## Appendix D: Evidence Tables [update 2014]

## D.5 Question 5

## D.5.1 Evidence tables for first-line *H pylori* eradication

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Abbas SZ et al, 2003 Abbas SZ et al, 2003												
Study type	Randomised controlled to	Randomised controlled trial											
Number	85												
Characteristics of patients	Exclusion criteria: Patien needed to continue recei hypersensitivity to the stu consent	ving drugs that may intera udy drugs, pregnant and b s(s): Previously document orted naïve e None	ars of age, patients who h ct with the study drugs e. reast-feeding mothers, pa	ad previous <i>H pylori</i> era .g. warfarin, carbamazep	dication therapy, patients who bine and lithium, patients with irment who could not comply or								
	Triple (ome/cla/met)Triple (ome/cla/tin)pN=41N=44												
	Mean age, yr (SD)	Mean age, yr (SD) 57 (10.9) 61.7 (11.3) 0.052											
	Sex: males/females	31/10	39/5	N/R									

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Abbas SZ et al, 2003 Abbas SZ et al, 2003												
Intervention		Regimen: Triple (ome/cla/met) Dose and timing: 7 days; ome (20 mg b.i.d) / cla (250 mg b.i.d) / met (400 mg b.i.d) Route: Oral											
Comparator		Regimen: Triple (ome/cla/tin) Dose and timing: 7 days; ome (20 mg b.i.d) / cla (250 mg b.i.d) / tin (500 mg b.i.d) Route: Oral											
Length of follow up	Follow-up occur	red 8	week	s followin	g treatment								
Location	UK												
Outcomes measures and effect sizes		Trip	le e/cla/	(met)		Trij (on		a/tin)					
		N	k	Mean %	95% CI	N	k	Mean %	95% CI	р			
	Eradication rate ITT	41	3 6	87.8	77.8 to 97.8	4 4	4 4	100	93.4 to 100	0.02 3			
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	41	8	19.5	N/R	4 4	2	45.5	N/R	N/R			
Source of funding	Astra pharmace	uticals	3										
Comments	Compliance was	s asse	essed	but not re	eported as al	l subj	ects	were cons	sidered com	pliant by			
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Antos D et al, 2	2006											
Study type	Randomised co	ntrolle	d tria	I									
Location	Germany												
Number	61												
Characteristics of	Mean age (yr):	51											

Bibliographic															
reference (Ref ID)	Abbas SZ et al, 2003 Abb	bas SZ et al, 2003													
patients	Number of males: 30														
	Inclusion criteria: <i>H pylori</i> positive (culture and histology), 18-80yrs, recommended for treatment based on Maastricht Consensus Report														
	Exclusion criteria: Intolerance to study drugs, contradiction to biopsy taking, complicated peptic ulcer (bleeding, perforation, or stenosis), regular NSAIDs, antibiotics of bismuth within 4 weeks of study entry. History of gastrectomy or proximal selective vagomtomy, malignant disease or severe concomitant disease.														
	Dyspeptic condition types(s): Active peptic ulcer, erosive gastritis and or duodentisis, functional dyspepsia														
	Previous antibiotics: Reported mixed														
	Lead-in treatment: None														
	Lead-out treatment: None														
	Concomitant treatment: None														
	Baseline clinical patient characteristics:														
		Triple (eso/amo/lev) N=30	Triple (eso/amo/cla) N=31	р											
	Median age, yr (range)	49 (21-70)	53 (18-79)	N/R											
	Sex male/female	13/17	17/14	N/R											
	Peptic ulcer	9	12	N/R											
	Erosive gastritis/or duodenitis	10	13	N/R											
	Functional dyspepsia	11	6	N/R											
	NSAID use	5	12	N/R											
	Number with previous treatment failures:			N/R											
	1 failure	2 (6.7%)	1 (3.2%)												
	2 or more	9 (30%)	6 (19.4%)												
	Mteronidazole sensitive	14	22	N/R											

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Abbas SZ et al,	2003	S Abl	bas SZ et	al, 2003							
	Metronidazole i	esist	ant	16	16				N/R			
	Clarithromycin	sens	tive	25	25				N/R	N/R		
	Clarithromycin	Clarithromycin resistant				1			N/R			
	Amoxicillin sen	sitive		30		31			N/R			
	Levofloxacin se	nsiti	/e	29		30			N/R			
	Levofloxacin re	sista	nt	1		1			N/R			
Intervention	Regimen: Triple Dose and timing Route: Oral	7 da	ays; e	eso (40 m	g b.i.d.) / a	imo (	1000	) mg b.i.d	.) / lev (500	) mg b.i.		
Comparator	Regimen: Triple Dose and timing Route: Oral	•		•	g b.i.d.) / a	imo (	1000	) mg b.i.d	.) / cla (500	) mg b.i.		
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurr	ed 6	wee	eks follow	ing treatme	ent						
Outcomes measures		_			-	_						
and effect sizes		Tri				Trip		<i>.</i>				
		(es	o/an	no/lev)		(es	o/am	no/cla)				
		N	k	mean/ %	95% CI	N	k	mean/ %	95% CI	р		
	Eradication rate ITT	3 0	2 6	86.7	68-96	3 1	2 6	83.9	66-93	0.65		
	Eradication	2	2	92.9	76-99	3	2	83.9	66-93	0.22		
	rate PP	8	6			1	6					
	Adverse events (dermatitis)	3 0	2	6.7	N/R	3 1	0	0	N/R	N/R		
	Adverse	3	9	30%	N/R	3	1	32.2	N/R	N/R		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Abbas SZ et al, 2003 Abbas SZ et al, 2003						
	events     0       (diarrhoea/loo se stools)     1						
Source of funding	Not reported						
Comments	ompliance was assessed but not reported as all subjects were considered compliant by the authors. 18 of the randomised articipants had had a previous eradication attempt (15 had had at least two attempts)						

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Arkkila PET et al, 2005
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Finland
Number	115
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): 52.7 Number of males: 72 Inclusion criteria: Patients of both sexes between 18 and 85 years old, endoscopically proven duodenal or gastric ulcer, <i>H pylori</i> positive by urease test and histological evaluation, capable of communicating with the investigator, reliable at taking oral medication and remaining compliant for the duration of treatment and assessment, fertile females had to use contraception during the study. Use of NSAIDS or ASA was not an exclusion criteria Exclusion criteria: Patients who needed urgent surgery, such as for severe pyloric stenosis or continuous bleeding, or who had undergone partial gastrectomy were excluded, as were patients suffering from any other major disease that would have an impact on life expectancy during the study period or having any condition associated with poor patient compliance. Pregnant and lactating women and patients with known hypersensitivity or any drug reaction to any agent structurally related to the compounds investigated were also excluded Dyspeptic condition types(s): Peptic ulcer Previous antibiotics: Reported mixed Lead-in treatment: None Concomitant treatment: None

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Arkkila PET et al, 2005												
	Baseline clinical patient	characteristics:											
		Mono (lan) N=30	Dual (lan/amo) N=30	Triple (lan/amo/cla) N=27	Quad (bis/lan/met/tet) N=28	p							
	Age, mean yr ± SD	53.4 ± 10.3	52.0 ± 11.4	52.0 ± 11.2	53.4 ± 8.3	N/S							
	Sex: males/females	17/13	21/9	19/8	15/13	N/S							
	Smokers	14	15	18	12	N/S							
	Use of alcohol	24	24	18	22	N/S							
	Previous peptic ulcer	9	10	14	15	N/S							
	Gastric/duodenal/bot h	0/8/1	1/9/0	4/9/1	3/11/1	N/S							
	Metronidazole resistant	12	9	5	8	N/R							
Intervention	Regimen: Quad (bis/lan Dose and timing: 14 day Route: Oral	·	/ lan (30 mg b.i.d) / met	(200 mg t.i.d) / tet (	(500 mg q.i.d)								
Comparator	Regimen: Mono (lan) Dose and timing: 14 day Route: Oral	Regimen: Mono (lan) Dose and timing: 14 days; lan (30 mg b.i.d) plus placebo t.i.d days 1-14 and placebo (x2) q.i.d days 1-14											
	-	Regimen: Dual (lan/amo) Dose and timing: 14 days; lan (30 mg b.i.d) / amo (500 mg q.i.d) plus placebo t.i.d and q.i.d days 1-14 Route: Oral											
	Regimen: Triple (lan/am Dose and timing: 14 day Route: Oral	,	amo (500 mg q.i.d) / cla	(250 mg t.i.d) plus	placebo q.i.d days 1-14	4							

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Arkkila PET et al,	Arkkila PET et al, 2005												
Length of follow up	All groups were fo	All groups were followed up for a maximum of 52 weeks												
Outcomes measures														
and effect sizes		Eradication rate	P (compare d to mono (lan))	Eradication rate PP	P (compare d to mono (lan))									
		N, K, % (95% CI)		N, K, % (95% CI)										
	Mono (lan)	29, 0, 0 (0-12)	-	29, 0, 0 (0-12)	-									
	Dual (lan/amo)	29, 5, 83 (64-94)	0.01	27, 22, 81 (62-94)	0.01									
	Triple (lan/amo/cla)	27, 27, 100 (87- 100)	0.01	27, 27, 100 (87- 100)	0.01									
Source of funding	Drugs for the study	y were provided by th	e Orion Phar	ma and Yamanouchi	Pharna phar	macuetical companies								
Comments	-	Patients took placebos to match active group comparators to ensure blinding as needed. Mixed population was 9 out of the 115 patients included in the study; adverse events are reported but arms of data have been pooled so are not available for analysis												

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Basu PP et al, 2011
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	USA
Number	270
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): 37 Number of males: 156 Inclusion criteria: <i>H pylori</i> induced gastritis Exclusion criteria: Partial gastorectomy, gastric malignancy, active bleeding <20 years, pregnancy, prior <i>H pylori</i> infection/treatment,

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Basu PP et al, 2011											
	recent C. difficile infe allergy to study medic Dyspeptic condition to Previous antibiotics: I Lead-in treatment: No Lead-out treatment: No Concomitant treatment Baseline clinical patie	cation ypes(s): Dyspeptic Reported naïve one None nt: None	symptoms (gastr	_		soprostol, recent use of antibiotics (6 weeks) or erosion)						
		Quad (7) (ome/dox/lev/ni t) n=90	Quad (10) (ome/dox/lev/n it) n=90	Triple (lan/amo/cl a) n= 90	р							
	Mean age, yr (range)	37 (26-58)	36 (22-48)	37(28-52)	N/S							
	Sex: male/female	52/38	51/39	53/37	N/S							
	Peptic ulcer	12	12	11	N/S							
	Gastric erosion	23	22	23	N/S							
	Regular gastritis	28	26	23	N/S	]						
	Nodular gastritis	5	10	12	N/S							
	Gastritis without intestinal metaplasia	22	22	22	N/S							
	Gastritis with intestinal metaplasia	34	32	33	N/S							
Intervention	Regimen: Triple (lan/a Dose and timing: 10 o Route: Oral	'	.i.d.) / amo (1000	mg b.i.d.) / cl	la (500 m	g b.i.d.)						

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Basu PP et	Basu PP et al, 2011												
Comparator	Dose and tin Route: Oral Regimen: Qu	Regimen: Quad (ome/dox/lev/nit) Dose and timing: 7 days; ome (40 mg m.a.n.e.) / dox (100 mg m.a.n.e.) / lev (250 mg m.a.n.e.) / nit (500 mg b.i.d.)												
Length of follow up	Follow-up or	curre	d 4 we	eks fo	ollowing	g treat	ment.							
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Eradicati		d (7) e/dox/k k 81	X % 9	) 95 % CI N/R		d (10) e/dox/le k 80	X % 8	) 95% CI N/R	N 9	k 6	n/am/ X % 7	/cla) 95 % CI N/R	p 0.0003
	on rate ITT Eradicati on rate	86	81	0 9 4	N/R	86	80	9 9 3	N/R	0 8 5	6 6 6	3 8 8	N/R	0.0003 5
	PP     P </td													
Source of funding	Not reported													
Comments	Adverse eve	nts ar	e repo	rted b	out arm	s of da	ata hav	/e be	en poole	ed so	are	not a	vailabl	e for analy

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Bayerdorffer E et al, 1999										
Study type	Multicentre randomised controlled trial										
Location	Germany										
Number	75										
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): not reported for relevant population Number of males: not reported for relevant population Inclusion criteria: >18 years, active duodenal ulcer (at least 5mm in diameter), no more than one previous eradication attem Exclusion criteria: Concurrent gastric, prepyloric ulcers or current complications of duodenal ulcer disease (pyloric stenosis, bleeding, perforation), treatment with H2RAs, antacids or PPI within 3 days of 13C UBT. History of gastric surgery, pregnance contradictions to study drugs, treatment with amo, met or bis within 1 month prior to entry, regular NSAID, severe concurrent										
	<ul> <li>disease and suspected/confirmed malignancy.</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): duodenal ulcer</li> <li>Previous antibiotics: Reported mixed</li> <li>Lead-in treatment: None</li> <li>Lead-out treatment; None</li> <li>Concomitant treatment: None</li> <li>Baseline clinical patient characteristics:</li> <li>Patient characteristics were not reported for the German cohort of participants specifically</li> </ul>										
Intervention	Regimen: Triple (ome/amo/met) Dose and timing: 7 days; ome (20 mg b.i.d.) / amo (1000 mg b.i.d.) / met (800 mg b.i.d.) Route: Oral										
Comparator	Regimen: Triple dose x 3 (ome/amo/met) Dose and timing: 7 days; ome (40 mg) / amo (500 mg t.d.s.) / met (400 mg t.d.s.) Route: Oral										
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 4 weeks following treatment										
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Triple     Triple t.d.s.       (ome/amo/met)     (ome/amo/met)										

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Bayerdorffer E e	et al,	199	9							
		N	k	mean/ %	95% CI	N	k	mean/ %	95% CI	р	
	Eradication rate ITT	3 8	3 2	84	69-94	3 5	2 9	83	66-93	N/R	
	Eradication rate PP	3 5	3 2	91	77-98	2 6	2 3	88	70-98	N/R	
Source of funding	Astra Hassle Swe	eden						-			
Comments											olit by geographical regions. 3% off the study ooth 13C UBT and histopathological assessment.

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Chiba N et al, 1996
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Canada
Number	65
Characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>Mean age (yr): 56</li> <li>Number of males: 35</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: 18-80yr, no previous eradication attempt, no prior gastric resection, no antibiotics in preceding month, not pregnant/lactating, adequate contraception were appropriate</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: No previous eradication attempt, no prior gastric resection, no antibiotics in preceding month, not pregnant/lactating, adequate contraception were appropriate</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): Inactive peptic ulcer disease (duodenal ulcer, gastric ulcer), non-ulcer dyspepsia</li> <li>Previous antibiotics: Reported naïve</li> <li>Lead-in treatment: None</li> </ul>

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Chiba N et al,	1996								
	Concomitant tre	eatmer	nt: Nor	e						
	Baseline clinica	al patie	nt cha	racteris	tics:					
				D	ual			Triple	(ome/cla/r	net)
					ome/cla)			n=34		
					=31					
	Mean age, yr	(range	)	5	6 (29-79)	)		49 (2	0-77)	
	Sex: male/fem	nale		1	7/14			18/16	i	
	Duodenal ulc	er		1	0			16		
	Gastric ulcer			6				1		
	Non-ulcer dys	pepsia		1	5			17		
Comparator	Dose and timin Route: Oral Regimen: Dual Dose and timin	(ome/o	cla)		-					) mg b.i.
	Route: Oral									
Length of follow up	Follow-up occu	rred 6	weeks	followi	ng treatn	nent				
Outcomes measures and effect sizes					-				-	
		Dual (ome	e/cla)			Tripl (ome	e e/cla/m	net)		
		N	k	mea n/%	95% CI	Ν	k	mea n/%	95% CI	р
	Eradication rate ITT	31	18	58	N/R	34	29	82	N/R	0.03
	Eradication rate PP	29	18	62	N/R	30	29	93	N/R	0.00 4

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Chiba N et al, 1	996								
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	31	5	16	N/R	34	6	18	N/R	N/R
	Adherence to medication	N/R	N/ R	97.2	93- 102	N/R	N/ R	97	93-100	N/R
Source of funding	Not reported									
Comments	N/A									

