# National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

4-year surveillance (2016) – Organ donation for transplantation (2011) NICE guideline CG135

## Appendix B: stakeholder consultation comments table

Consultation dates: 4 to 14 October 2016

Stakeholder	Overall response	Comments	NICE response
ВАРМ	No	<ul> <li>Whilst the document states we are not clear whether organ donation in neonates is common clinical practice and we would like to know the views of stakeholders on this, there is no stated proposal to elicit stakeholder's views. There is increasing interest in neonatal organ donation from both parents and healthcare professionals. However, such interest was unfruitful until the recent update of diagnosis of brain stem death in infants between 37 weeks and 2 months gestation. There is a need to prospectively identify the potential neonatal organ donation from DBD. A recommendation to include the feasibility of organ donation in the proposed structured mortality reviews of neonatal deaths should help to obtain this much needed information.</li> <li>We note that NICE is aware of the updated RCPCH guidance on diagnosis of brain stem death in infants between 37 weeks and 2 months gestation in 2015, and that NICE is " not clear whether organ donation in neonates is common clinical practice and (that) we would like to know the views of stakeholders on this."</li> <li>Organ donation has not been common practice in neonates as we haven't legally been able to say that our patient is dead by DNC and criteria of DCC has not (and will not) give many donations simply for pragmatic organisational reasons. The College document alters this perspective and as DNC in the neonatal population is now possible, neonatal donation can theoretically significantly increase the donor pool.</li> <li>We bring to your attention the wide expertise of the College group making the recommendations, and the number of stakeholder organisations that signed up for it.</li> </ul>	Thank you for your comment. NICE guideline CG135 will be amended to include a footnote to the first bullet point of recommendation 1.1.2. This footnote is to make reference to the guidance on <u>diagnosis of</u> brain stem death in infants between 37 weeks and 2 months gestation published by the Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health (RCPCH) in 2015. The footnote will also include a link to the guidance.
Faculty of Intensive Care Medicine	Yes	The Faculty agrees that a full review in not necessary however, there is more evidence being produced to support the guidance in certain areas.	Thank you for your comment.
RCPCH	No	We understand this but are a little disappointed by the recommendation that, as it is not current practice, neonatal organ donation will not be re-visited in this guideline. Surely this is an opportunity for quality improvement, with the college driving innovative practice, not waiting for it to	Thank you for your comment.

1 of 11

		become established?	NICE guideline CG135 will
			be amended to include a
			footnote to the first bullet
			point of recommendation
			1.1.2. This footnote is to
			make reference to the
			guidance on <u>diagnosis of</u>
			brain stem death in infants
			between 37 weeks and 2
			months gestation
			published by the RCPCH
			in 2015. The footnote will
			also include a link to the
			guidance.
		Antenatal and neonatal organ donation	Thank you for your
		Charles et al's <sup>28</sup> UK research showed that there is the potential for a viable source of neonatal organs for	
		transplantation. The topic expert has also indicated that there is an interest in antenatal donations. As the 'gift of life'	
		from an infant could be an enduring source of comfort to grieving parents, through the realisation of their child's	NICE guideline CG135 will
		achievement, donation is a choice that should be available to them.	be amended to include a
			footnote to the first bullet
		Clearly, research in antenatal and neonatal organ donation is limited. An ethnographic approach that focuses on	point of recommendation
		families' experiences of the organ donation discussion, their decision-making and needed follow-up support would make an enormous contribution to knowledge in the field and in UK and serve to normalise donation in these sensitive	1.1.2. This footnote is to
		groups.	make reference to the
			guidance on <u>diagnosis of</u>
		Approach to those close to the patient	brain stem death in infants
Royal College of Nursing	No		between 37 weeks and 2
i toyal conege of running	When a solace	Could this section begin:	months gestation
		When a potential donor is identified those close to the patient should always be approached about donation.	published by the RCPCH
			in 2015. The footnote will
		[Re above: Rationale is that not to give them a choice is unethical particularly where donation may provide some	also include a link to the
		solace in their bereavement]	guidance.
		Under 1.1.26 [ Add 2nd bullet]	Regarding your comment
			about the section of
		<ul> <li>how death is diagnosed using neurological criteria</li> </ul>	'Approach to those close
		- offer the experturity to ottend neurological depth tests	to the patient', there is a
		offer the opportunity to attend neurological death tests	rational to discuss all
			cases of potential

