# Characteristics Table for The Clinical Question: In the treatment of generalised anxiety disorder does pharmacology improve outcome?

Comparisons Included in this Clinical Question

Anticonvulsants versus Placebo

FELTNER2003

KASPER2009 MONTGOMERY2006

MONTGOMERY2008

PANDE2003

PFIZER2005

POHL2005

RICKELS2005

Anticonvulsants vs Venlafaxine (SNRI) vs Placebo

KASPER2009

MONTGOMERY2006

DARCIS1995 LADER1998

Antihistamine vs Placebo

LLORCA2002

Benzodiazepines versus Anticonvulsants

FELTNER2003

PANDE2003

PFIZER2005

RICKELS2005

Benzodiazepines versus Azapirones

BOURIN1992

Benzodiazepines versus Placebo

ANDREATINI2002

ANSSEAU2001

CUTLER1993A

FELTNER2003

FRESQUET2000

HACKETT2003 LYDIARD1997

MCLEOD1992

MOLLER2001

PANDE2003

PFIZER2008

RICKELS2000B

RICKELS2005

Buspirone vs Placebo

DAVIDSON1999

LADER1998

MAJERCSIK2003

POLLACK1997

SRAMEK1996

Duloxetine (SNRI) vs. placebo

HARTFORD2007

KOPONEN2007

NICOLINI2009

RYNN2008

Duloxetine (SNRI) vs. Venlafaxine (SNRI)

HARTFORD2007 NICOLINI2009 **Quetiapine versus Placebo** 

ASTRAZENECA2007A

ASTRAZENECA2007B

ASTRAZENECA2007C

ASTRAZENECA2008

SSRI vs Venlafaxine

BOSE2008

SSRIs versus Placebo

ALLGULANDER2004

ASTRAZENECA2007A

ASTRAZENECA2007B

BALDWIN2006

BOSE2008

BRAWMAN-MINTZER2006

DAVIDSON2004

GOODMAN2005

GSK2002

GSK2005

HEWETT2001

LENZE2005

LENZE2009

PFIZER2008

POLLACK2001

RICKELS2003

SSRIs versus SSRIs

BALDWIN2006

BALL2005

BIELSKI2005

TCA vs Placebo
MCLEOD1992

Venlafaxine (SNRI) versus Azapirones
DAVIDSON1999

Venlafaxine (SNRI) versus placebo
ALLGULANDER2001
BOSE2008
DAVIDSON1999

GELENBERG2000

HACKETT2003

HARTFORD2007

KASPER2009

LENOXSMITH2003

MONTGOMERY2006

NICOLINI2009

NIMATOUDIS2004

RICKELS2000A

Venlafaxine vs Benzo
HACKETT2003

**Characteristics of Included Studies** 

Methods	Participants	Outcomes	Interventions	Notes
ALLGULANDER2001				
Study Type: RCT	n= 529	Data Used	Group 1 N= 137	Funding: Wyeth-Ayerst
Study Description: ITT: all eligible participants with at least one efficacy evaluation made whilst receiving study medication  Type of Analysis: ITT/LOCF  Blindness: Double blind  Duration (days): Mean 168  Setting: Belgium, Finland, France, Sweden, UK Outpatient (14 centres)  Notes: RANOMISATION: not reported.  ALLOCATION CONCEALMENT: not addressed Info on Screening Process: 541 randomised, 529 met ITT criteria for inclusion.	Age: Mean 45 Range 18-86 Sex: 201 males 328 females Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV  Exclusions: - DSM-IV diagnosis of GAD - HAMA score < 20 - HAMA (anxious mood & tension items) < 2 - MDD or other psychiatric disorder - Clinically important medical disease - Non-pharmacological drugs with psychotropic effects Notes: 74% had received prior treatment for anxiety (mostly benzodiazepines & antidepressants); 85% received non-anxiolytic concomitant therapy during the study (26 had beta-blocker, 52 on zolpidem or chloral hydrate) Baseline: HAMA baseline depression score (approx): 26.48 (range 20 to 52). No significant differences between groups at baseline. Venlafaxine 37.5mg/d: 26.6 (range 20 to 44). Venlafaxine 75mg/d: 26.3 (range 20 to 43). Venlafaxine 150mg/d: 26.3 (17 to 38). Placebo: 26.7 (20 to 52).	HAMA Leaving the study due to inefficacy Leaving the study due to adverse events Leaving the study early for any reason  Data Not Used Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) - not extractable Notes: TAKEN AT: 1,2,3,4,6,8,10,12,16,20,24,25 weeks. Efficacy looked at 8 & 24 weeks. DROP OUTS: 36% CHANGE SCORES USED.	Venlafaxine (extended release). Mean dose 150mg/d - Single blind wash-out period & discontinuation period. 24 week treatment. Fixed doses. Once daily.  Group 2 N= 134  Venlafaxine (extended release). Mean dose 75mg/d - Single blind wash-out period & discontinuation period. 24 week treatment. Fixed doses. Once daily.  Group 3 N= 130  Placebo - No further information  Group 4 N= 138  Venlafaxine (extended release). Mean dose 37.85mg/d - Single blind wash-out period & discontinuation period. 24 week treatment. Fixed doses. Once daily.	Research. Quality assessed: +.
ALLGULANDER2004				
Study Type: RCT	n= 373	Data Used	Group 1 N= 188	Funding: Pzifer, Inc. Quality
Study Description: ITT: all eligible participants with at least one efficacy evaluation made whilst receiving study medication	Age: Mean 41 Sex: 167 males 206 females	CGI-I HAMA Adverse events	Placebo - No details given.  Group 2 N= 182  Sertraline - 1 week placebo lead-in	assessed: +
Type of Analysis: ITT/LOCF	Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale	period. 12 weeks treatment. Taper period.	
Blindness: Double blind	DSM-IV	(anxiety)  Leaving the study due to adverse events	Flexible doses. Week 1: 25mg/d. Week 2,3,4: 50mg/d. Week 5,6 flexible doses in	
Duration (days): Mean 84	Fusions I are then 40 mans of any	Leaving the study due to adverse events  Leaving the study early for any reason	2,3,4: 50mg/d. Week 5,6 flexible doses in range of 50 - 150mg/g.	
Setting: Australia, Canada, Denmark, Norway, Sweeden	Exclusions: - Less than 18 years of age - No DSM-IV primary diagnosis of GAD - HAMA score < 18	Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) QoL		

Outpatient (21 centres) HAMA (anxious mood & tension items) < 2 Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) - No current use of medically accepted contraception in Notes: TAKEN AT: 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12 weeks. DROP Notes: RANDOMISATION: procedure not fertile women OUTS: 23%. CHANGE SCORES. reported Other psychiatric diagnosis ALLOCATION CONCEALMENT: not addressed. MADRS score > 15 Concurrent psychotherapy for GAD Info on Screening Process: 562 screened, 378 - Clinically significant acute/ unstable medical condition randomised, 5 did not receive study medication. - Treatment with any other psychotropic drug (other than infrequent use of chloral hydrate) Suicide risk Previous failure to respond to antidepressant drug treatment Notes: 14% reported a previous diagnosis of depression. 30% reported previous treatment with a psychotropic medication. Baseline: HAMA baseline depression score (approx): 24.80 (4.75). Sertraline: 24.6 (4.6). Placebo: 25.0 (4.9). No significant differences between groups at baseline. Results from this paper: ANDREATINI2002 Study Type: RCT n = 36Data Used Group 1 N= 12 Drug company funded: BYK STAI-trait Quimica e Farmaceutica Age: Mean 41 Diazepam. Mean dose 6.5mg/day -Study Description: ITT using LOCF included all Ltds (Brazil). Quality HAMA Following a two week washout period, those who completed at least 1 week of Sex: 17 males 19 females assessment score = + study drugs were administered in identical Leaving the study due to inefficacy treatment The study included a capsules containing 2.5mg. The capsules Diagnosis: Leaving the study due to adverse events Type of Analysis: ITT number of participants with were adminstered three times a day with 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by Notes: TAKEN AT: baseline, end of treatment (4 current social phobia and thelowest dose consisting of two placebo Blindness: Double blind simple phobias in addition to and one active capsules based on Duration (days): Mean 28 DROPOUTS:Diazepam 1/12 (8.3%). Valepotriate GAD response, 4 week Exclusions: - No DSM-III-R diagosis of GAD 2/12 (16.6%), Placebo 2/12 (16.6%) Group 2 N= 12 - current or previous MDD, manic episode, panic disorder. Setting: Sao Paulo ,BRAZIL OCD, drug dependence or any psychotic symptoms Placebo - Following a two week washout Notes: RANDOMISATION: used a computer - major medical disorders (e.g. CVD, renal disorders etc.) period, study drugs were administered in programme - drug treatment apart from over the counter drugs identical capsules. The capsules were receiving psychotherapy Info on Screening Process: 132 people were adminstered three times a day. - Patients under treatment with Benzodiazepines were interviewed of which 96 were excluded and 36 Group 3 N= 12 participated in the study. Participants were excluded if: Valepotriates. Mean dose 81.3mg/day excluded due to the presence of another mental 1) they had a clinical response or no evidence of side Following a two week washout period, illness, refusal, marked reduction in HAMA prior effects to the curent drug study drugs were administered in identical 2) they did not undergo a gradual reduction of medication to study, use of other medications. capsules containing 50mg. The capsules followed by a 2 week wash-out period were adminstered three times a day with - Social phobia or simple phobia excluded if anxiety was thelowest dose consisting of two placebo secondary to these disorders and one active capsules based on - females not using a medically accepted form of birth control response. Notes: All participants were evaluated using the SCI-R Baseline: HAMA - Placebo: 25.1(7.5), Diazepam: 25.2(4.5), Valepotriates: 22.8(7.6) ANSSEAU2001 Study Type: RCT n= 325 Data Used Funding: no details Group 1 N= 56 HAMA provided. Quality assessed Age: Mean 42 Suriclone. Mean dose 0.2mg/day - No Study Description: 6 parallel groups. 1 week Adverse events details provided. placebo run-in period following by 4 weeks Sex: 133 males 208 females Leaving the study due to adverse events treatment. Group 2 N= 57 Diagnosis: Leaving the study early for any reason Type of Analysis: ITT Suriclone, Mean dose 0.1mg/day - No 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) details provided. Blindness: Double blind DSM-III-R Notes: Assessments made at baseline and after | Group 3 N= 54 Duration (days): Mean 28 1, 2 and 4 weeks. Diazepam. Mean dose 5mg/day - No Exclusions: Could not have a score >2 on item 6 of the details provided. Hamilton Anxiety Scale, and score could not be higher than Setting: Outpatients. France. 8 on the Raskin Depression Scale. Evidence of Group 4 N= 57 Notes: RANDOMISATION: no details provided. contraindication for an anxiolytic benzodiazepine or serious Placebo - No details provided. Info on Screening Process: 341 entered: 325 or uncontrolled medical illness. went on to DB treatment phase (16 excluded - 9 Notes: Ppts scored >20 on HAMA and >9 on Covi Anxiety

did not fit inclusion criteria and 7 improved more than 25% on HAMA scale during placebo week).	Scale.  Baseline: HAMA at baseline: Suriclone 0.1 29.0 (5.6), Suriclone 0.2 28.6 (5.0), Suriclone 0.3 30.1 (5.2), Suriclone 0.4 30.0 (5.7), Diazepam 29.9 (5.2) and Placebo 29.4 (5.7).		Group 5 N= 58 Suriclone. Mean dose 0.3mg/day - No details provided. Group 6 N= 59 Suriclone. Mean dose 0.4mg/day - No details provided.	
ASTRAZENECA2007A  Study Type: RCT  Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 56  Setting: Europe, Argentina, Canada, Mexico, South Africa  Notes: Randomisation: no further details Info on Screening Process: 1054 screened, 873 randomized	n= 873 Age: Mean 41 Sex: 306 males 567 females Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV  Exclusions: - <18 years >65 years - HAM-A <20, and items 1 and 2 <2 - CGI <4 - MADRS >16	Data Used Discontinuation adverse events (DAEs) Leaving the study early for any reason Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) Data Not Used HAMA - no SD	Group 1 N= 218    Quetiapine. Mean dose 150mg Group 2 N= 217    Placebo Group 3 N= 217    Paroxetine. Mean dose 20mg Group 4 N= 221    Quetiapine. Mean dose 50mg	Funding: Astra Zeneca
ASTRAZENECA2007B Study Type: RCT Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 56 Setting: US Notes: Randomisation: no further details Info on Screening Process: 1344 screened, 854 randomized	n= 854 Age: Mean 38 Sex: no information Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV  Exclusions: - <18 years >65 years - HAM-A <20, and items 1 and 2 <2 - CGI <4 - MADRS >16	Data Used Leaving the study due to adverse events Leaving the study early for any reason Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) Data Not Used HAMA - no SD	Group 1 N= 213 Escitalopram. Mean dose 10mg Group 2 N= 207 Quetiapine. Mean dose 300mg Group 3 N= 219 Quetiapine. Mean dose 150mg Group 4 N= 215 Placebo	Funding: Astra Zeneca
ASTRAZENECA2007C Study Type: RCT Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 56 Setting: US Notes: Randomisation: no further details Info on Screening Process: 1364 screened, 951 randomized	n= 951 Age: Mean 40 Sex: no information Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV  Exclusions: - <18 years >65 years - HAM-A <20, and items 1 and 2 <2 - CGI <4 - MADRS >16	Data Used Leaving the study due to adverse events Leaving the study early for any reason Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) Data Not Used HAMA - no SDs	Group 1 N= 235 Placebo Group 2 N= 234 Quetiapine. Mean dose 50mg Group 3 N= 241 Quetiapine. Mean dose 300mg Group 4 N= 241 Quetiapine. Mean dose 150mg	Funding: Astra Zeneca
ASTRAZENECA2008 Study Type: RCT Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 64 Setting: Estonia, Polland, Russia, Ukraine, United States	n= 556 Age: Mean 70 Range 65-87 Sex: 132 males 316 females Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV	Data Used Leaving the study due to adverse events Leaving the study early for any reason Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) Data Not Used HAMA - no SDs	Group 1 N= 222  Quetiapine - Flexible dosing (50mg-300mg), periodic stepwise increases up to maximum of 300mg  Group 2 N= 216  Placebo	

Notes: Randomisation: no further details	Exclusions: - < 66 years of age			
Info on Screening Process: 556 screened, 450	- HAM-A <20, and items 1 and 2 <2			
randomized	- CGI <4			
	- MADRS >16			
	Baseline: HAM-A: Quetiapine 25.2 (3.5) Placebo 25.1 (3.5)			
	MADRS: Quetiapine 12.4 (2.6) Placebo 12.3 (2.3)			
BALDWIN2006				
Study Type: RCT	n= 682	Data Used	Group 1 N= 133	Received support from
Study Description: ITT: patients who took at	Age: Mean 41	HAMA	Escitalopram. Mean dose 20 mg/ day - 1	Lundbeck and sponsored by GlaxoSmith Kline. Quality
least one dose of the study medication & at	Sex: 244 males 438 females	Leaving the study due to inefficacy	week, single blind placebo lead-in period,	assessed: +.
least one baseline efficacy assessment were included in analysis	Diagnosis:	Leaving the study due to adverse events	12 weeks of treatment with fixed doses. 2 week placebo wash-out period.	
<b>,</b>	100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by	Leaving the study early for any reason	Group 2 N= 134	
Type of Analysis: LOCF/ITT	DSM-IV-TR	DESS (modified) Response (50% reduction in HAMA score)	Escitalopram. Mean dose 5 mg/ day - 1	
Blindness: Double blind	_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Data Not Used	week, single blind placebo lead-in period,	
Duration (days): Mean 84	Exclusions: - not primary diagnosis of GAD (DSM-IV-TR) - not between 18 and 65	Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) - not	12 weeks of treatment with fixed doses. 2	
Setting: UK	- HAMA score < 20	extractable	week placebo wash-out period.	
Notes: RANDOMISATION: computer-generated	- HAMA (anxious mood & tension items) < 2	Notes: TAKEN AT: 1,2,4,6,8,10,12,13,14	Group 3 N= 140	
randomisation list.	- MADRS >15 - Diagnosis of: MDD, panic disorder, social anxiety, PTSD,	weeks.DROP OUTS: 14% (98) MEAN CHANGE SCORES.	Paroxetine. Mean dose 20 mg/ day - 1 week, single blind placebo lead-in period,	
ALLOCATION CONCEALMENT: sealed	bipolar, OCD, body dysmorhpic disorder, substance abuse,		12 weeks of treatment with fixed doses. 2	
opaque envelopes.	personality disorder		week placebo wash-out period.	
Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.	- suicide risk - receiving psychosocial interventions (i.e. CBT, ECT)		Group 4 N= 136	
	- physical health problems (i.e. vascular)		Escitalopram. Mean dose 10 mg/ day - 1 week, single blind placebo lead-in period,	
	- concomittant medication (i.e. psychoactive substances,		12 weeks of treatment with fixed doses. 2	
	antidepressants, benzodiazepines, antipsychotics)		week placebo wash-out period.	
	Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 27.04 (4.46);		Group 5 N= 139	
	No significant differences at baseline		Placebo - Identical appearance, taste and	
			smell. Oral administration.	
Results from this paper:				
BALL2005				
-				_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Study Type: RCT	n= 55	Data Used HAMA	Group 1 N= 28	Funding: Pfizer. Quality assessed +.
Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF)	Age: Mean 39	Leaving the study early for any reason	Sertraline - Starting dose 25mg could be increase up to maximum of 100mg	1. I
Blindness: Double blind	Sex: 14 males 41 females	Remission (less than 7 on HAMA)	Group 2 N= 25	
Duration (days): Mean 56	Diagnosis:	Response (50% reduction in HAMA score)	Paroxetine - starting dose 10mg and then	
	100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV	,	could be increased up to 40mg	
Setting: US outpatients	DOIVI-IV			
Notes: Randomisation: no further details	Exclusions: - <18 years			
Info on Screening Process: 61 ppts; 6 failed for	- HAM-A <18			
medical or diagnostic reasons.	- GAD not primary diagnosis - HAM-D >20			
	- history of psychotic or bipolar illness			
	Baseline: HAM-A: Paroxetine 20.8 (2.3) Sertraline 21.4 (3.4)			
BIELSKI2005				
Study Type: RCT	n= 121	Data Used	Group 1 N= 61	Funding: Forest
Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF)	Age: Mean 37	CGI-I	Escitalopram - 10mg first four weeks,	Laboratories. Quality assessed +.
Blindness: Double blind	Sex: 76 males 45 females	HAMA	could then be increased to 20mg/day, then every 2 weeks could be increased by	assesseu T.
Duration (days): Mean 168	Diagnosis:	Leaving the study due to adverse events  Leaving the study early for any reason	then every 2 weeks could be increased by 10mg/day	
Duration (days). Mean 100	100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by	QoL		
Setting: US,outpatients	DSM-IV	Data Not Used		
1	I			1 <b>II</b>

