

Appendix A: Review protocols

A.1 Assessment and diagnosis

A.1.1 Indications for consideration of additional investigation

Component	Description
Review question	For young people and adults with HIV presenting with new onset headache, how common are serious intracranial abnormalities?
Objectives	To determine the occurrence of serious intracranial abnormalities in people with HIV and new onset headache, compared to people with HIV without headache.
Population	People aged 12 or over with HIV and new onset headache in isolation of other symptoms
Comparisons	People aged 12 or over with HIV without headache
Presence / absence of risk factor	Occurrence of serious intracranial abnormalities
Study design	Cohort studies Case control
Exclusions	Non-English studies Abstracts
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	Minimum n=any Report any serious intracranial abnormalities as reported in the studies Record CD4 count if reported

Component	Description
Review question	For young people and adults with a history of malignancy presenting with new onset headache, how common are serious intracranial abnormalities?
Objectives	To determine the occurrence of serious intracranial abnormalities in people with cancer and new onset headache, compared to the occurrence in the general population.
Population	People aged 12 or over with cancer and new onset headache in isolation of other symptoms
Comparisons	People aged 12 or over with cancer, without headache
Presence / absence of risk factor	Occurrence of serious intracranial abnormalities
Study design	Cohort studies Case control
Exclusions	Non-English studies Abstracts
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	Minimum n=any Report any serious intracranial abnormalities as reported in the studies

Component	Description
Review question	For young people and adults presenting with early morning headache or new onset frequent headache that lasts for more than one month, how common are serious intracranial abnormalities?
Objectives	To determine the occurrence of serious intracranial abnormalities in people with early morning headache or new onset frequent headache that lasts for more than one month and is otherwise unexplained, compared to people without early morning headaches / new onset daily headache.
Population	People aged 12 or over with early morning headache or new onset frequent headache that lasts for more than 1 month, in isolation of other symptoms (unexplained)
Comparisons	People aged 12 or over without early morning headache or new onset daily headache that lasts for more than one month
Presence / absence of risk factor	Occurrence of serious intracranial abnormalities
Study design	Cohort studies Case control
Exclusions	Non-English studies Abstracts
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	Minimum n=any Report any serious intracranial abnormalities as reported in the studies NB. Also look in search on headaches with cancer & imaging questions. Report incidence figures and headache type

A.1.2 Identifying people with primary headache

Component	Description
Review question	What is the accuracy of case finding questionnaires for diagnosing primary headache disorders and medication overuse headache?
Objectives	To examine the effectiveness of tools to aid in diagnosis of primary headaches and medication overuse headache.
Population	Females aged 12 or over with migraine Subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12-18 years old
Intervention	Case finding questionnaires
Comparison	Gold standard - full assessment following ICHD-II criteria (diagnosis)
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Positive predictive value: True positive & false positive: TP/(TP+FP) • Negative predictive value: True negative & false negative: TN/(FN+TN) • Sensitivity : TP/(FN+TP) • Specificity : TN/(FP+TN)
Study design	Diagnostic studies / validation studies
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English papers
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library Language: restrict to English only

Component	Description
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minimum n=100• Meta-analysis will be undertaken if >5 comparable studies are identified

A.1.3 Headache diaries for the diagnosis of primary headaches and medication overuse headache

Component	Description
Review question	What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of using diaries for the diagnosis of people with suspected primary headaches and medication overuse headache?
Objectives	To examine the effectiveness of patient diaries as diagnostic tools in patients with suspected primary headaches and medication overuse headache.
Population	People aged 12 or over with suspected primary headache Possible subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 12-18 years old
Interventions	Patient diaries: paper or electronic
Comparisons	Gold standard - full assessment by headache specialist following ICHD-II criteria (diagnosis)
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Number of people correctly diagnosed• Positive predictive value (True positive & false positive: $TP/(TP+FP)$)• Negative predictive value (True negative & false negative: $TN/(FN+TN)$)• Sensitivity : $TP/(FN+TP)$• Specificity : $TN/(FP+TN)$
Study design	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Diagnostic studies
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non-English
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	Minimum n: any Review diagnosis and management separately.

