
Treatment and prevention of episodes of angina

1 Sublingual nifedipine vs Placebo

1.1 Mean total work time for stepped increase in load (mins)

				Mean Difference	Mean Di	ifference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean Difference	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed	d, 95% CI		
Atterhog 1975	5.2	2.24	100.0%	5.20 [0.81, 9.59]				
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	5.20 [0.81, 9.59]		♦		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•				 50 s placebo		0 SL Nit	100 fedipine

1.2 Estimated workload at breakpoint for stepped increase in load (kpm/min)




1.3 Total work for stepped increase in load (kpm)

				Mean Difference		Mea	an Differer	псе	
Study or Subgroup	Mean Difference	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl		IV,	Fixed, 95%	CI	
Atterhog 1975	3,685	1,431	100.0%	3685.00 [880.29, 6489.71]					•
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	3685.00 [880.29, 6489.71]					•
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•				-100 Fa	-50 vours plac	0 ebo Favo	50 ours SL N	100 lifedipine

1.4 Mean total work time for continuous increase in load (mins)

				Mean Difference		Mea	n Differen	се	
Study or Subgroup	Mean Difference	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95%	CI	
Atterhog 1975	1.1	0.56	100.0%	1.10 [0.00, 2.20]					
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.10 [0.00, 2.20]					
Heterogeneity: Not appropriate the Test for overall effect:					-100 Fav	-50 ours place	0 ebo Favo	50 urs SL N	100 ifedipine

1.5 Estimated workload at breakpoint for continuous increase in load (kpm/min)



Treatment and prevention of episodes of angina


1.6 Total work for continuous increase in load (kpm)

				Mean Difference		Mea	n Differei	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean Difference	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95%	6 CI	
Atterhog 1975	1,146	379	100.0%	1146.00 [403.17, 1888.83]					
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1146.00 [403.17, 1888.83]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•)			-1000 Fa	-500 vours place	0 ebo Favo	500 ours SL N	1000 ifedipine

1.7 Mean work capacity at angina threshold (minutes of exercise)

				Mean Difference			Mean	Diffe	eren	се		
Study or Subgroup	Mean Difference	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI			IV, Fix	ed,	95%	CI		
Marra 1983	2.1	0.64	100.0%	2.10 [0.85, 3.35]								
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	2.10 [0.85, 3.35]						>		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect	•)			Favo	4 ours	-2 placeb	0 o F	avoi	ırs S	4 L Nifed	dipine

1.8 Maximal work capacity at maximal exercise level (minutes of exercise)




2 Sublingual nifedipine vs no treatment

2.1 Mean exercise time to 1mm ST segment depression (secs)

				Mean Difference	Mean Di	fference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean Difference	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed	d, 95% CI		
Pupita 1993	146	56.7	100.0%	146.00 [34.87, 257.13]				→
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	146.00 [34.87, 257.13]				_
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:					 50 o treatment	0 5 Favours Sl	-	100 ine

3 Sublingual GTN vs sublingual nifedipine

3.1 Mean exercise time to 1mm ST segment depression (secs)



Treatment and prevention of episodes of angina

3.2 Mean pain severity at 2 minutes post treatment

	Expe	rimen	tal	Co	ontro	I		Mean Difference		Mear	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fi	xed, 95°	% CI	
Mooss 1989	1	1.7	7	7.3	2.1	6	100.0%	-6.30 [-8.40, -4.20]	_	_			
Total (95% CI)			7			6	100.0%	-6.30 [-8.40, -4.20]	4	•			
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P < 0	0.00001)					-10 Favo	-5 ours SL G	0 TN Fav	5 ours SL	10 Nifedipine

3.3 Mean pain severity at 4 minutes post treatment

	SI	L GTN	1	SL ni	fedipi	ne		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differer	псе	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, I	ixed, 95%	6 CI	
Mooss 1989	0.4	8.0	7	6	1.7	6	100.0%	-5.60 [-7.08, -4.12]					
Total (95% CI)			7			6	100.0%	-5.60 [-7.08, -4.12]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•		0.000	01)					-100 Fa	-50 vours SL 0	0 GTN Favo	50 ours SL N	100 Nifedipine

3.4 No participants with complete pain resolution at 2 minutes post treatment

	SL G	ΓN	SL Nifed	lipine		Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% CI		
Mooss 1989	5	7	0	6	100.0%	9.63 [0.64, 144.88]		_			
Total (95% CI)		7		6	100.0%	9.63 [0.64, 144.88]		-			
Total events	5		0								
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	P = 0.1	0)				0.01 Favo	0.1 urs SL GTN	1 Favours	10 SL N	100 lifedipine

3.5 No participants with complete pain resolution at 4 minutes post treatment

	SL GT	N	SL nifed	ipine		Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% CI	
Mooss 1989	5	7	0	6	100.0%	9.63 [0.64, 144.88]		_		——
Total (95% CI)		7		6	100.0%	9.63 [0.64, 144.88]		-		
Total events	5		0							
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:		P = 0.1	0)				0.01 Fav	0.1 ours SL GTN	1 10 Favours SI	100 Nifedinine

3.6 No participants with complete pain resolution at 2 mins after cross over the rapy

	SL G1	īN	SL Nifed	ipine		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Mooss 1989	0	0	0	0		Not estimable	
Total (95% CI)		0		0		Not estimable	
Total events	0		0				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Not applic	able					Favours SL GTN Favours SL Nifedipine

1 BB vs. CCB


1.1 Exercise duration (min)

		ВВ		(СВ			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.1.1 Metoprolol vs. D	Diltiazem								
Van Dijk 1988 Subtotal (95% CI)	9.8	3.1	33 33	10	3.4	33 33		-0.20 [-1.77, 1.37] -0.20 [-1.77, 1.37]	†
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							,,	
Test for overall effect:	•	(P = 0	(08.0						
1.1.2 Propranolol vs.	Diltiazen	n							
O'Hara 1987 Subtotal (95% CI)	6.8	3.5	34 34	6.5	2.3	34 34	38.3% 38.3 %	0.30 [-1.11, 1.71] 0.30 [-1.11, 1.71]	†
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P = 0).68)						
1.1.3 Propranolol vs.	Nifedipir	ne							
Kawanishi 1992 Subtotal (95% CI)	7.2	2.65	21 21	7.2	2.2	16 16	31.0% 31.0 %	0.00 [-1.56, 1.56] 0.00 [-1.56, 1.56]	†
Heterogeneity: Not ap	•								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.00	(P = 1	.00)						
Total (95% CI)			88			83	100.0%	0.05 [-0.82, 0.92]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe	Z = 0.12	(P = 0).90)			39), I² =	: 0%		-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours BB Favours CCB

1.2 Time to 1mm ST depression (sec)

		BB			CCB			Mean Difference	Mean D	Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixe	ed, 95% CI	
1.2.1 Metoprolol vs. Nife	dipine										
Savonitto 1996 (IMAGE) Subtotal (95% CI)	49	128.6	65 65	37	141.3	62 62		12.00 [-35.06, 59.06] 12.00 [-35.06, 59.06]			
Heterogeneity: Not applicate Test for overall effect: Z =		= 0.62)									
Total (95% CI)			65			62	100.0%	12.00 [-35.06, 59.06]			
Heterogeneity: Not applicate Test for overall effect: Z = Test for subgroup different	0.50 (P	,	.ble						-100 -50 Favours BE	0 50 B Favours CC	100 B

1.3 Time to onset of angina (min)



1.4 Total mortality

	ВВ		CCI	3		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
1.4.1 Atenolol vs. Verapar	nil						
Pepine 2003 (INVEST) Subtotal (95% CI)		11309 11309	873	11267 11267	90.5% 90.5 %	1.02 [0.93, 1.11] 1.02 [0.93, 1.11]	_
Total events	893		873				
Heterogeneity: Not applical	ole						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$	0.41 (P = 0)).68)					
1.4.2 Metoprolol vs. Verap							
Rehnqvist 1996 (APSIS) Subtotal (95% CI)	22	406 406	25	403 403	2.6% 2.6 %	0.87 [0.50, 1.52] 0.87 [0.50, 1.52]	•
Total events	22		25				
Heterogeneity: Not applical	ole						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$	0.48 (P = 0.48)).63)					
1.4.3 Metoprolol vs. Verap	oamil						
Hjemdahl 2006 (APSIS)	57	406	66	403	6.9%	0.86 [0.62, 1.19]	+
Subtotal (95% CI)		406		403	6.9%	0.86 [0.62, 1.19]	♦
Total events	57		66				
Heterogeneity: Not applical	ole						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$	0.92 (P = 0)).36)					
Total (95% CI)		12121		12073	100.0%	1.00 [0.92, 1.09]	
Total events	972		964			- / -	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.25,	df = 2 (P	= 0.54):	$I^2 = 0\%$				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$	`	,,					0.01
Test for subgroup difference	es: Not ap	plicable	•				ravouis de Favours CCB

1.5 Cardiovascular death

ВВ	T			Mr. Labet	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	Total	Events	rotai	weignt	M-H, Hxea, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
	44000	404	44007	0.4.50/	1 00 10 07 1 1 1	<u> </u>
_		431	11267 11267	94.5% 94.5 %	1.00 [0.87, 1.14] 1.00 [0.87, 1.14]	▼
431		431			- / -	
le						
.06 (P = 0)	0.96)					
е						
3	226	6	232	1.3%	0.51 [0.13, 2.03]	
	226		232	1.3%	0.51 [0.13, 2.03]	
3		6				
le						
.95 (P = 0	0.34)					
amil						
19	406	19	403	4.2%	0.99 [0.53, 1.85]	 -
	406		403	4.2%	0.99 [0.53, 1.85]	•
19		19				
le						
.02 (P = 0	0.98)					
	11941		11902	100.0%	0.99 [0.87, 1.12]	•
453		456				
df = 2 (P	= 0.64);	$I^2 = 0\%$				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
.16 (P = 0	0.88)					0.01
s: Not ap	plicable	•				1 4/04/3 000 1 4/04/3 000
	Events iil 431 431 le .06 (P = 0 e 3 le .95 (P = 0 amil 19 19 le .02 (P = 0 453 df = 2 (P .16 (P = 0	Events Total iii 431 11309 11309 431 le .06 (P = 0.96) e 3 226 226 3 le .95 (P = 0.34) amil 19 406 406 19 le .02 (P = 0.98) 11941 453 df = 2 (P = 0.64); .16 (P = 0.88)	Events Total Events iii 431 11309 431 11309 431 431 le .06 (P = 0.96) e 3 226 6 226 3 6 le .95 (P = 0.34) amil 19 406 19 406 19 19 le .02 (P = 0.98) 11941 453 456 df = 2 (P = 0.64);	Events Total Events Total iii 431 11309	Events Total Events Total Weight iil 431 11309	Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% CI iii 431 11309

1.6 Non fatal MI

	BE	3	CC	В		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.6.1 Atenolol vs. Verapa	mil						
Pepine 2003 (INVEST) Subtotal (95% CI)	153	11309 11309	151	11267 11267	81.7% 81.7 %	1.01 [0.81, 1.26] 1.01 [0.81, 1.26]	•
Total events Heterogeneity: Not applica	153 able		151				
Test for overall effect: Z =		0.93)					
1.6.2 Atenolol vs. Nifedipi	ine						
Dargie1996 (TIBET) Subtotal (95% CI)	14	226 226	15	232 232	8.0% 8.0 %	0.96 [0.47, 1.94] 0.96 [0.47 , 1.94]	•
Total events Heterogeneity: Not applica	14		15				
Test for overall effect: Z =		0.91)					
1.6.3 Metoprolol vs. Vera	pamil						
Hjemdahl 2006 (APSIS) Subtotal (95% CI)	17	406 406	19	403 403	10.3% 10.3 %	0.89 [0.47, 1.68] 0.89 [0.47 , 1.68]	•
Total events	17		19				
Heterogeneity: Not applica	able						
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.36 (P =	0.72)					
Total (95% CI)		11941		11902	100.0%	0.99 [0.81, 1.22]	•
Total events	184		185				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.15	6, df = 2 (P)	= 0.93);	$I^2 = 0\%$				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.07 (P =	0.94)					Favours BB Favours CCB
Test for subgroup difference	ces: Not a	pplicable	9				. 4.54.5 22 1 476416 668

1.7 CV related hospitalisation

	BB		CC	В		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
1.7.1 Atenolol vs. Verap	amil						<u>_L</u>
Pepine 2003 (INVEST) Subtotal (95% CI)	709	11309 11309	726	11267 11267	100.0% 100.0 %	0.97 [0.88, 1.08] 0.97 [0.88, 1.08]	.
Total events Heterogeneity: Not applic Test for overall effect: Z		- 0.59)	726				
Total (95% CI)		11309		11267	100.0%	0.97 [0.88, 1.08]	•
Total events	709		726				
Heterogeneity: Not applic	cable						
Test for overall effect: Z	•	,	ole.				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours BB Favours CCB


1.8 Non fatal CV events (combined)

	BB		CCE	3		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.8.1 Metoprolol vs. Verap	amil						
Rehnqvist 1996 (APSIS)	106	406	98	403	100.0%	1.07 [0.85, 1.36]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		406		403	100.0%	1.07 [0.85, 1.36]	▼
Total events	106		98				
Heterogeneity: Not applicate	ole						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$).59 (P = 0	0.56)					
Total (95% CI)		406		403	100.0%	1.07 [0.85, 1.36]	•
Total events	106		98				
Heterogeneity: Not applicat	ole						1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z = 0).59 (P = 0	0.56)					0.01
Test for subgroup differenc	es: Not a	plicabl	е				ravours DD ravours CCB


1.9 Angina episodes/week

		ВВ			ССВ			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.9.1 Atenolol vs. Verapa	amil								
Pepine 2003 (INVEST) Subtotal (95% CI)	0.88	1.62	11309 11309	0.77	1.31	11267 11267	99.9% 99.9 %	0.11 [0.07, 0.15] 0.11 [0.07, 0.15]	-
Heterogeneity: Not applica	able								
Test for overall effect: Z =	5.61 (P	< 0.00	001)						
40011									
1.9.2 Metoprolol vs. Diltia									
Van Dijk 1988	2.5	3	33 33	2.5	5.2	33 33	0.0% 0.0 %	0.00 [-2.05, 2.05]	Ĭ
Subtotal (95% CI)			33			33	0.0%	0.00 [-2.05, 2.05]	Y
Heterogeneity: Not applica		4.00							
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.00 (P :	= 1.00)						
1.9.3 Propranolol vs. Nife	edipine								
Kawanishi 1992	2	2.3	21	2.7	5.6	16	0.0%	-0.70 [-3.61, 2.21]	†
Subtotal (95% CI)			21			16	0.0%	-0.70 [-3.61, 2.21]	♦
Heterogeneity: Not applica	able								
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.47 (P :	= 0.64)						
1.9.4 Metoprolol vs. Nife	dinino								
•	•	4 70	0.4	0.00	0.40	0.4	0.00/	0.04.[4.00.004]	1
Savonitto 1996 (IMAGE) Subtotal (95% CI)	-2.01	4.72	61 61	-2.32	6.43	61 61	0.0% 0.0 %	0.31 [-1.69, 2.31] 0.31 [-1.69, 2.31]	I
` ,	abla		01			01	0.0 /6	0.51 [-1.05, 2.51]	Ĭ
Heterogeneity: Not applicate Test for overall effect: Z =		_ 0.76	`						
rest for overall effect. Z =	0.30 (F :	- 0.76)						
Total (95% CI)			11424			11377	100.0%	0.11 [0.07, 0.15]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.35	5, df = 3 (P = 0.	95); l² =	0%					100 50 100
Test for overall effect: Z =									-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours BB Favours CCB
Test for subgroup differen	ices: Chi²	$^{2} = 0.3$	5, df = 3	(P = 0.	95), I ²	= 0%			ravouis DD Favouis GCB

1.10 Prevalance of angina



1.11 Severity of angina assessed by investigator (moderate/markedly improved)




1.12 Severity of angina assessed by patients (moderate/severe)

	ВВ		CCE	3		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.12.1 Nadolol vs. Am	nlodipine						
Singh 1993 Subtotal (95% CI)	16	40 40	12	40 40	100.0% 100.0 %	1.33 [0.73, 2.45] 1.33 [0.73, 2.45]	
Total events Heterogeneity: Not ap	16 nlicable		12				
Test for overall effect:	•	P = 0.3	5)				
Total (95% CI)		40		40	100.0%	1.33 [0.73, 2.45]	•
Total events	16		12				
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable						0.01 0.1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.93 (P = 0.3	5)				0.01
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: N	ot appli	cable				ravouis DD Favouis CCB

1.13 Nitroglycerin use

	BE		(ССВ			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean S	D Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.13.1 Propranolol vs	s. Nifedipine	;						
Kawanishi 1992	0.7 1.	2 21	0.7	1.6	16	100.0%	0.00 [-0.94, 0.94]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		21			16	100.0%	0.00 [-0.94, 0.94]	T
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.00 (F)	= 1.00)						
Total (95% CI)		21			16	100.0%	0.00 [-0.94, 0.94]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable							-100 -50 0 50 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.00 (F)	= 1.00)						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours BB Favours CCB
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: No	t applica	ble					Tavouis DD Tavouis COD

1.14 Adverse effects (dizziness)




1.15 Adverse effects (GI events)

	ВВ		CCE	3		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
1.15.1 Metoprolol vs. Vei	rapamil						
Rehnqvist 1996 (APSIS) Subtotal (95% CI)	10	406 406	22	403 403	100.0% 100.0%	0.45 [0.22, 0.94] 0.45 [0.22, 0.94]	
Total events Heterogeneity: Not applica Test for overall effect: Z =		0.03)	22				
Total (95% CI)		406		403	100.0%	0.45 [0.22, 0.94]	•
Total events Heterogeneity: Not applica Test for overall effect: Z = Test for subgroup differen	2.12 (P =	,	22 le				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours BB Favours CCB

1.16 Adverse effects (head ache)

	BB		CCE	}		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
1.16.1 Metoprolol vs. Vera	apamil						
Rehnqvist 1996 (APSIS)	3	406	4	403	100.0%	0.74 [0.17, 3.31]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		406		403	100.0%	0.74 [0.17, 3.31]	
Total events	3		4				
Heterogeneity: Not applical	ble						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$	0.39 (P =	0.70)					
Total (95% CI)		406		403	100.0%	0.74 [0.17, 3.31]	
Total events	3		4				
Heterogeneity: Not applical	ble						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z = 0	0.39 (P =	0.70)					Favours BB Favours CCB
Test for subgroup difference	es: Not a	oplicabl	le				Tavouis DD Tavouis COD


1.17 Adverse effects (light headedness)



1.18 Adverse effects (constipation)

	BB	CCB		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events Tota	I Events Total	l Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.18.1 Atenolol vs. Vera	pamil				
Pepine 2003 (INVEST) Subtotal (95% CI)	15 11309 1130 9			0.08 [0.05, 0.13] 0.08 [0.05, 0.13]	
Total events Heterogeneity: Not applic	15 cable	195			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 9.60 (P < 0.000	001)			
Total (95% CI)	11309	11267	100.0%	0.08 [0.05, 0.13]	•
Total events Heterogeneity: Not applic Test for overall effect: Z Test for subgroup differe	= 9.60 (P < 0.000	,			0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours BB Favours CCB

1.19 Adverse effects (overall)




1.20 Withdrawals due to adverse effects

	BB		CCE	3		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.20.1 Atenolol vs. Nit	fedipine						
Dargie1996 (TIBET) Subtotal (95% CI)	60	226 226	93	232 232	100.0% 100.0%	0.66 [0.51, 0.87] 0.66 [0.51, 0.87]	▼
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:	•	P = 0.00	93				
Total (95% CI)		226		232	100.0%	0.66 [0.51, 0.87]	•
Total events	60		93				
Heterogeneity: Not app	plicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe	,		0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours BB Favours CCB				

1.21 Combined outcomes (death, non fatal MI, non fatal stroke) (sub group females)

	BB	CCB	}		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.21.1 Atenolol vs. Verap	oamil					
Pepine 2003 (INVEST)	540 5920	524	5850	100.0%	1.02 [0.91, 1.14]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	5920		5850	100.0%	1.02 [0.91, 1.14]	▼
Total events	540	524				
Heterogeneity: Not applica	able					
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.31 (P = 0.76)					
Total (95% CI)	5920		5850	100.0%	1.02 [0.91, 1.14]	↓
Total events	540	524				
Heterogeneity: Not applica	able					0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.31 (P = 0.76)					Favours BB Favours CCB
Test for subgroup differen	nces: Not applicat	ole				1 4,0413 22 1 4,0413 000

1.22 Combined outcome (death, non fatal MI, non fatal stroke) (sub group diabetes)




1.23 Combined (death, non fatal MI, Non fatal stroke)- Subgroup Age>70

	BB	CCE	3		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
1.23.1 Atenolol vs. Vera	pamil					
Pepine 2003 (INVEST)	664 3829	596	3694	100.0%	1.07 [0.97, 1.19]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	3829		3694	100.0%	1.07 [0.97, 1.19]	•
Total events	664	596				
Heterogeneity: Not applic	able					
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 1.40 (P = 0.16)					
Total (95% CI)	3829		3694	100.0%	1.07 [0.97, 1.19]	•
Total events	664	596				
Heterogeneity: Not applic	able					0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 1.40 (P = 0.16)					Favours BB Favours CCB
Test for subgroup differen	nces: Not applica	ıble				1 avouis DD 1 avouis OOD

1.24 Quality of life (sleep disturbance)

		BB		(CCB			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.24.1 Metoprolol vs. Vera	apamil								
Rehnqvist 1996 (APSIS) Subtotal (95% CI)	16.2	5.2	270 270	16.6	5.5		100.0% 100.0%	-0.40 [-1.30, 0.50] -0.40 [-1.30, 0.50]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Heterogeneity: Not applica Test for overall effect: Z =		= 0.3	8)						
Total (95% CI)			270			275	100.0%	-0.40 [-1.30, 0.50]	
Heterogeneity: Not applica Test for overall effect: Z = Test for subgroup difference	0.87 (P		,						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours BB Favours CCB

1.25 Quality of life (overall life satisfaction)



1.26 Quality of life (psychosomatic symptoms)

		BB			CCB			Mean Difference		Mea	an Differ	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95	% CI	
1.26.1 Metoprolol vs. Ver	apamil												
Rehnqvist 1996 (APSIS) Subtotal (95% CI)	60.5	15.6	275 275	61.8	15.6	-	100.0% 100.0 %	-1.30 [-3.89, 1.29] -1.30 [-3.89, 1.29]			-		
Heterogeneity: Not applicate Test for overall effect: Z =		= 0.33)										
Total (95% CI)			275			282	100.0%	-1.30 [-3.89, 1.29]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not applica Test for overall effect: Z = Test for subgroup differen	0.98 (P =		,						-100	-50 Favours	0 BB Fa	50 vours CC	100 B

1 BB vs. BB +CCB

1.1 Exercise time (min)

	Expe	rimen	tal	С	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.1.1 Propranolol vs.	Propran	olol +l	Nifedip	ine					
Tweddel 1981 Subtotal (95% CI)	4.8	1.68	18 18	5.06	1.68	18 18	50.5% 50.5 %	-0.26 [-1.36, 0.84] -0.26 [-1.36, 0.84]	*
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.46	(P = 0	0.64)						
1.1.2 Propranolol vs.	Propran	olol +l	Dilitaze	m					
O' hara 1987 Subtotal (95% CI)	6.8	3.5	34 34	9.6	1.3	7 7		-2.80 [-4.32, -1.28] -2.80 [-4.32, -1.28]	•
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P = 0	0.0003)						
1.1.3 Propranolol vs.	Propran	olol +l	Nifedip	ine					
Kawanishi 1992 Subtotal (95% CI)	7.2	2.6	21 21	7.3	2.4	16 16	23.2% 23.2 %		†
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.12	(P = 0)	0.90)						
Total (95% CI)			73			41	100.0%	-0.89 [-1.67, -0.11]	(
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	8.24, df =		-100 -50 0 50 100						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.24		Favours BB Favours BB+CCB						
Test for subgroup diffe	erences:		. a.ca.c bb Tavouis bbToob						

1.2 Time to onset of angina (min)

	BB BB+CCB				В		Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.2.1 Propranolol vs.	Proprar	olol	+Nifedi	pine					
Kawanishi 1992 Subtotal (95% CI)	5.7	1.2	21 21	5.5	2.5	16 16		0.20 [-1.13, 1.53] 0.20 [-1.13, 1.53]	-
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P =	0.77)						
Total (95% CI)			21			16	100.0%	0.20 [-1.13, 1.53]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe	Z = 0.30	`	,	ole					-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours BB Favours BB+CCB

1.3 Angina attacks/week

		BB			BB+CCB			Mean Difference	Mean I	Difference)	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixe	ed, 95% Cl		
1.3.1 Propranolol vs. Pro	opranolol	+Nife	dipine									
Kawanishi 1992	2	2.3	21	1.3	1.7	16	58.2%	0.70 [-0.59, 1.99]		•		
Subtotal (95% CI)			21			16	58.2%	0.70 [-0.59, 1.99]				
Heterogeneity: Not applic	able											
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 1.06 (P =	= 0.29)									
1.3.2 Metoprolol vs. Met	oprolol +l	Nifedi	pine									
Savonitto 1996 (IMAGE)	-2.01	4.72	61	-2.06	3.8	61	41.8%	0.05 [-1.47, 1.57]		•		
Subtotal (95% CI)			61			61	41.8%	0.05 [-1.47, 1.57]		1		
Heterogeneity: Not applic	able											
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 0.06 (P =	= 0.95)									
Total (95% CI)			82			77	100.0%	0.43 [-0.56, 1.41]		ł		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.4	1, df = 1 (P = 0.	52); l ² =	= 0%					-100 -50	 	50 1	
Test for overall effect: Z =		-100 -50 Favours BE			IOO CB							
Test for subgroup differen	nces: Chi²	= 0.4	1, df =	1 (P = 0)).52),	$I^2 = 0\%$	D		i avours bi	J Lavoure	, 55+01	OD

1.4 Angina attacks/day

	ВВ		BB	+CCI	В		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.4.1 Propranolol vs.	Propranolol	+Nifedi	ipine					
Tweddel 1981 Subtotal (95% CI)	7 8.4	18 18	4	8.4	18 18	100.0% 100.0 %	3.00 [-2.49, 8.49] 3.00 [-2.49 , 8.49]	▶
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:		= 0.28)						
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Not appress for overall effect:		18 = 0.28)			18	100.0%	3.00 [-2.49, 8.49]	-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours BB Favours BB+CCB

1.5 Nitroglycerin tablets/week

		BB		BB	+CC	В		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.5.1 Propranolol vs.	Proprar	olol	+Nifed	ipine					
Kawanishi 1992 Subtotal (95% CI)	0.7	1.2	21 21	0.3	0.4	16 16	100.0% 100.0 %	0.40 [-0.15, 0.95] 0.40 [-0.15, 0.95]	•
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.43	8 (P =	0.15)						
Total (95% CI)			21			16	100.0%	0.40 [-0.15, 0.95]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								-100 -50 0 50 100
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe		,	,		-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours BB Favours BB+CCB				

