alarm therapy was the only treatment that was continuously successful. The post-treatment ranking was 3.20 and following treatment was 1.49, t=3.98, p<0.001. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The alarm was found to be quicker and more effective than amitriptyline. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes (NB there is a spontaneous 15% cure rate) |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The study only included boys, the mean age was 10.4 years |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Fielding D; | |
| | ght wetting children and children who wet only at night to retention control training and |
| the enuresis alarm | |
| the enuresis alarm Ref ID 146 | 1980 |
| Ref ID 146 | 1980 omised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. |
| Ref ID 146 | |
| Ref ID 146 Study Type Rando | omised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. |
| Ref ID 146 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion | Funding Not reported. 45 patients who only night time wet, and 30 patients who night and day time wet. Patients had to wet the bed at least once a week. They had to be aged between 5 and 15 years, have no UTI, no evidence of organic cause of NE and have not been |
| Ref ID 146 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Image Controlled TrialFundingNot reported.45 patients who only night time wet, and 30 patients who night and day time wet.Patients had to wet the bed at least once a week. They had to be aged between 5 and 15 years, have no UTI, no evidence of organic cause of NE and have not been treated in the previous 12 months. Children who day time wet only were excluded.Of the night time wetters: 30 patients were male, the age ranged from 5 years and 2 months to 13 years and 10 months. The mean age of males was 9.08 ± 4.54 years and in females was 7.96 ± 5.53 years.Of day time and night time wetters: 24 were female. The age ranged from 5 years to 12 years and 5 months. The mean age of males was 7.96 ± 2.40 years and in |
| Ref ID 146 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics | Image Not reported.45 patients who only night time wet, and 30 patients who night and day time wet.45 patients had to wet the bed at least once a week. They had to be aged between 5 and 15 years, have no UTI, no evidence of organic cause of NE and have not been treated in the previous 12 months. Children who day time wet only were excluded.Of the night time wetters: 30 patients were male, the age ranged from 5 years and 2 months to 13 years and 10 months. The mean age of males was 9.08 ± 4.54 years and in females was 7.96 ± 5.53 years.Of day time and night time wetters: 24 were female. The age ranged from 5 years to 12 years and 5 months. The mean age of males was 7.96 ± 2.40 years and in females was 7.08 ± 2.83 years.Patients were referred by paediatricians and psychiatrists to 2 clinics set up for the |
| Ref ID 146 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment | Image Controlled TrialFundingNot reported.45 patients who only night time wet, and 30 patients who night and day time wet.Patients had to wet the bed at least once a week. They had to be aged between 5 and 15 years, have no UTI, no evidence of organic cause of NE and have not been treated in the previous 12 months. Children who day time wet only were excluded.Of the night time wetters: 30 patients were male, the age ranged from 5 years and 2 months to 13 years and 10 months. The mean age of males was 9.08 ± 4.54 years and in females was 7.96 ± 5.53 years.Of day time and night time wetters: 24 were female. The age ranged from 5 years to 12 years and 5 months. The mean age of males was 7.96 ± 2.40 years and in females was 7.08 ± 2.83 years.Patients were referred by paediatricians and psychiatrists to 2 clinics set up for the study. |
| Ref ID 146 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being | Funding Not reported. 45 patients who only night time wet, and 30 patients who night and day time wet. Patients had to wet the bed at least once a week. They had to be aged between 5 and 15 years, have no UTI, no evidence of organic cause of NE and have not been treated in the previous 12 months. Children who day time wet only were excluded. Of the night time wetters: 30 patients were male, the age ranged from 5 years and 2 months to 13 years and 10 months. The mean age of males was 9.08 ± 4.54 years and in females was 7.96 ± 5.53 years. Of day time and night time wetters: 24 were female. The age ranged from 5 years to 12 years and 5 months. The mean age of males was 7.96 ± 2.40 years and in females was 7.08 ± 2.83 years. Patients were referred by paediatricians and psychiatrists to 2 clinics set up for the study. Treatment administered at home, Liverpool, UK. Group A: retention control and alarm |

| Outcome measures studied | Number of children achieving 14 consecutive dry nights, drop out rates and relapse rates. |
|--|---|
| Results | 14 weeks treatment |
| | The patients were treated for 4 weeks of retention control training and 14 weeks with the alarm. The results are reported in two subgroups, patients who have only night time wetting and those who have both night and day time wetting. After one month all children received the alarm only intervention. |
| | For patients with night time only wetting: 14 consecutive dry nights: In group A (retention control with alarm) 11 out of 16 children (69%) became dry for 14 nights compared to 14 out of 17 children (82%) in group B (alarm). |
| | Drop out: 24.4% dropped out in total (11 out of 45 children) |
| | Relapse: At 6 month follow up 5 out of 14 (35.7%) had relapsed in the alarm group compared to 3 out of 11 (27.7%) in the alarm and RCT group. At 12 month follow up 8 out of 14 (57.1%) had relapsed in the alarm group compared to 4 out of 11 (36.4%) in the alarm and RCT group. These differences are not significant. |
| | For patients with night and day time wetting: 14 consecutive dry nights: In group A (retention control with alarm) 6 out of 8 children (75%) became dry for 14 nights compared to 3 out of 8 children (38%) in group B (alarm). |
| | Drop out: 40% dropped out in total (12 out of 30 children) |
| | Relapse: At 6 month follow up 2 out of 3 children (66.7%) had relapsed in the alarm group compared to 4 out of 6 children (66.7%) in the alarm and RCT group. At 12 month follow up 2 out of 3 children (66.7%) had relapsed in the alarm group compared to 4 out of 6 children (66.7%) in the alarm and RCT group. There is no difference in the two treatment groups. However children with day and night time wetting relapsed earlier than those who just night time wet. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study shows that for children who wet only at night time, alarms are more effective at achieving 14 consecutive dry nights. However for children who night and day time wet, retention control and alarm treatment is more effective. There was not significant differences between treatment groups in the number of relapses, but children who night and day time wet relapsed earlier than those who only night time wet. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Population was correct (boys and girls aged between 5 and 15 years old). |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Fournier JP;Garfinkel BD;Bo | ond A;Beauchesne H;Shapiro SK; |
| Pharmacological and behav | vioral management of enuresis |

Pharmacological and behavioral management of enuresis

Ref ID 346

| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported |
|---|---------|---|---|--|
| Number of partic | cipant | 64 in total, 8 in each group | | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | ion | Inclusion: aged between 5 and 14 yea neurological disorder, at least 2 wet ni treatment in previous 3 months, no sig retardation, imformed consent to rand | ights a week for p gnificant cognitive | revious 6 months, no impairment or mental |
| Patient Characte | ristics | 73% were boys, the mean age was 8. biological parent, 14% lived with a sin second eldest child in their family, 779 and 61% had another relative with en | gle parent, 83% v % had had a first o | vere either the oldest or |
| Recruitment | | Newspaper adverts and referred from | paediatricians. | |
| Setting | | Treatment administered at home, Mor | ntreal Canada. | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Group A: imipramine Group B: alarm Group C: alarm with imipramine The study also considered placebo ar included as not relevant comparators. | | , these have not been |
| Comparisons | | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 1 | 3 months. | | |
| Outcome measur studied | es | Change in number of wet nights, and | drop outs. | |
| Results | | 6 weeks treatment | | |
| | | In the alarm group 1 out of 8 children imipramine group and 0 out of 8 in the | | |
| | | In the alarm group the mean number of was 2.5 compared to 1.9 in the imipra group. | | |
| | | Drop out: In total 4 boys dropped out due to side out due to having a UTI. | e-effects or non-c | ompliance and 1 girl dropped |
| Safety and adver effects | rse | None reported | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | tion? | The study showed that imipramine had However at 4 weeks the most effective and imipramine alone. At the 3 month alarm and imipramine. | e treatments were | alarm, alarm with imipramine |
| Effect due to fac study? | tor in | Yes. | | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | er | No other similar studies. | | |
| Directly applicat guideline popula | | Children were aged 5 - 14 years old. | | |
| Internal Validity | | Unclear allocation concealment and l | blinding | |
| Geffken G:Johnson | SB:Wal | ker D: | | |

Geffken G;Johnson SB;Walker D;

Behavioral interventions for childhood nocturnal enuresis: the differential effect of bladder capacity on treatment progress and outcome

Ref ID 121

| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported |
|---|---------|---|--|--|
| Number of partic | cipant | 50 in total: 10 patients dropped out which | left 10 in eacl | n of the four groups |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | ion | Inclusion: aged 5-13 years, NE for at leas week. | t 3 months, ar | nd wetting at least 2 times a |
| Patient Characte | ristics | 66% were boys. There was no significant age or sex. | difference bet | ween the groups in terms of |
| Recruitment | | Referral from departments of paedatrics f Virgina. | rom Univeristy | of Florida and Univeristy of |
| Setting | | Florida and Virgina, USA, at home | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Group A: alarm (large maximal functional Group B: alarm (small maximal functiona Group C: alarm with retention control (lar Group D: alarm with retention control (sn | l bladder capa ge maximal fu | acity) Inctional bladder capacity) |
| Comparisons | | Between group A and C and between gro | oup B and D. | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 1 | 8 weeks or more. | | |
| Outcome measur studied | es | Number of children dry for 14 consecutive and relapse. | e nights, chang | ge in number of wet nights, |
| Results | | 14 weeks treatment | | |
| | | Over all 92.5% (37 out of the 40) patients patients who did not become dry during tr (16 out of 39) patients relapsed during an more nights during a 2 week period). | eatment beca | me dry during followup. 41% |
| | | The patients were divided into two groups bladder capacity and those with a small n | | |
| | | Dry for 14 consecutive nights: For patients with large maximal bladder c and alarm with retention control) 9 out of nights. For patients with small maximal bl alarm treatment 10 out of 10 patients bec 10 in the group which had alarm with rete | 10 patients be adder capacity ame dry for 14 | came dry for 14 consecutive y in the group which had 1 nights compared to 9 out of |
| | | Change in number of wet nights: For patients with a large bladder capacity at end of treatment for the alarm group wa control and alarm group. At follow up the 1.5 for the alarm group and 0 for the reter | as 3.2 compar mean number | ed to 2.9 for the retention of wet nights per week was |
| | | For patients with a small bladder capacity at end of treatment for the alarm group we control and alarm group. At follow up the 1.7 for the alarm group and 1.3 for the ret | as 3.4 compar mean number | ed to 3 for the retention of wet nights per week was |
| | | The study showed the relationship during bladder capacity and change in number or p<0.03, this relationship was also shown 4.74 p<0.04 | of wet nights w | as significant F(1,33) = 4.90 |
| | | Relapse: For patients with large maximal bladder c alone had the smallest relapse rate (33% control had a 40% relapse rate. | | |

| | For patients with small maximal bladder capacity the g largest relapse rate of the study (60%) where as the g retention control had the smallest relapse rate (33%). | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Overall 92.5% of all patients achieved 14 consecutive dry nights The study concluded that for patients with a small maximal bladder capacity there was some advantage to treating with alarm and retention control, but for patients with large maximal bladder capacity combining alarm with retention control was not more successful than alarm treatment alone. | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies with same comparators. | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children's age range was 5-13 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | | |
| Houts AC;Peterson JK;Whe | lan JP; | | |
| Prevention of relapse in full- Ref ID 363 | spectrum home training for primary enuresis | 1986 | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding | Faculty Research Grant from Memphis State University and from the Centre for Applied Phychological Research made avaliable through the Centers of Excellence Program of the State of Tennessee. | |
| Number of participant | 56 patients in total, 15 in group A (bell and pad treatment alone), 15 in group B (bell and pad treatment plus retention control training), 15 in bell and pad treatment plus retention control training and overlearning and 11 in the control group. | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary enuresis. | | |
| Patient Characteristics | In the treatment groups there were 35 males and 10 females. The mean age for the males was 8.35 (2.54 SD) years and for females was 9.06 (2.72 SD) and range for both was 5-13 years old. Most were wet every night and none had been dry for 2 or more months. In the control group the mean number of wet nights per week was 5.41 (1.63 SD). The groups (treatment and control) did not vary significantly in age, gender, socioeconomic status and family history of enuresis. | | |
| Recruitment | From paediatric referrals to the Memphis State University Enuresis Clinic and from media annoucements | | |
| Setting | At home, USA. | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm with retention control training Group B: alarm Group C: control group - no treatment (waiting list) | | |
| Comparisons | Between groups A, B and C. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 1 year. | | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 124 of 219 | | |

| Outcome measures studied | Number of children achieving 14 dry consecutive nights, number not dry for 14 consecutive nights, drop outs and relapse. |
|--|--|
| Results | Duration of treatment was 16 weeks. |
| | 14 consecutive dry nights: 9 out of 15 children in the alarm only group achieved 14 nights dry compared with 13 out of 15 in alarm with retention control group and 0 out of 11 in the control goup. |
| | Drop out: 3 out of 15 children in the alarm only group dropped out compared with 2 out of 15 children in alarm with retention control group and 0 out of 11 children in the control group. |
| | Patients who failed to be dry for 14 nights tended to be older (mean = 9.58 years SD 3.22), drop outs tended to be younger (mean 6.88 years SD 1.5) compared with patients who achieved 14 dry nights (mean 8.58 years SD 2.57). |
| | Relapse: Relapse was defined as wet at least 1 night in each of 2 consecutive nights At 6 months: 3 out of 9 children in the alarm only group relapsed compared with 5 out of 13 in alarm with retention control group. |
| | At 1 year: 3 out of 9 children in the alarm only group relapsed compared with 6 out of 13 children in alarm with retention control group. |
| | There was a higher relapse rate in patients who had alarm with retention control compared to those who had alarm therapy alone (62% compared to 44%). However the authors state that having retention control therapy delayed the onset of relapse (mean - 9 days (SD 5.05) for alarm alone, 22.88 days (SD 26.13) for alarm and retention control) |
| | Relapse patients tended to be younger than those who did not relapse (mean 7.78 years SD 2.37 compared to 9.25 years SD 2.6; $p < 0.12$). |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that patients were more likely to achieve 14 nights dry if they were treated with alarm and retention control (87% compared to 60% for alarm or alarm with retention control and over learning). The study also showed that patients were less likely to relapse if they were treated with alarm and retention control and over learning. Patients who had alarm only were less likely to relapse compared to those who had alarm and retention control |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies with same comparison. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Yes age group was 5-13 years old. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Jehu D;Morgan RT;Turner R | K;Jones A; |
| A controlled trial of the treat | ment of nocturnal enuresis in residential homes for children |
| Ref ID 156 | 1977 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Department of Health and Social Security and the City of Birmingham Social Services Committee. |

| Number of participant | 39 patients in total: 19 in group A and 20 in group B. |
|--|---|
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged over 4 years old, wetting at least 4 times a night, attending a normal school (not a special school), have not tried alarm treatment in the previous year, and no gross handicap. |
| Patient Characteristics | In the treatment froup there were 8 boys and 11 grils, in the control group there were 17 boys and 3 girls The mean age was 9 years and 4 months, (the range was 4 years and 9 months to 14 years and 7 months) |
| Recruitment | A survery of children in children's home under Birmingham City Social Services was carried out to identify children who met the criteria. |
| Setting | Children's home in Birmingham, UK. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm Group B: control - no treatment |
| Comparisons | Between group A and B |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 20 months |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children achieving 14 consecutive dry nights, drop outs, mean number of dry nights, and number relapsing. |
| Results | Patients were treated with the alarm for 3-4 months, until success was achieved. |
| | 14 consecutive dry nights: In the alarm group 95% (18 out 19) achieved 14 dry nights compared to 0% (0 out of 20) in the control group. NB the child who failed had absconded from the children's home |
| | Relapse At 6 months 17% had relapsed (3 out of 18 children) |
| | Change in mean number of wet nights: The authors reported a significant reduction in the number of wet nights for the treatment group (F=16.5068, df = 11,187, p<0.001) The mean number of wet nights for the control group was not significant (F=0.9678, df = 11,209, p>0.05) |
| | Drop outs: 1 child in the alarm group absconded from the childrens home and was treated a failure. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The alarm group was treated until sucessful. Therefore 18 out of 19 children acieved 14 nights dry (1 child absconded from the nursing home) and there was a 17% relapse rate. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar results as to other studies comparing alarm to no treatment. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Correct population - children were aged over 4 years old. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Kolvin I;Taunch J;Currah J;C | Garside RF;Nolan J;Shaw WB; |

Enuresis: a descriptive analysis and a controlled trial

Ref ID 349

| Study Type | Randomised Controlled Trial | Funding | Partially funded by Geigy | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Number of particip | ant 94 in total: 35 in group A, 32 i | 94 in total: 35 in group A, 32 in group B and 27 in group C. | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusio Criteria | n Inclusion: aged between 8 an | d 10 years. | | | |
| Patient Characteris | Most of the patients had poor the mean number of children 14 children had divorced pare | d poor toilet facilities, with 35 patients having out-door toilets ildren per family was 3.9. | | | |
| Recruitment | Through a survey of schools. | | | | |
| Setting | At home | | | | |
| Interventions/ Test Factor being investigated | J Group A: imipramine Group B: alarm (pad and buz: Group C: placebo | zer) | | | |
| Comparisons | Between groups A, B and C | | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 4 months. | | | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Mean number of wet nights. | | | | |
| Results | 10% of patients were lost at 4 | month follow up. | | | |
| | The number of children who h nights: In the imipramine group 16 ou improvement in the number o | It of 35 children achieved | a greater than 80% | | |
| | Mean number of wet nights at In the imipramine group the m to 2.3 (sd 3.2). | | s was 2.3 (sd 3.5) compared | | |
| | Mean number of wet nights at In the imipramine group the m compared to 2.3 (sd 2.3) in th | nean number of wet nights | s at follow up was 3.35 (sd 3) | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | e None reported. | | | | |
| Does the study answer the question | The study showed that the ala improvement after treatment v improvement initially but a larg group's improvement was see | was stopped. The imipram ge decline after treatment | nine group had a rapid was stopped. The placebo | | |
| Effect due to facto study? | r in Yes. | | | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies with s | same comparison. | | | |
| Directly applicable guideline population | | 8 and 10 years. | | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealme | ent and blinding | | | |
| Moffatt ME;Kato C;Ple 08 March 2010 | ess IB; Page 127 of 2 | 19 | | | |

Improvements in self-concept after treatment of nocturnal enuresis: randomized controlled trial

| Ref ID 118 | | | | 1987 |
|--|-----------|--|---|---|
| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | W. T. Grant Foundation of New York |
| Number of part | ticipant | 121 in total, 66 in group A and 55 in g | Iroup B | |
| Inclusion/Exclu Criteria | usion | Inclusion: primary NE, aged 8-14 yea or English. | rs, and parents we | ere proficient in either French |
| Patient Charac | teristics | Age range was 8-14 years and 7 patie The study reported there was no sign and control group in age, social class parent families. | ificant difference b | between the treatment group |
| Recruitment | | Patients were referred to the enuresis | s clinic of the Mont | real Children's Hospital. |
| Setting | | Montreal Children's hospital, Canada, | , and at home | |
| Interventions/ Factor being investigated | Test/ | Group A: conditioning alarm (Nytone dry nights were achieved. Then the "c having the child drink 3 to 4 glasses of 14 consecutive nights occurred.Blado were added for a few patients with da conditioning after 3 months. Group B: control - no treatment | overlearning" proc of liquid before bea ler control exercis | edure (which consists of d) was followed until a further es and anticholinergic drugs |
| Comparisons | | Between group A and B | | |
| Length of Stud Follow-up | ly/ | None. | | |
| Outcome meas studied | ures | Number of children dry for 14 consect rating, and Piers-Harris Self Concept | | rse events, CBCL behaviour |
| Results | | The mean treatment time for group A 13.2 (SD 1.9). | was 18.4 (SD5.8) | weeks and for group B was |
| | | Dry for 14 nights: In group A (alarm) 42 out of 61 childre compared to 1 out of 55 children (2%) | | |
| | | Adverse events: In group A (alarm) 4 children could no | ot cope with the al | arm. |
| | | CBCL score: In group A (alarm) the baseline score was 55.2. For group B (control) the ba treatment the mean score was 59.0. T between the two groups with regard t | aseline mean scor There was no sign | e was 61.2 and after |
| | | Piers-Harris Self-Concept score: In group A (alarm) the baseline score was 61.5. For group B (control) the ba treatment the mean score was 53.7. T the two changes. | aseline mean scor | e was 54.6 and after |
| | | The study also considered the change to the success of treatment. The resu there was a -5.2 change to the CBCL for >25% improvement and <25% imp a 3.2 change if the treatment was suc was >25% improvement and <25% im | Its showed that if a score compared to provement. For the ccessful compared | treatment was successful to a change of -2.3 and -2.0 e Piers-Harris score there was |
| Safety and adv effects | verse | 4 patients in group A (alarm) could no | ot cope with the al | arm treatment |
| 08 March 2010 | | Page 128 of 219 | | |
| | | | | |

| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that treating children with an alarm was more effective in achieving 14 dry nights compared with no treatment. The study also showed that there was a significant difference in the change in Piers-Harris Self-Concept score, with those treated with an alarm having a greater mean improvement. | |
|--|---|---------------------|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing alarm to no treatment. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range was 8-14 years. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | |
| Ozden C;Ozdal OL;Aktas Bł | K;Ozelci A;Altinova S;Memis A; | |
| The efficacy of the addition of enuresis | of short-term desmopressin to alarm therapy in the treatment of primary noc | turnal |
| Ref ID 603 | 2008 | |
| Study Type Rando | omised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. | |
| Number of participant | 52 in total: 30 in group A, 22 in group B. | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary monosymptomatic NE, aged between 6-15 years, and w least 3 times a week. Exclusion: diurnal enuresis, UTI, polyuric disorders such as diabetes insipi diabetes mellitus, known history of renal disease, hypertension, genitourol abnormalities, mental retardation, neurological disease, use of diuretic dru use of alarms or desmopressin therapy. | idus and logical |
| Patient Characteristics | 54.5% were boys, the mean age was 10.1 (2.01 SD years) and the mean wet nights per week was 5.8 (1.4 SD). Age range was 6-15 years. | number of |
| | Group A had a mean age of 9.9 (1.8 SD) years and the mean number of w per week was 5.9 (1.5 SD). | vet nights |
| | Group B had a mean age of 10.3 (2.2 SD) years and the mean number of per week was 5.7 (1.3 SD). | wet nights |
| Recruitment | Not reported. | |
| Setting | Turkey, treatment administered at home. | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm for 12 weeks in with 6 weeks of additional desmporessin Group B: alarm | |
| Comparisons | Between group A and B | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 24 weeks | |
| Outcome measures studied | Change in number of wet nights. Numbers relapsing. | |
| Results | 6 weeks treatment | |
| | Dry or 75% improvement in dry nights at 12 weeks: In group A (alarm and desmopressin) 22.2% (6 children) compared to 30.4 children) in group B (alarm). | 4% (7 |
| | Drop out: In group A (alarm and desmopressin) 3 out of 30 children dropped out con | npared to 5 |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 129 of 219 | |