	Exclusions: - not 18-65 years	CGI (Response) - Not critical outcome	Group 2 N= 60	
	- HAM-A <18 - HDRS >17 - Axis I psychiatric disorder - Psychosis	Notes: Response based on CGI score of 1 or 2.	Paroxetine - 20mg/day first 2 weeks, increased every 2 weeks by 10mg/day	
	Baseline: HAM-A: Escitalopram 23.7 (SE =0.5) Paroxetine 23.4 (SE = 0.4)			
BOSE2008				
Study Type: RCT	n= 404	Data Used	Group 1 N= 131	Funded by Forest
	Age: Mean 38	HAMA	Escitalopram - starting dose of 10mg/day	Laboratories. Quality
Type of Analysis: ITT  Blindness: Double blind	Sex: 152 males 252 females	Adverse events	for first week, second week could be	assessed +.
	Diagnosis:	Leaving the study due to adverse events	increased to 20mg/day  Group 2 N= 140	
Duration (days): Mean 56	100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by	Leaving the study early for any reason Remission (less than 7 on HAMA)	Placebo - No details given	
Setting: Outpatients from 28 centres, US	DSM-IV	Response (50% reduction in HAMA score)	Group 3 N= 133	
Notes: RANDOMISATION: no further details	Exclusions: - HAM-A <20	Notes: Side effects reported if incidence over	Venlafaxine (extended release) - Starting	
Info on Screening Process: 597 screened, 404 randomized, 7 dropped out before start of sudy	- HAM-A items 1 and 2 <2 - HDRS >15 - pregnant - Any other Axis I diagnosis - Bipolar Disorder, schizophrenia, psychosis, OCD, personality disorder - learning disabilities	10%.	dose of 75mg/day could be increased to maximum of 150mg/day on week 2, and up to 225mg/day in weeks 3-8.	
	Baseline: HAM-A: Placebo 23.7 (SE = 0.3) Escitalopram 24.2 (SE=0.4) Venlafaxine 23.8 (SE=0.3)			
BOURIN1992				
Study Type: RCT	n= 43	Data Used	Group 1 N= 20	Funding: no details
Study Description: Compared discontinuation	Age: Range 18-65	HAMA	Lorazepam - 3 or 4mg/day. 1mg in 3-4	proivded. Quality assessed +.
following 8 weeks of treatment. Parallel groups.	Sex: 14 males 29 females	Adverse events Visual Analog Scale (VAS)	divided doses.	
Type of Analysis: Unclear	Diagnosis:	Leaving the study early for any reason	Group 2 N= 23  Buspirone - 15-20mg/day. 3-4 capsules of	
Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 56	100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-III-R	Notes: Assessments performed at baseline, 2, 4, 6 and 8 weeks (active phase) and 9 and 10 weeks (withdrawal phase).	5mg in 3-4 divided doses per day.	
Setting: Outpatients. France: multicentre.	Exclusions: Pregnant women or women not using adequate	weeks (withdrawar phase).		
Notes: RANDOMISATION: allocation done before the study (30 ppts in each group).	contraception, nursing mothers, use of digitalis or MAOIs and contra-indications to the use of benzodiazepines. No severe somatic illness. No use of psychotropic drugs or			
Info on Screening Process: 60 ppts assessed before and after washout period.	agents with anxiolytic activity during the 2 weeks preceding the study.			
	Notes: Ppts had HAM-A score >=18.			
	Baseline: HAM-A at baseline. Lorazepam: 27.55 (1.84) and Buspirone: 26.74 (1.89)			
BRAWMAN-MINTZER2006				
Study Type: RCT	n= 326	Data Used	Group 1 N= 165	Financial contributions from
Study Description: ITT: all randomly assigned	Age: Mean 40	HAMA	Sertraline. Mean dose 149.1mg/d - Did	Eli Lilly. Quality assessed: +.
participants who had at least 1 postbaseline primary outcome measurement.	Sex: 136 males 190 females	Leaving the study due to inefficacy Leaving the study due to adverse events	not include a placebo run-in phase. 10 weeks of treatment. 1 week taper period.	
Type of Analysis: ITT	Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by	Leaving the study early for any reason	Flexible dose. Week 1: 35mg/d. Weeks: 2,3,4,7 could be increased by 50mg	
Blindness: Double blind	DSM-IV	Response (50% reduction in HAMA score)	increments. Maximum dose 200mg/d.	
Duration (days): Mean 70			Dosage reduction permitted.	
Costings LIC	Exclusions: - Less than 18 years of age - No DSM-IV primary diagnosis of GAD		Group 2 N= 163	
Setting: US Outpatient (9 centres)	- No DSM-IV primary diagnosis of GAD - HAMA score > 20		Placebo	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- HAMA (anxious mood & tension items) < 2	T. Control of the Con		

- Lower scores on the Covi Anxiety scale than the Raskin Depression Scale - MDD - Other psychiatric diagnosis - MADRS > 17 - Other psychotropic medication - ECT - Women lactating, pregnant or childbearing potential not using an acceptable form of contraception  Notes: 53.7% and 51.2% received prior psychotropic medication. 17% reported previous history with depression.  Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx) total: 24.3 (3.00); sertraline: 24.5 (3.1); placebo; 24.1 (2.8). No significant differences at baseline.	Notes: TAKEN AT: 1,2,3,4,6,8,10, 11 weeks. DROP OUTS: 26% CHANGE SCORES USED.		
n= 90 Age: Mean 31 Sex: 34 males 56 females Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-III  Exclusions: - Does not meet DSM-III criteria for GAD and/or HAMD<18, Covi <8 and a depression scale <8 - experienced anxiety < 1 month - <85% compliance during placebo washout phase or >20% improvement in anxiety scores during washout - Females not using acceptable forms of birth control - evidence of drug abuse - clinically significant medical or psychiatic disorder or abnormalities Phobic disorder, panic disorder, OCD, MDD Cyclothymic disorder, Bipolar disorder, Briquet's disorder, somatizatio disorder, schizophrenia or psychotic symptoms or any personality disorder  Baseline: Analysis only included 79/90 participant HAM-A: lp: 25.67(3.57) Loz: 25.11(4.00) Plc: 25.72(4.03)	Data Used HAMA Adverse events Notes: TAKEN AT: baseline, end of treatment (acute phase) DROP OUTS: Ipsapirone 4/30 (13%), Lorazepam 3/30 (10%), Placebo 6/30 (20%)	Group 2 N= 30  Lorazepam - Following a one-week placebo wash-out phase participants received 4 weeks acute treatment. Dose ranged from 2mg to 6 mg daily t.i.d	No information about study funding. Quality assessment score = +
n= 124 Age: Mean 44 Sex: 55 males 69 females Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-III-R  Exclusions: No details provided.  Baseline: HAM-A at baseline. Hydroxyzine: 25.9 (4.2) and Placebo: 24.1 (3.9).	Data Used Adverse events Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (anxiety) Leaving the study due to adverse events Notes: Ppts were assessed at the start of the study, after 1 and 4 weeks of treatment, and one week after stopping therapy.	Group 1 N= 60  Hydoxyzine. Mean dose 50mg/day - 12.5mg at breakfast and at lunchtime, and 25mg at bedtime.  Group 2 N= 64  Placebo. Mean dose 2 tablets/day - 3 doses a day. 1/2 tablet at breakfast and lunch and one tablet at bedtime.	Funding: no details provided. Quality assessed +.
	Depression Scale - MDD - Other psychiatric diagnosis - MADRS > 17 - Other psychotropic medication - ECT - Women lactating, pregnant or childbearing potential not using an acceptable form of contraception Notes: 53.7% and 51.2% received prior psychotropic medication. 17% reported previous history with depression. Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx) total: 24.3 (3.00); sertraline: 24.5 (3.1); placebo; 24.1 (2.8). No significant differences at baseline.  n= 90 Age: Mean 31 Sex: 34 males 56 females Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-III  Exclusions: - Does not meet DSM-III criteria for GAD and/or HAMD<18, Covi <8 and a depression scale <8 experienced anxiety < 1 month -	DROP OUTS: 26% CHANGE SCORES USED.  DROP OUTS: 25% CHANGE SCORES USED.  DROP OUTS: 26% CHANGE SCORES USED.  DROP OUTS: 25% CHANGE SCORES U	Depression Scale - MDD - Other psychiatric diagnosis - MADRS - 17 - Other psychiatric diagnosis - MADRS - 17 - Other psychiatric diagnosis - Notes: 53.7% and 51.2% received prior psychiatropic medication. 17% reported previous history with depression Baseline: AMA scores at baseline (approx) total: 24.3 - (3.00): sertimal; 24.6 (3.1) placebox 24.1 (2.8) No significant differences at baseline.  Data Used - HAMM - Adverse events - Notes: TAKEN AT: baseline, end of treatment (acute phase) - 100%, Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by - DSM-III - Exclusions: - Does not meet DSM-III criteria for GAD and/or - HAMD-16, Covi - 43 and a depression scale - 6 - 4.6% complaine during placebox washout, phase or >20%, improvement in anxiety scores during washout - Females not using acceptable forms of birth control - evidence of drug abuse - Galinically significant medical or psychiatric disorder or abnormalities Phobic disorder, Birquet's disorder, somalization desorter, Birquet's disorder, somalization personality disorder - Phobic disorder, Spidiar disorder (GAD) by - DSM-IIII - Significant medical or psychiatric disorder or abnormalities 100%, Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by - Disprovement in a note's spidiary disorder - Probic disorder, Spidiary disorder somalization desorter, Birquet's disorder, somalization desorter, Birquet's disorder somalization desorter, Birquet's disorder, somalization desorter, Birquet's disorder som

Study Type (RCT)  Study Character Control (TT, all eligible periphysis)  Age Man 20  Study Character Control (TT, all eligible periphysis)  Study Character Control (TT, all eli	Charles Towns DOT	I. oor	Data Hard	D	Europius VAA saata A
Soft is a section of the control of	, ,,			· •	
The part of Augustics ITT. ACID F Billiothorises. Dublic blind Diagnoses. The part of Augustics ITT. ACID F Billiothorises. Dublic blind Diagnoses. The part of Augustics ITT. ACID F Billiothorises. Dublic blind Diagnoses. The part of Augustics ITT. ACID F Billiothorises. Dublic blind Diagnoses. The part of Augustics ITT. Acid F acid Reset A 2 ft. 10 ft. 20					+.
Uniformed Duel Boll of Durison (Ling Manner) (Ling Commented Anniety Desorter (CAD) by DBMV  Endingtones and Code (DBMV)  Homes PARADOMET COM details not provided  Manner (Ling Commented Anniety Desorter (CAD) by DBMV  Performed Code (DBMV)  Homes PARADOMET COM details not provided  Manner (Ling Commented Anniety Code (DBMV)  Homes PARADOMET COM details not provided  Manner (Ling Commented Anniety Code (DBMV)  Homes PARADOMET COMMENTED (DBMV)  Performed details not provided  Manner (Ling Commented Anniety Code (DBMV)  Homes PARADOMET COMMENTED (DBMV)  Performed code (		Sex: 224 males 141 females	Compliance	phase; 8 weeks of treatment. Fixed dose	
DAVIDSON2004  DA	Type of Analysis: ITT/LOCF		Response (50% reduction in HAMA score)		
Daviding Cuty States 158 Seting US Settle Up State 158 Seting US Settle US Settle Up State 158 Seting US Settle Up State 158 S	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1	
Schling U. H. Termitrol  Nation BANDCOMENTON Assistant or provided. ALLOCATION CANALMANT no adlasses are contained. ALLOCATION CANALMANT no adlasses are contained assignment of the provided assignment of the pr		DOM: IV		'	
AlbAN Service - 14 AlbAN Service - 18			ME, at or wase soones.	·	
HANG frantous most Survivors from design for provided ALCOCATION Contents (Date) 4 divisited stress from on Screening Process: 400 patients completed places from in period in content of the content of					
ALLOCATION CONCEALMENT not addressed. the foo or Serening Process 40b patients ormplesed places and present or directly supplement and perfect of the supplement of the process 40b patients ormplesed places and patien	, , ,				
Phesance of clinically significant poystation disorder other changed plants in the incompleted plants in the in-in-in-period is necessive attitude that in GAD.  **Presenting Process: April 50 patients in the interest of th		1			
completed placeth nor in proted a functived and young and study drug. 3 that not primary efficant, and the protection of					
Notes: No. of participants taking chlored hydrate; placeble wild by approved Next 23 (41), 150 mg/st 23 (41), 150 mg/st 23 (42), ventilations 75mg (40 × 2), ventilations 75mg (41), 150 mg/st 23 (42), ventilations 75mg (42), (42)	completed placeb run-in period & received	than GAD			
excluded for administrative reasons.    New Process and Control Pr		- use of other pharmacology except for chloral hydrate		Venlafaxine (extended release). Mean	
Duyling HAMA some at baseline (approx) total: 23.55   d.23), venilativare 75mg/ day; 22.7 (4.1), 150 mg/d; 23.0					
DAVIDSON2004  Sury Type: RCT  Sury Description: TT: patients who took at least one baseline efficacy assessment were included in analysis Clock Bildness: Double bildn Duration (days): Mean 56 Followur, None Setting: US Outpatern  Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. Allocation consealment not addressed. Indo on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. Allocation consealment not addressed. Indo on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. Allocation consealment not addressed. Indo on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. Allocation consealment not addressed.  Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. Allocation consealment with any psychotic disorder, COD, learning disability, any pervasive developmental abord on the potter study entry. Any recursoptics is months prior to study entry. Any recursoptics is months prior to study entry. Any recursoptics of the Other Common and psychotic potter of CAD, bears of glabelity, any pervasive developmental abord on the potter study entry. Any recursoptics of the Other Common and psychotic disorder, and psychotic potter of the Common and				doses. Weel 1: 75mg/d. Week 2: 150	
Comparison   Com				mg/d.	
DAVIDSON2004  Suby Type. RCT  Study Description: ITT, patients who took at least one baseline of the subty medication & at least one baseline of the subty medication & at least one baseline afficury assessment were included in analysis: LOCF  Blindness: Double blind  Dividing (lagsy): Mean 56  Followup: None  Setting: US  Ourpatient (lagsy): Mean 56  Followup: None  Setting: US  Alleast 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - If ye on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - If ye on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - If ye of not subty items.  - If ye on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - If ye of not not ported.  - All least 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - If ye of not not ported.  - If you must play all all absortancy examination  - All least 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - If ye of the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - If ye of the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - If ye of the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - If you have have developmental disced or companies the proposable of the third years.  - All reads 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - If you have hadron.  - Leaving the study due to adverse events.  - Col. CRISTANCEN RT. 1, 2, 4, 5 and 8 weeks. DROF OUT.  - All reads 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - If ye on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - If you have hadron.  - All reads 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - If you have hadron.  - All reads 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - If you have hadron.  - All reads 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - If you have hadron.  - All reads 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - All reads 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - All reads 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - All reads 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - All reads 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - All reads 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - All reads 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - All reads 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - All reads 2 on the HAMA rension & anxiety items.  - All reads 2 on the					
Study Type: RCT  Study Description: ITT: patients who took at least one dose of the study medication & at least one baseline efficacy assessment wire included in analysis.  Type of Analysis: LOCF Blindness: Double blind  Duration (days): Mean 56  Followar: Nones: Randemisation procedure not reported. Allocation consealment not addressed.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Notes: Age (see an allocation): A licensity of the study of the study due to adverse events. Col. (Response) Allocation consealment not addressed.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Notes: Sandemised and physical laboratory examination - Lower scores on the Covi Anxiety scale than the Raskin - All least 2 on the HAMA tension & anxiety items - Allocation consealment not addressed.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Notes: Sandemised and physical plants of the study entry - Any neuroleptic, antidepressant, anxiety items - Allocation control - Notes: Allocation consealment with any specific port of study entry - Any neuroleptic, antidepressant, anxiety items - Note of the Sandemise - Allocation control - Notes: Allocation control -					
Study Type: RCT  Study Description: ITT: patients who took at least one dose of the study medication & at least one baseline efficacy assessment wire included in analysis.  Type of Analysis: LOCF Blindness: Double blind  Duration (days): Mean 56  Followar: Nones: Randemisation procedure not reported. Allocation consealment not addressed.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Notes: Age (see an allocation): A licensity of the study of the study due to adverse events. Col. (Response) Allocation consealment not addressed.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Notes: Sandemised and physical laboratory examination - Lower scores on the Covi Anxiety scale than the Raskin - All least 2 on the HAMA tension & anxiety items - Allocation consealment not addressed.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Notes: Sandemised and physical plants of the study entry - Any neuroleptic, antidepressant, anxiety items - Allocation control - Notes: Allocation consealment with any specific port of study entry - Any neuroleptic, antidepressant, anxiety items - Note of the Sandemise - Allocation control - Notes: Allocation control -	DAVIDOONIOO A		-		
South Description: ITT. petients who took at least one dates of the suity medication & at least one dates of the suity medication & at least one baseline efficacy assessment were included in analysis. ICOF Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 56 Followup: None Setting: US Outpatient Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. Allocation consealment not addressed. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided. Allocation consealment in a laddressed. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  All calculor between the ages of 18 and 80 - did not meet DSM-IV criteria for GAD - User sorprise on the Covil Anxiety scale than the Raskin Depression Scale  Elipsian disorder; schizophrenia, any psychotic disorder, COC, Iterating disability, any psychotic disorder, COC, Iterating disability, any psychotic protection of the study will be a supplied in for sleep) or any drug with any psychoticpic component.  - Any neuroleptic, antidepressant, anxielylic within 2 weeks (sweeks for fluovettine) - Daily berozodiazepne therapy within 1 month - Concomitant treatment with any psychoticpic component.  - Any neuroleptic, antidepresy, majority were nonresponders or intolerant to prior treatment.  Notes: 34% (placebo), 45% (escitalopram) received prior of months or intolerant to prior treatment.  Notes: 34% (placebo), 45% (escitalopram) received prior of months or intolerant to prior treatment.  Notes: 34% (placebo), 45% (escitalopram) received prior of months or intolerant to prior treatment.  Notes: 34% (placebo), 45% (escitalopram) received prior of months or intolerant to prior treatment.  Notes: 34% (placebo), 45% (escitalopram) received prior of months or intolerant to prior treatment.  Notes: 34% (placebo), 45% (escitalopram) received prior of months or intolerant to prior treatment.					
Study Description: If It patients with own distribution if a teast one dose of the study medication & at least one baseline efficacy assessment were included in analysis  Type of Analysis: LOCF  Blindness: Doble blind  Duration (days): Mean 56  Followay: None  Setting: US  Outpatient  Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. All ceating processes: Details not provided.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Notes: Randomisation procedure and reported. Any substitution of the HAMD  - Lower scores on the Covi Anxiety scale than the Raskin Depression Scale - Bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, any psychotic disorder, COC, learning disability, any persuavise developmental disorder or cognitive di	Study Type: RCT			· ·	
least one baseline afficacy assessment were included in analysis Type of Analysis: LOCF Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Man 56 Followup: None Setting: US Outpalient Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. Altication consealment not addressed. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Altication consealment not addressed. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Altication consealment not addressed. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported Altication consealment not addressed. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Note: The Hamman of					
included in analysis Type of Analysis: LOCF Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 56 Follows: None Setting: US Outpatient Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Info on Screening Pro		Sex: 149 males 166 females			
DSM-IV   D			CGI (Remission		
Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 56 Followup: None Setting: US Outpatient Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. Allocation consealment not addressed. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Info on Screening Process:	Type of Analysis: LOCF		Leaving the study due to adverse events		
Followsp: None Setting: US Outpatient Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. Allocation consealment not addressed. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  - Axis I discorder or cognitive disorder cognitive disorder roughlity, any pervasive developmental disorder or cognitive disorder roughlity and previous disorder roughlity and roughlity and previous disorder roughlity and ro	Blindness: Double blind	DOM: IV		tolerability reasons.	
Followup: None Setting: US Outpatient Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. Allocation consealment not addressed. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  All cast of the HAMA tension & anxiety items 17 + on the HAMD - Lower scores on the Covi Anxiety scale than the Raskin Depression Scale - Bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, any psychotic disorder, OCD, learning disability, any pervasive developmental disorder or cognitive disorder - Avis I disorder or their than GAD - Use of psychoactive medications, neuroleptics 6 months prior to study entry - Any neuroleptic, antidepressant, anxiolytic within 2 weeks (6 weeks for fluovatine) - Daily benzodiazepne therapy within 1 month - Concomitant treatment with any psychotripic component - women who were pregnant/ breastfeeding/ childbearing potential not practising a reliable method of birth control Notes: 34% (placebo), 40% (escitalopram) received prior GAD pharmacotherapy, majority were nonresponders or intolerant to prior treatment  Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 23.40 (4.40); No significant differences at baseline.	Duration (days): Mean 56		Notes: TAKEN AT: 1, 2, 4, 6 and 8 weeks. DROF	•	
Setting: US Outpatient Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. Allocation consealment not addressed. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  Info on Screening Process: Details not p	Followup: None			Placebo - Matching placebo	
Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. Allocation consealment not addressed. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided disorder. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided on Info	Setting: US	- Less than 18 on the HAMA			
Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. Allocation consealment not addressed. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided. Info on Screening Process: Details not provided in Screening Info on Screening I	Outpatient				
Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.  - Sipolar disorder, schizophrenia, any psychotic disorder, OCD, learning disability, any pervasive developmental disorder or conjitive disorder - Axis I disorder other than GAD - Use of psychoactive medications, neuroleptics 6 months prior to study entry - Any neuroleptic, antidepressant, anxiolytic within 2 weeks (5 weeks for fluoxetine) - Daily benzodiazepne therapy within 1 month - Concomitant treatment with any psychotropic drug (except zolpidem for sleep) or any drug with any psychotripic component - women who were pregnant/ breastfeeding/ childbearing potential/ not practising a reliable method of birth control  Notes: 34% (placebo), 40% (escitalopram) received prior GAD pharmacotherapy, majority were nonresponders or intolerant to prior treatment  Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 23.40 (4.40); No significant differences at baseline.					
OCD, learning disability, any pervasive developmental disorder or cognitive disorder  - Axis I disorder other than GAD  - Use of psychoactive medications, neuroleptics 6 months prior to study entry  - Any neuroleptic, antidepressant, anxiolytic within 2 weeks (5 weeks for fluoxetine)  - Daily benzodiazepne therapy within 1 month  - Concomitant treatment with any psychotropic drug (except zolpidem for sleep) or any drug with any psychotripic component  - women who were pregnant/ breastfeeding/ childbearing potential/ not practising a reliable method of birth control  Notes: 34% (placebo), 40% (escitalopram) received prior GAD pharmacotherapy, majority were nonresponders or intolerant to prior treatment  Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 23.40 (4.40); No significant differences at baseline.					
disorder or cognitive disorder  - Axis I disorder other than GAD  - Use of psychoactive medications, neuroleptics 6 months prior to study entry  - Any neuroleptic, antidepressant, anxiolytic within 2 weeks (5 weeks for fluoxetine)  - Daily benzodiazepne therapy within 1 month  - Concomitant treatment with any psychotropic drug (except zolpidem for sleep) or any drug with any psychotripic component  - women who were pregnant/ breastfeeding/ childbearing potential/ not practising a reliable method of birth control  Notes: 34% (placebo), 40% (escitalopram) received prior GAD pharmacotherapy, majority were nonresponders or intolerant to prior treatment  Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 23.40 (4.40); No significant differences at baseline.	Info on Screening Process: Details not provided.				
- Use of psychoactive medications, neuroleptics 6 months prior to study entry - Any neuroleptic, antidepressant, anxiolytic within 2 weeks (5 weeks for fluoxetine) - Daily benzodiazepne therapy within 1 month - Concomitant treatment with any psychotropic drug (except zolpidem for sleep) or any drug with any psychotripic component - women who were pregnant/ breastfeeding/ childbearing potential/ not practising a reliable method of birth control  Notes: 34% (placebo), 40% (escitalopram) received prior GAD pharmacotherapy, majority were nonresponders or intolerant to prior treatment  Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 23.40 (4.40); No significant differences at baseline.		disorder or cognitive disorder			
prior to study entry - Any neuroleptic, antidepressant, anxiolytic within 2 weeks (5 weeks for fluoxetine) - Daily benzodiazepne therapy within 1 month - Concomitant treatment with any psychotropic drug (except zolpidem for sleep) or any drug with any psychotripic component - women who were pregnant/ breastfeeding/ childbearing potential/ not practising a reliable method of birth control  Notes: 34% (placebo), 40% (escitalopram) received prior GAD pharmacotherapy, majority were nonresponders or intolerant to prior treatment  Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 23.40 (4.40); No significant differences at baseline.					
(5 weeks for fluoxetine) - Daily benzodiazepne therapy within 1 month - Concomitant treatment with any psychotropic drug (except zolpidem for sleep) or any drug with any psychotripic component - women who were pregnant/ breastfeeding/ childbearing potential/ not practising a reliable method of birth control  Notes: 34% (placebo), 40% (escitalopram) received prior GAD pharmacotherapy, majority were nonresponders or intolerant to prior treatment  Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 23.40 (4.40); No significant differences at baseline.					
- Daily benzodiazepne therapy within 1 month - Concomitant treatment with any psychotropic drug (except zolpidem for sleep) or any drug with any psychotripic component - women who were pregnant/ breastfeeding/ childbearing potential/ not practising a reliable method of birth control  Notes: 34% (placebo), 40% (escitalopram) received prior GAD pharmacotherapy, majority were nonresponders or intolerant to prior treatment  Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 23.40 (4.40); No significant differences at baseline.					
- Concomitant treatment with any psychotropic drug (except zolpidem for sleep) or any drug with any psychotripic component - women who were pregnant/ breastfeeding/ childbearing potential/ not practising a reliable method of birth control  Notes: 34% (placebo), 40% (escitalopram) received prior GAD pharmacotherapy, majority were nonresponders or intolerant to prior treatment  Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 23.40 (4.40); No significant differences at baseline.		(5 weeks for fluoxetine) - Daily benzodiazepne therapy within 1 month			
component - women who were pregnant/ breastfeeding/ childbearing potential/ not practising a reliable method of birth control  Notes: 34% (placebo), 40% (escitalopram) received prior GAD pharmacotherapy, majority were nonresponders or intolerant to prior treatment  Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 23.40 (4.40); No significant differences at baseline.		- Concomitant treatment with any psychotropic drug (except			
- women who were pregnant/ breastfeeding/ childbearing potential/ not practising a reliable method of birth control  Notes: 34% (placebo), 40% (escitalopram) received prior GAD pharmacotherapy, majority were nonresponders or intolerant to prior treatment  Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 23.40 (4.40); No significant differences at baseline.					
potential/ not practising a reliable method of birth control  Notes: 34% (placebo), 40% (escitalopram) received prior GAD pharmacotherapy, majority were nonresponders or intolerant to prior treatment  Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 23.40 (4.40); No significant differences at baseline.		· ·			
GAD pharmacotherapy, majority were nonresponders or intolerant to prior treatment  Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 23.40 (4.40); No significant differences at baseline.					
intolerant to prior treatment  Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 23.40 (4.40); No significant differences at baseline.					
Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 23.40 (4.40); No significant differences at baseline.					
No significant differences at baseline.		·			
FELTNER2003					
FELINEKZUU3	EEL TAIEDOOO				
	FELINER2003				