1.6 Cardiac death

	ВВ		BB+C	CB		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
1.6.1 Atenolol vs. Ater	nolol+Nife	dipine					
Dargie 1996 (TIBET)	3	226	4	224	100.0%	0.74 [0.17, 3.28]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)		226		224	100.0%	0.74 [0.17, 3.28]	
Total events	3		4				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.39 (F	P = 0.70	0)				
Total (95% CI)		226		224	100.0%	0.74 [0.17, 3.28]	
Total events	3		4				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	O)				Favours BB Favours BB+CCB		
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: No	ot applic	cable				Tavours DD Tavours DD+OOD

1.7 Non fatal MI

	BB		BB+C	CB		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.7.1 Atenolol +Atenolo	ol +Nifedi	pine					
Dargie 1996 (TIBET) Subtotal (95% CI)	14	226 226	7		100.0% 100.0%	1.98 [0.82, 4.82] 1.98 [0.82, 4.82]	
Total events	14		7				
Heterogeneity: Not appl	licable						
Test for overall effect: Z	Z = 1.51 (F	P = 0.13	3)				
Total (95% CI)		226		224	100.0%	1.98 [0.82, 4.82]	•
Total events	14		7				
Heterogeneity: Not appl	licable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	Z = 1.51 (F	P = 0.13	3)				Favours BB Favours BB+CCB
Test for subgroup differ	ences: No	t applic	cable				. avodio BB T avodio BB TOOB


1.8 Withdarwals due to side effects

	BB		BB+C	CB		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
1.8.1 Atenolol vs. Ater	nolol +Nife	dipine					
Dargie 1996 (TIBET) Subtotal (95% CI)	60	226 226	64	224 224	100.0% 100.0%	0.93 [0.69, 1.25] 0.93 [0.69 , 1.25]	•
Total events	60		64				
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable						
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.48 (F	P = 0.63	3)				
Total (95% CI)		226		224	100.0%	0.93 [0.69, 1.25]	♦
Total events	60		64				
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: 2	P = 0.63	3)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours BB Favours BB+CCB	
Test for subgroup differ	rences: No	t applic	cable				ravours DD Favours DD+CCD

1.9 Adverse effects (overall)

	ВВ		BB+C	CB		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	nts Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
1.9.1 Atenolol vs. Ate	nolol+Aml	odipine	9				
Pehrsson 2000	52	116	59	119	100.0%	0.90 [0.69, 1.19]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		116		119	100.0%	0.90 [0.69, 1.19]	◆
Total events	52		59				
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.73 (1	P = 0.4	7)				
Total (95% CI)		116		119	100.0%	0.90 [0.69, 1.19]	•
Total events	52		59				
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.73 (I	$P = 0.4^{\circ}$	7)				0.01
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: N	ot appli	cable				1 avours bbi Tavours bb+00b

1.10 Time to 1mm ST depression (sec)



2 CCB vs. BB +CCB

2.1 Exercise time (min)

	(CCB		BB	+CCI	В		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
2.1.1 Diltiazem vs. Pro	opranol	ol+Di	ltiazen	1					
O' hara 1987 Subtotal (95% CI)	6.5	2.3	34 34	9.6	1.3	7 7		-3.10 [-4.33, -1.87] -3.10 [-4.33, -1.87]	ı e
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.92	? (P <	0.0000	01)					
2.1.2 Nifedipine vs. Pr	roprano	lol +l	Nifedipi	ine					
Kawanishi 1992 Subtotal (95%Cl)	7.2	2.2	16 16	7.3	2.4	19 19	39.6% 39.6 %		†
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.13	8 (P =	0.90)						
Total (95% CI)			50			26	100.0%	-1.91 [-2.87, -0.95]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 8	8.98, df	= 1 (F	P = 0.00	03); I ² =	89%				100 50 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.90) (P <	0.000	1)					-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours CCB Favours BB+CCB
Test for subgroup diffe	rences:	Chi ²	= 8.98,	df = 1 (P = 0	0.003),	$l^2 = 88.9\%$		Tavours COD Tavours DD+COD

2.2 Cardiac death

	CCB	,	BB+C	CB		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
2.2.1 Nifedipine vs. At	enolol +Ni	fedipin	е				
Dargie 1996 (TIBET)	6	232	4	224	100.0%	1.45 [0.41, 5.06]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)		232		224	100.0%	1.45 [0.41, 5.06]	
Total events	6		4				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.58 (F	P = 0.56	6)				
Total (95% CI)		232		224	100.0%	1.45 [0.41, 5.06]	
Total events	6		4				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.58 (F	P = 0.56	6)				0.01
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: No	ot applic	cable				1 avours COD 1 avours DD+COD

2.3 Non fatal MI

	CCB	BB+C0	CB		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events To	otal Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
2.3.1 Nifedipine vs. Ate	enolol +Nifed	dipine				<u></u>
Dargie 1996 (TIBET) Subtotal (95% CI)	-	232 7 232	224 224	100.0% 100.0 %	2.07 [0.86, 4.98] 2.07 [0.86 , 4.98]	
Total events	15	7				
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable					
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 1.62 (P =	0.10)				
Total (95% CI)	2	232	224	100.0%	2.07 [0.86, 4.98]	•
Total events	15	7				
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable					0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 1.62 (P =	: 0.10)				Favours CCB Favours BB+CCB
Test for subgroup differ	rences: Not a	applicable				. a.ca.c cc2 Tavodio BB (CCB


2.4 Withdrawals due to side effects

	CCB	BB+C	CB		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events Total Events Total		Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI			
2.4.1 Nifedipine vs. Ate	enolol +Nife	dipine							
Dargie 1996 (TIBET) Subtotal (95% CI)		232 64 232	224 224	100.0% 100.0 %	1.40 [1.08, 1.82] 1.40 [1.08, 1.82]	◆			
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		64 = 0.01)							
Total (95% CI)	:	232	224	100.0%	1.40 [1.08, 1.82]	♦			
Total events	93	64							
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: Z Test for subgroup differ	Z = 2.55 (P =	,				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours CCB Favours BB+CCB			

2.5 Adverse effects (overall)

	CCB		BB+C	CB		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
2.5.1 Amlodipine vs. A	Atenolol +A	mlodi	pine				
Pehrsson 2000	60	116	59	119	100.0%	1.04 [0.81, 1.34]	· ·
Subtotal (95% CI)		116		119	100.0%	1.04 [0.81, 1.34]	\P
Total events	60		59				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.33 (P	= 0.74	4)				
Total (95% CI)		116		119	100.0%	1.04 [0.81, 1.34]	\
Total events	60		59				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.33 (P	= 0.74	4)				Favours CCB Favours BB+CCB
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: No	t appli	cable				Tavouis COD Tavouis DB+COD

2.6 Time to onset of angina (min)



2.7 Angina episodes/week

	(CCB			BB+CCB			Mean Difference	Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		
2.7.1 Nifedipine vs. Prop	ranolol+l	Nifedij	oine								
Kawanishi 1992 Subtotal (95% CI)	2.7	5.6	16 16	4.3	7.9	19 19		-1.60 [-6.09, 2.89] -1.60 [-6.09, 2.89]	‡		
Heterogeneity: Not applic	able										
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.70 (P	= 0.48)								
2.7.2 Nifedipine vs. Meto	prolol +N	lifedip	ine								
Savonitto 1996 (IMAGE) Subtotal (95% CI)	-2.32	6.43	61 61	-2.71	3.58	57 57	85.3% 85.3 %	0.39 [-1.47, 2.25] 0.39 [-1.47, 2.25]	•		
Heterogeneity: Not applic	able										
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.41 (P	= 0.68)								
Total (95% CI)			77			76	100.0%	0.10 [-1.62, 1.82]			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.6	4, df = 1 (P = 0.	42); l² =	= 0%					100 50 100		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.11$ (P = 0.91)									-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours CCB Favours BB+CCB		
Test for subgroup differer	nces: Chi²	= 0.6	4, df =	1 (P = 0	.42), I ²	$^{2} = 0\%$			1 avours COD 1 avours DD+COD		

2.8 Nitroglycerin tablets/week

	С	СВ		BB-	+CCI	В		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD To	tal Me	ean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
2.8.1 Nifedipine vs. P	ropranolo	ol+Nifed	lipine						
Kawanishi 1992	0.7	1.6	16	1.1	2.2	19	100.0%	-0.40 [-1.66, 0.86]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			16			19	100.0%	-0.40 [-1.66, 0.86]	₹
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.62	(P = 0.5	3)						
Total (95% CI)			16			19	100.0%	-0.40 [-1.66, 0.86]	•
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								100 50 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.62	(P = 0.5)	3)						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours CCB Favours BB+CCB
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: N	Not appl	icable						Tavours COD Tavours BB+COB

2.9 Time to 1 mm ST segment depression

		CCB		В	B+CCB			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
2.9.1 Nifedipine vs. Meto	prolol+N	ifedipine)						
Savonitto 1996 (IMAGE) Subtotal (95% CI)	37	141.28	62 62	107	166.4			-70.00 [-125.13, -14.87] - 70.00 [-125.13, -14.87]	
Heterogeneity: Not applicate Test for overall effect: Z =		= 0.01)							
Total (95% CI)			62			59	100.0%	-70.00 [-125.13, -14.87]	
Heterogeneity: Not applica Test for overall effect: Z = Test for subgroup differen	2.49 (P =	,	le						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours CCB Favours BB+CCB

1 CCB +basic regimen vs. Placebo +basic regimen

1.1 All cause mortality

	CCB +basic re	gimen	Placebo +basic ı	regimen		Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% CI
Poole-Wilson 2004(ACTION)	310	3825	291	3840	100.0%	1.07 [0.92, 1.25]		
Total (95% CI)		3825		3840	100.0%	1.07 [0.92, 1.25]		•
Total events	310		291					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.86	(P = 0.39)						0.01 0.1 Favours CCB	1 10 Favours P

1.2 Cardiovascular or unknown death

	CCB +basic re	gimen	Placebo +basic i	regimen		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Poole-Wilson 2004(ACTION)	178	3825	177	3840	100.0%	1.01 [0.82, 1.24]	
Total (95% CI)		3825		3840	100.0%	1.01 [0.82, 1.24]	\
Total events Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.09$	178 (P = 0.93)		177				0.01 0.1 1 10 Favours CCB Favours P

1.3 MI

	CCB +basic re	egimen	Placebo +basic	regimen		Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% CI
Poole-Wilson 2004(ACTION)	320	3825	296	3840	100.0%	1.09 [0.93, 1.26]		
Total (95% CI)		3825		3840	100.0%	1.09 [0.93, 1.26]		•
Total events Heterogeneity: Not applicable	320		296				 	<u> </u>
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.06	(P = 0.29)						0.01 0.1 Favours CCB	1 10 Favours P

1.4 Withdrawal due to adverse effects

	CCB +basic reg	gimen	Placebo +basic ı	regimen		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Poole-Wilson 2004(ACTION)	389	3825	172	3840	100.0%	2.27 [1.91, 2.70]	
Total (95% CI)		3825		3840	100.0%	2.27 [1.91, 2.70]	•
Total events Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 9.25	389 (P < 0.00001)		172				0.01 0.1 1 10
rest for overall effect. Z = 3.23	(1 < 0.00001)						Favours CCB Favours P

1.5 combined outcome (death, acute MI, refractory angina, new overt HF, debilitating stroke, peripheral revas) (age >65yrs)

	CCB +basic re	gimen	Placebo +basic	regimen		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Poole-Wilson 2004(ACTION)	467	1772	466	1776	100.0%	1.00 [0.90, 1.12]	
Total (95% CI)		1772		1776	100.0%	1.00 [0.90, 1.12]	•
Total events	467		466				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.08	(P = 0.94)						0.01 0.1 1 10 Favours CCB Favours P

1.6 combined outcome (death, acute MI, refractory angina, new overt HF, debilitating stroke, peripheral revas) (females)

	CCB +basic re	gimen	Placebo +basic	regimen		Risk Ratio	Risk F	Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed	d, 95% CI
Poole-Wilson 2004(ACTION)	166	784	147	797	100.0%	1.15 [0.94, 1.40]		
Total (95% CI)		784		797	100.0%	1.15 [0.94, 1.40]		•
Total events	166		147					<u>i</u>
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	(D 0.17)						0.01 0.1 1	10
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.36$	(P = 0.17)						Favours CCB	Favours P

1.7 combined outcome (death, acute MI, refractory angina, new overt HF, debilitating stroke, peripheral revas) (diabetes)

	CCB +basic re	gimen	Placebo +basic	regimen		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Poole-Wilson 2004(ACTION)	164	565	170	545	100.0%	0.93 [0.78, 1.11]	
Total (95% CI)		565		545	100.0%	0.93 [0.78, 1.11]	♦
Total events	164		170				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.79$	(P = 0.43)						0.01 0.1 1 10 Favours CCB Favours P

1.8 Combined outcome (death from any cause, acute MI, refractory angina, new overt HF, debilitating stroke, peripheral revas)(age <65 years)

	CCB +basic re	gimen	Placebo +basic i	regimen		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Poole-Wilson 2004(ACTION)	337	2053	362	2064	100.0%	0.94 [0.82, 1.07]	
Total (95% CI)		2053		2064	100.0%	0.94 [0.82, 1.07]	♦
Total events Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.96	337 (P = 0.34)		362				0.01 0.1 1 10 Favours CCB Favours P

1.9 combined outcome (death from any cause, acute MI, refractory angina, new overt HF, debilitating stroke ,peripheral revas)(males)

	CCB +basic re	egimen	Placebo +basic	regimen		Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	d, 95% CI
Poole-Wilson 2004(ACTION)	638	3041	681	3043	100.0%	0.94 [0.85, 1.03]		
Total (95% CI)		3041		3043	100.0%	0.94 [0.85, 1.03]		
Total events	638		681					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 1.32	(P = 0.10)						0.01 0.1	10
rest for overall effect. Z = 1.32	$(\Gamma = 0.19)$						Favours CCB	Favours P

1.10 combined outcome (death from any cause, acute MI, refractory angina, new overt HF, debilitating stroke ,peripheral revas)(no diabetes)

	CCB +basic re	egimen	Placebo +basic	regimen		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		
Poole-Wilson 2004(ACTION)	640	3260	658	3295	100.0%	0.98 [0.89, 1.08]			
Total (95% CI)		3260		3295	100.0%	0.98 [0.89, 1.08]	•		
Total events	640		658						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.34$	+ (P = 0.73)						0.01 0.1 1 10 Favours CCB Favours P		


Nitrates for stable angina

1 BB+Nitrates vs. BB+CCB


1.1 Exercise time (Sec)

	BB +	Nitrat	es	BE	+CCE	3		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, I	ixed, 959	6CI	
De Vries 1994	12	77.2	46	22	75.2	46	100.0%	-10.00 [-41.14, 21.14]					
Total (95% CI)			46			46	100.0%	-10.00 [-41.14, 21.14]		—			
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	3 (P = 0).53)						-100 Favours	-50 s BB+Nitra	0 ates Fav	50 ours BB+0	100 CCB

1.2 Time to onset of angina (Sec)




1.3 Time to ST segment depression (sec)



1.4 Adverse effects (overall)

	BB +Nitrates BB +CCB			CB		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
De Vries 1994	22	46	14	43	100.0%	1.47 [0.87, 2.48]	-
Total (95% CI)		46		43	100.0%	1.47 [0.87, 2.48]	•
Total events	22		14				
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	P = 0.15))				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours BB+Nitrates Favours BB+CCB

1.5 Stopping due to adverse events



Nitrates for stable angina

1.6 Headache



1 Nicorandil vs. Placebo (Follow-up 1.6 years)

1.1 CHD death

	Nicora	ndil	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
IONA (2002)	60	2565	73	2561	100.0%	0.82 [0.59, 1.15]	
Total (95% CI)		2565		2561	100.0%	0.82 [0.59, 1.15]	•
Total events	60		73				
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		P = 0.2	5)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Nicorandil Favours placebo

1.2 Non fatal MI

	Nicora	ndil	Place	bo		Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fixe	d, 95% CI	
IONA (2002)	56	2565	72	2561	100.0%	0.78 [0.55, 1.10]				
Total (95% CI)		2565		2561	100.0%	0.78 [0.55, 1.10]		•		
Total events	56		72							
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01).1 1	1 10	 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.44 (P = 0.1	5)						Favours p	


1.3 Unstable Angina

	Nicora	ndil	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
IONA (2002)	115	2565	127	2561	100.0%	0.90 [0.71, 1.16]	
Total (95% CI)		2565		2561	100.0%	0.90 [0.71, 1.16]	♦
Total events	115		127				
Heterogeneity: Not app	plicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.80 (P = 0.42	2)				Favours Nicorandil Favours placebo

1.4 All cardiovascular events

	Nicora	ndil	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
IONA (2002)	378	2565	436	2561	100.0%	0.87 [0.76, 0.98]	•
Total (95% CI)		2565		2561	100.0%	0.87 [0.76, 0.98]	•
Total events	378		436				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 2.24 (3)				Favours Nicorandil Favours Placebo	

1.5 All cause mortality



1.6 Worsening of angina status

	Nicora	ndil	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
IONA (2002)	569	2565	602	2561	100.0%	0.94 [0.85, 1.04]	
Total (95% CI)		2565		2561	100.0%	0.94 [0.85, 1.04]	•
Total events	569		602				
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		P = 0.26	6)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Nicorandil Favours Placebo

1.7 Gl disturbances

	Nicora	ndil	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
IONA (2002)	194	2565	132	2561	100.0%	1.47 [1.18, 1.82]	•
Total (95% CI)		2565		2561	100.0%	1.47 [1.18, 1.82]	♦
Total events	194		132				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.51 (P = 0.00	005)				Favours Nicorandil Favours Placebo


1.8 Combined outcome (diabetes subgroup)

	Nicora	ndil	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI				
IONA (2004)	27	197	40	232	100.0%	0.79 [0.51, 1.25]]				
Total (95% CI)		197		232	100.0%	0.79 [0.51, 1.25]	ı ♦				
Total events	27		40								
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	P = 0.3	2)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Nicorandil Favours Placebo				

1.9 Combined outcomes (age subgroup >70 yrs)

	Nicora	ndil	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
IONA (2004)	131	927	167	948	100.0%	0.80 [0.65, 0.99]	_
Total (95% CI)		927		948	100.0%	0.80 [0.65, 0.99]	♦
Total events	131		167				
Heterogeneity: Not app	•						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.06 (P = 0.0	4)				Favours Nicorandil Favours Placebo

1.10 combined outcomes (male subgroup)




1.11 Combined outcomes (female subgroup)

	Nicora	ndil	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
IONA (2004)	86	603	87	613	100.0%	1.00 [0.76, 1.32]	
Total (95% CI)		603		613	100.0%	1.00 [0.76, 1.32]	•
Total events	86		87				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.03 (P = 0.9	7)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Nicorandil Favours Placebo

1.12 Composite (CHD death,non fatal MI or hospital adm. for chest pain)

	Nicora	ndil	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
IONA (2002)	337	2565	398	2561	100.0%	0.85 [0.74, 0.97]	
Total (95% CI)		2565		2561	100.0%	0.85 [0.74, 0.97]	♦
Total events	337		398				
Heterogeneity: Not appropriate the Test for overall effect:	•	P = 0.0	1)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Nicorandil Favours Placebo


1.13 composite (CHD death or non fatal MI)



1.14 Compiste (CHD death, non fatal MI, or unstable angina)

	Nicora	ndil	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI				
IONA (2002)	156	2565	195	2561	100.0%	0.80 [0.65, 0.98]					
Total (95% CI)		2565		2561	100.0%	0.80 [0.65, 0.98]	♦				
Total events	156		195								
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:		P = 0.03	3)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Nicorandil Favours Placebo				

1.15 Combined outcome (age subgroup 65-70 yrs)



1.16 Combined outcomes (age subgroup <65 yrs)

	Nicora	ndil	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
IONA (2004)	124	1039	150	1046	100.0%	0.83 [0.67, 1.04]	
Total (95% CI)		1039		1046	100.0%	0.83 [0.67, 1.04]	•
Total events	124		150				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.62 (P = 0.10	0)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Nicorandil Favours Placebo

1.17 Headache

	Nicora	ndil	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI				
IONA (2002)	364	2565	81	2561	100.0%	4.49 [3.55, 5.67]					
Total (95% CI)		2565		2561	100.0%	4.49 [3.55, 5.67]	♦				
Total events	364		81								
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:							0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Nicorandil Favours Placebo				

2 Nicorandil vs. Diltiazem (Follow-up 90 days)


2.1 Excercise capacity (work to angina onset)

	Nie	corandi	I	Diltiazem				Mean Difference		Mea	an Differe	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95°	%CI	
Guermonprez 1993	48.1	174.7	50	44.7	149.7	56	100.0%	3.40 [-58.91, 65.71]					
Total (95% CI)			50			56	100.0%	3.40 [-58.91, 65.71]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•		91)						-100 Favo	-50 urs Nicora	0 Indil Fav	50 ours Diltia	100 azem

2.2 Excercise capacity (work to ischemic threshold)

	Nic	corandi	I	Di	ltiazem			Mean Difference		Mea	an Differe	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95°	%CI	
Guermonprez 1993	38.7	171.1	50	37.8	145.2	56	100.0%	0.90 [-59.89, 61.69]					
Total (95% CI)			50			56	100.0%	0.90 [-59.89, 61.69]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P = 0.	98)						-100 Favoi	-50 urs Nicora	0 Indil Fav	50 ours Diltia	100 azem

2.3 Excercise capacity (work to peak excercise)



2.4 Adverse events (combined)

	Nicora	ndil	Diltiaz	em		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Guermonprez 1993	19	60	19	63	100.0%	1.05 [0.62, 1.78]	-
Total (95% CI)		60		63	100.0%	1.05 [0.62, 1.78]	*
Total events	19		19				
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:		P = 0.80	6)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Nicorandil Favours Diltiazem

3 Nicorandil vs. Amlodipine (Follow-up 8 weeks)

3.1 ETT (Time to ST-segment depression)

	Expe	rimen	tal	Co	ntro	I		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, I	Fixed, 95	%CI	
Chatterjee 1999	5.1	2.3	56	5.7	2.4	62	100.0%	-0.60 [-1.45, 0.25]					
Total (95% CI)			56			62	100.0%	-0.60 [-1.45, 0.25]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P = 0).17)						-100 Favo	-50 urs Nicora	0 ndil Fav	50 ours Amlo	100 dipine


3.2 ETT (Time to onset of anginal pain)

	Expe	rimen	tal	Co	ontro	I		Mean Difference		Mea	an Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	6CI	
Chatterjee 1999	6.1	3	56	7	3.1	62	100.0%	-0.90 [-2.00, 0.20]					
Total (95% CI)			56			62	100.0%	-0.90 [-2.00, 0.20]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P = 0).11)						-100 Favo	-50 urs Nicora	0 ndil Favo	50 ours Amlo	100 odipine

3.3 ETT (Total excercise duration)

	Nice	orano	lil	Aml	odipir	ne		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, I	Fixed, 95%	6 CI	
Chatterjee 1999	7.2	3	56	7.9	2.4	62	100.0%	-0.70 [-1.69, 0.29]					
Total (95% CI)			56			62	100.0%	-0.70 [-1.69, 0.29]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P =	0.16)						-100 Favo	-50 ours Nicora	0 ndil Fav	50 ours Amlo	100 dipine


3.4 ETT (Segment depression at maximal identical workload)



3.5 Sum of weekly anginal attacks

	Nic	orand	lil	Aml	niqibo	ne		Mean Difference		Me	an Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	6CI	
Chatterjee 1999	2.1	2	56	0.9	1.6	62	100.0%	1.20 [0.54, 1.86]					
Total (95% CI)			56			62	100.0%	1.20 [0.54, 1.86]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P =	0.0004	.)					-100 Favo	-50 urs Nicora	0 andil Favo	50 ours Amlo	100 odipine

3.6 Adverse events (combined)



4 Nicorandil vs. Nifedipine (Follow-up immediately after 8 weeks of treatment)


4.1 Weekly anginal attack rate

	Nice	orano	lil	Nife	dipin	ie		Mean Difference		Me	an Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 959	% CI	
Ulvenstam1992	2.1	2.1	27	7.4	15	23	100.0%	-5.30 [-11.48, 0.88]					
Total (95% CI)			27			23	100.0%	-5.30 [-11.48, 0.88]	•	•	•		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P =	0.09)						-100 Favou	-50 irs Nicora	0 andil Fav	50 ours Nifed	100 dipine

4.2 Exercise duration (min)

	Nic	orano	lil	Nife	dipin	e		Mean Difference		Mea	an Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 959	%CI	
Ulvenstam1992	11.4	3.2	25	10.4	2.4	23	100.0%	1.00 [-0.59, 2.59]					
Total (95% CI)			25			23	100.0%	1.00 [-0.59, 2.59]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P =	0.22)						-100 Favoi	-50 urs Nicora	0 Indil Fav	50 ours Nifed	100 dipine

4.3 Time to onset of angina pectoris (min)



4.4 Time to 1mm ST-depression (min)

	Nice	oranc	lil	Nife	dipin	e		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differ	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95	%CI	
Ulvenstam1992	8	3.2	23	6.4	2.2	20	100.0%	1.60 [-0.02, 3.22]					
Total (95% CI)			23			20	100.0%	1.60 [-0.02, 3.22]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:		(P =	0.05)						-100 Favou	-50 rs Nicorai	0 ndil Fa	50 vours Nife	100 dipine

4.5 ST depression on maximal identical workload (mm)

	Nic	orand	lil	Nife	edipin	е		Mean Difference		Mea	an Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 959	%CI	
Ulvenstam1992	1.9	0.89	24	1.7	0.75	20	100.0%	0.20 [-0.28, 0.68]					
Total (95% CI)			24			20	100.0%	0.20 [-0.28, 0.68]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P = 0	0.42)						-100 Favou	-50 rs Nicora	0 ndil Fav	50 ours Nifed	100 dipine

4.6 Adverse events (combined)




5 Nicorandil vs. ISMN (Follow-up 2 weeks)

5.1 ETT (Time to ST-depression)

	Nic	orandi	I		ISMN			Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl		IV, F	ixed, 95°	%CI	
Zhu 2007	392.8	169.1	114	390.4	141.9	116	100.0%	2.40 [-37.98, 42.78]		_		_	
Total (95% CI)			114			116	100.0%	2.40 [-37.98, 42.78]		-		-	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P = 0.	91)						-100 Favour	-50 s Nicora	0 ndil Fav	50 ours ISN	100 //N

5.2 ETT (Total excercise time)



5.3 ETT (Time to onset of chest pain)

	Nic	corandi	il		ISMN			Mean Difference	Mean D	Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% C	I IV, Fixe	ed, 95% CI	
Zhu 2007	408.2	137.1	37	418.6	119.2	37	100.0%	-10.40 [-68.94, 48.14]]		
Total (95% CI)			37			37	100.0%	-10.40 [-68.94, 48.14]			
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P = 0.	73)						-100 -50 Favours Nicorandil	0 50 I Favours ISI	100 MN

5.4 Adverse event (Headache)

	Nicora	ndil	ISMI	V		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Zhu 2007	15	123	18	123	100.0%	0.83 [0.44, 1.58]	-
Total (95% CI)		123		123	100.0%	0.83 [0.44, 1.58]	•
Total events	15		18				
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		P = 0.58	8)			1	0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Nicorandil Favours ISMN