| | out of 22 children in group B (alarm), this was not significantly different (p>0.05). |
|--|---|
| | Change in mean number of wet nights: Before treatment group A (alarm and desmopressin) had a mean number of 5.9 (SD 1.5) wet nights and group B (alarm) had a mean number of 5.7 (SD 1.3). This difference was not significant. At 3 weeks group A (alarm and desmopressin) had a mean number of 2.7 (SD 0.5) and group B (alarm) had a mean number of 3.2 (SD 0.4), this difference was significant ($p = 0.0001$). At 6 weeks group A (alarm and desmopressin) had a mean number of 2.2 (SD 0.5) and group B (alarm) had a mean number of 2.7 (SD 0.6), this difference was significant ($p = 0.0001$). At 6 weeks group A (alarm and desmopressin) had a mean number of 2.2 (SD 0.5) and group B (alarm) had a mean number of 2.7 (SD 0.6), this difference was significant ($p = 0.004$). At 12 weeks group A (alarm and desmopressin) had a mean number of 2.0 (SD 0.8) and group B (alarm) had a mean number of 1.8 (SD 0.54). This difference was not significant. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | There was no significant difference between patients receiving alarm and desmopressin compared to alarm alone in achieving 75% improvement in the number of dry nights or in becoming dry at 12 weeks. There was a significant difference in the mean number of wet nights at 3 weeks and 6 weeks with alarm and desmopressin therapy having significantly fewer wet nights compared to alarm therapy alone. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range was 6-15 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| | |
| Ronen T;Wozner Y;Rahav G | 3; |
| Ronen T;Wozner Y;Rahav C Cognitive intervention in enu | |
| | |
| Cognitive intervention in enu Ref ID 370 | iresis |
| Cognitive intervention in enu Ref ID 370 | iresis 1992 |
| Cognitive intervention in enu Ref ID 370 Study Type Rando | Tresis 1992 Imised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported 77 in total: 19 in group A, 18 in group B (n=40 in the two groups which are not |
| Cognitive intervention in end Ref ID 370 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion | Image: Second Stress 1992 Image: Second Stress Funding Not reported 77 in total: 19 in group A, 18 in group B (n=40 in the two groups which are not reported here: star charts and cognitive therapy.) Inclusion: primary NE, and aged over 5 years |
| Cognitive intervention in end Ref ID 370 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Image: Series star charts 1992 To in total: 19 in group A, 18 in group B (n=40 in the two groups which are not reported here: star charts and cognitive therapy.) Not reported nere: star charts and cognitive therapy.) Inclusion: primary NE, and aged over 5 years Exclusion: medical problems in urinary system, or developmental problems. |
| Cognitive intervention in end Ref ID 370 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics | Image: Series |
| Cognitive intervention in end Ref ID 370 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment | Image: Tressis Image: Tressis Image: Tressis Image: Tressis Image: Tressis Image: Tressis Inclusion: primary NE, and aged over 5 years Exclusion: medical problems in urinary system, or developmental problems. Mean age was 10.05 (2.28 SD) years, 51% were boys, and bed wetting was severe. 100 children applied and had been invited to take part in an initial intake session as part of of the regular agency procedure. |
| Cognitive intervention in end Ref ID 370 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being | uresis 1992 mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported 77 in total: 19 in group A, 18 in group B (n=40 in the two groups which are not reported here: star charts and cognitive therapy.) Inclusion: primary NE, and aged over 5 years Exclusion: medical problems in urinary system, or developmental problems. Mean age was 10.05 (2.28 SD) years, 51% were boys, and bed wetting was severe. 100 children applied and had been invited to take part in an initial intake session as part of of the regular agency procedure. Israel, treatment was administered at home. Group A: alarm (pad and bell) Group B: control group - no treatment, waiting list group The study also considered cognitive behaviour therapy and star charts with rewards |
| Cognitive intervention in end Ref ID 370 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Inseed Controlled Trial Funding Not reported T7 in total: 19 in group A, 18 in group B (n=40 in the two groups which are not reported here: star charts and cognitive therapy.) Inclusion: primary NE, and aged over 5 years Exclusion: medical problems in urinary system, or developmental problems. Mean age was 10.05 (2.28 SD) years, 51% were boys, and bed wetting was severe. 100 children applied and had been invited to take part in an initial intake session as part of of the regular agency procedure. Israel, treatment was administered at home. Group A: alarm (pad and bell) Group B: control group - no treatment, waiting list group The study also considered cognitive behaviour therapy and star charts with rewards which were not included as not relevant comparators |

| Outcome measures studied | Number of children achieving 3 consecutive dry weeks. Also, mean number of wet nights in last 3 weeks. |
|---|--|
| Results | 18 weeks treatment |
| | Dry for 21 nights: In group A (alarm) 12 out of 19 children (63%) became dry compared to 0 out of 18 (0%) in group B (control=no treatment). |
| | Drop out: In group A (alarm) 4 children (21%) dropped out compared to 2 children (11%) in group B (control). |
| | Mean number of wet nights per 3 weeks: In group A (alarm) the mean number of wet nights was 1.23 (sd 5.28) compared to 17.22 (SD 9) in group B (control). |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | There was no significant difference in the number of children becoming dry for 21 nights or in the change in mean number of wet nights between the patients receiving DBT and alarm and those receiving alarm therapy alone. However there were fewer relapses in the DBT and alarm group compared to the alarm group (15% compared to 60%) |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing alarm and no treatment. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged over 5 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Tuygun C;Eroglu M;Bakirtas | H;Gucuk A;Zengin K;Imamoglu A; |
| Is second-line enuretic alarn treatment of monosymptoma | n therapy after unsuccessful pharmacotherapy superior to first-line therapy in the atic nocturnal enuresis? |
| Ref ID 32 | 2007 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 84 patients in total: 35 in group A and 49 in group B. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis, and wet at least 3 times a week during the last 3 months. Exclusion: Diurnal enuresis, polyuric disorders, genitourinary system abnormalities, neurological disorders, or recurrent UTI. |
| Patient Characteristics | The median age was 8 years (range 6-13 years). The ratio of male/ female was 3/2. There was no significant difference between the three group's age or sex. 71.73% had at least one parent with a history of enuresis. At baseline 54.34% were wet 25-30 nights a month, 20.65% were wet 20-25 nights a month and 25% were wet 15-20 nights a month. |
| Recruitment | Not reported. |
| Setting | Turkey, treatment administered at home. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm Group B: desmopressin Group C was not included in this review as considers patients who had failed treatment. |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 131 of 219 |

| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | |
|--|---|--|
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children achieving >90% decrease in number of wet nights, 50-90% decrease in number of wet nights, relapse at 6 months, and change in number of wet nights. | |
| Results | Treatment was for 3 months | |
| | >90% decrease in number of wet nights: After 3 months of treatment in group A (alarm) 20 out of 35 children (57.14%) had achieved a >90% decrease in number of wet nights compared to 25 out of 49 (51.02%) in group B (desmopressin). These differences were not significant. | |
| | 50-90% decrease in number of wet nights: After 3 months of treatment in group A (alarm) 9 out of 35 children (27.71%) had achieved a 50-90% decrease in number of wet nights compared to 15 out of 49 (30.61%) in group B (desmopressin). These differences were not significant. | |
| | Relapse at 6 months: At 6 months, in group A, 10 out of 35 children (28.57%) had relapsed compared to 27 out of 49 (55.10%) in group B (desmopressin). The difference between groups A and B was significant $p=0.008$. | |
| | Change in mean number of wet nights: In group A (alarm) at baseline the mean number of wet nights per month was 23.2 (SD 6.23) and at the end of treatment it was 3.41 (SD7.68). This difference was significant p<0.001. In group B (desmopressin) at baseline the mean number of wet nights per month was 23.44 (SD 6.3) and at the end of treatment it was 10.7 (SD 10.94), this difference was significant p<0.001. The difference between groups A and B was also significant. | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that treating children with an alarm was more effective than desmopressin in reducing the number of wet nights. The study showed that few children who were treated with an alarm were significantly less likely to relapse than those treated with desmopressin. All groups had a significant reduction in the mean number of wet nights per month. | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing desmopressin and alarm. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged between 6-13 years. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | |
| van Londen A;van Londen-E | Barentsen MW;van Son MJ;Mulder GA; | |
| Arousal training for children Ref ID 338 | suffering from nocturnal enuresis: a 2 1/2 year follow-up 1993 | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. | |
| Number of participant | 127 in total, 38 in group A, 39 in group B and 36 in group C. | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged between 6-12 years | |
| | | |

| Patient Characteristics | The mean age was 8.6 years, 70% were boys, and 87% had primary NE. |
|--|--|
| Recruitment | Not reported. |
| Setting | Netherlands, treatment at home |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm with reward stickers for correct behaviour Group B: alarm with reward stickers for dry nights and punishment sticker for wet nights Group C: alarm |
| Comparisons | Between groups A, B and C. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 2.5 years |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children dry for 14 consecutive nights, and numbers relapsing. |
| Results | 20 weeks treatment |
| | Dry at 14 nights: In group A (alarm with reward stickers for correct behaviour) 37 out of 38 children achieved 14 dry nights compared to 33 out of 39 in group B (alarm with reward sticker for dry nights and punishment sticker for wet nights) and 26 out of 36 in group C (alarm alone). These differences were significant when comparing group A to group B (binomal test P<0.001) and group (binomal test P<0.000). |
| | Relapse at 2.5 years follow up: In group A (alarm with reward stickers for correct behaviour) 10 out of 37 had relapsed at the end of 2.5 years follow up compared to 30 out of 33 in group B (alarm with reward sticker for dry nights and punishment sticker for wet nights) and 13 out of 26 in group C (alarm alone). |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that giving a child an alarm with reward stickers for correct behaviour was significantly more sucessful in achieving 14 dry nights compared to giving a child an alarm with reward stickers for dry nights and punishment sticker for wet nights or an alarm alone. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range is 6-12 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding. |
| Wagner W;Johnson SB;Wal | lker D;Carter R;Wittner J; |
| A controlled comparison of t Ref ID 143 | wo treatments for nocturnal enuresis 1982 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding None reported |
| Number of participant | 49 in total, 12 in each group |

| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged 6-16 years, IQ greater than 70, primary NE, no physcial or neurological disorders, wet at least 3 times a week, no treatment for NE in previous year, and agreed to be randomised. Exclusion: day time wetting. |
|--|---|
| Patient Characteristics | The mean age was 7.9 years. The baseline % of nights wet for group A (alarm) was 75%, group B (imipramine) 77.33% and group C (waiting list) 64.33%, there was no significant difference in the baseline wetting %. |
| Recruitment | From local paediatric clinics and private physicians, adverts in newspapers and on TV, and contact with local schools. |
| Setting | Florida, USA, treatment administered at home. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm (pad and bell) Group B: imipramine Group C: control - no treatment, waiting list |
| Comparisons | Between groups A, B and C. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months. |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children achieving 14 consecutive dry nights,% of wet nights, and relapse rates. |
| Results | 14 weeks treatment |
| | Dry for 14 consecutive nights: In group A (alarm) 10 out of 12 children, 83% achieved dryness for 14 consecutive nights compared to 4 out of 12 children, 33% in group B (imipramine) and 1 out of 12 children, 8% in group C (waiting list) |
| | % of wet nights: The study showed that by the final treatment week, group A was significantly more sucessful than B and C (8.25% compared to 39.25% and 60.83%). In the final week of treatment the mean number of wet nights for children with alarm treatment was 0.58 and for children with imipramine was 2.75. |
| | Relapse: Relapse was defined as 3 wet nights in a 2 week period. Of the children who achieved 14 dry nights dry in group A (alarm) 5 out of 10 children (50%) relapsed compared to 4 out of 4 children (100%) in group B (imipramine) and 100% in group C (waiting list). |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that giving a child an alarm was more sucessful than imipramine and a control waiting list group in achieving 14 dry nights (83% compared to 33% and 8%). The study also showed that the patients receiving alarm therapy had only a 50% relapse rate compare to 100% in both the imipramine group and waiting list group. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies with same comparison. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range 6-16 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Wagner WG;Matthews R; | |

The treatment of nocturnal enuresis: a controlled comparison of two models of urine alarm

| Ref | ID | 354 |
|-----|----|-----|
|-----|----|-----|

| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Research Development Grant from the University of Southern Mississippi. | |
|---|----------|---|----------------|---|--|
| Number of partic | cipant | 39 in total: 13 in each of the 3 groups. | | | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | | | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | The mean age was 7.9 years. 51% were male and 95% were white. Baseline % of wet nights per week for group A (contiguous alarm) was 80.15%, for group B (delayed alarm) 83.46%, for group C (waiting list) 90.15%. | | | |
| Recruitment | | Not reported | | | |
| Setting | | Mississippi, USA, treatment administered at home. | | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Group A: alarm Group B: control group - no treatment, waiting list The study also considered an alarm with a 3 second delay which was not a relevant comparator and was not included in the review | | | |
| Comparisons | | Between groups A and B. | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 1 | 6 months. | | | |
| Outcome measur studied | es | Number of children achieving 14 consecutive dry nights, change in number of wet nights, and relapse. | | | |
| Results | | 12 weeks treatment | | | |
| | | Dry for 14 consecutive nights: In group A (alarm) 8 out of 13 children (62 nights compared to 1 out of 13 children (8 There was a signficant difference between (P <0.01) | 3%) in group E | B (waiting list). | |
| | | % of wet nights: The study showed that by the final treatment week, group A was significantly more sucessful than B (5.38% compared to 72.90%). | | | |
| | | Relapse: Of the children who achieved 14 dry night compared to 1 out of 1 in group B (waiting | | alarm) 2 out of 8 relapsed | |
| | | The study showed the alarms did malfunction. | | | |
| Safety and adver effects | rse | None reported. | | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | tion? | The study showed that alarms were more dry nights (62% compared to 8%). The st difference between the alarm group and t | udy showed th | nere was a significant | |
| Effect due to fac study? | tor in | Yes. | | | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | er | Similar to other studies comparing alarm | to no treatme | nt. | |
| Directly applicat guideline popula | | Age range 5-16 years. | | | |
| 09 Marah 2010 | | Daga 125 of 210 | | | |

Internal Validity

Unclear allocation concealment. Single blinded.

Wille S;

Comparison of desmopressin and enuresis alarm for nocturnal enuresis

| Ref ID 127 | | 1986 | |
|--|--|--|---|
| Study Type | Randomised Controlled Trial | Funding Not reported | |
| Number of particip | 50 patients recruited: 25 allocated to eac | ch of the two arms. | |
| Inclusion/Exclusio Criteria | n Inclusion: older than 6 years, not dry for r least 3 times a week, and able to give wr Exclusion: treatment for NE in previous y disease, renal disorder, neurological disc | years, day time wetting, cardiovascular | |
| Patient Characteri | stics Group A had a mean number of wet nigh Group B had a mean number of wet nigh | | |
| Recruitment | Patients referred to S. Wille's clinic | | |
| Setting | Sweden, treatment administered at home | le. | |
| Interventions/ Tes Factor being investigated | t/ Group A:intranasal desmopressin Group B: alarm | | |
| Comparisons | Between groups A and B | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 3 months | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children dry for 14 consecutive wet nights, and adverse events. | ve nights, relapse rates, change in number of | |
| Results | 3 months treatment | | |
| | score (score: very wet = 3, a little wet = 2 In group A (desmopressin) 17 out of 24 c 22 in group B (alarm). The study stated that at the end of treatm | children became dry compared to 19 out of ment both groups were significantly drier than group was more successful (alarm p<0.001; | 1 |
| | alarm group had more dry nights, and sig The study stated that due to the high rela during the first 2 weeks of treatment and | ly more dry nights than group B (alarm) ver during the last 9 weeks of treatment the ignificantly more in the 11th week (p<0.002). apse rate in the desmopressin group, over all d at the 3 month follow up the alarm group pressin group (p<0.02, p<0.001, 2 weeks and | I |
| | The mean number of wet nights per weel 1.1 (SD 1.88) compared to 2.1 (SD 1.96) | ek at end of treatment for the alarm group was) for the desmopressin group. | 3 |
| | Relapse: 1 patient in the alarm group relapsed in t desmopressin group. | the 3 month follow up compared to 10 in the | |
| | Drop out: 1 child from the alarm group dropped out | It due to lack of improvement | |
| | | s, 19% said the alarm did not work when the ot wake the child, 56% said the alarm woke | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 136 of 219 | | |

| | other family members instead and 1 patient was afraid of the alarm. In the desmopressin group 13% reported nasal discomfort, 3% had occasional nose bleeds and 5% experienced a bad taste in the throat. |
|--|---|
| | The study included a cross over of treatments for children who were unsuccessful in their original treatment group. Of the children who changed from desmopressin to alarm 2 children improved by a 2 month follow up, 3 were better than before and 5 did not respond. Of the children who changed from alarm to desmopressin 2 children did improve but subsequently relapsed. There was no significant difference between the cross over results. |
| Safety and adverse effects | In the alarm group 78% had false alarms, 19% said the alarm did not work when the child was wet, 56% said the alarm did not wake the child, 56% said the alarm woke other family members instead and 1 patient was afraid of the alarm. In the desmopressin group 13% reported nasal discomfort, 3% had occasional nose bleeds and 5% experienced a bad taste in the throat. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that both alarm treatment and desmopressin lead to a significant reduction in the number of wet nights. The study showed that alarm treatment was more successful in achieving 28 dry nights (with less than 5 wet nights) than desmopressin, however this difference was not significant until the high relapse rate of desmopressin was taken into account. With alarms then being significantly more effective. The desmopressin group had a higher relapse rate than the alarm group. More patients receiving alarm therapy reported side effects than those receiving desmopressin. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes (NB there is a 15% spontaneous cure rate associated with NE) |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing alarm to desmopressin |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients were aged over 6 years |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding. |

| Grading: 2- | Case–control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding bias, or chance and a significant risk that the |
|--|--|
| | relationship is not causal* |
| Baller WR;Giangreco CJ; | |
| Correction of nocturnal enur | esis in deaf children |
| Ref ID 3906 | 1970 |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding The alarms were provided by the Enurtone Company, Minneapolis, the consultant also worked for this company. |
| Number of participant | 21 children |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Deaf children with persistent bed wetting at Iowa School for the Deaf at Council Bluffs Iowa. |
| Patient Characteristics | 15 boys and 6 girls, with age range 7 to 16 years. |
| Recruitment | At school. |
| Setting | Iowa School for the Deaf at Council Bluffs Iowa. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Light alarm. |
| Comparisons | No comparison. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 2 1/2 years follow up |
| Outcome measures studied | Numbers of children completely dry, and numbers relapsing. |
| Results | Only 2 or 3 children could be given the alarm at a time, with treatment for on average 3 weeks. Therefore there was over a year between the first and last child being treated. |
| | The alarm was a pad and bell device with a light which had a cone shaped shade to shine the light directly at the child's face. Children were given an explanation of the treatment by a consultant. |
| | All children (21) gained complete dryness (10 consecutive dry nights) within 30 nights (the paper states this is the normal time for a hearing child to become dry with a bell only alarm). One child relapsed but after 2 more treatments with the light alarm he gained dryness. |
| | After 2 1/2 year follow up, it was noted that the other 19 children at the school who wet the bed had also become dry within 3 months of the children in the trial. The study also noted that there were no undesirable side effects or unfavourable behaviour of the children in the trial. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed all children treated with the light alarm became dry. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes, although it should be noted that all other children at the school who wet the bed also became dry. |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 138 of 219 |

| Consistency of results with other studies?Not other studies co | | Not other studies considering deaf children treated with an alarm. |
|--|--------|---|
| Directly applica guideline popu | | Deaf children aged 7 to 16 years. |
| Internal Validit | у | Not addressed |
| Question: | (nasal | is the clinical and cost effectiveness of desmopressin , tablets and melts) for children and young people 19 years old who have nocturnal enuresis? |

Grading: 1+

Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias

Burke JR;Mizusawa Y;Chan A;Webb KL;

A comparison of amitriptyline, vasopressin and amitriptyline with vasopressin in nocturnal enuresis.

| Ref ID 325 | maptymi | | | 1995 | |
|---|----------|---|---|---|--|
| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported | |
| Number of parti | icipant | 45 in total: 14 in Group A (amitriptyline Group C (desmopressin and amitriptyl | | (desmopressin), and 14 in | |
| Criteria not dry for more th Exclusion: organic neurogenic disord | | Inclusion: 6-17 years, at least 3 wet ninnot dry for more than 6 months. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, enur neurogenic disorder, UTI, abnormal un concomitant medication known to inter | resis treatment in rinalysis haemoto | previous 6 months, logy or blood biochemistry, or | |
| Patient Charact | eristics | In group A the mean age was 8.6 (SD 5.8 (SD 0.9) In group B the mean age was 8.9 (SD 6.0 (SD 0.9) In group C the mean age was 8.9 (SD 6.3 (SD 0.9) | 2.5) years, the m | ean wet nights per week was | |
| Recruitment | | Not reported | | | |
| Setting | | Australia | | | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | Group A: amitriptyline hydrocholoride Group B: intranasal desmopressin (20 Group C: desmopressin and amitriptyl | micro grams) | | |
| Comparisons | | Between treatment groups | | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | // | 12 weeks | | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ires | Number of children cured, drop outs, a treatment and follow up. | and the mean nur | nber of wet nights at end of | |
| Results | | 16 weeks of treatment | | | |
| | | Number of children cured: In Group A (amitriptyline) 3 out of 14 b (desmopresisn) and 4 out of 14 in Gro | | | |
| | | Number of drop outs: In Group A (amitriptyline) 0 out of 14 c of 17 dropped out, and in Group C (de dropped out. | | | |
| | | Mean number of wet nights per week a The mean number of wet nights per w 1.9), for group B (desmopressin) mean (desmopressin and amitriptyline) mean | eek for Group A (n was 4.7 (SD 1.7 | amitriptyline) was 3.3 (SD) and for Group C | |
| | | Mean number of wet nights per week a The mean number of wet nights per w 2.9), for Group B (desmopresisn) mea (desmopressin and amitriptyline) it wa | eek for Group A (n was 3.8 (SD 1.9 | amitriptyline) was 3.9 (SD 9) nights and for Group C | |
| Safety and adve effects | erse | None reported | | | |
| 08 March 2010 | | Page 140 of 219 | | | |

| Does the study answer the quest | ion? | The study showed that more children became dry when treated with amitriptyline and desmopressin and amitriptyline alone. Patients treated with amitriptyline alone and with desmopressin and amitriptyline had fewer wet nights during treatment but at follow up patients in the desmopressin only group or amitriptyline only group had the fewest number of wet nights. | | |
|--|---------|--|---|--|
| Effect due to factors study? | or in | Yes | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | Not clear. | | |
| Directly applicabl guideline populat | | Aged 6-17 years | | |
| Internal Validity | | Trial was stopped early | | |
| Longstaffe S;Moffatt | ME;Wh | alen JC; | | |
| Behavioral and self-c | concept | changes after six months of enuresis treatment: a r | randor | nized, controlled trial |
| Ref ID 71 | | | | 2000 |
| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial Fund | ding | National Health Research and Development Program and Fering Inc |
| Number of partici | pant | 182 in total, 61 in group A, 60 in group B, 61 in gro | oup C | |
| Inclusion/Exclusio Criteria | on | Inclusion: aged over 7 years, monosyptomatic NE week period, normal urinalysis, no history of fecal functioning. Exclusion: neurological or developmental abnorma mellitus, chronic renal disease, history of constipa desmopressin or alarm therapy. | soilin alities | g and signs of normal bladder diabetes insipidus, diabetes |
| Patient Character | istics | In group A (alarm): 78.7% were male, 37.7 % were history of UTI, 24.6% had a history of constipation 90% had tried lifting, 53.3% had tried behavioural exercises, 20% had tried alarms, 13.3% had tried imipramine, 10.9% had tried oxybutnin. 36.2% had 41.4% had family history of NE on one side. | n. 94.9 techn desm | % had tried fluid restriction, iques, 3.4% had tried bladder opressin, 14.3% had tried |
| | | In group B (intranasal desmopressin): 75% were n had a past history of UTI, 23.3% had a history of c restriction, 86.7% had tried lifting, 58.3% had tried had tried bladder exercises, 18.3% had tried alarm 16.7% had tried imipramine, 8.6% had tried oxybu NE on both sides, 41.7% had family history of NE | constip d beha ns, 21 utnin. 4 | bation. 90% had tried fluid vioural techniques, 11.7% .7% had tried desmopressin, 41.7% had family history of |
| | | In group C (placebo): 61.7% were male, 34.5 % w history of UTI, 21.4% had a history of constipation 95% had tried lifting, 67.8% had tried behavioural exercises, 23.3% had tried alarms, 18.3% had trie imipramine, 8.6% had tried oxybutnin. 45.6% had 33.3% had family history of NE on one side. | n. 91.7 techn ed des | % had tried fluid restriction, iques, 19% had tried bladder mopressin, 15.5% had tried |
| Recruitment | | Phycisian adverts, newspaper adverts, and poster | rs and | radio. |
| Setting | | Treatment administered at home. | | |
| Interventions/ Tes Factor being investigated | st/ | Group A: alarm Group B: intranasal desmopressin Group C: placebo | | |
| Comparisons | | Between group A, B and C | | |
| 08 March 2010 | | Page 141 of 219 | | |

| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Outcome measures | Number of patients having 14 dry nights. Self concept. | | |
| Results | 14 consecutive dry nights: In group A (alarm) 35 children (57%) achieved 14 dry nights compared to 29 childre (48%) in group B (desmopressin) and 23 children (38%) in group C (placebo). | | |
| | Drop out: 17 children in total dropped out 8 were from group A ((desmopressin) and 4 from group C (placebo) | alarm), 5 from group B | |
| | Behavioural changes: The behavioural changes were not related to the type treatment, however there were significant positive cha appearance, anxiety, popularity (analysed through the were also significant positive results on the Achenbac Externalizing Behaviour Scores and Social, Thought a Subscales. | nges in intellectual, physical Piers-Harris Subscales), there h CBCL, Internalizing and | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | There was no significant difference in the number of c consecutive dry nights between those receiving alarm desmopressin. However more children became dry in compared to the placebo group. The study also report children's behaviour; however this was not related to t success. | and those receiving the two treatment groups ted a positive change in the | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies with same comparisons. | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged over 7 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear blinding | | |
| Ng CFN;Wong SN;Hong Ko | ong Childhood Enuresis Study Group.; | | |
| Comparing alarms, desmop | pressin, and combined treatment in Chinese enuretic chi | ldren | |
| Ref ID 369 | | 2005 | |
| Study Type Rando | omised Controlled Trial Funding | Hong Kong Paediatric Nephrology Society with a research grant from Ferring Pharmaceuticals Limited | |
| Number of participant | 105 in total, 35 in Group A, 38 in Group B, 32 in Grou | o C. | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: Primary NE, age range 7-15 years, and wetting at least 3 times a we baseline 2 weeks. Exclusion: UTI in previous 3 months, day time wetting, polyuric disorders, abnu urinanalysis, renal disease, previous diuretics, unwilling to be randomised, or previous treatment of alarms, desmopressin or tricyclics. | | |
| Patient Characteristics | The mean age was 9.5 (1.8 SD) years, and age range | e 7-12 years | |
| | In Group A (alarm) the mean age was 9.5 (1.8 SD) ye age range 7-9 years, 40% in 10-12 years and 3% in 1 and the mean baseline number of wet nights a week v | 3-15 years. 63% were boys, | |
| | | | |

| | In Group B (desmopressin) the mean age was 9.2 (1.8 SD) years, 69% children were in the age range 7-9 years, 26% in 10-12 years and 5% in 13-15 years. 68% were boys, and the mean baseline number of wet nights a week was 5.3 (1.4 SD). |
|--|---|
| | In Group C (alarm with desmopressin) the mean age was 9.8 (1.2 SD) years, 50% children were in the age range 7-9 years, 47% in 10-12 years and 3% in 13-15 years. 66% were boys, and the mean baseline number of wet nights a week was 4.9 (1.2 SD). |
| Recruitment | Patients presenting to 9 public hospitals in Hong Kong with primary NE. |
| Setting | Hong Kong and treatment administered at home. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Gourp A: alarm Group B: oral desmopressin Group C: alarm with oral desmopressin |
| Comparisons | Between groups A, B and C. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 12 weeks. |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children dry for 14 consecutive nights, change in number of wet nights, adverse events, drop out, and relapse. |
| Results | Dry for 14 consecutive nights: In Group A (alarm) 8 out of 15 children achieved 14 dry nights, compared to 16 out of 38 children in Group B (desmopressin) and 20 out of 32 children in Group C (alarm and desmopressin). This difference was significant $p = 0.014$. |
| | Mean number of dry nights: In Group A (alarm) the mean number of dry nights was 2.8 (sd 2.2) compared to 2.7 (sd 2.4) in Group B (desmopressin) and 1.3 (sd 1.9) in Group C (alarm and desmopressin). |
| | Drop out: 12 children dropped out in total, 7 (20%) from Group A (alarm), 2 (5%) from Group B (desmopressin) and 3 (9%) from Group C (alarm and desmopressin). |
| | Relapse: In the alarm group (A) 0 out of 8 children relapsed at 3 months, compared to 9 out of 16 in the desmopressin group (B) and 7 out of 20 in the alarm with desmopressin group ©. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that significantly more patients achieved 14 consecutive dry nights if they were treated with alarm or desmopressin alone (71% compared to 42.9% and 52.6%.) The study also showed that there was a significant difference between groups in the % reduction of wet nights during the last 4 weeks of treatment and during the first 4 weeks of follow up, with patients being treated with alarm and desmopressin being significantly more successful than alarm or desmopressin alone. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies with same comparison. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range was 7-15 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear blinding |
| Schulman SL;Stokes A;Salz | man PM; |