A.1.4 Headache diaries for the management of primary headaches and medication overuse headache

Component	Description
Review question	What is the clinical effectiveness, and patients' and practitioners' experience, of using diaries for the management of people with primary headaches and medication overuse headache?
Objectives	To examine the effectiveness of patient diaries as management tools in patients with primary headaches and medication overuse headache.
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Possible subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 12-18 years old
Interventions	Patient diaries: paper or electronic
Comparisons	No diary
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Clinical headache outcomes (for RCTs)• Patients' and practitioners' experience of using diaries
Study design	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• RCTs (only look at other study designs if no RCTs)

<Click this field on the first page and insert document title / header text>

Error! No text of specified style in document.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Qualitative studies / Systematic review
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non-English
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	Minimum n: any Review diagnosis and management separately.

A.1.5 Diagnosis of primary headaches and medication overuse headache

Component	Description
Review question	For young people and adults with headache, what are the key diagnostic features of the following headaches: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• migraine with or without aura• menstrual related migraine• chronic migraine• tension-type headache• cluster headache• medication overuse headache
Objectives	To determine the key characteristics that signify diagnosis of primary headache
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 12-18 years of age
Interventions	N/A
Comparisons	N/A
Outcomes	N/A
Study design	N/A
Exclusions	N/A
How the information will be searched	ICHD-II criteria will be used so no literature search will be conducted
The review strategy	By consensus based on existing ICHD-II criteria

A.1.6 The role of imaging in diagnosis and management of primary headaches

A.1.6.1 Imaging for diagnosis in people with suspected primary headache

Component	Description
Review question	Should young people and adults with suspected primary headaches be imaged to rule out serious pathology?
Objectives	To determine the utility of imaging to detect serious underlying pathology in people with headaches.
Population	People aged 12 or over with suspected primary headache. Possible subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 12-18 years old• Pregnant women
Interventions	Imaging with CT, MRI or MRI variants
Comparisons	N/A

<Click this field on the first page and insert footer text if required>

<Click this field on the first page and insert document title / header text>

Error! No text of specified style in document.

Component	Description
Outcomes	Percent with serious intracranial abnormalities, e.g.: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Tumour/neoplasm (subdivide into types)• Abscess• Subdural haematoma• Hydrocephalus• Arterio-venous malformations
Study design	Cohort studies Case control
Exclusions	Non-English studies Abstracts
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	Minimum n=any

A.1.6.2 Imaging as a management strategy for people with suspected primary headaches

Component	Description
Review question	For people with the following primary headaches (migraine with or without aura, menstrual related migraine, chronic migraine, tension type headache, cluster headache), what is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness of imaging as a management strategy?
Objectives	To examine the benefits and disadvantages of imaging in reducing the impact on people with primary headaches
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Headache type (migraine, cluster headache, tension type headache)• 12-18 years old
Interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• MRI scan• MRI variants: MRI + contrast, MR angiography• CT scan
Comparisons	No imaging
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Resource use including GP consultation, A&E attendance, investigations and referral to secondary care• Change in headache frequency and intensity (with e.g. headache impact test or migraine disability assessment test)• Percentage of responders with 25%, 50% and 75% reduction in baseline headache frequency• Change in frequency of acute medication use• Change in anxiety and depression (e.g. HAD)• Change in health related quality of life (e.g. SF-36 or EuroQoL)• Incidental radiological findings
Study design	RCTs only
Exclusions	Less than 3 months study duration Non-English studies
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library Language: restrict to English only

<Click this field on the first page and insert footer text if required>

Component	Description
The review strategy	Minimum n:20 in each arm for RCTs Observational studies n=500 Outcomes to be recorded at 3 months and 1 year if reported Differences between primary and secondary care to be recorded if reported If RCTs are identified the results will, where appropriate, contribute to a meta-analysis.

A.2 Management

A.2.1 Patient information and support

Component	Description
Review question	What information and support do patients with primary headaches say they want?
Objectives	To assess what information and support patients with primary headaches say they want
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 12-18 years old• Pregnant people• Learning disabilities / Any vulnerable group• All age bands
Interventions	Patient information and support
Comparisons	No comparison
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Patients' preferences
Study design	Qualitative data (e.g. interviews, focus groups)
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English studies
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, Cinahl Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minimum n=any

A.2.2 Acute pharmacological treatment of tension type headache

Component	Description
Review question	In people with tension type headache, what is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness for acute pharmacological treatment with: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Aspirin• NSAIDs• Opioids• Paracetamol
Objectives	To assess the clinical and cost effectiveness of aspirin, NSAIDs, opioids and paracetamol as acute pharmacological treatment of tension type headache.
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Possible subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 12-18 years old• Pregnant people• Route of administration
Interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Aspirin

<Click this field on the first page and insert document title / header text>

Error! No text of specified style in document.