Nicorandil versus propanolol for stable angina

1 Nicorandil vs propanalol (Follow-up 6 weeks)

1.1 Angina free in daily life

	Nicora	Nicorandil		olol		Risk Ratio					
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		M-F	l, Fixed, 95	%CI	
Meeter 1992	11	32	13	37	100.0%	0.98 [0.51, 1.87]			-		
Total (95% CI)		32		37	100.0%	0.98 [0.51, 1.87]			•		
Total events	11		13								
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	P = 0.9	5)				0.01 Favou	0.1	1 andil Fav	10 ours pror	100 panolol

1.2 12 hrs after medication - change in maximal work load (W) (baseline vs 3 weeks)

	Nice	orano	lik	Proj	oanol	lol		Mean Difference		Me	an Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	6CI	
Meeter 1992	-1	19	32	5	18	37	100.0%	-6.00 [-14.77, 2.77]					
Total (95% CI)			32			37	100.0%	-6.00 [-14.77, 2.77]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P =	0.18)						-100 Favo	-50 urs nicora	0 andil Fav	50 ours Propi	100 ranolol

1.3 12 hrs after medication - change in maximal work load (W) - baseline vs 6 wks

	Nice	orano	lil	Pro	pano	lol		Mean Difference		Me	an Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	6CI	
Meeter 1992	1	24	32	6	21	37	100.0%	-5.00 [-15.72, 5.72]					
Total (95% CI)			32			37	100.0%	-5.00 [-15.72, 5.72]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P =	0.36)						-100 Favo	-50 urs Nicora	0 andil Favo	50 ours Propi	100 ranolol

1.4 12 hrs after medication - change in time to angina decimal min (baseline vs 3wks)

	Nice	orano	lil	Pro	oanol	ol		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95°	%CI	
Meeter 1992	0.4	2	32	0.5	2	37	100.0%	-0.10 [-1.05, 0.85]					
Total (95% CI)			32			37	100.0%	-0.10 [-1.05, 0.85]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	'	(P =	0.84)						-100 Favo	-50 ours nicora	0 ndil Fav	50 ours prop	100 anolol

1.5 12 hrs after medication - change in time to angina (baseline vs 6 wks)

	Nice	orano	lil	Proj	oanol	ol		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95	%CI	
Meeter 1992	0.4	2	32	8.0	2	37	100.0%	-0.40 [-1.35, 0.55]					
Total (95% CI)			32			37	100.0%	-0.40 [-1.35, 0.55]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P =	0.41)						-100 Favo	-50 urs nicorar	0 ndil Fav	50 ours prop	100 anolol

Nicorandil versus propanolol for stable angina

1.6 2 hrs after medication - change in maximal work load (W) (baseline vs 3ks)

	Nico	orand	lil	Pro	panol	lol		Mean Difference		Mea	an Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	6 CI	
Meeter 1992	3	14	32	8	20	37	100.0%	-5.00 [-13.07, 3.07]					
Total (95% CI)			32			37	100.0%	-5.00 [-13.07, 3.07]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P =	0.22)						-100 Favo	-50 urs Nicora	0 Indil Favo	50 ours Propr	100 anolol

1.7 2 hrs after medication - change in maximal work load (W) (baseline vs 6 wks)

	Nice	orano	lil	Pro	panol	lol		Mean Difference		Me	an Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	6CI	
Meeter 1992	4	17	32	9	23	37	100.0%	-5.00 [-14.47, 4.47]			-		
Total (95% CI)			32			37	100.0%	-5.00 [-14.47, 4.47]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	•	(P =	0.30)						-100 Favo	-50 urs Nicora	0 andil Favo	50 ours Propr	100

1.8 2 hrs after medication time to angina

	Nice	orano	lik	Pro	oano	ol		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95%	6 CI	
Meeter 1992	1	1	32	8.0	2	37	100.0%	0.20 [-0.53, 0.93]					
Total (95% CI)			32			37	100.0%	0.20 [-0.53, 0.93]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P =	0.59)						-100 Favo	-50 urs Niocra	0 ndil Favo	50 ours Prop	100 ranolol

1.9 2 hrs after medication time to angina

	Nice	orand	lil	Pro	panol	ol		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Meeter 1992	1.5	2	32	0.9	2	37	100.0%	0.60 [-0.35, 1.55]	
Total (95% CI)			32			37	100.0%	0.60 [-0.35, 1.55]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P =	0.21)						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours Niocorandil Favours Propranolol

Medical versus CABG for stable angina

1 Multi vessel disease- Short term follow-up (1 year)

1.1 Death

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Hueb 2004 (MASS-II)	3	203	8	203	100.0%	0.38 [0.10, 1.39]	-
Total (95% CI)		203		203	100.0%	0.38 [0.10, 1.39]	
Total events	3		8				
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	z = 1.46 (P	= 0.14)				Favours Medical Favours CABG

1.2 Q wave MI

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Hueb 2004 (MASS-II)	10	203	4	203	100.0%	2.50 [0.80, 7.84]	+
Total (95% CI)		203		203	100.0%	2.50 [0.80, 7.84]	
Total events	10		4				
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		° = 0.12)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours CABG

1.3 Stroke

	Medical		CABG		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fixe	d, 95%C		
Hueb 2004 (MASS-II)	3	203	3	203	100.0%	1.00 [0.20, 4.90]					
Total (95% CI)		203		203	100.0%	1.00 [0.20, 4.90]					
Total events	3		3								
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		9 = 1.00)					0.1 s Medical		0 CA	100 BG

1.4 Non protocol revascularisation

	Medical		CABG			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		
Hueb 2004 (MASS-II)	16	203	1	203	100.0%	16.00 [2.14, 119.52]			
Total (95% CI)		203		203	100.0%	16.00 [2.14, 119.52]			
Total events	16		1						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable 0.01 0.1 1 10							1 10 100		
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.00	7)				Favours Medical			

1.5 Free of angina



1.6 Death- subgroup diabetes

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Soares 2006 (MASS -II)	2	75	4	59	100.0%	0.39 [0.07, 2.07]	-
Total (95% CI)		75		59	100.0%	0.39 [0.07, 2.07]	
Total events	2		4				
Heterogeneity: Not applica	ıble						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.10 (P =	0.27)					Favours Medical Favours CABG

1.7 Death- subgroup no diabetes

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Soares 2006 (MASS -II)	2	128	7	144	100.0%	0.32 [0.07, 1.52]	
Total (95% CI)		128		144	100.0%	0.32 [0.07, 1.52]	
Total events	2		7				
Heterogeneity: Not applicate Test for overall effect: Z =		0.15)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours CABG

2 Multivessel disease- Medium term follow-up (2 to 4 years)

2.1 Death

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Read 1977 (VA study)	60	354	46	332	69.9%	1.22 [0.86, 1.74]	=
Varnauskas 1979 (ECSS)	29	373	21	394	30.1%	1.46 [0.85, 2.51]	 -
Total (95% CI)		727		726	100.0%	1.29 [0.96, 1.74]	•
Total events	89		67				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.28$, Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$	•	, .	$I^2 = 0\%$				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours medical Favours CABG

2.2 cardiac death

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio			Ris	k Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI			M-H, Fix	ked, 95%	6 CI		
Varnauskas 1979 (ECSS)	27	373	10	394	100.0%	2.85 [1.40, 5.81]					-		
Total (95% CI)		373		394	100.0%	2.85 [1.40, 5.81]				•	•		
Total events	27		10										
Heterogeneity: Not applicab							0.01	0	.1	1	10)	100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$.89 (P = 0	.004)					Favo	ours	Medica	al Favoi	urs	CA	BG

2.3 MI



2.4 Free of angina

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	
Guinn 1976 (VA study)	5	60	38	56	11.4%	0.12 [0.05, 0.29]		
Varnauskas 1979 (ECSS)	175	373	315	394	88.6%	0.59 [0.52, 0.66]		
Total (95% CI)		433		450	100.0%	0.53 [0.47, 0.60]	•	
Total events	180		353					
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 13.68$ Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$	0.01 0.1 1 10 Favours Medical Favours CAB	100 3G						

2.5 Death- sub group 2 vessel disease

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Varnauskas 1979 (ECSS)	6	154	10	147	100.0%	0.57 [0.21, 1.54]	-
Total (95% CI)		154		147	100.0%	0.57 [0.21, 1.54]	
Total events Heterogeneity: Not applicable	6		10				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.		.27)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours CABG

2.6 Death - sub group 3 vessel disease

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Detre 1977 (VA study)	27	158	19	135	71.1%	1.21 [0.71, 2.08]	-
Varnauskas 1979 (ECSS)	19	188	9	219	28.9%	2.46 [1.14, 5.30]	-
Total (95% CI)		346		354	100.0%	1.57 [1.02, 2.44]	•
Total events	46		28				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.18,	df = 1 (P =	= 0.14);	$I^2 = 54\%$				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$.03 (P = 0)	.04)					Favours Medical Favours CABG

2.7 Non protocol revascularisation



3 Multivessel disease -Long term follow-up (>4 years)

3.1 Death

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Alderman 1990 (CASS)	81	390	70	390	14.3%	1.16 [0.87, 1.54]	 -
Frick 1985	10	50	2	45	0.4%	4.50 [1.04, 19.45]	-
Hueb 2010 (MASS-II)	63	203	51	203	10.4%	1.24 [0.90, 1.69]	 -
Kloster 1979	5	49	4	51	0.8%	1.30 [0.37, 4.56]	
Peduzzi 1998 (VA study)	265	354	265	332	55.8%	0.94 [0.86, 1.02]	•
Varnauaskas 1988 (ECSS)	109	373	92	394	18.3%	1.25 [0.99, 1.59]	-
Total (95% CI)		1419		1415	100.0%	1.08 [0.99, 1.17]	
Total events	533		484				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 17.29, o	df = 5 (P =	0.004)	; I ² = 71%	6			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.6$	7 (P = 0.0	9)					Favours Medical Favours CABG

3.2 cardiac death

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Bhayana 1978 (VA study)	36	75	33	71	43.1%	1.03 [0.73, 1.46]	•
Varnauaskas 1988 (ECSS)	76	373	46	394	56.9%	1.75 [1.25, 2.45]	-
Total (95% CI)		448		465	100.0%	1.44 [1.12, 1.84]	♦
Total events	112		79				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 4.84, d	= 1 (P =	0.03); l ²	² = 79%				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.8$	9 (P = 0.0)	004)					Favours Medical Favours CABG

3.3 MI

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Fisher 1984 (CASS)	43	390	53	390	23.5%	0.81 [0.56, 1.18]	
Hueb 2010 (MASS-II)	42	203	21	203	9.3%	2.00 [1.23, 3.25]	
Kloster 1979	8	49	10	51	4.4%	0.83 [0.36, 1.93]	
Peduzzi 1998 (VA study)	123	354	137	332	62.8%	0.84 [0.69, 1.02]	•
Total (95% CI)		996		976	100.0%	0.94 [0.80, 1.10]	♦
Total events	216		221				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 11.2	1, df = 3 (F)	P = 0.01); I ² = 73	%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$	0.73 (P = 0	0.46)					Favours Medical Favours CABG

3.4 Free of angina

	Medical	I	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events T	Γotal	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		
Hueb 2010 (MASS-II)	88	203	130	203	25.9%	0.68 [0.56, 0.82]	=		
Peduzzi 1992 (VA study)	10	354	13	332	2.7%	0.72 [0.32, 1.62]	-+		
Rogers 1990 (CASS)	163	390	183	390	36.4%	0.89 [0.76, 1.04]	•		
Varnauskas 1982 (ECSS)	104	373	181	394	35.0%	0.61 [0.50, 0.74]	-		
Total (95% CI)	1	1320		1319	100.0%	0.73 [0.66, 0.81]	♦		
Total events	365		507						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 10.16	df = 3 (P =	0.02)	; I ² = 70%	, D			0.01 0.1 1 10 100		
Test for overall effect: Z = 5	Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.97 (P < 0.00001)$								

3.5 stroke

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Hueb 2010 (MASS-II)	14	203	17	203	100.0%	0.82 [0.42, 1.63]	-
Total (95% CI)		203		203	100.0%	0.82 [0.42, 1.63]	•
Total events	14		17				
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		° = 0.58)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours CABG


3.6 Non protocol revascularisation

	Medic	Medical		CABG		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Hueb 2010 (MASS-II)	80	203	15	203	10.4%	5.33 [3.18, 8.94]	—
Peduzzi 1998 (VA study)	194	354	78	332	55.7%	2.33 [1.88, 2.89]	
Rogers 1990 (CASS)	168	390	49	390	33.9%	3.43 [2.58, 4.56]	-
Total (95% CI)		947		925	100.0%	3.02 [2.56, 3.55]	•
Total events	442		142				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 10.90		0.01 0.1 1 10 100					
Test for overall effect: Z = 1		Favours Medical Favours CABG					

3.7 Death- sub group 2 vessel disease

	Medic	Medical		CABG		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Alderman 1990 (CASS)	31	148	20	160	58.2%	1.68 [1.00, 2.81]	-
Kloster 1979	2	19	0	17	1.6%	4.50 [0.23, 87.61]	
Varnauskas 1982 (ECSS)	20	154	13	147	40.2%	1.47 [0.76, 2.84]	 -
Total (95% CI)		321		324	100.0%	1.64 [1.10, 2.45]	◆
Total events	53		33				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.56,	df = 2 (P =		1 1 10				
Test for overall effect: Z = 2	0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours CABG						

3.8 Death- sub group 3 vessel disease



3.9 Mortality- age >53 yrs

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Alderman 1990 (CASS)	46	163	39	163	100.0%	1.18 [0.82, 1.70]	
Total (95% CI)		163		163	100.0%	1.18 [0.82, 1.70]	•
Total events	46		39				
Heterogeneity: Not applic Test for overall effect: Z =		0.38)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours CABG

3.10 Mortality- age <47 years

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Alderman 1990 (CASS)	16	101	17	92	100.0%	0.86 [0.46, 1.60]	-
Total (95% CI)		101		92	100.0%	0.86 [0.46, 1.60]	•
Total events	16		17				
Heterogeneity: Not applic Test for overall effect: Z =		0.63)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours CABG

3.11 Mortality- age 47-53 years


	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% C	1	
Alderman 1990 (CASS)	23	126	16	135	100.0%	1.54 [0.85, 2.78]				
Total (95% CI)		126		135	100.0%	1.54 [0.85, 2.78]		•		
Total events	23		16							
Heterogeneity: Not applic Test for overall effect: Z =		0.15)).1 s Medical	•	IO S CAI	100 BG

4 Single vessel disease- medium term follow-up (2-4 years)

4.1 Death

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Hueb 1995 (MASS- I)	0	72	1	70	100.0%	0.32 [0.01, 7.83]	
Total (95% CI)		72		70	100.0%	0.32 [0.01, 7.83]	
Total events	0		1				
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.69 (P = 0.49)$							Favours Medical Favours CABG

4.2 Stroke



4.3 MI

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Hueb 1995 (MASS- I)	2	72	1	70	100.0%	1.94 [0.18, 20.96]	
Total (95% CI)		72		70	100.0%	1.94 [0.18, 20.96]	
Total events	2		1				
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		o = 0.58)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours CABG

4.4 Non protocol revascularisation

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio		F	lisk Rati	0	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H,	Fixed, 9	5% CI	
Hueb 1995 (MASS- I)	7	72	0	70	100.0%	14.59 [0.85, 250.71]			+		\longrightarrow
Total (95% CI)		72		70	100.0%	14.59 [0.85, 250.71]			-		
Total events	7		0								
Heterogeneity: Not appl Test for overall effect: Z		9 = 0.06)				0.01 Favo	0.1 ours Med	1 ical Fav	10	100

4.5 Free of angina


	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk R	atio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed	, 95% CI
Hueb 1995 (MASS- I)	23	72	68	70	100.0%	0.33 [0.23, 0.46]		
Total (95% CI)		72		70	100.0%	0.33 [0.23, 0.46]	•	
Total events	23		68					
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable						0.01 0.1 1	10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 6.42 (P			Favours CABG				

5 Single vessel disease -Long term follow-up (>4 years)

5.1 Death

	Medical C		CABO	G .		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Alderman 1990 (CASS)	19	107	16	107	86.1%	1.19 [0.65, 2.18]	-
Hueb 1999 (MASS-I)	6	72	2	70	10.9%	2.92 [0.61, 13.97]	 •
Kloster 1979	1	10	0	8	3.0%	2.45 [0.11, 53.25]	-
Total (95% CI)		189		185	100.0%	1.41 [0.81, 2.46]	•
Total events	26		18				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.26	6, df = 2 (F)	P = 0.53	3); I ² = 0%				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.23 (P =	0.22)					Favours Medical Favours CABG

5.2 Cardiac death



5.3 MI

	Medical		CABG			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Hueb 1999 (MASS-I)	3	72	3	70	100.0%	0.97 [0.20, 4.66]	
Total (95% CI)		72		70	100.0%	0.97 [0.20, 4.66]	
Total events	3		3				
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.04 (F	P = 0.97	7)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours CABG


5.4 Stroke

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Hueb 1999 (MASS-I)	1	72	1	70	100.0%	0.97 [0.06, 15.24]	
Total (95% CI)		72		70	100.0%	0.97 [0.06, 15.24]	
Total events	1		1				
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.02 (F	P = 0.98	3)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours CABG

5.5 Non protocol revascularisation

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio		F	lisk Ra	tio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H,	Fixed, 9	95% CI	
Hueb 1999 (MASS-I)	12	72	0	70	100.0%	24.32 [1.47, 402.97]			-		\rightarrow
Total (95% CI)		72		70	100.0%	24.32 [1.47, 402.97]			-		
Total events	12		0								
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:		P = 0.03	3)				0.01 Favo	0.1 ours Med	1 ical Fa	10 avours CA	100 \BG

5.6 Free of angina



6 Left main stem disease- Medium term follow-up (2 to 4 years)

6.1 Death

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Detre 1977 (VA study)	16	44	3	46	58.3%	5.58 [1.74, 17.82]	
Varnauskas 1979 (ECSS)	4	31	2	28	41.7%	1.81 [0.36, 9.12]	-
Total (95% CI)		75		74	100.0%	4.00 [1.60, 10.03]	•
Total events	20		5				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1.24$, Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$			I ² = 19%				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours CABG

7 Left main stem disease- Long term follow-up (>4 years)

7.1 Death

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Alderman 1990 (CASS)	3	6	0	8	1.0%	9.00 [0.55, 147.08]	
Peduzzi 1998 (VA study)	38	43	43	48	89.8%	0.99 [0.85, 1.14]	
Varnauskas 1982 (ECSS)	10	31	4	28	9.3%	2.26 [0.80, 6.39]	
Total (95% CI)		80		84	100.0%	1.18 [0.97, 1.43]	•
Total events	51		47				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 9.48, (df = 2 (P =	= 0.009)	; I ² = 79%	6			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.	.69 (P = 0	.09)					Favours Medical Favours CABG

7.2 MI

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Peduzzi 1998 (VA study)	16	43	21	48	100.0%	0.85 [0.51, 1.41]	-
Total (95% CI)		43		48	100.0%	0.85 [0.51, 1.41]	•
Total events	16		21				
Heterogeneity: Not applica Test for overall effect: Z =		0.53)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours CABG


8 Left anterior descending artery - Long term follow-up (>4 years)

8.1 Death

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Alderman 1990 (CASS)	60	275	50	277	45.3%	1.21 [0.86, 1.69]	=
Varnauaskas 1988 (ECSS)	84	240	63	262	54.7%	1.46 [1.10, 1.92]	-
Total (95% CI)		515		539	100.0%	1.34 [1.09, 1.66]	♦
Total events	144		113				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.70, di	= 1 (P =	0.40); l ²	$r^2 = 0\%$				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.72$ (P = 0.007)							Favours Medical Favours Medical

9 Sub group interaction

9.1 Sub group 2 vessel and 3 vessel (Death) - Multivessel medium term follow-up



9.2 Sub group 2 vessel and 3 vessel (Death) - Multivessel-long term follow-up

			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
meta analysis- 2 vessel	0.49469624 0.20	428 40.1%	1.64 [1.10, 2.45]	-
meta analysis- 3 vessel	0.39204209 0.167	104 59.9%	1.48 [1.07, 2.05]	=
Total (95% CI)		100.0%	1.54 [1.20, 1.99]	♦
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.15 Test for overall effect: Z =	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	%		0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours CABG

$9.3 \; \text{Sub group age} \; \text{<} 47,47\text{-}53, \text{>} 53 \; \text{years (Death)} \; \text{-} \; \text{Multivessel-long term follow-up}$

				Risk Ratio			Risk Rati	0	
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, I	ixed, 95	%CI	
meta analysis- 47-53	0.43178242	0.302288	22.0%	1.54 [0.85, 2.79]			+-		
meta analysis- age <47	-0.1508229	0.317993	19.9%	0.86 [0.46, 1.60]			-		
meta analysis->53	0.16551444	0.18599	58.1%	1.18 [0.82, 1.70]			+		
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.17 [0.89, 1.55]			•		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.70	6, df = 2 (P = 0.41)	; I ² = 0%			0.01	0.1	+	10	100
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.14 (P = 0.26)					• • •	ical Fa		

1 Multivessel disease- short term follow-up (1 year)

1.1 Death

	Medic	al	PCI or C	ABG		Risk Ratio			Risk Ratio)	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		М-Н	Fixed 95	%CI	
Pfisterer 2003 (TIME)	12	148	17	153	100.0%	0.73 [0.36, 1.47]			,-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Total (95% CI)		148		153	100.0%	0.73 [0.36, 1.47]					
Total events	12		17			. , .					
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable						—				
Test for overall effect: Z	Z = 0.88 (P	9 = 0.38)				0.01 Fav	0.1 ours Med	1 dical Fav	10 ours PCI	100 or CABG

1.2 MI

	Medical PCI or 0			PCI or CABG Risk Ratio					Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, I	Fixed, 95°	% CI			
Pfisterer 2003 (TIME)	20	148	14	153	100.0%	1.48 [0.78, 2.81]							
Total (95% CI)		148		153	100.0%	1.48 [0.78, 2.81]							
Total events	20		14										
Heterogeneity: Not appl		0.04	`				0.01	0.1	1	10	100		
Test for overall effect: Z	. = 1.19 (P	= 0.24)				Fa۱	ours Medi	cal Favo	urs PCI	or CABG		

1.3 Non protocol revascularisation

	Medic	al	PCI or C	ABG		Risk Ratio		Ri	sk Ratio)	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, F	ixed, 95	% CI	
Pfisterer 2003 (TIME)	71	148	16	153	100.0%	4.59 [2.80, 7.51]					
Total (95% CI)		148		153	100.0%	4.59 [2.80, 7.51]				•	
Total events	71		16								
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		o < 0.00	001)				0.01 Fav	0.1 ours Medic	1 cal Fav	10 ours P(100 Cl or CABG

2 Multi vessel disease- medium term follow-up (2 to 4 years)

2.1 Death

	Medic	al	PCI or C	ABG		Risk Ratio		F	Risk Ratio	0	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		M-H,	Fixed, 95	5% CI	
Pfisterer 2004 (TIME)	31	139	29	137	100.0%	1.05 [0.67, 1.65]					
Total (95% CI)		139		137	100.0%	1.05 [0.67, 1.65]			•		
Total events	31		29								
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable						0.01	0.1	+	10	 100
Test for overall effect: Z	C = 0.23 (F)	r = 0.82)					ours Med	ical Fav		

2.2 Non protocol revascularisation



2.3 Non fatal MI

	Medic	al	PCI or C	ABG		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Pfisterer 2004 (TIME)	1	139	6	137	100.0%	0.16 [0.02, 1.35]	
Total (95% CI)		139		137	100.0%	0.16 [0.02, 1.35]	
Total events	1		6				
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.68 (P	r = 0.09)				Favours Medical Favours PCI or CABG

3 Multi vessel disease- Long term follow-up (5 years)

3.1 Death (all patients with type 2 diabetes)

	Medic	al	PCI or C	ABG		Risk Ratio		Ris	sk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, F	ixed, 95% C	1	
Frye et al 2009 (BARI-2D)	121	991	112	953	100.0%	1.04 [0.82, 1.32]					
Total (95% CI)		991		953	100.0%	1.04 [0.82, 1.32]			•		
Total events	121		112								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	е						0.01	0.1	+	10	100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	31 (P = 0.	.76)						•	ı al Favours		


3.2 Death (in PCI stratum in BARI-2D)

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio		Ri	sk Rati	0	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, F	ixed, 9	5% CI	
Frye et al 2009 (BARI-2D)	82	807	86	798	100.0%	0.94 [0.71, 1.26]					
Total (95% CI)		807		798	100.0%	0.94 [0.71, 1.26]			•		
Total events	82		86								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.		.69)					0.01 Fav	0.1 ours Medic	1 cal Fav	10 ours PC	100 I or CABG

3.3 Death (in CABG stratum in BARI-2D)

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% Cl
Frye et al 2009 (BARI-2D)	63	385	51	378	100.0%	1.21 [0.86, 1.71]		
Total (95% CI)		385		378	100.0%	1.21 [0.86, 1.71]		•
Total events	63		51					
Heterogeneity: Not applicabl Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.		.27)					0.01 0.1 Favours Medical	1 10 100 Favours PCI or CABG

3.4 Freedom from CV events (death, MI or stroke) - PCI stratum (BARI-2D)



3.5 Freedom from CV events (death, MI or stroke)- CABG stratum(BARI-2D)

	Medic	al	CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Frye et al 2009 (BARI-2D)	268	385	293	378	100.0%	0.90 [0.82, 0.98]	-
Total (95% CI)		385		378	100.0%	0.90 [0.82, 0.98]	•
Total events	268		293				
Heterogeneity: Not applicabl Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$.		.01)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI or CABG

4 Angiography prior randomisation - Multivessel disease short term

4.1 Death

	Medic	Medical PCI or CABG				Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% Cl		
Rogers 1995 (ACIP)	8	183	0	192	100.0%	17.83 [1.04, 306.73]					
Total (95% CI)		183		192	100.0%	17.83 [1.04, 306.73]					
Total events	8		0								
Heterogeneity: Not approximately Test for overall effect:	•	P = 0.0	5)					1.1 rs Medical	-	10 PCI o	100 r CABG

4.2 MI

	Medical PCI or CABG				Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio					
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, F	Fixed, 95%	CI	
Rogers 1995 (ACIP)	10	183	5	192	100.0%	2.10 [0.73, 6.02]				-	
Total (95% CI)		183		192	100.0%	2.10 [0.73, 6.02]				-	
Total events	10		5								
Heterogeneity: Not ap	olicable						0.01	0.1	+	10	100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.38 (P = 0.1	7)					vours Medi	cal Favou		

4.3 Non protocol revascularisation

	Medic	al	PCI or C	ABG		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Rogers 1995 (ACIP)	44	183	18	192	100.0%	2.56 [1.54, 4.27]	-
Total (95% CI)		183		192	100.0%	2.56 [1.54, 4.27]	•
Total events	44		18				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.62 (P = 0.0	003)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI or CABG