The efficacy and safety of oral desmopressin in children with primary nocturnal enuresis

| Ref ID 176 | | 2001 | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Study Type | Randomised Controlled Trial | Funding Not reported. | |
| Number of parti | | 193 in total; of the 187 patients who completed the dose ranging phase of the study (phase 1), 148 continued into the dose titration phase (trial 2). | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | days, and aged 6-16 years. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, d | veek, informed consent, no treatment in previous 30 day time wetting, organic urological disease, persensitivity to desmopressin, antibiotics, | |
| Patient Characte | 133 out of 193 were male, mean ba | 133 out of 193 were male, mean baseline wetting in 2 weeks in group A was 11 days (range 5-14), in group B 10 days (range 4-14), in group C 10 days (range 6-14),and | |
| Recruitment | Not reported. | | |
| Setting | 16 centres in USA. | | |
| Interventions/ To Factor being investigated | est/ Trial 1: Group A: 0.2 mg oral desmopressi Group B: 0.4 mg oral desmopressi Group C: 0.6 mg oral desmopressi Group D: matching placebo | sin | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | No follow up. | | |
| Outcome measu studied | res Number of children achieving 14 co drop outs, and adverse events. | Number of children achieving 14 consecutive dry nights, mean number of wet nights, drop outs, and adverse events. | |
| Results | |) the mean number of wet nights was 4 (SD 1.33),) mean was 3.5 (SD 1.73),and in group C (0.6 mg | |
| | nights compared to 6 out of 48 in g | utive dry nights:) 2 out of 44 children achieved 14 consecutive dry group B (0.4 mg desmopressin), 3 out of 49 in nd 0 out of 49 in group D (placebo). | |
| | Drop outs: 6 in total: due to non compliance, c | consent withdrawn, and failure to keep diary. | |
| | 48 on placebo had headache, incr | ild): 43 out of 143 on desmopressin and 13 out of creased cough, and abdominal pain. unrelated to treatment and were resolved by end of | |
| Safety and adve effects | rse Headache, abdominal pain, increas | ased cough, phinitis, pharyngitis, infection and fever. | |
| Does the study answer the ques | Study showed desmopressin was r stion? | more effective than placebo. | |
| Effect due to fac study? | ctor in Yes | | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | Similar to other studies with same er | e comparisons. | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 144 of 210 | | |
| Directly applicable to | Age range of 5 to 14 years. |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| guideline population? | |

Unclear allocation concealment

Skoog SJ;Stokes A;Turner KL;

Internal Validity

Oral desmopressin: a randomized double-blind placebo controlled study of effectiveness in children with primary nocturnal enuresis

| Ref ID 284 | | | 1997 |
|--|--|---|---|
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported |
| Number of participant | 153 in total, data no avaliable for 6 so 147 in n=37, Group B desmopressin 400mcg n=35 D placebo n=38. | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary NE, and wet at least 3 tin Exclusion: organic causes of NE, day time v diabetes insipidus, UTI all within previous 3 than 50% decrease in wet nights) to desmon clinically significant disease that would inter antibiotic use, use of diuretics or any drug a treatment for hyperactivity. | vetting, orga months, pre pressin, hyp fere with stu | nic urological disease, vious non-response (less ersensitivity to desmopressin, dy, ongoing systematic |
| Patient Characteristics | 112 out of 147 were male and the mean age | e was 9.1 ye | ars (range 5-17 years). |
| Recruitment | Not reported | | |
| Setting | 14 centres in USA | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A (37): 200 micro grams oral desmon Group B (35): 400 micro grams oral desmon Group C (37): 600 micro grams oral desmon Group D (38): placebo | oressin | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | None | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Mean number of wet nights in last 2 weeks, and drop out rate. | number dry f | for 14 nights, adverse events, |
| Results | 6 weeks of treatment | | |
| | Mean number of wet nights during last 2 we In Group A (200 micro grams desmopressin in Group B (400 micro grams desmopressin 1.44), in Group C (600 micro grams desmop (SD 1.15) and in Group D (placebo 36 patie | 33 patients 33 patients pressin 33 p |) the mean was 3.5 (SD atients) the mean was 3.5 |
| | Number of children who achieved 14 conset In Group A (200 micro grams desmopressin nights compared to 4 out of 33 in Group B (- 33 in Group C (600 micro grams desmopres | i) 1 out of 33 400 micro gi | achieved 14 consecutive dry rams desmopressin), 2 out of |
| | Adverse events: 66 out of 109 children on desmopressin exp 21 out of 38 on placebo (rhinitis, headache,pharyngitis, infection, co serious events on desmopressin where child atopic dermatitis) | ugh all mild | or moderate, there were 3 |
| | Drop outs: 12 out of 147 discontinued trial. | | |

| Safety and adverse effects | Vomiting, atopic dermatitic, rhinitis, headache,pharyngitis, infection, and cough. | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Does the study answer the question? | The study shows that more children become dry with desmopressin than placebo and 400 micro grams was most effective although there was little difference between the 3 doses. | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies with same comparisons. | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were age 5-17 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment | | |
| Tuygun C;Eroglu M;Bakirtas | H;Gucuk A;Zengin K;Imamoglu A; | | |
| Is second-line enuretic alarm treatment of monosymptoma | therapy after unsuccessful pharmacotherapy superior to first-line therapy in the tic nocturnal enuresis? | | |
| Ref ID 32 | 2007 | | |
| | | | |
| Study Type Randor | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. | | |
| Number of participant | 84 patients in total: 35 in Group A, 49 in Group B. | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis and wet at least 3 times a week during the last 3 months. Exclusion: Diurnal enuresis, polyuric disorders, genitourinary system abnormalities, neurological disorders, or recurrent UTIs. | | |
| Patient Characteristics | The median age was 8 years (range 6-13 years). The ratio of male/ female was 3/2. There was no significant difference between the three group's age or sex. 71.73% had at least one parent with a history of enuresis. At baseline 54.34% were wet 25-30 nights a month, 20.65% were wet 20-25 nights a month and 25% were wet 15-20 nights a month. | | |
| Recruitment | Not reported. | | |
| Setting | Turkey, treatment administered at home. | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm Group B: desmopressin Group C was not included as these are patients who failed first line treatment | | |
| Comparisons | Between groups A and B. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children with >90% decrease in number of wet nights, 50-90% decrease in number of wet nights, relapse at 6 months, and change in number of wet nights. | | |
| Results | Treatment was for 3 months | | |
| | >90% decrease in number of wet nights: After 3 months of treatment in Group A (alarm) 20 out of 35 children (57.14%) had achieved a >90% decrease in number of wet nights compared to 25 out of 49 (51.02%) in Group B (desmopressin). These differences were not significant. | | |

50-90% decrease in number of wet nights: After 3 months of treatment in Group A (alarm) 9 out of 35 children (27.71%) had achieved a 50-90% decrease in number of wet nights compared to 15 out of 49 (30.61%) in Group B (desmopressin). These differences were not significant.

| | Relapse at 6 months: At 6 months 10 out of 35 children (28.57%) in Group A had relapsed compared to 27 out of 49 (55.10%) in Group B (desmopressin). The difference between Groups A and B was significant p=0.008. | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | Change in mean number of wet nights: In Group A (alarm) at baseline the mean number of wet (SD 6.23) and at the end of treatment it was 3.41 (SD 7 statistically significant p<0.001. In Group B (desmoprese number of wet nights per month was 23.44 (SD 6.3) and was 10.7 (SD 10.94). This difference was statistically sig difference between Groups A and B was also statistical | .68). This difference was sin) at baseline the mean d at the end of treatment it gnificant(p<0.001). The | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that treating children with an alarm was more effective than desmopressin in reducing the number of wet nights. The study showed that few children who were treated with an alarm were significantly less likely to relapses than those treated with desmopressin. All groups had a significant reduction in the mean number of wet nights per month. | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies with same comparison. | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged between 6-13 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment | | |
| Yap HK;Chao SM;Tan AY;M | urugasu B;Ong EK;Low EH; | | |
| Efficacy and safety of oral desmopressin in the treatment of primary nocturnal enuresis in Asian children Ref ID 271 | | | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding | Supported by Ferring Pharmaceuticals Limited. | |
| Number of participant | 37 children in crossover trial. | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis Exclusion: no current enuresis treatment. | i. | |
| | 3 excluded because data incomplete. | | |
| Patient Characteristics | 22 boys. Aged between 7 and 18 years. Minimum frequency of wetting 6 nights or more during a 2 week observation period. Free of diurnal incontinence, and were not on any specific treatment for enuresis prior to sutyd entry. | | |
| Recruitment | From three participating Paediatric Departments: National University Hospital, Singapore General Hospital and Tan Tock Seng Hospital. | | |
| Setting | Hospital clinic, Singapore. | | |
| · · · · · · · | A: (34) desmopressin 400mg oral;. B: (34) Placebo. Duration of treatment 5 weeks, 2 week washout. | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | B: (34) Placebo. | | |
| Factor being | B: (34) Placebo. | | |

| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 2 weeks post-treatment period. | |
|--|--|--|
| Outcome measures studied | Average number of wet nights per week; no. of children where average number of wet nights decreased to less than 3 per week. | |
| Results | 5 weeks of treatment with a 2 week wash out period | |
| | Number achieving 14 dry nights: A=23/34; B=7/34. | |
| | Wet nights after trial (mean, SD): A= 2.5 (2.7), B=4.5 (2.1). | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The author concludes that oral desmopressin is a safe and efficacious drug for the short-term treatment of children with primary nocturnal enuresis. | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | No. | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing desmopressin to placebo. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Yes. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment | |

Grading: 1-

Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias*

Birkasova M;Birkas O;Flynn MJ;Cort JH; Desmopressin in the management of nocturnal enuresis in children: a double-blind study 1978 Ref ID 494 Study Type Randomised Controlled Trial Funding AM 10080 grant from the National Institutes of Health, the Life Sciences Foundation, Inc and the Czechoslovak Academy of sciences. Number of participant 22 in total. Crossover trial. Inclusion/Exclusion Inclusion: failed to respond to psychotherapy and fluid restriction regime. Exclusion: organic causes. Criteria 14 out of 22 children were boys. The mean age was 6.6 (SD 2.9) years (range 4-12 **Patient Characteristics** years). Mean baseline wetting in 2 weeks was 10.6 (SD 4.9) nights. Recruitment Not reported. New York, USA. Setting Interventions/ Test/ Group A (17 patients): 10 µg intranasal desmopressin Group B (5 patients): 40 µg intranasal desmopressin Factor being Group C: placebo investigated Comparisons Between groups A, B and C Length of Study/ 4-6 weeks Follow-up Mean number of wet nights per fortnight, and number becoming totally dry. Outcome measures studied Results 2 weeks of treatment Number of wet nights per fortnight: Groups A and B had a combined mean of 4.2 (SD 4.5) compared to group C which had a mean of 11 (SD 4.4). Number who became totally dry: 5 patients receiving a higher dosage were totally dry. 5/6 patients were dry without treatment. 9 continued desmopressin single blind for 4 to 6 weeks then given placebo 7 remained dry without drug 1 wet once monthly and 1 returned to daily wetting 4 who had wet nightly continued on DDAVP for 3 more months by which time they were dry 1 had 1 wet night per fortnight and 1 had 1 wet night in 3 2 patients who were indifferent to wetting showed no response to desmopressin or placebo Safety and adverse None effects Does the study The study showed that children treated with desmopressin had fewer wet nights at end of treatment compared to children treated with a placebo. (no usable data for answer the question? meta-analysis)

| Effect due to factor in study? | High and low dose desmopressin results grouped together. | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Results similar to other studies of desmopressin. | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children aged 4-12 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | | |
| Ferrara P;Marrone G;Emma | nuele V;Nicoletti A;Mastrangelo A;Tiberi E;Ruggiero A;Fasano A;Paolini P; | | |
| Homotoxicological remedies double-blind, controlled trial. | versus desmopressin versus placebo in the treatment of enuresis: a randomised, | | |
| Ref ID 19 | 2008 | | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. | | |
| Number of participant | 151 patients were randomised: $n=51$ to desmopressin, $n=50$ to homotoxicological remedies and $n=51$ to receive placebo. | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Exclusion criteria: NE associated with day-time symptoms (urgency, frequency, UI, urinary tract anomalies or infections) | | |
| Patient Characteristics | All patients had an ICCS definition of NE and none had received treatment for NE or homotoxicological remedies within the previous 3 months. Patients were aged 6 years to 14 years (mean 8.5 years). | | |
| Recruitment | From a Department of Paediatrics in Italy. | | |
| Setting | University Hospital. | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Desmopressin vs. homotoxicological remedies vs. placebo | | |
| Comparisons | Between Desmopressin and homotoxicological remedies and placebo. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Up to 3 months. | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Mean number of wet nights per week during the 3 months observation period and after 3 months of treatment. Number and percentage of non-responders, partial responders and full responders. Children relapsing, attaining 14 dry nights and adverse effects. | | |
| Results | 151 patients were randomised. n=51 to desmopressin, n=50 to homotoxicological remedies and n=51 to receive placebo. | | |
| | Each patient was asked about a familiy history of bladder dysfunction and the number of wet nights per week. Urine analysis, urine culture and ultrasonography of kidney and bladder was conducted. A bladder diary that was completed by the patients or the parents was also used. | | |
| | The first group received desmopressin tablets 0.2mg, once in the evening, plus placebo drops, 20 drops three times a day and the third group received placebo tablets, once in the evening plus placebo drops, 20 drops three times a day. The treatment was started at different times for each patient, and each one was treated for 3 months. Non-responders to the therapy after the first 3 months period were withdrawn from the study. | | |
| | Children were classified as: -non- responders if there was no decrease, or less than 50% decrease in the number of wet nights compared to basline. | | |
| 8 March 2010 Page 150 of 219 | | | |

| | -partial responders if there was a 50% or more, but less than 90% decrease in the number of wet nights compared to baseline. -Full responders if there was a 90% or more decrease in the number of wet nights compared to baseline. | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | The mean number of wet nights per week after the 3 months observation period was at least 6 or 7 in all groups. | | |
| | The desmopressin group showed a statistically significant decrease (62.9%) in the number of wet nights compared to placebo (2.4%) (p <0.001). After 3 months, a full response was achieved in 26 out of 50 (52%) of the children treated with desmopressin compared with 0 out of 50 (0%) of the placebo group (p <0.001). | | |
| | No relapse percentages were assessed between the desmopressin and placebo groups. | | |
| | A statistically significant difference was reported. 26 out of 50 children treated with desmopressin achieved 14 consecutive dry nights and 0 out of 50 children treated with placebo (p<0.001). | | |
| | Desmopressin group- 32 entered phase 2 (2 weeks wash out) and 18 did not re- enroll due to lack of response to the therapy. Placebo group- None entered phase 2. | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | No adverse effects were reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Desmopressin is more effective than homotoxicological remedies and placebo. Homotoxicological remedies are more effective than placebo. | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | | |
| Lee T;Suh HJ;Lee HJ;Lee J | Ε; | | |
| | atment of primary nocturnal enuresis with oxybutynin plus desmopressin, ramine alone: a randomized controlled clinical trial | | |
| Ref ID 74 | 2005 | | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported | | |
| Number of participant | #Deleted | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | #Deleted | | |
| Patient Characteristics | s #Deleted | | |
| Recruitment | Not reported | | |
| Setting | 2 hospitals, between 2003 and 2004 | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: 0.1 or 0.2 md desmopressin and 5 mg oxybutinin Group B: 0.2 mg desmopressin (increased to 0.4 mg if no response) Group C: 25 mg imipramine | | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 151 of 219 | | |

| Comparisons | Between treatment groups | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| • | | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | none | | |
| Outcome measures studied | 0-1 wet nights a month, drop out, mean numebr of wet nights, continued response | | |
| Results | #Error | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range of 5 to 15 years | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | | |
| Lottmann H;Froeling F;Allou | ssi S;EI-Radhi AS;Rittig S;Riis A;Persson BE; | | |
| A randomised comparison of oral desmopressin lyophilisate (MELT) and tablet formulations in children and adolescents with primary nocturnal enuresis | | | |
| | | | |
| Ref ID 35 | 2007 | | |
| Ref ID 35 | 2007 mised Controlled Trial Funding Ferring pharmaceutical | | |
| Ref ID 35 | | | |
| Ref ID 35 Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Ferring pharmaceutical | | |
| Ref ID 35 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion | mised Controlled Trial Funding Ferring pharmaceutical 221 in total. Inclusion: aged 5-15 years, and primary NE. Exclusion: daytime urgency, frequency (>7 micturitions during day time), voiding postponement, infrequency (< 3 voiding during daytime), the use of non-pharmacological treatment for NE in previous 60 days, organic urological disease, day time wetting, diabetes insipidus, UTI, clinically significant renal, hepatic, | | |
| Ref ID 35 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | mised Controlled TrialFundingFerring pharmaceutical221 in total.Inclusion: aged 5-15 years, and primary NE.Exclusion: daytime urgency, frequency (>7 micturitions during day time), voiding postponement, infrequency (< 3 voiding during daytime), the use of non- pharmacological treatment for NE in previous 60 days, organic urological disease, day time wetting, diabetes insipidus, UTI, clinically significant renal, hepatic, gastrointestinal, pulmonary, cardiovascular, endocrine, or neurological disease.The mean age was 9.6 (SD 2.4) years. 71.6% were male. 34.4% were aged 5-8 | | |
| Ref ID 35 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | mised Controlled TrialFundingFerring pharmaceutical221 in total.Inclusion: aged 5-15 years, and primary NE.Exclusion: daytime urgency, frequency (>7 micturitions during day time), voiding postponement, infrequency (< 3 voiding during daytime), the use of non- pharmacological treatment for NE in previous 60 days, organic urological disease, day time wetting, diabetes insipidus, UTI, clinically significant renal, hepatic, gastrointestinal, pulmonary, cardiovascular, endocrine, or neurological disease.The mean age was 9.6 (SD 2.4) years. 71.6% were male. 34.4% were aged 5-8 years, 40.8% were aged 9-11 years and 24.8% were aged 12-15 years. | | |
| Ref ID 35 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment | mised Controlled TrialFundingFerring pharmaceutical221 in total.Inclusion: aged 5-15 years, and primary NE.Exclusion: daytime urgency, frequency (>7 micturitions during day time), voiding postponement, infrequency (< 3 voiding during daytime), the use of non- pharmacological treatment for NE in previous 60 days, organic urological disease, day time wetting, diabetes insipidus, UTI, clinically significant renal, hepatic, gastrointestinal, pulmonary, cardiovascular, endocrine, or neurological disease.The mean age was 9.6 (SD 2.4) years. 71.6% were male. 34.4% were aged 5-8 years, 40.8% were aged 9-11 years and 24.8% were aged 12-15 years.Not reported. | | |
| Ref ID 35 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being | mised Controlled TrialFundingFerring pharmaceutical221 in total.Inclusion: aged 5-15 years, and primary NE.Exclusion: daytime urgency, frequency (>7 micturitions during day time), voiding postponement, infrequency (< 3 voiding during daytime), the use of non- pharmacological treatment for NE in previous 60 days, organic urological disease, day time wetting, diabetes insipidus, UTI, clinically significant renal, hepatic, gastrointestinal, pulmonary, cardiovascular, endocrine, or neurological disease.The mean age was 9.6 (SD 2.4) years. 71.6% were male. 34.4% were aged 5-8 years, 40.8% were aged 9-11 years and 24.8% were aged 12-15 years.Not reported.26 centres in Europe | | |
| Ref ID 35 Study Type Rando Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | mised Controlled Trial Funding Ferring pharmaceutical 221 in total. Inclusion: aged 5-15 years, and primary NE. Exclusion: daytime urgency, frequency (>7 micturitions during day time), voiding postponement, infrequency (< 3 voiding during daytime), the use of non- pharmacological treatment for NE in previous 60 days, organic urological disease, day time wetting, diabetes insipidus, UTI, clinically significant renal, hepatic, gastrointestinal, pulmonary, cardiovascular, endocrine, or neurological disease. The mean age was 9.6 (SD 2.4) years. 71.6% were male. 34.4% were aged 5-8 years, 40.8% were aged 9-11 years and 24.8% were aged 12-15 years. Not reported. 26 centres in Europe 120 or 240 micrograms desmopressin melt. | | |

| Results | 26 centres in France, Germany, the Netherlands, UK, Sweden, Denmark, Norway, Finland and Iceland | |
|--|--|--|
| | 3 weeks of each treatment | |
| | Mean number of wet nights per week The mean number of wet ngihts for the tablet was 1.88 (SD 1.94), and the mean number of wet nights for the melt was 1.90 (SD 1.85). | |
| | The study reported that the treatment difference was -0.05 episodes/week (95% CI - 0.21 to 0.1, p=0.5). | |
| | The study reported that the age effect was -0.13 episodes/week per year age increased (95% CI -0.23 to -0.04) | |
| | The study reported that the dose effect was 1.03 episodes/week for the high v. low dose (95% CI 0.53 to 1.53) | |
| | Adverse events: 6 out of 109 patients in the melt desmopressin group had headaches compared to 0 out of 109 in the tablet desmopressin group. 3 out of 109 patients in the melt desmopressin group had diarrhoa compared to 0 out of 109 in the tablet desmopressin group. 3 out of 109 patients in the melt desmopressin group had viral gastroenteritis compared to 0 out of 109 in the tablet desmopressin group. | |
| Safety and adverse effects | 6 out of 109 patients in the melt desmopressin group had headaches compared to 0 out of 109 in the tablet desmopressin group. 3 out of 109 patients in the melt desmopressin group had diarrhoa compared to 0 out of 109 in the tablet desmopressin group. 3 out of 109 patients in the melt desmopressin group had viral gastroenteritis compared to 0 out of 109 in the tablet desmopressin group. | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study reported no signfiicant difference between tablet or melt form of desmopressin. | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range 5-15 years. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment, no blinding | |
| Muller D;Florkowski H;Chave | ez-Kattau K;Carlsson G;Eggert P; | |
| The effect of desmopressin | on short-term memory in children with primary nocturnal enuresis | |
| Ref ID 175 | 2001 | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported | |
| Number of participant | 40 in total: 19 in group A (desmopressin), and 21 in group B (placebo). | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: at least 3 wet nights per week, and primary NE. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, anatomical abnormalities, or abnormal serum or urine analysis. | |
| Patient Characteristics | 29 out of 40 were boys. None had tried previous treatment. Mean baseline wetting per week was 5.35 (median 5.5 95% CI 4.5-6). The mean age in group A was 8.7, the median was 8.9 (Range 6-13). The mean age in group B was 8.6, median 8, and range 6.3-11.9. | |
| Recruitment | Recruited from Children's Hospital, University of Kiel, Germany. | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 153 of 219 | |
| | | |