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Dore MP et al, 2011
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Italy
Number	417
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): 53 Number of males: 153 Inclusion criteria: >18yrs, dyspeptic symptoms, <i>H pylori</i> positive Exclusion criteria: Bismuth, anti-secretory drugs or antibiotics within 4 weeks of endoscopy. Pregnancy/lactation, regular NSAID/corticosteroids use, malignancy, severe liver, heart, kidney or endocrine disease. Alcohol abuse, drug addiction, history of allergy to study medication or prior <i>H pylori</i> eradication. Dyspeptic condition types(s): Dyspeptic symptoms Previous antibiotics: Reported naïve Lead-in treatment: None Lead-out treatment: None Baseline clinical patient characteristics:
	Quad (14) Quad (10)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Dore MP et al, 2011								
		(bis/pan/met N=202	t/tet)		bis/pan/r I=215	net/tet)			
	Mean age, yr	53		5	52				
	Sex male/female	72/130		8	31/134				
	Erosions	3		2					
	Gastric ulcer	5		7	,				
	Duodenal ulcer	2		2					
	Polyps	8		5	5				
	Lymphoma	1		C	)				
	Adenocarcinoma	2		3					
	Partial gastrectomy	3		2					
	Smokers	47		4	1				
	Ex -smokers	17		2	!1				
ntervention Comparator	Regimen: Quad (bis/pan/met/te Dose and timing: 14 days; bis (2 Route: Oral Regimen: Quad (bis/pan/met/te Dose and timing: 10 days; bis (2 Route: Oral	240 mg b.i.d.)		-			-		
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 6-8 weeks	following treatr	nent						
Outcomes measures									
and effect sizes	Quad (14) (bis/pan/met/t	et)	Quac (bis/p	l (10) ban/me	et/tet)				
		nean 95% % Cl	Ν	k	mea n/%	95% CI	р		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Dore MP et al, 2	2011								
	Eradication rate ITT	202	185	91.5	87-95	215	19 9	92	88-96	N/R
	Eradication rate PP	192	185	96	92-98	209	19 9	95	91-98	N/R
	Adverse events (diarrhoea /loose stools)	202	3	1.5	N/R	215	5	2.3	N/R	.551
	Adherence to medication	192	187	97	N/R	209	20 7	99	N/R	N/R
Source of funding	Institute of Clinic	a Med	ica							
Comments	N/A									

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ecclissato C et al, 2002
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Brazil
Number	92
Characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>Mean age (yr): 41.5</li> <li>Number of males: 62</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: Individuals with <i>H pylori</i> infection and active gastroduodenal ulcer disease were included in the study</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: Presence of malignancy at endoscopy, prior gastroduodenal surgery or <i>H pylori</i> treatment, drugs in the previous month and pregnancy or lactation. Patients who did not return to follow-up were also excluded from the study</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): Active gastroduodenal ulcer disease (peptic ulcers)</li> <li>Previous antibiotics: Reported naïve</li> <li>Lead-in treatment: None</li> <li>Concomitant treatment: None</li> </ul>

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ecclissato C	et al, 2	2002								
	Lead-out treat										
	Baseline clinic	al patie	ent ch	aracteris	tics:						
				Triple (la N=46	an/amo/cl	'	riple (b I=46	is/fur/te	t)	р	
	Mean age, y	r (range	e)	42 (23 -	73)	4	1 (20 –	70)		N/S	
	Sex: males/f	emales	5	27/19		3	5/11			N/S	
	Smokers			17		1	8			N/S	
Intervention	Regimen: Trip Dose and timi Route: Oral	`			g b.i.d) / a	amo (10	)00 mg	b.i.d) / (	cla (500	mg b.i.d)	
Comparator	Regimen: Trip Dose and timi Route: Oral	`		<i>,</i>	ng q.i.d) /	fur (20	0 mg b	.i.d) / tet	: (500 mį	g q.i.d)	
Length of follow up	Follow-up was	s 30 da	ys foll	owing co	mpletion	of thera	ару				
Outcomes measures											
and effect sizes		Triple (lan/a	e imo/cla	a)		Tripl	e (bis/fi	ur/tet)	-		
		Ν	k	Mea n %	95% CI	Ν	k	Mea n %	95% CI	р	
	Eradication rate ITT	46	27	59	N/R	46	24	52	N/R	0.05	
	Eradication rate PP	41	27	66	N/R	40	24	60	N/R	0.05	
Source of funding	This work was and bismuth s										do de Sao Paulo. Lansoprazole/clarithromycin , respectively
Comments											nt by the authors. Secondary antibiotic ible to determine how many people in each arm

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ecclissato C et al, 2002
	were tested

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ellenrieder V et al, 1998				
Study type	Randomised controlled trial				
Location	Germany				
Number	163				
Characteristics of patients	Median age (yr): 55.3 Number of males: 97 Inclusion criteria: Patients with confirmed by histology and rap Exclusion criteria: Pregnant or treatment for <i>H pylori</i> , impaired Dyspeptic condition types(s): 0 Previous antibiotics: Reported Lead-in treatment: None Concomitant treatment: None Lead-out treatment: None Baseline clinical patient charact	bid urease test lactating women, patients tre d liver function, MALT-lympho Gastritis, or active gastric or d naïve	ated with antibiotics within the ma, other malignancies, or pri	past 14 d	ays, patients with previous
	Median age, yr (range) Sex: males/females Chronic gastritis Duodenal ulcer	Triple (pan/cla/met) – 250 mg cla N=82 57.5 (22-90) 45/37 57 9	Triple (pan/cla/met) – 500 mg cla N=81 53 (19-84) 52/29 56 13	p N/R N/R N/R N/R	
	Gastric ulcer	16	10	N/R	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ellenrieder V et al, 19	98										
	Gastric and duodena	l ulce	r	0				1			N/R	
	T-cell lymphoma			0				1			N/R	
Intervention	Regimen: Triple (pan/cla/met) Dose and timing: 7 days; pan (40 mg b.i.d) / cla (250 mg b.i.d) / met (500 mg b.i.d) Route: Oral											
Comparator	Regimen: Triple (pan/cla/met) Dose and timing: 7 days; pan (40 mg b.i.d) / cla (500 mg b.i.d) / met (500 mg b.i.d) Route: Oral											
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 4 v	veeks	s afte	er treatme	nt ended							
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Eradication rate ITT			/met) – cla Mean % 75.6	95% CI N/R			/met) – cla Mean % 78.8	95% CI N/R	p N/R		
	Eradication rate PP	2 6 9	2 6 2	89.9	N/R	7 0	3 6 3	90.0	N/R	N/R		
	Eradication rate PP (gastritis subgroup)	4 9	4 3	87.8	N/R	5 0	4 4	88.0	N/R	N/R		
	Eradication rate PP (ulcer subgroup)	2 0	1 9	95.0	N/R	2 0	1 9	95.0	N/R	N/R		
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/loose stools)	7 1	4	5.6	N/R	7 2	5	6.9	N/R	N/R		
Source of funding	Not reported											

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ellenrieder V et al, 1998
Comments	Compliance was assessed but not reported as all subjects were considered compliant by the authors

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hsu C-C et al, 2001												
Study type	Randomised controlled trial												
Location	Taiwan	Taiwan											
Number	120												
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): 51 Number of males: 78 Inclusion criteria: 19-80 yrs, gastric, duodenal ulcers or non-ulcer dyspepsia. No previous eradication attempt. <i>H pylori</i> positive Exclusion criteria: Use of PPI, bismuth or antibiotics 4 weeks prior to enrolment, history of ulcer surgery, allergy to study medications, pregnancy/lactation, severe concomitant disease and suspected non-compliance. Dyspeptic condition types(s): Gastric ulcer, duodenal ulcer non-ulcer dyspepsia Previous antibiotics: Reported naïve Lead-in treatment: None Lead-out treatment: Yes, allowed to take antacids (ditopax) after eradication therapy Concomitant treatment: None Baseline clinical patient characteristics:												
		Triple (fam/amo/tin) N=60	Triple (ome/amo/tin) N=60										
	Median age, yr (range)	52 (20-80)	50 (22-78)										
	Sex: male/female	36/22	40/20										
	Duodenal ulcer	9	12										
	Gastric ulcer	Gastric ulcer 10 13											
	Non-ulcer dyspepsia 11 6												
	Smokers	6	7										

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hsu C-C et al, 2	2001												
	Metronidazole s	sensi	tive	3	4*			50*						
	Metronidazole r	esist	ant	2	4			10	10					
	Antibiotic resist	ance	: no	data 2				0	0					
	*P<0.05	*P<0.05												
Intervention		Regimen: Triple (fam/amo/tin) Dose and timing: 14 days; fam (40 mg b.i.d.) / amo (1000 mg b.i.d.) / tin (500 mg b.i.d.) Route: Oral												
Comparator	Regimen: Triple (ome/amo/tin) Dose and timing: 14 days; ome (20 mg b.i.d.) / amo (1000 mg b.i.d) / tin (500 mg b.i.d.) Route: Oral													
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurr	Follow-up occurred 4 weeks following treatment												
Outcomes measures														
and effect sizes	Triple Triple													
		(fai	m/an	no/tin)		(on	ne/ar	mo/tin)						
		N	k	mean/ %	95% CI	N	k	mean/ %	95% CI	р				
	Eradication rate ITT	6 0	4 8	80	74-93	6 0	5 0	83.3	74-93	N/R				
	Eradication rate PP	5 3	4 8	90.6	83-98	5 7	5 0	87.7	7996	N/R				
	Eradication rate ITT (MR)	2 4	1 8	75	N/R	1 0	7	70	N/R	N/R				
	Eradication	2	1	90	N/R	1	7	70	N/R	N/R				

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hsu C-C et al, 2001											
	rate PP (MR)	0	8			0						
	Eradication rate ITT (MS)	3 4	3 0	88	N/R	5 0	4 3	88	N/R	N/R		
	Eradication rate PP (MS)	3 3	3 0	91	N/R	4 7	4 3	92	N/R	N/R		
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/loo se stools)	6 0	4	7	N/R	6 0	3	5	N/R	N/R		
	MS (metronidazo	ole si	usce	ptible); M	R (metroni	dazol	e res	sistant)				
Source of funding	Not reported											
Comments	N/A											

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Katelaris PH et al, 2000
Study type	Multicentre randomised controlled trial
Location	Australia and New Zealand
Number	227
Characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>Mean age (yr): 50</li> <li>Number of males: 154</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: &gt;18 years, informed consent, endoscopically proven active duodenal ulcer (&gt;5mm), <i>H pylori</i> positive by urease test/histology</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: Previous eradication therapy or gastric surgery, current gastric ulceration, ulcerative oesphagitis, antibiotic or bismuth use in preceding 30 days.</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): Duodenal ulcer</li> <li>Previous antibiotics: Reported naïve</li> </ul>

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Katelaris PH et al, 2000										
	Lead-in treatment: None										
	Lead-out treatment; Yes, 7 day of ome therapy for all (20mg m.a.n.e.)										
	Concomitant treatment: None										
	Baseline clinical patient characteristics:										
		Triple	Triple								
		(ome/amo/met)	(ome/cla/met)								
	Maga and the OD	N=111	N=109								
	Mean age, yr ± SD	49.5 +14.3	50.3 +13.8								
	Sex male/female	77/34	77/32								
	Number of duodenal ulcers = 1	99	87								
	Number of duodenal ulcers > 1	22									
	Size of ulcer (mm)	7.4 +2.1	7.9 +2.4								
	Regular smokers	32	37								
Intervention	Regimen: Triple (ome/amo/met) Dose and timing: 7 days; ome (4 Route: Oral		o (500 mg t.d.s) / met (400								
Comparator	Regimen: Triple (ome/cla/met) Dose and timing: 7 days; ome (2 Route: Oral	0 mg b.i.d.) / cla (250	0 mg b.i.d) / met (400 mg b								
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 4 weeks foll	owing treatment									
Outcomes measures											
and effect sizes	Triple		Triple								
	(ome/amo/me	t)	(ome/cla/met)								
	N k me	ea 95% CI N	k mea 95% CI p								

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Katelaris PH et	al, 200	00									
				n/%				n/%				
	Eradication rate ITT	111	6 4	58	49-67	10 9	8 9	82	74-89	N/R		
	Eradication rate PP	96	6 2	63	52-72	99	8 4	85	76-91	N/R		
	Eradication rate ITT (MR)	38	1 7	45	29-62	45	3 6	80	65-90	N/R		
	Eradication rate ITT (CR)	3	1	33	N/R	5	2	40	5-85	N/R		
	Eradication rate ITT (MS)	34	2 7	79	62-91	31	2 9	94	79-99	N/R		
	Eradication rate ITT (CS)	69	4 3	62	50-74	70	6 2	89	79-95	N/R		
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/loo se stools)	114	1 3	11	N/R	11 3	6	5	N/R	N/R		
	Adverse events (liver events)	114	6	5	N/R	11 3	7	6	N/R	N/R		
	CS (clarithromyc	in suso	ceptik	ole); CF	R (clarithro	mycin	resis	tant); M	S (metroni	dazole su	sceptible); MR (metronic	dazole resista
Source of funding	Astra Australia P	harma	ceuti	cal								
Comments	Placebos used a	s appr	opria	te withi	n study. C	omplia	nce	was ass	essed by t	ablet cour	nting but no outcome da	ta was reporte

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Katelaris PH et al, 2002
Study type	Randomised controlled trial

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Katelaris PH et al, 2002											
Location	Australia and New Zealand											
Number	405											
Characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>Mean age (yr): 51</li> <li>Number of males: 185</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: Age 18 years or over, written informed consent, dyspepsia with <i>H pylori</i> infection confirmed (by urease test and then also histology and C-urea breath test), and no evidence of peptic ulcer disease or oesphagitis at endoscopy</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: Patients were excluded if there had been any prior attempt at <i>H pylori</i> eradication or concomitant or recent 30 days) use of PPIs, antibiotics, bismuth, or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): Ulcer negative dyspepsia</li> <li>Previous antibiotics: Reported naïve</li> <li>Lead-in treatment: None</li> <li>Concomitant treatment: None</li> <li>Lead-out treatment: None</li> <li>Baseline clinical patient characteristics:</li> </ul>											
		Triple (pan/amo/cla) N=134	Triple (bis/met/tet) N=137	Quad (pan/bis/met/tet) N=134	р							
	Mean age, yr ± SD	51 ± 14	52 ± 14	50 ± 14	N/R							
	Sex: males/females	58 /76	58 /79	69 /65 f	N/R							
	Caucasian	111	115	117	N/R							
	Asian	5	7	7	N/R							
	Height (cm): mean ± SD	Males: 174 ± 8 Females: 159 ± 6	Males: 173 ± 9 Females: 161 ± 7	Males: 171 ± 12 Females: 161 ± 7	N/R							
	Weight (kg): mean ± SD											
	Nonsmoker	99	103	93	N/R							
	Metronidazole resistant	23/46 tested	29/50 tested	21/41 tested	N/S							
	Clarithromycin resistant	4/46 tested	4/50 tested	3/41 tested	N/S							

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Katelaris PH e	t al, 2	002														
	Tetracycline re	esista	nt	0	0/46 tested				1/50 tested			0/41	tested	N/S	5		
Intervention	Regimen: Quad (pan/bis/met/tet) Dose and timing: 7 days; pan (40 mg b.i.d) / bismuth subcitrate (108 mg q.i.d) / met (200 mg t.i.d daily and 400 mg at night) / tet (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral																
Comparator	•	Regimen: Triple (bis/met/tet) Dose and timing: 14 days; bis (108 mg q.i.d) / met (200 mg t.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d)															
Length of follow up	Patients were re	eview	ed 2 a	and 8 v	veeks	after t	reatm	nent									
Outcomes measures and effect sizes		Trip	le (pa	n/amo/	(cla)1	Trip	le (bis	s/met/te	et)2	Qua (par		net/tet)	3				
		N	К	Mea n %	95 % CI	N	К	Mea n %	95 % CI	N	К	Mea n %	95 % CI	р			
	Eradication rate ITT	13 4	10 4	77.6	N/ R	13 7	95	69.3	N/ R	13 4	11 0	82.1	N/ R	N/S* 0.04 **			
	Eradication rate PP	11 4	94	82.5	N/ R	10 1	75	74.3	N/ R	10 5	92	87.6	N/ R	N/S* 0.04 **			
	Eradication rate ITT (CS)	42	36	85.7	N/ R	46	29	63.0	N/ R	38	30	78.9	N/ R	N/R			
	Eradication rate ITT (CR)	4	1	25.0	N/ R	4	3	75.0	N/ R	3	3	100	N/ R	N/R			
	Eradication rate ITT (MS)	23	17	73.9	N/ R	21	16	76.2	N/ R	20	16	80.0	N/ R	N/R			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Katelaris PH e	t al, 2	002												
	Eradication rate ITT (MR)	23	20	87.0	N/ R	29	16	55.2	N/ R	21	17	81.0	N/ R	N/R	
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	13 4	34	25.4	N/ R	13 7	53	38.7	N/ R	13 4	46	34.3	N/ R	N/R	
	Adverse events (rash)	13 4	4	3.0	N/ R	13 7	16	11.7	N/ R	13 4	7	5.2	N/ R	N/R	
	Adherence to medication	13 4	13 0	97.0	N/ R	13 7	11 6	84.7	N/ R	13 4	12 6	94.0	N/ R	N/R	
	*1 vs. 2 **2 vs. 3 CS (clarithromy	rcin su	uscep	tible); C	R (cla	arithro	mycir	n resista	ant); N	1S (m	etroni	dazole	susce	ptible);	MR (metronidazole resistant)
Source of funding	Supported by P investigators	harm	acia A	Australia	an Pro	pieta	y Lim	ited, st	udy w	as coi	nducto	ed by th	ne Aus	stralian	pantoprazole <i>H pylori</i> study group
Comments	N/A														

