Author(s):
Date: 2010-04-03
Question: CCBT vs Wait-list control for Panic disorder

Bibliography: . CCBT for Panic disorder. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews [Year], Issue [Issue].

			Ouglity acces	om on t								
			Quality asses	ssmem	No of patients		Effect		Importance			
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	ССВТ	Wait-list control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	importance
Measure of general anxiety (Better indicated by lower values)												
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	51	50	-	SMD 1.29 lower (1.72 to 0.86 lower)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	
Measure of depression (Better indicated by lower values)												
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	51	50	-	SMD 0.84 lower (1.39 to 0.29 lower)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	
Measure of Quality of Life (psychological) (Better indicated by lower values)												
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	51	50	-	SMD 0.55 lower (0.95 to 0.15 lower)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	
Non Panio	c free status (c	linician and sel	f-report) - Non-Re	mission (1 mth p	osttreatment no	longer fulfill PD o	liagnostic	criteria)				
	randomised no serious trials limitations	,	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	21/51 (41.2%)	49/51 (96.1%)	RR 0.44 (0.12 to 1.55)	538 fewer per 1000 (from 845 fewer to 528 more)	⊕OOO VERY LOW		
							(41.2/0)	100%	10 1.55)	560 fewer per 1000 (from 880 fewer to 550 more)	VERT LOW	
Discontin	uation due to	any reason										
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	5/51	3/50 (6%)	RR 1.48 (0.2	29 more per 1000 (from 48 fewer to 587 more)	⊕⊕⊕О	
11 Cab bat							(9.8%)	5.8%	to 10.79)	28 more per 1000 (from 46 fewer to 568 more)	MODERATE	

¹ High heterogeneity (>80%) ² 95% confidence interval include no effect

Author(s):
Date: 2010-04-03
Question: Should CCBT vs information control be used for Panic disorder?
Settings:

Bibliography: . CCBT for Panic disorder. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews [Year], Issue [Issue].

			Quality asses	oom om b								
	Zuum, ubecomen								No of patients Effect			
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	ССВТ	information control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Importance
Measure	Measure of general anxiety (Better indicated by lower values)											
2	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ¹	none	31	27	-	SMD 0.1 lower (0.77 lower to 0.58 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
Measure	of panic sever	ity (Better indic	ated by lower val	ues)								
2	randomised trials	no serious limitations	serious ²	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	31	27	-	SMD 1.9 lower (3.04 to 0.76 lower)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
Measure	of depression	(Better indicate	d by lower values)								
2	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	31	27	-	SMD 0.57 lower (1.1 to 0.04 lower)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	
Measure	of Quality of 1	ife (Psychologi	cal) (Better indicat	ted by lower valu	ıes)			•			•	
1	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ¹	none	12	9	-	SMD 0.25 lower (1.12 lower to 0.61 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
Non "Pan	ic free" status	(clinician and s	self-report) - Non j	panic free					<u>-</u>			
2	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	9/31 (29%)	25/27 (92.6%) RR 0.32	RR 0.32 (0.18 to 0.56)	630 fewer per 1000 (from 407 fewer to 759 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	
								91.7%	10 0.36)	624 fewer per 1000 (from 403 fewer to 752 fewer)	Indii	
Discontin	nuation due to	any reason										
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious¹	none	3/31	7/27 (25.9%)	RR 0.42 (0.11	150 fewer per 1000 (from 231 fewer to 163 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
							(9.7%)	25%	to 1.63)	145 fewer per 1000 (from 222 fewer to 157 more)	MODERATE	

¹ 95% confidence interval include no effect ² Moderate heterogeneity (50-80%)

Author(s):
Date: 2010-04-03
Question: Should CCBT vs any control (WLC or information control) be used for Panic disorder?

Settings:

Bibliography: . CCBT for Panic disorder. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews [Year], Issue [Issue].

Quality assessment								Summary of findings					
	Quarty assessment							No of patients		Effect		Importance	
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations		any control (WLC or information control)	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	importance	
Measure of general anxiety (Better indicated by lower values)													
4	randomised trials	no serious limitations	serious ¹	serious ²	no serious imprecision	none	82	77	-	SMD 0.7 lower (1.41 lower to 0.01 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW		
Measure	of panic sever	rity (Better indi	icated by lower v	alues)									
4	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	no serious imprecision	none	82	77	-	SMD 1.78 lower (2.26 to 1.31 lower)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE		
Measure	Measure of depression (Better indicated by lower values)											•	
4	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	no serious imprecision	none	82	77	-	SMD 0.72 lower (1.05 to 0.4 lower)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE		
Measure	of Quality of	Life - QOL (Ps	ychological) (Bett	er indicated by	lower values)	•						•	
3		no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	63	59	-	SMD 0.5 lower (0.86 to 0.14 lower)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH		
Non-Pan	ic free status (clinician and s	elf-report)							•		,	
4		ed no serious serious ¹	serious ¹	serious ²	no serious imprecision	none	30/82 (36.6%)	74/78 (94.9%)	RR 0.38 (0.19 to 0.78)	588 fewer per 1000 (from 209 fewer to 768 fewer)	⊕⊕OO LOW		
								94.6%		587 fewer per 1000 (from 208 fewer to 766 fewer)			
Disconti	nuation due to	any reason											
4	trials	no serious limitations	mitations inconsistency	serious ² serious ³	serious ³	none	8/82 (9.8%)	10/77 (13%)	RR 0.72 (0.22 to 2.4)	36 fewer per 1000 (from 101 fewer to 182 more)	⊕⊕00 LOW		
		rogeneity (50-80%)						14.4%		40 fewer per 1000 (from 112 fewer to 202 more)			

¹ moderate heterogeneity (50-80%) ² different comparator ³ 95% confidence interval include no effect

Author(s):
Date: 2010-04-03
Question: CCBT vs Face to Face CBT for Panic disorder
Settings:
Bibliography: . CCBT for Panic disorder. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews [Year], Issue [Issue].

Quality assessment								Summary of findings					
	Quality assessment								Effect			Importance	
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	ССВТ	Face to Face CBT	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	importance	
Measure of general anxiety (Better indicated by lower values)													
2	randomised trials	no serious limitations	serious ¹	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	67	62	-	SMD 0.11 higher (0.41 lower to 0.62 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW		
Measure of depression (Better indicated by lower values)													
2	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	70	63	-	SMD 0.13 higher (0.22 lower to 0.47 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE		
Measure o	of Quality of L	ife - QOL (Psycl	hological) (Better i	ndicated by lowe	r values)			•			•		
2	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	65	62	-	SMD 0.09 higher (0.26 lower to 0.44 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE		
Panic free	status (clinici	an and self-repo	ort)		•			•					
2	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	35/71 (49.3%)	33/64 (51.6%) 47.9%	RR 0.95 (0.61 to 1.46)	26 fewer per 1000 (from 201 fewer to 237 more) 24 fewer per 1000 (from 187 fewer to 220 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE		
Discontinuation due to any reason													
2	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	8/71 (11.3%)	5/64 (7.8%) 8.8%	KK 1.41 (0.48	32 more per 1000 (from 41 fewer to 250 more) 36 more per 1000 (from 46 fewer to 282 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE		

¹ Moderate heterogeneity (50-80%) ² 95% confidence interval include no effect