| Setting | Germany. | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: 20 micro grams intransal desmopressin first Group B: 0.9% saline (Placebo) first | | |
| Comparisons | 2 weeks of each treatment, then cross over | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 4 weeks | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Mean number of wet nights during trial, and responders. | | |
| Results | 2 weeks of each treatment | | |
| | Mean number of wet nights during trial: In group A the mean number of wet nights was 3.27, m 4. In group B the mean number of wet nights wa 4.5-6. The difference between the two groups was high | as 4.9, median 5.25, 95% Cl | |
| | Responders 27 out of 40 children responded, no results for placebo | | |
| | There was no difference in reaction time between group | S | |
| | More children slept more deeply on desmopressin 14 o out of 18). P=0.03 | ut of 18 than on placebo (4 | |
| Safety and adverse effects | Not reported | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Study showed that more children responded when given desmopressin and had fewer wet nights compared to placebo treatment. | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Uncertain. The poor methodology (no allocation concealment, no blinding) and the absence on information on drop outs make it unclear whether the study was valid and had enough statistical power to detect any difference if it existed. | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent. | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age 6-13 years | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | | |
| Rushton HG;Belman AB;Zad | ontz M;Skoog SJ;Sihelnik S; | | |
| Response to desmopressin enuresis: a double-blind pro | as a function of urine osmolality in the treatment of mono spective study | symptomatic nocturnal | |
| Ref ID 328 | | 1995 | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding | Rhone-Poulenc Rorer Pharmaceuticals Inc, Collegeville Pennsylvania | |
| Number of participant | 96 in total: 49 in Group A and 47 in Group B. | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | nclusion: confirmed monosymptomatic NE, wet at least 6 nights during 2 week baseline period. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, day time wetting, organic urological disease, central diabetes insipidus, UTI in previous 18 months, use of drug which could affect urine concentration, medical treatment for hyperactivity or attention deficit disorder, history of acute or perennial rhinitis, rhinorrhoea or nasal polyps, clinically significant medical disease which would interfere with study. | | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 154 of 219 | | |
| | | | |

| Patient Characteristics | 71 out of 91 were boys. The mean age was 9.7 years (range 7-14 years). Mean number of wet nights during 2 week baseline for group A was 11.16 (SD 2.44) and for group B was 10.96 (SD 2.53). In group A 36.7% had a positive family history of NE and in group B 29.8% did. There was no significant difference between the baseline characteristics of the two groups | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Recruitment | Not reported | | |
| Setting | USA | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: 20 micro grams desmopressin spray (doubled if not completely dry after 14 nights) Group B: placebo (doubled if not completely dry after 14 nights) | | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 5 months | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Mean number of wet nights during first and last 2 weeks. Patient response during the 14 day observation period; excellent (2 or fewer wet nights), good (more than 2 wet nights but > 50% reduction in the number of wet nights), poor (<50% reduction wet n | | |
| Results | Group A were treated with 20 micro grams desmopressin, if children were not completely dry after 14 day the dose was doubled to 40 micro grams desmopressin | | |
| | Treatment for 4 weeks | | |
| | Mean number of wet nights during first 2 weeks: In Group A (20 micro grams desmopressin) the mean number of wet nights was 7.91 (SD 4.74) and in Group B (placebo) was 9.79 (SD 3.28). | | |
| | Mean number of wet nights during last 2 weeks: In Group A (40 micro grams desmopressin) the mean number of wet nights was 7.54 (SD 5.04) and in Group B (placebo) the mean number of wet nights was 9.79 (SD 3.63). | | |
| | Response rate In Group A (desmopressin) 10 out of 49 patients had an excellent response rate compared to 1 out of 47 in Group B (placebo). | | |
| | The study reported no differnece between responders and non responders by demographic variables (age, sex, race and family history). | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | Not reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that there was a higher response rate with desmopressin than with placebo. It also showed that children treated with desmopressin had fewer wet nights than those treated with placebo. | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range 7-14 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | | |
| Uygur MC;Ozgu IH;Ozen H; | Ozen S;Toklu C;Ergen A;Tekgul S;Remzi D; | | |
| Long-term treatment of noct Ref ID 283 | urnal enuresis with desmopressin intranasal spray 1997 | | |

| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Ferring Pharmaceutical, Sweden provided desmopressin | |
|--|---------|--|---|--|--|
| Number of partic | cipant | 65 children in crossover trial. 11 trial of desmopression. Total =54 children. | excluded before RCT | because did not respond to | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | ion | Inclusion: primary nocturnal enu Exclusion: organic causes of NE desmopressin. | urnal enuresis. ses of NE, urological disease, non-response to 2 week trial of | | |
| Patient Characte | ristics | Age 7-17 years. Baseline wetting '3 or more wet r | nights/week'. | | |
| Recruitment | | Not reported. | | | |
| Setting | | Turkey | | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Period A (54): desmopressin spr Period B (54): placebo spray. | ay, 20mg or 40 mg if n | o response. | |
| Comparisons | | Desmopression compared to pla | cebo. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | / | 6 months. | | | |
| Outcome measur studied | es | Number of wet nights in 2 weeks. Drop outs. | | | |
| Results | | Wet nights in 2 weeks: A=1; B=9 | 0.6 (no SDs). | | |
| | | Drop out: 4 dropped out in total, 1 due to L | JTI 3 due to no respons | e to desmopressin | |
| Safety and adver effects | rse | None reported | | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | tion? | The study showed that more chil mg or 40 mg than with desmopre | | ated with desmopressin 20 | |
| Effect due to fac study? | tor in | Yes | | | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | er | Similar to other studies with san | ne comparison. | | |
| Directly applicab guideline popula | | Age range 7-17 years. | | | |
| Internal Validity | | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | | | |
| Vertucci P;Lanzi C;Capece G;Fano M;Gallai V;Margari L;Mazzotta G;Menegati E;Ottaviano S;Perini A;Perniola T;Roccella M;Tiberti A;Vecchio A;Biraghi M; | | | | | |
| Desmopressin and imipramine in the management of nocturnal enuresis: a multicentre study | | | re study | | |
| Ref ID 297 | | | | 1997 | |
| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported | |
| Number of partic | cipant | 57 in total: 29 who received desr imipramine then desmopressin. | norpessin then imipran | nine, and 28 who received | |

| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary NE aged over 5 years, wet at least 3 nights a wee consent. Exclusion: organic or neurological dysfunction of the urinary system | - |
|--|--|----------------|
| Patient Characteristics | The age range was 6 to 15 years. The mean age was 10 years. 37 male. | out of 57 were |
| Recruitment | Children at Child Neuropsychiatry clinics in Italy. | |
| Setting | Child Neuropsychiatry Clinics Italy. | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: Desmopressin 30 mcg intranasal then imipramine 0.9 mg/ Group B: Imipramine 0.9 mg/kg then desmopressin 30 mcg intranas | |
| Comparisons | Between desmopresisn and imipramine. | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 2 weeks | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of wet nights, number achieving 14 consecutive dry nights, side effects. | drop outs, and |
| Results | Data was presented in graphs - data presented below was from Coor Treatment was for 3 weeks of each. Results shown are for after the treatment (patients had only received one drug) | |
| | Mean number of wet nights during first arm of trial In group A (desmopressin) the mean number of wet nights was 1, ir (imipramine) the mean number of wet nights was 2.8. | n group B |
| | Number who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights: 25 out of 29 achieved 14 consecutive dry nights when treated with c compared to 19 out of 28 who were treated with imipramine. | desmopressin |
| | Drop outs: 5 in total. | |
| | Side effects: Desmopressin: 1 had back pain and 1 had a an inflamed nasal mucosa. Imipramine: 1 had pallor and restlessness and cold extremities. | |
| Safety and adverse effects | Desmopressin: 1 had back pain and 1 had an inflamed nasal mucosa. Imipramine: 1 had pallor, restlessness and cold extremities. | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Study compared desmorpessin to imipramine to show that both red of wet nights, however desmopressin was more effective than imipr | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 6 to 15 years. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | |
| Wille S; | | |
| Comparison of desmopressi Ref ID 127 | in and enuresis alarm for nocturnal enuresis | 1986 |
| | | |

08 March 2010

| Study Type | Randomised Cor | ntrolled Trial | Funding | Not reported. |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Number of partici | pant 50 patient 22 of whic desmopre | s recruited, 25 allocated to each a th were treated with the enuresis a ssin | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion/Exclusion Criteria | least 3 tim Exclusion | older than 6 years, not dry for mo nes a week, and written informed : treatment for NE in previous yea enal disorder, neurological disord | consent. ars, day time | wetting, cardiovascular |
| Patient Character | | Group A had a mean number of wet nights at baseline line of 2.1 Group B had a mean number of wet nights at baseline line of 1.9 | | |
| Recruitment | Patients r | eferred to S. Wille's clinic. | | |
| Setting | Sweden, t | reatment at home. | | |
| Interventions/ Tes Factor being investigated | Group A: Group B: | intranasal desmopressin alarm | | |
| Comparisons | Between | groups A and B | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 3 months | | | |
| Outcome measure studied | s Number o | f children dry for 14 consecutive r | nights, and re | elapse. |
| Results | score (sco In group A 22 in grou The study before the desmopre | of being for 28 days with only 5 we ore: very wet = 3, a little wet = 2, of A (desmopressin) 17 out of 24 chil op A (alarm). restated that at the end of treatmente treatment however the alarm groessin p<0.02). There was no significessin) and group B (alarm). | dry = 1): Idren becam nt both group oup was more | e dry compared to 19 out of os were significantly drier than e successful (alarm p<0.001; |
| | first 3 wee group hac study stat during the significan | desmopressin) had significantly n eks (p<0.001). However during the l more dry nights, and significantly ed that due to the high relapse ra first 2 weeks of treatment and at thy better than the desmopressin g spectively). | e last 9 week y more in the te in the des 3 month follo | s of treatment the alarm 11th week (p<0.002). The mopressin group, over all ow up the alarm group was |
| | | n the alarm group relapsed in the ssin group. | e 3 month foll | ow up compared to 10 in the |
| | Drop out: 1 child fro | m the alarm group dropped out d | ue to lack of | improvement. |
| | child was other fam desmopre | events: m group 78% had false alarms, 1 wet, 56% said the alarm did not v ily members instead and 1 patient essin group 13% reported nasal di xperienced a bad taste in the thro | wake the child t was afraid o iscomfort, 3% | d, 56% said the alarm woke of the alarm. In the |
| | their origin alarm 2 cl did not re did improv | included a cross over of treatment nal treatment group. Of the children hildren improved by a 2 month foll spond. Of the children who chang ve but subsequently relapsed. The over results. | en who chang low up, 3 we ged from alar | ged from desmopressin to re better than before and 5 m to desmopressin 2 children |

| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
|--|---|
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that both alarm treatment and desmopressin lead to a significant reduction in the number of wet nights. The study showed that alarm treatment was more successful in achieving 28 dry nights (with less than 5 wet nights) than desmopressin, however this difference was not significant until the high relapse rate of desmopressin was taken into account. With alarms then being significantly more effective. The desmopressin group had a higher relapse rate than the alarm group. More patients receiving alarm therapy reported side effects than those receiving desmopressin. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing desmopressin and alarm. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients were aged over 6 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |

| Grading: 2+ | Well-conducted case–control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal |
|--|---|
| | probability that the relationship is causal |
| Del G;Del G;Cennamo M;Au | riemma R;Del G;Verni M; |
| Desmopressin is a safe drug Ref ID 247 | g for the treatment of enuresis 2005 |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 541 patients |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged over 5 years, absence of malformations and infections of the urinary tract, absence of psychological disorders or neurological alterations, number of wet nights greater than 5 to 7. |
| Patient Characteristics | Children were aged over 5 years. |
| Recruitment | Selected patients monitored for 2 years (no details). |
| Setting | Italy |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | 30 to 40 micrograms intranasal desmopressin. |
| Comparisons | 0.3 to 0.4 mg tablet desmopressin |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 3 months. |
| Outcome measures studied | Side effects. |
| Results | 3 months of treatment |
| | Children treated with intranasal desmopressin 7 out of 153 patients had weight gain during the first 4 days of therapy, 1 out of 153 had vomiting and abdominal pain and 1 out of 153 had headache and abdominal pain. Children treated with tablet desmopressin 22 out of 388 patients had side effects such as headache, vomiting, stomach ache, lack of appetite, vesical tenesmus, diarrhea, epistaxis, dizziness, drowsiness and weight gain in 3 patients; 10 patients interrupted treatment due to weight gain. |
| Safety and adverse effects | Children treated with intranasal desmopressin 7 out of 153 patients had weight gain during the first 4 days of therapy, 1 out of 153 had vomiting and abdominal pain and 1 out of 153 had headache and abdominal pain. Children treated with tablet desmopressin 22 out of 388 patients had side effects such as headache, vomiting, stomach ache, lack of appetite, vesical tenesmus, diarrhea, epistaxis, dizziness, drowsiness and weight gain in 3 patients; 10 patients interrupted treatment due to weight gain. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed some children treated with intranasal desmopressin had weight gain, vomiting abdominal pain and headache. The study showed some children treated with tablet desmopressin had headache, vomiting, stomach ache, lack of appetite, vesical tenesmus, diarrhea, epistaxis, dizziness, drowsiness and weight gain. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |

| Consistency of | |
|--|---|
| results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged over 5 years. |
| Internal Validity | Adequately addressed |
| Hjalmas K;Hanson E;Hellstro | om AL;Kruse S;Sillen U; |
| | smopressin in children with primary monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis: an open Enuresis Trial (SWEET) Group |
| Ref ID 739 | 1998 |
| Study Type Cohort | Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 393 patients |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: Aged 6 to 12 years and monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis. Exclusion: day incontinence, or previous urological history such as UTI. |
| Patient Characteristics | Children had an age range of 6 to 12 years. |
| Recruitment | Recruited to multi centre trial (no details). |
| Setting | Multi centre trial, Sweden. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | 20 to 40 micrograms intranasal desmopressin . |
| Comparisons | No comparison. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not reported |
| Outcome measures studied | Side effects. |
| Results | 28 days of treatment or long term (unspecified) treatment. |
| | The study showed 2.5% of 393 children reported mild adverse events of nasal irritation and abdominal pain on short term desmopressin and 2% of 242 children had temporary bouts of aggression when on long term desmopressin. |
| Safety and adverse effects | 2.5% of children reported mild adverse events of nasal irritation and abdominal pain on short term desmopressin and 2% had temporary bouts of aggression when on long term desmopressin. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed 2.5% of children reported mild adverse events of nasal irritation and abdominal pain on short term desmopressin and 2% had temporary bouts of aggression when on long term desmopressin. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range 6 to 12 years. |
| Internal Validity | Adequately addressed |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 161 of 219 |

Tullus K;Bergstrom R;Fosdal I;Winnergard I;Hjalmas K;

Efficacy and safety during long-term treatment of primary monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis with desmopressin. Swedish Enuresis Trial Group

| Ref ID 703 | | | 1999 |
|---|-------------|---|--|
| Study Type | Cohort | Funding | Ferring Pharmaceuticals, Malmo, Sweden. |
| Number of partic | ipant 2 | 245 patients | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | ι | Inclusion: primary monosymptomatic NE, aged 6 to 12 y urinary tract pathology, and no history of diurnal sympto Exclusion: clinically significant illness affecting any of th | ms. |
| Patient Characte | ristics (| Children were aged 6 to 12 years. | |
| Recruitment | (| Consecutive patients at 24 centres. | |
| Setting | H | Hospital and district paediatric clinics, Sweden. | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ I | Intranasal desmopressin. | |
| Comparisons | I | No comparison. | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | 4 weeks observation period, a six weeks dose titration p treatment period. | period and a year long term |
| Outcome measur studied | es S | Side effects | |
| Results | | 12 months of treatment. | |
| | 2 2 8 | The study showed 16% of children had headaches and 20% had psychological disturbances which included 4% aggressive reactions and 2% with nightmares. 1% drop pain, 1% due to aggressive reactions, 0.5% due to nighoss of appetite. | with nervousness, 4% with ped out due to abdominal |
| Safety and adver effects | 2 a k | The study showed 16% of children had headaches and 20% had psychological disturbances which included 4% aggressive reactions and 2% with nightmares. 1% drop pain, 1% due to aggressive reactions, 0.5% due to nighoss of appetite. | with nervousness, 4% with ped out due to abdominal |
| Does the study answer the ques | tion? | The study showed some children treated with intranasa headaches, gastroenteritis, psychological disturbances, reactions, nightmares and loss of appetite. | |
| Effect due to fac study? | tor in | Yes | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | er | | |
| Directly applicab guideline popula | | Children were aged 6 to 12 years. | |
| Internal Validity | | Adequately addressed | |
| Wolfish NM·Barkin | I.Gorodzin | sky E-Schwarz P | |

Wolfish NM;Barkin J;Gorodzinsky F;Schwarz R;

The Canadian Enuresis Study and Evaluation--short- and long-term safety and efficacy of an oral desmopressin preparation

| Ref ID 4089 | 2003 |
|--|--|
| Study Type Cohor | t Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 256 patients |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: good health, no organic systemic pathology, and wet at least 10 out of 28 consecutive nights. |
| Patient Characteristics | Mean age 9.6 years and age range of 6 to 18 years. 79.3% were male and 80% had tried previous treatment (alarm or drugs). |
| Recruitment | Not reported. |
| Setting | Canada. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | 0.2 to 0.4 mg tablet desmopressin |
| Comparisons | No comparison. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up |
| Outcome measures studied | Side effects. |
| Results | 1 month of treatment |
| | The study showed out of 256 patients, 2 children withdrew from the trial 1 due to abdominal pain and 1 due to headache and abdominal pain |
| Safety and adverse effects | 2 out of 256 children withdrew from the trial, 1 due to abdominal pain and 1 due to headache and abdominal pain. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed out of 256 patients, 2 children withdrew from the trial 1 due to abdominal pain and 1 due to headache and abdominal pain. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range of 6 to 18 years. |
| Internal Validity | Adequately addressed |

| Grading: 2- | Case–control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding bias, or chance and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal* |
|--|--|
| Figueroa TE;Benaim E;Grig | gs ST;Hvizdala EV; |
| Enuresis in sickle cell disea Ref ID 3004 | se 1987 |
| Study Type Cohor | t Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 10 patients |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: sickle cell disease and primary enuresis. |
| Patient Characteristics | Age range 6 to 12 years. |
| Recruitment | Patients at centre. |
| Setting | Regional sickle cell center, USA. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Intranasal desmopressin. |
| Comparisons | No comparison. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up. |
| Outcome measures studied | Side effects. |
| Results | 6 months of treatment |
| | The study showed 4 children did not respond to intranasal desmopressin and one of these children stopped using intranasal desmopressin due to headaches. |
| Safety and adverse effects | 1 out of 10 children with sickle cell disease stopped using intranasal desmopressin due to headaches. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed only 1 out of 10 children with sickle cell disease stopped using intranasal desmopressin due to headaches. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had sickle cell disease, and their age range was 6 to 12 years. |
| Internal Validity | Adequately addressed |
| Robson WLM;Leung AKC; | |
| Side effects associated with Ref ID 3046 | DDAVP treatment of nocturnal enuresis 1994 |

| Study Type | Cohort | Funding Not reported |
|---|----------|--|
| Number of partie | cipant | 77 patients |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | Inclusion: patients seen in clinics and treated with desmopressin |
| Patient Characte | eristics | Mean age 9.4 years and age range 5.3 to 15.3 years. 70% were male and 64% were responders. 60% had 10 micrograms desmopressin, and 40% had 20 micrograms or higher desmopressin. |
| Recruitment | | Patients seen from November 1989 to March 1993 and treated with desmopressin. |
| Setting | | Pediatric nephrology clinic, Canada. |
| Interventions/ To Factor being investigated | est/ | 10 to 40 micrograms intranasal desmopressin. |
| Comparisons | | No comparison. |
| Length of Study Follow-up | / | Not reported. |
| Outcome measures studied | res | Side effects. |
| Results | | 4 weeks of treatment. |
| | | The study showed 1 out of 77 children suffered from headaches and 1 out of 77 children had emotional lability. |
| Safety and adve effects | rse | The study showed 1 out of 77 children suffered from headaches and 1 out of 77 children had emotional lability. |
| Does the study answer the ques | stion? | The study showed 1 out of 77 children suffered from headaches and 1 out of 77 children had emotional lability. |
| Effect due to fac study? | tor in | Yes |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | er | |
| Directly applical guideline popula | | Mean age 9.4 years. |
| Internal Validity | | Adequately addressed |
| Question: | What i | is the clinical and cost effectiveness of tricyclic drugs |

for children and young people under 19 years old who have nocturnal enuresis?