5 Angiography prior randomisation- Multivessel disease medium term follow-up

5.1 Death

	Medic	Medical PCI or CABG				Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fix	ed, 95% Cl	
Davies 1997 (ACIP)	12	183	2	192	100.0%	6.30 [1.43, 27.74]				
Total (95% CI)		183		192	100.0%	6.30 [1.43, 27.74]				
Total events	12		2							
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:		P = 0.0	2)				0.01 Fav	0.1 ours Medica	1 10 100 Favours PCI or CAE	

5.2 Non protocol revascularisation

	Medic	al	PCI or C	ABG		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Davies 1997 (ACIP)	56	183	25	192	100.0%	2.35 [1.54, 3.60]	-
Total (95% CI)		183		192	100.0%	2.35 [1.54, 3.60]	•
Total events	56		25				
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	P < 0.0	001)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI or CABG

6 Interaction between study group assignment (BARI-2D trial)

6.1 Death in PCI stratum and CABG startum



6.2 Freedom from CV events- PCI stratum and CABG stratum

				Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed	I, 95% CI		
CABG stratum-BARI 2D	-0.1053605	0.045471	26.6%	0.90 [0.82, 0.98]	•			
PCI stratum- BARI 2D	0.0295588	0.027403	73.4%	1.03 [0.98, 1.09]				
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.99 [0.95, 1.04]				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 6.46$ Test for overall effect: $Z =$. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	l ² = 85%			 0.1 s Medical		10 s Me	100 edical

1 Multivessel disease - short term follow-up (1 year)

1.1 Death

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Hueb 2004 (MASS-II)	3	203	9	205	100.0%	0.34 [0.09, 1.23]	
Total (95% CI)		203		205	100.0%	0.34 [0.09, 1.23]	
Total events	3		9				
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		= 0.10)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

1.2 Q wave MI

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Hueb 2004 (MASS-II)	10	203	16	205	100.0%	0.63 [0.29, 1.36]	-
Total (95% CI)		203		205	100.0%	0.63 [0.29, 1.36]	•
Total events	10		16				
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	z = 1.18 (P	= 0.24)				Favours Medical Favours PCI


1.3 Stroke

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fix	ed, 95% C	<u> </u>	
Hueb 2004 (MASS-II)	3	203	2	205	100.0%	1.51 [0.26, 8.97]		_			
Total (95% CI)		203		205	100.0%	1.51 [0.26, 8.97]		-			
Total events	3		2								
Heterogeneity: Not appl Test for overall effect: Z		9 = 0.65	i)				0.01 Favou	0.1 Irs Medica		0 PC	100 I

1.4 Non protocol revascularisation

	Medica	ıl	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Hueb 2004 (MASS-II)	16	203	25	205	100.0%	0.65 [0.36, 1.17]	-
Total (95% CI)		203		205	100.0%	0.65 [0.36, 1.17]	•
Total events	16		25				
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.43 (P =	= 0.15)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

1.5 Free of angina



1.6 Death- Sub group diabetes

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% CI	
Soares 2006 (MASS-II)	2	75	3	56	100.0%	0.50 [0.09, 2.88]				
Total (95% CI)		75		56	100.0%	0.50 [0.09, 2.88]				
Total events	2		3							
Heterogeneity: Not applic	able						0.01	0.1	1 10	100
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 0.78 (P =	= 0.44)						0.1 ours Medical	1 10 Favours P	

1.7 Death- Subgroup no diabetes

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Soares 2006 (MASS-II)	2	128	8	149	100.0%	0.29 [0.06, 1.35]	
Total (95% CI)		128		149	100.0%	0.29 [0.06, 1.35]	
Total events	2		8				
Heterogeneity: Not applic	able						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 1.58 (P =	= 0.11)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

2 Multi vessel disease- medium term follow-up (2 to 4 years)


2.1 Death

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio			Ris	k Ra	atio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI			M-H, Fix	xed,	95%C	ı	
Chamberlain 1997 (RITA-2)	7	514	11	504	100.0%	0.62 [0.24, 1.60]			-		•		
Total (95% CI)		514		504	100.0%	0.62 [0.24, 1.60]			⋖	>			
Total events	7		11										
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.98	B (P = 0.3	3)					0.01 Favor	0 urs	.1 Medica	1 al F	-	0 PCI	100

2.2 cardiac death

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Chamberlain 1997 (RITA-2)	3	514	5	504	84.0%	0.59 [0.14, 2.45]	
Pitt 1999 (AVERT)	1	164	1	177	16.0%	1.08 [0.07, 17.11]	
Total (95% CI)		678		681	100.0%	0.67 [0.19, 2.35]	
Total events	4		6				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.15$, df Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.63$	•	, .	= 0%				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

2.3 Non fatal MI




2.4 Stroke

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	
Chamberlain 1997 (RITA-2)	6	514	1	504	100.0%	5.88 [0.71, 48.69]		-
Pitt 1999 (AVERT)	0	164	0	164		Not estimable		
Total (95% CI)		678		668	100.0%	5.88 [0.71, 48.69]		-
Total events	6		1					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 1.64	(P = 0.1	0)					0.01 0.1 1 10 Favours Medical Favours PCI	100

2.5 Hospitalisation (for worsening of angina) no. of patients

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Pitt 1999 (AVERT)	11	164	25	177	100.0%	0.47 [0.24, 0.93]	-
Total (95% CI)		164		177	100.0%	0.47 [0.24, 0.93]	•
Total events	11		25				
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.16 (P = 0.0	3)				Favours Medical Favours PCI

2.6 Non protocol Revascularisation



3 Multivessel disease-long term follow-up (> 4 years follow-up)

3.1 Death

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		
3.1.1 angioplasty and sten	ts								
Boden 2007 (COURAGE)	95	1138	85	1149	47.9%	1.13 [0.85, 1.49]	#		
Hueb 2010 (MASS-II)	63	203	49	205	27.6%	1.30 [0.94, 1.79]	 -		
Subtotal (95% CI)		1341		1354	75.4%	1.19 [0.96, 1.47]	•		
Total events	158		134						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.42,	df = 1 (P =	0.52);	$I^2 = 0\%$						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.61 (P = 0	.11)							
3.1.2 angioplasty									
Henderson 2003 (RITA-2)	43	514	43	504	24.6%	0.98 [0.65, 1.47]	- 		
Subtotal (95% CI)		514		504	24.6%	0.98 [0.65, 1.47]	•		
Total events	43		43						
Heterogeneity: Not applicab	le								
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.10 (P = 0	.92)							
Total (95% CI)		1855		1858	100.0%	1.14 [0.94, 1.37]	•		
Total events	201		177						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.18,	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.18, df = 2 (P = 0.55); $I^2 = 0\%$								
Test for overall effect: Z = 1	.36 (P = 0	.17)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI		
Test for subgroup difference	es: Not ap	olicable					i avouis iviculcai Favouis FOI		

3.2 cardiac death

	Medical		PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl			
3.2.1 angioplasty and stent	s									
Boden 2007 (COURAGE) Subtotal (95% CI)	25	1138 1138	23	1149 1149	63.6% 63.6 %	1.10 [0.63, 1.92] 1.10 [0.63 , 1.92]	‡			
Total events	25		23							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	е									
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	33 (P = 0.7	74)								
3.2.2 angioplasty										
Henderson 2003 (RITA-2) Subtotal (95% CI)	22	514 514	13	504 504	36.4% 36.4 %	1.66 [0.85, 3.26] 1.66 [0.85, 3.26]	•			
Total events	22		13							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	е									
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.	47 (P = 0.	14)								
Total (95% CI)		1652		1653	100.0%	1.30 [0.85, 2.00]	•			
Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.85, c Test for overall effect: Z = 1.: Test for subgroup difference	21 (P = 0.2	23)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI			
rest for subgroup difference	rest for subgroup differences. Not applicable									

3.3 Non fatal MI

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
3.3.1 angioplasty and Sten	ts							
Boden 2007 (COURAGE)	128	1138	143	1149	70.6%	0.90 [0.72, 1.13]		
Hueb 2010 (MASS-II) Subtotal (95% CI)	42	203 1 341	27	205 1354	13.3% 84.0 %	1.57 [1.01, 2.45] 1.01 [0.83, 1.23]	↓	
Total events	170		170					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 4.77, Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$	•	,	I ² = 79%					
3.3.2 angioplasty								
Henderson 2003 (RITA-2) Subtotal (95% CI)	23	514 514	32	504 504	16.0% 16.0 %	0.70 [0.42, 1.19] 0.70 [0.42, 1.19]	•	
Total events	23		32					
Heterogeneity: Not applicab Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$		19)						
Total (95% CI)		1855		1858	100.0%	0.96 [0.80, 1.16]	•	
Total events	193		202					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 6.38,	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 6.38, df = 2 (P = 0.04); I^2 = 69%							
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.42 (P = 0.	67)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI	
Test for subgroup difference	s: Not app	olicable					i avours ivicultar i avours i Or	

3.4 Non protocol Revascularisation

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
3.4.1 angioplasty and sten	ts						
Boden 2007 (COURAGE)	348	1138	228	1149	49.0%	1.54 [1.33, 1.78]	
Hueb 2010 (MASS-II)	80	203	85	205	18.3%	0.95 [0.75, 1.20]	† .
Subtotal (95% CI)		1341		1354	67.3%	1.38 [1.22, 1.56]	♦
Total events	428		313				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 11.83	df = 1 (P	= 0.000	$(6); I^2 = 9$	2%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 5$	10 (P < 0.	00001)					
3.4.2 angioplasty							
Henderson 2003 (RITA-2)	202	514	150	504	32.7%	1.32 [1.11, 1.57]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		514		504	32.7%	1.32 [1.11, 1.57]	♦
Total events	202		150				
Heterogeneity: Not applicab	le						
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.	17 ($P = 0$.	002)					
Total (95% CI)		1855		1858	100.0%	1.36 [1.23, 1.51]	•
Total events	630		463				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 11.84	df = 2 (P	= 0.003	3); I ² = 83	%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z = 6.	00 (P < 0.	00001)					Favours Medical Favours PCI
Test for subgroup difference		ravours ividuidar Tavours FOI					

3.5 stroke

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Boden 2007 (COURAGE)	14	1138	22	1149	66.7%	0.64 [0.33, 1.25]	 +
Hueb 2010 (MASS-II)	14	203	11	205	33.3%	1.29 [0.60, 2.76]	-
Total (95% CI)		1341		1354	100.0%	0.86 [0.52, 1.41]	•
Total events	28		33				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.80,	df = 1 (P =	= 0.18);	$I^2 = 44\%$				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.61 (P = 0	.54)					Favours Medical Favours PCI

3.6 Free of angina

	Medical		PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
3.6.1 angioplasty and sten	ts						
Boden 2007 (COURAGE)	296	1138	316	1149	68.3%	0.95 [0.83, 1.08]	
Hueb 2010 (MASS-II)	88	203	120	205	25.9%	0.74 [0.61, 0.90]	=
Subtotal (95% CI)		1341		1354	94.2%	0.89 [0.79, 1.00]	♦
Total events	384		436				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 4.18,	df = 1 (P =	= 0.04);	$I^2 = 76\%$				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$.04 (P = 0	.04)					
3.6.2 angioplasty							
Folland 1997 (ACME)	18	50	27	51	5.8%	0.68 [0.43, 1.07]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)		50		51	5.8%	0.68 [0.43, 1.07]	•
Total events	18		27				
Heterogeneity: Not applicab	le						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.68 (P = 0	.09)					
Total (95% CI)		1391		1405	100.0%	0.88 [0.79, 0.98]	•
Total events	402		463				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 5.30,	df = 2 (P =	= 0.07);	$I^2 = 62\%$				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.35 (P = 0.02)							0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI
Test for subgroup difference	es: Not ap	plicable					i avours ividuicai Pavours POI

3.7 Death- sub group age >65 yrs

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Teo 2009 (COURAGE)	54	444	57	460	100.0%	0.98 [0.69, 1.39]	
Total (95% CI)		444		460	100.0%	0.98 [0.69, 1.39]	•
Total events	54		57				
Heterogeneity: Not applic Test for overall effect: Z		= 0.92)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

3.8 MI- sub group age >65 yrs

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Teo 2009 (COURAGE)	52	444	60	460	100.0%	0.90 [0.63, 1.27]	•
Total (95% CI)		444		460	100.0%	0.90 [0.63, 1.27]	•
Total events Heterogeneity: Not applic Test for overall effect: Z =		= 0.54)	60				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

3.9 Free of angina- sub group age >65 yrs



3.10 Death- sub group 2 vessel disease

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Folland 1997 (ACME)	10	50	9	51	100.0%	1.13 [0.50, 2.55]	
Total (95% CI)		50		51	100.0%	1.13 [0.50, 2.55]	•
Total events	10		9				
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.30 (P	= 0.76)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

3.11 Non fatal MI- sub group 2 vesel disease

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Folland 1997 (ACME)	7	50	7	51	100.0%	1.02 [0.39, 2.70]	-
Total (95% CI)		50		51	100.0%	1.02 [0.39, 2.70]	*
Total events	7		7				
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		= 0.97)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

3.12 Death- sub group age <65 yrs

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	d, 95% CI
Teo 2009 (COURAGE)	41	693	25	688	100.0%	1.63 [1.00, 2.65]		
Total (95% CI)		693		688	100.0%	1.63 [1.00, 2.65]		◆
Total events	41		25					
Heterogeneity: Not applicate Test for overall effect: Z		= 0.05)					0.01 0.1 Favours Medical	1 10 100 Favours PCI

3.13 MI - sub group age <65 yrs

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Teo 2009 (COURAGE)	76	693	83	688	100.0%	0.91 [0.68, 1.22]	
Total (95% CI)		693		688	100.0%	0.91 [0.68, 1.22]	♦
Total events	76		83				
Heterogeneity: Not applic Test for overall effect: Z		= 0.52)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

3.14 Free of angina- sub group age<65 years



4 Single vessel disease - medium term follow-up (2 -4 years)

4.1 Death

	Medic	al	PCI		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Hartigan 1998 (ACME)	7	107	5	105	77.1%	1.37 [0.45, 4.19]	—
Hueb 1995 (MASS-I)	0	72	1	72	22.9%	0.33 [0.01, 8.05]	-
Total (95% CI)		179		177	100.0%	1.14 [0.41, 3.17]	•
Total events	7		6				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.6	8, df = 1 (P = 0.4	1); I ² = 0 ⁹	%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.24 (P	= 0.81)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

4.2 MI

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Events Total Events Total		Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% C		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Hartigan 1998 (ACME)	7	107	10	105	83.5%	0.69 [0.27, 1.74]	-
Hueb 1995 (MASS-I)	2	72	2	72	16.5%	1.00 [0.14, 6.91]	
Total (95% CI)		179		177	100.0%	0.74 [0.32, 1.70]	•
Total events	9		12				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.1	2, df = 1 (P = 0.7	$(3); I^2 = 0$	%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 0.71 (P	= 0.48)					Favours Medical Favours PCI


4.3 Hospitalisation (no. of patients)

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio		Ris	k Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fiz	xed, 95% C	<u> </u>	
Hartigan 1998 (ACME)	69	107	64	105	100.0%	1.06 [0.86, 1.30]					
Total (95% CI)		107		105	100.0%	1.06 [0.86, 1.30]			•		
Total events	69		64								
Heterogeneity: Not applie	cable						0.01	0.1	+ -	0	100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.53 (P =	= 0.60)						o.i ours Medica		-	

4.4 Free of angina

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	d, 95% CI
Hartigan 1998 (ACME)	50	107	65	105	53.1%	0.75 [0.59, 0.97]	•	
Hueb 1995 (MASS-I)	23	72	58	72	46.9%	0.40 [0.28, 0.57]	-	
Total (95% CI)		179		177	100.0%	0.59 [0.48, 0.72]	♦	
Total events	73		123					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 8.50	0, df = 1	P = 0.0	04); $I^2 = 8$	38%			0.01 0.1	1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	5.11 (P	< 0.000	01)				Favours Medical	Favours PCI

4.5 Non protocol revascularisation



4.6 Stroke

	Medical	PC	l		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events Tota	I Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Hueb 1995 (MASS-I)	0 7	2 0	72		Not estimable	
Total (95% CI)	7	2	72		Not estimable	
Total events	0	0				
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable					0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: I	Not applicable					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

5 Single vessel disease - long term follow-up (>4 years)

5.1 Death

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Folland 1997 (ACME)	16	112	17	115	73.7%	0.97 [0.51, 1.82]	-
Hueb 1999 (MASS-I)	6	72	6	72	26.3%	1.00 [0.34, 2.95]	_
Total (95% CI)		184		187	100.0%	0.98 [0.57, 1.68]	•
Total events	22		23				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.	00, df = 1		0.01 0.1 1 10 100				
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.09 (P	= 0.93)				Favours Medical Favours PCI


5.2 Non fatal MI

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Folland 1997 (ACME)	8	112	18	115	81.6%	0.46 [0.21, 1.01]	
Hueb 1999 (MASS-I)	3	72	4	72	18.4%	0.75 [0.17, 3.23]	
Total (95% CI)		184		187	100.0%	0.51 [0.26, 1.02]	•
Total events	11		22				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z	-		0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI				

5.3 Non protocol Revascularisation

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Hueb 1999 (MASS-I)	12	72	29	72	100.0%	0.41 [0.23, 0.75]	
Total (95% CI)		72		72	100.0%	0.41 [0.23, 0.75]	•
Total events	12		29				
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:		P = 0.00	03)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

5.4 cardiac death



5.5 stroke

	Medica	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Hueb 1999 (MASS-I)	1	72	1	72	100.0%	1.00 [0.06, 15.68]	
Total (95% CI)		72		72	100.0%	1.00 [0.06, 15.68]	
Total events	1		1				
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		= 1.00))				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

5.6 Free of angina

	Medic	al	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	
Hueb 1999 (MASS-I)	17	72	44	72	100.0%	0.39 [0.25, 0.61]		
Total (95% CI)		72		72	100.0%	0.39 [0.25, 0.61]	•	
Total events	17		44					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable 0.01 0.1 1								
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 4.10 (F	o.00	001)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI	

6 Sub group interaction


6.1 Age >and >65 yrs (Death) - Multivessel -LOng term follow-up

				Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
meta analysis- age<65	0.48858001	0.248612	34.1%	1.63 [1.00, 2.65]	-
meta analysis-age>65	-0.0202027	0.178665	65.9%	0.98 [0.69, 1.39]	•
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.17 [0.88, 1.55]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.7 Test for overall effect: Z); I ² = 64%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

6.2 Age < and >65 yrs (MI)-Multivessel -Long term follow-up

				Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
meta analysis- age<65	-0.0943107	0.149111	59.0%	0.91 [0.68, 1.22]	#
meta analysis-age>65	-0.1053605	0.17884	41.0%	0.90 [0.63, 1.28]	*
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.91 [0.72, 1.13]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.0 Test for overall effect: Z =	. ,); I ² = 0%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

6.3 Age <65 and >65 yrs (Free of angina)- Multivessel- Long term follow-up)



6.4 Single vessel and 2 vessel (Death)- Long term follow-up

			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE Weigh	t IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
meta analysis- single ves	0.37156356 0.255	944 72.59	6 1.45 [0.88, 2.39]	
meta analysis-2 vessel	0.12221763 0.415	6623 27.59	6 1.13 [0.50, 2.55]	<u> </u>
Total (95% CI)		100.0	% 1.35 [0.88, 2.08]	◆
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.26$, Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$, , , ,	, 5		0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

6.5 Single vessel and 2 vessel (MI)- Long term follow-up

			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio] S	E Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
meta analysis- single ves	-0.3285041 0.27822	26 75.9%	0.72 [0.42, 1.24]	-
meta analysis-2 vessel	0.01980263 0.49358	7 24.1%	1.02 [0.39, 2.68]	-
Total (95% CI)		100.0%	0.78 [0.49, 1.26]	•
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.38$, Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$, , , ,			0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Medical Favours PCI

1 Multi vessel disease - Immediate follow-up

1.1 Stroke


	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Eefting 2003	0	138	0	142		Not estimable	
Hamm 1994 (GABI)	0	176	2	161	16.7%	0.18 [0.01, 3.78]	-
Hampton 1993 (RITA)	1	509	5	498	32.3%	0.20 [0.02, 1.67]	
King 1994 (EAST)	1	198	3	194	19.4%	0.33 [0.03, 3.11]	
Zhang 2006 (SOS)	3	488	5	500	31.6%	0.61 [0.15, 2.56]	
Total (95% CI)		1509		1495	100.0%	0.35 [0.13, 0.92]	•
Total events	5		15				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.	06, df = 3		0.01 0.1 1 10 100				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.12$ (P = 0.03)							0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

2 Multivessel disease -Short term follow-up (1 yr)

2.1 Death (all causes)

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		
Eefting 2003	0	138	4	142	7.8%	0.11 [0.01, 2.10]	-		
Hamm 1994 (GABI)	4	155	9	139	16.6%	0.40 [0.13, 1.27]			
Hueb 2004 (MASS- II)	9	205	8	203	14.1%	1.11 [0.44, 2.83]	-		
Rickards 1995 (CABRI)	21	541	14	513	25.1%	1.42 [0.73, 2.77]	 		
Serruys 2001 (ARTS)	15	600	17	605	29.6%	0.89 [0.45, 1.77]	-		
Sigwart 2002 (SOS)	12	488	4	500	6.9%	3.07 [1.00, 9.46]	-		
Total (95% CI)		2127		2102	100.0%	1.06 [0.75, 1.52]	•		
Total events	61		56						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 9.45	Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 9.45$, $df = 5$ (P = 0.09); $I^2 = 47\%$								
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.34 (P =	0.73)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG		

2.2 Cardiac mortality



2.3 Non fatal MI

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Eefting 2003	6	138	7	142	6.5%	0.88 [0.30, 2.56]	
Hamm 1994 (GABI)	7	155	13	139	13.0%	0.48 [0.20, 1.18]	
Hueb 2004 (MASS- II)	16	205	4	203	3.8%	3.96 [1.35, 11.64]	
Rickards 1995 (CABRI)	27	541	18	513	17.5%	1.42 [0.79, 2.55]	 -
Serruys 2001 (ARTS)	37	600	29	605	27.4%	1.29 [0.80, 2.06]	 -
Sigwart 2002 (SOS)	21	488	34	500	31.8%	0.63 [0.37, 1.07]	
Total (95% CI)		2127		2102	100.0%	1.07 [0.83, 1.39]	•
Total events	114		105				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 14.1	14, df = 5	(P = 0.0))1); I ² = 6	5%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.54 (P =	0.59)					Favours PCI Favours CABG

2.4 Repeat revascularisation

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Eefting 2003	21	138	6	142	6.3%	3.60 [1.50, 8.65]	_
Hamm 1994 (GABI)	91	155	9	139	10.1%	9.07 [4.76, 17.29]	
Hueb 2004 (MASS- II)	25	205	1	203	1.1%	24.76 [3.39, 180.98]	
Rickards 1995 (CABRI)	182	541	33	513	36.1%	5.23 [3.68, 7.43]	-
Serruys 2001 (ARTS)	126	600	23	605	24.4%	5.52 [3.59, 8.49]	-
Sigwart 2002 (SOS)	93	488	21	500	22.1%	4.54 [2.87, 7.16]	-
Total (95% CI)		2127		2102	100.0%	5.64 [4.57, 6.97]	•
Total events	538		93				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 6.2	7, df = 5 (F)	P = 0.28	3); I ² = 20	%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	: 16.07 (P	< 0.000	001)				Favours PCI Favours CABG

2.5 Free of angina

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Eefting 2003	108	138	120	142	7.3%	0.93 [0.83, 1.04]	+
Hamm 1994 (GABI)	110	155	102	139	6.6%	0.97 [0.84, 1.11]	+
Hueb 2004 (MASS- II)	107	205	120	203	7.4%	0.88 [0.74, 1.05]	-
Rickards 1995 (CABRI)	328	541	350	513	22.1%	0.89 [0.81, 0.97]	•
Serruys 2001 (ARTS)	473	600	541	605	33.2%	0.88 [0.84, 0.93]	•
Sigwart 2002 (SOS)	309	471	387	493	23.3%	0.84 [0.77, 0.91]	•
Total (95% CI)		2110		2095	100.0%	0.88 [0.85, 0.91])
Total events	1435		1620				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 4.11	, df = 5 (I	P = 0.53	3); I ² = 0%	, 0			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	Favours PCI Favours CABG						

2.6 Stroke

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Eefting 2003	0	138	0	142		Not estimable	
Hueb 2004 (MASS- II)	2	205	3	203	12.6%	0.66 [0.11, 3.91]	
Serruys 2001 (ARTS)	10	600	13	605	54.3%	0.78 [0.34, 1.76]	-
Sigwart 2002 (SOS)	7	488	8	500	33.1%	0.90 [0.33, 2.45]	_
Total (95% CI)		1431		1450	100.0%	0.80 [0.44, 1.45]	•
Total events	19		24				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.	10, df = 2	(P = 0.9)	95); $I^2 = 0$	%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.73 (P	= 0.47)					Favours PCI Favours CABG


2.7 Subgroup-diabetes- Death (all causes)

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Abizaid 2001 (ARTS)	7	112	3	96	21.2%	2.00 [0.53, 7.52]	 • • • • • • • • • •
Kapur 2009 (CARDia trial)	8	254	8	248	53.2%	0.98 [0.37, 2.56]	
Soares 2006 (MASS-II)	3	56	4	59	25.6%	0.79 [0.19, 3.37]	
Total (95% CI)		422		403	100.0%	1.15 [0.58, 2.25]	*
Total events	18		15				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.04, c	df = 2 (P =	0.60);	$I^2 = 0\%$				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	39 (P = 0.	69)					Favours PCI Favours CABG

2.8 Subgroup diabetes-MI

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Abizaid 2001 (ARTS)	7	112	3	96	18.6%	2.00 [0.53, 7.52]	- • -
Kapur 2009 (CARDia trial)	25	254	14	248	81.4%	1.74 [0.93, 3.28]	† = -
Total (95% CI)		366		344	100.0%	1.79 [1.01, 3.17]	•
Total events	32		17				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.03, o	df = 1 (P =	0.85);	$I^2 = 0\%$				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$.	01 (P = 0.	04)					Favours PCI Favours CABG

2.9 Subgroup diabetes- Repeat revascularisation



2.10 Sub group diabetes- Non fatal stroke

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Kapur 2009 (CARDia trial)	1	254	7	248	100.0%	0.14 [0.02, 1.13]	
Total (95% CI)		254		248	100.0%	0.14 [0.02, 1.13]	
Total events	1		7				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	е						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.	85 (P = 0.	06)					Favours PCI Favours CABG


2.11 Subgroup age>65 yrs- Death (all causes)

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Zhang 2006 (SOS)	4	190	1	205	100.0%	4.32 [0.49, 38.27]	- - - - - - - - - -
Total (95% CI)		190		205	100.0%	4.32 [0.49, 38.27]	
Total events	4		1				
Heterogeneity: Not ap	•						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.31 (P = 0.1	9)				Favours PCI Favours CABG


2.12 subgroup age>65 yrs-MI

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Zhang 2006 (SOS)	13	190	17	205	100.0%	0.83 [0.41, 1.65]	-
Total (95% CI)		190		205	100.0%	0.83 [0.41, 1.65]	•
Total events	13		17				
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	P = 0.5	9)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