Component	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• NSAIDs• Opioids (weak and strong)• Paracetamol
Comparisons	All compared to each other: Placebo, aspirin, paracetamol, NSAIDs, strong and weak opioids
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Time to freedom from pain• Headache response at up to 2 hours• Pain free at 2 hours• Sustained headache response at 24 hours• Sustained freedom from pain at 24 hours• Functional health status and health related quality of life (e.g. SF-36 or EuroQoL)• Incidence of serious adverse events
Study design	RCTs
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English studies.
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minimum n=25 per arm• Studies not included in analysis if more than one headache attack treated per drug (unless data for one attack only available)• Include crossover trials if: all patients received both treatments, and only treated one attack or, if data for first treatment period available• Consider dose if reported• Consider route of administration if reported – see subgroups• Data will be meta-analysed if possible• Treatment comparisons will be both direct and mixed

A.2.3 Acute pharmacological treatment of migraine

Component	Description
Review question	In people with migraine with or without aura, what is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness for acute pharmacological treatment with: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Antiemetics• Aspirin• NSAIDs• Opioids• Paracetamol• Triptans• Ergots• Corticosteroids
Objectives	To assess the clinical and cost effectiveness of antiemetics, aspirin, NSAIDs, opioids, oxygen, paracetamol, triptans, ergots and corticosteroids as acute pharmacological treatment of migraine with or without aura.
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Possible subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 12-18 years old• Pregnant people

<Click this field on the first page and insert footer text if required>

<Click this field on the first page and insert document title / header text>

Error! No text of specified style in document.

Component	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Route of administration
Interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Antiemetics• Aspirin• NSAIDs• Opioids (weak and strong)• Paracetamol• Triptans• Ergots (ergotamine / dihydroergotamine)• Corticosteroids
Comparisons	All compared to each other: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Aspirin, paracetamol, NSAIDs, triptans, NSAIDs, weak opioids, strong opioids, triptans, ergots, corticosteroids• all +/- antiemetics and antiemetics alone
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Time to freedom from pain• Headache response at up to 2 hours• Freedom from pain at up to 2 hours• Sustained headache response at 24 hours• Sustained freedom from pain at 24 hours• Functional health status and health related quality of life (e.g. SF-36 or EuroQoL)• Incidence of serious adverse events
Study design	RCTs
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English studies.
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minimum n=25 per arm (Cluster headache n=any)• Studies not included in analysis if more than one headache attack treated per drug (unless data for one attack only available)• Include crossover trials if: all patients received both treatments, and only treated one attack or, if data for first treatment period available• Consider dose if reported• Consider route of administration if reported – see subgroups (buccal and oral together for triptans)• Data will be meta-analysed if possible• Treatment comparisons will be both direct and mixed

A.2.4 Acute pharmacological treatment of cluster headache

Component	Description
Review question	In people with cluster headache, what is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness for acute pharmacological treatment with: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Aspirin• Paracetamol• Oxygen• Triptans• Ergots• NSAIDs

<Click this field on the first page and insert footer text if required>

<Click this field on the first page and insert document title / header text>

Error! No text of specified style in document.

Component	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Opioids
Objectives	To assess the clinical and cost effectiveness of oxygen, triptans and ergots as acute pharmacological treatment of cluster headache
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Possible subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 12-18 years old• Pregnant people• Route of administration
Interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Aspirin• Paracetamol• Oxygen (high and low flow)• Triptans• Ergots (ergotamine / dihydroergotamine)• NSAIDs• Opioids (weak and strong)
Comparisons	All compared to each other (except oxygen) or placebo: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• High and low flow oxygen +/- triptans or ergots vs no treatment or air
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Time to freedom from pain• Headache response at up to 2 hours• Reduction in pain at 30 minutes• Functional health status and health related quality of life (e.g. SF-36 or EuroQoL)• Incidence of serious adverse events
Study design	RCTs
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English studies.
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minimum n=any• Studies not included in analysis if more than one headache attack treated per drug (unless data for one attack only available)• Include crossover trials if: all patients received both treatments, and only treated one attack or, if data for first treatment period available• Consider dose if reported• Consider route of administration if reported – see subgroups (buccal and oral together for triptans)• Data will be meta-analysed if possible• Treatment comparisons will be both direct and mixed