Grading: 1+

Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias

Burke JR;Mizusawa Y;Chan A;Webb KL;

A comparison of amitriptyline, vasopressin and amitriptyline with vasopressin in nocturnal enuresis.

| A companson or a | minpiyiin | e, vasopressin and annuptyline with vas | | |
|--|-----------|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| Ref ID 325 | | | | 1995 |
| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported |
| Number of parti | cipant | 45 in total, 14 in group A (amitriptyline) (desmopressin and amitriptyline) |), 17 in group B (| desmopressin), 14 in group C |
| Criteria dry for more than 6 mo Exclusion: organic caus neurogenic disorder, U | | Inclusion: 6-17 years, at least 3 wet nig dry for more than 6 months Exclusion: organic causes of NE, enur- neurogenic disorder, UTI, abnormal un concomitant medication known to inter | esis treatment in inalysis haemoto | previous 6 months, logy or blood biochemistry, |
| Patient Characte | eristics | In group A the mean age was 8.6 (SD (SD 0.9) In group B the mean age was 8.9 (SD (SD 0.9) In group C the mean age was 8.9 (SD (SD 0.9) | 2.5) years, the m | ean baseline wetting was 6.0 |
| Recruitment | | Not reported | | |
| Setting | | Australia | | |
| Interventions/ T Factor being investigated | est/ | Group A: amitriptyline hydrocholoride (Group B: intranasal desmopressin (20 Group C: desmopressin and amitriptyli | micro grams) | |
| Comparisons | | Between treatment groups | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | // | 12 weeks | | |
| Outcome measu studied | ires | Number of children cured, drop outs, n and follow up | nean number of v | vet nights at end of treatment |
| Results | | 16 weeks of treatment | | |
| | | Number of children cured: In group A (amitriptyline) 3 out of 14 be (desmopresisn) 1 out of 17 and in gro 14 | | |
| | | Number of drop outs: In group A (amitriptyline) 0 out of 14 dr 17 dropped out, in group C (desmopre | | |
| | | Mean number of wet nights per week a The mean number of wet nights per we 1.9), for group B (desmopresisn) was 4 and amitriptyline) was 3.3 (SD 2.5) | eek for group A (| amitriptyline) was 3.3 (SD |
| | | Mean number of wet nights per week a The mean number of wet nights per we 2.9), for group B (desmopresisn) was 3 and amitriptyline) was 5.1 (SD 3.2) | eek for group A (| amitriptyline) was 3.9 (SD |
| Safety and adve effects | erse | None reported | | |
| 08 March 2010 | | Page 166 of 219 | | |

| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that more children became dry when treated with amitriptyline and desmopressin, patients treated with amitriptyline alone and with desmopressin had fewer wet nights during treatment but at follow up desmopressin alone or amitriptyline alone had the fewest number of wet nights | |
|--|---|--|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Aged 6-17 years | |
| Internal Validity | Trial was stopped early | |
| Poussaint AF;Ditman KS;Gr | eenfield R; | |
| Amitriptyline in childhood er Ref ID 584 | nuresis 1966 | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported | |
| Number of participant | 50 in total (60 before drop outs) Trial 1: 16 in each group Trial 2: 9 in each group | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: wet at least 1 night a week. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, or learning difficulties. | |
| Patient Characteristics | The age range was 5 to 15 years. 80% had never been dry since birth. The remainder had had brief periods of dryness. | |
| Recruitment | Referred by doctor. | |
| Setting | Department of psychiatry neuropsychiatric UCLA USA | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Trial 1: Group A: amitriptyline (25 mg for children aged less than 12 years, 50 mg for children aged over 12 years Group B: placebo | |
| | Trial 2: Group A: amitriptyline (25 mg for children aged less than 12 years, 50 mg for children aged over 12 years Group B: placebo | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up. | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of wet nights, and side effects. | |
| Results | Data taken from Cochrane review because graphical data only presented in paper. There were 10 drop outs in total | |
| | Trial 1: Treatment for 4 weeks Mean number of wet nights in last week of treatment: In group A (amitriptyline) the mean number of wet nights was 3.1, while in group B (placebo) the mean number of wet nights was 4.6. | |
| | Side effects: (same data as trial 2) Amitriptyline: | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 167 of 219 | |

| | 7 reported being irritable, 2 were calmer, 10 nocturia, 3 drowsy, 2 headache, 1 lower appetite, 1 fatigue, 1 stomach ache, and 1 scleral injection. Placebo: 5 reported being irritable, 5 stomach ache, 1 fatigue, and 1 lower appetite. |
|--|---|
| | Trial 2: Treatment for 8 weeks Mean number of wet nights in last week of treatment: In group A (amitriptyline) the mean number of wet nights was 4.1, while in group B (placebo) the mean number of wet nights was 5.5. |
| | Side effects: (same data as trial 1) Amitriptyline: 7 reported being irritable, 2 were calmer, 10 nocturia, 3 drowsy, 2 headache, 1 lower appetite, 1 fatigue, 1 stomach ache, and 1 scleral injection. Placebo: 5 reported being irritable, 5 stomach ache, 1 fatigue, and 1 lower appetite. |
| Safety and adverse effects | Amitriptyline: 7 reported being irritable, 2 were calmer, 10 nocturia, 3 drowsy, 2 headache, 1 lower appetite, 1 fatigue, 1 stomach ache, 1 scleral injection Placebo: 5 reported being irritable, 5 stomach ache, 1 fatigues, 1 lower appetite |
| Does the study answer the question? | Both trials compared amitriptyline to placebo and showed amitriptyline is more effective than placebo |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 5 to 15 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment |

Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias*

Agarwala S;Heycock JB;

| A controlled trial of imiprami Ref ID 571 | ne ('Tofranil') in the treatment of childhood enuresis 1968 |
|--|---|
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not stated. |
| Number of participant | 29 in total in this cross over trial. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: parents consider the enuresis to be a problem, aged over 6 years, wet 6-7 times a week. Exclusion: organic causes of NE or mental retardation. |
| Patient Characteristics | 15 out of 29 were boys and the age range was 6-12 years. Some had previously been treated with imipramine. |
| Recruitment | All currently attending the Outpatient Department with enuresis as their main complaint. |
| Setting | Sunderland Children's Hospital. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: 25 mg imipramine for 2 weeks and dose doubled (50mg) for another 2 weeks if no response. Group B: placebo. |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 4 weeks. |
| Outcome measures studied | 14 consecutive dry nights, mean number of wet nights in 2 weeks of treatment, and side effects. |
| Results | Treatment for 2 weeks or 4 if no response |
| | Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights: In Group A 2 out of 29 achieved 14 consecutive dry nights compared to 0 out of 29 in group B. |
| | Mean number of wet nights: Group A had a mean number of 5.5 (SD 3.3) wet nights in the 2 weeks of treatment compared to 7.8 (4) in group B |
| | Side effects: 1 patient in group A suffered dizziness when treated with 50mg imipramine. |
| Safety and adverse effects | 1 patient in group A suffered dizziness when treated with 50mg imipramine. |
| Does the study answer the question? | Yes it helps answer the question regarding the clinal effectiveness of Imipramine (tricyclic drug) for nocturnal eneuresis. The author concludes that Imipramine is overall superior to placeo and did not occur by chance. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing imiparmine to placebo. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Yes |
| Internal Validity 08 March 2010 | Unclear allocation concealment Page 169 of 219 |

Attenburrow AA;Stanley TV;Holland RP;

Nocturnal enuresis: a study

Ref ID 464

| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported. |
|---|---------|---|------------------------|------------------------------|
| Number of partic | ipant | 33 in total: 12 in viloxazine group, 9 in i | imipramine grou | o, 12 in placebo |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | ion | Inclusion: suitable for drug therapy, par urine. Exclusion: organic causes. | rental consent, n | o abnormalities in blood or |
| Patient Characte | ristics | 11 out of 33 were boys, and the media The baseline mean number of dry nigh 1.3 for placebo group. Most had received previous simple treat | nts per week was | 2.4 for imipramine group and |
| Recruitment | | Those aged over 5 years presenting wi paediatrics. | ith nocturnal enu | resis at the department of |
| Setting | | The Royal Hospital for Sick Children, G | Blasgow. | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Group A:50mg imipramine for children children aged over 10 years Group B: placebo Study also included a group treated wit | | |
| Comparisons | | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | , | 2 weeks | | |
| Outcome measur studied | es | Number of dry nights. Side effects. | | |
| Results | | Mean number of wet nights in the final group A (imipramine) had 3.2 (SD 4.5), | | |
| | | Mean number of wet nights per week a Group A (imipramine) = 4.2 (4.8) comp | | (placebo)= 5.7 (2.1). |
| | | Side effects In group A 4 had lethargy, 3 had consti sweating and sickness, 1 had vomiting dizziness and dry mouth, and 1 had an In group B 2 had a rash and 1 had nigh | and drowsiness orexia. | |
| | | Drop outs= 13 in total | | |
| Safety and adver effects | se | In group A 4 had lethargy, 3 had consti sweating and sickness, 1 had vomiting dizziness and dry mouth, and 1 had an In group B 2 had a rash and 1 had nigh | and drowsiness orexia. | |
| Does the study answer the ques | tion? | Yes it looks at the clinical effectivenss eneuresis. | of a tricyclic drug | g (imipramine) for nocturnal |
| Effect due to fact study? | tor in | Yes | | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | r | Similar to other studies comparing imi | pramine to place | bo. |
| Directly applicab guideline popula | | Children aged 5 to 13 years. | | |
| 08 March 2010 | | Page 170 of 219 | | |

1984

Internal Validity

Unclear allocation concealment

Batislam E;Nuhoglu B;Peskircioglu L;Emir L;Uygur C;Germiyanoglu C;Erol D;

A prostaglandin synthesis inhibitor, diclofenac sodium in the treatment of primary nocturnal enuresis Ref ID $_{114}$

| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported |
|---|------------|---|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Number of partic | cipant | 78 in total 16 in imipramine group, | 12 in placebo group |) |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | Inclusion: primary Ne, wet at least Exclusion: orgainc causes, previou | | |
| Patient Characte | eristics | 48 out of 78 were male, age range | 6 to 18 years | |
| Recruitment | | Not stated. | | |
| Setting | | | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Group A: imipraimne Group B: placebo Study also evaluated diclofenac ar review | nd imipramine with d | iclofenac, not included for this |
| Comparisons | | between treatment groups | | |
| Length of Study Follow-up | 1 | 3 months | | |
| Outcome measur | res | relapse at 3 months, 50% improve rate | ment, side effects, fa | ailure to improve ore relapse |
| Results | | 50% or greater improvement 2 out of 16 in the imipramine group | and 6 out of 12 in p | blacebo group |
| | | Adverse events 8 had mild gastrointestinal | | |
| Safety and adve effects | rse | 8 had mild gastrointestinal | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | stion? | Study comapred imipramine to pla | cebo | |
| Effect due to fac study? | ctor in | Yes | | |
| Consistency of results with othe studies? | er | Similar to other studies comparing | imipramine to place | ebo |
| Directly applicat guideline popula | | Yes age range 6 to 18 years | | |
| Internal Validity | | Unclear allocation concealment a | nd blinding | |
| Danquah SA; | | | | |
| Comparative treatm | nent of no | octurnal enuresis among Ghanaian s | chool children | 1975 |
| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | None reported |
| 00 March 2010 | | Dara 171 af 210 | | |

| Number of participant | 30 boys, 10 in each treatment group (Group A amitriptyline, Group B alarm and Group C shaming) |
|--|--|
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: boys with enuresis Exclusion: those who were undergoing tradional treatment |
| Patient Characteristics | The mean age was 10.4 years and the mean IQ was 85.4 (20.12 SD). |
| Recruitment | From a fishing village in Ghana. |
| Setting | Ghanian fishing community, at home |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: amitripyline Group B: alarm The study also looked at shaming which is not a relevent comparison so results are not reported |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 3 months |
| Outcome measures studied | Change in number of wet nights. |
| Results | 7 weeks treatment |
| | The mean number of wet nights per week at the end of treatment was 3.2 for the alarm group and 4 for the amitripyline group. |
| | The median number of days for initial arrest were 15.5 for alarm therapy and 20 for amitriptyline. |
| | Follow-up was conducted after 3 months post treatment. Alarm therapy was the only treatment that was continuously successful. The post-treatment ranking (post treatment frequency of bed wetting) was 3.20 and following treatment was 1.49, t=3.98, p<0.001. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The alarm was found to be quicker and more effective. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The study only included boys and the mean age was 10.4 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Drew LR; | |
| Control of enuresis by imipra | amine |
| Ref ID 581 | 1966 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 28 in total; 9 were subjects for both parts. 17 children were subjects for the second part only, and 2 were subjects for the first part only. |

| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: wet at least 3 nights a week and age 5 to 15 years. |
|--|---|
| Patient Characteristics | The age range was 5 to 15 years. The baseline mean number of wet nights was 65.8% in group A (placebo then imipramine) and 64.7% in group B (imipramine then placebo). |
| Recruitment | From a childrens home in Melbourne. |
| Setting | Childrens home, Melbourne |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: Placebo then imipramine Group B: Imipramine then placebo Patients were given 2 tablets. If they had a wet night in the first week the dose was doubled to 4 tablets |
| Comparisons | Between imipramine and placebo. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | None. |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of wet nights and adverse events. |
| Results | 4 weeks on each treatment. After these 4 weeks continued on imipramine or placebo. |
| | Number of wet nights: Group A (placebo then imipramine) had 3.79 wet nights per week, while group B (imipramine then placebo) had 2.38 wet nights per week. |
| | Adverse events: None |
| Safety and adverse effects | None |
| Does the study answer the question? | Imipramine is more effective than placebo |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing imipramine and placebo |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 5 to 15 years |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding. |
| Esmaeili M; | |
| Combined treatment with oxy | ybutynin and imipramine in enuresis |
| Ref ID 636 | 2008 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 89 in total: 29 in imipramine group, 26 in oxybutinin group and 34 in imipramine and oxybutinin group. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary NE, wet at least 2 nights a week for preceding 3 months, and never been dry for more than 6 months. Exclusion: voiding dysfunction other than primary NE, urologic and neurological abnormalities, prior pharmacological treatment, UTI, or diurnal enuresis. |
| Patient Characteristics | The mean age was 8.9 (SD 1.6) years, and age range 6-14 years. The mean baseline wetting was 5.1 (SD 1.1) days per week. |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 173 of 219 |

| Recruitment | Patients were referred to the paediatric nephrology clinic at I Medical Sciences between November 2003 and March 2004 | |
|--|---|--|
| Setting | Iran. | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: 10-25 mg imipramine Group B: 3.75-5 mg oxybutinin Group C: imipramine and oxybutinin | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 1 month. | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children achieving 14 consecutive dry nights, and nights per week during treatment. | d mean number of wet |
| Results | 1 month of treatment | |
| | Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights: 4 out of 29 children in group A (imipramine) group were cure 26 in group B (oxybutinin) and 14 out of 34 in group C (imipr | ed, compared to 6 out of |
| | The mean number of wet nights per week during treatment: The mean number of wet nights per week during treatment v A (imipramine), the mean for group B (oxybutinin) was 2.5 (S (imipramine and oxybutinin) the mean was 1.4 (SD 1.5). | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that the most effective treatment was imip oxybutinin. | bramine combined with |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar with other study with same comparison. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range 6-14 years. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | |
| Forsythe WI;Merrett JD; | | |
| A controlled trial of imiprami | ine ('Tofranil') and nortriptyline ('Allegron') in the treatment of e | nuresis |
| Ref ID 561 | | 1969 |
| Study Type Rando | | SSRS GEIGY Ltd. And SSERS DISTA Ltd |
| Number of participant | 298 in total, 78 in imipramine and placebo group, 88 in nortri group and 87 in placebo group | iptyline and placebo |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: wet at least 6 nights a week Exclusion: UTI | |
| Patient Characteristics | Age range of up to 15 years, 6 children aged under 5 years | |
| Recruitment | Not reported | |
| Setting | Royal Belfast Hospital for Sick Children | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 174 of 219 | |

| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Imipramine and nortriptyline placebo Nortriptyline and imipramine placebo |
|--|--|
| Comparisons | Matching placebo |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 8 weeks follow up |
| Outcome measures studied | 14 consecutive dry nights, number of children who had a 50% reduction in the number of wet nights |
| Results | 8 weeks of treatment |
| | The number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights: 1 out of 76 children in the imipramine and placebo group achieved 14 consecutive dry nights compared to 1 out of 86 in the notriptyline and placebo group and 1 out of 85 in the placebo group |
| | The number of children who had a 50% reduction in the number of wet nights: 22 out of 76 children in the imipramine and placebo group achieved 14 consecutive dry nights compared to 34 out of 86 in the notriptyline and placebo group and 21 out of 85 in the placebo group |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reoprted |
| Does the study answer the question? | Treatment with imipramine or nortirptyline is significantly more effective than placebo treatment |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range of up to 15 years, 6 children aged under 5 years |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Fournier JP;Garfinkel BD;Bo | nd A;Beauchesne H;Shapiro SK; |
| Pharmacological and behavi | oral management of enuresis |
| Ref ID 346 | 1987 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 64 in total59 completed the study 7 in imipramine, 7 in alarm, 7 in alarm and placebo, 8 in imipramine and alarm, 8 in random awakening, 8 in random awakeing and placebo, 8 in imipramine and random awakening and 6 in placebo. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged between 5 and 14 years, no history of UTI or disease, no physical or neurological disorder, at least 2 wet nights a week for previous 6 months, no treatment in previous 3 months, no significant cognitive impairment or mental retardation, imformed consent to random allocation of treatment |
| Patient Characteristics | 73% were boys, the mean age was 8.5 years, 70% of children lived with their biological parent, 14% lived with a single parent, 83% were either the oldest or second eldest child in their family, 77% had had a first degree relative with enuresis and 61% had another relative with enuresis |
| Recruitment | Newspaper adverts and referred from paediatricians |
| Setting | at home |

| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: imipramine Group B: alarm Group C: placebo Group D: alarm with imipramine Group E: alarm with placebo The study also considered random waking, placebo with random waking and imiprmaine with random waking which are not relevant comparators for this reivew and were not included |
|--|--|
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 3 months |
| Outcome measures studied | Mean number of wet nights per week at the end of treatment |
| Results | Treatment for 6 weeks |
| | At the end of treatment the imipramine group had a mean of 1 wet night per week; the alarm group had 2.5 wet nights per week; the placebo group had 5 wet nights per week; the imiprmaine with alarm group had 1 wet nights per week; there were no results for the alarm and placebo group |
| | Drop out: In total 4 boys dropped out due to side-effects or non-compliance and 1 girl dropped out due to having a UTI |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that imipramine had a fasted effect than the other treatments, however at 4 weeks the most effective treatments were alarm, alarm with imipramine and imipramine alone. At the 3 month follow up the most successful treatments were alarm, imipramine and alarm with placebo. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes (NB there is a 15% spontaneous cure rate) |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies with same comparison |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | children were aged 5 - 14 years old |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Hagglund TB;Pakkulainen K | .V.; |
| Enuretic children treated with | h imipramine (Tofranil): a cystometric study |
| Ref ID 1742 | 1964 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 34 in study, 18 children in the treatment group, 16 in control. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: Normal IQ and wet every night. Exclusion: UTI, other urological abnormality, abnormal EEG, and daytime wetting. |
| Patient Characteristics | Age range of 4 to 14 years. 27 boys and 7 girls. |
| Recruitment | Not reported. |
| Setting | Finland. |

| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Imipramine | |
|--|---|---|
| Comparisons | Placebo | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 3 to 8 months | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry ni | ghts |
| Results | The number of children who achieved 14 consecutive d 3 out of 7 children in the imipramine group achieved 14 compared to 0 out of 8 in the placebo group. | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Imipramine is more effective than placebo. | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range of 4 to 14 years. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding. | |
| Harrison JS;Albino VJ; | | |
| An investigation into the effe children | cts of imipramine hydrochloride on the incidence of enur | esis in institutionalized |
| Ref ID 551 | | 1970 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding | Giegy pharmaceutical provided the imipramine and placebo tablets. |
| Number of participant | 62 in total, 30 in imipramine group, 32 in placebo group | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged over 6 years at one of 2 orphanages rur | n by an Augustinian Order |
| Patient Characteristics | 14 out of 62 were boys. The age range was 6 to 18 yea In the imipramine group the baseline wetting was 62% a baseline wetting was 66%. Boys were sent to another institute at the age of 12 yea years group contained only girls. | and in the placebo group the |
| Recruitment | From single sex institutes. | |
| Setting | Single sex orphanages, Durban. | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: imipramine (25mg for children aged under 12 aged over 12 years) Group B: placebo | years, 50 mg for children |
| Comparisons | Between imipramine and placebo. | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not reported. | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 177 of 219 | |

| Outcome measures studied | Mean number of wet nights. Drop outs. | |
|--|--|---|
| Results | 20 nights of treatment for each. | |
| | Mean number of wet nights per week at the end of treat cochrane review as paper presented data in an unusab treatment is presented). The imipramine group had a mean number of wet night group had a mean number of wet nights of 3.3. | le format - only first arm of |
| | 2 dropped out of the imipramine group | |
| | The paper presented data that showed group one, who had 62.3% wet nights during the observation period, 36 imipramine treatment, 39% wet nights during placebo tr nights in the final observational treatment. The group w imipramine had 65.9% wet nights during the observation during the placebo treatment, 36.1% wet nights during 56.3% wet nights during the final observational treatment. | % wet nights during reatment and 55.6% wet hich received placebo then nal period, 47.2% wet nights the imipramine treatment and |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study shows that children treated with imipramine I compared to those treated with a placebo | nad fewer wet nights |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing imiparmine and place | cebo. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 6 to 17 years. Only girls were inclu group. | uded in the 12 to 17 years |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | |
| Hodes C; | | |
| Enuresisa study in general | l practice | |
| Ref ID 1741 | | 1973 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding | Geigy Pharmaceuticals supported the study |
| Number of participant | 74 in total, 36 in imipramine group, 38 in placebo group |). |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: responded to a postal questionnaire, aged 5 Exclusion: | to 15 years. |
| Patient Characteristics | The age range was 5 to 15 years. 57 out of the origina | l 99 patients were males. |
| Recruitment | Questionnarie was sent to all parents with children age practice in London. | d 5 to 15 years at a GP |
| Setting | GP practice, London, UK | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: imipramine (25 mg if aged under 6 years, 50 Group B: placebo | mg if aged over 6 years) |
| Comparisons | Between imipramine and placebo. | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 178 of 219 | |

| Length of Study/ | No follow up. |
|--|---|
| Follow-up Outcome measures studied | Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights and adverse events. |
| Results | Treatment was for 30 days, if the patient was not sucessful they could repeat this for 5 1/2 months until cured or until the family decided to stop. |
| | Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights: 7 out of 36 in the imipramine group achieved 14 consecutive dry nights; 6 out of 38 in the placebo group achieved 14 consecutive dry nights. |
| | Adverses events Macular rash (unclear on which treatment). |
| Safety and adverse effects | Macular rash (unclear on which treatment) |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed there was little difference between children treated with imipramine and those treated with placebo. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes, although no baseline characteristics given for final study population. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing imipramine and placebo. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children aged 5 to 15 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Khorana AB; | |
| Controlled trial of imipramine Ref ID 1743 | e hydrochloride on enuresis 1972 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 100 in total, 50 in group A (imipramine), 50 in group B (placebo) |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: consecutive children with primary enuresis. Exclusion: physical or neurological disorder, severe mental retardation, or unco- operative. |
| Patient Characteristics | 74 out of the initial 100 patients were male. The mean age was 8.2 years and the age range was 5 to 15 years. |
| Recruitment | Psychiatric inpatients in India. |
| Setting | psychiatric inpatients in india |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: imipramine hydrochloride (25 mg, if aged under 10 years. If no response dose was raised to 50mg. If aged over 10 years and no response dose was raised to 75mg) Group B: placebo |
| Comparisons | Between imipramine and placebo. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | None |
| Outcome measures studied | Drop outs, number who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights, and adverse events. |

| Descrite | |
|---|--|
| Results | Treatment was for 12 weeks |
| | Number of children who achieved 14 consectuive dry nights: 19 out of 42 children in group A (imipramine) achieved 14 consecutive dry nights; 0 out of 34 in group B (placebo) achieved 14 consecutive dry nights. |
| | Adverse events: None which required treatment. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None which required treatment. |
| Does the study answer the question? | Imipramine is more effective than placebo. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing imiparmine to placebo. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 5 to 15 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Kolvin I;Taunch J;Currah J;C | Garside RF;Nolan J;Shaw WB; |
| Enuresis: a descriptive anal | ysis and a controlled trial |
| Ref ID 349 | 1972 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Partially funded by Geigy |
| Number of participant | 94 in total, 35 in group A, 32 in group B and 27 in group C |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged between 8 and 10 years |
| Patient Characteristics | |
| | The mean age was 9 years and 4 months. Most of the patients had poor toilet facilities, with 35 patients having out-door toilets. The mean number of children per family was 3.9. 14 children had divorced parents. 44 patients had siblings who had had enuresis and 59 had family members who had had enuresis. |
| Recruitment | Most of the patients had poor toilet facilities, with 35 patients having out-door toilets. The mean number of children per family was 3.9. 14 children had divorced parents. 44 patients had siblings who had had enuresis and 59 had family members who had |
| | Most of the patients had poor toilet facilities, with 35 patients having out-door toilets. The mean number of children per family was 3.9. 14 children had divorced parents. 44 patients had siblings who had had enuresis and 59 had family members who had had enuresis. |
| Recruitment | Most of the patients had poor toilet facilities, with 35 patients having out-door toilets. The mean number of children per family was 3.9. 14 children had divorced parents. 44 patients had siblings who had had enuresis and 59 had family members who had had enuresis. Through a survey of schools. |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being | Most of the patients had poor toilet facilities, with 35 patients having out-door toilets. The mean number of children per family was 3.9. 14 children had divorced parents. 44 patients had siblings who had had enuresis and 59 had family members who had had enuresis. Through a survey of schools. At home. Group A: imipramine Group B: alarm (pad and buzzer) |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Most of the patients had poor toilet facilities, with 35 patients having out-door toilets. The mean number of children per family was 3.9. 14 children had divorced parents. 44 patients had siblings who had had enuresis and 59 had family members who had had enuresis. Through a survey of schools. At home. Group A: imipramine Group B: alarm (pad and buzzer) Group C: placebo |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ | Most of the patients had poor toilet facilities, with 35 patients having out-door toilets. The mean number of children per family was 3.9. 14 children had divorced parents. 44 patients had siblings who had had enuresis and 59 had family members who had had enuresis. Through a survey of schools. At home. Group A: imipramine Group B: alarm (pad and buzzer) Group C: placebo Between groups A, B and C |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures | Most of the patients had poor toilet facilities, with 35 patients having out-door toilets. The mean number of children per family was 3.9. 14 children had divorced parents. 44 patients had siblings who had had enuresis and 59 had family members who had had enuresis. Through a survey of schools. At home. Group A: imipramine Group B: alarm (pad and buzzer) Group C: placebo Between groups A, B and C 4 months. |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied | Most of the patients had poor toilet facilities, with 35 patients having out-door toilets. The mean number of children per family was 3.9. 14 children had divorced parents. 44 patients had siblings who had had enuresis and 59 had family members who had had enuresis. Through a survey of schools. At home. Group A: imipramine Group B: alarm (pad and buzzer) Group C: placebo Between groups A, B and C 4 months. Mean number of wet nights. |
| | nights: In the imipramine group 16 out of 35 children achieved a improvement in the number of dry nights compared to 1 | |
|--|---|--|
| | Mean number of wet nights at the end of treatment: In the imipramine group the mean number of wet nights to alarm 2.3 (sd 3.2) | was 2.3 (sd 3.5) compared |
| | Mean number of wet nights at follow up: In the imipramine group the mean number of wet nights compared to 2.3 (sd 2.3) in the alarm group. | at follow up was 3.35 (sd 3) |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that the alarm group was slow to imp improvement after treatment was stopped. The imipram improvement initially but a large decline after treatment group's improvement was seen to remain after treatmer | ine group had a rapid was stopped. The placebo |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies with same comparison. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged between 8 and 10 years. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding. | |
| Lake B; | | |
| Controlled trial of nortriptylin Ref ID 567 | e in childhood enuresis | 1968 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding | Dista Products provided nortriptyline and placebo and a grant-in-aid. |
| Number of participant | Crossover trial with 54 in total. | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion | Inclusion: aged 5 to 12 years, and wet at least 2 nights out of 14 nights. | |
| Criteria | Inclusion: aged 5 to 12 years, and wet at least 2 nights of | out of 14 nights. |
| Criteria Patient Characteristics | Age range 5 to 12 years, and wet at least 2 nights of Age range 5 to 12 years and 37 out of 54 were male. The herortripyline group was 62% and for the placebo grout sleepers, 16 came from emotionally disturbed homes, 9 had previous UTI, 17 had parents who were enuretic, and the placebo grout of the placebo grout sleepers. | ne mean baseline wetting for up was 72%. 41 were deep suffered excessive threat, 5 |
| | Age range 5 to 12 years and 37 out of 54 were male. The nortripyline group was 62% and for the placebo group sleepers, 16 came from emotionally disturbed homes, 9 | ne mean baseline wetting for up was 72%. 41 were deep suffered excessive threat, 5 |
| Patient Characteristics | Age range 5 to 12 years and 37 out of 54 were male. The nortripyline group was 62% and for the placebo grout sleepers, 16 came from emotionally disturbed homes, 9 had previous UTI, 17 had parents who were enuretic, ar | ne mean baseline wetting for up was 72%. 41 were deep suffered excessive threat, 5 |
| Patient Characteristics Recruitment | Age range 5 to 12 years and 37 out of 54 were male. The nortripyline group was 62% and for the placebo group sleepers, 16 came from emotionally disturbed homes, 9 had previous UTI, 17 had parents who were enuretic, ar From nine GP practices. | ne mean baseline wetting for up was 72%. 41 were deep suffered excessive threat, 5 |
| Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being | Age range 5 to 12 years and 37 out of 54 were male. The the nortripyline group was 62% and for the placebo group sleepers, 16 came from emotionally disturbed homes, 9 had previous UTI, 17 had parents who were enuretic, and From nine GP practices. GP practices Group A: nortriptyline | ne mean baseline wetting for up was 72%. 41 were deep suffered excessive threat, 5 |
| Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Age range 5 to 12 years and 37 out of 54 were male. The the nortripyline group was 62% and for the placebo group sleepers, 16 came from emotionally disturbed homes, 9 had previous UTI, 17 had parents who were enuretic, and From nine GP practices. GP practices Group A: nortriptyline Group B: placebo | ne mean baseline wetting for up was 72%. 41 were deep suffered excessive threat, 5 |
| Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ | Age range 5 to 12 years and 37 out of 54 were male. The the nortripyline group was 62% and for the placebo group sleepers, 16 came from emotionally disturbed homes, 9 had previous UTI, 17 had parents who were enuretic, and From nine GP practices. GP practices Group A: nortriptyline Group B: placebo Nortriptyline compared to placebo | ne mean baseline wetting for up was 72%. 41 were deep suffered excessive threat, 5 |