Study Type: RCT  Study Description: ITT included all randomised participants who received at least one dose of study medication  Type of Analysis: ITT  Blindness: Double blind  Duration (days): Mean 28  Setting: Four study centres, USA Outpatients  Notes: RANDOMISATION: procedure not reported  Info on Screening Process: Not reported	n= 271 Age: Mean 38 Range 18-74 Sex: 128 males 143 females Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV  Exclusions: - Did not meet DSM-IV criteria for GAD, or GAD not the primary diagnosis in the case of comorbidity and HAMA >20 - Aged <18 years - Suffering from another other Axis I disorder except dysthymia, simple phobia, social phobia, somatization disorder or a histpry pf MDD - Current MDD - Severe personality disorders, drug or alcohol abuse / dependence (active within 6 months of study) - Suicide risk - Covi anxiety scale <9 Raskin depression > 7  Notes: Participants with a dual comorbid psychiatric disorders were required to have GAD as a primary disorder as judged by the psychiatrist, considering relative severity and time of onset	Data Used Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) CGI-I HAMA Adverse events Serious Adverse events Leaving the study due to adverse events Leaving the study early for any reason Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) Notes: TAKEN AT: Baseline and end of active treatment (4 weeks) DROPOUTS: total drop outs not reported	Group 1 N= 68  Lorazepam. Mean dose 6MG - Fixed dose regimen with 2 mg TID. Study medication was tirated during days 1-6 of double-blind treatment.  Group 2 N= 70  Pregabalin. Mean dose 150mg - Fixed dose regimen with 50 mg TID. Study medication was tirated during days 1-6 of double-blind treatment.  Group 3 N= 66  Pregabalin. Mean dose 600mg - Fixed dose regimen with 200 mg TID. Study medication was tirated during days 1-6 of double-blind treatment.  Group 4 N= 67  Placebo	The ITT population was 271, this included all randomised participants who received at least one dose of study medication. No details given on the original number randomised to each condition. Funding: no details. Quality assessment score = +
FRESQUET2000 Study Type: RCT Study Description: Phase II study. 1 week placebo lead-in. Received placebo, lesopitron or lorazepam twice daily for 6 weeks followed by 1 week taper period. Type of Analysis: ITT Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 42 Setting: Outpatients. Single centre (outpatient clinic). Notes: RANDOMISATION: no details provided. Info on Screening Process: No details provided.	Baseline: HAMA: Pregabalin (50mg) 24.9(3.9), Pregabalin (200mg) 25.4(4.6), Lorazepam 24.7(3.7), Placebo 24.8(4.1)  n= 161 Age: Mean 37 Range 20-58 Sex: 33 males 35 females  Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV  Exclusions: Ppts whose HAM-A score decreased by >=20% between screening and baseline, other Axis I psychiatric diagnosis, substance abuse disorder within the last 6 months, two or more discrete panic attacks within 4 weeks, clinically significant hematopoeitic, cardiovascular, or autoimmune disease, clinically significant 12-lead electrocardiogram abnormality at screening and baseline, presence or history of clinically significant gastrointestinal, hepatic, renal, endocrine, cerebrovascular or seizure disorders, malignancy within 5 years of baseline or positive urine drug test.  Notes: 68 ppts with documented history of GAD or anxiety NOS were included in subgroup. Ppts scored >=18 on HAM-A, >=2 on anxious mood item, <16 on HAM-D and Covi > Raskin. Many ppts used medication before study.  Baseline: HAM-A at baseline. Placebo: 20.3 (1.7), Lesopitron: 21.7 (3.0) and Lorazepam: 21.5 (3.2).	Data Used     CGI-I     HAMA     Adverse events     Leaving the study early for any reason     Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) Data Not Used     Leaving the study due to adverse events - not     extractable Notes: Assessments conducted weekly.	Group 1 N= 18  Other active treatments. Mean dose 63.3mg/day - Lesopitron. Week 1: 40mg/day. Week 2: 60mg/day. Week 4: 80mg/day. These represent maximum titrations allowed. Twice daily.  Group 2 N= 30  Lorazepam. Mean dose 3.4mg/day - Titrated from 2-3mg/day to a maximum of 4mg/day. Titration was allowed during first three weeks according to tolerance but dosage could not be altered in weeks 4-6. Twice daily.  Group 3 N= 20  Placebo - Twice daily.	Funding: Laboratorios Dr. Esteve, S.A., Barcelona, Spain. Quality assessed: +.
GELENBERG2000 Study Type: Study Description: ITT: patients who took at least one dose of the study medication & at least one baseline efficacy assessment were included in analysis Type of Analysis: LOCF/ITT	n= 238 Age: Mean 40 Sex: 98 males 140 females Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV	Data Used HAMA Leaving the study due to inefficacy Leaving the study due to adverse events Leaving the study early for any reason Data Not Used	Group 1 N= 127 Placebo - Identical appearing capsules.	Funding: likely to be pharma. Quality assessed: +.

Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 196 Setting: US Outpatients (14 centres) Notes: RANDOMISATION: table of random numbers. ALLOCATION CONCEALMENT: not addressed Info on Screening Process: 261 patients enrolled; 251 randomized, 10 LTFU, 127 placebo, 124 venlafaxine: 4 placebo, 9 venlafaxine no primary outcome measure (not included in ITT); 44 placebo, 60 venlafaxine completed trial GOODMAN2005 Study Type: RCT

Exclusions: - less than 18 years

- MDD
- primary diagnosis not GAD (DSM-IV)
- HAMA score < 18
- HAMA (anxious mood & tension items) < 2
- Reduction of at least 20% in the HAMA total score between screening visit & baseline
- Lower scores on the Covi Anxiety scale than the Raskin Depression Scale
- Raskin Depression Scale score greater than 3 on any item
- History of previous psychotic illness, bipolar disorder.
- ASPD or severe Axis II disorder - Previous treatment with venlafaxine
- Concomittant medication (i.e. antipsychotic drugs, antidepressant, benzodiazepine) or ECT
- Women lactating, pregnant or childbearing potential not using an acceptable form of contraception

Baseline: HAMA scores at baseline (approx): 25.00 (5.00): No significant differences at baseline

Response (40% reduction in HAMA score) does not meet criteria

Notes: TAKEN AT: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24 28 weeks. DROP OUTS: 61% but adequately taken account of in ITT (LOCF). CHANGE SCORES - NEED TO CALCULATE SDs

## Group 2 N= 124

Venlafaxine (extended release) - 6 months of treatment. Flexible dose schedule: week 1: 75 ma/d, week 2 to 3 up to 150mg/d, week 3+ 225 mg/d. Minimum dose: 75mg/d.

Results from this paper:

Study Description: Pooled analysis from 3 RCTs. Single-blind placebo lead-in for 1 week followed by 8 weeks of double-blind treatment with escitalopram or placebo.

Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF) Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 56

Setting: Multicentre: US.

Notes: RANDOMISATION: no details given. Info on Screening Process: No details given. n= 856

Age: Mean 39

Sex: 377 males 479 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Exclusions: Score of >=17 on the HAMD or a lower score on the Covi Anxiety Scale than the Raskin Depression Scale. Patients with a principal diagnosis of any Axis I disorder other than GAD (including MDD) or who met DSM-IV criteria for bipolar disorder, schizophrenia or any psychotic disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder, mental retardation, or any pervasive developmental disorder or cognitive disorder. A history of psychotic features or disorder, or substance abuse or dependence within the past 6 months. Use of any of the following psychoactive medications prior to study entry: depot neuroleptics within 6 months, any neuroleptic, antidepressants or anxiolytic within 2 weeks (5 weeks for fluoxetine), or daily benzodiazepine therapy within 1 month. Use of concomitant treatment with any psychotropic drug (except zolpidem as needed for sleep). Women who were pregnant or breastfeeding, or of child-bearing potential and not practicing a medically reliable method of birth control.

Notes: ONLY USING STUDY 1 & 2 (as study 3 is reported already in Davidson 2004)

Baseline: HAMA baseline scores: Placebo 22 (0.2) and Escitalopram 23.0 (0.2). Baseline scores are based on the ITT population.

Data Used HAMA

## Data Not Used

Adverse events - not extractable for individual studies

Leaving the study due to adverse events - not extractable for individual studies

Leaving the study early for any reason - not extractable for individual studies

Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) - Not extractable for individual studies

Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) not extractable for individual studies

Notes: TAKEN AT: Baseline and endpoint DROP OUT:13% across both groups.

#### Group 1 N= 267

Escitalopram - During the first 4 weeks, patients received a fixed dose of 10mg/day. If the therapeutic response was judged by the investigator to be insufficient at the week4 or 6 visit, the dose could be doubled to 20mg/day. Otherwise went back to 10mg/day.

Group 2 N= 266

Placebo - No details given.

Funding: Forest Laboratories Inc. Quality assessed +.

# **GSK2002**

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Parallel-group study. 1 week single-blind placebo run-in phase. Randomised to either paroxetine or placebo.

Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF)

n= 335

Age: Mean 39

Sex: 119 males 208 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Data Used

CGI-I

HAMA

CGI (Response)

Adverse events

Leaving the study due to inefficacy Leaving the study due to adverse events

#### Group 1 N= 168

Paroxetine - Dose range 12.5-37.5mg/day. Weeks 1-2: 12.5mg/day. Dose increases of 12.5mg/day no more frequently than every 7 days were allowed at the discretion of the investigator according to response and tolerability. Max dose was 37.5mg/day.

Funding: GlaxoSmithKline. Quality assessed +.

Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 56 Setting: Multicentre (32 centres): USA. Notes: RANDOMISATION: no details given. Info on Screening Process: No details given.	Exclusions: Diagnosis of any current Axis I disorder or within the 6 months prior to screening, posed a current suicidal or homicidal risk in the investigator's judgement, had a score of =>18 on the MADRS at screening or at baseline, showed greater than a 20% reduction in the HAM-A total score from screening to baseline, had taken other psychotropic drugs that had not been discontinued within the minimum discontinuation period prior to screening, had received formal psychotherapy either concurrently or in the 12 weeks prior to screening.  Notes: Ppts received medication for a maximum of 10 weeks, including a one-week placebo run-in phase followed by an eight-week treatment phase and a double-blind taper phase of up to 1 week.  Baseline: HAM-A: Paroxetine 24.43 (3.71) and Placebo 24.83 (3.64).	Leaving the study early for any reason Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) Notes: Response was defined as CGI 1 or 2.	Group 2 N= 167  Placebo - Received medication identical in appearance to that received by ppts assigned to the active medication.	
GSK2005 Study Type: RCT Study Description: Placebo run-in medication for one week followed by randomisation to paroxetine (20mg/day) or placebo. Type of Analysis: LOCF method used. Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 56 Setting: Multicentre (58 centres): Japan. Notes: RANDOMISATION: procedure not known. Info on Screening Process: Not known.	n= 361 Age: Mean 40 Sex: 144 males 214 females Diagnosis:     100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV  Exclusions: Subjects with suspected history of psychiatric disorder other than GAD or with history or complications of such diseases, subjects who had taken MAOIs within1 week prior to week 1 and subjects with history of complications thatn might affect the subjects' safety.  Notes: Subjects classed as non-responders at week 8 continued to receive paroxetine or placebo orally for a further 4 weeks in a flexible dosing schedule.  Baseline: Baseline statistics not provided.	Data Used CGI-I HAMA Adverse events Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS) Leaving the study due to inefficacy Leaving the study due to adverse events Leaving the study early for any reason Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) Notes: Response was defined as either a CGI score of 1 or 2 or a HAMA score of <=10.	Group 1 N= 182 Placebo - No details given. Group 2 N= 179 Paroxetine - Began with 10mg for 1 weeks, followed by forced titration to 20mg/day for 7 weeks.	Funding: GlaxoSmithKline. Quality assessed +.
HACKETT2003  Study Type: RCT  Study Description: Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study. Followed by long-term phase study that is reported separately.  Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF method)  Blindness: Double blind  Duration (days): Mean 56  Setting: Outpatients. Multicentre: France.  Notes: RANDOMISATION: no further details  Info on Screening Process: 564 entered study, 16 did not receive any medication before dropping out	n= 540 Age: Mean 44 Sex: 175 males 365 females Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV  Exclusions: - <18 years of age - HAM-A <20 - HAMA <2 for items 1 and 2 - MDD - more than 2 panic attacks in last month  Baseline: HAM-A: Placebo =27.6 Venlafaxine 75mg = 27.9 Venlafaxine 150mg = 27.9 Diazepam = 28.4. Doesn't report SDs or SEs.	Data Used CGI-I HAMA Leaving the study due to adverse events Leaving the study early for any reason Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) Notes: Rating scales were administered at baseline, and again after 7, 14, 21, 28, 42 and 56 days.	Group 1 N= 179  Venlafaxine (extended release). Mean dose 150mg - 150mg/day.  Group 2 N= 191  Venlafaxine (extended release). Mean dose 75mg - 75mg/day.  Group 3 N= 97  Placebo - No details given.  Group 4 N= 89  Diazepam. Mean dose 15mg/d - 15 mg/day.	Funded by Wyeth. Quality assessed +.
HARTFORD2007 Study Type: RCT Study Description: ITT analysis included all randomised participants with >=1 postbaseline analysis. Safety analysis included all randomised participants  Type of Apalysis: ITT	n= 487 Age: Mean 41 Sex: 182 males 305 females Diagnosis:	Data Used Q-LES-Q-SF Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) Leaving the study early for any reason	Group 1 N= 164  Venlafaxine (extended release). Mean dose 183.82mg/d - Started at 37.5mg/d for week 1, increased to 75mg/d week 2 onwards. Dose could be increased to 150mg/d for at least 1 week and then to	Drug company funded - Eli Lilly trial 7107 NCT00122850. Quality assessment score = +/++ All participants underwent a single-blind placebo lead-in