A.2.5 Prophylactic pharmacological treatment of tension type headache

Component	Description
Review question	In people with tension type headache, what is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness for prophylactic pharmacological treatment with: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• ACE inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor antagonists (ARBs)• Antidepressants (SNRIs, SSRIs, tricyclics)• Beta blockers• Antiepileptics

<Click this field on the first page and insert footer text if required>

Component	Description
Objectives	To assess the clinical and cost effectiveness of ACE inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor antagonists, antidepressants, beta blockers and antiepileptics as prophylactic pharmacological treatment of tension type headache.
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Possible subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 12- 18 years old• Pregnant people• Dose
Interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• ACE inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor antagonists• Antidepressants (SNRIs, SSRIs, tricyclics)• Beta blockers• Antiepileptics
Comparisons	All compared to each other or placebo: ACE inhibitors or ARBs, SNRIs, SSRIs, tricyclics, betablockers, antiepileptics.
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Change in patient-reported headache days, frequency and intensity• Responder rate (50% reduction)• Functional health status and health-related quality of life (e.g. SF-36, or Euro-QoL)• Headache specific QOL (e.g. MIDAS, HIT 6)• Resource use, including GP consultation, A&E attendance, investigations and referral to secondary care• Use of acute pharmacological treatment• Incidence of serious adverse events
Study design	RCTs
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English studies Randomised crossover trials
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minimum n=25 per arm• Minimum trial duration: 3 months• Outcomes to be recorded at 3 months and 1 year if reported• Consider dose if reported (mg/kg in children)• Consider route of administration if reported• Data will be meta-analysed if possible• Treatment comparisons will be both direct and mixed• Antiepileptics analysed by drug *post hoc GDG agreement due to differing mechanisms of action per drug.

A.2.6 Prophylactic pharmacological treatment of migraine

Component	Description
Review question	In people with migraine with or without aura and chronic migraine, what is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness for prophylactic pharmacological treatment with: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• ACE inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor antagonists• Antidepressants (SNRIs, SSRIs, tricyclics)• Beta blockers• Calcium channel blockers

Component	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Antiepileptics• Other serotonergic modulators
Objectives	To assess the clinical and cost effectiveness of ACE inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor antagonists, antidepressants, beta blockers, calcium channel blockers, antiepileptics and other serotonergic modulators as prophylactic pharmacological treatment of migraine with or without aura and chronic migraine.
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Possible subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 12-18 years old• Pregnant people• Previous treatment exposure: None, 1, 2 or 3, 4 or more• Dose
Interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• ACE inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor antagonists• Antidepressants (SNRIs, SSRIs, tricyclics)• Beta blockers• Calcium channel blockers• Antiepileptics• Other serotonergic modulators (e.g. pizotifen, methysergide, cyproheptadine, dihydroergotamine)
Comparisons	All compared to each other or placebo: ACE inhibitors or ARBs, SNRIs, SSRIs, tricyclics, betablockers, antiepileptics, other serotonergic modulators.
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Change in patient-reported headache days, frequency and intensity• Responder rate (50% reduction)• Functional health status and health-related quality of life (e.g. SF-36, or Euro-QoL)• Headache specific QOL (e.g. MIDAS, HIT 6)• Resource use, including GP consultation, A&E attendance, investigations and referral to secondary care• Use of acute pharmacological treatment• Incidence of serious adverse events
Study design	RCTs
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English studies Randomised crossover trials
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minimum n=25 per arm• Minimum trial duration: 3 months• Outcomes to be recorded at 3 months and 1 year if reported• Previous treatment exposure: None, 1,2or3, 4 or more• Consider dose if reported (mg/kg in children)• Consider route of administration if reported• Data will be meta-analysed if possible• Treatment comparisons will be both direct and mixed• Antiepileptics analysed by drug *post hoc GDG agreement due to differing mechanisms of action per drug.