| Results | Patients were split into two groups. Each group received 2 weeks of each treatment with a 2 week washout. Each group had 2 sets of treatments. That is, 16 weeks in | |
|--|---|--|
| | total Some results obtained from Cochrane review. | |
| | Some results obtained from Cochrane review. | |
| | Number of wet nights during treatment per week: In the nortriptyline group the mean number of wet nights was 3.56, while in the placebo group the mean number of wet nights was 4.39. | |
| | Side effects: Nortriptyline - one child had a headache, aching arms and sore tummy. Placebo - 1 child had headache and vomiting, 1 had drowsiness in the car which they never had before, and 11 had dry mouth and sweating. | |
| Safety and adverse effects | Nortriptyline - one child had a headache, aching arms and sore tummy. Placebo - 1 children had headache and vomiting, 1 had drowsiness in the car which they never had before, and 11 had dry mouth and sweating. | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Study compared nortriptyline to placebo to show children treated with nortriptyline had fewer wet nights compared to those treated with placebo. | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 5 to 12 years. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | |
| Lee T;Suh HJ;Lee HJ;Lee JI | Ε; | |
| | atment of primary nocturnal enuresis with oxybutynin plus desmopressin, ramine alone: a randomized controlled clinical trial | |
| Ref ID 74 | 2005 | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported | |
| Number of participant | 145 in total: 48 in group A, 49 in group B and 48 in group C. | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: at least 3 wet nights a week Exclusion: organic causes of NE, drug treatment in previous 14 days | |
| Patient Characteristics | 100 out of 145 were male, 53% had day time wetting (77 patients). The mean age was 7.8 years (SD 2.5), the age range was 5-15 years, and mean baseline wetting was 6.36 (SD 1.5) nights a week. | |
| Recruitment | Not reported. | |
| Setting | 2 hospitals, between 2003 and 2004. | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: 0.1 or 0.2 md desmopressin and 5 mg oxybutinin Group B: 0.2 mg desmopressin (increased to 0.4 mg if no response) Group C: 25 mg imipramine | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | None | |
| • | | |
| Outcome measures studied | 0-1 wet nights a month, drop out, mean number of wet nights, and continued response (at 3 and 6 months). | |

| Results | Treatment was for 6 months, all treatments were given orally before bedtime |
|--|--|
| | Drop outs for all patients: 13 in total 3 in group A (desmopressin and oxybutylinin), 3 in group B (desmopressin) and 7 in group C (imipramine) |
| | Patients with night time wetting only: Mean number of wet nights per week at end of treatment: In group A (desmopressin and oxybutylin) the mean number of wet nights was 0.93 (SD 1.35), in group B (desmopressin) the mean number was 0.7 (SD 0.95) and in group C (imipramine) the mean number was 2.0 (2.05) |
| | Number of children with 0-1 wet nights per month: In group A (desmopressin and oxybutylin) 14 out of 22 had 0-1 wet nights per month compared to 14 out of 23 in group B (desmopressin) and 3 out of 23 in group C (imipramine). |
| | Patients with night and day time wetting: Mean number of wet nights per week at end of treatment: In group A (desmopressin and oxybutylin) the mean number of wet nights was 1.2 (SD 1.55), in group B (desmopressin) the mean number was 1.23 (SD 0.88) and in group C (imipramine) the mean number was 2.63 (2) |
| | Number of children with 0-1 wet nights per month: In group A (desmopressin and oxybutylin) 9 out of 26 had 0-1 wet nights per month compared to 9 out of 26 in group B (desmopressin) and 3 out of 25 in group C (imipramine). |
| | The mean number of wet nights continued to be reduced For the imipramine group the mean baseline wetting was 13.2 (sd 2.9) wet nights per 2 weeks, at 1 month the mean number of wet nights was 17.5 (sd 10.5) per 2 weeks, at 3 months was 11.6 (sd 10) nights per 2 weeks and at 6 months was 9.3 (sd 8.3) nights per 2 weeks. For the desmopressin group the mean baseline wetting was 12 (sd 3.5) wet nights per 2 weeks, at 1 month the mean number of wet nights was 8.3 (sd 7.3) per 2 weeks, at 3 months was 4.7 (sd 5.5) nights per 2 weeks and at 6 months was 4 (sd 4.6) nights per 2 weeks. For the desmopressin combined with oxybutynin group the mean baseline wetting was 13.3 (sd 3.4) wet nights per 2 weeks, at 1 month the mean number of wet nights was 6.7 (sd 7.9) per 2 weeks, at 3 months was 5.4 (sd 6.9) nights per 2 weeks and at 6 months was 3.7 (sd 5.4) nights per 2 weeks |
| Safety and advers effects | e None reported |
| Does the study answer the questi | Yes. Combination therapy (desmopressin and oxybutynin) produced the most rapid favorable clinical response regardless of symptomatic status. |
| Effect due to facto study? | or in Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable guideline population | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Manhas RS;Sharma | JD; |
| Tofranil (imipramine) cases of childhood ei | in childhood enuresis: a controlled clinical trail of tofranil (imipramine) in the treatment of 72 nuresis in Kashmir |
| Ref ID 1655 | 1967 |
| Study Type | Randomised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported |

08 March 2010

| Number of participant | 72 in total: 29 in imipramine group, 27 in placebo group, 8 in placebo then imipramine, 8 in imipramine then placebo. |
|--|---|
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: regular and consistent bed wetting and aged 5 to 15 years Exclusion: organic causes of NE. |
| Patient Characteristics | The age range was 5 to 15 years. No baseline characteristics given. |
| Recruitment | Not reported. |
| Setting | India |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: imipramine (25 mg for children aged under 12 years, 50 mg for children aged over 12 years) Group B: placebo Group C: placebo the imipramine Group D: imipramine then placebo |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 4 weeks. |
| Outcome measures studied | Complete and partial dryness, and side effects. |
| Results | Treatment was for 4 weeks |
| | Number of children who had complete relief 19 out of 29 had complete relief in group A (imipramine) compared to 1 out of 27 in group B (placebo). |
| | Number of children who had partial relief 6 out of 29 had partial relief in group A (imipramine) compared to 3 out of 27 in group B (placebo). |
| | Number of children who had no relief 4 out of 29 had no relief in group A (imipramine) compared to 23 out of 27 in group B (placebo). |
| | Side effects: Imipramine group: 3 children had abdominal pain, 2 had giddiness, 1 had dry mouth, 1 had headache, 1 had abdominal pain and epistaxis. Placebo group:1 had giddiness. |
| | No results were given for groups C and D (cross over groups) |
| Safety and adverse effects | Imipraimne group: 3 children had abdominal pain, 2 had giddiness, 1 had dry mnouth, 1 had headache, 1 had abdominal pain and epistaxis Placebo group: 1 had giddiness |
| Does the study answer the question? | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing imipramine to placebo. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 5 to 15 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 184 of 219 |

Martin GI;

Imipramine pamoate in the treatment of childhood enuresis. A double-blind study

| Ref | ID | 541 | |
|------|-----|------|--|
| 1761 | ישו | 34 I | |

1971

| Study Type | Rando | omised Controlled Trial | Funding | Geigy Pharmaceuticals, Ardsley, NY |
|---|----------|--|--|---|
| Number of partic | cipant | 57 | | |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | sion | Inclusion: 3 wet nights per week for Exclusion: organic causes of NE, or diabetes, kidney or liver disease, the anticholinergic. | ganic heart disease | , hyperthyroidism, glaucoma, |
| Patient Characte | eristics | 42 out of 57 were boys, and the age years, 12 were aged 6 to 8 years, 18 years, 4 were aged 12 to 14 years, 4 Baseline mean number of wet nights | 8 were aged 8 to 10 4 were aged 14 to 1 | years, 16 were aged 10 to 12 5 years. |
| Recruitment | | Not reported | | |
| Setting | | Not reported | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Group A: 10 mg imipramine pamoat Group B: 25 mg imipramine pamoat Group C: placebo all treatments were given 1 hour bet | te (suspension) | |
| Comparisons | | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 1 | None | | |
| Outcome measur studied | es | Mean number of wet nights. Numbe | r of drop outs. Num | ber ofside effects. |
| Results | | 26 days of each treatment | | |
| | | Mean number of wet nights in 26 nig The 10 mg imipamine group had a r 25 mg imipramine group had a mea the placebo group had a mean nur | mean number of we an number of wet nig | ghts of 10.5 (sd 6.03), and |
| | | There were no drop outs. | | |
| | | Side effects: In the 25 mg imipramine group: 4 ch had abdominal pain In the 10 mg imipramine group: 2 c sleep disturbances, 1 had abdomina In the placebo group: 1 child had an disturbances, 1 had abdominal pain | hildren had anxiety, al pain, 2 lost weigh ixiety, 1 had constip | 1 had constipation, 5 had |
| Safety and adver effects | rse | In the 25 mg imipramine group : 4 c and 1 had abdominal pain. In the 10 mg imipramine group: 2 c sleep disturbances, 1 had abdomina In the placebo group: 1 child had an disturbances, 1 had abdominal pain | hildren had anxiety, al pain, and 2 lost w ixiety, 1 had constip | 1 had constipation, 5 had eight. ation, 3 had sleep |
| Does the study answer the ques | tion? | The study compared 25 mg imipram that 25 mg was the most effective tr placebo. | | |
| Effect due to fac study? | tor in | Yes | | |

| Consistency of results with other studies? | Results are similar to other studies comparing imipramine to placebo. | |
|--|---|--|
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 5 to 15 years. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | |
| Poussaint AF;Ditman KS; | | |
| A controlled study of Imiprar | nine (Tofranil) in the treatment of childhood enuresis | |
| Ref ID 1661 | 1965 | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not stated. | |
| Number of participant | 54 children were selected for treatment. 47 were treated and 7 were excluded (5 withdrew). N=13 in group 1, n=13 in group 2, n=10 in group 3 and n=11 in group 4. | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion criteria: high-frequency enuretic children; all had normal urinalyses (except one with diabetes who had it in good control). No organic factors to account for the enuresis. | |
| | Exclusion criteria: Those who were infrequently enuretic - e.g once per week. | |
| Patient Characteristics | Aged 5 to 16 years old. 11 of the patients who were selected were female and 43 male. High frequency eneuretic children. | |
| Recruitment | 10 were selected from pediatric and psychiatric clinic files. 44 were referred by private physicians. | |
| Setting | Not explicit but maybe outpatients at UCLA, USA. | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | The children were allocated to four groups who received treatment for 8 weeks: 1. Imipramine (4 weeks), then placebo (4 weeks) - 13 patients. 2. Placebo (4 weeks), then imipramine (4 weeks) - 13 patients. 3. Imipramine (4 weeks), then imipramine (4 weeks) - 10 patients. 4. Placebo (4 weeks), then placebo (4 weeks) - 11 patients. A medical and social history was given by the parents; The parents and child were shown how to chart the time of dosage of medication (1/2 to 1 hour before bedtime) and to record 'wet' and 'dry' nights on the forms provided; When prescriptions were refilled (every week or two) the parents were to return the completed forms; on these occasions there were also 10-15 minute interviews with parents to discuss the treatment results, including side effects (the children were not seen unless indicated). | |
| | The hospital pharmacy dispensed imipramine (coded drug) and placebo. Dosages were fixed at 25mg of imipramine for children under 12 years and 50mg for children 12 or over. | |
| Comparisons | Crossover study four groups: 1. Treatment followed by placebo. 2. Placebo followed by treatment. 3. Treatment followed by treatment. 4. Placebo followed by placebo. | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 8 weeks. End of study treatment period. | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of wet nights in each 4 week period of treatment compared to the average frequency of wet nights reported for the 4 week period prior to treatment and rated on a scale. Side effects. | |
| Results | There were 7 drop-outs (5 withdrew, 2 excluded) - some could not swallow the tablets, or feared the drugs; one developed a bladder infection while receiving the placebo and one appeared to be psychotic. | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 186 of 219 | |

| | Results from Cochrane as results in paper was in graph form: |
|--|--|
| | For the crossover trial: Imipramine was better than placebo in 69%, equal in 23% and the placebo was better than drug in 8% (p <0.0005). |
| | No. of children totally dry: imipramine group=6, placebo group=1 (no relapses). Only relapses were when medication abruptly withdrawn - all had medication restored. Side effects: more irritable (8) dizziness (1), dry mouth (1), decreased appetite (1) - similar complaints were reported by children receiving placebo (for the last three side effects). |
| | When the 8 week period was finished all of the children who were still wet received an increased dose of 75mg. Eleven children became completely dry and remained so after imipramine was gradually withdrawn following 2 months of complete dryness with the medication. The only patients who relapsed were those in whom the medication was abruptly withdrawn. Therefore in follow up 24% of children were 'cured' by imipramine. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None stated. Each parent signed a consent form which outlined the side effects of imipramine. |
| Does the study answer the question? | Imipramine (a trycyclic) was shown to be more beneficial than placebo in showing a decrease in enuretic nights. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | No. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing imipramine and placebo. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Direct. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding. |
| Smellie JM;McGrigor VS;Me | adow SR;Rose SJ;Douglas MF; |
| Nocturnal enuresis: a placet | oo controlled trial of two antidepressant drugs |
| Ref ID 309 | 1996 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 80 in total 25 in imipramine group, 26 in mianserin and 29 in placebo group. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: Exclusion: organic causes of NE |
| Patient Characteristics | In the imipramine group 19 out of 25 were boys and in the placebo group 24 out of 29 were boys. Age range was 5 to 13 years. In the imipramine group 9 were aged 5 to 6 years, 7 were aged 7 to 9 years, 9 were aged 10 to 13 years; in the placebo gorup 10 were aged 5 to 6 years, 12 were aged 7 to 9 years and 7 were aged 10 to 13 years. The baseline mean number of dry nights per week was 1.6 in the imipramine group and 1 in the placebo group. |
| Recruitment | Participants were referred from hospital or community child health enuretic clinics by GP, paediatricians, paediatric urologists or school doctors. |
| Setting | UK |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: 25mg imipramine Group B: placebo Study also considered mianserin which is not included in this review |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 187 of 219 |
| | |

| Comparisons | Comparison is between imipramine and placebo. | |
|--|---|--|
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 4 weeks | |
| Outcome measures studied | Mean number of dry nights. | |
| Results | Data presented in graphs - figures obtained from cochrane review Treatment for 8 weeks | |
| | Mean number of dry nights in week 6: The imipramine group had a mean number of dry nights of 5, and the mean number of dry nights for the placebo group was 2.5. | |
| | Mean number of wet nights: In the imipramine group the mean number of wet nights was 2 compared to 4.5 in the placebo group. | |
| | % of patients who had improvement after 4 weeks without treatment (follow up): 74% in the imipramine had improvement after 4 weeks compared to 59% in the placebo group. | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | |
| Does the study answer the question? | Study compared imipramine to placebo and results showed that more children in the imipramine group achieved 7 dry nights and continued to show improvement after 4 weeks follow up. | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing imipramine and placebo. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 5 to 13 years | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | |
| Tahmaz L;Kibar Y;Yildirim I; | Ceylan S;Dayanc M; | |
| | pramine with oxybutynin in children with enuresis nocturna | |
| Ref ID 201 | 2000 | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported | |
| Number of participant | 77 in total: 14 in Group A, 16 in Group B, 24 in Group C and 23 in Group D. | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary monosymptomatic NE, wet at least 3 nights a week,and no current treatment Exclusion: organic causes of NE, day time wetting, or UTI. | |
| Patient Characteristics | 48 out of 77 were boys. The mean age was 9.44 (SD 2.17) years (range 6-14 years). | |
| Recruitment | Patients at Dept Urology, Military Medical Faculty, Turkey. | |
| Setting | Dept Urology, Military Medical Faculty, Turkey | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A (14) imipramine 0.9-1.5 mg/kg/day B (16): oxybutinin 5 mg 3x/day C (24): imipramine + oxybutinin D (23): placebo (not described) | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 188 of 219 | |

| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | |
|--|---|--|
| Length of Study/ | 6 months | |
| Follow-up Outcome measures studied | Achievement of >90% reduction in number of wet night adverse events, drop outs | s, relapse at 6 months, |
| Results | 3 months of treatment. | |
| | >90% reduction in number of wet nights: 7 out of 14 in group A (imipramine) achieved >90% red to 6 out of 16 in group B (oxybutinin), 16 out of 24 in gr oxybutinin) and 5 out 23 in group D (placebo) | |
| | Relasped at 6 months: In group A (imipramine) 5 out of 7 had relapsed at 6 mo in group B (oxybutinin), 4 out of 16 in group C (imiprma of 5 in group D (placebo). | |
| | 50% improvement 5 out of 14 in group A, 6 out of 16 in group B, 6 out of 2 in group D | 24 in group C and 8 out of 23 |
| | Adverse events (dry mouth or nausea): In group A (imipramine) 3 out of 14 had adverse events group B (oxybutinin), 7 out of 24 in group C (imipramine 23 in group D (placebo) | |
| | If completely cured patients were slowly taken off treatr | ment. |
| Safety and adverse effects | 18 children had adverse events | |
| Does the study answer the question? | All drug treatments were more effective than placebo. I oxybutinin was most effective. | mipramine combined with |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Consistent with other similar studies. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range 6-14 years. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | |
| Treffert DA; | | |
| An evaluation of imipramine | in enuresis | |
| Ref ID 1663 | | 1964 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding | Geigy Pharaceuticals supplied imipramine and placebo |
| Number of participant | 9 | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: NE | |
| Patient Characteristics | All patients were boys. The mean baseline wetting was Children were in Winebago State Hospital which is a ho brain injured boys | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 189 of 219 | |

| Recruitment | Children in Winebago State Hospital (hospital for neurotic, psychotic, brain injured boys) |
|---|---|
| Setting | Winebago State Hospital |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: imipraimne (25mg for children under 12 years, 50 mg for children over 12 years) Group B: placebo |
| Comparisons | between imipramine and placebo |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 4 weeks |
| Outcome measures studied | number of wet nights |
| Results | Treatment for 4 weeks of each, data obtained from cochrane review |
| | Number of wet nights during treatment: In group A (imipramine) the number of wet nights was 1.86, in group B (placebo) the mean number of wet nights was 2.36. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study comapred imipramine to placebo to show children treated with imipramine had fewer wet nights per week compared to children treated with placebo |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies comparing imiparmine and placebo |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 6 to 18 years |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Vertucci P;Lanzi C;Capece (T;Roccella M;Tiberti A;Vecch | G;Fano M;Gallai V;Margari L;Mazzotta G;Menegati E;Ottaviano S;Perini A;Perniola nio A;Biraghi M; |
| Desmopressin and imiprami | ne in the management of nocturnal enuresis: a multicentre study |
| Ref ID 297 | 1997 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 57 in total, 29 who received desmorpessin then imipramine, 28 who received imipramine then desmopressin. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary NE aged over 5 years, wet at least 3 nights a week, and parental consent. Exclusion: organic or neurological dysfunction of the urinary system. |
| Patient Characteristics | The age range was 6 to 15 years. The mean age was 10 years. 37 out of 57 were male. |
| Recruitment | Children at Child Neuropsychiatry clinics in Italy |
| Setting | Child Neuropsychiatry Clinics Italy |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: Desmopressin 30 mcg intranasal then imipramine 0.9 mg/kg Group B: Imipramine 0.9 mg/kg then desmopressin 30 mcg intranasal |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 190 of 219 |

| Comparisons | Between desmopressin and imipramine. |
|--|--|
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 2 weeks (observation period), 3 weeks treatment period. |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of wet nights, 14 consecutive dry nights, drop outs, and side effects. |
| Results | Data was presented in graphs - data presented below was from Cochrane review Treatment was for 3 weeks of each. |
| | Mean number of wet nights during first arm of trial In Group A (desmopressin) the mean number of wet nights was 1, in Group B (imipramine) the mean number of wet nights was 2.8. |
| | Number who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights: 25 out of 29 achieved 14 consecutive dry nights when treated with desmopressin compared to 19 out of 28 who were treated with imipramine. |
| | Mean number of wet nights after both drugs had been used: In group A (desmopressin then imipramine) the mean number of wet nights was 3.5 compared to 2.8 in group B (imipramine then desmopressin). |
| | Drop outs: 5 in total |
| | Side effects: Desmopressin:1 had back pain, 1 had a an inflamed nasal mucosa Imipramine: 1 had pallor, restlessness and cold extremities |
| Safety and adverse effects | Desmopressin: 1 had back pain, 1 had a an inflamed nasal mucosa Imipramine: 1 had pallor, restlessness and cold extremities |
| Does the study answer the question? | Study compared desmorpessin to imipramine to show that both reduced the number of wet nights. However desmopressin was more effective than imipramine. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 6 to 15 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Wagner W;Johnson SB;Wal | ker D;Carter R;Wittner J; |
| A controlled comparison of t Ref ID 143 | wo treatments for nocturnal enuresis 1982 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding None reported. |
| Number of participant | 49 in total, 12 in each group |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged 6-16 years, IQ greater than 70, primary NE, no physcial or neurological disorders, wet at least 3 times a week, no treatment for NE in previous year, and agreed to be randomised. Exclusion: day time wetting. |
| Patient Characteristics | The mean age was 7.9 years. The baseline % of nights wet for group A (alarm) was 75%, group B (imipramine) 77.33% and group C (waiting list) 64.33%. There was no significant difference between groups in % of nights wet. |
| Recruitment | From local paediatric clinics and private physicians, adverts in newspapers and on TV, and contact with local schools |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 191 of 219 |

| Setting | Florida, USA and treatment was administerd at home |
|--|---|
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm (pad and bell) Group B: imipramine Group C: control - no treatment, waiting list |
| Comparisons | Between groups A, B and C |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 44 days. |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of patients dry for 14 consecutive nights,% of wet nights, and relapse. |
| Results | Treatment for 14 weeks or until dry for 14 nights |
| | There were no results for the alarm and placebo group Dry for 14 consecutive nights: In group A (alarm) 10 out of 12 achieved dryness for 14 consecutive nights compared to 4 out of 12 in group B (imipramine) and 1 out of 12 in group C (waiting list) |
| | % of wet nights: The study showed that by the final treatment week, group A was significantly more sucessful than B and C (8.25% compared to 39.25% and 60.83%) |
| | Relapse: Relapse was defined as 3 wet nights in a 2 week period. Of the children who achieved 14 nights dry In group A (alarm) 5 out of 10 relapsed compared to 4 out of 4 in group B (imipramine) and 1 out of 1 in group C (waiting list). |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed that giving a child an alarm was more sucessful than imipramine and a control waiting list group in achieving 14 dry nights (83% compared to 33% and 8%). The study also showed that the patients receiving alarm therapy had only a 50% relapse rate compare to 100% in both the imipramine group and waiting list group. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | Similar to other studies with same comparison. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Age range 6-16 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |