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by PGI-I 225mg/d based on efficacy and tolerability. week, 10 week acute phase Blindness: Double blind DSM-IV and a 2 week Leaving the study due to adverse events Group 2 N= 161 Duration (days): Mean 70 discontinuation tapering Significant improvement (30% reduction) Placebo phase. Exclusions: <18 years EQ-5D Setting: Outpatients. Multicentre 42 sites in the Group 3 N= 162 No primary DSM-IV diagnosis of GAD CGI-I - CGI-S <4 Duloxetine. Mean dose 107.73mg/d -Leaving the study due to inefficacy Notes: RANDOMISATION: procedure not - HADS anxiety subscale <10 Started at 30mg/d for week 1, increased reported - Covia Anxiety score <9 or not greater and then Raskin Serious Adverse events to 60mg/d week 2 onwards. After titration depression total score. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale to 60mg, flexible dosing was allowed in Info on Screening Process: 707 people were Raskin depression scale item rated >3 weekly increments of 30mg/d up to a max (anxiety) evaluated of which 220 failed to meet the - Medical illness that would contraindicate use of duloxetine of 120mg/d. Dose increases were based inclusion criteria Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS) - Women of childbearing age not using adequate on efficacy and tolerability Adverse events HAMA - recent diagnosis of depression or substance abuse/depence Discontinuation adverse events (DAEs) - past year history of panic disorder, PTSD or eating disorder Notes: TAKEN AT: Baseline and enpoint - lifetime history of psychotic, bipolar, OCD or psychosis DROPOUT: Duloxetine: 67/162 (45.7%), - lack of response of GAD to 2 prior adequate trails of Venlafaxine 62/164 (37.8%), Placebo 62/161 antidepressants or benzodiazepine treatments (38.5%) psychotherapy iniated 6 weeks prior to study enrollment Benzodiazepine use in the 2 weeks before visit 2 Judged clinically to be a serious suicide risk Previous duloxetine treatment Baseline: HAMA: Dulox 25.6(5.8) Venl 24.9(5.4) Placebo 25.0(5.8) HEWETT2001 Study Type: RCT n= 372 Data Used Group 1 N= 188 Funding: GlaxoSmithKline. CGI-I Quality assessed +. Age: Mean 46 Paroxetine - Weeks 1-2: 20mg/day. Dose Study Description: Parallel group study. 1 week CGI (Response) could then be uptirated in 10mg/day single-blind placebo run-in phase. Ppts Sex: 110 males 262 females increments at intervals no more frequently randomised to receive either paroxetine or Adverse events than every 7 days at the discretion of the placebo. Diagnosis: Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS) 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by investigator, according to response and Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF) tolerability. Range 20-50mg/day. DSM-IV (anxiety) Blindness: Double blind Group 2 N= 186 Leaving the study due to inefficacy Duration (days): Mean 56 Exclusions: Following conditions currently or within 6 months Placebo - No details given. Leaving the study due to adverse events prior to screening visit: MDD, panic disorder, social phobia, Leaving the study early for any reason Setting: Multicentre (50 centres): France, UK, agoraphobia, PTSD, OCD, and eating disorders. Current diagnosis of dysthymia or within the previous 6 months as a Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) Germany, Ireland, Austria and Italy. predominant psychiatric condition relative to GAD. Current Notes: Response was CGI score of 1 or 2. Notes: RANDOMISATION: no details given. psychotic disorder or history of psychotic disorder. Current Remission was <=10 on HAM-A. bipolar disorder or history of bipolar disorder, or had a Info on Screening Process: No details given. current history of cyclothymic disorder. Posed a current suicidal or homicidal risk. A score of >=18 on the MADRS at either screening or baseline. Had shown a greater than 20% reduction in HAM-A total score from screening to baseline. Had taken other psychotropic drugs which had not been discontinued within the minimum discontinuation periods prior to screening. Had ECT in the 3 months prior to screening. Had received formal psychotherapy, either concurrently or in the 12 weeks prior to screening. Notes: Ppts requiring more than one dose reduction were withdrawn from the study. Gradual reduction of study medication during double-blind taper phase of up to 3 weeks for ppts who completed treatment or withdrew prematurely at dose of 30mg/day or higher. Baseline: HAM-A: Paroxetine 26.0 (0.4) and Placebo 25.9 (0.4)KASPER2009 Study Type: RCT Data Used Funded by Pfizer. Quality Group 1 N= 121 CGI-I assessed +. Pregabalin - Starting dose of 150mg/day Study Description: 1 week open-label lead-in HAMA for first week, thereafter flexible from 300period, then randomised to 8 weeks of double-

blind, parallel-group treatment. n= 374 Adverse events 600mg/day Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS) Age: Mean 41 Group 2 N= 125 Blindness: Double blind Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale Sex: 146 males 228 females Venlafaxine (extended release) - starting Duration (days): Mean 56 (anxiety) dose of 75mg/day for first week then Diagnosis: EQ-5D flexible thereafter between 75-225 mg/day Setting: 47 sites in Belgium, Canada, France, 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by Leaving the study due to adverse events Group 3 N= 128 Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Spain, Sweden DSM-IV Leaving the study early for any reason Placebo - No details given. Notes: RANDOMISATION: computer generated Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) randomisation list. Exclusions: <18 years or >65 years - HAM-A <20 Info on Screening Process: 466 screened, 374 - HAM-A psychic and somatic factors <10 met eligibility criteria bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, psychosis - MDD, dysthymia, OCD, PTSD, eating disorder, substance abuse or dependence - pregnant Baseline: HAM-A: Placebo 26.8 (SE=0.8) Venlafaxine 27.4 (SE=0.4) Pregabalin 27.6 (SE=0.4) **KOPONEN2007** Study Type: RCT n= 513 Drug company funded - Eli Data Used Group 1 N= 175 Lilly studyF1J-MC-HMBR Q-LES-Q-SF Age: Mean 44 Placebo Study Description: ITT analysis included all (NCT00122824) - trial Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) randomised participants with >=1 postbaseline Sex: 165 males 348 females Group 2 N= 168 report collected analysis. Safety analysis included all Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) All participants underwent a Duloxetine. Mean dose 60mg/d -Diagnosis: randomised participants Leaving the study early for any reason single-blind placebo lead-in Participants were started with 60mg/d, if 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by week, 9 week acute phase Type of Analysis: ITT there were tolerability concerns this was DSM-IV and a 2 week Leaving the study due to adverse events lowered to 30mg/d with all participants Blindness: Double blind discontinuation tapering gradually increased to their randomised Significant improvement (30% reduction) Duration (days): Mean 63 Exclusions: -<18 years phase. Quality assessment dose within the first 2 study weeks. EQ-5D - No primary DSM-IV diagnosis of GAD score = + / ++ Group 3 N= 170 - CGI-S <4 CGI-I Setting: outpatient clinics. - HADS anxiety subscale <10 Duloxetine. Mean dose 120mg/d -Multicentre - 7 countries Symptom Questionnaire-Somatic subscale Participants were started with 60mg/d, if Covia Anxiety score <9 or not greater and then Raskin</li> (SQ-SS) Notes: RANDOMISATION: procedure not depression total score. there were tolerability concerns this was reported. Participants were stratified by Leaving the study due to inefficacy Raskin depression scale item rated >3 lowered to 30mg/d with all participants baseline HAM-A score. Serious Adverse events - Medical illness that would contraindicate use of duloxetine gradually increased to their randomised Info on Screening Process: 639 participants Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS) dose within the first 2 study weeks. - Women of childbearing age not using adequate were screened for the study with 126 failing to contraception Visual Analog Scale (VAS) - recent diagnosis of depression or substance meet the inclusion criteria. HAMA abuse/depence Discontinuation adverse events (DAEs) past year history of panic disorder, PTSD or eating disorder Notes: TAKEN AT: baseline and endpoint - lifetime history of psychotic, bipolar, OCD or psychosis DROP OUT: Dul 60 33/168 (19.6%); Dul 120 - lack of response of GAD to 2 prior adequate trails of 46/170 (27.1%): Placebo 45/175 (25.7%) antidepressants or benzodiazepine treatments - psychotherapy injated 6 weeks prior to study enrollment Baseline: HAMA (total) Dulox (60mg) 25.0(7.1); Dulox (120mg) 25.2(7.3); Placebo 25.8(7.6) **LADER1998** Study Type: RCT n= 244 Data Used Group 1 N= 81 Funding: UCB, S.A. Quality assessed +. CGI-I Age: Mean 41 Range 30-42 Hydoxyzine. Mean dose 50mg/day -Study Description: 1-week single-blind placebo HAMA 12.5mg morning and midday, 25mg run-in then 4-week DB treatment with either Sex: 73 males 171 females evening. hydroxyzine, buspirone or placebo followed by 1 Adverse events week placebo administration. Diagnosis: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale Group 2 N= 81 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by (anxiety) Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF) Placebo. Mean dose 3 capsules/day - 3 DSM-IV Leaving the study early for any reason capsules throughout the day. Blindness: Double blind Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) Group 3 N= 82 Duration (days): Mean 28 Exclusions: Depressive disorders according to DSM-IV Buspirone. Mean dose 20mg/day - 5mg criteria. Pregnancy or inadequate contraceptive precautions, morning and midday, 10mg evening. Setting: Multicentre (62 centres): France (48 major depressive disorder, alcohol abuse, organic or psychotic disorders, undergoing long-term psychotherapy or centres) and UK (14 centres). Patients seen by intake of psychotropic medication during the previous 4 primary care doctors.

		T		
Info on Screening Process: Excluded anyone	weeks.	Notes: Assessments carried out weekly.		
who responded in placebo period of showed positive for benzodiazepines at entry. 266	Notes: Ppts had HRSA score >20. Low levels of depressive			
recruited: 20 failed to meet inclusion criteria.	symptoms allowed.			
	Baseline: HARS at baseline: Hydroxyzine: 26.6 (4.3), Buspirone: 26.7 (4.1) and Placebo: 26.2 (4.2).			
	Buspirone. 20.7 (4.1) and Piacepo. 20.2 (4.2).			
LENOXSMITH2003				
Study Type: RCT	n= 244	Data Used	Group 1 N= 122	Funded by Wyeth. Quality
3,7 3,7 3	Age: Mean 47	HAMA	Placebo	assessed:
Blindness: Double blind	Sex: 100 males 144 females	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale	Group 2 N= 122	
Duration (days): Mean 168		(anxiety)	Venlafaxine (extended release) - Starting	
Setting: 31 Primary care centres, UK	Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by	Leaving the study early for any reason Remission (less than 7 on HAMA)	dose 75mg, could be increased to 150mg	
•	DSM-IV	Response (50% reduction in HAMA score)	after 2 weeks. At end of 24 weeks	
Notes: RANDOMISATION: no further details		(30% reduction in Fixing Score)	patients on 150mg were reduced to 75mg and then the second week all patients	
	Exclusions: - HAM-A <20		received placebo.	
	- <18 years of age - psychosis			
	- substance abuse or dependence			
	- PTSD			
	- pregnant - MADRS >23			
	Baseline: HAM-A: Venlafaxine 28 Placebo 28			
LENZE2005				
Study Type: RCT	n= 34	Data Used	Group 4 N- 17	Funded by Forest
Study Type: RCT		Adverse events	Group 1 N= 17  Citalopram - 10mg /day at first dose,	Pharmaceuticals. Quality
Blindness: Double blind	Age: Mean 69 Sex: 13 males 21 females	Leaving the study due to adverse events	increased after week to 20mg/day, a	assessed +.
Duration (days): Mean 56	Sex. 13 Illales 21 letitales	Leaving the study early for any reason	further increase to 30mg/day after 4	
	Diagnosis:	Remission (less than 7 on HAMA)	weeks if no response	
Setting: Recruited from adverts and in a primary care centre, US	90% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV	Response (50% reduction in HAMA score)	Group 2 N= 17	
Notes: RANDOMISATION: method not reported			Placebo - No details given.	
· ·	Exclusions: - current MDD			
Info on Screening Process: 791 screened, 47 consented to participate. Of these 10 refused	- dementia - psychosis			
randomization, 1 spontaneous improvement, 1	- unstable medical illness			
did not meet diagnostic criteria, 1 had MDD	- substance abuse			
	Notes: 2 people in each group did not have GAD.			
	8 people in Citalopram group and 4 people in placebo			
	group received lorazepam.			
	Baseline: HAMA: Citalopram 21.4(4.6) Placebo 23.1(3.8) HDRS: Citalopram 11.3 (2.1) Placebo 12.4 (3.8)			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
LENZE2009				
Study Type: RCT	n= 177	Data Used	Group 1 N= 85	Funded by National Institute
Study Description: ITT: all participants who	Age: Mean 72	HAMA CCL(Bessesses)	Escitalopram - 12 weeks. 10 mg of	of Health grant, drugs provided by Forest
dropped out or were considered non responders were included except for 2	Sex: 58 males 119 females	CGI (Response) Adverse events	escitalopram, 1 pill/ day, 2 pills/ day after 4 weeks for non-responders, as tolerated.	Laboratories. Quality
participants who did not receive medication	Diagnosis:	Leaving the study due to adverse events	Group 2 N= 92	assessed +.
Type of Analysis: ITT	14% Major depressive disorder by DSM-IV	Leaving the study due to adverse events  Leaving the study early for any reason	Placebo	
Blindness: Double blind		QoL	35555	
Duration (days): Mean 84	100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by	Notes: TAKEN AT: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 weeks.		
Duranon (days). Mean o4	DSM-IV	DROP OUTS: SMDs REPORTED. DROP OUTS		
Setting: USA	Exclusions: - Less than 60 years of age	18.5% (escitalopram), 18.4% (placebo)		
Notes: Randomisation: permuted block, 1:1	- Without a principal diagnosis of GAD			
randomised list generated by study stastistician	- Less than 17 on the HAMA - Bipolar disorder, dementia			
Info on Screening Process: 550 screened, 293	- Increased suicide risk			
		I	I	ı II

excluded, 257 consented to further Medical instability assessment, 179 randomised, 2 did not receive Ongoing psychotherapy medication Current antidepressant or anxiolytic use (except for benzodiazepines up to 2 mg/ day equivalent of lorazepam) Notes: 17.1% (escitalopram), 13.2% (placebo) were on benzodiazepines. 12.1% of escitalopram and 15.2% of placebo had MDD diagnosis. Baseline: HAMA baseline depression score (approx): 23.00 (2.30). No significant differences between groups at baseline. Results from this paper: LLORCA2002 Study Type: RCT n= 334 Data Used Funding: UCB-Pharma. Group 1 N= 116 CGI-I Quality assessed: +. Study Description: Parallel-group, 2 weeks SB Age: Mean 43 Bromazepam, Mean dose 6mg/day -HAMA 1.5mg in the morning and at noon and run-in placebo, 12 weeks DB treatment and 4 Sex: 106 males 228 females 3ma in the evening. weeks SB run-out placebo. Adverse events Group 2 N= 113 Leaving the study due to adverse events Type of Analysis: ITT 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by Leaving the study early for any reason Placebo - Oral capsules divided into 3 Blindness: Double blind DSM-IV daily doses. Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) Duration (days): Mean 84 Group 3 N= 105 Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) Exclusions: Pregnant, breast-feeding, absence of a Hydoxyzine. Mean dose 50mg/day contraception method for women, known alcohol or drug Setting: Multicentre: France. Outpatients. 50mg/day. 12.5mg in the morning and at dependence, major depressive episode within the preceding Conducted by French GPs under supervision of noon and 25mg in th evening. 6 months or >=7 on Raskin Severity of Depression and psychiatrists. Mania scale, psychotic or delusional disorders within the Notes: RANDOMISATION: no details provided. preceding 3 years, concomitant chronic diseases, closedangle glaucoma or prostatic adenoma, intolerance or allergy Info on Screening Process: 369 entered recruitment period. 334 entered DB treatment. to hydroxyzine, bromazepam, lactose or cellulose, inability to use self-assessment scales, treatment with antidepressants. neuroleptics, mood regulators, morphine or derivatives, hydroxyzine or bromazepam within the preceding 4 weeks, treatment with benzodiazepines >2 days per week during the previous 30 days or benzodiazepine intake during the previous 2 weeks, CNS active treatment within the last week preceding inclusion, need for psychotherapy. Notes: GPs were trained to diagnose GAD. Ppts not diagnosed by psychiatrists. Ppts scored >=20 on HAM-A. Baseline: HAM-A at baseline. Placebo: 25.73 (4.14). Hydroxyzine: 25.49 (3.61). Bromazepam: 25.32 (3.44). LYDIARD1997 Study Type: RCT n= 192 Data Used Group 1 N= 67 Funding: no details CGI-I provided. Likely to be Age: Mean 42 Abercarnil - 3.0-9.0mg/day. Capsules Study Description: 4 weeks treatment with pharma funded. Quality HAMA contained 1.0mg. Dosages were titrated either abecarnil, alprazolam or placebo followed Sex: 89 males 103 females assessed: -. to 1 capsule t.i.d. by day 4, 2 capsules by 1-2 week taper. Adverse events t.i.d. by day 8 and 3 capsules t.i.d. by day Diagnosis: Leaving the study early for any reason Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF) 15. Based dosage on clinical judgement. 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by Notes: Assessed weekly. All ppts had to take at least 1 capsule Blindness: Double blind DSM-III-R b.i.d. to stay in study. Duration (days): Mean 28 Group 2 N= 63 Exclusions: No psychotherapeutic medication for at least 1 Setting: Multicenter: outpatients. USA. week and for at least 1 month for therapeutic doses of Alprazolam - 1.5mg-4.5mg/day. Capsules neuroleptics or antidepressants. History of pytschosis. contained 0.5mg. Dosages were titrated Notes: RANDOMISATION: no details provided. mania, current major depression, substance abuse, or other to 1 capsule t.i.d. by day 4, 2 capsules Axis I disorders likely to interfere with objectives of study. Info on Screening Process: No details provided. t.i.d. by day 8 and 3 capsules t.i.d. by day Any investigational drug taken within 30 days preceding 15. Based dosage on clinical judgement. study admission. Women of childbearing potential who were All ppts had to take at least 1 capsule not using medically accepted birth-control methods or who b.i.d. to stay in study. were planning on becoming pregnant. Pregnant women. Notes: Flexible dosage schedules used. Patients who

discontinued for reasons unrelated to medication before completing 2 weeks of treatment were replaced. Ppts had