A.2.7 Prophylactic pharmacological treatment of menstrual migraine

Component	Description
Review question	In people with pure menstrual and menstrual related migraine, what is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness for prophylactic pharmacological treatment with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ACE inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor antagonists • Antidepressants (SNRIs, SSRIs, tricyclics) • Beta blockers • Calcium channel blockers • Antiepileptics • Triptans • Other serotonergic modulators • NSAIDs • Hormonal therapy (Contraceptives)
Objectives	To assess the clinical and cost effectiveness of ACE inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor antagonists, antidepressants, beta blockers, calcium channel blockers, antiepileptics, triptans, other serotonergic modulators, NSAIDs, and hormonal therapy as prophylactic pharmacological treatment of menstrual migraine or menstrual related migraine.
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Possible subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12-8 years old • Pregnant people
Interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ACE inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor antagonists • Antidepressants (SNRIs, SSRIs, tricyclics) • Beta blockers • Calcium channel blockers • Antiepileptics • Triptans • Other serotonergic modulators (e.g. pizotifen, methysergide, cyproheptadine, dihydroergotamine) • NSAIDs • Hormonal therapy (Contraceptives)
Comparisons	All compared to each other: Placebo, ACE inhibitors or ARBs, SNRIs, SSRIs, tricyclics, betablockers, antiepileptics, triptans, other serotonergic modulators, NSAIDs, hormonal therapy.
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change in patient-reported headache days, frequency and intensity • Responder rate (50% reduction) • Functional health status and health-related quality of life (e.g. SF-36, or Euro-QoL) • Headache specific QOL (e.g. MIDAS, HIT 6) • Resource use, including GP consultation, A&E attendance, investigations and referral to secondary care • Use of acute pharmacological treatment • Incidence of serious adverse events
Study design	RCTs
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English studies Randomised crossover trials
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library Language: restrict to English only

Component	Description
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minimum n=25 per arm• Minimum trial duration: 3 months• Outcomes to be recorded at 3 months and 1 year if reported• Previous treatment exposure: None, 1,2or3, 4 or more• Consider dose if reported (mg/kg in children)• Consider route of administration if reported• Data will be meta-analysed if possible• Treatment comparisons will be both direct and mixed• Antiepileptics analysed by drug *post hoc GDG agreement due to differing mechanisms of action per drug.

A.2.8 Prophylactic pharmacological treatment of cluster headache

Component	Description
Review question	<p>In people with cluster headache, what is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness for prophylactic pharmacological treatment with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Calcium channel blockers• Corticosteroids (oral only)• Lithium• Melatonin• Antiepileptics• Triptans• Other serotonergic modulators
Objectives	<p>To assess the clinical and cost effectiveness of calcium channel blockers, corticosteroids, lithium, melatonin, antiepileptics, triptans and other serotonergic modulators as prophylactic pharmacological treatment of cluster headache.</p>
Population	<p>People aged 12 or over with primary headache</p> <p>Possible subgroups:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 12-18 years old• Pregnant people
Interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Calcium channel blockers• Corticosteroids (oral only)• Lithium• Melatonin• Antiepileptics• Triptans• Other serotonergic modulators
Comparisons	<p>All compared to each other or placebo:</p> <p>Calcium channel blockers, oral corticosteroids, lithium, melatonin, antiepileptics, triptans, other serotonergic modulators (including ergots)</p>
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Change in patient-reported headache days, frequency and intensity• Responder rate (50% reduction)• Functional health status and health-related quality of life (e.g. SF-36, or Euro-QoL)• Headache specific QOL (e.g. MIDAS, HIT 6)• Resource use, including GP consultation, A&E attendance, investigations and referral to secondary care• Use of acute pharmacological treatment• Incidence of serious adverse events

<Click this field on the first page and insert document title / header text>

Error! No text of specified style in document.

Component	Description
Study design	RCTs
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English studies
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minimum n=any• Outcomes to be recorded at any time point• Consider dose if reported (mg/kg in children)• Consider route of administration if reported• Data will be meta-analysed if possible• Treatment comparisons will be both direct and mixed

A.2.9 Prophylactic non-pharmacological management of primary headaches with acupuncture

Component	Description
Review question	For people with primary headaches (migraine with or without aura, menstrual related migraine, chronic migraine, tension type headache, cluster headache), what is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness of non-pharmacological management with acupuncture
Objectives	To assess the clinical and cost effectiveness of acupuncture, as non-pharmacological management of primary headaches (migraine with or without aura, menstrual related migraine, chronic migraine, tension type headache, cluster headache).
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 12-18 years old• Pregnant people
Interventions	Acupuncture +/- prophylactic pharmacological treatment
Comparisons	Sham acupuncture +/- prophylactic pharmacological treatment / pharmacological therapy / psychological therapy / herbal remedies / dietary supplements / manual therapy
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Change in patient-reported headache days, frequency and intensity• Responder rate (50% reduction)• Functional health status and health-related quality of life (e.g. SF-36, or Euro-QoL)• Headache specific QOL (e.g. MIDAS, HIT 6)• Resource use, including GP consultation, A&E attendance, investigations and referral to secondary care• Use of acute pharmacological treatment• Incidence of serious adverse events
Study design	RCTs
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English studies Randomised crossover trials
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library, Cinahl, Amed Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minimum n=25 (per arm)• Outcomes to be recorded at 3 months and 1 year if reported• Randomised crossover trials excluded