| Grading: 2+ | Well-conducted case–control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding, bias or chance and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal |
|--|---|
| Bain DJ; | |
| A criticism of the use of tricv | clic antidepressant drugs in the treatment of childhood enuresis |
| Ref ID 1799 | 1973 |
| Study Type Cohord | Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 53 cases |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Children had imipramine poisoning. |
| Patient Characteristics | Not reported. |
| Recruitment | Cases of imipramine poisoning in 1968 and 1970. |
| Setting | UK |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Imipramine |
| Comparisons | No comparison |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Not reported |
| Outcome measures studied | Side effects |
| Results | In 1968 17 cases of poisoning were reported and in 1970 there were 36 cases. The study reported one author collected the reason for 20 deaths in children from imipramine poisoning. Only one of these was from a drug prescribed for the child who had nocturnal enuresis. |
| Safety and adverse effects | Imipramine poisoning. |
| Does the study answer the question? | In 1968 17 cases of imipramine poisoning were reported, and in 1970 there were 36 cases. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Patients were not all being treated for NE. |
| Internal Validity | Adequately addressed |
| Goel KM;Shanks RA; | |
| Amitriptyline and imipramine Ref ID 1773 | e poisoning in children 1974 |

| Study Type (| Cohort | Funding Not reported. |
|---|--------|---|
| Number of particip | pant | 60 cases reviewed |
| Inclusion/Exclusio Criteria | on | Children had amitriptyline or imipramine poisoning |
| Patient Characteris | stics | Not reported. |
| Recruitment | | Cases of poisoning in children between January 1966 and July 1973. |
| Setting | | UK |
| Interventions/ Test Factor being investigated | t/ | Amitriptyline or imipramine. |
| Comparisons | | No comparison. |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | Not reported. |
| Outcome measures studied | 5 | Side effects. |
| Results | | The study considered cases of poisoning in children treated for NE or depression, results were not separated out. |
| | | The study identified 60 cases of poisoning in total, 16 of which were from the medication prescribed for the child poisoned during the treatment of nocturnal enuresis. The study reported the cases of poisoning from amitriptyline and imipramine prescribed for the treatment of nocturnal enuresis. The study reported the cardiovascular features of poisoning (prescribed for both nocturnal enuresis and depression) but the study did not separate out the results for the two groups. From amitriptyline poisoning 24 children had sinus tachycardia, 2 children had sinus arrhythmia, 2 children had ventricular premature systole, 0 children had conduction disturbances, 1 child had hypotension and 1 child had cardiorespiratory arrest. From imipramine poisoning 12 children had sinus tachycardia, 2 children had sinus arrhythmia, 1 child had ventricular premature systole, 2 children had sinus arrhythmia, 5 children had sinus tachycardia, 2 children had sinus arrhythmia, 5 children had ventricular premature systole, 2 children had sinus arrhythmia, 1 child had ventricular premature systole, 2 children had sinus arrhythmia, 1 child had ventricular premature systole, 2 children had conduction disturbances, 2 children had hypotension and 2 children had cardiorespiratory arrest. The study also reported neurological and atropinic features of poisoning, from amitriptyline 36 patients had drowsiness, 17 had agitation and / or restlessness, 16 had ataxis, 5 had mydriasis, 9 had vomiting, 8 had flushing of the face, 1 had coma, 6 had convulsions, 4 had hyperrefexia, 2 had retention of urine, 3 had hallucinations, 1 had dysarthria and 2 had nystagmus. From imipramine 12 patients had drowsiness, 7 had agitation and / or restlessness, 1 had ataxis, 8 had mydriasis, 3 had vomiting, 3 had flushing of the face, 2 had coma, 2 had convulsions, 1 had hyperrefexia, 2 had retention of urine, 0 had hallucinations, 1 had dysarthria and 0 had nystagmus. The study did not report the doses of the medication prescribed or taken. |
| Safety and adverse effects | e | Cardiovascular, neurological and atropinic features of poisoning by amitriptyline or imipramine. |
| Does the study answer the question | on? | The study considered cases of poisoning in children treated for NE or depression, results were not separated out. |
| Effect due to facto study? | or in | Yes. |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | | |
| Directly applicable guideline population | | The study considered cases of poisoning in children treated for NE or depression, results were not separated out. |
| Internal Validity | | Adequately addressed |
| 08 March 2010 | | Page 194 of 219 |

Monda JM;Husmann DA;

Primary nocturnal enuresis: a comparison among observation, imipramine, desmopressin acetate and bed-wetting alarm systems

| Ref ID 978 | 1995 |
|--|---|
| Study Type Cohord | Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 44 in imipramine and 88 in desmopressin. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis, and 3 or more wet nights per week Exclusion: diurnal urinary incontinence, or voiding dysfunction. |
| Patient Characteristics | The age range was 6 to 14 years and the median age was 9 years. |
| Recruitment | Attending investigators clinic. |
| Setting | Minnesota, USA. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | 1 mg/kg imipramine, increased to 1.5 mg/kg if still wetting after 2 weeks. Given 30 to 45 minutes before going to bed. |
| Comparisons | Study also considered desmopressin and alarms, however already have RCT evidence of these treatments for monosymptomatic children |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 12 months |
| Outcome measures studied | Dry (only 0 to 1 wet nights per month), side effects |
| Results | 6 months of treatment after which the child was weaned off the treatment over 4 weeks, by reducing the dose by half for 2 weeks, after which this dose was given every other night for a further 2 weeks then stopped |
| | Patients were required to keep a diary of wet and dry nights |
| | 0 to 1 wet nights per month: 14 out of 44 children achieved only 0 to 1 wet nights per month after 6 months of treatment At 12 month follow up 7 out of 44 children had 0 to 1 wet nights per month |
| | Side effects: 3 children reported hyperactivity |
| Safety and adverse effects | 3 children reported hyperactivity |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed children with monosymptomatic NE treated with imipramine can become dry after 6 month of treatment. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Median age 9 years. |
| Internal Validity | Well covered |

Question: What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of anticolinergic drugs for children and young people under 19 years old who have nocturnal enuresis?

Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias*

Esmaeili M;

| Combined treatment | t with ox | ybutynin and imipramine in enuresis | | 2008 |
|---|-----------|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported. |
| Number of partic | ipant | 89 in total: 29 in imipramine group, 26 in c oxybutinin group. | oxybutinin gro | up and 34 in imipramine and |
| Inclusion/Exclusi Criteria | ion | Inclusion: primary NE, wet at least 2 nights been dry for more than 6 months Exclusion: voiding dysfunction other than p abnormalities, prior pharmacological treats | primary NE, u | rologic and neurological |
| Patient Character | ristics | The mean age was 8.9 (SD 1.6) years, ag wetting was 5.1 (SD 1.1) nights per week. | | years. The mean baseline |
| Recruitment | | Patients were referred to the pediatric nep Medical Sciences between November 200 | | |
| Setting | | Iran | | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | st/ | Group A: 10-25 mg imipramine Group B: 3.75-5 mg oxybutinin Group C: imipramine and oxybutinin | | |
| Comparisons | | Between treatment groups | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | | 1 month | | |
| Outcome measure studied | es | Dry for 14 consecutive nights, mean numb | per of wet nigh | nts per week during treatment |
| Results | | 1 month of treatment | | |
| | | Number of children who achieved 14 cons 4 out of 29 children in group A (imipramine 26 in group B (oxybutinin) and 14 out of 34 | e) group were | cured, compared to 6 out of |
| | | The mean number of wet nights per week The mean number of wet nights per week A (imipramine), the mean for group B (oxy (imipramine and oxybutinin) the mean was | during treatm /butinin) was 2 | ent was 3.5 (SD 2) for group 2.5 (SD 1.7) and for group C |
| Safety and adverseffects | se | None reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the quest | ion? | The study showed that the most effective a oxybutinin. | treatment was | s imipramine combined with |
| Effect due to fact study? | or in | Yes | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | r | Consistent with other similar studies. | | |
| Directly applicab guideline popula | | Age range 6-14 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | | Unclear allocation concealment and blind | ling | |
| 08 March 2010 | | Page 197 of 219 | | |

Tahmaz L;Kibar Y;Yildirim I;Ceylan S;Dayanc M;

Combination therapy of imipramine with oxybutynin in children with enuresis nocturna

2000

| Ref ID | 201 |
|--------|-----|
|--------|-----|

| Study Type | Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported |
|---|---------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Number of partic | cipant | 77 in total (Group A n=14, group B n=16 | 6, Group C n=24 | l, Group D n=23) |
| Inclusion/Exclus Criteria | ion | Inclusion: primary monosymptomatic NE, wet at least 3 nights a week, and no current treatment. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, day time wetting, or UTI. | | |
| Patient Characte | ristics | 48 out of 77 were boys. The mean age | - | |
| Recruitment | | Patients at Dept Urology, Military Medic | al Faculty, Turk | еу |
| Setting | | Dept Urology, Military Medical Faculty, | Turkey | |
| Interventions/ Te Factor being investigated | est/ | Group A (14) imipramine 0.9-1.5 mg/kg B (16): oxybutinin 5 mg 3x/day C (24): imipramine + oxybutinin D (23): placebo (not described) | /day | |
| Comparisons | | Between treatments. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 1 | 6 months | | |
| Outcome measur studied | es | >90% reduction in number of wet nights nights, relapse at 6 months, and advers | | vement in number of dry |
| Results | | 3 months of treatment. | | |
| | | >90% reduction in number of wet nights 7 out of 14 in group A (imipramine) achi to 6 out of 16 in group B (oxybutinin), 16 oxybutinin) and 5 out 23 in group D (pla | ieved >90% red 6 out of 24 in gro | uction in wet nights compared oup C (imipramine and |
| | | 50-90% reduction in number of wet nigh 5 out of 14 in group A (imipramine) achi compared to 6 out of 16 in group B (oxy and oxybutinin) and 8 out 23 in group D | ieved 50-90% re vbutinin), 6 out o | |
| | | Relasped at 6 months: In group A (imipramine) 5 out of 7 had r in group B (oxybutinin), 4 out of 16 in gr of 5 in group D (placebo). | | |
| | | Adverse events (dry mouth or nausea): In group A (imipramine) 3 out of 14 had group B (oxybutinin), 7 out of 24 in grou 23 in group D (placebo). | | |
| | | If completely cured, patients were slowly | y taken off treat | ment. |
| Safety and adver effects | rse | 18 children had adverse events | | |
| Does the study answer the ques | tion? | All drug treatments were more effective oxybutinin was most effective. | than placebo. I | mipramine combined with |
| Effect due to fac study? | tor in | Yes | | |
| | | | | |

| Consistency of results with oth studies? | | Consistent with other similar studies. |
|--|-------|--|
| Directly applica guideline popu | | Age range 6-14 years. |
| Internal Validit | у | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Question: | educa | is the clinical and cost effectiveness of information and tional interventions for children and young people 19 years old who have nocturnal enuresis? |

Grading: 1-

Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias*

Redsell SA;Collier J;Garrud P;Evans JH;Cawood C;

| Multimedia versus written in Ref ID 1753 | formation for nocturnal enuresis education: | a cluster rand | lomized controlled trial 2003 |
|--|---|--|---|
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Trent region NHS executive, UK. |
| Number of participant | 270 in total, 108 in Group A (the "CD" grou and 75 in Group C (the "Alarm only"). | up), 87 in Gro | up B (the "Written" group), |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary or secondary NE. Exclusion: treatment for NE in previous 6 r | months. | |
| Patient Characteristics | Mean age 7.98 years (sd 2.23) and age ra 176 out of 270 were male, 90.3% had prim | | |
| Recruitment | Schools. | | |
| Setting | School nurse-led enuresis clinics Leiceste | ershire. | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: multimedia CD rom, "all about no minute modules on "welcome to the clinic, children wet the bed, boss of your bladder knowledge tree", children were given a su Group B: written leaflets, 6 leaflets with sa Group C: control group All children had 4 weeks of star charts and | , how your bla r, treatments, ggested order me informatio | dder works, why some information for grown ups, r to watch the modules in on as the CD rom |
| Comparisons | Between groups | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children who achieved 14 cons relapsed at 6 months | ecutive dry ni | ghts, number of children who |
| Results | 6 months of treatment | | |
| | Number of children who achieved 14 cons In Group A (CD rom) 51 out of 108 childre compared to 41 out of 87 in Group B (writt alone). | n achieved 14 | f consecutive dry nights |
| | Number of children who failed or relapsed In Group A (CD rom) 30 out of 51 children Group B (written) and 18 out of 36 in Grou | relapsed con | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed there was no statistical types of information. However children wh relapse at 6 months. | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies considered educational i | interventions. | |

Directly applicable to guideline population?

Patients had a mean age of 7.98 years.

Internal Validity Unclear allocation concealment and blinding

Question: What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of psychological interventions for children and young people under 19 years old who have nocturnal enuresis?

Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias*

lester A;Marchesi A;Cohen A;lester M;Bagnasco F;Bonelli R; Functional enuresis: pharmacological versus behavioral treatment 1991 Ref ID 384 Study Type Randomised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported 168 in total, 36 in Group A (imipramine), 36 in Group B (3 step program) and 96 in Number of participant Group C (counselling, 3 step program and education). Inclusion/Exclusion Inclusion: functional enuresis. Exclusion: organic causes of NE or emotional disturbance. Criteria The age range was 6 to 11 years. **Patient Characteristics** Patients seen between 1979 and 1988. Recruitment Setting Genoa University, Genova, Italy. Group A: imipramine for 6 weeks 0.9-1.5mg/kg maximum dosage 50 mg Interventions/ Test/ Group B: 3 step program of reassurance to parents, bladder control training and Factor being waking with an alarm clock before micturition, parental involvement investigated Group C: motivational therapy and 3 step program Between treatment groups. Comparisons Length of Study/ 12 month follow up. Follow-up Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights, and relapse after 12 **Outcome measures** months. studied Results Children in the three step program (Group B) had 1) reassurance to the parents and tried to encourage the child; 2) bladder retention training (drink more during the morning and afternoon, reduce the number of times voided during the day, trying to hold for at least 8 hours and interrupt voiding - stop start training) and behaviour training (drink as little as possible after 7 pm, urinate bedfore going to bed and wake up once or twice using an alarm clock); 3) parents were involved in the treatment to help the child practice and avoid family conflicts. Children in the motivation therapy group (Group C) had the 3 step program as described and motivational therapy where children, in a group, discussed their problems with a psychiatrist. Treatment was for 6 months. Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights In Group A (imipramine) 14 out of 36 achieved 14 consecutive dry nights compared to 24 out of 36 in Group B (3 step program) and 81 out of 96 in Group C (counselling, 3 step program and education). Relapse after 12 months In Group A (imipramine) 2 out of 14 relapsed compared to 2 out of 24 in Group B (3 step program) and 3 out of 81 in Group C (counselling, 3 step program and education). Safety and adverse None reported effects The study showed that more children treated with counselling, 3 step program and Does the study education achieved 14 consecutive dry nights compared to imipramine or the 3 step answer the question? program. Yes Effect due to factor in study? 08 March 2010 Page 202 of 219

| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged 6 to 11 years. | | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear alloaction concealment and blinding | ng | |
| Ronen T;Wozner Y;Rahav G |); | | |
| Cognitive intervention in enu | iresis | | 1992 |
| | | | |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported |
| Number of participant | 77 in total, 20 in Group A (counselling), 19 chart), 18 in Group D (waiting list). | in Group B (a | alarm), 20 in Group C (star |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: aged over 5 years, children atten primary NE. Exclusion: organic causes of NE, or mental | C | - |
| Patient Characteristics | The mean age was 10.05 (sd 2.28) years, t Group A (counselling) was 19.8 (sd 1.73), i Group C (star chart) it was 18.9 (sd 2.21) a 8.72) | he mean bas n Group B (a | seline wetting over 3 weeks in Ilarm) it was 19.8 (sd 2.14), in |
| Recruitment | Children attending a community mental hea | alth clinic wit | h primary NE |
| Setting | Israel | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: Cognitive and behavioural self-co Group B: Pad and bell alarm Group C: star chart - stars were given for a Group D: waiting list | | on therapy |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months follow up | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number dry for 3 consecutive weeks, mear end of treatment, failed or relapse after 6 m | | |
| Results | Stars were given as a reward for a dry nigh parents and children being taught 5 compo and irrational beliefs; rational analysis of be bladder; self-control training in different situ charting,. Self assessment and self-reinford | nents of "mo edwetting; se uations; exerc | dification of misconceptions nsitization to pressure in |
| | Treatment was for 18 weeks | | |
| | Number of children who were dry for 3 cons In Group A (counselling) 15 out of 20 childr compared to 12 out of 19 in Group B (alarm 0 out of 18 in Group D (waiting list). | en were dry | for 3 consecutive weeks |
| | Mean number of wet nights in 3 weeks at th The mean number of wet nights over 3 week (counselling,n= 18 children) was 1.03 (sd 2 mean was 1.23 (sd 5.28), in Group C (star 5.8) and in Group D (waiting list, n= 16 child | eks at the end 2.15), in Grou chart, n= 14 | d of treatment in group A p B (alarm, n= 15 children) children) mean was 3.33 (sd |
| | Number of children who failed or relapsed a In Group A (counselling) 3 out of 18 childre 15 in Group B (alarm) and 8 out of 14 in Gr | n failed or re | lapsed compared to 9 out of |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 203 of 219 | | |

| | Drop out: In Group A (counselling) 2 out of 20 children dropped out compared to 4 out of 19 in Group B (alarm), 6 out of 20 in Group C (star chart) and 11 out of 18 in Group D (waiting list). |
|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study shows children treated with counselling or alarms were more sucessful than the other treatment groups. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children were aged over 5 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Werry JS;COHRSSEN J; | |
| Enuresis: an etiologic and the Ref ID 355 | erapeutic study 1965 |
| C tudu T ura Danda | mined Controlled Trial |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 42 in total, 21 in group A, 21 in group B |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: never been dry for longer than 3 months, wet at least once a week Exclusion: organic cause of NE |
| Patient Characteristics | NE patients the mean age was 9.79 (2.34 SD) years and 62% were boys |
| Recruitment | From enuresis clinic in a peadatric outpatients clinic of the Montreal Children's Hospital |
| Setting | Montreal, Canada, treatment at home |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: alarm Group B: psychotherapy - 6 to 8 sessions over 3 months The study compared to non NE siblings – not a relevant comparison so not included in the question |
| Comparisons | Between groups A and B |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 4 months |
| Outcome measures studied | Dry for 14 consecutive nights, psychologic effects |
| Results | Treatment was until the child became dry (at least one month) or up to 4 months when the trial ended. At the end of 4 months parents were called to report how many times the child had been wet in the preceding month. |
| | 14 consecutive dry nights: In group A (alarm) 7 out of 22 children achieved 14 consecutive dry nights compared to 2 out of 21 in group B (psychotherapy) |
| | Psychological effect: There was no significant differences in the psychological changes between the |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 204 of 219 |

| | treatment groups. The type of treatment did not affect the psychological improvement all children improved. |
|--|---|
| | The authors noted that alarm treatment was more economic as it required less professional input than the psychotherapy treatment. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The results showed that children treated with an alarm were more likely to be dry for a month when the results were recorded. The study also showed that the type of treatment did not affect the physiological improvement of the child. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | The mean age for NE patients was 9.79 years |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| interve | is the clinical and cost effectiveness of alternative entions for children and young people under 19 years |

old who have nocturnal enuresis?

Banerjee S;Srivastav A;Palan BM;

Hypnosis and self-hypnosis in the management of nocturnal enuresis: A comparative study with imipramine therapy Ref ID 1258

| Study Type | Randomised Controlled Trial | Funding | Not reported. |
|--|--|--|---|
| Number of partici | pant 50 in total: 25 in each group | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusio Criteria | on Inclusion: wet every night, an | Inclusion: wet every night, and no medical or surgical cause for NE. | |
| Patient Characteri | istics 30 were male, the age range | was 5 to 16 years, and ch | ildren were wet every night. |
| Recruitment | Not reported. | | |
| Setting | Not reported. | | |
| Interventions/ Tes Factor being investigated | St/ Group A: hypnotherapy; Group | up B: imipramine. | |
| Comparisons | Between groups. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Follow up: 1, 2, 3 and 6 mont | hs. | |
| Outcome measure studied | s Number of children who were who relapsed at 6 months. | e dry or improved at 3 mon | ths, and number of chidlren |
| Results | 3 months of treatment | | |
| | were then given suggestions. Olness. Children were given session in the second week. between once a week and or | agine what they were desc nniques described by Garc again based on those de two 30 minutes sessions in Further sessions dependence a fortnight. | ribing. They were then Iner and Olness. The children scribed by Gardner and n the first week, and then one |
| | Number of children who were 18 out of 25 children in the h compared to 19 out of 25 in t | pnotherapy group were d | |
| | Number of children who relap 1 out of 18 children relapsed the imipramine group. | | o compared to 13 out of 19 in |
| Safety and advers effects | e None reported. | | |
| Does the study answer the questi | treated with hypnotherapy an | nad a reduced number of w d children treated with imi ine were more likely to rela | vet nights between children |
| Effect due to facto study? | or in Yes. | | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 206 of 2 | 219 | |

| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
|--|---|
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had an age range of 5 to 16 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear alloaction concealment and blinding |
| Edwards SD;van d; | |
| Hypnotherapy as a treatment Ref ID 449 | nt for enuresis 1985 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 48 in total; 12 in each of the four groups. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary or secondary NE, with no organic pathology or diurnal enuresis. |
| Patient Characteristics | All children were male, the mean age was 10.5 years, the mean baseline wetting for group A was 2.7, for group B was 2, for group C was 3.8 and for group D was 2. |
| Recruitment | Letters to boys schools. |
| Setting | Cape Penisula, South Africa. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: trance with suggestions Group B: suggestions without trance Group C: trance alone Group D: waiting list |
| Comparisons | Between groups |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months |
| Outcome measures studied | The mean number of wet nights per week at the end of treatment and at follow upb |
| Results | Trance with suggestions was described as the child was induced into a trance in a special relaxing chair and listened to suggestions on a tape through headphones. Trance without suggestions was described as being induced into trance and then woken up, however the author stated due to moral reasons the children were given minimal suggestions before the trance. Suggestions without trance was described as the same procedure as trance with suggestions but without trance. Mean number of dry nights at the end of treatment: In group A the mean number ofdry nights at the end of treatment was 4.5 (trance with suggestions), compared to 4.5 in group B (suggestions without trance), 4.8 in group C (trance without suggestions) and 2.1 in group D (no treatment) Mean number of dry nights at follow up: In group A the mean number ofdry nights at follow up was 4.3 (trance with suggestions), compared to 4.6 in group B (suggestions without trance), 5.1 in group C (trance without suggestions) and 2.8 in group D (no treatment) |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The trial showed there was no difference in the mean number of wet nights per week at the end of treatment between children treated with trance with suggestions and children treated with suggestions without trance. The trial showed children treated with suggestions without trance had fewer wet nights per week at follow up compared to children treated with trance with suggestions. The trial showed children treated with trance without suggestions had fewer wet nights per week at the end of treatment and at follow up compared to children treated with trance with suggestions. |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 207 of 219 |

| | The trial showed children treated with trance without suggestions hat nights per week at the end of treatment and at follow up compared to with suggestions without trance. The study did not give standard dev and therefore the mean difference and CI are not estimable. | o children treated |
|--|---|---|
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had a mean age of 10.5 years. | |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding | |
| Ferrara P;Marrone G;Emma | anuele V;Nicoletti A;Mastrangelo A;Tiberi E;Ruggiero A;Fasano A;Paol | ini P; |
| Homotoxicological remedies double-blind, controlled trial. | s versus desmopressin versus placebo in the treatment of enuresis: a . | randomised, |
| Ref ID 19 | | 2008 |
| Study Type Rando | omised Controlled Trial Funding Not reporte | d. |
| Number of participant | 151 patients were randomised; n=50 to desmopressin, n=50 to hom remedies and n=51 to receive placebo. | otoxicological |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion criteria: patients aged 6-14 years, meet the International C Continency Society definitions of NE and no having received treatme homotoxicological remedies. Exclusion criteria: NE associated with o symptoms (urgency, frequency, UI, urinary tract anomalies or infecti | ent for NE or day-time |
| Patient Characteristics | All patients had ICCS definition of NE and none had received treatme homotoxicological remedies within the previous 3 months. Patients a 14 years (mean 8.5 years). | |
| Recruitment | From a Department of Paediatrics in Italy | |
| Setting | University Hospital | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Desmopressin vs. homotoxicological remedies vs. placebo | |
| Comparisons | Comparison is between Desmopressin (dDAVP) (minirin-Valeas) an homotoxicological remedies as well as placebo. | d |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | Up to 3 months. | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights. | |
| Results | 151 patients were randomised. n=50 to desmopressin, n=50 to hom remedies and n=51 to receive placebo. | otoxicological |
| | Each patient was asked about a familiy history of bladder dysfunctio number of wet nights per week. Urine analysis, urine culture and ultr kidney and bladder was conducted. A bladder diary that was comple patients or the parents was also used. | rasonography of |
| 08 March 2010 | The first group received desmopressin tablets 0.2mg, once in the even placebo drops (20 drops three times a day) and the third group received tablets, once in the evening plus placebo drops (20 drops three time Homotoxicological remedies were described as 20 solidago drops the and one biopax tablet in the evening. The treatment was started at ceach patient, and each one was treated for 3 months. Page 208 of 219 | eived placebo es a day). hree times a day |
| | | |