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Koivisto TT et al, 2005
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Finland
Number	329
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): 57 Number of males: 154 Inclusion criteria: Patients aged 18-75 who had been referred for upper endoscopy from primary health care with a positive rapid urease test for <i>H pylori</i>

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Koivisto TT et al, 2005	Koivisto TT et al, 2005										
	<ul> <li>Exclusion criteria: Previous <i>H</i> therapy within 4 weeks before pregnancy or lactation, confirm mmol/L), severe liver disease, the eradication therapy</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): 0</li> <li>Previous antibiotics: Reported Lead-in treatment: None</li> <li>Concomitant treatment: None</li> <li>Lead-out treatment: None</li> <li>Baseline clinical patient characteria</li> </ul>	endoscopy, known ned or suspected m any serious illness Gastric or duodenal naïve	hypersensitivity to alignant disease, g with expected lifetin	any of the study medic astric resection, advan me <2 years, and need	ations for erac	dication therapy, sease (s-creatinine >20						
		Triple (lan/amo/met) N=106	Triple (lan/amo/cla) N=110	Quad (bis/ran/met/tet) N=113	р							
	Mean age, yr	57	56	57	N/S							
	Smokers (%, 95% CI)	21 (13-29)	28 (20-37)	20 (13-28)	N/S							
	Alcohol consumption (cL/week, 95% CI)	5.4 (3.5-7.3)	8.6 (5.4-11.7)	6.0 (4.0-8.0)	<0.05*							
	Previous/present peptic ulcer (%, 95% CI)	27 (19-36)	33 (24-42)	30 (22-39)	N/S							
	Active peptic ulcer (%, 95% CI)	20 (12-27)	24 (16-32)	21 (14-29)	N/S							
	NSAIDs or ASA used (%, 95% CI)	66 (57-75)	54 (44-63)	60 (51-69)	N/S							
	Macrolide resistance (%, 95% CI)	1 (0-6)	3 (1-9)	3 (1-8)	N/S							
	Metronidazole resistance (%, 95% CI)	40 (30-51)	34 (24-44)	38 (29-47)	N/S							

\*LAC vs. LAM P < 0.05, LAC vs. Quad P < 0.05, LAM vs. Quad P = N/S

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Koivisto TT	Koivisto TT et al, 2005													
Intervention	•	Regimen: Triple (lan/amo/met) Dose and timing: 7 days; lan (30 mg b.i.d) / amo (1 g b.i.d) / met (400 mg t.i.d) Route: Oral													
Comparator	Dose and tin Route: Oral Regimen: Qu	Regimen: Quad (bis/ran/met/tet) Dose and timing: 7 days; ranitidine bismuth citrate (400 mg b.i.d) / met (400 mg t.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d)													
Length of follow up	Follow-up wa	Follow-up was 4 weeks after completion of treatment regimens													
Outcomes measures		Triple (lan/amo/met)1 Triple (lan/amo/cla)2 Quad (bis/ran/met/tet)3													
and effect sizes		N	ĸ	Mea n %	95% CI	N	ĸ	Mea n %	95% CI	Ν	K	Mea n %	95% CI	р	
	Eradicatio n rate ITT	10 6	8 3	78.3	N/R	110	100	90.9	N/R	113	9 2	81.4	N/R	0.01* 0.04**	
	Eradicatio n rate ITT (MS)	56	5 2	92.9	N/R	61	58	95.1	N/R	64	5 8	90.6	N/R	0.01*	
	Eradicatio n rate ITT (MR)	38	2 0	52.6	N/R	31	26	83.9	N/R	39	2 6	66.7	N/R	N/S	
	**2 vs. 3 p =	*1 vs. 2 p = 0.01 **2 vs. 3 p = 0.04 MS (metronidazole susceptible); MR (metronidazole resistant)													
Source of funding														dation for Gastroenter ne, Wyeth-Lederle, Or	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Koivisto TT et al, 2005
	Pharma and Orion Diagnostica
Comments	Although compliance and adverse events were monitored in this study they were not reported in a way that the data could be extracted

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Laine L et al, 2000
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	USA
Number	Study 1 (448), study 2 (98)
Characteristics of patients	Median age (yr): Study 1 (48), study 2 (41) Number of males: Study 1 (279), study 2 (58) Inclusion criteria: Patients 18-75 years of age with baseline endoscopic documentation of at least one duodenal ulcer (> 0.5 cm in diameter) or with a history of duodenal ulcer documented by endoscopy or upper gastrointestinal radiogram within the past 5 years. Inclusion also required a positive CLOtest of a gastric biopsy specimen for confirmation of <i>H pylori</i> infection. Women enrolled were required to be postmenopausal, to have been surgically sterilised, or to have a negative prestudy pregnancy test and to use a reliable method of contraception throughout the study Exclusion criteria: Pyloric obstruction, gastric ulcer, pyloric channel ulcer, erosive esophagitis, or Barrett's oesophagus at baseline endoscopy, history of refractory duodenal ulcer or Zollinger-Ellison syndrome, bleeding disorder or gastrointestinal bleeding at baseline or within the previous year, need for PPIs 2 weeks before, during, or 4 weeks after treatment period, a course of <i>H pylori</i> eradication therapy in the preceding 1 year, need for concurrent therapy with anticholinergics, prostaglandin analogues, anti- neoplastic agents, NSAIDS (except aspirin of < 165 mg/day), steroids, sucralfate, H2RAs, quinidine, disopyramide phosphate, nefazodone hydrochloride, or anticoagulants; need for amiodarone 4 months before or during the study; known hypersensitivity to esomeprazole, omeprazole, amoxicillin, clarithromycin or Gelusil; use of an investigational drug within 4 weeks; pancreatitis, malabsorbtion, inflammatory bowel disease, severe pulmonary or liver disease, cerebral vascular disease currently or within 3 months, or alcohol or other substance abuse in prior 1 year; requirement for inpatient surgery during the study; or clinically significant, abnormal laboratory values Dyspeptic condition types(s): Duodenal ulcer

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Laine L et al, 2000											
	Previous antibiotics: Reported	d mixed										
	Lead-in treatment: None											
	Concomitant treatment: None Lead-out treatment: None											
	Baseline clinical patient characteristics:											
	Study 1	Dual (eso/cla)	Triple (eso/amo/cla)	р								
		N=215	N=233									
	Mean age, yr	48	48	N/S								
	Sex: males/females (%)	63/37	62/38	N/S								
	Race: white (%)	68	73	N/S								
	Race: black (%)	26	22	N/S								
	Race: other (%)	7	4	N/S								
	Smoker (%)	34	30	N/S								
	Active duodenal ulcer (%)	78	79	N/S								
	Previous <i>H pylori</i> therapy (%)	11	13	N/S								

Baseline clinical patient characteristics:

Study 2	Mono (eso) N=24	Triple (eso/amo/cla) N=74	р
Mean age, yr	40	42	N/S
Sex: males/females (%)	50/50	62 /38	N/S
Race: white (%)	63	70	N/S
Race: black (%)	29	28	N/S
Race: other (%)	8	1	N/S
Smoker (%)	54	51	N/S

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Laine L et al, 2000			
	Active duodenal ulcer (%)	100	89	Ν
	Previous <i>H pylori</i> therapy (%)	0	9	Ν
Intervention	Study 1 Regimen: Triple (eso/amo/cla) Dose and timing: 10 days; eso Route: Oral Study 2 Regimen: Triple (eso/amo/cla) Dose and timing: 10 days; eso Route: Oral	(40 mg m.a.n.e) / ar	· · · · ·	•
Comparator	Study 1 Regimen: Dual (eso/cla) Dose and timing: 10 days; eso Route: Oral Study 2 Regimen: Mono (eso) Dose and timing: 10 days; eso Route: Oral		a (500 mg b.i.d)	
Length of follow up	Follow-up was carried out 4 we	eeks after completion	n of the study treatments	
Outcomes measures	Study 1			
and effect sizes	Dual (	eso/cla)	Triple (eso/amo/cla)	
	N k	Mean 95% % Cl	N k Mean 95%	% CI p

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Laine L et al, 2000										
	Eradication rate ITT	2 1 5	1 1 2	52	45-59	2 3 3	1 7 9	77	71-82	0.00 1	
	Eradication rate PP	1 8 7	1 0 3	55	48-62	1 9 6	1 6 4	84	78-89	0.00 1	
	Study 2										
		Mono (eso)									
		Ν	k	Mean %	95% CI	Ν	k	Mean %	95% CI	р	
	Eradication rate ITT	2 4	1	4	0-21	7 4	5 8	78	68-87	0.00 1	
	Eradication rate PP	2 2	1	5	0-23	6 7	5 7	85	74-93	0.00 1	
Source of funding	This research was sup	porte	d by	AstraZen	eca						
Comments	Mixed population was: study 1 (11% EC; 13% EAC) and study 2 (0% E, 9% EAC). Although compliance was monitored in the study, insufficient data was reported and therefore it has not been included in the outcome table above. In addition, for antibiotic resistance, data for all 3 studies combined is reported but only studies 1 and 2 have arms of interest to our review question therefore this data has not been included in the outcome table above.										

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Laine L et al, 2003
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	USA
Number	275
Characteristics of	Mean age (yr): 47

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Laine L et al, 2003											
patients	batients       Number of males: 166         Inclusion criteria: Patients were eligible for the study if they had an active duodenal ulcer (>3 mm) at baseline endoscopy or history of duodenal ulcer (within the last 5 years) documented by endoscopy or radiology plus confirmed <i>H pylori</i> infection.         Exclusion criteria: Evidence of upper GI bleeding within the past month, prior attempt to treat <i>H pylori</i> , use of antibiotics or to in the prior 30 days, regular use of a PPI in the 15 days or of an H2RA, sucralfate or misoprostol in the 7 days before basel chronic use of NSAIDS (except for acetyl-salicylic acid < 325 mg daily), contraindication to the study medications, pregnance lactation, other serious medical conditions, or clinically significant laboratory abnormalities at baseline											
		Triple (ome/amo/cla) N=137	Quad (bis/ome/met/tet) N=138	р								
	Age, mean yr ± SD	47 ± 15	47 ± 13	N/S N/S								
	Sex: males/females	80/57	86 /52									
	Active duodenal ulcer	13	15	N/S								
	Metronidazole resistance	44	52	N/S								
	Clarithromycin resistance	14	13	N/S								
Intervention	Regimen: Triple (ome/amo/cla Dose and timing: 10 days; ome Route: Oral	•	b.i.d) / cla (500 mg b.i.d)									
Comparator	Regimen: Quad (bis/ome/met/ Dose and timing: 10 days; bis Route: Oral		.d) / met (125 mg q.i.d) / tet (12	5 mg q.i.d)								
Length of follow up	Follow-up was carried out with breath test was negative, the p			e end of treatmer	nt and, if the urea							

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Laine L et al, 20	03											
Outcomes measures and effect sizes		Trip (om	le e/amo	/cla)			Quad (bisome/met/tet)						
		N	k	Mean %	95% CI	N	k	Mean %	95% CI	р			
	Eradication rate ITT	13 7	11 4	83.2	77.0 to 89.5	13 8	12 1	87.7	82.2 to 93.2	0.29			
	Eradication rate PP	12 4	10 8	87.1	81.2 to 93.0	12 0	11 1	92.5	87.8 to 97.2	0.16			
	Eradication rate ITT (CS)	10 1	93	92.1	N/R	98	11 1	88.3	N/R	0.36			
	Eradication rate ITT (CR)	14	3	21.4	N/R	13	10	76.9	N/R	0.04			
	Eradication rate PP (CS)	93	88	84.6	N/R	97	89	91.8	N/R	0.43			
	Eradication rate PP (CR)	13	3	23.1	N/R	10	9	90.0	N/R	0.00 1			
	Eradication rate ITT (MS)	71	60	84.5	N/R	74	68	91.7	N/R	0.18			
	Eradication rate ITT (MR)	44	36	81.8	N/R	51	41	80.4	N/R	0.90			
	Eradication rate PP (MS)	64	55	85.9	N/R	63	60	95.2	N/R	0.07			
	Eradication rate PP (MR)	42	36	85.7	N/R	45	39	86.7	N/R	0.90			
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/loo se stools)	15 2	23	15	N/R	14 7	13	8.8	N/R	N/R			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Laine L et al, 20	Laine L et al, 2003										
	Adherence to medication         13         12         94.2         N/R         13         12         91.3         N/R         N/R											
	CS (clarithromyci	in suse	ceptibl	e); CR (cl	larithromycin	resist	ant); N	/IS (metro	onidazole sus	sceptible	e); MR (metronidazole resistant)	
Source of funding	This study was s	This study was sponsored by a grant by Axcan Pharma, Canada										
Comments	N/A											

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lee JM et al, 1999
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Ireland
Number	308
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): 47.5 Number of males: 156 Inclusion criteria: Consecutive patients with <i>H pylori</i> infection referred for diagnostic upper gastrointestinal endoscopy were considered Exclusion criteria: Patients under 18 or over 80 years of age, patients who had previous <i>H pylori</i> eradication therapy, patients who needed to continue receiving drugs that may interact with the study drugs e.g. warfarin, carbamazepine and lithium, patients with hypersensitivity to the study drugs, pregnant and breast-feeding mothers, patients with mental impairment who could not comply or consent Dyspeptic condition types(s): Dyspepsia Previous antibiotics: Reported naïve Lead-in treatment: None Lead-out treatment; None Concomitant treatment: None Baseline clinical patient characteristics: Baseline clinical patient characteristics: Baseline characteristic age given for all patients included in study: mean age 47.5 years, range 18-80 years. Triple (ome/amo/cla) group included 116 patients whilst the triple (ome/cla/met) group included 192 patients. No other baseline characteristics were given.

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lee JM et al, 1999									
Intervention	Regimen: Triple (ome/amo/cla) Dose and timing: 7 days; ome (20 mg b.i.d) / amo (1000 mg b.i.d) / cla 500 mg b.i.d) Route: Oral									
Comparator	Regimen: Triple (ome/cla/met) Dose and timing: 7 days; ome (20 mg b.i.d) / cla (250 mg b.i.d) / met (400 mg b.i.d) Route: Oral									
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred one month following treatment									
Outcomes measures and effect sizes										
	Triple Triple (ome/amo/cla) (ome/cla/met)									
		Ν	k	Mean %	95% CI	Ν	k	Mean %	95% CI	р
	Eradication rate ITT	116	8 3	71.6	63-80	192	140	72.9	67-79	0.80
	Eradication rate PP	106	8 3	78.3	N/R	177	140	79.1	N/R	N/R
Source of funding	Health Research Board of Ireland									
Comments	N/A									

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lerang F et al, 1997[a]
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Norway
Number	231
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): 58