MAJERCSIK2003 Study Type: RCT Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 42 Setting: Hungary Notes: randomisation: no further details	HAM-A score >=18 and Covi>Raskin score.  Baseline: HAM-A at baseline. Abecarnil: 24.3, Alprazolam: 24.1 and Placebo: 24.8.  n= 52 Age: Mean 81 Sex: all males Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV	Data Used HAMA	Group 3 N= 62  Placebo - Dosages were titrated to 1 capsule t.i.d. by day 4, 2 capsules t.i.d. by day 8 and 3 capsules t.i.d. by day 15.  Based dosage on clinical judgement. All ppts had to take at least 1 capsule b.i.d. to stay in study.  Group 1 N= 33  Buspirone - 30mg/day for 6 weeka  Group 2 N= 19  Placebo - 3 tablets a day	
	Exclusions: - HAM-A <15 - anxiolytic medication in previous 6 months  Baseline: HAM-A Buspirone 19.45 (SE=0.46) Placebo 21.48 (SE=0.47)			
MCLEOD1992 Study Type: RCT Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 42 Setting: US volunteers recruited through adverts in local newspapers. Notes: RANDOMISATION: no further details. Assignments were made so that the groups were matched according to gender.	Age: Mean 41  Sex: 15 males 27 females  Diagnosis:     100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by     DSM-IV  Exclusions: - history of panic attacks, psychosis or     substance abuse and could not have taken any medications     that affect the autonomic or central nervous systems for at     least 2 weeks prior to entry into the study  Notes: Ppts were seen weekly for medication pick-up and     supportive therapy, in which they discussed how they were     coming along and received a sympathetic and     understanding response from a therapist.  Baseline: HAM-A: Placebo 25.1 (2.0) Imipramine 25.3 (4.0)     Alprazolam 28.1 (4.3)	Data Used HAMA Blood pressure	Group 1 N= 14  Imipramine. Mean dose 92.6mg - Starting dose 25mg but could be adjusted according to clinical need. Range 1-12 capsules.  Group 2 N= 14  Alprazolam. Mean dose 2.3mg - Starting dose of 0.5mg but could be adjusted according to clinical need.  Group 3 N= 14  Placebo - Took 1 capsule three times a day unless they developed excessive side effects.	Funded by NIH grant. Quality assessed
MOLLER2001 Study Type: RCT Study Description: ITT using LOCF. 307/313 participants were included in the ITT analysis Type of Analysis: ITT Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 28 Setting: Multicentre, GERMANY. Outpatients Notes: RANDOMISATION: procedure not reported Info on Screening Process: No details reported	n= 313 Age: Mean 48 Sex: 104 males 209 females Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by ICD-10  Exclusions: - No ICD-10 diagnosis of GAD - HAM-A <17 and HAMD >20 - Ages <18 or >65 years - Significant other psychiatric disorders such as panic disorder, MDD - Known substance abuse - Relevant comcomitant other diseases such as epilepsy, severe renal or hepatic impairment, cancer - Placebo responders (defined as those showing a decrease >6 points during the washout period)	Data Used Plasma concentrations HAMA Adverse events Data Not Used Leaving the study due to adverse events - not extractable Leaving the study early for any reason - data not extractable Notes: TAKEN AT: baseline and end of treatment (end of active treatment) DROPOUTS: Opipramol 8/101 (8%), Alprazolam 13/105 (12%), Placebo 18/107 (17%)	Day 3 the final dose of 2mg was given.	No details reported regarding funding. Quality assessed: The study included a 7 day placebo washout period, followed by 4 weeks of active treatment. Active treatment was followed by tapering with placebo.

Notes: ~66% of participants had concomitant diseases

Baseline: No relevant differences at baseline HAMA: Opipramol 27.7(7.4), Alprazolam: 29.7(7.6),

Placebo: 29.3(7.0)

#### Group 3 N= 101

Opipramol. Mean dose 200mg/day -Medication was prepared in identical capsules containing 50mg. Day 0 1 of the 2 evening capsules was active, day 1, 2 of the evening capsules active, day 2 morning capsules were also active. By Day 3 the final dose of 200mg was given.

### Results from this paper:

## **MONTGOMERY2006**

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: ITT: all randomized patients who received at least 1 dose of study drug. LOCF used on all primary and secondary outcome measures.

Type of Analysis: ITT Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 42

Followup: None

Setting: Multicentre (76): Austria, Belgium, Germany, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom. Outpatients attending primary care or psychiatric practices.

Notes: Randomisation procedure not reported. Parallel-group design.

Info on Screening Process: 543 ppts entered baseline phase; 421 were randomised and received study medication. Reasons for exclusion: did not meet entry criteria, lost to follow-up, withdrew consent,

other/administrative and randomised but did not

take study medication.

n= 421

Age: Mean 44

Sex: 160 males 261 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by

Exclusions: Diagnosis of any other current Axis 1 disorders except depression not otherwise specificied, dysthymia. simple phobia or somatisation disorder. Additional exclusion criteria: clinically relevant hematologic, autoimmune, endocrine, cardiovascular, renal, hepatic, gastrointestinal, or neurologic disorders; a history of seizure disorder; borderline, avoidant or antisocial personality disorder; alcohol or substance use disorder within the past 6 months: and patients considered at risk of suicide. Women who were pregnant or lactating, and women of childbearing potential who were not using a reliable method of contraception. Use of gabapentin or a benzodiazepine within 1 week of first baseline visit, the use of other psychotropic medications within 2 weeks prior to study entry, or ongoing psychodynamic or cognitive-behavioural psychotherapy for GAD. Use of corticosteroids (except topical or inhaled corticosteroids < 1000mg/day), antihypertensive agents. captopril, beta-blockers and psychotropic medication was not permitted during the study. Patients were allowed to take zolpidem for insomnia but not for more than 2 nights per

Notes: Ppts were diagnosed using the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI).

week or the night before clinic visits.

Baseline: Pregabalin 400mg/day (N=97, 23%), Pregabalin 600mg/day (N=110, 26%), Venlafaxine (N=113, 27%) and Placebo (N=101, 24%). HAM-A baseline: Pregabalin 400mg/day 26.3 (4.4), Pregabalin 600mg/day 26.5 (4.6), Venlafaxine 26.0 (4.6) and Placebo 27.4 (5.5). TOTAL: 26.6 (4.8). HAM-D baseline: Pregabalin 400mg/day 12.2 (3.6), Pregabalin 600mg/day 12.2 (4.0), Venlafaxine 12.0 (3.4) and Placebo 12.8 (4.9). TOTAL: 12.3 (4.0).

#### Data Used

Remission (less than 7 on HAMA)

CGI-I

HAMA

Adverse events

Serious Adverse events

Leaving the study early for any reason

Response (50% reduction in HAMA score)

#### Data Not Used

Leaving the study due to adverse events - not extractable

Significant improvement (30% reduction) - not

Notes: HAM-D outcome scores also reported. TAKEN AT: baseline. 1 week and endpoint. DROP OUTS: Pregabalin 400mg/day 16/97. Pregabalin 800mg/day 29/110, Venlafaxine 34/113 and Placebo 20/101.

#### Group 1 N= 97

Pregabalin, Mean dose 400mg/day -100mg/day for 2 days then 200mg/day for 2 days, before receiving the full dosage of 400mg/day on day 5. All administered twice-per-day (b.i.d.).

## Group 2 N= 113

Venlafaxine (extended release). Mean dose 37.5mg/day - Began treatment at full 37.5mg/day (b.i.d.) dosage.

# Group 3 N= 101

Placebo - No details given.

#### Group 4 N= 110

Pregabalin. Mean dose 600mg/day -150mg/day for 2 days, 300mg/day for 2 days and 450mg/day for 2 days before receiving the full dosage of 600mg/day after day 7. All administered twice-per-day (b.i.d.).

Funded by pharma (Pfizer Inc. New York). This study involved a 1 week screening period. 6 weeks of doubleblind treatment were followed up by a 1-week, double-blind taper and follow-up phase. Quality assessment score = +

## **MONTGOMERY2008**

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Parallel group study, 1 week drug-free period then 8 week double-blind study, followed by a 1-5 day taper with a final follow-up visit at 1 week.

Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF) Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 56

Setting: Outpatients. Multicentre study: 13 in the

n= 273

Age: Mean 72

Sex: 63 males 210 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV

Exclusions: Current or past DSM-IV diagnosis of schizophrenia, schizoaffective, psychotic or bipolar disorder, current DSM-IV diagnosis of MDD, social anxiety disorder,

#### Data Used

CGI-I

HAMA

Adverse events

SCL anxiety factor

Leaving the study due to adverse events Leaving the study early for any reason Remission (less than 7 on HAMA)

Response (50% reduction in HAMA score)

#### Group 1 N= 177

Pregabalin - Initiated at 50mg/day, followed by an increase to 100mg/day on day 3 and 150mg/day on day 5. Dosing was flexible from weeks 1-6 in the range of 150-600mg/day administered either two or three times daily. Maintained on the same dose from weeks 6-8.

#### Group 2 N= 96

Placebo - No details provided.

Funding: Pfizer, Inc. Quality assessed: +.

US and 69 in Europe. panic disorder, OCD, PTSD, acute stress disorder. borderline or antisocial personality disorder, eating disorder, Notes: RANDOMISATION: were randomised delirium, dementia, amnestic disorder, alcohol or substance 2:1 pregabalin: placebo. dependence and/or misuse in the past 6 months, positive urine drug screen, any clinically significant acute or unstable Info on Screening Process: 366 people screened. 68 did not meet entry criteria, 16 medical condition or clinically significant ECG or laboratory abnormalities, alanine/aspartate aminotransferase levels >3 withdrew consent and 11 did not enter for other times the upper limit of normal or creatine clearance rates, reasons. concurrent psychotherapy for generalised anxiety disorder unless in stable treatment >3 months, concomitant treatment with psychotropic medication during the study and for at least 2 weeks prior to the screening visit, current suicide risk based on the clinical judgement of the investigator. depressive symptoms predominating over anxiety symptoms. Notes: Diagnosis based on MINI interview, HRSA score >=20 and MMSE score >=24. Monitored adherence by counts of returned medication and ppts were counselled if they were found to be non-adherent. Baseline: HRSA at baseline. Pregabalin: 27 (4.8) and Placebo: 26 (4.1). NICOLINI2009 FUNDED BY ELI LILLY: Study Type: RCT n= 581 Data Used Group 1 N= 169 Trial report collected CGI-I Age: Mean 43 Venlafaxine (extended release). Mean Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF) (#7106). Quality assessed: + HAMA dose 151.3mg/day - 75 - 225 mg/day; Sex: 43 males 57 females Blindness: Double blind flexible dosing of an increase of Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS) 75mg/day. Dose increase required if CGI-I Diagnosis: Duration (days): Mean 70 Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale score > 4 after 3 weeks. Dosed could be 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by (anxiety) DSM-IV decreased no more than twice. Dose Setting: Australia, Argentina, Belgium, Canada, Leaving the study due to inefficacy stabilised after 6 weeks. Mexico, Russia, Taiwan, UK Leaving the study due to adverse events Group 2 N= 84 Outpatients Exclusions: -<18 years PGI-I - No primary DSM-IV diagnosis of GAD Duloxetine 20mg. Mean dose 20mg/day -Notes: RANDOMISATION: computer-generated Leaving the study early for any reason - CGI-S <4 Once daily fixed dose of 20mg. Those ALLOCATION CONCEALMENT: interactive - HADS anxiety subscale <10 Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) who required dose increase received voice response system Covia Anxiety score <9 or not greater and then Raskin</li> additional placebo capsules. Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) Info on Screening Process: Patients entered depression total score. Notes: DROP OUTS: 21/84 (25%) - DULOX Group 3 N= 170 (N=771): did not meet criteria/concent Raskin depression scale item rated >3 20mg: 49/158 (31%) - DULOX 60-120 mg: 47/122 Placebo (N=190)patients randomised (N=581); patients - Medical illness that would contraindicate use of duloxetine (39%) - VENLAFAXINE; 68/170 (40%) completed trial (N=396) - Women of childbearing age not using adequate Group 4 N= 158 PLACEBO. contraception Duloxetine. Mean dose 90mg/day - 60-- recent diagnosis of depression or substance 120 mg/day flexible dosing of an increase abuse/depence of 30mg/day. Dose increase required if - past year history of panic disorder, PTSD or eating disorder CGI-I score > 4 after 3 weeks. Dosed lifetime history of psychotic, bipolar, OCD or psychosis could be decreased no more than twice. - lack of response of GAD to 2 prior adequate trails of Dose stabilised after 6 weeks. antidepressants or benzodiazepine treatments - psychotherapy iniated 6 weeks prior to study enrollment Notes: Duration of GAD M(S.D.) = 4.37 (8.19) years Baseline: BASELINE HAMA scores = 27.4 (total): 27.33 (7.33) (placebo); 27.65 (7.99) - dul 20mg; 27.74 (7.32) - dul 60-120mg); 27.36 (7.57) - ven 75-125mg) Results from this paper: NIMATOUDIS2004 Study Type: RCT n= 46 Data Used Funding: possibly Wyeth. Group 1 N= 24 CGI-I Quality assessed: -. Age: Mean 43 Venlafaxine (extended release). Mean Study Description: Venlafaxine vs. Placebo for HAMA dose 75mg/day - Ppts with a less than 8 weeks. 1week placebo run-in phase. Sex: 15 males 31 females 30% decrease in their HAM-A total score Adverse events Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF) Diagnosis: at the end of 2 weeks compared to the Leaving the study early for any reason end of the pre-study period doubled their Blindness: Double blind 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) dose for the rest of the treatment period DSM-III-R Duration (days): Mean 56

rolucionas Major donroccius disordor within C months of

Followup: 4-10 days

Response (50% reduction in HAMA score)

(150mg/day).

Setting: Multicentre: outpatients. Greece.  Notes: RANDOMISATION: no details provided.  Info on Screening Process: Removed anyone with a 20%+ decrease in HAM-A score during pre-study period.	study day 1, total Raskin depression score >6, if the secondary depressive symptoms item scores on the Raskin Depression scale was >3 or if their total score on the HAM-D >12. Recent history or current diagnosis of drug or alcohol dependence, current suicidal ideation and/or a history of suicide attempt, evidence or an organic mental disorder, presence of uncontrolled congestive heart failure, myocardial infarction within 6 months of screening visit, history or presence of medical disease that might compromise the study, use of any investigational drug or procedure, any antipsychotic drug withint 30 days of study day 1 and presence of any other Axis I disorder or antisocial personality disorder. Women who were pregnant or lactating or women of childbearing potential who were not using a medically acceptable form of contraception. Concomitant use of psychotropic drugs as well as the introduction or change in intensity of psychotherapeutic interventions.  Notes: Ppts had HAM-A baseline score >=18 and Covi Anxiety score >=8.  Baseline: HAM-A at baseline. Venlafaxine: 27.1 (4.8) and Placebo: 28.5 (6.4)	Notes: Seen at baseline, days 8, 15, 22, 29, 43 and 57.	Group 2 N= 22 Placebo - No details provided.	
PANDE2003				
Study Type: RCT  Study Description: 1 week placebo lead-in followed by 4 weeks of treatment and then a 1-week dose taper.  Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF method)  Blindness: Double blind  Duration (days): Mean 28  Setting: Outpatients. Multicentre: USA (Seattle, Portland, Lansing, Los Angeles and Durham).  Notes: RANDOMISATION: no details provided.  Info on Screening Process: Recruited via clinic referrals or from advertisements. 361 screened; 84 excluded because didn't meet inclusion criteria (N=31), experienced an adverse event (N=1) or because of other administrative reasons (N=52).	n= 276 Age: Mean 36 Sex: 112 males 164 females Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV  Exclusions: Any axis I disorder except dysthymia, simple phobia, social phobia, somatisation disorder, or a history of MDD. Patients at suicide risk. No psychotropic medications for 2 weeks before enrollment. Score >=2 on HAM-D item 3. Notes: Administered Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview. Divergent findings between clinical interview and MINI were resolved by judgement of principal investigator. Had to have Covi Anxiety Scale >=9 and Raskin Depression Scale score <=7. HAMA >20.  Baseline: HAMA at baseline. Placebo: 22.90 (3.88), Pregabalin 150: 22.35 (2.68), Pregabalin 600: 23.16 (2.73) and Lorazepam: 23.85 (3.24). Slightly more females in placebo and lorazepam groups at baseline.	Data Used CGI-I HAMA Adverse events Leaving the study due to adverse events Leaving the study early for any reason Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) Response (50% reduction in HAMA score)	Group 1 N= 69  Placebo - Day 1 received 1/6 of dose, which was increased daily until targeted dose was reached.  Group 2 N= 70  Pregabalin. Mean dose 600mg/day - 200mg three times a day. Day 1 received 1/6 of dose, which was increased daily until targeted dose was reached.  Group 3 N= 69  Pregabalin. Mean dose 150mg/day - 50mg three times a day. Day 1 received 1/6 of dose, which was increased daily until targeted dose was reached.  Group 4 N= 68  Lorazepam. Mean dose 6mg/day - 2mg three times a day. Day 1 received 1/6 of dose, which was increased daily until targeted dose was reached.	Funding: no details provided. Pfizer Global Research are involved. Quality assessed: +.
PFIZER2005 Study Type: RCT Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 28 Followup: No Info Setting: No Info Notes: No Info Info on Screening Process: No Info	n= 266 Age: Sex: no information Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV  Exclusions: No information provided  Baseline: HAMA Placebo 23.9, Pregablin 150mg 25.5, Pregablin 600mg 24.4, Lorazepam 6mg 24.3	Data Used HAMA Leaving the study early for any reason Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) Data Not Used Discontinuation adverse events (DAEs) - not extractable	Group 1 N= 67 Placebo Group 2 N= 64 Lorazepam. Mean dose 6mg Group 3 N= 69 Pregabalin. Mean dose 600mg Group 4 N= 66 Pregabalin. Mean dose 150mg	Funding: Pfizer
PFIZER2008				