| | Non-responders to the therapy after the first 3 months period were withdrawn from |
|--|---|
| | the study. |
| | Number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights: In the homotoxicological remedies group 10 out of 50 children achieved 14 consecutive dry nights compared to 26 out of 50 in the desmopressin group and 0 out of 51 in the placebo group. |
| Safety and adverse effects | No adverse effects were reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | Study showed desmopressin is more effective than homotoxicological remedies and placebo. Homotoxicological remedies were more effective than placebo. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had a mean age of 8.5 years |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Leboeuf C;Brown P;Herman | A;Leembruggen K;Walton D;Crisp TC; |
| Chiropractic care of children | with nocturnal enuresis: a prospective outcome study.[see comment] |
| Ref ID 386 | 1991 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. |
| | |
| Number of participant | 171 in total: n= 71 in no treatment and n=100 in chiropractic treatment. |
| Number of participant Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | 171 in total: n= 71 in no treatment and n=100 in chiropractic treatment. Inclusion: wet at least 1 night a week. Exclusion: daytime wetting or soiling at any time; anatomical \physiological abnormalities; recurrent urinary tract infections; infrequent wetting (less than one wet night per week; possible or definite contraindications to spinal manipulative therapy; absence of indication for spinal manipulative therapy as determined by the examining chiropractor. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion | Inclusion: wet at least 1 night a week. Exclusion: daytime wetting or soiling at any time; anatomical \physiological abnormalities; recurrent urinary tract infections; infrequent wetting (less than one wet night per week; possible or definite contraindications to spinal manipulative therapy; absence of indication for spinal manipulative therapy as determined by the examining |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: wet at least 1 night a week. Exclusion: daytime wetting or soiling at any time; anatomical \physiological abnormalities; recurrent urinary tract infections; infrequent wetting (less than one wet night per week; possible or definite contraindications to spinal manipulative therapy; absence of indication for spinal manipulative therapy as determined by the examining chiropractor. 120 were male, the mean age was 8.3 years. Baseline wetting in the no treatment |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics | Inclusion: wet at least 1 night a week. Exclusion: daytime wetting or soiling at any time; anatomical \physiological abnormalities; recurrent urinary tract infections; infrequent wetting (less than one wet night per week; possible or definite contraindications to spinal manipulative therapy; absence of indication for spinal manipulative therapy as determined by the examining chiropractor. 120 were male, the mean age was 8.3 years. Baseline wetting in the no treatment group was 5.5 days per week and 7 per week, in the chiropractic group. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment | Inclusion: wet at least 1 night a week. Exclusion: daytime wetting or soiling at any time; anatomical \physiological abnormalities; recurrent urinary tract infections; infrequent wetting (less than one wet night per week; possible or definite contraindications to spinal manipulative therapy; absence of indication for spinal manipulative therapy as determined by the examining chiropractor. 120 were male, the mean age was 8.3 years. Baseline wetting in the no treatment group was 5.5 days per week and 7 per week, in the chiropractic group. Press advertisement and primary schools. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being | Inclusion: wet at least 1 night a week. Exclusion: daytime wetting or soiling at any time; anatomical \physiological abnormalities; recurrent urinary tract infections; infrequent wetting (less than one wet night per week; possible or definite contraindications to spinal manipulative therapy; absence of indication for spinal manipulative therapy as determined by the examining chiropractor. 120 were male, the mean age was 8.3 years. Baseline wetting in the no treatment group was 5.5 days per week and 7 per week, in the chiropractic group. Press advertisement and primary schools. Australia. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being investigated | Inclusion: wet at least 1 night a week. Exclusion: daytime wetting or soiling at any time; anatomical \physiological abnormalities; recurrent urinary tract infections; infrequent wetting (less than one wet night per week; possible or definite contraindications to spinal manipulative therapy; absence of indication for spinal manipulative therapy as determined by the examining chiropractor. 120 were male, the mean age was 8.3 years. Baseline wetting in the no treatment group was 5.5 days per week and 7 per week, in the chiropractic group. Press advertisement and primary schools. Australia. Chiropractic treatment. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ | Inclusion: wet at least 1 night a week. Exclusion: daytime wetting or soiling at any time; anatomical \physiological abnormalities; recurrent urinary tract infections; infrequent wetting (less than one wet night per week; possible or definite contraindications to spinal manipulative therapy; absence of indication for spinal manipulative therapy as determined by the examining chiropractor. 120 were male, the mean age was 8.3 years. Baseline wetting in the no treatment group was 5.5 days per week and 7 per week, in the chiropractic group. Press advertisement and primary schools. Australia. Chiropractic treatment. No treatment. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures | Inclusion: wet at least 1 night a week. Exclusion: daytime wetting or soiling at any time; anatomical \physiological abnormalities; recurrent urinary tract infections; infrequent wetting (less than one wet night per week; possible or definite contraindications to spinal manipulative therapy; absence of indication for spinal manipulative therapy as determined by the examining chiropractor. 120 were male, the mean age was 8.3 years. Baseline wetting in the no treatment group was 5.5 days per week and 7 per week, in the chiropractic group. Press advertisement and primary schools. Australia. Chiropractic treatment. 2 weeks. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied | Inclusion: wet at least 1 night a week. Exclusion: daytime wetting or soiling at any time; anatomical \physiological abnormalities; recurrent urinary tract infections; infrequent wetting (less than one wet night per week; possible or definite contraindications to spinal manipulative therapy; absence of indication for spinal manipulative therapy as determined by the examining chiropractor. 120 were male, the mean age was 8.3 years. Baseline wetting in the no treatment group was 5.5 days per week and 7 per week, in the chiropractic group. Press advertisement and primary schools. Australia. Chiropractic treatment. 2 weeks. Mean number of wet nights per week at the end of treatment. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria Patient Characteristics Recruitment Setting Interventions/Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied | Inclusion: wet at least 1 night a week. Exclusion: daytime wetting or soiling at any time; anatomical \physiological abnormalities; recurrent urinary tract infections; infrequent wetting (less than one wet night per week; possible or definite contraindications to spinal manipulative therapy; absence of indication for spinal manipulative therapy as determined by the examining chiropractor. 120 were male, the mean age was 8.3 years. Baseline wetting in the no treatment group was 5.5 days per week and 7 per week, in the chiropractic group. Press advertisement and primary schools. Australia. Chiropractic treatment. 2 weeks. Mean number of wet nights per week at the end of treatment. 2 weeks of treatment, results from Cochrane review. Chiropractic treatment was described as adjustments of the aberrant spinal |

| | In the chiropractic group the mean number of wet nights was 5 per week at the end of treatment compared to 5.5 in the no treatment group. |
|--|---|
| Safety and adverse effects | 1 had headache and stiff neck and 1 had acute pain in lumbar spine. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The trial showed children who had no treatment had 0.5 fewer wet nights per week at the end of treatment compared to children treated with chiropractic treatment. The study did not give standard deviation values and therefore the mean difference and CI are not estimable. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had a mean age of 8.3 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Mao XS; | |
| Acupuncture for primary noc | turnal enuresis in children: a randomised clinical trial |
| Ref ID 1430 | 1998 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 111 in total: 56 in acupuncture group, 55 in sham acupuncture group. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: age over 5 years, primary nocturnal enuresis diagnosed by 'Chinese Disease Diagnostic and Therapeutic Standards (1994)', normal urine examination, microscopy, pelvic X-ray, and EEG. |
| Patient Characteristics | The age range was 5 to 15 years, and 79 out of 111 were male. |
| Recruitment | Not reported. |
| Setting | Outpatient department. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: acupuncture; Group B: sham acupuncture |
| Comparisons | Between groups |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | None. |
| Outcome measures studied | The number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights and the number of children who failed to achieve 14 consecutive dry nights or relapsed after treatment. |
| Results | Treatment length depended upon response |
| | Acupuncture was described as a needle being buried under the skin for 3 days and then a new needle buried at the same point for 3 days; children receiving sham acupuncture had a needle placed on the skin for 30 minutes daily for 6 days. |
| | The number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights: The number of children who achieved 14 consecutive dry nights in the acupuncture group was 30 out of 56 compared to 17 out of 55 in the sham acupuncture group. |
| | The number of children who failed to achieve 14 consecutive dry nights or relapsed after treatment: |
| 08 March 2010 | The number of children who failed to achieve 14 consecutive dry nights or relapsed Page 210 of 219 |

| | ofter treatment in the acupuncture group was 26 out of 56 compared to 29 out of 55 |
|---|---|
| | after treatment in the acupuncture group was 26 out of 56 compared to 38 out of 55 in the sham acupuncture group. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The trial showed children treated with acupuncture were more likely to achieve 14 consecutive dry nights compared to children treated with sham acupuncture; children treated with sham acupuncture were more likely to fail to achieve 14 consecutive dry nights or relapse after treatment compared to children treated with acupuncture. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had an age range of 5 to 15 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Radmayr C;Schlager A;Stud | den M;Bartsch G; |
| Prospective randomized tria | I using laser acupuncture versus desmopressin in the treatment of nocturnal enuresis |
| Ref ID 182 | 2001 |
| Study Type Rando | omised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported |
| Number of participant | 40 in total, 20 in each group |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: primary monosyptomatic NE, polyuria, over 5 years old, no UTI. |
| | |
| Patient Characteristics | 31 were male, the mean age in the desmopressin group was 8.6 years, the mean age in the laser acupuncture group was 8 years. The mean baseline wetting in the desmopressin group was 5.5 wet nights per week and 6 wet nights per week in the laser acupuncture group, the over all rate was 5.5 wet nights per week. |
| Patient Characteristics Recruitment | age in the laser acupuncture group was 8 years. The mean baseline wetting in the desmopressin group was 5.5 wet nights per week and 6 wet nights per week in the |
| | age in the laser acupuncture group was 8 years. The mean baseline wetting in the desmopressin group was 5.5 wet nights per week and 6 wet nights per week in the laser acupuncture group, the over all rate was 5.5 wet nights per week. |
| Recruitment | age in the laser acupuncture group was 8 years. The mean baseline wetting in the desmopressin group was 5.5 wet nights per week and 6 wet nights per week in the laser acupuncture group, the over all rate was 5.5 wet nights per week. Not reported |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being | age in the laser acupuncture group was 8 years. The mean baseline wetting in the desmopressin group was 5.5 wet nights per week and 6 wet nights per week in the laser acupuncture group, the over all rate was 5.5 wet nights per week. Not reported Austria |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | age in the laser acupuncture group was 8 years. The mean baseline wetting in the desmopressin group was 5.5 wet nights per week and 6 wet nights per week in the laser acupuncture group, the over all rate was 5.5 wet nights per week. Not reported Austria Group A: desmopressin: Group B: laser acupuncture |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ | age in the laser acupuncture group was 8 years. The mean baseline wetting in the desmopressin group was 5.5 wet nights per week and 6 wet nights per week in the laser acupuncture group, the over all rate was 5.5 wet nights per week. Not reported Austria Group A: desmopressin: Group B: laser acupuncture Between groups |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures | age in the laser acupuncture group was 8 years. The mean baseline wetting in the desmopressin group was 5.5 wet nights per week and 6 wet nights per week in the laser acupuncture group, the over all rate was 5.5 wet nights per week. Not reported Austria Group A: desmopressin: Group B: laser acupuncture Between groups 6 months after the end of treatment. The number of children who achieved greater than 90% improvement in the number of dry nights, the number of children who achieved 50% to 90% improvement in the |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied | age in the laser acupuncture group was 8 years. The mean baseline wetting in the desmopressin group was 5.5 wet nights per week and 6 wet nights per week in the laser acupuncture group, the over all rate was 5.5 wet nights per week. Not reported Austria Group A: desmopressin: Group B: laser acupuncture Between groups 6 months after the end of treatment. The number of children who achieved greater than 90% improvement in the number of dry nights, the number of children who achieved 50% to 90% improvement in the number of dry nights. Laser acupuncture was described as predefined acupuncture points being stimulated for 30 seconds each at each visit, children had 3 sessions a week and had between 10 and 15 sessions in total; children receiving desmopressin had 20 micrograms intranasal desmopressin, which was increased to 40 micrograms if needed. The number of children who achieved greater than 90% improvement in the number |
| Recruitment Setting Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated Comparisons Length of Study/ Follow-up Outcome measures studied | age in the laser acupuncture group was 8 years. The mean baseline wetting in the desmopressin group was 5.5 wet nights per week and 6 wet nights per week in the laser acupuncture group, the over all rate was 5.5 wet nights per week. Not reported Austria Group A: desmopressin: Group B: laser acupuncture Between groups 6 months after the end of treatment. The number of children who achieved greater than 90% improvement in the number of dry nights, the number of children who achieved 50% to 90% improvement in the number of dry nights. Laser acupuncture was described as predefined acupuncture points being stimulated for 30 seconds each at each visit, children had 3 sessions a week and had between 10 and 15 sessions in total; children receiving desmopressin had 20 micrograms intranasal desmopressin, which was increased to 40 micrograms if needed. |

| | The number of children who achieved 50% to 90% improvement in the number of dry nights: In the desmopressin group 2 out of 20 children achieved a 50% to 90% improvement in the number of dry nights compared to 2 out of 20 in the laser acupuncture group. |
|--|--|
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported |
| Does the study answer the question? | The trial showed there was no statistically significant difference in the number of children who achieved greater than 90% improvement in the number of dry nights and there was no difference in the number of children who achieved 50% to 90% improvement in the number of dry nights between children treated with laser acupuncture and children treated with desmopressin. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children in the desmopressin group had a mean age of 8.6 years and children in laser acupuncture group had a mean age of 8 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |
| Reed WR;Beavers S;Reddy | SK;Kern G; |
| Chiropractic management of | f primary nocturnal enuresis.[see comment] |
| Ref ID 337 | 1994 |
| Study Type Rando | mised Controlled Trial Funding Not reported. |
| Number of participant | 57 in total;11 in control group and 36 in treatment group. |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: not daytime wetting, and wet at least 1 night a week. Exclusion: diurnal enuresis, recurrent UTIs, physical abnormalities, urological surgery, contraindication to spinal adjustment, or previous NE treatment or spinal adjustment / chiropractic treatment in previous 4 weeks. |
| Patient Characteristics | The mean age in the chiropractic group was 8.1 (sd 2.8) years and in the sham group was 8.7 (sd 2.8) years. The mean baseline wetting in the chiropractic group was 9.1 (sd3.15) wet nights per 2 weeks and in the sham group was 11.1 (sd 3). |
| Recruitment | Advertisment in press. |
| Setting | Community. |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: chiropractic treatment Group B: sham chiropractic treatment |
| Comparisons | Between groups |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 2 weeks |
| Outcome measures studied | The number of children who achieved greater than 50% improvement in the number of dry nights, and the mean number of wet nights per 2 weeks at follow up. |
| Results | 18 weeks of treatment |
| | Chiropractic treatment was described as patients having spinal subluxation through high velocity, short lever thrust every 10 days. Children were evaluated for segmental dysfunction using observation and palpation. Children receiving sham chiropractic treatment followed the same procedure but received sham adjustment. |
| 00 M 0040 | |

| | The number of children who achieved greater than 50% improvement in the number of dry nights: In the chiropractic group 8 out of 31 children had a greater than 50% improvement in the number of dry nights compared to 0 out of 15 in the sham group. |
|--|---|
| | The mean number of wet nights per 2 weeks at follow up: In the chiropractic group the mean number of wet nights per 2 weeks at follow up was 7.6 (sd 4.3) compared to 11.2 (sd 3.5) in the sham group. |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported. |
| Does the study answer the question? | The trial showed there was no statistically significant difference in the number of children who achieved greater than 50% improvement in the number of dry nights between children treated with chiropractic treatment and children treated with sham chiropractic treatment. The study showed children treated with chiropractic treatment had fewer wet nights per 2 weeks at follow up compared to children treated with sham chiropractic treatment. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had a mean age of 8.1 to 8.7 years. |
| Internal Validity | Unclear allocation concealment and blinding |

Grading: 2- Case–control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding bias, or chance and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal*

Bjorkstrom G;Hellstrom AL;Andersson S;

Electro-acupuncture in the treatment of children with monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis

| Ref ID 634 | 2000 | |
|--|---|--|
| Study Type Cohord | Funding Not reported | |
| Number of participant | 25 children with monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis and treated earlier without success. | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: monosymptomatic NE and treated earlier without success. | |
| Patient Characteristics | Children had an age range of 7 to 16 years and the baseline median wetting was 4.7 nights per week. | |
| Recruitment | Not reported. | |
| Setting | Sweden | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Electro-acupuncture. | |
| Comparisons | None. | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 6 months. | |
| Outcome measures studied | The mean number of dry nights at follow up, 90% reduction in wet nights, 50 to 90% reduction in the mean number of wet nights. | |
| Results | Twenty 30 minute sessions of electro-acupuncture over 8 weeks of treatment. Electro-acupuncture was described as follows: the child placed in a supine relaxed position, and 7 disposable needles placed at specific points. For the first 3 sessions these were manually stimulated. After this 2 pairs of needles were connected to an electro-stimulator. | |
| | The study showed the mean number of dry nights increased to 3.5 (from 2.3) during the last 3 weeks of treatment, at 3 month follow up the mean number of dry nights was 4.3 and at 6 month follow up the mean number of dry nights was 5. At the end of treatment (6 months) 8% of patients achieved a 90% reduction in number of wet nights, and at 3 and 6 months 22% had achieved a 90% reduction number of wet nights. At 6 months 26% had achieved a 50% to 90% reduction in number of wet nights. 1 child dropped out due to a fear of needles. | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed children treated with electro-acupuncture can become dry. | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other similar studies. | |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had an age range of 7 to 16 years. | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 214 of 219 | |

Internal Validity Well covered

Question: What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of dose escalation in desmopressin (nasal, tablets and melts) for children and young people under 19 years old who have nocturnal enuresis?

Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias*

Schulman SL;Stokes A;Salzman PM;

| The efficacy and safety of c Ref ID 176 | oral desmopressin in children with primary nocturnal enuresis | 2001 |
|--|--|--|
| Study Type Rando | omised Controlled Trial Funding Not re | eported |
| Number of participant | 193 in total; of the 187 patients who completed the dose rangin (phase 1), 148 continued into the dose titration phase (trial 2). | ng phase of the study |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | Inclusion: at least 3 wet nights a week, informed consent, no tr days, aged 6-16 years, children who had not responded to dos trial of desmopressin Exclusion: organic causes of NE, day time wetting, organic urc diabetes insipidus, UTI, known hypersensitivity to desmopress diuretics, hyperactivity | emoressin in a first logical disease, |
| Patient Characteristics | In trial one the patient characteristics were 133 out of 193 were male, mean baseline wetting in 2 weeks in (range 5-14), in group 2, 10 (range 4-14), in group 3, 10 (range (range 6-14), of these 148 continued to this trial | |
| Recruitment | Not reported | |
| Setting | 16 centres in USA | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Group A: 0.2 mg oral desmopressin increased every 2 weeks if Group B: matching placebo, tablets changed every 2 weeks if | |
| Comparisons | Between treatment groups | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | No follow up, 8 weeks of treatment | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children who required maximum increase in dose, r required 0.2 mg, 0.4mg desmopressin, improvement of 50% o wetting, mean number of wet nights in first and last 2 weeks, d | r more from baseline |
| Results | Number of children who required maximum increase in dose b titration phase): | y 8 weeks (dose |
| | In group E (desmopressin) 86 out of 99 needed the maximum 38 out of 38 in group F (placebo) had beem titrated to the max | |
| | Number of children who required 0.2mg desmopressin s: In group E (desmopressin) 1 out of 99 needed 0.2mg desmop in group F (placebo) | essin and 0 out of 38 |
| | Number of children who required an increase to 0.4 mg desmo In group E (desmopressin) 12 out of 99 needed an increase to and 0 out of 38 in group F (placebo) | |
| | Improvement of 50% or more from baseline wetting: In group E (desmopressin) 51 out of 99 (28 on 0.2 mg, 16 on 0 improved compared to 7 out of 35 in group F (placebo) | 0.4 mg, 8 on 0.6 mg) |
| | Mean number of wet nights in first 2 weeks (0.2mg desmopres In group E (desmopressin 109 patients) the mean number of w 1.57) and in group F (placebo 38 patients) the mean number of 1.54) | et nights was 4 (SD |
| | Mean number of wet nights per week in last 2 weeks of treatmedesmopressin): | ent (up to 0.6 mg |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 216 of 219 | |

| | In group E (desmopressin 99 patients) the mean number of wet nights was 3.2 (SD 1.69) and in group F (placebo 38 patients) was 4.5 (SD 1.5) |
|--|---|
| | Drop outs: 11 dropped out of group E (desmopressin), 0 dropped out of group F (placebo) 1 child on desmopressin and 1 on placebo stopped before end of trial because of nervousness |
| | Adverse events (1 or more per child): 43 out of 143 on desmopressin and 13 out of 48 on placebo - rhinitis, pharyngitis, infection, headache and fever Authors reported most were unrelated to treatment and were resolved by end of trial |
| Safety and adverse effects | rhinitis, pharyngitis, infection, headache and fever Authors reported most were unrelated to treatment and were resolved by end of trial |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study showed in this popultation where children had failed to achieve dryness in a previous study; most children required the full dose increase of desmopressin. |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes, alhtough all chidlren had previously failed desmopressin treatment |
| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies |
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had failed to respond to desmopressin |
| Internal Validity | |

| Grading: 2+ | Well-conducted case–control or cohor risk of confounding, bias or chance an probability that the relationship is caus | d a moderate | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Matthiesen TB;Rittig S;Djurh | uus JC;Norgaard JP; | | |
| A dose titration, and an oper nocturnal enuresis | n 6-week efficacy and safety study of desmopressin table | ts in the management of | |
| Ref ID 1052 | | 1994 | |
| Study Type Cohord | Funding | Ferring AB, Sweden provided desmopressin tablets. | |
| Number of participant | 33 patients | | |
| Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria | on physical examination, normal hematocrit, serum crea | on: 3 or more wet nights per week during a 2 week observation period, normal vsical examination, normal hematocrit, serum creatine, serum sodium serum sium and serum albumin levels, sterile urine, no evidence of any other ical disease, and no treatment in previous 3 weeks. | |
| Patient Characteristics | 20 out of 33 were male. The mean age was 11.6 (sd 3) 7 to 18 years. All children except 9 had previously been | | |
| Recruitment | Not reported | | |
| Setting | Denmark | | |
| Interventions/ Test/ Factor being investigated | Dose escalation of tablet desmopressin. | | |
| Comparisons | No comparison. | | |
| Length of Study/ Follow-up | 2 weeks of treatment. | | |
| Outcome measures studied | Number of children who became dry, number of childre | Number of children who became dry, number of children who dropped out. | |
| Results | asked to keep a diary and were seen every 2 weeks. The micrograms tablet desmopressin 1 hour before bed for | d a 2 week dose titration period. During this period children were y and were seen every 2 weeks. The patients received 200 esmopressin 1 hour before bed for 1 week. If after the patient whole week the dose was increased to 400 micrograms tablet he week. | |
| | Number of children who became dry (no wet nights for treatment): 5 children out of 33 became dry while treated with 200 r 1 week. 26 children then had their dosage increased to desmopressin for 1 week and during this time 2 children | nicrograms desmopressin for 400 micrograms tablet | |
| | Number of children who dropped out: During the week where children were given 200 microg dropped out. During the following week where children desmopressin another 2 children dropped out. | | |
| Safety and adverse effects | None reported | | |
| Does the study answer the question? | The study shows children treated with desmopressin catheir dosage increased after not responding to the first of | | |
| Effect due to factor in study? | Yes | | |
| 08 March 2010 | Page 218 of 219 | | |

| Consistency of results with other studies? | No other studies. |
|--|---|
| Directly applicable to guideline population? | Children had a mean age of 11.6 (sd 3) years. |
| Internal Validity | Poorly addressed |