2.13 Subgroup age>65 yrs- stroke



2.14 subgroup age>65 yrs- repeat revascularisation



2.15 Sub group age <65 yrs- Death

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Zhang 2006 (SOS)	8	298	3	295	100.0%	2.64 [0.71, 9.85]	+
Total (95% CI)		298		295	100.0%	2.64 [0.71, 9.85]	
Total events	8		3				
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		P = 0.1	5)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG


2.16 Sub group age <65 yrs-MI

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Zhang 2006 (SOS)	8	298	17	295	100.0%	0.47 [0.20, 1.06]	-
Total (95% CI)		298		295	100.0%	0.47 [0.20, 1.06]	•
Total events	8		17				
Heterogeneity: Not app							0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 1.82 (P = 0.0	7)				Favours PCI Favours CABG

2.17 Sub group age<65 yrs- Stroke



2.18 Sub group age<65 yrs- Repeat revascularisation



3 Multi vessel disease - Medium term follow-up (>1-4 yrs)

3.1 Death (all causes)

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Hampton 1993 (RITA)	16	510	18	501	25.4%	0.87 [0.45, 1.69]	-
King 1994 (EAST)	14	198	12	194	17.0%	1.14 [0.54, 2.41]	
Legrand 2004 (ARTS)	22	600	28	605	39.0%	0.79 [0.46, 1.37]	-
Martuscelli 2008 (CABRI)	15	120	5	103	7.5%	2.58 [0.97, 6.84]	
Sigwart 2002 (SOS)	22	488	8	500	11.1%	2.82 [1.27, 6.27]	
Total (95% CI)		1916		1903	100.0%	1.23 [0.91, 1.67]	•
Total events	89		71				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 9.88,	df = 4 (P :	= 0.04);	$I^2 = 60\%$				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z = 1	.33 (P = 0	.18)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG


3.2 Cardiac mortality

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Hampton 1993 (RITA)	4	510	4	501	50.5%	0.98 [0.25, 3.91]	
Sigwart 2002 (SOS)	9	488	4	500	49.5%	2.31 [0.71, 7.44]	+-
Total (95% CI)		998		1001	100.0%	1.64 [0.68, 3.92]	•
Total events	13		8				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.3	-	•	, -	%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.10 (P	= 0.27)					Favours PCI Favours CABG

3.3 Non fatal MI

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Hampton 1993 (RITA)	34	510	26	501	25.8%	1.28 [0.78, 2.11]	-
King 1994 (EAST)	29	198	38	194	37.7%	0.75 [0.48, 1.16]	 +
Legrand 2004 (ARTS)	44	600	34	605	33.3%	1.30 [0.85, 2.01]	
Martuscelli 2008 (CABRI)	8	120	3	103	3.2%	2.29 [0.62, 8.40]	 •
Total (95% CI)		1428		1403	100.0%	1.12 [0.87, 1.45]	•
Total events	115		101				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 5.16,	df = 3 (P :	= 0.16);	$I^2 = 42\%$				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$	0.88 (P = 0)	.38)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

3.4 Repeat revascularisation



3.5 Free of angina

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Unger 2003 (ARTS)	478	600	527	605	100.0%	0.91 [0.87, 0.96]	
Total (95% CI)		600		605	100.0%	0.91 [0.87, 0.96]	
Total events	478		527				
Heterogeneity: Not ap	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Favours PCI Favours CABG						

3.6 Stroke

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Legrand 2004 (ARTS)	20	600	20	605	100.0%	1.01 [0.55, 1.85]	+
Total (95% CI)		600		605	100.0%	1.01 [0.55, 1.85]	*
Total events	20		20				
Heterogeneity: Not applic	cable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.03 (P	= 0.98)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

3.7 Sub group diabetes- Mortality

	PCI		CABO	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Booth 2008 (SOS)	3	68	1	74	7.3%	3.26 [0.35, 30.64]	- •
Kurbaan 2001 (CABRI)	14	62	8	63	60.1%	1.78 [0.80, 3.94]	+=-
Legrand 2004 (ARTS)	8	112	4	96	32.6%	1.71 [0.53, 5.52]	
Total (95% CI)		242		233	100.0%	1.87 [0.99, 3.50]	•
Total events	25		13				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.27	7, df = 2 (P = 0.8	7); I ² = 0%	, D			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	: 1.94 (P =	= 0.05)					Favours PCI Favours CABG

3.8 Sub group diabetes- MI

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Legrand 2004 (ARTS)	11	112	6	96	100.0%	1.57 [0.60, 4.09]	-
Total (95% CI)		112		96	100.0%	1.57 [0.60, 4.09]	•
Total events	11		6				
Heterogeneity: Not applie	cable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.93 (P	= 0.35)					Favours PCI Favours CABG

3.9 Sub group diabetes- Repeat revascularisation



3.10 Sub group- Left Anterior descending coronary artery proximally- Death

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Aoki 2004 (ARTS)	11	246	11	253	100.0%	1.03 [0.45, 2.33]	-
Total (95% CI)		246		253	100.0%	1.03 [0.45, 2.33]	*
Total events	11		11				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.07 (P = 0.9	5)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

3.11 Sub group LAD artery- Stroke

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Aoki 2004 (ARTS)	5	246	7	253	100.0%	0.73 [0.24, 2.28]	-
Total (95% CI)		246		253	100.0%	0.73 [0.24, 2.28]	
Total events	5		7				
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.53 (P = 0.5	9)				Favours PCI Favours CABG

3.12 Sub group LAD artery- MI

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Aoki 2004 (ARTS)	17	246	16	253	100.0%	1.09 [0.56, 2.11]	-
Total (95% CI)		246		253	100.0%	1.09 [0.56, 2.11]	•
Total events	17		16				
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	P = 0.7	9)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

3.13 Sub group LAD artery- Repeat revascularisation



4 Multi vessel disease - Long term follow-up (> 5 yrs)

4.1 Death (all causes)

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Buszman 2009 (SOS)	9	50	10	50	6.0%	0.90 [0.40, 2.02]	
Henderson 1998 (RITA)	22	277	24	279	14.4%	0.92 [0.53, 1.61]	-
Hueb 2010 (MASS-II)	49	205	51	203	30.8%	0.95 [0.68, 1.34]	+
Kaehler (GABI 2005)	41	164	35	160	21.3%	1.14 [0.77, 1.70]	+
Serruys2005 (ARTS)	48	600	46	605	27.5%	1.05 [0.71, 1.55]	+
Total (95% CI)		1296		1297	100.0%	1.01 [0.83, 1.23]	•
Total events	169		166				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.71	df = 4 (F)	0.95); I ² = 0%	•			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.13 (P =	0.90)					Favours PCI Favours CABG

4.2 Cardiac mortality

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Booth 2008 (SOS)	20	488	11	500	28.5%	1.86 [0.90, 3.85]	 •
Henderson 1998 (RITA)	9	277	7	279	18.3%	1.29 [0.49, 3.43]	- - -
Kaehler (GABI 2005)	18	164	20	160	53.2%	0.88 [0.48, 1.60]	-
Total (95% CI)		929		939	100.0%	1.24 [0.82, 1.87]	•
Total events	47		38				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.49	, df = 2 (F	0.29); I ² = 20°	%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.01 (P =	0.31)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

4.3 Non fatal MI

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Henderson 1998 (RITA)	24	277	20	279	25.0%	1.21 [0.68, 2.14]	-
Hueb 2010 (MASS-II)	27	205	21	203	26.4%	1.27 [0.74, 2.18]	
Serruys2005 (ARTS)	51	600	39	605	48.6%	1.32 [0.88, 1.97]	
Total (95% CI)		1082		1087	100.0%	1.28 [0.97, 1.69]	•
Total events	102		80				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.06,	df = 2 (F	P = 0.97); I ² = 0%				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.72 (P =	0.08)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

4.4 Repeat revascularisation

	PCI CABG		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events Total Events Total		Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		
Buszman 2009 (SOS)	21	50	9	50	3.6%	2.33 [1.19, 4.58]	
Henderson 1998 (RITA)	161	277	32	279	12.6%	5.07 [3.60, 7.13]	-
Hueb 2010 (MASS-II)	85	205	15	203	6.0%	5.61 [3.36, 9.38]	-
Kaehler (GABI 2005)	136	164	94	160	37.7%	1.41 [1.22, 1.64]	•
King 2000 (EAST)	129	198	51	194	20.4%	2.48 [1.92, 3.20]	•
Serruys2005 (ARTS)	139	600	50	605	19.7%	2.80 [2.07, 3.79]	-
Total (95% CI)		1494		1491	100.0%	2.65 [2.35, 2.98]	•
Total events	671		251				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 92.8	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 92.87, df = 5 (P < 0.00001); I^2 = 95%						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z = 16.12 (P < 0.00001)							Favours PCI Favours CABG

4.5 Stroke

	PCI CABG		G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events Total Events Total		Weight	nt M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl M-H, Fixed, 95% C			
Hueb 2010 (MASS-II)	11	205	17	203	45.0%	0.64 [0.31, 1.33]	- ■+
Serruys2005 (ARTS)	23	600	21	605	55.0%	1.10 [0.62, 1.97]	+
Total (95% CI)		805		808	100.0%	0.90 [0.57, 1.41]	•
Total events	34		38				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.	30, df = 1	(P = 0.	.25); $I^2 = 2$	23%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.48 (P	= 0.63)				Favours PCI Favours CABG

4.6 Free of angina

	PCI		CABG		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Events Total Events To		Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Hueb 2010 (MASS-II)	120	205	130	203	20.4%	0.91 [0.78, 1.07]	+
Serruys2005 (ARTS)	467	600	511	605	79.6%	0.92 [0.87, 0.97]	•
Total (95% CI)		805		808	100.0%	0.92 [0.87, 0.97]	
Total events	587		641				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.	01, df = 1	(P = 0.	92); I ² = 0)%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.04 (P	= 0.00	2)				Favours PCI Favours CABG


4.7 Sub group diabetes - Death (all causes)

	PCI	PCI CABG		CABG		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	vents Total Events Total		Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Booth 2008 (SOS)	12	68	4	74	19.2%	3.26 [1.11, 9.64]	
Henderson 1998 (RITA)	2	29	8	33	37.6%	0.28 [0.07, 1.23]	
Serruys2005 (ARTS)	15	112	8	96	43.2%	1.61 [0.71, 3.63]	+
Total (95% CI)		209		203	100.0%	1.43 [0.83, 2.47]	•
Total events	29		20				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 6.97	7 , df = 2 (F	P = 0.03); I ² = 71°	%			
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.28 (P =	0.20)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

4.8 Sub group diabetes- Repeat revascularisation

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events Total Events Total		Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI			
Serruys2005 (ARTS)	48	112	10	96	100.0%	4.11 [2.20, 7.68]	-		
Total (95% CI)		112		96	100.0%	4.11 [2.20, 7.68]	•		
Total events	48		10						
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		o < 0.00	001)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG		

4.9 Sub group diabetes- stroke



4.10 Sub group diabetes- MI

	PCI CA		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Serruys2005 (ARTS)	12	112	7	96	100.0%	1.47 [0.60, 3.58]		
Total (95% CI)		112		96	100.0%	1.47 [0.60, 3.58]	•	
Total events	12		7					
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100	
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.85 (P)	= 0.40)				Favours PCI Favours CABG	

4.11 Sub group-no diabetes -Death (all causes)

	PCI CABG				Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Total Events Total		Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Booth 2008 (SOS)	41	420	30	426	44.5%	1.39 [0.88, 2.18]	 ■-
Serruys2005 (ARTS)	33	488	38	509	55.5%	0.91 [0.58, 1.42]	+
Total (95% CI)		908		935	100.0%	1.12 [0.82, 1.54]	•
Total events	74		68				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	.71, df = 1	(P = 0.	19); I ² = 4	12%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	z = 0.70 (F)	r = 0.49)				Favours PCI Favours CABG

4.12 Sub group no diabetes- stroke

	PCI		CAB	CABG		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Serruys2005 (ARTS)	16	488	14	509	100.0%	1.19 [0.59, 2.42]	-
Total (95% CI)		488		509	100.0%	1.19 [0.59, 2.42]	•
Total events	16		14				
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	0.63)				Favours PCI Favours CABG	

4.13 Sub group no diabetes- MI

	PCI CABG		G	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events Total Events Total		Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		
Serruys2005 (ARTS)	38	488	31	509	100.0%	1.28 [0.81, 2.02]	
Total (95% CI)		488		509	100.0%	1.28 [0.81, 2.02]	•
Total events	38		31				
Heterogeneity: Not appl Test for overall effect: Z)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG		

4.14 Sub group no diabetes- Repeat revascularisation



4.15 Sub group 2 vessel- Death

	PCI		CABG		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Booth 2008 (SOS)	31	305	16	264	100.0%	1.68 [0.94, 3.00]	-
Total (95% CI)		305		264	100.0%	1.68 [0.94, 3.00]	•
Total events	31		16				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	P = 0.0	8)				Favours PCI Favours CABG	

4.16 Sub group 3 vessel -Death



5 Single vessel disease - Short term follow-up (1 yr)


5.1 Death (all causes)

	PCI		CABG		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events Total Events Total		Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		
Cisowski et al 2002	1	50	0	50	100.0%	3.00 [0.13, 71.92]	
Total (95% CI)		50		50	100.0%	3.00 [0.13, 71.92]	
Total events	1		0				
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:		P = 0.5	0)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

5.2 MI

	PCI		CABG			Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	d, 95% CI
Cisowski et al 2002	0	50	0	50		Not estimable		
Total (95% CI)		50		50		Not estimable		
Total events	0		0					
Heterogeneity: Not app	plicable						0.01 0.1	10 100
Test for overall effect: Not applicable							0.0.	1 10 100 Favours CABG

5.3 Free of angina



6 Single vessel disease - Medium term follow-up (>1-4 yrs)

6.1 Death (all causes)

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Drenth et al 2004	0	51	3	51	53.3%	0.14 [0.01, 2.70]	←
Goy et al 2000 (SIMA)	1	62	2	59	31.2%	0.48 [0.04, 5.11]	
Hueb 1995 (MASS-I)	1	72	1	70	15.4%	0.97 [0.06, 15.24]	
Total (95% CI)		185		180	100.0%	0.37 [0.09, 1.60]	
Total events	2		6				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.9	91, df = 2	(P = 0.6)	63); I ² = 0 ⁴	%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.33 (P	= 0.18)					Favours PCI Favours CABG

6.2 Cardiac death

	PCI		CABO	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Drenth et al 2004	0	51	2	51	49.5%	0.20 [0.01, 4.07]	←
Goy et al 1994	0	68	1	66	30.2%	0.32 [0.01, 7.81]	
Goy et al 2000 (SIMA)	1	62	1	59	20.3%	0.95 [0.06, 14.87]	
Total (95% CI)		181		176	100.0%	0.39 [0.08, 2.00]	
Total events	1		4				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.6	61, df = 2	(P = 0.7)	74); $I^2 = 0^{\circ}$	%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.13 (P	= 0.26)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

6.3 MI

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Drenth et al 2004	5	51	1	51	16.4%	5.00 [0.61, 41.31]	
Goy et al 1994	8	68	2	66	33.3%	3.88 [0.86, 17.61]	
Goy et al 2000 (SIMA)	3	62	2	59	33.6%	1.43 [0.25, 8.24]	- -
Hueb 1995 (MASS-I)	2	72	1	70	16.6%	1.94 [0.18, 20.96]	-
Total (95% CI)		253		246	100.0%	2.92 [1.18, 7.21]	•
Total events	18		6				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.1	4, df = 3	(P = 0.7)	$(77); I^2 = 0$	%			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.32 (P	= 0.02)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

6.4 Repeat revascularisation

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Drenth et al 2004	8	51	2	51	39.6%	4.00 [0.89, 17.93]	-
Goy et al 1994	17	68	2	66	40.2%	8.25 [1.98, 34.32]	
Goy et al 2000 (SIMA)	15	62	0	59	10.1%	29.52 [1.81, 482.55]	
Hueb 1995 (MASS-I)	27	72	0	70	10.0%	53.49 [3.33, 860.32]	
Total (95% CI)		253		246	100.0%	13.27 [5.41, 32.51]	•
Total events	67		4				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 4.	16, df = 3	(P = 0.2)	$(24); I^2 = 26$	8%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 5.65 (P	< 0.000	001)				Favours PCI Favours CABG

6.5 Free of angina

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Drenth et al 2004	34	51	41	48	24.7%	0.78 [0.62, 0.98]	=
Goy et al 1994	52	68	59	66	35.0%	0.86 [0.73, 1.00]	•
Hueb 1995 (MASS-I)	58	72	68	70	40.3%	0.83 [0.74, 0.94]	•
Total (95% CI)		191		184	100.0%	0.83 [0.75, 0.91]	•
Total events	144		168				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0	.44, df = 2	P = 0	.80); I ² =	0%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 4.08 (F	P < 0.00	001)				Favours PCI Favours CABG

6.6 Stroke


	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Drenth et al 2004	2	51	0	51	100.0%	5.00 [0.25, 101.63]	
Goy et al 2000 (SIMA)	0	62	0	59		Not estimable	_
Total (95% CI)		113		110	100.0%	5.00 [0.25, 101.63]	
Total events	2		0				
Heterogeneity: Not appli	cable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.05 (P	= 0.29)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

7 Single vessel disease - Long term follow-up (>5 yrs)

7.1 Death (all causes)

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Goy 2008 (SIMA)	5	62	4	59	14.8%	1.19 [0.34, 4.22]	
Henderson 1998 (RITA)	17	233	21	222	77.8%	0.77 [0.42, 1.42]	-
Hueb 1999 (MASS-I)	6	72	2	70	7.3%	2.92 [0.61, 13.97]	
Total (95% CI)		367		351	100.0%	0.99 [0.60, 1.65]	•
Total events	28		27				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.55	df = 2 (F)	P = 0.28); I ² = 22 ⁴	%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.04 (P =	0.97)					Favours PCI Favours CABG

7.2 Cardiac death



7.3 MI

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Goy 2008 (SIMA)	3	62	3	59	13.1%	0.95 [0.20, 4.53]	
Henderson 1998 (RITA)	31	233	17	222	74.0%	1.74 [0.99, 3.05]	
Hueb 1999 (MASS-I)	4	72	3	70	12.9%	1.30 [0.30, 5.58]	
Total (95% CI)		367		351	100.0%	1.58 [0.96, 2.59]	•
Total events	38		23				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.59	θ , df = 2 (F	P = 0.75	$(1); I^2 = 0\%$				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.81 (P =	0.07)					Favours PCI Favours CABG

7.4 Repeat revascularisation


	PCI		CABO	G .		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Goy 2008 (SIMA)	18	62	3	59	9.2%	5.71 [1.77, 18.38]	 -
Henderson 1998 (RITA)	111	233	29	222	89.2%	3.65 [2.53, 5.25]	
Hueb 1999 (MASS-I)	27	72	0	70	1.5%	53.49 [3.33, 860.32]	
Total (95% CI)		367		351	100.0%	4.60 [3.25, 6.50]	•
Total events	156		32				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 4.67	', df = 2 (P	P = 0.10); I ² = 57%	6			
Test for overall effect: Z =	8.65 (P <	0.0000	1)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

7.5 Free of angina



8 Left main coronary disease - Short term follow-up (1 yr)

8.1 Death



8.2 non fatal MI

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Buszman 2008 (LE MANS)	1	52	3	53	17.3%	0.34 [0.04, 3.16]	
Morice 2010 (SYNTAX)	15	357	14	348	82.7%	1.04 [0.51, 2.13]	-
Total (95% CI)		409		401	100.0%	0.92 [0.47, 1.80]	•
Total events	16		17				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.89, d Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.2$,	, .	2 = 0%				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

8.3 Stroke

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Buszman 2008 (LE MANS)	0	52	2	53	21.4%	0.20 [0.01, 4.14]	-
Morice 2010 (SYNTAX)	1	357	9	348	78.6%	0.11 [0.01, 0.85]	
Total (95% CI)		409		401	100.0%	0.13 [0.02, 0.70]	
Total events	1		11				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.12, d	f = 1 (P =	0.73); l ²	$^{2} = 0\%$				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.3$	87 (P = 0.0)	02)					Favours PCI Favours CABG

8.4 Repeat revascularisation


	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Buszman 2008 (LE MANS)	15	52	5	53	17.5%	3.06 [1.20, 7.80]	 -
Morice 2010 (SYNTAX)	43	357	23	348	82.5%	1.82 [1.12, 2.96]	
Total (95% CI)		409		401	100.0%	2.04 [1.33, 3.13]	•
Total events	58		28				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.92, di	f = 1 (P =	0.34); l ^a	$^{2} = 0\%$				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.2	P = 0.0	001)					Favours PCI Favours CABG

8.5 Cardiac death



9 Left main coronary artery or 3 vessel disease -Short term follow-up (1yr)

9.1 Death (all causes)



9.2 cardiac mortality

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% CI	
Serruys 2009 (SYNTAX)	33	891	18	849	100.0%	1.75 [0.99, 3.08]			-	
Total (95% CI)		891		849	100.0%	1.75 [0.99, 3.08]			•	
Total events	33		18							
Heterogeneity: Not applica	ble						0.01 0	1	 	100
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.93 (P =	0.05)							Favours	

9.3 Stroke

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	d, 95% Cl	
Serruys 2009 (SYNTAX)	5	891	19	849	100.0%	0.25 [0.09, 0.67]	_		
Total (95% CI)		891		849	100.0%	0.25 [0.09, 0.67]	•		
Total events	5		19						
Heterogeneity: Not applica	ble						0.01 0.1	1 10 10	00
Test for overall effect: Z =	2.76 (P =	0.006)						Favours CABG	

9.4 MI

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Serruys 2009 (SYNTAX)	43	891	28	849	100.0%	1.46 [0.92, 2.33]	
Total (95% CI)		891		849	100.0%	1.46 [0.92, 2.33]	•
Total events	43		28				
Heterogeneity: Not applica Test for overall effect: Z =		0.11)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

9.5 Repeat revascularisation

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Serruys 2009 (SYNTAX)	120	891	50	849	100.0%	2.29 [1.67, 3.14]	
Total (95% CI)		891		849	100.0%	2.29 [1.67, 3.14]	•
Total events	120		50				
Heterogeneity: Not applica Test for overall effect: Z =		0.00001	1)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

9.6 Sub group diabetes (Death)



9.7 Sub group diabetes (cardiac death)

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Banning 2010 (SYNTAX)	16	227	8	204	100.0%	1.80 [0.79, 4.11]	+
Total (95% CI)		227		204	100.0%	1.80 [0.79, 4.11]	•
Total events	16		8				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$).16)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

9.8 Sub group diabetes (stroke)

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Banning 2010 (SYNTAX)	2	227	5	204	100.0%	0.36 [0.07, 1.83]	-
Total (95% CI)		227		204	100.0%	0.36 [0.07, 1.83]	
Total events	2		5				
Heterogeneity: Not applicat	ole						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.23 (P = 0).22)					Favours PCI Favours CABG

9.9 Sub group diabetes (MI)

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Banning 2010 (SYNTAX)	11	227	9	204	100.0%	1.10 [0.46, 2.60]	-
Total (95% CI)		227		204	100.0%	1.10 [0.46, 2.60]	*
Total events	11		9				
Heterogeneity: Not applical Test for overall effect: Z = 0		0.83)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

9.10 Sub group diabetes (Repeat revascularisation)

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Banning 2010 (SYNTAX)	46	227	13	204	100.0%	3.18 [1.77, 5.71]	-
Total (95% CI)		227		204	100.0%	3.18 [1.77, 5.71]	•
Total events	46		13				
Heterogeneity: Not applicat	ole						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z = 3	3.87 (P = 0	0.0001)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

9.11 Sub group no diabetes (Death)



9.12 Sub group no diabetes (no cardiac death)

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Banning 2010 (SYNTAX)	17	664	10	645	100.0%	1.65 [0.76, 3.58]	-
Total (95% CI)		664		645	100.0%	1.65 [0.76, 3.58]	•
Total events	17		10				
Heterogeneity: Not applicat	ole						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.27 (P = 0).20)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

9.13 Sub group no diabetes (stroke)

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% CI
Banning 2010 (SYNTAX)	3	664	14	645	100.0%	0.21 [0.06, 0.72]	_	
Total (95% CI)		664		645	100.0%	0.21 [0.06, 0.72]	•	
Total events	3		14					
Heterogeneity: Not applicate Test for overall effect: Z = 2		0.01)					0.01 0.1 Favours PCI	1 10 100 Favours CABG

9.14 Sub group no diabetes (MI)



9.15 Sub group no diabetes (Repeat revasc)

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Banning 2010 (SYNTAX)	74	664	37	645	100.0%	1.94 [1.33, 2.84]	
Total (95% CI)		664		645	100.0%	1.94 [1.33, 2.84]	•
Total events	74		37				
Heterogeneity: Not applical Test for overall effect: Z = 3		0.0006)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

10 IPD meta analyses

10.1 Prevalance of angina




10.2 Stroke (90 days)

	PCI		CAB	G		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Hlatky et al 2009 (IPD)	12	2269	26	2268	100.0%	0.46 [0.23, 0.91]	-
Total (95% CI)		2269		2268	100.0%	0.46 [0.23, 0.91]	•
Total events	12		26				
Heterogeneity: Not applic Test for overall effect: Z		= 0.03)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

11 Sub group interaction

11.1 Age >65 yrs and age <65 yrs (Death) (Multi vessel short term)



11.2 Age >65 yrs and age <65 yrs (MI) (Multi vessel short term)

				Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE V	Veight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
meta analysis age <65 yrs	-0.7550226 0.42	25435	41.1%	0.47 [0.20, 1.08]	-
meta analysis age >65 yrs	-0.1863296 0.3	55197	58.9%	0.83 [0.41, 1.67]	-
Total (95% CI)		1	00.0%	0.66 [0.39, 1.12]	•
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1.05$, or Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.	, , , ,	%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

11.3 Age >65 yrs and age <65 yrs (Repeat revasc) (Multi vessel short term)

				Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
meta analysis age <65 yrs	1.22082992 0.	.292853	65.0%	3.39 [1.91, 6.02]	
meta analysis age >65 yrs	1.74046617 0.	.399178	35.0%	5.70 [2.61, 12.46]	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	4.07 [2.56, 6.46]	•
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1.10$, or Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.5$, , ,	9%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

11.4 Diabetes and no diabetes (Death) (Multi vessel Long term)




11.5 Diabetes and no diabetes (MI) (Multi vessel long term)

				Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
meta analysis - diabetes	0.3852624 0.4	45566	20.7%	1.47 [0.60, 3.59]	
meta analysis-no diabetes	0.24686008 0.2	33117	79.3%	1.28 [0.81, 2.02]	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.32 [0.88, 1.98]	•
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.07$, or Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.	` ''	%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG

11.6 Diabetes and no diabetes (Repeat revasc) (Multi vessel long term)

				Risk Ratio	Risk F	atio
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed,	95% CI
meta analysis - diabetes	1.41342303 0	.318919	20.8%	4.11 [2.20, 7.68]		
meta analysis-no diabetes	1.178655 0	.163511	79.2%	3.25 [2.36, 4.48]		
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	3.41 [2.57, 4.54]		•
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.43$, or Test for overall effect: $Z = 8$.	, , , ,	0%			0.01 0.1 1 Favours PCI	10 100 Favours CABG