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lerang F et al, 1997[a]					
	Number of males: 145 Inclusion criteria: Patients age gave informed consent Exclusion criteria: Pregnancy perforation), reflux esophagitis hypersensitivity to relevant me malignancy and previous anti- Dyspeptic condition types(s): I Previous antibiotics: Reported Lead-in treatment: None Concomitant treatment: None Lead-out treatment: None Baseline clinical patient chara	or lactation, history of ulc s > grade 2 (Savary-Miller edication, chronic alcoholi <i>H pylori</i> therapy Peptic ulcer disease I naïve	er surgery (except highly ) or pathological 24 hr pl	selective vagotomy or o H assessment, daily use	versewing of NSAID of	of ulcer or ASA, known
		Triple (ome/amo/met) N=77	Triple (ome/cla/met) N=76	Triple (bis/cla/met) N=78	р	
	Mean age, yr (range)	57 (24-80)	57 (30-77)	59 (32-80)	N/S	
	Sex: males/females	44/33	49/27	52/26	N/S	
	Smokers	39	38	37	N/S	
	Mean duration of disease, yr (range)	10 (0-44)	10 (0-41)	9 (0-43)	N/S	
	History of ulcer bleeding	14	16	13	N/S	
	Active ulcer	41	49	53	N/S	
	First time ulcer	20	24	30	N/R	
	Duodenal ulcer	56	59	62	N/R	
	Gastric ulcer	13	13	7	N/R	
	Pyloric ulcer	8	4	9	N/R	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lerang F e	<b>t al,</b> 1	1997	[a]													
	Metronida	zole i	resist	tance	22				18	;			2	24		N/S	
Intervention	Regimen: T Dose and ti Route: Oral	ming	•		'	mg	b.i.d)	/ amo	(750 mલ્	g b.i.	d) / n	net (400	) mg b.i	.d)			
Comparator	Regimen: T Dose and ti Route: Oral Regimen: T Dose and ti Route: Oral	ming riple ming	: 10 c	days; oi cla/met	me (20 )	-			-				-		b.i.d)		
Length of follow up	Follow-up w	as c	ondu	cted at	least to	wo m	nonth	s after s	starting	thera	ару						
Outcomes measures and effect sizes		Trip (om		no/met)	)	Tri	ple (o	ome/cla	/met)	Tri	ple (I	ois/cla/r	net)				
		Ν	К	Mea n %	95% CI	Ν	К	Mea n %	95% CI	Ν	к	Mea n %	95% CI	р			
	Eradicati on rate ITT	7 7	7 0	91	82- 96	7 6	7 2	95	97- 99	7 8	7 4	95	87- 99	0.63*			
	Eradicati on rate PP	7 6	7 0	92	N/R	7 5	7 2	96	N/R	7 7	7 4	96	N/R	N/R			
	Eradicati on rate ITT (MS)	5 0	4 8	96	86- 100	4 8	4 5	94	83- 99	5 0	4 7	94	84- 99	0.91**			
	Eradicati on rate	2 2	1 7	77	55- 92	1 8	1 7	94	73- 100	2 4	2 3	96	79- 100	0.13¥			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lerang F e	t al, '	1997	[a]											
	ITT (MR)														
	Eradicati on rate ITT (MUS)	3	3	100	N/R	8	8	100	N/R	4	4	100	N/R	N/R	
	Eradicati on rate ITT (MIS)	2	2	100	N/R	2	2	100	N/R	-	-	-	-	N/R	
	MS (metror unknown su *OAM vs. C ** OAM vs. ¥ OAM vs.	uscep OCM OCN	otibili vs. B 1 vs.	ty) 6CM: p : BCM (I	= 0.63 VS sub	grou	p): p	= 0.91	sistant)	; MIS	6 (me	etronida	azole in	termediat	e susceptibility); MUS (metronidazole
Source of funding	This study v	was s	suppo	orted in	part by	/ a fir	nanci	al grant	from A	stra	Norv	vay			
Comments	(98%) had o recorded ei	comp ther a iscep	oleteo as it otibilit	d the tre was rep ty was i	eatment ported a	t cou Is no	rse a ne, n	nd had nild, mo	taken a derate	all the or se	e pills vere	s prescr as opp	ribed. In osed to	n addition	. the study found that 226 patients , adverse event data could not be e event was (e.g. rash). In addition, therefore not been reported in the

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lerang F et al, 1997[b]
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Norway
Number	100
Characteristics of	Mean age (yr): 53

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lerang F et al, 1997[b]
patients	Number of males: 79 Inclusion criteria: <i>H pylori</i> positive, 18-80yrs, informed consent Exclusion criteria: Pregnancy/lactation, history of ulcer surgery, pyloric stenosis, concurrent gastric ulcer or esophagitis. Use of NSAIDS, ASA, warfarin, steroids, bismuth, antibiotics during 4 weeks prior to endoscopy. Known contradiction to medication, alcoholism, suspected lack of compliance, severe liver disease, malignancy, in vitro antibiotic resistance (met/tet/amp), previous <i>H</i> <i>pylori</i> eradication Dyspeptic condition types(s): Relapsing duodenal ulcer disease Previous antibiotics: Reported naïve Lead-in treatment: None Lead-out treatment; None Concomitant treatment: None Baseline clinical patient characteristics: The study reported that there were no differences between groups with regard to age (mean 53yr), gender (56% male), smoking (56%), duration of disease (mean 14yr), or history of ulcer bleeding (26%)
Intervention	Regimen: Triple (ome/amo/met) Dose and timing: 14 days; ome (20 mg b.i.d.) / amo (750 mg b.i.d.) / met (400 mg b.i.d.) Route: Oral
Comparator	Regimen: Triple (bis/oxytet/met) Dose and timing: 14 days; bis (75 mg bid q.i.d.) / oxytet (500 mg q.i.d.) / met (400 mg b.i.d.) Route: Oral
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 8 weeks following treatment
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Triple     Triple       (bis/oxytet/met)     (ome/amo/met)       N     k     mean/     95% CI     N     k     mean/     95% CI     p

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lerang F et al, 1	997	[b]								
	Eradication rate ITT	5 4	4 9	91	80-97	4 6	4 4	96	55-100	0.45	
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/loo se stools)	5 4	4 1	76	N/R	4 6	3 0	65	N/R	N/R	
	Adverse events (rash)	5 4	9	17	N/R	4 6	9	20	N/R	N/R	
Source of funding	Astra Hassle Swe	eder	1								
Comments		nce	to m	etronidazo	ole and tho	se fo	und	to be resi			lus serology for antibodies. Majority of patients the non-randomised group. Study also had 41

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ohlin B et al, 2002
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Sweden
Number	177
Characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>Median age (yr): 56.8</li> <li>Number of males: 128</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: Male and female patients aged between 18 and 80 years with <i>H pylori</i> infection, verified by positive CLO test, and a present recurrent duodenal ulcer and/or previous recurrent duodenal ulcer</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: Patients with treatment aimed at eradicating <i>H pylori</i> infection within 6 months before study entry, or known allergy to any of the study drugs were excluded. In addition, patients with severe reflux esophagitis were also excluded</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): Duodenal ulcer</li> <li>Previous antibiotics: Reported mixed</li> <li>Lead-in treatment: None</li> <li>Concomitant treatment: None</li> </ul>

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ohlin B et al, 2002												
	Lead-out treatment: None												
	Baseline clinical patient ch	aracteristi	cs:										
		Dual N=58	(lan/a 3	amo)		Dua N=5	ıl (on 57	ne/an	no)		riple (lan/a I=62	amo/cla)	p
	Mean age, yr (range)	58.5	(21-78	8)		55.6	6 (22·	-78)		5	6.2 (24-79	)	N/R
	Sex: males/females	40/1	3			40/*	17			4	8/14		N/R
	Height (m): mean (range)	1.74	(1.52-	1.93	5)	1.72	2 (1.5	53-1.8	87)	1	.73 (1.55-	1.90)	N/R
	Weight (kg): mean (range	) 79.6	(53-1	18)		73.8	3 (53-	-110)	)	7	4.8 (52-10	5)	N/R
	Patients with active ulcer	34				30				4	1		N/R
Comparator	Route: Oral Regimen: Dual (lan/amo) Dose and timing: 14 days; Route: Oral Regimen: Dual (ome/amo) Dose and timing: 14 days; Route: Oral	ome (20 n	ng b.i.	d) / a	amo (10	000 mg	ı b.i.c	I) plu		·			
Length of follow up	Follow-up was 6 weeks an	d 6 month	s after	r trea	tment v	was co	mple	ted					
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Dual (lan/	amo)1	Dua	al (or	me/amo	o)2	Trip (lar		o/cla)3				
		1ea 95 % % CI	N	К	Mea n %	95 % CI	N	К	Mea n %	95 % CI	р		
	Eradication525rate PP16	1.0 N/R	4 7	3 0	63.8	N/R	5 0	4 8	96.0	N/R	See *		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ohlin B et al, 2	2002													
	Antibiotic resistance to macrolides	2 5	0	0	N/R	1 6	0	0	N/R	1	0	0	N/R	N/R	
	Antibiotic resistance to penicillins	2 5	0	0	N/R	1 6	0	0	N/R	1	0	0	N/R	N/R	
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	5 1	5	9.8	N/R	4 7	5	10.6	N/R	5 0	1 8	36.0	N/R	N/R	
	*1 vs. 2 N/S; 1 v	/s. 3	and	2 vs. 3	p< 0.0	01									
Source of funding	Not reported														
Comments	Metronidazole r results were not					/lori \	were	culture	d from	9 pa	atient	s at 6 v	veeks	howeve	er this data was not recorded as the

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Sullivan B et al, 2002
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	USA
Number	56
Characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>Mean age (yr): 40.5</li> <li>Number of males: 43</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: Individuals 18-80 years old with upper GI symptoms, peptic ulcer disease, history of peptic ulcer, chronic gastritis, gastric associated lymphoid tissue, intestinal metaplasia and positive for <i>H pylori</i> infection</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: History of previous treatment for <i>H pylori</i>, use of any of the proposed antibiotics in the previous 6 months, any known allergy to the proposed study medications</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): Patients with upper GI symptoms</li> </ul>

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Sullivan B et al, 2002				
	Previous antibiotics: Re Lead-in treatment: Non Concomitant treatment Lead-out treatment: No Baseline clinical patien	e : None me			
		Quad (bis/lan/amo/azi) N=29	Quad (bis/lan/amo/cla) N=27	p	
	Mean age, yr	40	41	N/S	
	Sex: males/females	22 /7	21/6	N/S	
	Tobacco use	11	7	N/S	
	NSAID use	5	12	0.013	
	H2 blocker use	6	13	0.06	
Intervention	Regimen: Quad (bis/lar Dose and timing: 10 da Route: Oral	,	an (30 mg b.i.d) / amo (	1000 mg b.i.d) / azi (250 m	g m.a.n.e)
Comparator	Regimen: Quad (bis/lar Dose and timing: 10 da Route: Oral	,	an (30 mg b.i.d) / amo (	1000 mg b.i.d) / cla (500 m	g b.i.d)
Length of follow up	Subjects were followed	for 8 weeks including the	e treatment period		
Outcomes measures					
and effect sizes	)	lan/amo/azi k Mean 95% Cl	Quad (bis/lan/amo/cla ) N k Mean 95% % 2 2 81.5 N/R	Cl p 0.01	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Sullivan B et al,	200	2							
	rate ITT	9	5			7	2			9
	Eradication rate PP	2 7	1 5	55.5	N/R	2 6	2 2	84.6	N/R	0.02 1
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/loo se stools)	2 9	5	17.2	N/R	2 7	6	22.2	N/R	N/S
Source of funding	Not reported									
Comments	N/A									

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Vakil N et al, 2004
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	USA
Number	803
Characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>Mean age (yr): 46</li> <li>Number of males: 362</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: &gt;18yrs, <i>H pylori</i> positive (serological test and urease test/culture), on-going gastrointestinal symptoms and/or findings on physical exam</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: Prior oesophageal/gastric surgery, erosive oesphagitis, pyloric stenosis, oesophageal/gastric varices, cancer, serious systemic diseases, previous <i>H pylori</i> eradication (with amoxicillin or clarithromycin): use of bismuth within 4 weeks of screening, treatment with prostaglandin analogue, sucralfate, PPI, H2RA with 2 weeks of screening, treatment with steroids, anticoagulants or anti-neoplastic drugs, aspirin, NSAIDs, COX-2 inhibitors, allergy to study medication, pregnancy/lactation, use of study medication in previous 30 days, any condition or situation that could lead to poor compliance, difficulty swallowing large capsules, poor medical/psychiatric condition.</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): Peptic ulcer disease , non-peptic ulcer disease</li> <li>Previous antibiotics: Reported naïve</li> <li>Lead-in treatment: None</li> </ul>

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Vakil N et al, 2004	Vakil N et al, 2004										
	Concomitant treatme	Lead-out treatment: None Concomitant treatment: None Baseline clinical patient characteristics:										
		Triple 3 (rab/amo/cl a) N=194	Triple 7 (rab/amo/cla) N=200	Triple 10 (rab/amo/cl a) N= 202	Triple 10 (ome/amo/cla ) N=207							
	Mean age, yr	45.1	46.9	48.2	45.6							
	Sex: male/female	83/111	94/106	96/106	89/118							
	Smokers	86	93	88	88							
	Alcohol intake	94	99	104	105							
	Peptic ulcer disease	93	103	100	104							
Intervention	Regimen: Triple (rab Dose and timing: 10 Route: Oral	,	ng b.i.d.) / amo	(1000 mg b.i.d	.) / cla (500 mg t	ı.i.d.)						
Comparator	Regimen: Triple (rab Dose and timing: 7 c Route: Oral	,	g b.i.d.) / amo (1	1000 mg b.i.d.)	/ cla (500 mg b.	.d.)						
	<b>.</b>	Regimen: Triple (ome/amo/cla) Dose and timing: 10 days: ome (20 mg b.i.d.) /amo (1000 mg b.i.d.) / cla (500 mg b.i.d.) Route: Oral										
	Regimen: Triple (rab Dose and timing: 3 c	,	g b.i.d.) / amo (1	1000 mg b.i.d.)	/ cla (500 mg b.	i.d.)						

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Vakil N et al, 200	4										
	Route: Oral											
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 6 weeks following treatment											
Outcomes measures and effect sizes		Eradication ITT	P (compare d to (ome /amo/cla)	Eradication PP	P (compare d to (ome/amo /							
		n, k, % (95% CI)		n, k, % (95% Cl)	cla)							
	Triple 3 (rab/amo/cla)	187, 51, 27 (21- 34)	N/R	167, 50, 30 923- 37)	N/R							
	Triple 7 (rab/amo/cla))	194, 150, 77 (71- 83)	N/D	166, 140, 84 (79- 90)	N/D							
	Triple 10 (rab/amo/cla)	196, 153, 78 (72- 84)	N/D	171, 147, 86 (91- 91)	N/D							
	Triple (ome/amo/cla)	206, 151, 73 (67- 79)	N/D	171, 146, 82 (76- 87)	N/D							
		Adverse events	n	k	%							
	Triple 3 (rab/amo/cla)	Diarrhoea/loose stools	188	17	9							
	Triple 7 (rab/amo/cla))	Diarrhoea/loose stools	195	22	11							
	Triple 10 (rab/amo/cla)	Diarrhoea/loose stools	198	11	6							
	Triple	Diarrhoea/loose	207	22								

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Vakil N et al, 200	Vakil N et al, 2004										
	(ome/amo/cla)	stools			11							
	Sub groups	Sub groups										
	Non- ulcer peptic disease	Eradication ITT n, k, %	р	Eradication PP n, k, %	р							
	Triple 3 (rab/amo/cla)	97,27, 28	N/R	89, 27, 30	N/R							
	Triple 7 (rab/amo/cla))	93,68,73	N/R	79,63,80	N/R							
	Triple 10 (rab/amo/cla)	99,78, 79	N/R	86,74,86	N/R							
	Triple (ome/amo/cla)	103,74,72	N/R	92,74,80	N/R							
	Peptic ulcer disease											
	Triple 3 (rab/amo/cla)	90, 24, 27	N/R	78, 23, 30	N/R							
	Triple 7 (rab/amo/cla))	101, 82, 81	N/R	87,77,89	N/R							
	Triple 10 (rab/amo/cla)	97, 75, 77	N/R	85, 73, 86	N/R							
	Triple (ome/amo/cla)	103, 77, 75	N/R	87, 72, 83	N/R							
	Sensitive to clarithromycin											

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Vakil N et al, 200	4				
	Triple 3 (rab/amo/cla)	134, 33, 25	N/R	121, 32, 26	N/R	
	Triple 7 (rab/amo/cla))	145,103, 71	N/R	119, 95, 80	N/R	
	Triple 10 (rab/amo/cla)	142, 111, 78	N/R	125, 106, 85	N/R	
	Triple (ome/amo/cla)	139, 96, 79	N/R	122, 95, 79	N/R	
	Resistant to clarithromycin					
	Triple 3 (rab/amo/cla)	9, 0, 0	N/R	8, 0, 0	N/R	
	Triple 7 (rab/amo/cla))	16, 5, 31	N/R	14, 5, 36	N/R	
	Triple 10 (rab/amo/cla)	9, 1,11	N/R	9, 1, 11	N/R	
	Triple (ome/amo/cla)	18, 5, 28	N/R	15, 9, 60	N/R	
Source of funding	Eisai Inc, Teaneck	NJ and Janssen F	harmaceutic	als Inc		
Comments	comparison for the		e length of st	parators to ensure b udy and PPI are alter		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	van Zanten SV et al, 2003
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Canada

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	van Zanten SV et al, 2003			
Number	305			
Characteristics of patients		enal ulcer, history of GEF study drugs. Use of bism Chronic dyspepsia patien mixed	RD or esophagitis that requi outh or antibiotics in 4 week	res on-going treatment, renal insufficiency, s prior to study enrolment. NSAIDs not allowed
		Triple (ome/amo/cla) N=152	Triple (ran/bis/cla) n=153	
	Mean age, yr (range)	52 20-80	52 22-85	
	Sex male/female	80/72	79/74	
	Ulcer history Yes No Previous eradication treatment	59 93	52 101	
Intervention	Yes No Regimen: Triple (ome/amo/cla)	8 144	12 141	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	van Zanten SV	van Zanten SV et al, 2003											
	Dose and timing Route: Oral												
Comparator	• •	Regimen: Triple (bis/ran/cla) Dose and timing: 7 days; bis/ran (400 mg b.i.d.) / cla (500 mg b.i.d.) Route: Oral											
Length of follow up	Follow-up occur	Follow-up occurred 12 weeks following treatment											
Outcomes measures and effect sizes		Triple (ome	e e/amo/o	cla)		Triple (ran/	e ois/cla	)					
		N	k	mean/ %	95% CI	N	k	mean/ %	95% CI	р			
	Eradication rate ITT	152	118	78	71- 84	153	101	66	59-74	0.03			
	Eradication rate PP	110	105	96	92- 99	112	94	84	77-91	0.00 7			
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	156	64	41	N/R	156	45	29	N/R	N/R			
	Adherence to medication - mean pills taken	152	128	84.2	N/R	153	143	93.5	N/R	<0.0 5			
Source of funding Comments	GlaxoSmithKlin Study uses rani C group 8% and	tidine l	oismut			be clas	sed as	s two com	pounds -	bismuth			