2 of 11

		-
	particularly with Donation After Cardiac Death (DCD) may wait a long time for someone to die and they have built themselves up for organ donation and that is snatched away from them when the person 'does not die in time'. Tissue donation could be helpful in them feeling that their donor still made a tremendous contribution. Likewise, with Donation after Brain Stem Death (DBD) if organ donation for some reason cannot take place. [see Sque et al ref 2013 below]	deceased organ donors before approaching those close to the patient. Please see recommendations 1.1.15
	Factors influencing decision-making by those close to the patient pg 13	to 1.1.26 for further
	'and should be offered support' is very vague. Suggest, 'the needs of those close to the patient will be actively	information.
	explored, respected and met as far as possible'	During the Evidence Update, there was
	Reference	evidence about the effect
	Leadership Alliance for the Care of Dying People (2014) One change to get it right: Improving people's experience of care in the last few days and hours of life.	on consent rate of relatives' presence during
	https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/323188/One_chance_to_get_it_right.pdf	determination of
		neurological death (Evidence Update January
		2014). It was concluded
	Organisation of the identification, referral and consent processes pg 16	that the limited evidence (1
	Inserted 'a'	study, n=8 relatives) indicated that the effect on
	1.1.30 The Multiple Disciplinary Team (MDT) involved in the identification, referral to a specialist nurse for organ	consent to organ donation
	donation, and consent should have the specialist skills and competencies necessary to deliver the recommended process for organ donation outlined in this guideline.	of offering relatives the
		opportunity to be present
		during determination of neurological death in a
		family member was
	What key skills and competencies are important for healthcare 135 – 05 professionals to improve the structures and processes for identifying potential DBD and DCD, to improve structures and processes for	unclear. We did not
	obtaining consent, and to effectively coordinate the care pathway from identification to obtaining consent?	identify any further evidence at this
	Inserted 'a'	surveillance review that
	1.1.31 The skills and competencies required of the individual members of the team will depend on their role in the	could change the decision
	process. However, all healthcare professionals involved in identification, referral to a specialist nurse for organ	made at the 2-year
	donation, and consent processes should:	Evidence Update. NICE guideline CG135
		covers solid organ
	<ul> <li>have knowledge of the basic principles and the relative benefits of, donation after circulatory death (DCD) versus donation after brainstem death (DBD)</li> </ul>	donation only. Tissue
	<ul> <li>understand the principles of the diagnosis of death using neurological or cardiorespiratory criteria and how</li> </ul>	donation is outside scope and we did not find

this relates to the organ donation process	evidence to cause an
	extension of the scope
be able to explain neurological death clearly to families	We have now added your
	suggested wording of 'the
Statement 1. is not clear, i.e. what basic principles? Benefits to whom?	needs of those close to the
Statement 3. form of death is now different. Suggest use one form throughout the guidelines.	patient will be actively
	explored, respected and
	met as far as possible' to
1.1.32	the section of 'Factors
	influencing decision-
communication skills and knowledge necessary to improve consent ratios for organ donation.	making by those close to
Section in Red: What does this mean?	the patient' (see Appendix
	A, review question 135 – 03).
	We will send your
	suggestions regarding the
	wording of recommendations 1.1.30
	and 1.1.31 to the editorial
	team.
	Regarding recommendation 1.1.31,
	the relative benefits of
	DCD and DBD are
	mentioned by
	recommendation 1.1.32
	which states that there is
	greater potential for
	transplantation or organs
	retrieve from DBD donors
	compared with organs
	from DCD donors.
	Neurological death is
	currently used to refer to
	brainstem death by NHS
	Blood and Transplant.
	Apologies if we