Study Type: RCT	n= 169	Data Used	Group 1 N= 56	Funding: Pfizer
Type of Analysis: ITT	Age: Mean 36 Range 18-64	HAMA total score	Paroxetine. Mean dose 20mg - Capsules	
Blindness: Double blind	Sex: 71 males 98 females		for oral administration. 20mg daily for 28 days	
Duration (days): Mean 28	Diagnosis:		Group 2 N= 56	
Setting: No Info	100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV		Lorazepam. Mean dose 4.5mg - Capsules for oral administration. 3mg daily for 3	
Info on Screening Process: 237 screened. 169 randomized. 167 ITT. 115 Completed. 104 Not completed.	Exclusions: Pregnant and lactating females. No primary diagnosis of GAD. HAMA <20. Covi Anxiety Scale total score <9. Raskin Depression Scale total score >7. Subjects who had past or current DSM-IV Axis I diagnosis or receiving daily benzodiazepines 3 months prior screening.  Baseline: HAMA Placebo 24.0 (4.9) Paroxetine 23.5 (3.3) Lorazepam 24.2 (3.6)		days increasing to 4.5mg dailty from day 4 to day 28.  Group 3 N= 57  Placebo - Double-blind placebo treatment for 28 days.	
POHL2005				
Study Type: RCT	n= 344	Data Used	Group 1 N= 89	Funding: Pfizer, Inc. Quality
Study Description: Comparison of the efficacy and tolerability of BID versus TID dosing of pregabalin. 1-week drug-free screening phase followed by 6 weeks DB treatment.	Age: Sex: Diagnosis:	Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) CGI-I Adverse events Leaving the study due to adverse events	Pregabalin. Mean dose 400mg/day - Treatment was initiated at 200mg/day and were titrated to 400mg/day on day 4.  Group 2 N= 86	assessed: +.
Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF)	100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by	Leaving the study early for any reason	Placebo	
Blindness: Double blind	DSM-IV	Response (50% reduction in HAMA score)	Group 3 N= 88	
Duration (days): Mean 42	Exclusions: Other current Axis I disorders except dysthymia	Notes: Ppts were assessed at baseline and study		
Setting: 19 centres: USA. Ppts recruited via clinic referrals and adverts in the local media.  Notes: RANDOMISATION: randomised in a 1:1:1:1 fashion.  Info on Screening Process: 605 screened: 174 did not meet entry criteria, 22 were lost to follow-up, 36 withdrew consent, 3 were randomised but did not take study medication and 29 were lost for other or administrative reasons.	or simple phobia, patients at suicide risk, patients with any clinically significant, serious or unstable hematologic, autoimmune, endocrine, vardiovascular, renal, hepatic, gastrointestinal, or neurological disorder and patients with prior exposure to pregabalin.  Notes: Ppts scored >=20 on the HAM-A, >=9 on Covi Anxiety Scale and >=7 on the Raskin Depression Scale. Diagnosis made via MINI.  Baseline: No details provided.	weeks 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6.	Treatment was initiated at 300mg/day and titrated to 450mg/day on day 4.  Group 4 N= 78  Pregabalin. Mean dose 200mg/day - Treatment was initiated at 200mg/day and ppts were maintained on this dosage.	
POLLACK1997				
Study Type: RCT	n= 464 Age: Mean 39	Data Used HAMA	Group 1 N= 115  Buspirone - Started at 15-45mg/day.	Funding: Sandoz and Schering, Berlin. Quality
Study Description: 1 week placebo run-in. 6 week DB treatment followed by a 18 week maintenance period for treatment responders.	Sex: 181 males 277 females	CGI (Response) Adverse events	Increased in first 2 weeks up to 15mg three times a day by day 15. Kept fixed	assessed: +.
Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF)	Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by	Leaving the study due to adverse events	thereafter.  Group 2 N= 116	
Blindness: Double blind	DSM-III-R	Leaving the study early for any reason  Notes: Assessed after 1 week of washout and	Abercarnil - Started at 3-9mg/day.	
Duration (days): Mean 42		then weekly during DB treatment. Then assessed	Increased during first 2 weeks up to 3mg	
Setting: Outpatients. USA.	Exclusions: Current diagnosis of or a history of bipolar illness, organic mental syndromes, schizophrenia or other	at weeks 8, 10, 12, 16, 20 and 24.	three times a day by day 15. Kept fixed after day 15.	
Notes: RANDOMISATION: no details provided.	psychotic disorders, or seizure disorders.		Group 3 N= 112	
Info on Screening Process: No details provided.	Notes: Ppts scored >=20 on HAM-A, and a score >=2 on anxious mood item. Had to score Raskin Depression score <= Covi Anxiety score. HRSD score had to be <20.		Placebo - No details.  Group 4 N= 115	
	Baseline: HAM-A at baseline. Abecarnil (high): 25.2. Abecarnil (low): 25.4. Buspirone: 24.4. Placebo: 25.1.		Abercarnil - Started at 7.5-22.5mg/day. Increased during first 2 weeks to be given maximum of 7.5mg three times a day by day 15. Kept fixed after day 15.	
POLLACK2001				

Funding: GSK. Quality Study Type: RCT n= 324 Data Used Group 1 N= 163 assessed +. Leaving the study due to adverse events Age: Mean 40 Placebo Blindness: Double blind Leaving the study early for any reason Sex: 118 males 206 females Group 2 N= 161 Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) Duration (days): Mean 56 Paroxetine - 10mg/day first week, Diagnosis: Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) 20mg/day second week, those who could 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by Setting: outpatient clinics, US and Canada Notes: Response was based on CGI score 1 or 2. not tolerate the medication during first two DSM-IV Notes: Randomisation: no further details weeks were withdrawn. After 2 weeks could be increased every week by Info on Screening Process: 331 received Exclusions: - < 18 years of age 10mg/day up to 50mg/day. baseline assessment, 7 withdrew before start of - HAM-A <20 treatment - HAM-A items 1 and 2 <2 - diagnosis of any other Axis I disorder - MADRS >17 substance abuse or dependence - women of child bearing potential not using reliable contraception Baseline: HAM-A: Placebo 24.1(0.30) Paroxetine 24.2(0.30) RICKELS2000A Study Type: RCT n= 349 Funding: Wyeth-Ayerst Data Used Group 1 N= 92 HAMA Laboratories. Quality Age: Mean 41 Range 20-75 Venlafaxine (extended release). Mean Study Description: ITT: all eligible participants assessed: -. Leaving the study due to inefficacy dose 75mg/d - 8-week intervention. Fixed with at least one efficacy evaluation made whilst Sex: 154 males 195 females doses. Week 1 to 8: 75mg/d. One pill in receiving study medication Leaving the study due to adverse events the morning. Diagnosis: Compliance Type of Analysis: ITT/LOCF 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by Group 2 N= 90 Leaving the study early for any reason Blindness: Double blind DSM-IV Venlafaxine (extended release). Mean Notes: TAKEN AT: week 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8 weeks 4-Duration (days): Mean 56 dose 225mg/d - 8-week intervention. 10 days after drug tapered. DROP OUTS: 29% Exclusions: - Less than 18 years of age Fixed doses. Week 1: 75mg/d. Week 2: CHANGE SCORES USED. - DSM-IV criteria for GAD Setting: US 150mg/d. Week 3 to 8: 225mg/d. No MDD Outpatient (15 centres) Group 3 N= 91 - HAMA score < 18 Notes: RANDOMISATION: not reported. Venlafaxine (extended release). Mean - HAMA (anxious mood & tension items) < 2 ALLOCATION CONCEALMENT: not addressed dose 150mg/d - 8-week intervention. - Reduction of at least 20% in the HAMA total score between Fixed doses. Week 1: 75mg/d. Week 2 to screening visit & baseline Info on Screening Process: 370 completed 8: 150mg/d. - Lower scores on the Covi Anxiety scale than the Raskin placebo run-in period & received study drug, 21 Depression Scale of these were excluded as they had no primary Group 4 N= 97 - Raskin Depression Scale score greater than 3 on any item outcome. Placebo - No informtaion given. - Use of other pharmacology (i.e. benzodiazepine, antipsychotic, antidepressants; patients were allowed to take chloral hydrate) Other clinically significant psychiatric disorder Notes: 6.9% had a history of MDD; 0.5% had a history of dysthymia Baseline: HAMA baseline depression score (approx): 24.23 (4.10). No significant differences between groups at baseline. Venlafaxine 75mg/d: 24.7 (4.4). Venlafaxine 150mg/d: 24.5 (4.1). Venlafaxine 225mg/d: 23.6 (3.7). Placebo: 24.1 (4.2). Results from this paper: RICKELS2000B Study Type: RCT n= 310 Data Used Quality assessment score = Group 1 N= 104 CGI-I Age: Mean 39 Placebo - All medication was supplied in Study Description: ITT using LOCF for all Drug company sponsored: HAMA encapsulated tablets. Week 1, fixed participants who were randomised and received Sex: 118 males 192 females Schering AG. Berlin and dosage escalation of up to 1 capsules at least one dose of study medication before Adverse events evaluation. Diagnosis: three times daily. Week 2, dosage could Leaving the study due to inefficacy increase depending on response within 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by Type of Analysis: ITT Leaving the study due to adverse events range of 3-7 capsules per day. DSM-III-R Leaving the study early for any reason

Evaluational Agad (10 ar. CE

Response (50% reduction in HAMA score)

Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 42 Setting: Outpatients, 12 sites in US Notes: RANDOMISATION: procedure not reported Info on Screening Process: Not reported	- no diagnosis of GAD according to DSM-III-R criteria - HAMA <20 after 1 week placebo screenign period or HAMA anxious mood <2 - Raskin Depression score higher than a score on the covi anxiety scale -HAMD >20 - Concomitant medical or psychiatric conditions, a history of seizures - Pregnancy - Participants receiving specified medication in the previous week or receiving neuroleptics, TCAs, MAOIs in previous month prior to study  Notes: Study consisted of 6 weeks double-blind treatment followed by an optional maintenance period for a total of 24 weeks. During the maintenance period, participants continued to receive double-blind treatment.  Baseline: HAMA: Abecarnil: 24.2, Diazepam: 24.0, Placebo: 24.9	Notes: TAKEN AT: baseline and end of active treatment (6 weeks) DROPOUTS: Abercarnil: 32/102 (34%), Diazepam: 24/104 (23%), Placebo: 29/104 (28%)	Abercarnil. Mean dose 12mg/day - All medication was supplied in encapsulated tablets. Active capsules contained 2.5mg. Week 1, fixed dosage escalation of up to 1 capsules three times daily. Week 2, dosage could increase depending on response within range of 3-7 capsules per day.  Group 3 N= 104  Diazepam. Mean dose 22mg - All medication was supplied in encapsulated tablets. Active capsules contained 5.0 mg. Week 1, fixed dosage escalation of up to 1 capsules three times daily. Week 2, dosage could increase depending on response within range of 3-7 capsules per day.	
RICKELS2003 Study Type: RCT Blindness: Double blind Duration (days): Mean 56 Setting: Outpatients, 50 sites in US and Canada Notes: RANDOMISATION: no further details Info on Screening Process: 661 eligible, 35 lost to follow up, 10 adverse events, 6 protocol violations, 44 for other reasons	n= 566 Age: Mean 40 Sex: 253 males 313 females Diagnosis:     100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV  Exclusions: - <18 years - HAM-A <20 - HAM-A items 1 and 2 <2 - another other psychiatric condition including MDD - using other psychoactive drugs  Baseline: HAM-A: Placebo 24.4 (3.7) 20mg Parox 24.1 (3.6) 40mg Parox 23.8 (3.4)	Data Used HAMA Adverse events Leaving the study due to adverse events Leaving the study early for any reason Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) Data Not Used Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) - not extractable Notes: Response based on CGI score of 1 or 2.	Group 1 N= 180 Placebo - No details given.  Group 2 N= 197 Paroxetine. Mean dose 40mg - Starting dose 10mg/day, increased 10mg/day each week until reach 40mg  Group 3 N= 188 Paroxetine. Mean dose 20mg - Starting dose 10mg, followed by 20mg at week 2	Funding: GSK. Quality assessed
RICKELS2005  Study Type: RCT  Study Description: 1 week drug-free screening period before 4 weeks of double-blind treatment. This was followed by a 1 week taper period and then 1 week drug-free.  Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF method)  Blindness: Double blind  Duration (days): Mean 28  Setting: Recruited via clinic referrals and from advertisements in the local media. Outpatients. Multicentre: USA.  Notes: RANDOMISATION: ppts were randomised in blocks of 10. No further details. Info on Screening Process: 696 screened: 454 randomised (242 excluded). Reasons for exclusion not provided.	n= 454 Age: Mean 39 Sex: 165 males 289 females Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV  Exclusions: Raskin Depression Scale score >7, being a fertile woman having a positive pregnancy test result, not using a medically accepted contraceptive or currently nursing, a current or past history of bipolar, schizophrenic, schizoaffective, psychotic or factitious disorder and dementia, current but not lifetime MDD, social anxiety disorder, panic disorder with or without agoraphobia, OCD, posttraumatic or acute stress disorders, and eating disorders at the diagnostic threshold but not subthreshold level and alcohol or other substance dependence and/or abuse, positive urine drug screen result, any clinically significant acute or unstable medical condition or clinically significant ECG result or laboratory abnormalities, concurrent psychotherapy for GAD, unless undergoing stable treatment for longer than 3 months, concomitant treatment with a psychotropic medication during the study and for at least 2 weeks before the screening visit, current or past history of a seizure disorder or requiring anticonvulsant therapy for any	Data Used CGI-I HAMA Adverse events Leaving the study due to adverse events Leaving the study early for any reason Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) Notes: Assessments were performed at screening, baseline and at study weeks 1, 2, 3 and 4.	Group 1 N= 91 Placebo - Three treatments a day.  Group 2 N= 91 Pregabalin. Mean dose 300mg/day - Pregabalin was initiated at 300mg/day and kept constant throughout the study. Three treatments a day.  Group 3 N= 89 Pregabalin. Mean dose 600mg/day - Pregabalin was initiated at 300mg/day and titrated to 450mg/day on day 4. Dosage was titrated to 600mg/day on day 7. Three treatments a day.  Group 4 N= 90 Pregabalin. Mean dose 450mg/day - Pregabalin was initiated at 300mg/day and then titrated to 450mg/day on day 4. Three treatments a day.  Group 5 N= 93  Alprazolam. Mean dose 1.5mg/day - Initiated at 0.5mg/day and increased to 1.0mg/day on day 4 and 1.5mg/day on day 7. Three treatments a day.	Funding: Pfizer, Inc. Quality assessed: +.

RYNN2008 Study Type: RCT Study Description: ITT included all randomised participants with at least one postbaseline evaluation. Safety analysis included all randomised participants. Type of Analysis: Double Blind Blindness: ITT Duration (days): Mean 70 Setting: Outpatients, Multicentre trail across USA Notes: RANDOMISATION: procedure not reported Info on Screening Process: 515 patients were evaluated, 188 failed to meet the inclusion criteria	indication, or suicide risk either currently or based on history.  Notes: Diagnosis was based on structured Mini- International Neuropsychiatric Interview. Had HAMA scores >9 and Covi Anxiety Scale scores >9.  Baseline: HAMA at baseline: Pregabalin 300: 25.0 (SE 0.4), Pregabalin 450: 24.6 (SE 0.4), Pregabalin 600: 25.2 (SE 0.4), Alprazolam: 24.9 (SE 0.4) and Placebo: 24.6 (SE 0.4).  n= 327  Age: Mean 42  Sex: 125 males 202 females  Diagnosis: 100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-IV  Exclusions: <18 years - No primary DSM-IV diagnosis of GAD - CGI-S <4 - HADS anxiety subscale <10 - Covia Anxiety score <9 or not greater and then Raskin depression total score. Raskin depression scale item rated >3 - Medical illness that would contraindicate use of duloxetine - Women of childbearing age not using adequate contraception - recent diagnosis of depression or substance abuse/depence - past year history of panic disorder, PTSD or eating disorder	Data Used Q-LES-Q-SF Response (50% reduction in HAMA score) Remission (less than 7 on HAMA) Leaving the study early for any reason PGI-I Leaving the study due to adverse events Significant improvement (30% reduction) EQ-5D CGI-I Leaving the study due to inefficacy Serious Adverse events Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS) Visual Analog Scale (VAS) Adverse events HAMA Discontinuation adverse events (DAEs) Notes: TAKEN AT: Baseline and endopint	Group 1 N= 168  Duloxetine. Mean dose 101.94mg/d - Participants were started with 60mg/d, if there were tolerability concerns this was lowered to 30mg/d. Bt week 2 all patients were required to take a minimum of 60mg/d. Patient doses were progressively titrated if the CGI rating was >=3 up to max of 120mg  Group 2 N= 159 Placebo	Drug compnay funded. Eli Lilly trial 6089. NCT00475969 - trial report collected All participants underwent a single-blind placebo lead-in week, 10 week acute phase and a 2 week discontinuation tapering phase Quality Assessment Score = + / ++
	past year history of panic disorder, PTSD or eating disorder     past year history of psychotic, bipolar, OCD or psychosis     lack of response of GAD to 2 prior adequate trails of antidepressants or benzodiazepine treatments     psychotherapy iniated 6 weeks prior to study enrollment  Baseline: HAMA: Duloxetine 22.6(7.4) Placebo 23.5(7.9)	Notes: TAKEN AT: Baseline and endpoint DROP OUT: Duolxetine: 75/168 (44.6%), Placebo 50/159 (31.4%)		
Results from this paper:				
SRAMEK1996				
Study Type: RCT	- n= 162	Data Used	Group 1 N= 82	Funding: Bristol-Myers
Study Description: Placebo for 7-10 days. Randomised to receive either buspirone or placebo for 6 weeks.  Type of Analysis: LOCF method (completed >2 weeks treatment)  Blindness: Double blind  Duration (days): Mean 42  Setting: Multicentre: USA.  Notes: RANDOMISATION: no details provided.  Info on Screening Process: 222 patients entered study: 60 dropped out. 34 didn't meet study inclusion criteria.	Age: Mean 38  Sex: 72 males 90 females  Diagnosis:  100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-III-R  Exclusions: Pregnant or lactating, DSM-III-R diagnosis of MDD, a concurrent DSM-III-R Axis I disorder, a history of two or more panic attacks within 4 weeks of the beginning of screening, score of 3 or more on the suicide item of the HAM-D scale, used benzodiazepines for 14 days or more in the last 2 months or an investigational drug within the past month, received ECT within the last 3 months or treatment with other psychotropics in the previous month. Clinically significant and/or uncontrolled medical conditions, positive urine drug screen, current or recent history of drug or alcohol	CGI-I	Placebo - No details.  Group 2 N= 80  Buspirone - Titrated from an initial dosage of 5mg t.i.d. to 10 mg t.i.d. over first week. Dosage increased by 5mg/day every 2-3 days. After 2 weeks of maintenance at 10mg t.i.d., those who didn't show an improvement were titrated to 15mg t.i.d. over next 7 days.	Squibb Pharmaceutical Research Institute. Quality assessed: +.
	abuse.  Notes: HAM-A score >=18, score of 2 or 3 on the 'depressed mood' item of the HAM-A scale, scored of >=2 on the 'anxious mood' and 'tension' items on the HAM-A. HAM-D score between 12 and 15. Covi > Raskin.			

Baseline: HAM-A at baseline. Buspirone: 24.9 (4.2) and Placebo: 25.6 (4.4).	