<Click this field on the first page and insert footer text if required>

Component	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data will be meta-analysed if possible

A.2.10 Prophylactic non-pharmacological management of primary headaches with manual therapies

Component	Description
Review question	For people with primary headaches (migraine with or without aura, menstrual related migraine, chronic migraine, tension type headache, cluster headache), what is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness of non-pharmacological management with manual therapies?
Objectives	To assess the clinical and cost effectiveness of manual therapies as non-pharmacological treatment of primary headaches (migraine with or without aura, menstrual related migraine, chronic migraine, tension type headache, cluster headache).
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 12-18 years old Pregnant people
Interventions	Manual therapies
Comparisons	Usual care
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change in patient-reported headache days, frequency and intensity Responder rate (50% reduction) Functional health status and health-related quality of life (e.g. SF-36, or Euro-QoL) Headache specific QOL (e.g. MIDAS, HIT 6) Resource use, including GP consultation, A&E attendance, investigations and referral to secondary care Use of acute pharmacological treatment Incidence of serious adverse events
Study design	RCTs
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English studies Randomised crossover trials
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library, Cinahl, Amed Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimum n=25 (per arm) Outcomes to be recorded at 3 months and 1 year if reported Randomised crossover trials excluded Data will be meta-analysed if possible

A.2.11 Prophylactic non-pharmacological management of primary headaches with psychological therapies

Component	Description
Review question	For people with primary headaches (migraine with or without aura, menstrual related migraine, chronic migraine, tension type headache), what is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness of non-pharmacological management with psychological therapies?
Objectives	To assess the clinical and cost effectiveness of psychological therapies as non-pharmacological treatment of primary headaches (migraine with or without aura, menstrual related migraine, chronic migraine, tension type headache).

<Click this field on the first page and insert document title / header text>

Error! No text of specified style in document.

Component	Description
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12-18 years old • Pregnant people
Interventions	Psychological therapies (Cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), biofeedback, controlled breathing, progressive muscle relaxation (PMR), relaxation, guided visualisation, mindfulness, attention control training (ACT), finger/hand warming)
Comparisons	Attention control
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change in patient-reported headache days, frequency and intensity • Responder rate (50% reduction) • Functional health status and health-related quality of life (e.g. SF-36, or Euro-QoL) • Headache specific QOL (e.g. MIDAS, HIT 6) • Resource use, including GP consultation, A&E attendance, investigations and referral to secondary care • Use of acute pharmacological treatment • Incidence of serious adverse events
Study design	RCTs
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English studies Randomised crossover trials
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library, Cinahl, Amed Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimum n=25 (total) • Outcomes to be recorded at 3 months and 1 year if reported • Randomised crossover trials excluded • Data will be meta-analysed if possible

A.2.12 Prophylactic non-pharmacological management of primary headaches with dietary supplements

Component	Description
Review question	For people with primary headaches (migraine with or without aura, menstrual related migraine, chronic migraine, tension type headache, cluster headache), what is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness of non-pharmacological management with dietary supplements (e.g. magnesium, vitamin B12, coenzyme Q10 and riboflavin (B2))
Objectives	To assess the clinical and cost effectiveness of dietary supplements (e.g. magnesium, vitamin B12, coenzyme Q10 and riboflavin(B2)) as non-pharmacological treatment of primary headaches (migraine with or without aura, menstrual related migraine, chronic migraine, tension type headache, cluster headache).
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12-18 years old • Pregnant people
Interventions	Dietary supplements (e.g. magnesium, vitamin B12, coenzyme Q10 and riboflavin(B2) +/- prophylactic pharmacological treatment
Comparisons	Placebo vs +/- prophylactic pharmacological treatment / pharmacological therapy / acupuncture / psychological therapy / herbal remedies / manual therapy
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change in patient-reported headache days, frequency and intensity

<Click this field on the first page and insert footer text if required>

<Click this field on the first page and insert document title / header text>

Error! No text of specified style in document.