			misunderstood your comment.
			The 'Person centred care'
			section states that 'Good
			communication between
			healthcare professionals
			and people is essential. It should be supported by
			evidence-based written
			information tailored to the
			person's needs'. The
			guideline committee also
			acknowledged that there
			was no direct link between
			information and support with consent rate but
			consent may be improved
			by providing accurate
			information and support
			appropriate to the family,
			and hence consent rates
			may be improved.
		al to remove the research recommendation:	
What are the factors and pro	cesses that w	vould encourage the general public to sign up on the UK NHS organ donor register (ODR)?	
Stakeholder	Overall response	Comments	NICE response
			Thank you for your
BAPM	Yes	No comment	answer.
		In particular there is now strong evidence to support the involvement of a SN-OD in the family approach, particularly	Thank you for your
Faculty of Intensive Care		for DCD (Hulme W, Allen J, Manara AR, et al. Factors influencing the family consent rate for organ donation in the UK. Anaesthesia 2016; 71: 1053-1063). This is stronger evidence than the previous ACRE study that did not show an	comment.
Medicine	Left blank	effect of the SNOD in the approach. There is also strong evidence from that adoption of best practice guidance (NHS	Although this research
		Blood and Transplant 2012. Timely identification and referral of potential organ donors. A strategy for implementation of best practice. <u>http://www.odt.nhs.uk/pdf/timely-identification-and-referral-potential-donors.pdf</u> and the NICE	recommendation will be

		guidance have driven increased identification and referral of potential donors, and that this increase was the primary reason for the 50% increase in donor numbers between 2008-2013, and the continuing increase now.	removed from the NICE version of the guideline and the NICE database for research recommendations, the research recommendations will remain in the full version of the guideline.
RCPCH	Yes	No comment	Thank you for your answer.
Royal College of Nursing	There is room for other ideas but these five subjects remain outstanding. It is suspected that funding to address these issues directly will need to allocated via DH?	Reading 'Appendix A: summary of new evidence from surveillance' it was striking and hard to ignore how little research about organ donation and its social fundamentals have been carried out in the UK and the enormous extent to which we depend on evidence from other countries, with different health systems, on which to base our guidelines. This small array of national studies has not been helpful to us in solving the issue of a stagnant consent rate of 58% to donation by families of the deceased. This is a long way from the government's target of 80% consent. To mobilise the research that will provide the answers of how this can be achieved there needs to be allocated funding and full cooperation between researchers working in collaboration, on integrated projects, with NHSBT staff, and Trusts and their staff. Sque et al (2013) for instance attempted to address the reasons why bereaved relatives decline organ donation from a deceased family member. Within the study sites there was the potential for 108 declining families to be recruited. Specialist Nurses in Organ Donation (SNODs) approached only 14 families on behalf of the researchers to ask if they would wish to receive information about the study. The issue of increasing referral of potential donors to SNODs, and SNODs involvement in the organ donation discussion, as recommended by NICE, is yet to be fully implemented across board. Likewise, the link between high quality care and communication with bereaved family consent to donation has never been tested. We do have a low base knowledge of Black Minority Ethnic (BME) population's stance to donation. Likewise, we know little about our indigenous population and what their feelings and attitudes are about donation. Likewise, we know little about the attitudes of our health professionals, particularly those working in areas where organ donation is most likely to be considered. Last national study of nurses' attitudes, knowledge and behaviour was reported in 2000.	Thank you for your comment. Although this research recommendation will be removed from the NICE version of the guideline and the NICE database for research recommendations, the research recommendations will remain in the full version of the guideline.

NICE as a respected and influential organisation has the potential to encourage or stifle much needed research in this country. We do not feel that any of the subjects you wish to delete from the research portfolio have been satisfactorily addressed and thus wonder at the reason for their removal? Whatever the outcome we must not be complacent.	
References	
Sque M. Payne S. and Vlachonikolis I. Cadaveric donotransplantation: nurses' attitudes, knowledge and behaviour. <i>Social Science &amp; Medicine,</i> 50: 4, 2000, 541-552.	
Sque M. Walker W. Long-Sutehall T. Morgan M. Randhawa G. and Warrens A. <i>Bereaved families' experiences of organ and tissue donation, and perceived influences on their decision making</i> . University of Wolverhampton, Final report of a study funded by the Department of Health, June, 2013.	
General comment: A note is made that the consultation period was extremely short!	