## **Characteristics of Excluded Studies**

Reference ID Reason for Exclusion

no extractable data

ANSSEAU1984 pre-DSM-III-R diagnosis ANSSEAU1985 pre DSM-III-R diagnosis

**BJERRUM1992** DSM-III diagnosis

BLANK2006 no comparator

BOND2002 Combination treatment
BORAL1986 DSM-III diagnosis

**BORISON1990** N<10 in each treatment arm

BOYER1993 DSM-III diagnosis
BRAMANTI1990 not double blind

**BRESOLIN1988** pre DSM-III-R diagnosis

BRESSA1987 DSM-III diagnosis
BUCHSBAUM1985 DSM-III diagnosis
BUCHSBAUM1987 DSM-III diagnosis

BYSTRITSKY1991 N<10

CASTILLO1988 DSM-III diagnosis
CEPHALON2006A open label study
CEULEMANS1985 DSM-III diagnosis

COHN1986B Diagnosis pre-DSM-III-R CUTLER1993A Pre DSM-III-R diagnosis

CUTLER1994 DSM-III

**ENKELMANN1991** DSM-III diagnosis **FEIGHNER1982** DSM-III diagnosis

FONTAINE1983 pre DSM-III-R diagnosis

FONTAINE1984 DSM-III diagnosis
FONTAINE1986 DSM-III diagnosis
FONTAINE1987 DSM-III diagnosis
FONTAINE1990 DSM-III diagnosis
FONTAINE1993 DSM-III diagnosis
GINSBERG1929 no comparator
HOEHNSARIC1988 DSM-III diagnosis

HOGE2008 open label

JACOBSON1985 DSM-III diagnosis KIM2006c Design: open label

**KINRYS2002** N < 10

KRAGHSORENSEN1990DSM-III diagnosisLAPIERRE1982ADSM-III diagnosisLAPIERRE1983ADSM-III diagnosisLINDSAY1987pre DSM-III-R diagnosis

DSM-III diagnosis MANDOS1995 MATHEW2005 open label study MATHEW2008 open label study DSM-III diagnosis **MENDELS1986** MENZA2007 open label trial MOKHBER2010 not double blind MORTON1992A DSM-III diagnosis MURPHY1989 DSM-III diagnosis not relevant intervention NAUKKARINEN2005

PANGALILARATU1988 DSM-III diagnosis
PETT1986 DSM-III diagnosis
PETRACCA1990 DSM-III diagnosis
POMARA2005 DSM-III diagnosis
POURMOTABBED1996 one group n<10

POWER1985 pre DSM-III-R diagnosis
POWER1989 pre DSM-III-R diagnosis
POWER1990 DSM-III diagnosis
POWER1990A DSM-III diagnosis
RAMCHANDRAN1990 DSM-III diagnosis
RAPAPORT2006 open label study
REALINI1990 DSM-III diagnosis

RICKELS1972 pre DSM-III-R diagnosis
RICKELS1993 DSM-III diagnosis
RICKELS1997 DSM-III diagnosis
ROCCA1997 open label study

**ROLLAND2002** n < 10 per treatment group

ROSENTHAL2003 open label study
SACCHETTI1994 DSM-III diagnosis
SHAH1990 DSM-III diagnosis
SHAH1991 DSM-III diagnosis
SIMON2006A no comparator
SPENARD1988 DSM-III diagnosis

 SPRATLIN2003
 not an RCT

 SRAMEK1996A
 n <10 per arm</th>

 STRAND1990
 pre DSM-III-R

 TSUKAMOTO2004
 open label study

 WILCOX1994
 one group n<10</th>

WINGERSON1992 not RCT
WURTHMAN2006 not RCT
WURTHMANN2006 no comparator

# **References of Included Studies**

**ALLGULANDER2001** (Published Data Only)

Allgulander, C., Hackett, D. & Salinas, E. (2001) Venlafaxine extended release (ER) in the treatment of generalised anxiety disorder. British Journal of Psychiatry, 179, 15-22.

## **ALLGULANDER2004** (Published Data Only)

Allgulander, C., Dahl A.A., & Austin, C. (2004) Efficacy of sertraline in a 12-week trial for generalized anxiety disorder. American Journal of Psychiatry, 161, 1642-1649.

Dahl, A.A., Ravindran, A., Allgulander, C., Kutcher, S.P., Austin, C., & Burt, T. (2005) Sertraline in generalized anxiety disorder: efficacy in treating the psychic and somatic anxiety factors. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 111, 429-435.

Steiner, M., Allgulander, C., Ravindran, A., Kosar, H., Burt, T., & Austin, C. (2005). Gender differences in clinical presentation and response to sertraline treatment of generalised anxiety disorder. Human Psychopharmacology, 20, 3-13.

## **ANDREATINI2002** (Published Data Only)

Andreatini, R., Sartori, V.A., Seabra, M.L.V. & Leite, J.R. (2002) Effect of Valepotriates (Valerian Extract) in generalized anxiety disorder: a randomized placebo-controlled study. Phytotherapy Research, 16, 650-654.

## ANSSEAU2001 (Published Data Only)

Ansseau, M., Olie, J-P., von Frenckell, R., Jourdain, G., Stehle, B., & Guillet, P. (2001) Controlled comparison of the efficacy and safety of four doses of suriclone, diazepam, and placebo in generalized anxiety disorder. Psychopharmacology, 104, 439-443.

## **ASTRAZENECA2007A** (Published Data Only)

AstraZeneca (2007) An international, multi-center, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled, active-controlled study of the efficacy and safety of sustained-release quetiapine fumarate (Seroquel SR) in the treatment of Generalized Anxiety Disorder (SILVER Study).

## **ASTRAZENECA2007B** (Published Data Only)

AstraZeneca (2007b) A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled, active-controlled study of the efficacy and safety of sustained-release quetiapine fumarate (Seroquel) compared with placebo in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder (Gold Study).

## **ASTRAZENECA2007C** (Published Data Only)

AstraZeneca (2007c) A multi-center, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled, study of the efficacy and safety of sustained-release quetiapine fumarate (Seroquel) compared with placebo in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder (Titanium Study)

#### **ASTRAZENECA2008** (Published Data Only)

Astra Zeneca (2008) A multi-center, double-blind, randomized, parallel-group, placebo-controlled phase III study of the efficacy and safety of quetiapine fumarate extended-release (Seroquel XR) as monotherapy in the treatment of elderly patients with generalized anxiety disorder (CHROMIUM STUDY)

## **BALDWIN2006** (Published Data Only)

Baldwin, D.S., Huusom, A.K.T. & Maehlum, E. (2006) Escitalopram and paroxetine in the treatment of generalised anxiety disorder: randomised, placebo-controlled, doube-blind study. British Journal of Psychiatry, 189, 264-272.

## **BALL2005** (Published Data Only)

Ball, S.G., Kuhn, A., Wall, D. et al. (2005) Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor treatment for generalized anxiety disorder: a double-blind, prospective comparison between paroxetine and sertraline. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 66, 94-99.

## BIELSKI2005 (Published Data Only)

Bielski, R.J., Bose, A., Chang, C-C. (2005) A double-blind comparison of escitalopram and paroxetine in the long-term treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. Annals of Clinical Psychiatry, 17, 65-69.

## **BOSE2008** (Published Data Only)

Bose, A., Korotzer, A., Gommoll, C., & Li, D. (2008) Randomized placebo-controlled trial of escitalopram and venlafaxine xr in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. Depression and Anxiety, 25, 854-861.

## **BOURIN1992** (Published Data Only)

Bourin, M., & Malinge, M. (1995) Controlled comparison of the effects and abrupt discontinuation of buspirone and lorazepam. Progres in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry, 19, 567-575.

### BRAWMAN-MINTZER2006 (Published Data Only)

Brawman-Mintzer, O., Knapp, R.G., Rynn, M. et al. (2006) Sertraline treatment for generalized anxiety disorder: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 67, 874-881.

## CUTLER1993A (Published Data Only)

Cutler, N.R. Sramek, J.J., Wardle, T.S., Keppel Hesslink, J.M. & Roeschen, J.K. (1993) The saefty and efficacy of Ipsapirone vs. Lorazepam in outpatients with generalized anxiety disorder (GAD): Single site findings from a multicenter trial. Psychopharmacology Bulletin, 29, 2 303-308

## **DARCIS1995** (Published Data Only)

Darcis, T., Ferreri, M., Natens, J., et al. (1995) A multicentre double-blind placebo-controlled study investigating the anxiolytic efficacy of hydroxyzine in patients with generalized anxiety. Human Psychopharmacology, 10, 181-187.

## **DAVIDSON1999** (Published Data Only)

Davidson, J.R.T., DuPont, R.L., Hedges, D. et al. (1999) Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of venlafaxine extended release and buspirone in outpatients with generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 60, 528-535.

## **DAVIDSON2004** (Published Data Only)

Davidson, J.R.T., Bose, A., Korotzer, A. et al. (2004) Escitalopram in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: double-blind, placebo controlled flexible-dose study. Depression and Anxiety, 19, 234-240.

## **FELTNER2003** (Published Data Only)

Feltner, D.E., Crockatt, J.G., Dubovsky, S.J. et al. 2003 A randomized, double0blind, placebo-controlled, fixed-dose, multicentre study of Pregabalin in patients with geralized anxiety disorder. Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology, 23, 240-249

## FRESQUET2000 (Published Data Only)

Fresquet, A., Sust, M., Lloret, A., Murphy, M.F., Carter, F.J., Campbell, G.M., & Marion-Landais, G. (2000) Efficacy and safety of lesopitron in outpatients with generalized anxiety disorder. The Annals of Pharmacotherapy, 34, 147-153.

## **GELENBERG2000** (Published Data Only)

Gelenberg, A.J., Lydiard, B., Rudolph, R.L., et al. (2000) Efficacy of venlafaxine extended-release capsules in nondepressed outpatients with generalized anxiety disorder: a 6-month renadomized controlled trial. JAMA, 283, 3082-3088.

# **GOODMAN2005** (Published Data Only)

Goodman, W.K., Bose, A., & Wang, Q. (2005) Treatment of generalized anxiety disorder with escitalopram: Pooled results from double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. Journal of Affective Disorders, 87, 161-167.

# **GSK2002** (Unpublished Data Only)

GSK (2002) A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, flexible dosage trial to evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of paroxetine CR in patients with Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD). Unpublished.

## **GSK2005** (Unpublished Data Only)

GSK. (2005) Clinical evaluation of BRL29060A (Paroxetine Hydrocholordie Hydrate) in Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD): A double-blind, placebo-controlled, comparative study. Unpublished.

# HACKETT2003 (Published Data Only)

Hackett, D., Haudiquet, V., Salinas, E. (2003) A method for controlling for a high placebo response rate in a comparison of venlafaxine XR and diazepam in the short term treatment of patients with generalised anxiety disorder. European Psychiatry, 18, 182-187.

## **HARTFORD2007** (Published Data Only)

Hartford, J., Kornstein, S., Liebowitz, M. et al. (2007) Duloxetine as an SNRI treatment for genralized anxiety disorder: results from a placebo and active-controlled trial. International Clinical Psychopharmacology, 22, 167-174

## **HEWETT2001** (Unpublished Data Only)

Hewett, K., et al. (2001). A double-blind, placebo controlled study to evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of paroxetine in patients with Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD). Unpublished.

### **KASPER2009** (Published Data Only)

Kasper, S., Herman, B., Nivoli, G. et al. (2009) Efficacy of pregabalin and venlafaxine-XR in generalized anxiety disorder: results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled 8-week trial. International Clinical Psychopharmacology, 24, 87-96.

## **KOPONEN2007** (Published Data Only)

Koponen, H., Allgulanderm C., Erickson, J., et al. (2007) Efficacy of Duloxetine for the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: Implications for primary care physicians. Primary Care Companion yo the Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 9, 100-107.

## LADER1998 (Published Data Only)

Lader, M., & Scotto, J-C. (1998) A multicentre double-blind comparison of hydroxyzine, buspirone and placebo in patients with generalised anxiety disorder. Psychopharmacology, 139, 402-406.

# **LENOXSMITH2003** (Published Data Only)

Lennox-Smith, A.J. & Reynolds, A. (2003) A double-blind, randomised, placebo controlled study of venlafaxine XL in patients with generalised anxiety disorder in primary care. British Journal of General Practice, 53, 772-777.

**LENZE2005** (Published Data Only)

Lenze, E.J., Mulsant, B.H., Shear, M.K. et al. (2005) Efficacy and tolerability of citalopram in the treatment of late-life anxiety. American Journal of Psychiatry, 162, 146-150.

**LENZE2009** (Published Data Only)

Lenze, E.J., Rollman, B.L., Shear, M.K., et al. (2009) Escitalopram for older adults with generalised anxiety disorder: a randomised controlled trial. JAMA, 301, 295-303.

**LLORCA2002** (Published Data Only)

Llorca, P-M., Spadone, C., Sol, O., Danniau, A., Bougerol, T., Corruble, E., Faruch, M., Macher, J-P., Sermet, E., & Servant, D. (2002) Efficacy and safety of hydroxyzine in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: a 3-month double-blind study.

LYDIARD1997 (Published Data Only)

Lydiard, R.B., Ballenger, J.C., & Rickels, K. (1997) A double-blind evluation of the safety and efficacy of abecarnil, alprazolam and placebo in outpatients with generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 58 (suppl. 1), 11-18.

MAJERCSIK2003 (Published Data Only)

Majercsik, E., Haller, J., Leveleki, C. et al. (2003) The effect of social factors on the anxiolytic efficacy of buspirone in male rats, male mice and men. Progress in Neuro-pharmacology and Biological Psychiatry, 27, 1187-1199.

MCLEOD1992 (Published Data Only)

McLeod, D.R., Hoehn-Saric, R., Porges, S.W., et al. (1992) Effects of alprazolam and imipramine on parasympathetic cardiac control in patients with generalized anxiety disorder. Psychopharmacology, 107, 535-540.

MOLLER2001 (Published Data Only)

Moller, H-J., Volz, H.-P., Reimann, I.W. et al. (2001). Opipramol for the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: A placebo-controlled trial including an Alprazolam treated group. Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology, 21, 1, 59-65.

MONTGOMERY2006 (Published Data Only)

Montgomery, S.A, Tobias, K., Zornberg, G.L., Kasper, S. & Pande, A.C. (2006) Efficacy and safety of Pregabalin in the treatment of Generalized Anxiety Disorder: A 6-week, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled comparison of Pregabalin and Venlafaxine. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 67, 771-782.

MONTGOMERY2008 (Published Data Only)

Montgomery, S., Chatamra, K., Pauer, L., Whalen, E., & Baldinetti, F. (2008) Efficacy and safety of pregabalin in elderly people with generalised anxiety disorder. The British Journal of Psychiatry, 193, 389-394.

NICOLINI2009 (Published Data Only)

Nicolini, H., Bakish, D., Duenas, H. et al. (2009) Improvement of psychic and somatic symptoms in adult patients with generalized anxiety disorder: examination from a duloxetine, venlafaxine extended-release and placebo-controlled trial. Psychological Medicine, 39, 267-276

NIMATOUDIS2004 (Published Data Only)

Nimatoudis, I., Zissis, N.P., Kogeorgos, J., Theodoropoulou, S., Vidalis, A., & Kaprinis, G. (2004) Remission rates with venlafaxine extended release in Greek outpatients with generalized anxiety disorder. A double-blind, randomized, placebo controlled study. International Clinical Psychopharmacology, 19, 331-336.

PANDE2003 (Published Data Only)

Pande, A.C., Crockatt, J.G., Feltner, D.E., Janney, C.A., Smith, W.T., Weisler, R., Londborg, P.D., Bielski, R.J., Zimbroff, D.L., Davidson, J.R.T., & Liu--Dumaw, M. (2003) Pregabalin in generalized anxiety disorder: a placebo-controlled trial. American Journal of Psychiatry, 160, 533-540.

**PFIZER2005** (Unpublished Data Only)

EMEA 2006, European assessment report: LYRICA. London: EMEA.

**PFIZER2008** (Unpublished Data Only)

Pfizer (2008) A 4-week, double-blind, randomized, multicenter, fixed dose, placebo-controlled, parallel group study of lorazepam and paroxetine in patients with generalized anxiety disorder: Assessment of a new instrument intended to capture rapid onset. Unpublished manuscript

POHL2005 (Published Data Only)

Pohl, R.B., Feltner, D.E., Fieve, R.R. & Pande, A.C. (2005) Efficacy of pregabalin in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. Double-blind, placebo-controlled comparison of BID versus TID dosing. Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology, 25, 151-158.

POLLACK1997 (Published Data Only)

Pollack, M.H., Worthington, J.J., Manfro, G.G., Otto, M.W., & Zucker, B.G. (1997) Abecarnil for the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: a placebo-controlled comparison of two dosage ranges of abecarnil and buspirone. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 58 (Suppl. 11), 19-23.

POLLACK2001 (Published Data Only)

McCafferty, J.P., Bellew, K., Zanelli, R., Iyengar, M. & Hewett, K. Paroxetine is effective in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: Results from a randomized, placebo-controlled flexible dose study. MISSING DATA PUBLISHING INFO.

\*Pollack, M.H., Zanelli, R., Goddard, A. et al. (2001) Paroxetine in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: Results of a placebo-controlled, flexible-dosage trial. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 62, 350-357.

RICKELS2000A (Published Data Only)

\*Rickels, K., Pollack, M.H., Sheehan, D.V. et al. (2000) Efficacy of extended-release venlafaxine in nondepressed outpatients with generalized anxiety disorder. American Journal of Psychiatry, 157, 968-974.

Sontheimer, D., & Ables, A. (2000) Is imipramine or buspirone treatment effective in patients wishing to discontinue long-term benzodiazepine use? The Journal of Family Practice, 50, INCOMPLETE.

RICKELS2000B (Published Data Only)

Rickels, K., DeMartinis, N. & Aufdembrinke, B. (2000) A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of abercarnil and diazepam in the tretment of patients with generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology, 20, 12-18.

RICKELS2003 (Published Data Only)

Rickels, K., Zaninelli, R., McCafferty, J. et al. (2003) Paroxetine treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. American Journal of Psychiatry, 160, 749-756.

RICKELS2005 (Published Data Only)

Rickels, K., Pollack, M.H., Feltner, D.E., Lydiard, B., Zimbroff, D.L., Bielski, R.J., Tobias, K., Brock, J.D., Zornberg, G.L., & Pande, A.C. (2005) Pregabalin for treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. A 4-week, multi-center, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of pregabalin and alprazolam. Archives of General Psychiatry, 62, 1022-1030.

RYNN2008 (Published Data Only)

Rynn, M., Russell, J., Erickson, J. et al. (2008) Efficacy and safety of duloxetine in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: A flaxible-dose, progressive-titration, placebo-controlled trial. Depression and Anxiety, 25, 182-189

**SRAMEK1996** (Published Data Only)

Sramek, J.J., Tansman, M., Suri, A., Hornig-Rohan, M., Amsterdam, J.D., Stahl, S.M., Weisler, R.H., & Cutler, N.R. (1996) Efficacy of buspirone in generalised anxiety disorder with coexisting mild depressive symptoms. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 57, 287-291.

## References of Excluded Studies

(Unpublished Data Only)

Pfizer. (2008). A 4-week, double blind, randomized, multicenter, fixed dose, placebo-controlled, parallel group study of lorazepam and paroxetine in patients with generalized anxiety disorder. Unpublished.

ANSSEAU1984 (Published Data Only)

Ansseau, M., Doumont, A., von Frenckell, R., & Collard, J. (1984) Duration of benzodiazepine clinical activity: Lack of direct relationship with plasma half-life. Psychopharmacology, 84, 293-298.

ANSSEAU1985 (Published Data Only)

Ansseau, M., Doumont, A., Thiry, D., von Frenckell, R., & Collard, J. (1985) Initial study of methylclonazepam in generalized anxiety disorder. Evidence for greater power in the cross-over design. Psychopharmacology, 87, 130-135.

BJERRUM1992 (Published Data Only)

Bjerrum, H., Allerup, P., Thunedborg, K., et al. (1992) Treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: Comparison of a new beta-blocking drug (CGP 361A), low-dose neuroleptic (flupenthixol) and placebo. Pharmacopsychiatry, 25,

**BLANK2006** (Published Data Only)

Blank, S., Lenze, E.J., Mulsant, B.H., Dew, M.A., Karp, J.F., Shear, M.K., Houck, P.R., Miller, M.D., Pollock, B.G., Tracey, B., & Reynolds III, C.F. (2006) Outcomes of late-life anxiety disorders during 32 weeks of citalopram treatment. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 67, 468-472.

**BOND2002** (Published Data Only)

Bond, A.J., Wingrove, J., Curran, H.V., et al. (2002) Treatment of generalised anxiety disorder with a short course of psychological therapy, combined with busprione or placebo. Journal of Affective Disorders, 72, 267-271.

BORAL1986 (Published Data Only)

Boral, G.C., Bandopadhaya, G., Oke, V.G., et al. (1989) Double-blind, randomized clinical evaluation of busprione and diazepam in generalized anxiety disorders. Advances in Therapy, 6, 112-124.