## D.5.2 Evidence tables for second-line *H pylori* eradication

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Bago et al 2009						
Study type	Randomised controlled	trial					
Location	Croatia						
Number	160						
Characteristics of patients	Exclusion criteria: Duode coagulants, corticostero pregnancy/breast feedin Dyspeptic condition type	es(s): Non-ulcer dyspepsia cation regimen: PPI/AMO/CLA e ne : None					
		Triple (ome/met/mox) N=82	Quad (ome/bis/met/tet) N=78	p			
	Age (yr) (mean)	50 + 12	58 + 15	N/R			
	Gender male/female	42/40	41/37	N/R			
	Smoking	28	24	N/R			
Intervention	Triple (ome/met/mox) Dose and timing: 7 days Route: Oral	; ome (20 mg b.i.d) / m	et (500 mg t.i.d) / mox (40	0 mg m.a.n.e)			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Bago et al 2009											
Comparator	Quad (ome/bis/met/tet) Dose and timing: 7 days; ome (20 mg b.i.d) / bis (120 mg q.i.d) / met (500 mg t.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral											
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 2 years following treatment											
Outcomes measures and effect sizes		e ie/me	et/mox)		Quad (ome/bis/met/tet )							
		N	k	Mea n %	95% CI	N	k	Mean %	95% CI	р		
	Eradication rate ITT	82	6 0	73	64-82	7 8	4 2	53	43-64	0.01 8		
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	82	2	2.4	N/R	7 8	0	0	N/R	N/R		
	Adverse events (rash)	82	1	1.2	N/R	7 8	0	0	N/R	N/R		
	Adherence	82	7 6	92	N/R	7 8	6 5	83	N/R	0.11 4		
Source of funding	Not reported											
Comments												

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cheng et al 2007
Study type	Randomised controlled trial

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cheng et al 2007	Cheng et al 2007										
Location	Taiwan	Taiwan										
Number	124	124										
Characteristics of	Mean age (yr): 42	Mean age (yr): 42										
patients	Number of males: 63	Number of males: 63										
		ori infection and previous e	eradication failure									
	Exclusion criteria: Allerg											
		es(s): Duodenal ulcer, nor										
		ation regimen: PPI/AMO/0	CLA									
	Lead-in treatment: None											
	Lead-out treatment: Nor Concomitant treatment:											
		aseline clinical patient characteristics:										
		Triple (lan/amo/lev)	Triple high (lan/amo/lev	р								
		N=62	N=62	P								
	Age (yr) (mean)	41.8	42.2	N/R								
	Gender female %	50	51.6	N/R								
	Non duodenal ulcer	28	30	N/S								
	Duodenal ulcer	34	32	N/S								
Intervention	Triple (lan/amo/lev)											
	Dose and timing: 7 days	s; lan (30 mg b.i.d) / amo (	(1000mg b.i.d.) / lev (500mg b	o.i.d)								
	Route: Oral											
Comparator	Triple high dose (lan/am	'										
	• •	s; lan (30 mg b.i.d) / amo (	(1000mg b.i.d.) / lev (500mg c	q.i.d)								
	Route: Oral											
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 8 w	eeks following treatment										
Outcomes measures and effect sizes												

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cheng et al 200	)7								
	Triple (lan/amo/lev)					Trip hig )		n/amo/lev		
		N	k	Mean %	95% CI	Ň	k	Mean %	95% CI	р
	Eradication rate ITT	62	5 0	80.6	N/R	6 2	4 9	79	N/R	N/R
	Adherence	62	5 7	91.9	N/R	6 2	5 6	90.3	N/R	N/R
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	62	3	4.8	N/R	6 2	5	8.1	N/R	N/R
Source of funding	Research grant	from I	Vation	nal Scient	ific Council	Taiwar	า	•		
Comments	N/A									

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cheon et al 2006[a]
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Korea
Number	54
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): 56 Number of males: 31 Inclusion criteria: <i>H pylori</i> positive after previous eradication attempt Exclusion criteria: Concurrent critical illness, previous upper GI surgery, recent frequent NSAID, anticoagulation or steroid use. Study medication contradictions (allergy). Use of antimicrobials conditions associated with poor compliance. Dyspeptic condition types(s): Gastric ulcer, duodenal ulcer, gastroduodenal ulcer Previous 1st line eradication regimen: PPI/AMO/CLA

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cheon et al 2006[a]				
	Lead-in treatment: None	1			
	Lead-out treatment: Nor	e			
	Concomitant treatment:	None			
	Baseline clinical patient	characteristics:			
		Quad (pan/bis/amo- cla/tet) N=25	Quad (pan/bis/met/tet) N=29	р	
	Age (yr) (mean)	58.6 + 10.1	54.7 + 12.3	0.21	
	Gender male/female	15/10	16/13	0.72	
	Gastric ulcer	7	7	N/R	
	Duodenal ulcer	17	20	N/R	
	Gastroduodenal ulcer	1	2	N/R	
	Amo res	4	3	1.0	
	Met res	12	8	0.477	
	Amo +Met res	2	2	1.0	
Intervention	Regimen: Quad (pan/bis Dose and timing: 7 days Route: Oral	; pan (40 mg b.i.d) / bis (	300 mg q.i.d.) / amo-cla	a (1000mg b.i.d) / tet (50	00 mg q.i.d )
Comparator	Regimen: Quad (pan/bis Dose and timing: 7 days Route: Oral		300 mg q.i.d) / met (500	) mg t.i.d) / tet (500 mg (	q.i.d.)
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 5 w	eeks following treatment			
Outcomes measures and effect sizes					
	Quad (pan/b	is/amo-	Quad		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cheon et al 2006[a]												
				(pan/bis/met/tet)									
		N	k	Mean %	95% CI	N	k	Mean %	95% CI	р			
	Eradication rate ITT	25	4	16	1.6-30.4	2 9	1 9	65.5	48.2- 82.8	<0.000 1			
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	25	4	16	N/R	2 9	1	3.4	NR	N/R			
Source of funding	Liver Research	Found	ation	Korea									
Comments	Subgroups for re	esistar	nce a	re reporte	d but only as	perc	enta	ges for so	me of the c	lata. Henc	e this data	a set v	wa

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cheon et al, 2006[b
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Korea
Number	85
Characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>Mean age (yr): 53</li> <li>Number of males: 47</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: Patients who had failed a first-line eradication treatment for <i>H pylori</i></li> <li>Exclusion criteria: Patients with recurrent illness, a history of previous upper gastrointestinal surgery, contraindication to any of the study medication, recent frequent intake of NSAIDS, anticoagulants or steroids, an allergy to the study medications, pregnant or breast feeding women, recent use of antimicrobials and any condition probably associated with poor compliance such as drug abusers or alcoholics</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): Gastric ulcer, duodenal ulcer, gastroduodenal ulcer and non-ulcer dyspepsia</li> <li>Previous 1st line eradication regimen: PPI/AMO/CLA</li> </ul>
	Lead-in treatment: None

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cheon et al, 2006[b	_											
	Lead-out treatment: No												
		Concomitant treatment: None											
	Baseline clinical patient characteristics:												
			riple (esc =41	/amo/mox)		uad is/eso	o/met/tet)r	n=44 p					
	Mean age, yr (SD)	5	4.3 (11.7)	)	51	.6 (1	2.5)	0.2	295				
	Sex: males/females	2	4/17		23	3/21		0.5	562				
	Gastric ulcer (n)	1	1		11			N/	′R				
	Duodenal ulcer (n)	2	0		24	ŀ		N/	′R				
	Gastroduodenal ulcer (n)	2			1			N/	′R				
	Gastric adenoma (n)	4			3			N/	′R				
	Non-ulcer dyspepsia (n)	4			5			N/	′R				
Intervention	Regimen: Triple (eso/a	mo/n	nox)										
	Dose and timing: 7 day Route: Oral	s; es	o (20 mg	b.i.d) / amo (	(1 g k	o.i.d)	/ mox (40	0 mg q.i.d)	)				
Comparator	Regimen: Quad (bis/es	o/me	et/tet)										
	Dose and timing: 7 day Route: Oral	s; bi	s (300 mg	g q.i.d) / eso (	20 m	ng b.i	d) / met (	500 mg t.i.	d) / tet (500	) mg q.i.d	1)		
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 4	veek	s followin	g treatment									
Outcomes measures													
and effect sizes	Triple (eso/		mox)		Qu (bis		/met/tet)						
	N	k	Mean %	95% CI	N	k	Mean %	95% CI	р				
	Eradication 41	3	75.6	62.5-88.7	4	2	54.5	39.8-69.2	2 0.04				

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cheon et al, 20	06[b									
	rate ITT		1			4	4			2	
	Eradication rate PP	37	3 1	83.8	71.9-95.7	3 3	2 4	72.7	55.7-89.7	0.26 0	
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	41	1	2.4	N/R	4 4	0	0	N/R	N/R	
	Adherence to medication	41	3 7	90.2	N/R	4 4	3 3	75	N/R	N/R	
Source of funding	This work was s	This work was supported by a grant from the SNUBH research fund									
Comments	N/A										

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Chi et al, 2003
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Taiwan
Number	100
Characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>Mean age (yr): 45</li> <li>Number of males: 51</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: Patients who had failed a previous <i>H pylori</i> eradication regimen</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: Patients known to be allergic to bismuth, tetracycline or metronidazole were excluded. Patients with gastric malignancy were also excluded</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): Gastric ulcer, duodenal ulcer, non-ulcer dyspepsia</li> <li>Previous 1st line eradication regimen: PPI/AMO/CLA</li> <li>Lead-in treatment: None</li> <li>Lead-out treatment: None</li> <li>Concomitant treatment: None</li> </ul>

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Chi et al, 2003												
	Baseline clinica	al patier	nt char	acteristi	cs:								
						≀uad (b =50	ois/ome	/amo/m	et) Quad n=50	d (bis/ome	/amo/tet)	р	
	Mean age, yr				4	5.8			43.9			N/S	
	Sex: males/fe	males			2	5/25			26/24	4		N/S	
	Diagnosis (du ulcer/non-ulce		ulcer/	gastric	2	3/12/1	5		25/10	0/15		N/S	
Intervention	Regimen: Quad Dose and timin Route: Oral	•			g t.i.d) / om	e (20 n	ng b.i.c	l) / amo	(1 g b.i.d) / n	net (500 n	ng b.i.d)		
Comparator	-	Regimen: Quad (bis/ome/amo/tet) Dose and timing: 7 days; bis (120 mg t.i.d) / ome (20 mg b.i.d) / amo (1 g b.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral											
Length of follow up	Follow-up occu	rred 6	weeks	s followir	ng treatme	nt							
Outcomes measures													
and effect sizes		d ome/ai	mo/met		Quad (bis/ome/amo/tet)								
		N	k	Mea n %	95% CI	N	k	Mea n %	95% CI	р			
	Eradication rate ITT	50	29	58	50.9- 65.1	50	39	78	69.8-86.2	<0.0 5			
	Eradication rate PP	43	29	67.4	59.3- 75.5	44	39	88.6	82.1-95.1	<0.0 5			
	Adverse events	50	3	6	N/R	50	3	6	N/R	N/R			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Chi et al, 2003										
	(diarrhoea/lo ose stools)										
	Adherence to medication	50	43	86	N/R	50	44	88	N/R	N/R	
	Eradication rate PP (CR)	11	6	54.5	N/R	11	8	72.7	N/R	N/S	
	Eradication rate PP (CS)	26	16	61.5	N/R	26	23	88.5	N/R	N/S	
	Eradication rate PP (MR)	15	5	33.3	N/R	16	13	81.3	N/R	0.05	
	Eradication rate PP (MS)	22	17	77.3	N/R	21	18	85.7	N/R	N/S	
	Clarithromycin r	esistai	nt (CR)	; clarithr	omycin su	sceptib	le (CS	); metro	nidazole resis	stant (MF	R); metronidazole susceptible (MS)
Source of funding	This study was	suppor	ted by	a resea	rch grant fr	om the	Natio	nal Scie	ntific Council	, Taiwan	
Comments	N/A										

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Chuah et al 2012
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Taiwan
Number	128
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): 56 Number of males: 61 Inclusion criteria: Endoscopically proven peptic ulcer disease or gastritis, persistent <i>H pylori</i> (failed one eradication attempt) Exclusion criteria: Ingestion of antibiotic, bismuth, PPI, use of NSAIDs in 4 weeks prior to study, allergic reaction to study medication, previous gastric surgery, concomitant serious illness, pregnancy Dyspeptic condition types(s): Gastric ulcer, duodenal ulcer, gastric and duodenal ulcer, unspecified (includes peptic ulcer)

Bibliographic	Chuah et al 2012												
reference (Ref ID)			- /										
	Previous 1st line eradica	-	O/CLA										
	Lead-in treatment: None												
	Lead-out treatment: 3 weeks of antacid treatment for patients with gastritis, 3 weeks of esomeprazole 40mg once daily for peptic ulcer patients												
	Concomitant treatment: None												
	Baseline clinical patient	characteristics:											
		Triple	Triple	р									
		(eso/amo/lev)	(eso/amo/tet)										
		N=64	N=64										
	Age (yr) (mean)	58.5 + 14	55.7 + 12.3	0.233									
	Gender male/female	26/38	35/29	0.11									
	Smoking	6	9	0.41									
	Alcohol	5	6	0.75									
	Gastric ulcer	18	24	N/R									
	Duodenal ulcer	17	19	N/R									
	Gastric and duodenal ulcer	11	5	N/R									
	unspecified	18	16	N/R									
	Tet (sus/res)	17/0	15/0	N/R									
	Amo (sus/res)	17/0	15/0	N/R									
	Lev (sus/res)	13/4	10/5	0.699									
Intervention	Regimen: Triple (eso/am Dose and timing: 7 days Route: Oral	'	no (1000 mg b.i.d.) / lev	(500 mg m.i.d.)									
Comparator	• • •	Regimen: Triple (eso/amo/tet) Dose and timing: 14 days; eso (40 mg b.i.d) / amo (1000 mg b.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d.)											