11.7 Single, 2 vessel and 3 vessel (Death) (long term)



11.8 Diabetes and no diabetes (Death) (LMD or 3 vessel-short term)

			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio] S	E Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
meta analysis - diabetes	0.27002714 0.34493	3 47.3%	1.31 [0.67, 2.58]	-
meta analysis-no diabetes	0.13102826 0.32676	9 52.7%	1.14 [0.60, 2.16]	+
Total (95% CI)		100.0%	1.22 [0.76, 1.94]	•
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.09$, or Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	, , , ,			0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours PCI Favours CABG


11.9 Diabetes and no diabetes (cardiac Death) (LMD or 3 ves sel -s



11.10 Diabetes and no diabetes (stroke) (LMD or 3 vessel short term)

			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
meta analysis - diabetes	-1.0216512 0.83254	45 36.7%	0.36 [0.07, 1.84]	
meta analysis-no diabetes	-1.5606477 0.63390	05 63.3%	0.21 [0.06, 0.73]	-
Total (95% CI)		100.0%	0.26 [0.10, 0.69]	•
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.27$, Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$, , ,			0.01

11.11 Diabetes and no diabetes (MI) (LMD or 3 vessel short term)



11.12 Diabetes and no diabetes (repeat revasc) (LMD or 3 vessel short term)



Aspirin versus Placebo for stable angina

1 Aspirin vs. Placebo

1.1 Non fatal MI (follow-up 50-60 months)

	Aspir	in	Placel	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk I	Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	d, 95% Cl
Moller 1992 (SAPAT trial)	7	1009	78	1026	81.9%	0.09 [0.04, 0.20]	-	
Ridker 1991	7	178	16	155	18.1%	0.38 [0.16, 0.90]		
Total (95% CI)		1187		1181	100.0%	0.14 [0.08, 0.25]	•	
Total events	14		94					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 6.26,	, .					0.01 0.1 1	10 100	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 6$.76 (P < 0	.00001)					Favours Placebo

1.2 Fatal MI (follow-up 50-60 months)

	Aspir	in	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Moller 1992 (SAPAT trial)	15	1009	15	1026	75.6%	1.02 [0.50, 2.07]	-
Ridker 1991	0	178	4	155	24.4%	0.10 [0.01, 1.78]	-
Total (95% CI)		1187		1181	100.0%	0.79 [0.41, 1.53]	•
Total events	15		19				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.47,	df = 1 (P =		0.01 0.1 1 10 100				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.70 (P = 0)	.49)					Favours Aspirin Favours Placebo

1.3 Cardiovascular death (follow-up 60.2 months)

	in	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
vents	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
6	178	7	155	100.0%	0.75 [0.26, 2.17]	_
	178		155	100.0%	0.75 [0.26, 2.17]	•
6 able	P _ 0 50	7				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Aspirin Favours Placebo
	6 able	6 178 178 6 able	6 178 7 178 6 7	6 178 7 155 178 155 6 7 able	6 178 7 155 100.0% 178 155 100.0% 6 7 able	6 178 7 155 100.0% 0.75 [0.26, 2.17] 178 155 100.0% 0.75 [0.26, 2.17] 6 7 able

1.4 Sudden death (follow-up median 50 months)

	Aspir	in	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Moller 1992 (SAPAT trial)	19	1009	31	1026	100.0%	0.62 [0.35, 1.10]	•
Total (95% CI)		1009		1026	100.0%	0.62 [0.35, 1.10]	•
Total events	19		31				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$		0.10)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Aspirin Favours Placebo

1.5 Vascular events (follow-up median 50 months)

	Aspir	in	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% CI	
Moller 1992 (SAPAT trial)	108	1009	161	1026	100.0%	0.68 [0.54, 0.86]			
Total (95% CI)		1009		1026	100.0%	0.68 [0.54, 0.86]	♦		
Total events Heterogeneity: Not applicab	108 ole		161				 	<u> </u>	—
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3$.0010)					0.01 0.1 Favours Aspirin	1 10 Favours Plac	100 ebo

Aspirin versus Placebo for stable angina

1.6 Vascular deaths (follow-up median 50 months)

	Aspir	in	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Moller 1992 (SAPAT trial)	51	1009	70	1026	100.0%	0.74 [0.52, 1.05]	
Total (95% CI)		1009		1026	100.0%	0.74 [0.52, 1.05]	•
Total events	51		70				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$		0.09)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Aspirin Favours Placebo

1.7 All cause mortality (follow-up median 50 months)

	Aspir	in	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	d, 95% Cl
Moller 1992 (SAPAT trial)	82	1009	106	1026	100.0%	0.79 [0.60, 1.04]		
Total (95% CI)		1009		1026	100.0%	0.79 [0.60, 1.04]	•	
Total events	82		106					
Heterogeneity: Not applicab	le						0.01 0.1	10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.71 (P = 0)	.09)						Favours Placebo

1.8 Haemorrhagic adverse events (follow-up median 50 months)

	Aspir	in	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Moller 1992 (SAPAT trial)	27	1009	16	1026	100.0%	1.72 [0.93, 3.17]	-
Total (95% CI)		1009		1026	100.0%	1.72 [0.93, 3.17]	•
Total events	27		16				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$		(80.0					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours Aspirin Favours Placebo

1.9 Non haemorrhagic adverse events (follow-up median 50 months)

	Aspir	in	Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Moller 1992 (SAPAT trial)	174	1009	168	1026	100.0%	1.05 [0.87, 1.28]	
Total (95% CI)		1009		1026	100.0%	1.05 [0.87, 1.28]	•
Total events	174		168				
Heterogeneity: Not applicab	le						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.53 (P = 0	0.60)					Favours Aspirin Favours Placebo

Statins for stable angina

1 Statins vs. Placebo


1.1 Total exercise time (Sec)

	S	tatin		Placebo				Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.1.1 Pravastatin vs. pl	lacebo								
Kayikcioglu 2005	585	165	19	507	110	19	100.0%	78.00 [-11.17, 167.17]	+
Subtotal (95% CI)			19			19	100.0%	78.00 [-11.17, 167.17]	
Heterogeneity: Not appl	licable								
Test for overall effect: Z	Z = 1.71	(P =	0.09)						
Total (95% CI)			19			19	100.0%	78.00 [-11.17, 167.17]	
Heterogeneity: Not appl	licable								100 50 100
Test for overall effect: Z	Z = 1.71	(P =	0.09)						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours statin Favours placebo
Test for subgroup differen	ences:	Not a	pplicab	le					Favours statill Favours placebo

1.2 Time to 1mm ST depression (Sec)

	S	tatin		Pla	acebo			Mean Difference	Mean Dif	ference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed	, 95% CI
1.2.1 Pravastatin vs.	Placebo									
Kayikcioglu 2005 Subtotal (95% CI)	419	162	19 19	256	102	19 19	2.1% 2.1 %	163.00 [76.92, 249.08] 163.00 [76.92, 249.08]		\rightarrow
Heterogeneity: Not app	plicable									
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.71	(P = 0).0002)							
1.2.2 Simvastatin vs.	Placebo									
Fabian 2004 Subtotal (95% CI)	267	23.4	20 20	319.8	16.2	20 20	97.9% 97.9 %	-52.80 [-65.27, -40.33] -52.80 [-65.27, -40.33]	-	
Heterogeneity: Not app	plicable									
Test for overall effect:	Z = 8.30	(P < 0	0.00001)						
Total (95% CI)			39			39	100.0%	-48.36 [-60.71, -36.02]	•	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 5 Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe	Z = 7.68	(P < 0	0.00001)			I ² = 95.8	%	-100 -50 C Favours statin) 50 100 Favours placebo

1.3 Hospitalisation for worsening of angina



1 ACE +background medication vs. Placebo +background medication

1.1 Combined (death from cv causes or non fatal MI)

	ACE		Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% CI	
Braunwald 2004(PEACE)	344	4158	352	4132	100.0%	0.97 [0.84, 1.12]			
Total (95% CI)		4158		4132	100.0%	0.97 [0.84, 1.12]	•	ł	
Total events	344		352						
Heterogeneity: Not applicab							0.01 0.1	1 10	100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.40 (P = 0)	1.69)					Favours ACE	Favours Pla	acebo

1.2 Death from cardio vascular causes

	ACE Events Total		Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup			Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Braunwald 2004(PEACE)	146	4158	152	4132	91.6%	0.95 [0.76, 1.19]	
Pitt 2001 (QUIET)	13	878	14	872	8.4%	0.92 [0.44, 1.95]	
Total (95% CI)		5036		5004	100.0%	0.95 [0.77, 1.18]	•
Total events	159		166				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.01,	df = 1 (P :	= 0.93);	$I^2 = 0\%$				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.45 (P = 0	.65)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours ACE Favours Placebo

1.3 Non fatal MI

	ACE	ACE F		Placebo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Braunwald 2004(PEACE)	222	4158	220	4132	84.6%	1.00 [0.84, 1.20]	
Pitt 2001 (QUIET)	36	878	40	872	15.4%	0.89 [0.58, 1.39]	-+
Total (95% CI)		5036		5004	100.0%	0.99 [0.83, 1.17]	•
Total events	258		260				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.22$, Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$	•	, .	l ² = 0%				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours ACE Favours Placebo

1.4 Death from non cardiovascular or unknown causes

	ACE	•	Placebo Risk Ratio			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Braunwald 2004(PEACE)	153	4158	182	4132	93.3%	0.84 [0.68, 1.03]	
Pitt 2001 (QUIET)	14	878	13	872	6.7%	1.07 [0.51, 2.26]	+
Total (95% CI)		5036		5004	100.0%	0.85 [0.69, 1.04]	•
Total events	167		195				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.39,	df = 1 (P :	= 0.53);	$I^2 = 0\%$				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.56 (P = 0	1.12)					Favours ACE Favours Placebo

1.5 Hospitalised with unstable angina



1.6 All causes death

	ACE		Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Pitt 2001 (QUIET)	27	878	27	872	100.0%	0.99 [0.59, 1.68]	
Total (95% CI)		878		872	100.0%	0.99 [0.59, 1.68]	*
Total events	27		27				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.03 (P = 0.9	8)				Favours ACE Favours Placebo

1.7 Hospitalisation due to CHF

	ACE		Place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	d, 95% CI
Braunwald 2004(PEACE)	105	4158	134	4132	100.0%	0.78 [0.61, 1.00]		
Total (95% CI)		4158		4132	100.0%	0.78 [0.61, 1.00]	•	
Total events	105		134					
Heterogeneity: Not applicab							0.01 0.1	10 100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.95 (P = 0)	0.05)					Favours ACE	Favours Placebo

1.8 Death from CHF


	ACE	CE Placebo				Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	d, 95% CI	
Braunwald 2004(PEACE)	15	4158	25	4132	100.0%	0.60 [0.31, 1.13]	-	-	
Total (95% CI)		4158		4132	100.0%	0.60 [0.31, 1.13]	•		
Total events	15		25						
Heterogeneity: Not applicab							0.01 0.1	10	100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.59 (P = 0)).11)					Favours ACE	Favours Place	

2 ACE+BB vs. BB

2.1 Exercise time (min)

		ACE			ВВ			Mean Difference		Mean D	Difference	Э	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixe	d, 95% C	1	
Klein 1990	9.6	2.35	23	9.4	2.35	23	100.0%	0.20 [-1.16, 1.56]					
Total (95% CI)			23			23	100.0%	0.20 [-1.16, 1.56]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P = 0	0.77)						-100 -! Favours	+ 50 ACE+BB	0 B Favour	50 s BB	100

2.2 Time to 1mm ST segment depression (min)



3 ACE +background medication vs. Nifedipine + background medication

3.1 Combined Cardiac events

	ACE	ACE Nifedipine				Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Yui 2003 (JMIC-B)	106	822	116	828	100.0%	0.92 [0.72, 1.18]	
Total (95% CI)		822		828	100.0%	0.92 [0.72, 1.18]	•
Total events	106		116				
Heterogeneity: Not app		D 0.5	4)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.66 (P = 0.5	1)				Favours ACE Favours Nifedipine

3.2 sudden death or cardiac death

	ACE		Nifedip	ine		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Yui 2003 (JMIC-B)	6	822	6	828	100.0%	1.01 [0.33, 3.11]	_
Total (95% CI)		822		828	100.0%	1.01 [0.33, 3.11]	*
Total events	6		6				
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.01 (P = 0.9	9)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours ACE Favours Nifedipine


3.3 MI

	ACE		Nifedip	ine		Risk Ratio						
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H,	Fixed	, 95% CI		
Yui 2003 (JMIC-B)	13	822	16	828	100.0%	0.82 [0.40, 1.69]				_		
Total (95% CI)		822		828	100.0%	0.82 [0.40, 1.69]			•	•		
Total events	13		16									
Heterogeneity: Not app		D 05	0)				0.01	0.1	1	1()	100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.54 (r = 0.5	9)				Fa	vours A	ACE I	Favours	Nife	edipine

3.4 Hospitalisation for angina pectoris



3.5 Hospitalisation for HF



3.6 Non cardiac death

	ACE		E Nifedipine			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Yui 2003 (JMIC-B)	9	822	6	828	100.0%	1.51 [0.54, 4.23]	
Total (95% CI)		822		828	100.0%	1.51 [0.54, 4.23]	•
Total events	9		6				
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.79 (P = 0.4	3)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours ACE Favours Nifedipine

3.7 Total mortality

	ACE					Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Yui 2003 (JMIC-B)	15	822	12	828	100.0%	1.26 [0.59, 2.67]	-
Total (95% CI)		822		828	100.0%	1.26 [0.59, 2.67]	•
Total events	15		12				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.60 (P = 0.5	5)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours ACE Favours Nifedipine


3.8 Adverse events

ACE		Nifedip	ine		Risk Ratio)		
Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H	l, Fixed, 95	%CI	
121	822	76	828	100.0%	1.60 [1.22, 2.10]				
	822		828	100.0%	1.60 [1.22, 2.10]		•		
121		76							
olicable Z = 3.43 (P = 0.0	006)				0.01 0.1	1	10	100
	Events 121 121 dicable	121 822 822 121 dicable	Events Total Events 121 822 76 822 76 121 76	Events Total Events Total 121 822 76 828 822 828 828 121 76 828 dicable 828 828	Events Total Events Total Weight 121 822 76 828 100.0% 822 828 100.0% 121 76 100.0% Hicable 100.0% 100.0%	Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% CI 121 822 76 828 100.0% 1.60 [1.22, 2.10] 822 828 100.0% 1.60 [1.22, 2.10] 121 76 dicable 100.0% 1.60 [1.22, 2.10]	Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% CI M-H 121 822 76 828 100.0% 1.60 [1.22, 2.10] 822 828 100.0% 1.60 [1.22, 2.10] 121 76 discable 0.01 0.1	Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% CI M-H, Fixed, 95 121 822 76 828 100.0% 1.60 [1.22, 2.10] 822 828 100.0% 1.60 [1.22, 2.10] 121 76 Illicable 7 - 3.43 (P = 0.0006)	Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% CI M-H, Fixed, 95% CI 121 822 76 828 100.0% 1.60 [1.22, 2.10] 121 76 dicable 0.01 0.1 1.01

3.9 Withdrawal due to adverse effects

	_		Nifedip	ine		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Yui 2003 (JMIC-B)	72	822	41	828	100.0%	1.77 [1.22, 2.56]	
Total (95% CI)		822		828	100.0%	1.77 [1.22, 2.56]	◆
Total events	72		41				
Heterogeneity: Not app							0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.01 (P = 0.0	03)				Favours ACE Favours Nifedipine

3.10 Diabetes sub group (combined cardiac events)



3.11 Diabetes sub group (cardiac death or sudden death)

	ACE					Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Events Total		Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Yui 2004 (JMIC-B)	3	173	1	199	100.0%	3.45 [0.36, 32.87]	
Total (95% CI)		173		199	100.0%	3.45 [0.36, 32.87]	
Total events	3		1				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 1.08 (F	P = 0.28	B)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours ACE Favours Nifedipine

3.12 Diabetes sub group (MI)

	ACE					Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Yui 2004 (JMIC-B)	4	173	4	199	100.0%	1.15 [0.29, 4.53]	_
Total (95% CI)		173		199	100.0%	1.15 [0.29, 4.53]	*
Total events	4		4				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.20 (P = 0.8	4)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours ACE Favours Nifedipine


3.13 Diabetes sub group (hospitalisation for angina pectoris)

	ACE		Nifedipine		Risk Ratio			Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-F	ł, Fixe	d, 95% (l	
Yui 2004 (JMIC-B)	12	173	16	199	100.0%	0.86 [0.42, 1.77]			-	-		
Total (95% CI)		173		199	100.0%	0.86 [0.42, 1.77]			•	>		
Total events	12		16									
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		P = 0.6	9)				0.01 F	0.1 avours	ACE		IO s Ni	100 fedipine

3.14 Diabetes sub group (Hospitalisation for HF)



3.15 Diabetes sub group (Total mortality)



1 Stress management vs. routine care control

1.1 Frequency of angina (average no. of. daily attacks) (8 weeks)

	stress m	ess management			t Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV	, Fixed, 95%	CI	
Bundy 1998	7.4	4.7	42	7.4	5.2	16	100.0%	0.00 [-2.92, 2.92]					
Total (95% CI)			42			16	100.0%	0.00 [-2.92, 2.92]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	= 1.00)						Favo	-100 urs stre	-50 ss manage	0 ment Favo	50 ours control	100

1.2 Average duration of angina per attack (mins) (8 weeks)

	stress m	anagen	nent	Co	ontro	I		Mean Difference		Me	ean Differer	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV	, Fixed, 95%	CI	
Bundy 1998	11	7.4	42	11.4	7.5	16	100.0%	-0.40 [-4.70, 3.90]					
Total (95% CI)			42			16	100.0%	-0.40 [-4.70, 3.90]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	= 0.86)						Favo	-100 urs stre	-50 ess manage	0 ment Favo	50 ours control	10

1.3 Frequency of chest pain at rest (days per fortnight) (6 months)


	stress n	nanager	nent	C	ontrol			Mean Difference		Mea	an Differer	тсе	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	CI	
Gallacher 1997	1.83	2.92	158	2.42	3.19	179	100.0%	-0.59 [-1.24, 0.06]					
Total (95% CI)			158			179	100.0%	-0.59 [-1.24, 0.06]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	P = 0.08)						Favo	-100 urs stres	-50 ss manager	0 nent Favo	50 ours control	1

1.4 Frequency of chest pain on exertion (days per fortnight) (6 months)

	stress n	nanager	nent	C	ontrol			Mean Difference		Me	an Differe	nce		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 959	% CI		
Gallacher 1997	3.42	3.71	158	3.96	3.86	179	100.0%	-0.54 [-1.35, 0.27]			-			
Total (95% CI)			158			179	100.0%	-0.54 [-1.35, 0.27]			•			
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	P = 0.19)						Favo	-100 urs stre	-50 ss manage	0 ment Fav	50 ours con	-	1

2 Stress management + exercise vs. routine care control (8 weeks)

2.1 Frequency of angina (average no. of daily attacks



2.2 Duration of angina (min)

	stress management+exerc			Co	ontro	I		Mean Difference	Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV	, Fixed, 95%	% CI
Bundy 1998	7	6.6	20	11.4	7.5	16	100.0%	-4.40 [-9.08, 0.28]				
Total (95% CI)			20			16	100.0%	-4.40 [-9.08, 0.28]			•	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:		07)						Favo	-100 ours stres	-50 ss manage	0 ement Fav	50 ours control

3 Stress management + exercise vs. routine care (8 weeks) (change scores)

3.1 Frequency of angina

	Stress manag	Stress management +exerci						Mean Difference	•	Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% (CI		IV, Fixed, 95% C	1
Bundy 1994	4.3	3	14	7	5.7	15	100.0%	-2.70 [-5.98, 0.58	8]			
Total (95% CI)			14			15	100.0%	-2.70 [-5.98, 0.58	3]		•	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	1)							-100 Favours st	-50 ress man	0 agement Favour	rs rc

3.2 Duration of angina


	Stress mana	routine care				Mean Difference	Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% C	CI		IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Bundy 1994	1.2	0.5	14	1.9	0.5	15	100.0%	-0.70 [-1.06, -0.34	1]		
Total (95% CI)			14			15	100.0%	-0.70 [-1.06, -0.34	i]		•
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	0002)							-100 Favours stre	-50	0 agement Favours

4 Yoga life style intervention programme vs. Control (1 year)

4.1 Mortality

	Yoga life style		Contr	ol		Risk Ratio					
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	1	M-H, F	ixed, 95°	% CI	
Manchanda 2000	0	21	0	21		Not estimable)				
Total (95% CI)		21		21		Not estimable	•				
Total events	0		0								
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	ble					0.01	0.1 yoga lifesty	1	10	100

4.2 Angina episodes per week




4.3 Exercise duration (sec)

	Yoga	life st	yle	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95%C	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Manchanda 2000	413	132	21	374	151	21	100.0%	39.00 [-46.78, 124.78]	
Total (95% CI)			21			21	100.0%	39.00 [-46.78, 124.78]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P = 0	.37)						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favoursyoga lifestyle Favours control

4.4 ST segment depression (mm)

	Yoga	life st	yle	Co	ontro	I		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% C	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Manchanda 2000	0.18	8.0	21	2.7	0.6	21	100.0%	-2.52 [-2.95, -2.09]	9]
Total (95% CI)			21			21	100.0%	-2.52 [-2.95, -2.09]	1
Heterogeneity: Not ap	•	5 (P <	0.0000	1)					-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours voga lifestyle Favours control

4.5 Revascularisation




5 Intensive lifestyle programme vs. control (5 years)

5.1 Angina frequency (times per week)

	lifestyle	progran	nme	Co	ontro	I		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Ornish 1998	1.6	2.7	18	0.9	1.9	14	100.0%	0.70 [-0.90, 2.30]	
Total (95% CI)			18			14	100.0%	0.70 [-0.90, 2.30]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	= 0.39)							-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours Lifestyle Favours control

5.2 chest pain duration (min)



5.3 MI

	lifestyle program	ifestyle programme				Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	d, 95% CI			
Ornish 1998	2	28	4	20	100.0%	0.36 [0.07, 1.76]	-	_			
Total (95% CI)		28		20	100.0%	0.36 [0.07, 1.76]		-			
Total events	2		4								
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•)					0.01 0.1 1 Favours Lifestyle	10 100 Favours control			

5.4 PTCA

	lifestyle programme		Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Ornish 1998	8	28	14	20	100.0%	0.41 [0.21, 0.78]	-
Total (95% CI)		28		20	100.0%	0.41 [0.21, 0.78]	•
Total events	8		14				
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	07)					Favours Lifetsyle Favours control	

5.5 CABG

	lifestyle progra	mme	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% C	<u> </u>	
Ornish 1998	2	28	5	20	100.0%	0.29 [0.06, 1.33]	-				
Total (95% CI)		28		20	100.0%	0.29 [0.06, 1.33]	-	~	-		
Total events	2		5								
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:		1)						0.1 s lifetsyle		0 cor	100

5.6 Death

	lifestyle progra	mme	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Ornish 1998	2	28	1	20	100.0%	1.43 [0.14, 14.70]	
Total (95% CI)		28		20	100.0%	1.43 [0.14, 14.70]	
Total events	2		1				
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	5)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours lifestyle Favours control

6 Nurse led cardiac rehab vs. routine care (6 months)

6.1 Walking performance (Jenkins activity checklist for walking)

	nurse led	cardiac r	ehab	С	ontrol			Mean Difference		Ме	an Differer	ісе	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	CI	
Jiang 2007	10.63	2.13	83	8.62	2.98	84	100.0%	2.01 [1.23, 2.79]					
Total (95% CI)			83			84	100.0%	2.01 [1.23, 2.79])		
	leterogeneity: Not applicable lest for overall effect: Z = 5.02 (P < 0.00001)								-100	-50	0	50	1
rest for overall effect:	Z = 5.02 (P <	(0.00001))					Fav	ours n	urse led ca	rdiac Favo	urs contro	ol

7 Angina management programme (AMP) vs. control (at the end of 8 week treatment period)

7.1 Mean no. of Episodes of angina per week

	A	AMP Control						Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Lewin 1995 (AMP)	4.5	5.7	34	16.6	17.8	31	100.0%	-12.10 [-18.65, -5.55]	<u> </u>
Total (95% CI)			34			31	100.0%	-12.10 [-18.65, -5.55]	◆
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 3.62 (P = 0.0003)									-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours AMP Favours control

7.2 Severity of angina (self rated out of 100 with scores being worse)

	AMP Control							Mean Difference		Mea	n Diffe	rence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	xed, 9	5% CI	
Lewin 1995 (AMP)	21.2	21.8	34	32.9	24.6	31	100.0%	-11.70 [-23.04, -0.36]		-			
Total (95% CI)			34			31	100.0%	-11.70 [-23.04, -0.36]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 2.02 (P = 0.04)										-50 Favours A	0 MP Fa	50 avours cor	100 ntrol

7.3 Duration of angina (mins)

		AMP		C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Lewin 1995 (AMP)	16.3	23.8	34	26	39.7	31	100.0%	-9.70 [-25.80, 6.40]	-
Total (95% CI)			34			31	100.0%	-9.70 [-25.80, 6.40]	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 1.18 (P = 0.24)									-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours AMP Favours control

7.4 Disability (Sickness Impact Profile) (100 being completely medically dependent and 0 indicating no measurable impairment)

	AMP Control							Mean Difference		IV	lean Dif	ference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		ľ	/, Fixed	, 95% CI		
Lewin 1995 (AMP)	6.8	6.3	34	19.5	12.9	31	100.0%	-12.70 [-17.71, -7.69]						
Total (95% CI)			34			31	100.0%	-12.70 [-17.71, -7.69]			•	•		•
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:	ot applicable ffect: Z = 4.97 (P < 0.00001)								-100 F	-50 avour	0 s AMP	50 Favours o	-	100 ol

8 Angina Plan vs. Education session (6 months) (all of the outcomes below report change scores)

8.1 Anxiety (HAD scale) (scores between 8 and 10 indicate bordeline presence of anxiety)

	Ang	ina Pla	an	Educat	ion ses	sion		Mean Difference			Mean Diff	ference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI			IV, Fixed,	, 95% CI	
Lewin 2002 (Angina plan)	-1.03	2.61	68	0	3.07	74	5.9%	-1.03 [-1.96, -0.10]				_	
Zetta 2009 (Angina Plan)	-0.35	0.92	109	-0.24	0.84	109	94.1%	-0.11 [-0.34, 0.12]			-		
Total (95% CI)			177			183	100.0%	-0.16 [-0.39, 0.06]					
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 3.50$, Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$	•		6); I ² = 7	71%					-100 Fav	-50 ours Angi	0 na plan	Favours I	50 Educati

8.2 Depression (HAD scale) (scores between 8 and 10 indicate borderline presence of depression)

	Ang	ina Pla	an	Educat	Education session			Mean Difference	Mean Di	fference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed	i, 95% Cl	
Lewin 2002 (Angina plan)	-0.48	1.89	68	0.41	2.1	74	9.9%	-0.89 [-1.55, -0.23]			
Zetta 2009 (Angina Plan)	-0.07	0.87	109	0.79	0.77	109	90.1%	-0.86 [-1.08, -0.64]			
Total (95% CI)			177			183	100.0%	-0.86 [-1.07, -0.66]			
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.01$, Test for overall effect: $Z = 8$				0%					 + -50 (S Angina plan	-	50 ducat