BORISON1990 (Published Data Only)

Borison, R.L., Albrecht, J.W. & Diamond, B.I. (1990) Efficacy and safety of a putative anxiolytic agent: Ipsapirone. Psychopharmacologuy Bulletin, 26, 2, 207-210

BOYER1993 (Published Data Only)

Boyer, W.F., & Feighner, J.P. (1993) A placebo-controlled double-blind multicenter trial of two doses of ipsapirone versus diazepam in generalized anxiety disorder. International Clinical Psychopharmacology, 8, 173-176.

**BRAMANTI1990** (Published Data Only)

Bramanti, P., Ricci, R.M., Rifici, C., Ecari, U., & Di Perri, R. (1990) Etizolam: A controlled study versus alprazolam in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder with minor associated depressive symptoms. Current Therapeutic Research, 48, 369-377.

**BRESOLIN1988** (Published Data Only)

Bresolin, N., Monza, G., Scarpini, E., et al. (1988) Treatment of anxiety with ketazolam in elderly patients. Clinical Therapeutics, 10, 536-542.

BRESSA1987 (Published Data Only)

Bressa, G.M., Marini, S., & Gregori, S. (1987) Serotonin S2 receptros blockade and generalized anxiety disorders. A double-blind study on ritanserin and lorazepam. International Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 2, 111-119.

BUCHSBAUM1985 (Published Data Only)

Buchsbaum, M.S., Hazlett, E., Sicotte, N., Stein, M., Wu, J., & Zetin, M. (1985) Topographic EEG changes with benzodiazepine administration in generalized anxiety disorder. Biological Psychiatry, 20, 832-842.

BUCHSBAUM1987 (Published Data Only)

Buchsbaum, M.S., Wu, J., Haier, R., Hazlett, E., Ball, R., Katz, M., Sokolski, K., Lagunas-Solar, M., & Langer, D. (1987) Positron Emission Tomography assessment of effects of benzodiazepines on regional glucose metabolic rate in patients with anxiety disorder. Life Sciences, 40, 2393-2400.

BYSTRITSKY1991 (Published Data Only)

Bystritsky, A., & Pasnau, R. (1991) Switching from alprazolam to buspirone. Journal of Psychopharmacology, 11, 219-220.

CASTILLO1988 (Published Data Only)

Castillo, A., Sotillo, C., & Mariategui, J. (1988) Alprazolam compared to clobazam and placebo in anxious outpatients. Neuropsychobiology, 18,

**CEPHALON2006A** (Unpublished Data Only)

Cephaon (2006) A 12-month, open-label, flexible-dosage study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of Gabitril treatment in adults with generalized anxiety disorder.

**CEULEMANS1985** (Published Data Only)

Ceulemans, D.L., Hoppenbrouwers, M.L., Gelders, Y.G., et al. (1985) The influence of ritanserin, a serotonin antagonist, in anxiety disorders: a double-blind placebo-controlled study versus lorazepam. Pharmacopsychiatry, 18, 303-305.

COHN1986B (Published Data Only)

Cohn, J.B. & Wilcox, C.S. (1986) Low-sedation potential of buspirone compared with alprazolam and lorazepam in the treatment of anxious patients: A double-blind study. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 47,

CUTLER1993A (Published Data Only)

Cutler, N.R. Sramek, J.J., Wardle, T.S., Keppel Hesslink, J.M. & Roeschen, J.K. (1993) The saefty and efficacy of Ipsapirone vs. Lorazepam in outpatients with generalized anxiety disorder (GAD): Single site findings from a multicenter trial. Psychopharmacology Bulletin, 29, 2 303-308

CUTLER1994 (Published Data Only)

Cutler, N.R., Hesselink, J.M.K., & Sramek, J.J. (1994) A phase II multicenter dose-finding, efficacy and safety trial of ipsapirone in outpatients with generalized anxiety disorder. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry, 18, 447-463.

**ENKELMANN1991** (Published Data Only)

Enkelmann, R. (1991) Alprazolam versus buspirone in the treatment of outpatients with generalized anxiety disorder. Psychopharmacology, 105, 428-432.

**FEIGHNER1982** (Published Data Only)

Feighner, J.P., Merideth, C.H., & Hendrickson, G.A. (1982) A double-blind comparison of busprione and diazepam in outpatients with generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 43, 103-107.

**FONTAINE1983** (Published Data Only)

Fontaine, R., Annable, L., Chouinard, G et al. (1983) Bromazepam and Diazepam in generalized anxiety: A placebo-controlled study with measurement of drug plasma concentrations. Journal of clinical Psychopharmacology, 3, 80 - 87.

**FONTAINE1984** (Published Data Only)

Fontaine, R., Chouinard, G., & Annable, L. (1984) Bromazepam and diazepam in generalized anxiety: a placebo-controlled study of efficacy and withdrawal. Psychopharmacology Bulletin, 126-127.

**FONTAINE1986** (Published Data Only)

Fontaine, R., Mercier, P., & Beaudry, P. (1986) Bromazepam and lorazepam in generalized anxiety: A placebo-controlled study with measurement of drug plasma concentrations. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 74, 451-458.

**FONTAINE1987** (Published Data Only)

Fontaine, R., Beaudry, P., Beau

**FONTAINE1990** (Published Data Only)

Fontaine, R., Beaudry, P., Le Morvan, P., Beauclair, L., & Chouinard, G. (1990) Zopiclone and triazolam in insomnia associated with generalized anxiety disorder: a placebo-controlled evaluation of efficacy and daytime anxiety. International Clinical Psychopharmacology, 5, 173-183.

**FONTAINE1993** (Published Data Only)

Fontaine, R., & Napoliello, M.J. (1993) Double-blind comparison of buspirone 10mg bid versus buspirone 5mg tid in generalized anxiety disorder. Current Therapeutic Research, 54, 254-261.

**GINSBERG1929** (Published Data Only)

Ginsberg, D. (2005) Ziprasidone for treatment-resistant generalized anxiety disorder. Primary Psychiatry, 12,

**HOEHNSARIC1988** (Published Data Only)

Hoehn-Saric, R., McLeod, D.R., & Zimmerli, W.D. (1988) Differential effects of Alprazolam and Imipramine in generalized anxiety disorder: Somatic versus psychic symptoms. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 49, 293-301.

**HOGE2008** (Published Data Only)

Hoge, E.A., Worthington III., J.J., Kaufman, R.E., Delong, H.R., Pollack, M.H., & Simon, N.M. (2008) Aripiprazole as augmentation treatment of refractory generalized anxiety disorder and panic disorder. CNS Spectrums, 13, 522-527.

JACOBSON1985 (Published Data Only)

Jacobson, A.F., Dominguez, R.D., Goldstein, B.J., et al. (1985) Comparison of buspirone and diazepam in generalized anxiety disorder. Pharmacotherapy, 5,

**KIM2006c** (Published Data Only)

Kim, T-S, Pae, C-U, Yoon, S-J. et al. (2006) Comparison of venlafaxine extended release versus paroxetine for treatment of patients with generalized anxiety disorder. Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences, 60, 347-351.

KINRYS2002 (Published Data Only)

Kinrys, G., Nicolaou, D.C., Simon, N.M., Worthington J.J., & Pollack, M.H. (2002) Adjunctive olanzapine for treatment refractory generalized anxiety disorder: an interim analysis. International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology, 5, S214.

KRAGHSORENSEN1990 (Published Data Only)

\*Kragh-Sorensen, P., Holm, P., Fynboe, C., Schaumburg, E., Andersen, B., Bech, P., & Pichard, J. (1990) Bromazepam in generalized anxiety: Randomized, multi-practice comparisons with both chlorprothixene and placebo. Psychopharmacology, 100, 383-386.

james.chester.master@etlsystems.com

LAPIERRE1982A (Published Data Only)

LaPierre, Y.D., Tremblay, A., Gagnon, A., Monpremier, P., Berliss, H., & Oyewumi, L.K. (1982) A therapeutic and discontinuation study of clobazam and diazepam in anxiety neurosis. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 43, 372-374.

LAPIERRE1983A (Published Data Only)

Lapierre, Y.D., Butter, H.J., & Oyewumi, L.K. (1983) Benzodiazepine effect on information processing in generalized anxiety disorder. Neuropsychobiology, 9,

LINDSAY1987 (Published Data Only)

Lindsay, W.R., Gamsu, C.V., McLaughlin, E., et al. (1987) A controlled trial of treatments for generalized anxiety. British Journal of Clinical Psychology, 26, 3-15.

MANDOS1995 (Published Data Only)

Mandos, L.A., Rickels, K., Cutler, N., Roeschen, J., Keppel Hesselink, J.M., & Schweizer, E. (1995) Placebo-controlled comparison of the clinical effects of rapid discontinuation of ipsapirone and lorazepam after 8 weeks of treatment for generalized anxiety disorder. International Clinical Psychopharmacology, 10, 251-256.

MATHEW2005 (Published Data Only)

Mathew, S.J., Amiel, J.M., Coplan, J.D., et al. (2005) Open-label trial of riluzole in generalized anxiety disorder. American Journal of Psychiatry, 162, 2379-2381.

MATHEW2008 (Published Data Only)

Mathew, S.J., Garakani, A., Reinhard, J.F., et al. (2008) Short-term tolerability of a nonazapirone selective serotonin 1A agonist in adults with generalized anxiety disorder: a 28-day, open-label study. Clinical Therapeutics, 30, 1658-1666.

MENDELS1986 (Published Data Only)

Mendels, J., Krajewski, T.F., & Huffer, V. (1986) Effective short-term treatment of generalized anxiety disorder with trifluoperazine. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 47,

MENZA2007 (Published Data Only)

Menza, M.A., Dobkin, R.D., & Marin, H. (2007) An open-label trial of aripiprazole augmentation for treatment-resistant generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology, 27,

MOKHBER2010 (Published Data Only)

Mokhber, N., Azarpazhooh, M. R., Khajehdaluee, M., Velayati, A., & Hopwood, M. (2010). Randomized, single-blind, trial of sertraline and buspirone for treatment of elderly patients with generalized anxiety disorder. Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences., 64,

MORTON1992A (Published Data Only)

Morton, S. & Lader, M. (1992) Alpidem and lorazepam in the treatment of patients with anxiety disorders: comparison of physiological and psychological effects. Pharmacopsychiatry, 25, 177-181.

MURPHY1989 (Published Data Only)

Murphy, S.M., Owen, R., & Tyrer, P. (1989) Comparative assessment of efficacy and withdrawal symptoms after 6 and 12 weeks' treatment with diazepam or buspirone. British Journal of Psychiatry, 154, 529-534.

NAUKKARINEN2005 (Published Data Only)

Naukkarinen, H., Raassina, R., Penttinen, J., Ahokas, A., Jokinen, R., Koponen, H., Lepola, U., Kanerva, H., Lehtonen, L., Pohjalainen, T., Partanen, A., Maki-Ikola, O., & Rouru, J. (2005) Deramciclane in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: A placebo-controlled, double-blind, dose-finding study. European Neuropsychopharmacology, 15, 617-623.

PANGALILARATU1988 (Published Data Only)

Pangalila-Ratu Langi, E.A., & Jansen, A.A.I. (1988) Ritanserin in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorders: a placebo-controlled trial. Human Psychopharmacology, 3, 207-212.

PEET1986 (Published Data Only)

Peet, M., & Ali, S. (1986) Propranolol and atenolol in the treatment of anxiety. International Clinical Psychopharmacology, 1, 314-319.

PETRACCA1990 (Published Data Only)

Petracca, A., Nisita, C., McNair, D., Melis, G., Guerani, G., & Cassano, G.B. (1990) Treatment of Generalized Anxiety Disorder: Preliminary clinical experience with buspirone. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 51 (suppl.), 31-39.

POMARA2005 (Published Data Only)

Pomara, N., Willoughby, L.M., Sidtis, J.J., Cooper, T.B., & Greenblatt, D.J. (2005) Cortisol response to diazepam: its relationship to age, dose, duration of treatment, and presence of generalized anxiety disorder. Psychopharmacology, 178, 1-8.

POURMOTABBED1996 (Published Data Only)

Pourmotabbed, T., Mcleod, D., Hoehn-Saric, R., Hipsley, P., & Greenblatt, D. (1996) Treatment, discontinuation and psychomotor effects of diazepam in women with generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology, 16, 202-207.

POWER1985 (Published Data Only)

Power, K.G., Jerrom, D.W.A., Simpson, R.J., & Mitchell, M. (1985) Controlled study of withdrawal symptoms and rebound anxiety after six week course of diazepam for generalised anxiety. British Medical Journal, 290, 1246-1248.

POWER1989 (Published Data Only)

Power, K.G., Jerrom, W.A., Simpson, R.J., Mitchell, M.J., & Swanson, V. (1989) A controlled comparison of cognitive-behaviour therapy, diazepam and placebo in the management of generalized anxiety.

#### POWER1990 (Published Data Only)

Power, K.G., Simpson, R.J., Swanson, V., & Wallace, L.A. (1990) Controlled comparison of pharmacological and psychological treatment of generalized anxiety disorder in primary care. British Journal of General Practice, 40, 289-294.

## POWER1990A (Published Data Only)

Power, K. G., Simpson, R. J., Swanson, V., & Wallace, L. A. (1990). Controlled comparison of pharmacological and psychological treatment of generalized anxiety disorder in primary care. British Journal of General Practice., 40, 289-294.

Power, K.G., Simpson, R.J., Swanson, V., & Wallace, L.A. (1990) A controlled comparison of cognitive-behaviour therapy, diazepam, and placebo, alone and in combination, for the treatment of generalised anxiety disorder. Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 4, 267-292.

## RAMCHANDRAN1990 (Published Data Only)

Ramchandran, V., Thirunavukarasu, M., Oke, V.G., Jha, R.J., Navani, S.R., Bowalekar, S.K., & Raghu, C.N. (1990) Comparative clinical evaluation of buspirone and diazepam in generalized anxiety disorders. Current Therapeutic Research, 47, 502-510.

## RAPAPORT2006 (Published Data Only)

Rapaport, M.H., Skarky, S.B., Katzelnick, D.J., DeWester, J.N., Harper, J.M., & McCrary, K.E. (2006) Time to response in generalized anxiety disorder in a naturalistic setting: combination therapy with alprazolam orally disintegrating tablets and serotonin reuptake inhibitors compared to serotonin reuptake inhibitors alone. Psychiatry, 3,

## **REALINI1990** (Published Data Only)

Realini, R., Mascetti, R., Masciocchi, A., & Riebenfeld, D. (1990) Flutoprazepam in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorders: A dose-ranging study. Current Therapeutic Research, 47, 860-868.

## RICKELS1972 (Published Data Only)

Rickels, K., et al. (1972) Doxepin and amitriptyline-perphenazine in mixed anxious-depressed neurotic outpatients: A collaborative controlled study. Psychopharmacologia, 23,

## **RICKELS1993** (Published Data Only)

Rickels, K., Downing, R., Schweizer, E., et al. (1993) Antidepressants for the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: A placebo-controlled comparison of imipramine, trazodone and diazepam. Archives of General Psychiatry, 50, 885-895.

## RICKELS1997 (Published Data Only)

Rickels, K., Schweizer, E., DeMartinis, N., Mandos, L., & Mercer, C. (1997) Gepirone and diazepam in generalized anxiety disorder: A placebo-controlled trial. Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology, 17, 272-277.

## **ROCCA1997**

Rocca, P., Fonzo, V., Zanalda, E. et al. (1997) Paroxetine efficacy in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 95, 444-450.

## ROLLAND2002 (Published Data Only)

Rolland, P.D., Kablinger, A.S., Brannon, G.E. & Freeman, A.M. (2000) Treatment of generalized anxiety disorder with Venlafaxine XR: A randomised, double-blind trial in comparison with buspirone and placebo. Clin Drug Invest, 19, 163-166.

## ROSENTHAL2003 (Published Data Only)

Rosenthal, M. (2003) Tiagabine for the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: a randomized, open-label, clinical trial with paroxetine as a positive control. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 64, 1245-1249.

# SACCHETTI1994 (Published Data Only)

Sacchetti, E., Zerbini, O., Banfi, F., & Tansella, M. (1994) Overlap of buspirone with lorazepam, diazepam and bromazepam in patients with generalized anxiety disorder: findings from a controlled, multicentre, double-blind study. Human Psychopharmacology, 9, 409-422.

### SHAH1990 (Published Data Only)

Shah, L.P., Mazumdar, K., Parkar, S.R., Ghodke, P.R., Mangaldas, D., & Shah, A.N. (1990) A controlled double blind clinical trial of buspirone and diazepam in generalised anxiety disorder. Indian Journal of Psychiatry, 32, 166-169.

# SHAH1991 (Published Data Only)

Shah, A.V., Parulkar, G.B., Mattoo, V., Bowalekar, S.K., & Raghu, C.N. (1991) Clinical evaluation of busprione and diazepam in generalized anxiety disorders. Current Therapeutic Research, 50, 827-834.

#### SIMON2006A (Published Data Only)

Simon, N.M., Zalta, A.K., Worthington III, J.J., Hoge, E.A., Christian, K.M., Stevens, J.C., & Pollack, M.H. (2006) Preliminary support for gender differences in response to fluoxetine for generalized anxiety disorder. Depression & Anxiety, 23, 373-376.

#### SPENARD1988

Spenard, J., Caille, G., de Montigny, C., et al. (1988) Placebo-controlled comparative study of the anxiolytic activity and of the pharmacokinetics of oral and sublingual lorazepam in generalized anxiety. Biopharmaceutics & Drug Disposition, 9, 457-464.

## SPRATLIN2003 (Published Data Only)

Spratlin, V.E. (2003) Maximum tolerated dose study of tiagabine in generalized anxiety disorder. 156th Annual Meeting of the American Psychiatric Association.

## SRAMEK1996A (Published Data Only)

Sramek, J.J., Fresquet, A., Gaston, M-L., Hourani, J., Jhee, S.S., Martinez, L., Christof, J., Bolles, K., Carrington, A.T., & Cutler, N.R. (1996) Establishing the maximum tolerated dose of lesopitron in patients with generalized anxiety disorder: a bridging study. Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology, 16, 454-458.

## **STRAND1990** (Published Data Only)

Strand, M., Hetta, J., Rosen, A., et al. (1990) A double-blind, controlled trial in primary care patients with generalized anxiety: a comparison between busprione and oxazepam. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 51, 40-45.

## **TSUKAMOTO2004** (Published Data Only)

Tsukamoto, T., Kondoh, R., & Ichikawa, K. (2004) Efficacy and safety of milnacipran in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: an open study. International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice, 8,

#### WILCOX1994 (Published Data Only)

Wilcox, C.S., Ryan, P.J., Morrissey, J.L., Cohn, J.B., DeFrancisco, D.F., Linden, R.B., & Heiser, J.F. (1994) A fixed-dose study of Adinazolam-SR tablets in generalized anxiety disorder. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry, 18, 979-993.

#### WINGERSON1992 (Published Data Only)

Wingerson, D., Nguyen, C., & Roy-Byrne, P.P. (1992) Clomipramine treatment for generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology, 12, 214-215.

## WURTHMAN2006 (Published Data Only)

Wurthman, C., Klieser, E., Lehmann, E., et al. (2006) Single-subject experiments to determine individually differential effects of anxiolytics in generalized anxiety disorder. Neuropsychobiology, 33,

## WURTHMANN2006 (Published Data Only)

Wurthmann, C., Klieser, E., Lehmann, E., & Pester, U. (1995) Test therapy in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorders with low dose fluspirilene. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry, 19, 1049-1060.

© NCCMH. All rights reserved.