Bibliographic	Chuah et al 20	112											
reference (Ref ID)	ondan et al 20	,,,,											
	Route: Oral												
Length of follow up	Follow-up occu	urred 1	26 da	ys follov	ing treatme	ent							
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Triple Triple												
		-	e p/amo/l	ev)		Triple (eso/amo/tet)							
		N	k	Mea n %	95% CI	N	k	Mean %	95% CI	р			
	Eradication rate ITT	64	50	78	N/R	64	48	75	N/R	0.67			
	Eradication rate ITT amo sen	17	11	65	N/R	15	9	60	N/R	N/R			
	Eradication rate ITT lev sus	13	9	69	N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Eradication rate ITT lev res	4	2	50	N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Eradication rate ITT tet sus	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15	9	60	N/R	N/A			
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/l oose stools)	64	0	0	N/R	64	0	0	N/R	N/R			
	Adverse events (rash)	64	0	0	N/R	64	1	NR	N/R	1.0			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Chuah et al 2	Chuah et al 2012										
	Adherence to medication	64	61	95	N/R	64	62	97	N/R	0.95		
Source of funding	Research Fou	Research Foundation of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital Taiwan										
Comments	Double blinded	d study	/									

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Di Caro et al, 2009
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Italy
Number	160
Characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>Mean age (yr): Not reported</li> <li>Number of males: 72</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: Patients <i>H pylori</i> positive who had failed previous eradication therapy</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: Patients taking PPIs, H2RAs or antibiotics in the 4 weeks preceeding the enrolment were excluded as were pregnant women, patients with known antibiotic allergy or hepatic impairment of kidney failure</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): Peptic ulcer, duodenitis, gastritis</li> <li>Previous 1st line eradication regimen: Standard first-line triple therapy (either amoxicillin or metronidazole based)</li> <li>Lead-in treatment: None</li> <li>Lead-out treatment: None</li> <li>Concomitant treatment: None</li> <li>Baseline clinical patient characteristics: 160 consecutive Caucasian patients (aged 18 – 70 years, 72 male patients). No additional baseline characteristics were provided.</li> </ul>
Intervention	Regimen: Triple (eso/amo/lev) Dose and timing: 7 days; eso (20 mg b.i.d) / amo (1 g b.i.d) / lev (500 mg m.a.n.e) Route: Oral
Comparator	Regimen: Triple (eso/amo/lev)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Di Caro et al, 2009											
	Dose and timing: 10 days Route: Oral	Dose and timing: 10 days; eso (20 mg b.i.d) / amo (1 g b.i.d) / lev (500 mg m.a.n.e) Route: Oral										
		Regimen: Triple (eso/amo/lev) – double dose lev Dose and timing: 7 days; eso (20 mg b.i.d) / amo (1 g b.i.d) / lev (500 mg b.i.d) Route: Oral										
	• • •	Regimen: Triple (eso/amo/lev) – double dose lev Dose and timing: 10 days; eso (20 mg b.i.d) / amo (1 g b.i.d) / lev (500 mg b.i.d) Route: Oral										
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 6 wee	eks following treatm	ent									
Outcomes measures		_										
and effect sizes		Eradication ITT	Р	Eradicatio n PP								
		k, n, % (95% CI)		n, k, % (95% CI)								
	Triple 7 (eso/amo/lev)	26, 40, 65 (NR)	0.81 compared with Triple 7 (eso/amo/lev) – double dose lev	Same as ITT								
	<0.02 compared with Triple 10 (eso/amo/lev)											
	Triple 10 (eso/amo/lev)	36, 40, 90 (NR)	0.73 compared with Triple 10 (eso/amo/lev) – double dose lev	Same as ITT								
	Triple 7 (eso/amo/lev) – double dose lev	28, 40, 70 (NR)	0.18 compared with Triple 10 (eso/amo/lev) – double dose lev	Same as ITT								

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Di Caro et al, 2009			
	Triple 10 (eso/amo/lev) – double dose lev	34, 40, 85 (NR)	0.18 compared with Triple 7 (eso/amo/lev) – double dose lev	Same as ITT
		Adherence to medication (n)	Adherence to medication (k)	Adherenc e to medicatio n (%)
	Triple 7 (eso/amo/lev)	40	36	90
	Triple 10 (eso/amo/lev)	40	33	82.5
	Triple 7 (eso/amo/lev) – double dose lev	40	31	77.5
	Triple 10 (eso/amo/lev) – double dose lev	40	36	90
Source of funding	Not reported			
Comments				

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Gisbert et al 2007
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Spain
Number	100
Characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>Mean age (yr): 47</li> <li>Number of males: 43</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: Persistent <i>H pylori</i> infection, gastroduodenal ulcer disease, functional dyspepsia</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: &lt;18 years, presence of clinically significant associated disease, previous gastric surgery, allergy to study medication</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): Gastroduodenal ulcer disease, functional dyspepsia</li> </ul>

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Gisbert et al 2007											
	Previous 1st line eradica	tion regimen: PPI/AM	O/CLA									
	Lead-in treatment: None	Lead-in treatment: None										
	Lead-out treatment: None											
	Concomitant treatment: None											
	Baseline clinical patient	characteristics:										
		Triple (ome/amo/lev) N=50	Quad (ran/bis/met/tet) N=50	р								
	Age (yr) (mean)	46	47	N/S								
	Gender male %	38	29	N/S								
	Smoking %	23	18	N/S								
	Functional dyspepsia %	82	81	N/S								
	Duodenal ulcer %	18	19	N/S								
Intervention Comparator	Route: Oral Regimen: quad (ran/bis/	; ome (20 mg b.i.d) / a met/tet)	ımo (1000 mg b.i.d) / lev (5 ) / met (250 mg q.i.d) / tet (									
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 8 w	ooks following treatm	ont									
Outcomes measures and effect sizes		seks following treatin	ent									
	Triple (ome/a	ımo/lev)	Quad (ran/bis/met/tet)									
		K Mean 95% CI		5% CI p								

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Gisbert et al 2007										
	Eradication rate ITT	50	3 4	68	N/R	5 0	3 4	68	N/R	0.76	
	Adherence	50	4 5	90	N/R	5 0	4 5	90	N/R	N/R	
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	50	5	10	N/R	5 0	1	2	N/R	N/R	
	Adverse events (rash)	50	0	0	N/R	5 0	1	2	N/R	N/R	
Source of funding	Instituto de Salud Carlos III										
Comments	Open trial										

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Gisbert et al, 1999
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Spain
Number	60
Characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>Mean age (yr): 45</li> <li>Number of males: 28</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: Patients in whom a first <i>H pylori</i> eradication therapy failed</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: Having had antibiotic or bismuth therapy within 30 days prior to entering the study, use of gastroerosive drugs, presence of associated conditions (hepatic, cardiorespiratory or renal diseases, diabetes, malign diseases, coagulopathy or previous gastric surgery)</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): Duodenal ulcer, non-ulcer dyspepsia</li> <li>Previous 1st line eradication regimen: PPI/AMO/CLA</li> <li>Lead-in treatment: None</li> </ul>

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Gisbert et al,	1999											
	Lead-out treatr												
	Concomitant treatment: None Baseline clinical patient characteristics:												
	Baseline clinica	al patie	nt char	acteristi									-
						•	ois/ome	e/met/tet		ad (bis/ran	/met/tet)	р	
	Mean age yr	+ SD				=30 7 ± 12			n=:	30 ± 11		0.19	_
	Mean age, yr ± SD Sex: males/females					4/16			43			0.79	_
	Smoking (% smokers)								33			0.19	_
	Diagnosis (%		·	er/non-u		27/73			17/			0.54	_
Comparator	Dose and timing: 7 days; bismuth (120 mg q.i.d) / ome (20 mg b.i.d) / met (250 mg q.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral Regimen: Quad (bis/ran/met/tet) Dose and timing: 7 days; Ranitidine bismuth citrate (400 mg b.i.d) / met (250 mg q.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral												
Length of follow up	Follow-up occu	urred 4	weeks	s followir	ng treatme	nt							
Outcomes measures and effect sizes			Qua (bis/	d ′ran/me	et/tet)								
		N	k	Mea n %	95% CI	N	k	Mea n %	95% CI	р			
	Eradication rate ITT	30	17	57	39-73	30	25	83	66-93	0.04 6			
	Eradication rate PP	29	17	59	41-14 (as	29	25	86	69-94	0.03 7			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Gisbert et al, 19	Gisbert et al, 1999										
					reported by author)							
	Adherence to medication	29	29	100	N/R	29	29	100	N/R	N/R		
Source of funding	Not reported											
Comments	Open trial. Adve	Open trial. Adverse events were recorded in the study but was not reported in a way that the data could be extracted										

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Georgopoulos et al 2002										
Study type	Randomised controlled trial										
Location	Greece										
Number	95										
Characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>Mean age (yr): 45</li> <li>Number of males: 59</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: Persistent <i>H pylori</i> (failed one eradication attempt)</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: Use of antibiotics, bismuth PPI, NSAIDs in month prior to study, pregnancy, lactation, previous gastric surgery, severe chronic disease</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): Duodenal ulcer, non-ulcer dyspepsia</li> <li>Previous 1st line eradication regimen: PPI/AMO/CLA</li> <li>Lead-in treatment: None</li> <li>Lead-out treatment: None</li> <li>Baseline clinical patient characteristics:</li> </ul>										
	QuadQuadp(ome/bis/met/tet)(ome/bis/cla/met)PN=49N=46Image: Comparison of the second s										

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Georgopoulos et al 2002										
	Age (yr) (median +range)	43(	(18-78)			44(19-	78)		0.97		
	Gender male/female	31/	'18			28/18			0.81		
	Smoking %	50				39.5			0.24		
	Duodenal ulcer	13				17			0.27		
	Non ulcer dyspepsia	36				29			0.27		
	Met sus and Cla sus	20				16			N/R		
	Met sus Cla res	5				3			N/R		
	Met res Cla sus	8				11			N/R		
	Met res Cla res	4				6			N/R		
Comparator	Regimen: Quad (ome/bis/met/tet) Dose and timing: 7 days; ome (20 mg b.i.d) / bis (120 mg q.i.d) / met (500 mg b.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral										
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 49 day	s followi	ng treatment								
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Quad (ome/bis/ )	Qu: (on )		s/cla/met							
	N K	Mea n %	95% CI	N	k	Mean %	95% CI	р			
	Eradication494rate ITT1	83.7	70-92	4 6	2 7	58	43-73	0.00 7			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Georgopoulos	et al 2	002							
	Adherence	49	4 9	100	86-100	4 6	4 6	100	86-100	0.66
Source of funding	Not reported									
Comments	Data could not l	Data could not be extracted on eradication rates in relation to resistance as the graphs were labelled incorrectly								

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hu et al 2011											
Study type	Randomised controlled trial											
Location	Taiwan											
Number	90											
Characteristics of patients	Exclusion criteria: Previous allergic reaction to study m Dyspeptic condition types( Previous 1st line eradication Lead-in treatment: None Lead-out treatment: Esome Concomitant treatment: No	Number of males: 50 Inclusion criteria: Adult, endoscopically proven peptic ulcer disease, gastritis/normal endoscopy, <i>H pylori</i> positive Exclusion criteria: Previous <i>H pylori</i> eradication, ingestion of antibiotics ,bismuth, PPI within 4 weeks, use of NSAIDs within 4 weeks, history of allergic reaction to study medication, previous gastric surgery, serious concomitant illness, pregnancy. Dyspeptic condition types(s): Endoscopically proven peptic ulcer disease, gastritis Previous 1st line eradication regimen: PPI/AMO/CLA										
		Triple (eso/amo/lev) N=45	Triple (eso/amo/met) N=45									
	Age (yr) (mean)	56 + 13.5	56.3 + 10.2 0.9									
	Gender male/female	21/24										
	Smoking	5	10	0.25								
	Alcohol consumption	5										

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hu et al 2011												
	History of PU		3	80		32			0.	8			
	Gastric ulcer		1	13			19			08			
	Duodenal ulcer	Duodenal ulcer		12			17			/R			
	Gastric and dudodenal ulcer		8	8			5			N/R			
	Gastritis		1	12					N	/R			
Intervention		Regimen: Triple (eso/amo/lev) Dose and timing: 7 days; eso (40 mg b.i.d) / amo((1000 mg b.i.d) / lev (500 mg daily) Route: Oral											
Comparator	• • •	Regimen: Triple (eso/amo/met) Dose and timing: 14 days; eso (40 mg b.i.d) / amo (1000 mg b.i.d) / met (250 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral											
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurre	Follow-up occurred 8 weeks following treatment											
Outcomes measures													
and effect sizes		Triple (eso/amo/lev)						Triple (eso/amo/met)					
		Ň	k	Mean %	95% CI	N	k	Mean %	95% CI	р			
	Eradication rate ITT	45	32	68.9	N/R	45	38	84.4	N/R	0.134			
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/loos e stools)	45	2	4.4	N/R	45	2	4.4	N/R	1.00			
	Adverse events (rash)	45	0	0	N/R	45	2	4.4	N/R	0.49			
	Adherence	45	43	95.6	N/R	45	45	100	N/R	0.49			
Source of funding	Foundation of Cha	ang Gu	ing Me	emorial Ho	ospital								
Comments	N/A												

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Koksal et al, 2005										
Study type	Randomised controlled trial										
Location	Turkey										
Number	56										
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): 44 Number of males: 25 Inclusion criteria: Patients who remained Exclusion criteria: Patients who received endoscopy were excluded from the study concomitant diabetes, heart, liver or rena clarithromycin, bismuth or metronidazole Dyspeptic condition types(s): Gastric ulco Previous 1st line eradication regimen: Pf Lead-in treatment: None Lead-out treatment: None Concomitant treatment: None Baseline clinical patient characteristics:	bismuth compounds, anti-secre y. Other exclusion criteria were a al disease, malignancy, pregnan er and non-ulcer dyspepsia	tory drugs, or antibiotics durin age under 18 years, previous g	astrointestinal surgery							
		Quad (bis/ran/amo/cla) n=28	Quad (bis/ran/met/tet) n=28	þ							
	Mean age, yr	46 ±11	42 ± 10	0.1							
	Sex: males/females	12/16	13/15	0.7							
	Smoking (% smokers)	17.8	32.1	0.2							
	Diagnosis (duodenal ulcer/gastric ulcer/non-ulcer)	0/2/26	0/1/27	0.5							
Intervention	Regimen: Quad (bis/ran/amo/cla) Dose and timing: 10 days; ranitidine bisn Route: Oral	nuth citrate (400 mg b.i.d) / amo	(1 g b.i.d) / cla (500 mg b.i.d)								

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Koksal et al, 20	005										
Comparator	•	Regimen: Quad (bis/ran/met/tet) Dose and timing: 10 days; ranitidine bismuth citrate (400 mg b.i.d) / met (500 mg b.i.d) / tet (500 mg b.i.d) Route: Oral										
Length of follow up	Follow-up occur	Follow-up occurred 8 weeks following treatment										
Outcomes measures and effect sizes												
		Quad Quad (bis/ran/amo/cla) (bis/ran/met/tet)										
		N	k	Mea n %	95% CI	N	k	Mea n %	95% CI	р		
	Eradication rate ITT	28	17	60.7	42-79	28	24	85.7	73-98	0.03		
	Eradication rate PP	28	17	60.7	42-79	28	24	85.7	73-98	0.03		
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	28	2	7.1	N/R	28	4	14.2	N/R	N/R		
	Adverse events (rash)	28	1	3.5	N/R	28	0	0	N/R	N/R		
	Adverse events (mouth dryness)	28	2	7.1	N/R	28	0	0	N/R	N/R		
	Adherence to medication	28	28	100	N/R	28	28	100	N/R	N/R		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Koksal et al, 2005
Source of funding	Not reported
Comments	N/A

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kuo et al 2009	Kuo et al 2009											
Study type	Randomised controlled to	rial											
Location	Taiwan												
Number	166												
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): 50 Number of males: 84 Inclusion criteria: <i>H pylori</i> positive after previous eradication attempt Exclusion criteria: Ingestion of antibiotics, bismuth PPI within 4 weeks, allergic reaction to study medication, previous gastric surgery, coexistence of serious concomitant illness, pregnancy. Dyspeptic condition types(s): Gastritis, gastric ulcer, duodenal ulcer Previous 1st line eradication regimen: PPI/AMO/CLA Lead-in treatment: None Lead-out treatment: None Concomitant treatment: None Baseline clinical patient characteristics:												
		Quad (eso/bis/met/tet) N=83	Triple (eso/amo/lev) N=83	p									
	Age (yr) (mean) 49.1 + 13.6 50.2 + 12.4 0.15												
	Gender male/female	40/43	44/39	0.45									
	Smoking	10	12	0.13									
	Gastric ulcer	21	19	N/R									

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kuo et al 2009											
	Duodenal ulcer	r		33		34			N/	'R		
	Gastritis		2	29					N/	'R		
Intervention	-	Regimen: Quad (eso/bis/met/tet) Dose and timing: 7 days; eso (40 mg b.i.d) / bis (120 q.i.d) / met (250 mg q.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral										
Comparator		Regimen: Triple (eso/amo/lev) Dose and timing: 7 days; eso (40 mg b.i.d) / amo (1000 mg b.i.d) / lev (500 mg m.a.n.e) Route: Oral										
Length of follow up	Follow-up occur	red 12	0 day	ys followir	ng treatment							
Outcomes measures and effect sizes		Quao (eso/		mo/tet)		Qua (es		/met/tet)		]		
		N	k	Mean %	95% CI	N	k	Mean %	95% CI	р		
	Eradication rate ITT	83	5 3	63.9	53.6-74.2	8 3	5 8	69.9	60.1-79.	7 0.89		
	Adherance to medication	71	6 6	92.7	N/R	8 0	7 9	99	N/R	0.32		
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	83	2	2.5	N/R	8 3	0	0	N/R	N/R		
	Adverse events (rash)	83	1	1	N/R	8 3	0	0	N/R	N/R		
Source of funding	Kaohsiung Medi	ical Un	ivers	ity Hospit	al, Kaohsiung	g Meo	dical	University	y, Kaohsiui	ng Veteran	s Genera	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kuo et al 2009
Comments	Blinded study. Levofloxacin resistance reported as 21% in study population