Component	Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Responder rate (50% reduction) • Functional health status and health-related quality of life (e.g. SF-36, or Euro-QoL) • Headache specific QOL (e.g. MIDAS, HIT 6) • Resource use, including GP consultation, A&E attendance, investigations and referral to secondary care • Use of acute pharmacological treatment • Incidence of serious adverse events
Study design	RCTs
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English studies Randomised crossover trials
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library, Cinahl, Amed Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimum n=25 (per arm) • Outcomes to be recorded at 3 months and 1 year if reported • Randomised crossover trials excluded • Data will be meta-analysed if possible

A.2.13 Prophylactic non-pharmacological management of primary headaches with herbal remedies

Component	Description
Review question	For people with primary headaches (migraine with or without aura, menstrual related migraine, chronic migraine) what is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness of non-pharmacological management with herbal remedies?
Objectives	To assess the clinical and cost effectiveness of herbal remedies (e.g. feverfew and butterbur) as non-pharmacological treatment of primary headaches (migraine with or without aura, menstrual related migraine, chronic migraine, tension type headache, cluster headache).
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12-18 years old • Pregnant people
Interventions	Dietary supplements (e.g. feverfew, butterbur) +/- prophylactic pharmacological treatment
Comparisons	Placebo vs +/- prophylactic pharmacological treatment / pharmacological therapy / acupuncture / psychological therapy / herbal remedies / manual therapy
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change in patient-reported headache days, frequency and intensity • Responder rate (50% reduction) • Functional health status and health-related quality of life (e.g. SF-36, or Euro-QoL) • Headache specific QOL (e.g. MIDAS, HIT 6) • Resource use, including GP consultation, A&E attendance, investigations and referral to secondary care • Use of acute pharmacological treatment • Incidence of serious adverse events
Study design	RCTs
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English studies

<Click this field on the first page and insert footer text if required>

<Click this field on the first page and insert document title / header text>

Error! No text of specified style in document.

Component	Description
	Randomised crossover trials
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library, Cinahl, Amed Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minimum n=25 (per arm)• Outcomes to be recorded at 3 months and 1 year if reported• Randomised crossover trials excluded• Data will be meta-analysed if possible

A.2.14 Prophylactic non-pharmacological management of primary headaches with exercise

Component	Description
Review question	For people with primary headaches (migraine with or without aura, menstrual related migraine, chronic migraine, tension type headache), what is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness of non-pharmacological management with exercise programmes?
Objectives	To assess the clinical and cost effectiveness of exercise programmes as non-pharmacological treatment of primary headaches (migraine with or without aura, menstrual related migraine, chronic migraine, tension type headache).
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 12-18 years old• Pregnant people
Interventions	Exercise programmes
Comparisons	Usual care
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Change in patient-reported headache days, frequency and intensity• Responder rate (50% reduction)• Functional health status and health-related quality of life (e.g. SF-36, or Euro-QoL)• Headache specific QOL (e.g. MIDAS, HIT 6)• Resource use, including GP consultation, A&E attendance, investigations and referral to secondary care• Use of acute pharmacological treatment• Incidence of serious adverse events
Study design	RCTs
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English studies Randomised crossover trials
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library, Cinahl, Amed Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minimum n=25 (per arm)• Outcomes to be recorded at 3 months and 1 year if reported• Randomised crossover trials excluded• Data will be meta-analysed if possible

A.2.15 Prophylactic non-pharmacological management of primary headaches with education and self management

Component	Description
Review question	For people with primary headaches (migraine with or without aura, menstrual related

<Click this field on the first page and insert footer text if required>

Component	Description
	migraine, chronic migraine, tension type headache, cluster headache), what is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness of non-pharmacological management with education and self-management programmes?
Objectives	To assess the clinical and cost effectiveness of education and self management programmes as non-pharmacological treatment of primary headaches (migraine with or without aura, menstrual related migraine, chronic migraine, tension type headache, cluster headache).
Population	People aged 12 or over with primary headache Subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12-18 years old • Pregnant people
Interventions	Education and self-management programmes
Comparisons	Usual care
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change in patient-reported headache days, frequency and intensity • Responder rate (50% reduction) • Functional health status and health-related quality of life (e.g. SF-36, or Euro-QoL) • Headache specific QOL (e.g. MIDAS, HIT 6) • Resource use, including GP consultation, A&E attendance, investigations and referral to secondary care • Use of acute pharmacological treatment • Patient's perception of the usefulness of programmes
Study design	RCTs
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English studies Randomised crossover trials
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library, Cinahl, Amed Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimum n=25 (total) • Outcomes to be recorded at 3 months and 1 year if reported • Randomised crossover trials excluded • Data will be meta-analysed if possible