8.3 Angina attacks per week

	Ang	ina Pl	an	Educat	ion sess	sion		Mean Difference	Mean D	Difference	1
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixe	ed, 95% CI	
Lewin 2002 (Angina plan)	-2.98	5.54	68	-0.41	5.97	74	100.0%	-2.57 [-4.46, -0.68]		-	
Total (95% CI)			68			74	100.0%	-2.57 [-4.46, -0.68]		♦	
• , ,,	Heterogeneity: Not applicable Fest for overall effect: Z = 2.66 (P = 0.008)								 + -50 s Angina plan	0 Favours	50 s Educat

8.4 Mean pain score

	Ang	gina Pla	ın	Educa	tion ses	sion		Mean Difference		N	lean Differe	ence
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		ľ	V, Fixed, 95	% CI
Lewin 2002 (Angina plan)	-1.69	14.78	68	-3.48	17.35	74	100.0%	1.79 [-3.50, 7.08]			-	
Total (95% CI)			68			74	100.0%	1.79 [-3.50, 7.08]			•	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Heterogeneity: Not applicable Fest for overall effect: Z = 0.66 (P = 0.51)								-100 Fav	-50 ours Angin	0 a plan Fav	50 vours Educa

8.5 Mean duration of pain

	Ang	3			tion ses	sion		Mean Difference	Mean Di	ifference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed	d, 95% CI	
Lewin 2002 (Angina plan)	an) -9.21 34.87 68 -6.78 22.98 74 100.0%							-2.43 [-12.23, 7.37]	-	-	
Total (95% CI)			68			74	100.0%	-2.43 [-12.23, 7.37]	◄		
• , , , , ,	Heterogeneity: Not applicable Fest for overall effect: Z = 0.49 (P = 0.63)								 -50 s Angina plan	0 Favours	50 Educ

8.6 Physical limitation (Seattle Angina questionnaire) (0 to 100 scale with higher scores indicating better functioning)

	Angina Plan Mean SD Total			Educat	ion ses	sion		Mean Difference		Mea	n Difference	e
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	Fixed, 95% C	.1
Lewin 2002 (Angina plan)	8.42	16.07	68	-1.43	14.24	74	100.0%	9.85 [4.84, 14.86]				
Total (95% CI)			68			74	100.0%	9.85 [4.84, 14.86]			•	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 3$		0.0001)						-100 Favour	-50 rs Angina p	0 olan Favour	50 s Educa

8.7 Angina stability (Seattle Angina questionnaire)(0 to 100 scale with higher scores indicating better functioning)

	Ang	gina Pla	ın	Educa	tion ses	sion		Mean Difference		Me	ean Differenc	e:e
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV.	, Fixed, 95% (CI
Lewin 2002 (Angina plan)	8.73	31.48	68	4.17	29.93	74	100.0%	4.56 [-5.56, 14.68]			-	
Total (95% CI)			68			74	100.0%	4.56 [-5.56, 14.68]			•	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$		0.38)							-100 Favo	-50 ours Angina	0 plan Favou	50 Irs Educa

8.8 Angina frequency (Seattle Angina questionnaire)(0 to 100 scale with higher scores indicating better functioning)

	3				tion ses	sion		Mean Difference	Mean D	ifference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixe	d, 95% CI	
Lewin 2002 (Angina plan)	5.71	23.54	68	4.24	24.06	74	51.2%	1.47 [-6.36, 9.30]	-	-	
Zetta 2009 (Angina Plan)	24.54	31.29	109	18.33	29.11	109	48.8%	6.21 [-1.81, 14.23]		 -	
Total (95% CI)			177			183	100.0%	3.78 [-1.82, 9.39]		•	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.69, Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$	P , $df = 1 (P = 0.41); I^2 = 0%$								 + 50 Angina plan	0 Favours E	50 Educa

8.9 Treatment satisfaction (Seattle Angina questionnaire)(0 to 100 scale with higher scores indicating better functioning)

	Angina Plan				tion ses	sion		Mean Difference		M	lean Diffe	rence
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		I۱	/, Fixed, 9	5% CI
Lewin 2002 (Angina plan)	0.81	16.82	68	2.75	13.52	74	100.0%	-1.94 [-6.99, 3.11]				
Total (95% CI)			68			74	100.0%	-1.94 [-6.99, 3.11]			•	
Heterogeneity: Not applicate Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$		0.45)							-100 Favou	-50 irs Angin	0 a plan Fa	50 avours Educa

8.10 Disease perception (Seattle Angina questionnaire)(0 to 100 scale with higher scores indicating better functioning)

	Ang	gina Pla	ın	Educa	tion ses	sion		Mean Difference	Mean	Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fix	ced, 95% Cl
Lewin 2002 (Angina plan)	7.8	14.35	68	4.29	16.94	74	63.4%	3.51 [-1.64, 8.66]		
Zetta 2009 (Angina Plan)	21.16	28.2	109	19.43	22.51	109	36.6%	1.73 [-5.04, 8.50]		+
Total (95% CI)			177			183	100.0%	2.86 [-1.24, 6.96]		•
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 0.17$, Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$	$hi^2 = 0.17$, $df = 1 (P = 0.68)$; $I^2 = 0$								-100 -50 Favours Angina pla	0 50 an Favours Educat

8.11 Misconceptions/knowledge

	Ang	ina Pl	an	Educat	ion ses	sion		Mean Difference		Me	an Differei	псе	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	6 CI	
Zetta 2009 (Angina Plan)	-7.51	7.76	109	-2.01	6.39	109	100.0%	-5.50 [-7.39, -3.61]					
Total (95% CI)			109			109	100.0%	-5.50 [-7.39, -3.61]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not applical Test for overall effect: Z = \$		< 0.000	001)						-100 Favours	-50 Angina	0 plan Favo	50 ours educ	100 ation

8.12 CLASP angina

	Ang	ina Pl	an	Educat	ion ses	sion		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Zetta 2009 (Angina Plan)	-1.64	2.87	109	-2.44	3.23	109	100.0%	0.80 [-0.01, 1.61]	•
Total (95% CI)			109			109	100.0%	0.80 [-0.01, 1.61]	
Heterogeneity: Not applicate Test for overall effect: Z =		= 0.05)							-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours Angina plan Favours education

8.13 Physical function (SF-36) (scores between 0 to 100 with higher scores representing better health status)

	Angina Plan				tion ses	sion		Mean Difference		Mea	an Differer	псе	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, I	Fixed, 95%	6 CI	
Zetta 2009 (Angina Plan)	3.69	21.77	109	0.02	23.22	109	100.0%	3.67 [-2.31, 9.65]					
Total (95% CI)			109			109	100.0%	3.67 [-2.31, 9.65]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not applica Test for overall effect: Z =		= 0.23)							-100 Favours	-50 Angina p	0 olan Favo	50 ours educ	10(ation

8.14 Energy and and vitality (SF-36)(scores between 0 to 100 with higher scores representing better health status)

	Ang	gina Pla	n	Educat	tion ses	sion		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differen	ice	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, I	Fixed, 95%	CI	
Zetta 2009 (Angina Plan)	5.82	20.35	109	1.3	21.34	109	100.0%	4.52 [-1.02, 10.06]					
Total (95% CI)			109			109	100.0%	4.52 [-1.02, 10.06]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not applica Test for overall effect: Z =		0.11)							-100 Favours	-50 Angina r	0 olan Favo	50 urs educ	1(ation

8.15 Pain (SF-36)(scores between 0 to 100 with higher scores representing better health status)

	Ang	Angina Plan Education session Mean SD Total Mean SD Total					Mean Difference		Mean D	Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixe	d, 95% CI		
Zetta 2009 (Angina Plan)	11.89	27.75	109	0.02	31.15	109	100.0%	11.87 [4.04, 19.70]					
Total (95% CI)			109			109	100.0%	11.87 [4.04, 19.70]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not applicate Test for overall effect: Z = 2		= 0.003)							-100 Favours	-50 Angina plar	0 Favours	50 educa	1 ition

8.16 GH perception (SF-36)(scores between 0 to 100 with higher scores representing better health status)

	Angina Plan				ion ses	sion		Mean Difference		Mea	an Differer	тсе	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	CI	
Zetta 2009 (Angina Plan)	6.37	16.74	109	1.34	20.1	109	100.0%	5.03 [0.12, 9.94]					
Total (95% CI)			109			109	100.0%	5.03 [0.12, 9.94]			♦		
Heterogeneity: Not applica Test for overall effect: Z = 2		= 0.04)							-100 Favours	-50 Angina	0 plan Favo	50 ours educ	100 ation

8.17 Change in health (SF-36)(scores between 0 to 100 with higher scores representing better health status)

	Ang	gina Pla	ın	Education session				Mean Difference		Mea	n Differen	ce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95%	CI	
Zetta 2009 (Angina Plan)	15.24	27.19	109	9.99	31.2	109	100.0%	5.25 [-2.52, 13.02]					
Total (95% CI)			109			109	100.0%	5.25 [-2.52, 13.02]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not applicate Test for overall effect: Z =		0.19)							-100 Favours	-50 s Angina p	0 Ian Favo	50 urs educa	1(ation

8.18 SEI QOL- DW QOL score (overall score ranging from 0-100 with higher scores reflecting better quality of life)

	Ang	gina Pla	an	Educat	ion ses	sion		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Zetta 2009 (Angina Plan)	6.53	15.02	109	4.83	16.57	109	100.0%	1.70 [-2.50, 5.90]	•
Total (95% CI)			109			109	100.0%	1.70 [-2.50, 5.90]	•
Heterogeneity: Not applical Test for overall effect: Z = 0		= 0.43)							-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours Angina plan Favours education

1 Exercise (1 year intensive) vs Control

1.1 Max ST depression (mm)

	Exe	ercis	е	Co	ontro	I		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95	% CI	
Todd & Ballantyne 1990	1.6	1.2	20	1.4	8.0	20	100.0%	0.20 [-0.43, 0.83]					
Total (95% CI)			20			20	100.0%	0.20 [-0.43, 0.83]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not applicate Test for overall effect: Z =		= 0.5	4)						-10	-5 Exerc	0 ise Co	5 ntrol	10

1.2 Time to 1mm ST depression (sec)

	Ex	ercise	е	C	ontrol			Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95°	%CI	
Todd & Ballantyne 1990	881	668	20	715	580	20	100.0%	166.00 [-221.71, 553.71]		-		_	
Total (95% CI)			20			20	100.0%	166.00 [-221.71, 553.71]		-			
Heterogeneity: Not applic Test for overall effect: Z =		= 0.40))						-1000	-500 Exerc	0 ise Cor	500 ntrol	1000

1.3 Treadmill time (s)

	Ex	ercise	е	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Todd & Ballantyne 1990	1,272	514	20	1,010	546	20	100.0%	262.00 [-66.64, 590.64]	+
Total (95% CI)			20			20	100.0%	262.00 [-66.64, 590.64]	•
Heterogeneity: Not applic Test for overall effect: Z =		= 0.12	2)						-1000 -500 0 500 1000 Exercise Control

2 Exercise (and placebo) vs. Placebo

2.1 Maximal working capacity kpm/min

	Exercise (and plac	ebo)	Pla	aceb	0		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95°	%Cl	
Malmborg et al. 1974	15	21	8	19	53	8	100.0%	-4.00 [-43.50, 35.50]				_	
Total (95% CI)			8			8	100.0%	-4.00 [-43.50, 35.50]		-		-	
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		0.84)							-100 Favo	-50 ours exerc	0 ise Fav	50 ours place	100 cebo

2.2 Anginal attacks / week

	Exercise (and plac	ebo)	Pla	aceb	0		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differ	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	xed, 95	5% CI	
Malmborg et al. 1974	24	50	8	49	66	8	100.0%	-25.00 [-82.38, 32.38]	_				
Total (95% CI)			8			8	100.0%	-25.00 [-82.38, 32.38]	-			_	
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		0.39)							-100 Favo	-50 urs exerc	0 se Fa	50 vours plac	100 cebo

2.3 Nitroglycerin tabl / week

	Exercise (a	and plac	ebo)	Pla	acebo)		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95°	%CI	
Malmborg et al. 1974	4	54	8	0	135	8	100.0%	4.00 [-96.75, 104.75]		-			
Total (95% CI)			8			8	100.0%	4.00 [-96.75, 104.75]				—	
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2).94)							-200 Fav	-100 ours exerc	0 ise Fav	100 ours plac	200 cebo

3 Exercise and beta blockers vs. Beta blocker

3.1 Maximal working capacity kpm/min

	Exercise +	beta blo	cker	Beta	block	er		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95°	% CI	
Malmborg et al. 1974	42	49	6	48	41	7	100.0%	-6.00 [-55.60, 43.60]					
Total (95% CI)			6			7	100.0%	-6.00 [-55.60, 43.60]					
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2).81)						Fa	-100 vours E	-50 Exercise +	0 BB Fav	50 ours BB	100

3.2 Anginal attacks / week


	Exercise +	beta blo	cker	Beta	block	er		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, I	Fixed, 959	% CI	
Malmborg et al. 1974	-44	50	6	-85	21	7	100.0%	41.00 [-1.93, 83.93]					_
Total (95% CI)			6			7	100.0%	41.00 [-1.93, 83.93]			-		-
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		0.06)						Fa	-100 vours e	-50 exercise +	0 BB Fav	50 ours BB	100

3.3 Nitroglycerin tabl / week

	Exercise +	beta blo	cker	Beta	block	er		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% (CI IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Malmborg et al. 1974	-15	115	6	-73	32	7	100.0%	58.00 [-37.02, 153.02	2]
Total (95% CI)			6			7	100.0%	58.00 [-37.02, 153.02	2]
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2		0.23)						I	-200 -100 0 100 20 Favours exercise + BB Favours BB

4 Exercise + low fat diet vs. Control

4.1 Cardiac mortality



4.2 Mortality (all)

	Exercise + low fa	t diet	Contr	ol (Risk Ratio			Risk Ratio	1	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H,	Fixed, 95	%CI	
Schuler et al. 1992	2	56	1	57	100.0%	2.04 [0.19, 21.82]					
Total (95% CI)		56		57	100.0%	2.04 [0.19, 21.82]		-			
Total events	2		1								
Heterogeneity: Not ap	•						0.01	0.1	1	10	100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.59 (P = 0.56)					Fa	vours ex	cercise +	diet Favo	ours contr	

4.3 Non-fatal MI

	Exercise + low fa	t diet	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio			Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H	l, Fixed, 95	%CI	
Schuler et al. 1992	0	56	2	57	100.0%	0.20 [0.01, 4.15]	←			_	
Total (95% CI)		56		57	100.0%	0.20 [0.01, 4.15]				-	
Total events	0		2								
Heterogeneity: Not approximately Test for overall effect:						Fa	0.01	0.1	1 diet Fav	10	100

5 Exercise vs. PCI


5.1 Death of cardiac causes

	Exerci	ise	PCI			Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fix	ed, 95% C		
Hambrecht 2004	0	51	0	50		Not estimable					
Total (95% CI)		51		50		Not estimable					
Total events	0		0								
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable						0.01	0.1	 	^	100
Test for overall effect:	Not applic	able						rs Exercise		_	

5.2 Cerebrovascular accident



5.3 Revascularisation



5.4 Hospitalisation and coronary angiography owing to worsening angina

	Exerci	se	PCI			Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	d, 95% CI
Hambrecht 2004	1	51	7	50	100.0%	0.14 [0.02, 1.10]		
Total (95% CI)		51		50	100.0%	0.14 [0.02, 1.10]		
Total events	1		7					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable						0.01 0.1 1	10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.87 (P = 0.0	6)				0.01 0.1 1 Favours Exercise	10 100 Favours PCI

6 Health Education vs Control

6.1 Mortality

	Health Educ	ation	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fixe	d, 95% Cl	
Cupples & McKnight, 1994	13	342	29	346	100.0%	0.45 [0.24, 0.86]		_		
Total (95% CI)		342		346	100.0%	0.45 [0.24, 0.86]		•		
Total events	13		29							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.4$						Fav	0.01 ours Healt	0.1 th Education	1 10 Favours contro	100

6.2 Increase in frequency of exercise


	Health Educ	ation	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-I	H, Fixed, 95% (X	
Cupples & McKnight, 1994	108	342	63	346	100.0%	1.73 [1.32, 2.28]				
Total (95% CI)		342		346	100.0%	1.73 [1.32, 2.28]		•		
Total events	108		63							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.96$	6 (P < 0.0001)	١				Fav	0.01 0.1 vours Health Educ	1 ation Favours	10 s control	100

1 Fish oil capsules vs. Placebo (Follow-up at end of treatment period)


1.1 Anginal episodes per week

	F	ish oil		С	ontrol			Mean Difference		Mea	n Differ	rence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 9	5% CI	
Salachas 1994	8.36	103.6	20	11.36	51.7	19	100.0%	-3.00 [-54.01, 48.01]					
Total (95% CI)			20			19	100.0%	-3.00 [-54.01, 48.01]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	! (P = 0.	91)						-100 Fav	-50 ours fish	0 oil Fa	50 avours co	100 ntrol

1.2 GTN consumption per week




1.3 Exercise test duration (min)



1.4 Number of anginal attacks per 30 days

	Fi	ish oil		C	ontrol			Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	Fixed, 95	% CI	
Aucamp 1993	12.9	13.7	12	22.1	31.1	11	100.0%	-9.20 [-29.15, 10.75]		-			
Total (95% CI)			12			11	100.0%	-9.20 [-29.15, 10.75]		-			•
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:		(P = 0	0.37)						-100 Fa	-50 vours fish	0 n oil Fav	50 vours cor	100 ntrol

1.5 Duration of angina attacks per minute



1.6 Intensity of pain per attack per patient (on a 10 cm visual analogue scale)

	Fis	sh oi	I	Co	ontro	I		Mean Difference		Mea	ın Differe	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, I	Fixed, 95	%CI	
Aucamp 1993	2.5	1.2	12	3.5	1.5	11	100.0%	-1.00 [-2.12, 0.12]					
Total (95% CI)			12			11	100.0%	-1.00 [-2.12, 0.12]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	6 (P =	(80.0						-100 Fa	-50 vours fisl	0 n oil Fa	50 vours cor	100 ntrol

1.7 No. of sublingual isosorbide dinitrate tablets taken per 30 days

	F	ish oil		C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Differen	ice
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95%	, CI
Aucamp 1993	17	22.5	12	17	16.8	11	100.0%	0.00 [-16.14, 16.14]	-	
Total (95% CI)			12			11	100.0%	0.00 [-16.14, 16.14]	•	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•) (P = ⁻	1.00)						-100 -50 0 Favours fish oil Favo	50 100 urs control

2 Fish advice (dietary fish advice + fish oil capsule) vs. Fruit advice (Mortality ascertained after 3 to 9 yrs)


2.1 All death

	Fish oil a	dvice	Fruit ad	lvice		Risk Ratio		Ris	k Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fi	xed, 95	% CI	
Burr 2003	141	764	133	779	100.0%	1.08 [0.87, 1.34]					
Total (95% CI)		764		779	100.0%	1.08 [0.87, 1.34]			•		
Total events	141		133								
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	= 0.48)					0.01 Favou	0.1 rs fish advic	1 e Favo	10 ours fruit	100 advice

2.2 Cardiac death

Study or Subgroup	Fish oil a	dvice Total	Fruit ad Events		Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Burr 2003	94	764	72	779	100.0%	1.33 [1.00, 1.78]	
Total (95% CI)		764		779	100.0%	1.33 [1.00, 1.78]	•
Total events	94		72				
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	= 0.05)					0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours fish advice Favours fruit advice

2.3 Sudden death



3 Fish advice (dietary fish advice+ fish oil capsule) vs. Fish +Fruit advice (Mortality ascertained after 3 to 9 yrs)


3.1 All death

	Fish ad	vice	.Fish+f	ruit		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95%	6 CI	
Burr 2003	141	764	142	807	100.0%	1.05 [0.85, 1.30]			
Total (95% CI)		764		807	100.0%	1.05 [0.85, 1.30]	•		
Total events	141		142						
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	P = 0.66	3)				0.01 0.1 1 Favours fish advice Favo	10 urs fish+fr	100 ruit advice

3.2 Cardiac death

	Fish ad	vice	.Fish+f	ruit		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Burr 2003	94	764	86	807	100.0%	1.15 [0.88, 1.52]	·
Total (95% CI)		764		807	100.0%	1.15 [0.88, 1.52]	•
Total events	94		86				
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	P = 0.31)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours fish advice Favours fish+fruit advice

3.3 Sudden death



4 Fish advice (dietary fish advice + fish oil capsule) vs. Sensible eating (non-specific advice) (Mortality ascertained after 3 to 9 yrs)

4.1 All deaths

	Fish oil a	dvice	Sensible	eating		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio					
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fix	ed, 95% CI				
Burr 2003	141	764	109	764	100.0%	1.29 [1.03, 1.63]						
Total (95% CI)		764		764	100.0%	1.29 [1.03, 1.63]		•				
Total events	141		109									
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	= 0.03)					0.01 0.1 Favours fish advice	1 10 100 Favours sensible eating				

4.2 Cardiac death



4.3 Sudden death

	Fish oil ac	Sensible 6	eating		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI			
Burr 2003	42	764	17	764	100.0%	2.47 [1.42, 4.30]	-			
Total (95% CI)		764		764	100.0%	2.47 [1.42, 4.30]	•			
Total events	42		17							
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:	= 0.001)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours fish advice Favours sensible eating				

6 Vitamin E vs. Placebo ((Follow-up at the end of treatment period))


6.1 Improved anginal symptoms

	Vitami	n E	contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events Total		Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI				
Anderson 1974	5	18	5	18	100.0%	1.00 [0.35, 2.87]	_				
Total (95% CI)		18		18	100.0%	1.00 [0.35, 2.87]	•				
Total events	5		5								
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.00 (P = 1.0	0)				Favours vitamin E Favours placebo				


6.2 No change in anginal symptoms

	Vitami	n E	contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl				
Anderson 1974	13	18	12	18	100.0%	1.08 [0.70, 1.67]					
Total (95% CI)		18		18	100.0%	1.08 [0.70, 1.67]	*				
Total events	13		12								
Heterogeneity: Not ap							0.01 0.1 1 10 100				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.36 (P = 0.7	2)				Favours vitamin E Favours placebo				

6.3 Slightly worse anginal symptoms




6.4 Duration treadmill (min)



6.5 Angina attacks per week

	Vit	amin l	E	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, I	Fixed, 95	%CI	
Gillian 1977	7.3	12.6	48	6.7	10.5	48	100.0%	0.60 [-4.04, 5.24]			-		
Total (95% CI)			48			48	100.0%	0.60 [-4.04, 5.24]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 0.25 (P = 0.80)									-100 Favoi	-50 urs vitam	0 in E Fav	50 ours plac	100 cebo

6.6 Nitroglycerin consumption per week



1 TENS vs.control (no TENS) (Follow-up 2 weeks after treatment)

1.1 Exercise tolerance (W.min)

	7	TENS		C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Mannheimer 1985	523	231	11	532	139	10	100.0%	-9.00 [-170.42, 152.42]	←
Total (95% CI)			11			10	100.0%	-9.00 [-170.42, 152.42]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	•	(P =	0.91)						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours control Favours TENS

1.2 ST segment depression (mm) during exercise

					ontro	I		Mean Difference		Mea	n Diffe	rence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 9	5% CI	
Mannheimer 1985	2.8	1.3	11	3	1.4	10	100.0%	-0.20 [-1.36, 0.96]					
Total (95% CI)			11			10	100.0%	-0.20 [-1.36, 0.96]					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.34$ (P = 0.74)									-100 Fav	-50 ours con	0 trol Fa	50 avours TEI	100 NS


1.3 ST segment depression (mm) after exercise

	TENS			Co	ontro	I		Mean Difference		Mean	Diffe	erence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fix	œd,	95% CI	
Mannheimer 1985	3	1.2	11	2.8	1.5	10	100.0%	0.20 [-0.97, 1.37]			Ļ		
Total (95% CI)			11			10	100.0%	0.20 [-0.97, 1.37]			١		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	l (P =	0.74)						-100 Fav	-50 ours contr	0 ol F	50 Favours T	100 ENS	

1.4 Frequency of angina attacks per week

	TENS Control Mean SD Total Mean SD Total					I		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95	% CI	
Mannheimer 1985	19	23	11	23	19	10	100.0%	-4.00 [-21.98, 13.98]		-			
Total (95% CI)			11			10	100.0%	-4.00 [-21.98, 13.98]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P =	0.66)						-100 Fa	-50 avours TE	0 NS Fav	50 ours cor	100 ntrol

1.5 Nitroglycerin consumption per week



2 EECP vs. inactive CP (Follow-up 3 days after treatment for angina pain counts, one week after treatment for exercise duration)

2.1 Exercise duration (sec) (change scores) (follow-up after 1 week)

	- 1	EECP		Inac	ctive C	P		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Arora 2010	42	82.9	57	26	91.3	58	100.0%	16.00 [-15.86, 47.86]	- • -
Total (95% CI)			57			58	100.0%	16.00 [-15.86, 47.86]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	B (P = 0	0.33)						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours control Favours EECP

2.2 Time to >1mm ST segment depression (Sec) (change scores) (follow-up after 1 week)

		EECP		Ina	ctive C	P		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Arora 2010	37	82.2	56	-4	89.7	56	100.0%	41.00 [9.13, 72.87]	
Total (95% CI)			56			56	100.0%	41.00 [9.13, 72.87]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	'		0.01)						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours control Favours EECP


2.3 Angina episodes/day (change scores) (follow-up after 3 days)

	ı	EECP		Inac	ctive C	P		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differ	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95	% CI	
Arora 2010	-0.11	1.76	71	0.13	1.78	66	100.0%	-0.24 [-0.83, 0.35]					
Total (95% CI)			71			66	100.0%	-0.24 [-0.83, 0.35]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:						-100 Fa	-50 vours EE	0 ECP Fa	50 vours cor	100 ntrol			

2.4 NTG use/day (change scores) (follow-up after 3 days)

	EECP			Inac	ctive C	P		Mean Difference	Mean	Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fix	ed, 95% CI	
Arora 2010	-0.32	1	71	-0.1	0.97	66	100.0%	-0.22 [-0.55, 0.11]		-	
Total (95% CI)			71			66	100.0%	-0.22 [-0.55, 0.11]			
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	'	(P =	0.19)						-100 -50 Favours EEC	0 50 P Favours	

2.5 Adverse events (no. of patients) (up to the end of treatment)



3 Chronic angina self management Program (CASMP) vs. control (Follow-up 3 months from start of treatment)

3.1 Physical functioning (SF-36) (range 0-100 -higher score better functioning) (change scores)

	CASMP			Co	ontro	I		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
McGillion 2008	5.3	9.4	57	-0.68	9.3	60	100.0%	5.98 [2.59, 9.37]	
Total (95% CI)			57			60	100.0%	5.98 [2.59, 9.37]	♦
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	6 (P =	0.000	5)					-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours control Favours CASMP