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Mantzaris et al, 2005	Mantzaris et al, 2005										
Study type	Randomised controlled trial											
Location	Greece											
Number	115	115										
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): 40 Number of males: Not reported Inclusion criteria: Patients with persistent <i>H pylori</i> infection after first-line therapy and an active duodenal ulcer Exclusion criteria: Chronic alcoholism, chronic renal or hepatic failure, malignant disease, previous gastric surgery, treatment with anticoagulants, treatment with antibiotics other than those prescribed for the study, regular treatment with NSAIDS and well documented allergy to any of the study drugs Dyspeptic condition types(s): Duodenal ulcer Previous 1st line eradication regimen: PPI/AMO/CLA Lead-in treatment: None Lead-out treatment: None Baseline clinical patient characteristics:											
		Quad 7 (bis/ome/met/tet) n=54	Quad 14 (bis/ome/met/tet) n=61	р								
	Mean age, yr (mean range)	38.5 (18-69)	40.5 (19-68)	N/S								
	Sex: males/females 30/24 33/28 N/S											
	Disease duration, yr (mean range)         4.2 (1-19)         5 (1-17)         N/S											
	Ulcer size ( 1 cm)	23/31	24/37	N/S								
	Ulcer number (1 / > 1)	44/10	46/15	N/S								

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Mantzaris et al,	, 2005										
	Past bleeders				1	8			25			N/S
	Smokers	Smokers		3	4			38			N/S	
	Social drinkers	;			3	30			39		N/S	
	Occasional NS	SAID users				1			29			N/S
Intervention	-	Regimen: Quad (bis/ome/met/tet) Dose and timing: 7 days; bismuth (120 mg q.i.d) / ome (20 mg b.i.d) / met (500 mg t.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral										
Comparator	-	Regimen: Quad (bis/ome/met/tet) Dose and timing: 14 days; bismuth (120 mg q.i.d) / ome (20 mg b.i.d) / met (500 mg t.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral										
Length of follow up	Follow-up occur	Follow-up occurred 6 weeks following treatment										
Outcomes measures and effect sizes		Qua (bis/		net/tet)		Qua (bis/		net/tet)	<u>.</u>	_		
		N	k	Mea n %	95% CI	N	k	Mea n %	95% CI	р		
	Eradication rate ITT	54	36	66.7	N/R	61	48	78.7	N/R	0.21 5		
	Eradication rate PP	45	36	80	N/R	50	48	96	N/R	0.03 5		
	Adherence to medication	54	51	94.4	N/R	61	54	88.5	N/R	N/R		
	Recurrence	36	0	0	N/R	48	0	0	N/R	N/R		
Source of funding	Not reported											
Comments	Single-blind trial											

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Matsuhisa et al 2006	Matsuhisa et al 2006										
Study type	Randomised controlled	trial										
Location	Japan	Japan										
Number	228	228										
Characteristics of patients	Number of males: 161 Inclusion criteria: <i>H pylori</i> positive after previous eradication attempt Exclusion criteria: Non stated Dyspeptic condition types(s): Peptic ulcer disease, atrophic gastritis, functional dyspepsia, MALT lymphoma (2%), er cancer (<1%), gastric polyp (<0.5%) Previous 1st line eradication regimen: PPI/AMO/CLA Lead-in treatment: None Lead-out treatment: None Concomitant treatment: None Baseline clinical patient characteristics:											
		Triple low (ppi/amo/met) N=121	Triple high (ppi/amo/met) N=107	р								
	Age (yr) (mean)	55.7 + 12.1	51.2 + 10.7	0.0025								
	Gender male/female	82/39	79/28	0.36								
	PUD	91	83	0.67								
	Atrophic gastritis	21	18	0.91								
	Functional Gastritis	3	4	0.86								
	MALT lymphoma	3	2	0.889								
	Early gastric cancer	2	0	0.53								
Gastric polyp 1 0 0.95												
Intervention	Regimen: Triple low (pp	pi/amo/met)										

Intervention Regimen: Triple low (ppi/amo/met)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Matsuhisa et a	Matsuhisa et al 2006									
	Dose and timing Route: Oral	Dose and timing: 7 days; PPI(- mg b.i.d) / amo ( 750 mg b.i.d) / met (250 mg b.i.d) Route: Oral									
Comparator		Regimen: Triple high (ppi/amo/met) Dose and timing: 7 days; PPI(- mg b.i.d) / amo ( 750 mg b.i.d) / met (500 mg t.i.d) Route: Oral									
Length of follow up	Follow-up occur	Follow-up occurred 8 weeks following treatment									
Outcomes measures											
and effect sizes		Triple low Triple high									
		(PPI/	′amo/n	net)		(PPI/	'amo	/met)			
		N	k	Mea n %	95% CI	N	k	Mean %	95% CI	р	
	Eradication rate ITT	121	106	87.6	N/R	107	9 3	86	N/R	0.87	
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	118	9	7.6	N/R	106	2 5	23.6	N/R	0.0009	
Source of funding	Not reported										
Comments	N/A										

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Matsumoto et al, 2005
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Japan
Number	51
Characteristics of	Mean age (yr): 51

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Matsumoto et al, 2005				
patients	Number of males: 36 Inclusion criteria: Patients betw Exclusion criteria: Patients who severe concomitant disease ar Dyspeptic condition types(s): 6 Previous 1st line eradication re Lead-in treatment: None Lead-out treatment: None Concomitant treatment: None Baseline clinical patient charac	o had been taking aspirin, ond previous gastric surgery Bastric ulcer, duodenal ulce Egimen: PPI/AMO/CLA	other NSAIDS, known drug were excluded	g allergy to the st	
		Triple (lan/amo/lev) n=30	Triple (lan/amo/met) n=30	р	
	Mean age, yr	50.8 ± 13.5	52 ± 13	N/R	
	Sex: males/females	17/13	19/11	N/R	
	Gastric ulcer (n)	15	11	N/R	
	Duodenal ulcer (n)	6	8	N/R	
	Gastroduodenal ulcer (n)	2	2	N/R	
	Gastritis (n)	7	9	N/R	
	Smoking/non smoking	8/22	14/16	N/R	
	Drinking/non drinking	13/17	16/14	N/R	
	Amo S/R/unknown	17/0/13	18/0/12	N/R	
	Cla S/R/unknown	5/12/13	9/9/12	N/R	
	Lev S/R/unknown	15/2/13	15/3/12	N/R	
	Met S/R/Unknown	15/2/13	17/1/12	N/R	

## Intervention Regimen: Triple (lan/amo/lev)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Matsumoto et a	al, 200	5									
	Dose and timing Route: Oral	Dose and timing: 7 days; lan (20 mg b.i.d) / amo (1 g b.i.d) / lev (300 mg b.i.d) Route: Oral										
Comparator	Regimen: Triple (lan/amo/met) Dose and timing: 7 days; lan (20 mg b.i.d) / amo (1 g b.i.d) / met (500 mg b.i.d) Route: Oral											
Length of follow up	Follow-up occur	Follow-up occurred 8 weeks following treatment										
Outcomes measures and effect sizes		Tripl (Ian/	e amo/le	ev)		Triple (lan/a	e amo/m	et)				
		N	k	Mea n %	95% CI	Ν	k	Mea n %	95% CI	р		
	Eradication rate ITT	30	21	70	45-95	30	29	96.7	90-100	0.00 6		
	Eradication rate PP	29	21	72.4	56-89	29	29	100	N/R	0.00 2		
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	30	3	10	N/R	30	6	20	N/R	N/R		
	Adverse events (rash)	30	1	3.3	N/R	30	0	0	N/R	N/R		
	Eradication rate PP (cla- S/lev-S)	4	3	75	N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/R		
	Eradication rate PP (cla- R/lev-S)	10	6	60	N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/R		
	Eradication	2	1	50	N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/R		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Matsumoto et a	al, 200	5								
	rate PP (cla- R/lev-R)										
	Eradication rate PP (cla- S/met-S)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	8	100	N/R	N/R	
	Eradication rate PP (cla- S/met-R)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	100	N/R	N/R	
	Eradication rate PP (cla- R/met-R)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	8	100	N/R	N/R	
	Susceptible (S);	Resis	tant (R	)							-
Source of funding	Not reported										
Comments	Open trial. Adhe complete the the				was asses	ssed bu	it data	was not	reported in	a way tha	at could be extracted - two patients did not

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Michopoulos et al 2000
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	France
Number	156
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): 48 Number of males: Not reported Inclusion criteria: 18-80 years, erosive duodentitis or duodenal ulcer failed eradication attempt and <i>H pylori</i> positive Exclusion criteria: Allergy to study medication, complications of ulcer disease, or taking omeprazole. Liver or kidney disease, severe cardiac or pulmonary, drug abuse malignancy, pregnancy, breast feeding or NSAID use Dyspeptic condition types(s): Duodenal ulcer

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Michopoulos et al 2000			
	Previous 1st line eradicat Lead-in treatment: None Lead-out treatment: None Concomitant treatment: N Baseline clinical patient of	e None	0/CLA or dual therapy	
		Quad (ome/bis/met/tet) N=78	Quad (ran/bis/met/tet) N=78	p
	Age (yr) (mean + SD)	47 (44-50)	49 (46-52)	0.35
	Gender male/female	44/34	43/35	0.87
	Smokers/non-smokers	34/44	35/43	0.87
	Previous treatments dual/triple	40/38	40/38	1.00
	Erosive duodenitis	19	18	0.85
	Duodenal ulcer	59	60	0.85
Intervention Comparator	Route: Oral Regimen: Quad (ran/bis/	s; ome (20 mg b.i.d) / t met/tet)		00 mg t.i.d) / tet (500 mg t.i.d) 00 mg t.i.d) / tet (500 mg t.i.d)
	Route: Oral	, iaii (000 iiig biia) / i		
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 4-6 w	eeks following treatme	ent	
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Quad (ome/bi	s/met/tet)	Quad (ran/bis/met/tet)	5% CI p

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Michopoulos e	t al 20	00								
				%				%			
	Eradication rate ITT	76	7 6	100	N/R	7 6	7 4	97.4	N/R	0.79	
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	76	1 1	14.5	N/R	7 6	7	9.2	N/R	N/R	
	Adverse events (rash)	76	3	3.9	N/R	7 6	1	1.3	N/R	N/R	
Source of funding	Not reported										
Comments	Only subset of p previous eradica				PPI/AMO/C	LA as	s thei	r first line	therapy are a	applicabl	e as the rest had a dual therapy as their

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Nista et al, 2003
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Italy
Number	280
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): 48 Number of males: 134 Inclusion criteria: <i>H pylori</i> patients with one failed eradication attempt Exclusion criteria: Recent (within the previous 30 days) use of antimicrobial agents, bismuth compounds, PPIs and H2RAs, hypersensitivity to one of the studied drugs, previous treatment with one of the studied combinations, pregnant or lactating women, patients with major concomitant diseases or who had undergone gastric surgery Dyspeptic condition types(s): Non-ulcer dyspepsia Previous 1st line eradication regimen: PPI/AMO/CLA Lead-in treatment: None Lead-out treatment: None

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Nista et al, 2003											
	Concomitant treatment: Baseline clinical patient											
		Triple (rab/amo/lev) n=70	Triple (rab/lev/tin) n=70	Quad (bis/rab/met/tet) – 7 days n=70	Quad (bis/rab/met/tet) – 14 days n=70	p						
	Mean age, yr (SD)	47 ±10.4	48 ± 9.4	48 ± 9.9	49 ± 11.1	N/R						
	Sex: males/females	33/37	34/36	34/36	33/37	N/R						
	Ulcer-like dyspesia (%)	37	41	40	43	N/R						
	Dismotility-like dyspesia (%)	33	30	34	33	N/R						
	Reflux-like dyspepsia (%)	30	29	26	24	N/R						
Intervention	Regimen: Triple (rab/am Dose and timing: 10 day Route: Oral	· ·	/ amo (1 g b.i.d) / lev (50	0 mg m.a.n.e)								
Comparator	Regimen: Triple (rab/lev, Dose and timing: 10 day Route: Oral	,	/ lev (500 mg m.a.n.e) / t	in (500 mg b.i.d)								
	e v	Regimen: Quad (bis/rab/met/tet) Dose and timing: 7 days; bismuth (120 mg q.i.d) / rab (20 mg b.i.d) / met (500 mg t.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral										
	Regimen: Quad (bis/rab/ Dose and timing: 14 day Route: Oral	,	q.i.d) / rab (20 mg b.i.d) /	/ met (500 mg t.i.d) / tet (	500 mg q.i.d)							

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Nista et al, 2003									
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred	6 weeks following tre	atment							
Outcomes measures										
and effect sizes		Eradication ITT	Р	Eradication PP	Р					
		n, k, % (95% CI)		n, k, % (95% CI)						
	Triple (rab/amo/lev)	70, 66, 94.3, N/R	N/R	70, 66, 94.3, N/R	N/R					
	Triple (rab/lev/tin)	70, 63, 90, N/R	N/R	70, 63, 90, N/R	N/R					
	Quad 7 (bis/rab/met/tet)	70, 44, 62.9, N/R	N/R	64, 44, 68.8, N/R	N/R					
	Quad 14 (bis/rab/met/tet)	70, 48, 68.6, N/R	N/R	60, 48, 80, N/R	N/R					
		Adverse events	n	k	%					
	Triple (rab/amo/lev)	Diarrhoea/loose stools	70	3	4.3					
	Triple (rab/lev/tin)	Diarrhoea/loose stools	70	3	4.3					
	Quad 7 (bis/rab/met/tet)	Diarrhoea/loose stools	70	1	1.4					
	Quad 14 (bis/rab/met/tet)	Diarrhoea/loose stools	70	6	8.6					
	Triple (rab/amo/lev)	Rash	70	0	0					
	Triple (rab/lev/tin)	Rash	70	0	0					
	Quad 7	Rash	70	0	0					

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Nista et al, 2003					
	(bis/rab/met/tet)					
	Quad 14 (bis/rab/met/tet)	Rash	70	1	1.4	
Source of funding	This study was supp	orted in part by an unre	estricted gra	ant from 'Fondazione	Ricerca in	Medicina', Bologna, Italy
Comments	N/A					

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ueki et al 2009				
Study type	Randomised controlled to	rial			
Location	Japan				
Number	104				
Characteristics of patients	Exclusion criteria: <18 yr regular NSAID use, chro Dyspeptic condition type	nic corticosteroid use s(s): Gastric ulcer, duoder tion regimen: PPI/AMO/CI e None	ergy to study medication, nal ulcer, gastroduodenal	contradiction to biopsy,	peptic ulcer complications, gastric adenoma (4%)
		Quad (rab/amo/cla/met) N=52	Triple (rab/amo/met) N=52	p	
	Age (yr) (mean)	53.6 + 16.2	56.6 + 11.5	N/S	]

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ueki et al 2009								
	Gender male/female	37/15		30	)/22		N/S		
	Smoking	16		14			N/S		
	Alcohol consumption	21		24	ŀ		N/S		
	Gastric ulcer	19		18	3		N/R		
	Duodenal ulcer	14		12 N/R					
	Gastroduodenal ulcer	2		7			N/R		
	Gastritis	15		13	}		N/R		
	Adenoma	2		2			N/R		
	Cla resistant	43		42	2		N/S		
	Amo resistant	2		3			N/S		
	Met resistant	0		0			N/S		
Intervention	Regimen: Quad (rab/am Dose and timing: 7 days Route: Oral	,	b.i.d) / amo	(750n	ng b.	i.d) / cla (2	200 mg b.i.d)	) / met (2	:50 m
Comparator	Regimen: Triple (rab/am Dose and timing: 7 days Route: Oral	,	b.i.d) /amo (	750 n	ng b.	i.d) / met	(250 mg b.i.c	1)	
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 12 w	veeks followi	ng treatment						
Outcomes measures and effect sizes									
	Quad (rab/amo/cla/met)				Triple (rab/amo/met)				
	N	k Mean %	95% CI	N	k	Mean %	95% CI	р	
	Eradication 52	4 88.5	79-97	5	4	82.3	72.7-92.7	0.40	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ueki et al 2009									
	rate ITT		5			2	3			7
	Eradication rate ITT cla res	40	3 7	92.5	84-100	4 2	3 5	83	72-95	N/R
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	52	8	15.4	N/R	5 2	6	11.5	N/R	N/R
	Adverse events (rash)	52	2	3.8	N/R	5 2	0	0	N/R	N/R
Source of funding	Not reported									
Comments	Single blinded									

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Uygun et al 2008
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Turkey
Number	300
Characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>Mean age (yr): 42</li> <li>Number of males: 161</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: <i>H pylori</i> positive after previous eradication attempt</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: Active peptic ulcer, previous gastric surgery, malignancy, allergy to any first line drugs, fertile women not on contraception.</li> <li>Dyspeptic condition types(s): Non-ulcer dyspepsia (dyspepsia and gastritis and/or duodenitis)</li> <li>Previous 1st line eradication regimen: PPI/AMO/CLA</li> <li>Lead-in treatment: None</li> <li>Lead-out treatment: None</li> </ul>