A.2.16 Management of medication overuse headache

Component	Description
Review question	What is the clinical evidence and cost-effectiveness of withdrawal strategies (of abortive treatments), psychological therapies, corticosteroids and NSAIDs for the treatment of probable medication overuse headache?
Objectives	To identify the clinical evidence and assess the cost effectiveness of withdrawal strategies, psychological therapies, corticosteroids or NSAIDs for the treatment of probable medication overuse headache.
Population	People aged 12 or over with suspected medication overuse headache Subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12-18 years old
Interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Withdrawal strategies for abortive treatments (stop suddenly, withdraw gradually, inpatient, outpatient supportive packages) • Psychological therapies • Corticosteroids

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NSAIDS
Comparisons	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Withdrawal strategies vs each other • Psychological therapies vs attention control • Corticosteroids / NSAIDS vs placebo
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change in acute medication use (up to 3 months) • Relapse back to MOH • Responder rate (proportion who no longer have probable MOH) • Change in patient reported headache days, frequency and intensity • Headache specific QoL (e.g. MIDAS, HIT 6) • Resource use including GP consultation, A&E attendance, investigations and referral to secondary care • Functional health status and health related quality of life (e.g. SF-36 or EuroQoL)
Study design	RCTs If no RCTs found, lower quality evidence will be considered
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English papers
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimum n=25 per arm • Outcomes to be recorded at 3 months and 1 year if reported • Data will be meta-analysed if possible

A.3 Management during pregnancy and contraceptive use

A.3.1 Management of primary headaches during pregnancy

Component	Description
Review question	What is the evidence for adverse fetal events in females with primary headaches during pregnancy using triptans?
Objectives	To determine the safety of triptans for use during pregnancy
Population	Pregnant women and girls aged 12 or over with primary headache
Presence of risk factor	Pregnant women with headache taking a triptan
Absence of risk factor	Pregnant women with or without headache, not taking a triptan
Outcomes	Fetal adverse events
Study design	Cohort studies Triptan registries (published only)
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English papers
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase, Triptan or teratology registers Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimum n=50 • Consider dose if reported • Consider route of administration if reported • Ideally adjusted for: Age, smoking, alcohol, other drug use

Component	Description
Review question	What is the evidence for adverse fetal events in females using oxygen or verapamil during pregnancy?
Objectives	To determine the safety of oxygen or verapamil for use during pregnancy
Population	Pregnant women and girls aged 12 or over
Presence of risk factor	Pregnant women taking oxygen or verapamil
Absence of risk factor	Pregnant women not taking oxygen or verapamil
Outcomes	Fetal adverse events
Study design	Cohort studies
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English papers
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase Language: restrict to English only
The review strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimum n=50 • Consider dose if reported • Consider route of administration if reported • Ideally adjusted for: Age, smoking, alcohol, other drug use

A.3.2 Combined hormonal contraceptive use in girls and women with migraine

Component	Description
Review question	What risks are associated with use of hormonal contraception in females aged 12 or over with migraine?
Objectives	To assess what adverse events are associated with the use of hormonal contraception in females ages 12 or over with migraine
Population	Females aged 12 or over with migraine Subgroups: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Migraine type (with and without aura)
Presence of risk factor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Combined oral contraceptive pill • Progesterone only contraceptive pill / contraceptive pill without oestrogen • Progesterone implanted coil • Progesterone implant • Depot injection
Absence of risk factor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-hormonal / other
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incidence of serious adverse events • Worsening effect on headache syndrome
Study design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prospective cohort studies • Case control
Exclusions	Abstracts only Non English papers
How the information will be searched	Databases: Medline, Embase Language: restrict to English only
The review	Minimum n=500 for cohort

<Click this field on the first page and insert document title / header text>

Error! No text of specified style in document.

Component	Description
strategy	Ideally adjusted for: Age, smoking, familial risk

<Click this field on the first page and insert footer text if required>