3.2 Role physical functioning (SF-36) (change scores) (range 0-100)

	CASMP			Co	ontro	I		Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	
McGillion 2008	4.8	12.7	57	3.2	9.6	60	100.0%	1.60 [-2.50, 5.70]		
Total (95% CI)			57			60	100.0%	1.60 [-2.50, 5.70]	•	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	0.44)						-100 -50 0 50 Favours control Favours CA	100 ASMP		

3.3 Bodily pain (SF-36) (change scores) (range 0-100)

	CA	ASMF)	Co	ontro	I		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differ	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95	5% CI	
McGillion 2008	4.4	8.7	57	2.1	9.2	60	100.0%	2.30 [-0.94, 5.54]					
Total (95% CI)			57			60	100.0%	2.30 [-0.94, 5.54]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z = 1.39 (P = 0.16)									-100 Fav	-50 ours con	0 trol Fa	50 vours CA	100 ASMP

3.4 General Health (SF-36) (change scores) (0-100)

	CASMP		Co	ontro	I		Mean Difference	Mear	n Differe	nce		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fi	xed, 959	% CI	
McGillion 2008	2.27	7.7	57	-1.6	6.4	60	100.0%	3.87 [1.30, 6.44]				
Total (95% CI)			57			60	100.0%	3.87 [1.30, 6.44]		*		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	5 (P =	0.003))					 -50 urs cont	0 rol Fav	50 ours CA	100 ASMP

3.5 Angina frequency (SAQ) (range 0-100- higher scores better functioning) (change scores)

	C	ASMP		C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
McGillion 2008	11.4	23.7	57	2.2	18.4	60	100.0%	9.20 [1.48, 16.92]	—
Total (95% CI)			57			60	100.0%	9.20 [1.48, 16.92]	•
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P = 0	0.02)						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours control Favours CASMP

3.6 Angina stability (SAQ) (range 0-100) (change scores)

	CA	ASMF		C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
McGillion 2008	18	35	57	2.9	24.4	60	100.0%	15.10 [4.11, 26.09]	
Total (95% CI)			57			60	100.0%	15.10 [4.11, 26.09]	•
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:) (P =	0.007)						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours control Favours CASMP

3.7 Disease perception (SAQ) (range 0-100) (change scores)

	C	ASMP		C	ontrol			Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	xed, 95°	% CI	
McGillion 2008	9.9	23.5	57	3.3	19.1	60	100.0%	6.60 [-1.18, 14.38]					
Total (95% CI)			57			60	100.0%	6.60 [-1.18, 14.38]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	6 (P = 0	0.10)						-100 Fa	-50 vours con	0 trol Fav	50 ours CA	100 SMP

3.8 Physical limitation (SAQ) (range 0-100) (change scores)

	C	ASMP		C	ontrol			Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95°	% CI	
McGillion 2008	7.1	16.5	57	1.6	15.1	60	100.0%	5.50 [-0.24, 11.24]					
Total (95% CI)			57			60	100.0%	5.50 [-0.24, 11.24]			•		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	B (P = 0	0.06)						-100 Fa	-50 vours con	0 trol Fav	50 ours CA	100 SMP

3.9 Treatment satisfaction (SAQ) (range 0-100) (change scores)

	С	ASMP		C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
McGillion 2008	9.7	24.6	57	4.8	18.7	60	100.0%	4.90 [-3.05, 12.85]	-
Total (95% CI)			57			60	100.0%	4.90 [-3.05, 12.85]	*
Heterogeneity: Not approper Test for overall effect:		(P = 0	0.23)						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours control Favours CASMP

3.10 Self-Efficay Scale (range scores 10- 100 -higher scores better) (change scores)

	C	ASMP		C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
McGillion 2008	8.4	17.6	57	-0.2	14.4	60	100.0%	8.60 [2.76, 14.44]	
Total (95% CI)			57			60	100.0%	8.60 [2.76, 14.44]	♦
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:		3 (P = 0	0.004)						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours control Favours CASMP

1 beta blocker vs placebo

1.1 ischemic episodes

	beta	block	er	pla	aceb	0		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.1.1 propanolol vs p	lacebo								
Bugiardini 1989	0.7	0.6	16	3.9	1.8	16	100.0%	-3.20 [-4.13, -2.27]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			16			16	100.0%	-3.20 [-4.13, -2.27]	T
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.75	(P < 0	0.00001	1)					
Total (95% CI)			16			16	100.0%	-3.20 [-4.13, -2.27]	•
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								100 50 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.75	(P < 0)	0.00001	1)					-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours BB Favours placebo
Test for subgroup diff	erences: I	Not an	plicabl	ė					ravouis bb Favouis placebo

1.2 ischemic duration (min)

	beta	block	er	pla	ceb	0		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.2.1 propanolol vs p	lacebo								
Bugiardini 1989 Subtotal (95% CI)	4	5	16 16	29	18	16 16		-25.00 [-34.15, -15.85] -25.00 [-34.15, -15.85]	•
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.35	(P < 0	0.00001)					
Total (95% CI)			16			16	100.0%	-25.00 [-34.15, -15.85]	◆
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe	Z = 5.35	,		,					-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours BB Favours placebo

2 calcium channel blockers vs placebo

2.1 ischemic episodes

	calcium channel blockers		pla	ceb)		Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
2.1.1 verapamil vs pla	acebo								
Bugiardini 1989 Subtotal (95% CI)	3.4	1.7	16 16	3.9	1.8	16 16	99.3% 99.3 %	-0.50 [-1.71, 0.71] -0.50 [-1.71, 0.71]	-
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.81 (P = 0.00)	.42)							
2.1.2 verapamil or nif	edipine vs pla	cebo							
Cannon 1985 Subtotal (95% CI)	21	21	22 22	35	27	22 22		-14.00 [-28.29, 0.29] -14.00 [-28.29, 0.29]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	nlicable						011 70	[20:20, 0:20]	
Test for overall effect:	•	0.05)							
Total (95% CI)			38			38	100.0%	-0.60 [-1.81, 0.61]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	3.40, df = 1 (P	= 0.07); I ²	= 71%						100 50 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.97 (P = 0)	.33)							-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours CCB Favours placebol
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: Chi² =	3.40, df =	1 (P = 0)	.07), I ² =	= 70.6	6%			ravours oob ravours placebor

2.2 ischemia duration (min)

	calcium ch	annel bloc	kers	pla	acebo	1		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
2.2.1 verapamil vs pla	acebo								
Bugiardini 1989	27	15	16	29	18	16	1.3%	-2.00 [-13.48, 9.48]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			16			16	1.3%	-2.00 [-13.48, 9.48]	•
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.34 (P = 0.000)	0.73)							
2.2.2 verapamil or nife	edipine vs pla	cebo							
Cannon 1985	4.63	2.15	22	3.85	2.27	22	98.7%	0.78 [-0.53, 2.09]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			22			22	98.7%	0.78 [-0.53, 2.09]	
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.17 (P = 0	0.24)							
Total (95% CI)			38			38	100.0%	0.74 [-0.55, 2.04]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0	0.22, df = 1 (P)	= 0.64); I ²	= 0%						100 50 10
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.12 (P = 0	0.26)							-100 -50 0 50 10 Favours CCB Favours placebo
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: Chi² =	0.22, df =	1 (P = 0	.64), I ² =	0%				ravours COB ravours placeble

2.3 Nitroglycerin tablets consumption

С	alcium cha	nnel blocl	cers	pla	cebo)		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
2.3.1 verapamil or nifedip	oine vs plac	ebo							
Cannon 1985 Subtotal (95% Cl)	23	27	22 22	41	50			-18.00 [-41.74, 5.74] -18.00 [-41.74, 5.74]	
Heterogeneity: Not applica Test for overall effect: Z =		14)							
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Not applica Test for overall effect: Z = Test for subgroup difference	1.49 (P = 0.	,	22			22	100.0%	-18.00 [-41.74, 5.74]	-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours CCB Favours placebo

2.4 presence of chest pain during exercise

	calcium channel bloc	kers	place	bo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
2.4.1 verapamil or nife	edipine vs placebo						
Cannon 1985 Subtotal (95% CI)	9	25 25	16	22 22	100.0% 100.0%	0.49 [0.28, 0.89] 0.49 [0.28, 0.89]	
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2			16				
Total (95% CI)		25		22	100.0%	0.49 [0.28, 0.89]	•
Total events Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: 2 Test for subgroup diffe	Z = 2.37 (P = 0.02)		16				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours CCB Favours placebo

3 Nicorandil vs placebo


3.3 Time to 1mm ST-segment depression (sec)

	nic	orand	lil	pla	iceb	0		Mean Difference		Mear	n Differen	ice	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fi	xed, 95%	· CI	
Chen 1997	342	104	13	273	72	13	100.0%	69.00 [0.24, 137.76]					→
Total (95% CI)			13			13	100.0%	69.00 [0.24, 137.76]			-		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P =	0.05)						-100 Favours	-50 Nicoran	0 Idil Favo	50 ours plac	100 cebo

3.4 maximum ST-segment depression (mm)

	nic	orand	lil	pla	aceb	0		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Chen 1997	1.5	0.6	13	1.9	0.9	13	100.0%	-0.40 [-0.99, 0.19]	
Total (95% CI)			13			13	100.0%	-0.40 [-0.99, 0.19]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	'	(P =	0.18)						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours Nicorandil Favours placebo

3.5 Total exercise duration (sec)



4 beta blockers vs calcium channel blockers in patients with pressure-rate product variation <1050

4.1 exercise duration (sec)

	beta	blocke	ers	calcium ch	annel bloc	kers		Mean Difference		Mean Di	fference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixed	I, 95% CI	
4.1.1 acebutolol vs v	erapamil	in pat	tients w	ith pressure	rate prod	luct varia	ation >10	50				
Romeo 1988 Subtotal (95% CI)	318	101	15 15	362	93	15 15		-44.00 [-113.48, 25.48] -44.00 [-113.48, 25.48]	—			
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable											
Test for overall effect	: Z = 1.24	(P = 0)).21)									
Total (95% CI)			15			15	100.0%	-44.00 [-113.48, 25.48]				
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								100	- 		.0 16
Test for overall effect	: Z = 1.24	(P = 0)).21)						-100	-50 (Favours BB		60 10 CCB
Test for subgroup diff	erences:	Not an	plicable	9						i avouis DD	i avouis	OOD

5 beta blockers vs calcium channel blockers in patients with pressure-rate product variation >1050

5.1 exercise duration (sec)

	beta k	olocke	ers	calcium ch	annel bloc	kers		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differei	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95%	6 CI	
5.1.1 acebutolol vs v	erapamil	in pat	ients w	ith pressure	-rate prod	luct varia	ation <10	50					
Romeo 1988	288	66	15	288	80	15	100.0%	0.00 [-52.48, 52.48]		-	_		
Subtotal (95% CI)			15			15	100.0%	0.00 [-52.48, 52.48]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable												
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.00	(P = 1	.00)										
Total (95% CI)			15			15	100.0%	0.00 [-52.48, 52.48]					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								100				100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.00	(P = 1	.00)						-100		BB Favo	50	100
Test for subgroup diff	erences: N	Not ap	plicable	9						i avouis	ו טט	Juis OO	

6 Beta blockers vs calcium channel blockers

6.1 Number of anginal episodes (per 4 weeks per patient)

	beta b	olocke	ers	calcium cha	annel bloc	kers		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
6.1.1 propanolol vs v	erapamil								
Bugiardini 1989 Subtotal (95%CI)	0.7	0.6	16 16	3.4	1.7	16 16	99.7% 99.7 %	-2.70 [-3.58, -1.82] -2.70 [-3.58, -1.82]	1
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.99	(P < 0	.00001))					
6.1.2 atenolol vs aml	odipine								
Lanza 1999 Subtotal (95% CI)	15	13	10 10	22	22	10 10		-7.00 [-22.84, 8.84] - 7.00 [-22.84, 8.84]	•
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.87	(P = 0)	.39)						
Total (95% CI)			26			26	100.0%	-2.71 [-3.60, -1.83]	
Heterogeneity: Chi2 =	0.28, df =	1 (P :	= 0.60);	$I^2 = 0\%$					100 50 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.03	(P < 0)	.00001)					-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours BB Favours CCB
Test for subgroup diffe), $I^2 = 0\%$				TAVOUIS DE TAVOUIS COB

6.2 Chest pain episodes duration (min)

	beta b	locke	ers	calcium cha	annel blocke	rs		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
6.2.1 propanolol vs v	erapamil								
Bugiardini 1989 Subtotal (95% CI)	4	5	16 16	27	15	16 16		-23.00 [-30.75, -15.25] -23.00 [-30.75, -15.25]	•
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.82	(P < 0	.00001)					
6.2.2 atenolol vs ami	lodipine								
Lanza 1999 Subtotal (95% CI)	14	13	10 10	16	17	10 10	25.4% 25.4 %	-2.00 [-15.26, 11.26] -2.00 [-15.26, 11.26]	*
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.30	(P = 0)	.77)						
Total (95% CI)			26			26	100.0%	-17.66 [-24.35, -10.97]	♦
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = Test for overall effect:	-	,		, .					-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours BB Favours CCB
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: C	Chi2 =	7.18. d	f = 1 (P = 0.00)	$ 7\rangle$, $ 2\rangle = 86.19$	6			

6.3 severity of chest pain (scale 1-5)

	beta l	olocke	ers	calcium ch	annel bloc	kers		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
6.3.1 atenolol vs aml	odipine								
Lanza 1999	2.5	1.2	10	2.7	1	10	100.0%	-0.20 [-1.17, 0.77]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			10			10	100.0%	-0.20 [-1.17, 0.77]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.40	(P = 0)	.69)						
Total (95% CI)			10			10	100.0%	-0.20 [-1.17, 0.77]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								-100 -50 0 50 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.40	(P = 0)	.69)						Favours BB Favours CCB
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: I	Not ap	plicable	•					. 4.04.0 22 1 4.04.0 002

6.4 quality of life (scale 0-100 mm)


	beta k	olocke	ers	calcium ch	annel bloc	kers		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
6.4.1 atenolol vs am	lodipine								
Lanza 1999 Subtotal (95% CI)	59	29	10 10	51	25	10 10		8.00 [-15.73, 31.73] 8.00 [-15.73, 31.73]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect	Z = 0.66	(P = 0)).51)						
Total (95% CI)			10			10	100.0%	8.00 [-15.73, 31.73]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								100 50 100
Test for overall effect	Z = 0.66	(P = 0)).51)						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours BB Favours CCB
Test for subgroup diff	erences. N	Not an	nlicable	۵					Tavouis DD Tavouis COD

7 beta blockers vs nitrates

7.1 Number of anginal episodes (per 4 weeks per patient)

	beta k	olocke	ers	nit	rates	3		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
7.1.1 atenolol vs ISMI	N								
Lanza 1999 Subtotal (95% CI)	15	13	10 10	24	22	10 10		-9.00 [-24.84, 6.84] -9.00 [-24.84, 6.84]	-
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:		(P = 0	.27)						
Total (95% CI)			10			10	100.0%	-9.00 [-24.84, 6.84]	•
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe	Z = 1.11	`	,	e					-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours BB Favours nitrates

7.2 Chest pain episodes duration (min)



7.3 severity of chest pain (scale 1-5)

	beta k	olocke	rs	nit	rates	3		Mean Difference		Mean Di	fference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixed	l, 95% CI	
7.3.1 atenolol vs ISMN	V									_		
Lanza 1999	2.5	1.2	10	2.3	1.2	10	100.0%	0.20 [-0.85, 1.25]				
Subtotal (95% CI)			10			10	100.0%	0.20 [-0.85, 1.25]		_		
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable											
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.37	(P = 0.	.71)									
Total (95% CI)			10			10	100.0%	0.20 [-0.85, 1.25]				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable								-100 -	 		100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.37	(P = 0.	.71)							50 (0 50 Favours ni	100
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: N	Not app	olicable	9					ıα	VOUIS DD	i avouis iii	ii atos

7.4 quality of life (scale 0-100 mm)

	beta l	blocke	ers	nit	rates	3		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
7.4.1 atenolol vs ISM	N								
Lanza 1999	59	29	10	30	27	10	100.0%	29.00 [4.44, 53.56]	 -
Subtotal (95% CI)			10			10	100.0%	29.00 [4.44, 53.56]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.31	(P = 0	.02)						
Total (95% CI)			10			10	100.0%	29.00 [4.44, 53.56]	•
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								100 50 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.31	(P = 0)	.02)						-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours BB Favours nitrates
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: N	Not an	nlicable	۵					Tavouis DD Tavouis Illiales

8 Calcium channel blockers vs nitrates

8.1 Number of anginal episodes (per 4 weeks per patient)

	calcium cha	nnel bloc	kers	nit	rates	6		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
8.1.1 amlodipine vs IS	SMN								
Lanza 1999 Subtotal (95% CI)	22	22	10 10	24	22	10 10		-2.00 [-21.28, 17.28] -2.00 [-21.28, 17.28]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	.84)							
Total (95% CI)			10			10	100.0%	-2.00 [-21.28, 17.28]	•
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe	Z = 0.20 (P = 0	,							-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours CCB Favours nitrates

8.2 Chest pain episodes duration (min)

	calcium char	nel bloc	kers	nit	rates	3		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
8.2.1 amlodipine vs ISI	MN								
Lanza 1999 Subtotal (95% CI)	16	17	10 10	11	7	10 10		5.00 [-6.39, 16.39] 5.00 [-6.39, 16.39]	•
Heterogeneity: Not appl Test for overall effect: Z		39)							
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Not appl Test for overall effect: Z	Z = 0.86 (P = 0.3)	,	10			10	100.0%	5.00 [-6.39, 16.39]	-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours CCB Favours nitrates

8.3 severity of chest pain (scale 1-5)

	calcium cha	annel bloc	kers	nit	rates	3		Mean Difference	Mean Di	fference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed	I, 95% CI		
8.3.1 amlodipine vs ISI	ΜN								_			
Lanza 1999	2.7	1	10	2.3	1.2	10	100.0%	0.40 [-0.57, 1.37]				
Subtotal (95% CI)			10			10	100.0%	0.40 [-0.57, 1.37]				
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable											
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.81 (P = 0)	.42)										
Total (95% CI)			10			10	100.0%	0.40 [-0.57, 1.37]				
Heterogeneity: Not appl Test for overall effect: Z Test for subgroup difference	= 0.81 (P = 0)	,							-100 -50 (Favours CCB) 5 Favours	_	100 ates

8.4 quality of life (scale 0-100 mm)

	calcium cha	annel bloo	ckers	nit	rates	3		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
8.4.1 amlodipine vs l	SMN								
Lanza 1999 Subtotal (95% CI)	51	25	10 10	30	27	10 10		21.00 [-1.81, 43.81] 21.00 [-1.81, 43.81]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	•								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.80 (P = 0)	0.07)							
Total (95% CI)			10			10	100.0%	21.00 [-1.81, 43.81]	•
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe	Z = 1.80 (P = 0	,							-100 -50 0 50 100 Favours CCB Favours nitrates


9 Aminophylline vs Nitroglycerine

9.1 Time to 1mm ST depression

	Amin	ophyll	ine	Nitro	glycer	ine		Mean Difference		Me	an Differen	ce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	CI	
Radice 1996	5.5	1.6	20	3.6	1.7	20	100.0%	1.90 [0.88, 2.92]			-		
Total (95% CI)			20			20	100.0%	1.90 [0.88, 2.92])		
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P = 0	.0003)						-100 Favours	-50 Aminophy	0 /Iline Favo	50 urs nitrogl	100 ycerine

10 Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors and statins vs placebo

10.1 Seattle Angina Questionnaire angina frequency score




10.2 Seattle Angina Questionnaire Quality of life score

	ACE	+ stati	ns	Pla	aceb	0		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% C	l	IV, F	ixed, 95%	6 CI	
Pizzi 2004	86.5	11.7	22	61.9	9.4	23	100.0%	24.60 [18.38, 30.82]					
Total (95% CI)			22			23	100.0%	24.60 [18.38, 30.82]	l			•	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P < 0	0.00001)					-100 Favours	-50 s ACE+ stat	0 tins Fav	50 ours place	100 ebo


10.3 Seattle Angina Questionnaire summary score

	ACE -	⊦ stati	ns	Pla	aceb	0		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% C	l	IV, F	ixed, 95°	% CI	
Pizzi 2004	84.2	9.8	22	63.3	8.6	23	100.0%	20.90 [15.50, 26.30]					
Total (95% CI)			22			23	100.0%	20.90 [15.50, 26.30]			- ∢	•	
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	(P < 0	0.00001)					-100 Favours	-50 ACE+ stat	0 ins Fav	50 ours place	100


10.4 Peak exercise time (s)



10.5 ST depression (mV)



10.6 Flow-mediated Dilation of brachial artery (%)



1 Exercise programme + symptom monitoring versus symptoms monitoring only

1.1 HADS total (8 week follow up)

	Exercise	+ monito	oring	Monit	oring c	only		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	xed, 959	% CI	
Asbury 2008	11.5	5.7	32	10.1	4.6	32		1.40 [-1.14, 3.94]			+		
									-10	-5	Ó	5	10
									Favou	rs exerc	se Fav	ours co	ntrol

1.2 SF-36 physical functioning (8 week follow up)

	Exercise	+ monito	oring	Monit	oring c	nly		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95°	% CI	
Asbury 2008	62.1	19.7	32	60.3	22.2	32		1.80 [-8.48, 12.08]					
									-100	-50	0	50	100
			Favours control Favours exer							ercise			

1.3 SF-36 pain (8 week follow up)

	Exercise	+ monito	oring	Monit	oring c	nly		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 95°	% CI	
Asbury 2008	58.7	22.3	32	57.4	20.3	32		1.30 [-9.15, 11.75]	ň				
									-20	-10	Ó	10	20
	Fa								Fav	ours con	trol Fav	ours exe	ercise


1.4 SF-36 general health (8 week follow up)

	Exercise	+ monito	oring	Monit	oring c	nly		Mean Difference		Mea	n Differe	nce		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 959	% CI		
Asbury 2008	58.2	16.4	32	54.3	22.9	32		3.90 [-5.86, 13.66]	1	1	+	ı	ı	
									-100	-50	Ó	50	100	
									Favo	Favours control Favours exercis				

1.5 Shuttle walk test (m) (8 week follow up)

	Exercise	+ monito	oring	Monit	oring o	only		Mean Difference		Mea	n Diffe	erence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, F	ixed, 9	95% CI	
Asbury 2008	426.6	133	32	326.8	111	32		99.80 [39.78, 159.82]		į		-	
									-200	-100	Ó	100	200
									Favo	ours con	trol F	avours exe	ercise

1.6 Symptom frequency (8 week follow up)



2 Physical training versus normal activity

2.1 Distance walked (m) (8 week follow up)

	Physic	al trair	ning	Norma	al acti	vity		Mean Difference		Me	ean Diffe	rence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV	, Fixed, 9	95% CI	
Tyni-Lenne 2002	587	49	7	545	46	7		42.00 [-7.79, 91.79]			+	+,	
									-100 Favou	-50	0 ctivity F	50 avours phy	

2.2 Peak heart rate (bpm) (8 week follow up)

	Physic	al trair	ning	Norm	al acti	vity		Mean Difference		Me	an Differe	nce
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	6CI
Tyni-Lenne 2002	102	17	7	106	10	7		-4.00 [-18.61, 10.61]	1		-	1
									-100	-50	Ó	50
									Favours pl	nvsical trai	ning Favo	ours normal activ

2.3 Exertion (Borg RPE) (8 week follow up)

	Physic	al trair	ning	Norm	al acti	vity		Mean Difference		Mean Di	fference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixed	d, 95% CI		
Tyni-Lenne 2002	13	3	7	14	2	7		-1.00 [-3.67, 1.67]		- 			
									-10	.5	0	5	1
									Favours phys	sical training	Favours no	ormal activit	ty

2.4 Pain onset (min) after exercise (8 week follow up)

	Physica	al trair	ning	Norma	ıl acti	vity		Mean Difference		Mea	an Diff	ference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed,	95% CI		
Eriksson 2000	6	1	7	3	1	10		3.00 [2.03, 3.97]				-		
									-10	-5 normal ac	oti vita (Favours ph	5	1

2.5 Max pain (Borg CR-10) (8 week follow up)


	Physic	al trair	ning	Norma	al acti	vity		Mean Difference		Me	ean Di	fference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV	, Fixed	I, 95% CI
Eriksson 2000	3	1	7	4	1	10		-1.00 [-1.97, -0.03]		ı	-	
									-10	-5	(5
									Favours	physical tra	aining	Favours normal activity

3 Physical training versus relaxation

3.1 Distance walked (m) (8 week follow up)

	Physic	al trair	ning	Rela	axatio	n		Mean Difference		Me	an Differen	ce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	CI	
Tyni-Lenne 2002	587	49	7	565	47	7		22.00 [-28.30, 72.30]	1	_	-		_
									-100	-50	Ó	50	100
									Fav	ours relax	ation Favo	urs physica	al trainin

3.2 Peak heart rate (bpm) (8 week follow up)



3.3 Exertion (Borg RPE) (8 week follow up)

	Physic	al trair	ning	Rela	axatio	on		Mean Difference		Mea	an Differen	ce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	CI	
Tyni-Lenne 2002	13	3	7	14	3	7		-1.00 [-4.14, 2.14]			+		1
									-10	-5	Ó	5	10
								Fa	avours ph	nysical train	ning Favou	ırs relaxati	ion

4 Relaxation versus normal activity


4.1 Distance walked (m) (8 week follow up)

	Rela	axatic	on	Norma	al acti	vity		Mean Difference		Me	an Differer	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	CI	
Tyni-Lenne 2002	565	47	7	545	46	7		20.00 [-28.72, 68.72]		_	-	1	
									-100	-50	Ó	50	100
									Favours	normal ac	tivity Favo	urs relaxa	tion

4.2 Peak heart rate (bpm) (8 week follow up)

	Rela	ixatio	n	Norm	al acti	vity		Mean Difference		Mea	an Differen	ice	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV,	Fixed, 95%	CI	
Tyni-Lenne 2002	113	16	7	106	10	7		7.00 [-6.98, 20.98]		Ī	+	•	•
									-100	-50	Ó	50	100
									Fav	ours relaxa	tion Favo	urs norma	al activity

4.3 Exertion (Borg RPE) (8 week follow up)




5 Exercise plus relaxation training versus exercise training

5.4 Pain onset (min) after exercise (8 week follow up)

	Exercise	+ relaxa	ation	Exerc	cise o	nly		Mean Difference		Me	ean Differer	nce
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV.	Fixed, 95%	CI
Eriksson 2000	6	3	7	6	1	7		0.00 [-2.34, 2.34]		•		-
									-10	-5	Ó	5
									Favo	urs exercise	only Favo	urs exercise/rel

5.5 Max pain (Borg CR-10) (8 week follow up)



6 Exercise plus relaxation training versus normal activity

6.4 Pain onset (min) after exercise (8 week follow up)

	Exercise	+ relaxa	ation	Norma	al acti	vity		Mean Difference		M	ean Differ	ence
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		I۱	, Fixed, 95	5% CI
Eriksson 2000	6	3	7	3	1	10		3.00 [0.69, 5.31]		1	_	-
									-10	-5	Ó	5
									Favou	ırs normal a	activity Fa	vours exercise/re

6.5 Max pain (Borg CR-10) (8 week follow up)