# Do you agree with the proposal to remove the research recommendation:

Why do families refuse to give permission for organ donation?

Stakeholder	Overall response	Comments	NICE response
ВАРМ	Yes	No Comment	Thank you for your answer.
Faculty of Intensive Care Medicine	Left blank	One of the main areas that will need guidance moving forward is how to manage a family overriding the wishes of a patient on the Organ Donor Register to donate, as this is happening in 10-12% of potential donors. The Faculty agrees that guidance on the family approach in places with a presumed consent system may be appropriate. Currently this applies only to Wales, but this will potentially be adopted in other parts of the UK.	Thank you for your comment. We decided to retain this research recommendation based on the feedback on its importance.
RCPCH	Yes	No comment	Thank you for your answer.
Royal College of Nursing	There is room for other ideas but these five	Please see comment above	Thank you for your comment. We decided to retain this research recommendation

subjects	based on the feedback or
remain	its importance.
outstanding.	
It is	
suspected	
that funding	
to address	
these	
issues	
directly will	
need to	
allocated	
via DH?	

#### Do you agree with the proposal to remove the research recommendation:

What are the key components o	an intervention to improve identification and referral rates?	

Stakeholder	Overall response	Comments	NICE response
ВАРМ	No	Lack of experience in newborn care needs intervention(s) to improve identification and referral rates	Thank you for your comment. We decided to retain this research recommendation based on the feedback on its importance.
Faculty of Intensive Care Medicine	Left blank	No comment	Thank you for your answer.
RCPCH	Yes	No comment	Thank you for your answer.
Royal College of Nursing	There is room for other ideas but these	Please see comment above	Thank you for your comment. We decided to retain this

	ïve	research recommendation
	subjects	based on the feedback or
	remain	its importance.
	butstanding.	
	tis	
	suspected	
	hat funding	
	o address	
	hese	
	ssues	
	directly will	
	need to	
	allocated	
	<i>i</i> ia DH?	
o you agree with t	e proposal to remove the research recommendation:	
o you agree with t	e proposal to remove the research recommendation.	
at are the key componen	of an intervention to improve consent rates?	

Stakeholder	Overall response	Comments	NICE response
BAPM	No	Not currently applicable to newborn care – no baseline data, but likely that interventions will be transferrable	Thank you for your comment. We decided to retain this research recommendation based on the feedback on its importance.
Faculty of Intensive Care Medicine	Left blank	No comment	Thank you for your answer.
RCPCH	Yes	No comment	Thank you for your answer.
Royal College of Nursing	There is room for other ideas	Please see comment above	Thank you for your comment.

but these	We decided to retain this
five	research recommendation
subjects	based on the feedback o
remain	its importance.
outstanding.	
It is	
suspected	
that funding	
to address	
these	
issues	
directly will	
need to	
allocated	
via DH?	

# Do you agree with the proposal to remove the research recommendation:

Does a positive experience of approach and process of consent for families increase consent rates?

Stakeholder	Overall response	Comments	NICE response
ВАРМ	No	Although not currently applicable as above, it will be very important to establish good processes at this early stage	Thank you for your comment. We decided to retain this research recommendation based on the feedback on its importance.
Faculty of Intensive Care Medicine	Left blank	Finally while not necessarily in the scope of the guidance, recommendations on ways to reduce the length of time of the donation pathways (ie time from consent to organ retrieval) would be helpful for ICUs and also for donor families, and may help increase consent rates	Thank you for your comment. Although we can suggest removing research recommendations, we cannot suggest any new additions.

			New additions can only be proposed by guideline committees during guideline development, including updates.
RCPCH	Yes	No comment	Thank you for your answer.
Royal College of Nursing	There is room for other ideas but these five subjects remain outstanding. It is suspected that funding to address these issues directly will need to allocated via DH?	Please see comment above	Thank you for your comment. We decided to retain this research recommendation based on the feedback on its importance.

### Comment from the Royal College of Nursing:

### A note is made that the consultation period was extremely short!