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Uygun et al 2008											
		Concomitant treatment: None Baseline clinical patient characteristics:										
		Quad (lan/bis/amo/met) N=100	Quad (lan/bis/s N=100	amo/tet))	Quad (lan/bis/met N=100	/tet)	p					
	Age (yr) (mean)	41.12 + 12.5	45.17 +	13.5	41.64 + 11.	7	N/R					
	Gender male/female	57/34	47/45		48/47		N/R					
Intervention	Regimen: Quad (lan/bis/amo/met) Dose and timing: 14 days; lan (30 mg b.i.d) / bis (300 mg q.i.d) / amo (1000 mg q.i.d) / met (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral											
Comparator	Regimen: Quad (lan/bis/amo/tet) Dose and timing: 7 days; lan (30 mg b.i.d) / bis (300 mg q.i.d) /amo (1000 mg q.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral Regimen: Quad (lan/bis/amo/tet) Dose and timing: 14 days; lan (30 mg b.i.d) / bis (300 mg q.i.d) /amo (1000 mg q.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral											
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred 9 w	eeks following treatmo	ent									
Outcomes measures and effect sizes												
	Quad lan/bis		uad Quad n/bis/amo/tet) (lan/bis/met/tet)									
	N	k Mean N k	Mean %	ΝΚ	Mean%	р	р					
	Eradication 91	6 81.5 9 7	80.9	9 78	82.2	N/R	0.76					

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Uygun et al 2008	8					
	rate ITT	8	2	5	5		
Source of funding	Not reported						
Comments	N/A						

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wu et al 2011										
Study type	Randomised controlled trial										
Location	Taiwan	Taiwan									
Number	120										
Characteristics of patients	Exclusion criteria: Ingest surgery, coexistence of s Dyspeptic condition type Previous 1st line eradica Lead-in treatment: None Lead-out treatment: Esor	Mean age (yr): 54 Number of males: 60 Inclusion criteria: <i>H pylori</i> positive after previous eradication attempt Exclusion criteria: Ingestion of antibiotics, bismuth, PPI within 2 weeks of investigation, allergy to study medication, previous gastric surgery, coexistence of serious Dyspeptic condition types(s): Gastritis, gastric ulcer, duodenal ulcer Previous 1st line eradication regimen: PPI/AMO/CLA									
	Quad (eso/bis/amo/tet) Quad p N=58 (eso/bis/met/tet) N=62										
	Age (yr) (mean)         54.3 + 11         53.6 + 11.7         0.75										
	Gender male/female 30/28 30/32 0.72										
	Smoking	9	8	0.68							

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wu et al 2011									
	Alcohol consumpt	ion	3		!	5		N/	/R	
	Gastric ulcer		8		9	9		N/	/R	
	Duodenal ulcer		12		:	22		N/	/R	
	Gastritis		34		1	27		N/	/R	
	Tet (sus/res)		24/1			30/0		0.	46	
	Amo (sus/res)		25/0		:	30/0		N/	/R	
	Met (sus/res)		11/14			15/15		0.	66	
Intervention	Regimen: Quad (es Dose and timing: 7 Route: Oral			ı b.i.d) / b	is (120	mg q.i	i.d) / amo	(500 mg q	.i.d) / tet (50	)0 mg q.i
Comparator	Regimen: Quad (eso/bis/met/tet) Dose and timing: 7 days; eso (40 mg b.i.d) / bis (120 mg q.i.d) / met (250 mg q.i.d ) / tet (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral									
Length of follow up	Follow-up occurred	8 wee	ks followin	ig treatme	ent					
Outcomes measures and effect sizes										
	Q	luad		-	Qua	d		-	-	
	(6	eso/bis/a	amo/tet)		(eso	/bis/me	et/tet)			
	N	l k	Mean %	95% Cl	N	k	Mean %	95% CI	р	
	Eradication 58 rate ITT	8 3 6	62	N/R	62	50	81	N/R	0.02	
	Eradication 2- rate ITT tet susceptible	4 1 6	67	N/R	30	24	80	N/R	N/R	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wu et al 2011									
	Eradication rate ITT amo susceptible	25	1 6	64	N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Eradication rate ITT met susceptible	N/A	N/ A	N/A	N/R	15	11	73	N/A	N/A
	Eradication rate ITT met resistant	N/A	N/ A	N/A	N/R	15	13	87	N/A	N/A
	Adherence	58	5 6	97	N/R	62	60	97	N/R	1.0
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	58	0	0	N/R	62	2	3.2	N/R	0.39
	Adverse events (rash)	58	0	0	N/R	62	0	0	N/R	1.0
Source of funding	Kaohsiung Vete	rans G	ener	al Hospita	al and De	partme	nt of H	ealth Tai	wan	
Comments	N/A									

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wu et al 2006
Study type	Randomised controlled trial
Location	Taiwan
Number	93
Characteristics of patients	Mean age (yr): 50 Number of males: 46 Inclusion criteria: <i>H pylori</i> positive after previous eradication attempt

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wu et al 2006									
	Exclusion criteria: Ingestion of antibiotics, bismuth, PPI within 2 weeks of investigation, allergy to study medication, previous gas surgery, coexistence of serious Dyspeptic condition types(s): Gastritis, gastric ulcer, duodenal ulcer Previous 1st line eradication regimen: PPI/AMO/CLA Lead-in treatment: None Lead-out treatment: None Concomitant treatment: None Baseline clinical patient characteristics:									
		Quad (eso/bis/met/tet) N=46	Quad (eso/cla/met/tet) N=47	р						
	Age (yr) (mean)	49.9 + 13.5	51.7 + 12.8	0.50	1					
	Gender male/female	20/26	26/21	0.25	1					
	Smoking	12	9	0.42	]					
	Alcohol consumption	4	4	0.98						
	Gastric ulcer	5	4	N/R						
	Duodenal ulcer	20	19	N/R						
	Gastritis	21	24	N/R						
	Tet (sus/res)	23/0	21/0	N/R						
	Amo (sus/res)	13/10	9/12	0.37						
	Met (sus/res)	7/16	7/14	0.84						
Intervention	Regimen: Quad (eso/bis/met/tet) Dose and timing: 7 days; eso (40 mg b.i.d) / bis (120 mg q.i.d) / met (500 mg q.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral									
Comparator	Regimen: Quad (eso/cla/met/tet) Dose and timing: 7 days; eso (40 mg b.i.d) / cla (500 mg b.i.d) / met (250 mg q.i.d) / tet (500 mg q.i.d) Route: Oral									

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wu et al 2006										
Length of follow up	Follow-up occur	Follow-up occurred 8 weeks following treatment									
Outcomes measures and effect sizes		Quad		Quad							
		(eso/	bis/me	Mea n %	95% CI	(es	o/cla k	/met/tet) Mean %	95% CI		
	Eradication rate ITT	46	34	74	N/R	4 7	3 6	77	N/R		
	Eradication rate ITT met susceptible	9	9	100	N/R	1 3	9	69	N/R		
	Eradication rate ITT cla susceptible	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	4	57	N/R		
	Eradication rate ITT cla res	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1 6	1 2	75	N/R		
	Eradication rate ITT met resistant	12	8	67	N/R	1 0	7	70	N/R		
	Adherence	47	45	96	N/R	4 6	4 3	94	N/R		
	Adverse events (diarrhoea/lo ose stools)	47	1	2.1	N/R	4 6	4	6.3	N/R		
	Adverse events (rash)	47	0	0	N/R	4 7	2	4.3	N/R		

р

0.76

N/R

N/R

N/R

N/R

0.68

0.20

0.87

Dyspepsia and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease Evidence tables

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wu et al 2006
Source of funding	Kaohsiung Veteans General Hospital and National Science Council Taiwan
Comments	N/A

## D.6 Question 6

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	<ul> <li>Anvari M, Allen C., Marshall J. et al. (2006) A randomi proton pump inhibitors for treatment of patients with Surgical Innovation 13 (4): 238-249 (#341)</li> <li>&amp;</li> <li>Goeree R, Hopkins R., Marshall J.K. et al. (2011) Cost inhibitors for chronic and controlled gastroesophage and economic evaluation. Value in Health 14 (2): 263-</li> </ul>	chronic gastroesophageal reflux di -utility of laparoscopic Nissen fund al reflux disease: a 3-year prospect	sease: One-year follow-up. oplication versus proton pump
Study type & aim	Blinded: No Crossover trial: No Multicentre: Not reported		
Number and characteristics of patients	Gender: 55 Male and 49 Female Age range: 18 years and older Reflux confirmed): 24hr pH monitoring Exclusions: GERD score >18, Symptoms persisting for 1 within last 1 year (except basal cell cancer) Baseline characteristics:	year, Symptoms not expected to last 2	2 years, previous surgery, cancer
	lap fundoplication	PPI medical management	
	N K MEAN	N K MEAN	ΔΡ

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Anvari M, Allen C., Marsh proton pump inhibitors for Surgical Innovation 13 (4) & Goeree R, Hopkins R., Ma inhibitors for chronic and and economic evaluation	or treat ): 238- arshall I contr	:men 249 (i J.K. olled	t of patients with cl #341) et al. (2011) Cost-u gastroesophageal	nronic gast tility of lap reflux dise	roes aroso	ophageal reflux dise copic Nissen fundor	ease: One	-year follow-up. ersus proton pump
	Demographics: Age mean (SD) GERSS off medication	52	52	42.9 (N/R)	52	52	42.1 (SD N/R)	0.8	N/ S N/
	mean (SD)	52	52	29.6 (14.2)	52	52	31.0 (10.6)	1.4	
Intervention(s)	Laparoscopic fundoplication N: 52 (k = 51) Laporoscopic Nissen fundo PPI medication: N: 52 (k = 50) PPI medication as at basel	plicatio	l adju	isted to control symp		a sta	ndardised treatment a	algorithm	
Concomitant treatments	Other medication allowed:	not rep	orted	l					
Length of follow up	Outcomes on or off med? p If off washout period (d): No Follow-up: 12 months ,and	ot repo	rted.	g ON medication in	PPI arm and	d OFF	- medication in Lap fu	Indoplication	on arm
Location	Country: USA								
Outcomes measures									
and effect sizes				lap fundoplicatio	on PPI med	dical			
				N K MEAN/%	6 N K	MEAN	Ν/% Δ	Р	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	proton pump inhibitors Surgical Innovation 13 & Goeree R, Hopkins R., I inhibitors for chronic an	Anvari M, Allen C., Marshall J. et al. (2006) A randomized controlled trial of laparoscopic nissen fundoplication versus proton pump inhibitors for treatment of patients with chronic gastroesophageal reflux disease: One-year follow-up. Surgical Innovation 13 (4): 238-249 (#341) & Goeree R, Hopkins R., Marshall J.K. et al. (2011) Cost-utility of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication versus proton pump inhibitors for chronic and controlled gastroesophageal reflux disease: a 3-year prospective randomized controlled trial and economic evaluation. Value in Health 14 (2): 263-273 (#40)													
				89.2 (SD		73.5 (SD	-15.6 (95% CI -23.7								
	Symptoms VAS	Continuous	52	13.5)	52	19.7)	to -8.0)	< 0.001							
	GERSS 12 months	Continuous	52	8.3 (SD 8.4)	52	13.6 (SD 9.5)	5.3 (95% CI 2.0 to 8.7)	= 0.0020							
		Continuouo	02	0.1)	02	0.0)	2.66 (95% CI -1.11	- 0.0020							
	GERSS 60 months	Continuous	52		52		to 6.43)	= 0.1660							
	Mortality	Dichotomous	52 0		52 0		N/S	N/S							
	SF-36 General Health	Continuous	52	75.4 (SD 23.2	52	66.4 (SD 23.6).	-12.3 (95% CI -20.8 to -3.7)	= 0.0048							
	% time <ph 4<="" td=""><td>Continuous</td><td>52</td><td></td><td>52</td><td></td><td>3.63 (95% CI 1.15 to 6.120</td><td>= 0.0042</td></ph>	Continuous	52		52		3.63 (95% CI 1.15 to 6.120	= 0.0042							
	Dysphagia at 3 months	Dichotomous	50 4		51 0		OR 9.97 (95% CI 0.52 to 190.17)	= 0.1264							
Authors' conclusion		differences in G	ORD sy	mptom scol	es, but	laparoscopi	c fundoplication resulte	ed in fewer heartburn days,							
Source of funding	and improved QOL Supported by Canadian i	netitute of Upplic	record	h and Onto	rio mini	etry of Hoole	h								
Ū						•		comparison of patient							
Comments	Control arm medication re characteristics between s medication in the control	tudy arms repor	anaged ted. Con	nplications i	n asses	sment of ou	tcomes made off medic	comparison of patient cation for surgery and on							

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Galmiche JP, Hatlebakk GERD: the LOTUS rand							meprazole	e treatm	ent for chronic
Study type & aim	Blinded: No Crossover trial: No Multicentre: Not reported									
Number and characteristics of patients	Gender: 398 male 156 fer Age range: 18 years and Reflux confirmed): with G Exclusions: required who Baseline characteristics:	older (me ORD clin	ical	history, endoscopy, o	•	•	•			
		lap fu	undo	plication	PPI	medi	ical management			
		N	К	MEAN / %	N	K	MEAN / %	Δ	Р	
	Demographics: Age mean (SD)	288	28 8	45.0 (10.9)	266	26 6	45.0 (11.5)	0.0	-	
	Severe heartburn	288	44	15%	266	48	18%	3%	N/ S	
Intervention(s)	Laparoscopic fundoplicati N: 288 Laparoscopic fundoplicati PPI: N: 266 PPI esomeprazole 20mg/	ion (not o			ły					
Concomitant treatments	Other medication allowed									
Length of follow up	Outcomes on or off med?	Not repo	orted							

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Galmiche JP, Hatlebakk J., At GERD: the LOTUS randomize								someprazole	treatment for chronic			
	If off washout period (d): Not reported. Follow-up: 60 months												
Location	Country:												
Outcomes measures and effect sizes			lap fu	lap fundoplication		PPI r	medica	I					
			Ν	К	MEAN /%	N	К	MEAN/%	Δ	P			
	Remission	Dichotomous	168	142	85%	181	167	92%		= 0.048* <			
	Acid regurgitation (any grade)	Dichotomous	180	4	2%	191	25	13%		0.001 *			
	% time <ph 4<="" td=""><td>Continuous</td><td>N/R</td><td>N/R</td><td>0.7</td><td>N/R</td><td>N/R</td><td>1.9</td><td>N/R</td><td>N/R</td></ph>	Continuous	N/R	N/R	0.7	N/R	N/R	1.9	N/R	N/R			
Authors' conclusion		* P value reported from study text based on log-rank comparison between groups. Trial demonstrated that contemporary anti-reflux therapy for GORD either drug acid suppression with esomeprazole or											
Source of funding	Supported by manufacturer			101113	olon at o	yours	1011010	up.					
Comments	Analysis undertaken on IIT but a trial. At 5 years 23.1% of patien protocol												

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Grant AM, Wileman S.M. chronic gastro-oesopha & Grant AM (2012)								ent for	
Study type & aim	Blinded: No Crossover trial: No Multicentre: 21 sites									
Number and characteristics of patients	Gender: 236 male 121 fen Age range: 18 years and o Reflux confirmed): long te Exclusions: Barrett's oeso Baseline characteristics:	older (mean 46 ye rm PPI treatment	of 1 year, e		• •			D or both.		
			Sevel	ame	er	Calc	ium	Acetate		
			N	K	MEAN/%	Ν	K	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	Age Duration of medication -	Continuous	179		46.7 (SD 10.3)	178		45.9 (SD 11.9)		N/ S N/
	months (IQR)	Continuous	179		33 [15–83]	178		31 [16–71]		S
Intervention(s)	Laparoscopic fundoplication N: 179 Laparoscopic Fundoplication Drug: N: 178 'Best medical management	ion (type at the dis			<u> </u>	option for s	surge	ery if clear indication d	levelopec	
Concomitant treatments	Other medication allowed:	-						,		
Length of follow up	Outcomes on or off med?: If off washout period (d):	·								

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Grant AM, Wileman S.M., Ramsay C.R. et al. (2008) Minimal access surgery compared with medical management for chronic gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: UK collaborative randomised trial. <i>BMJ</i> 337: a2664.(#200) &														
	Grant AM (2012)														
	Follow-up: 60 months														
ocation	Country: UK														
Dutcomes measures and effect sizes			lap fundoplication PPI medical				l me	dical							
			N	к	MEAN/%	MEAN/ N K %			Δ	Ρ					
	REFLUX score 12 months (SD)	Continuous	17 9	17 9	84.6 (17.9)	17 8	17 8	73.4 (23.3)	18.3 (95% CI 13.8 to 22.9)	<0.001*					
	VAS scale 12 months (SD)	Continuous	17 9	17 9	74.3 (18.0)	17 8	17 8	75.9 (17.8)	N/R	N/R					
	EQ-5D score 12 months (SD)	Continuous	17 9	17 9	0.75 (0.25)	17 8	17 8	0.71 (0.27)	0.047 (95% CI -0.001 to 0.10) *	= 0.07 *					
	Visceral injury 12 months	Dichotomous	17 8	2		17 9	0		5.085 (95% CI 0.24 to 106.68)	= 0.295					
	REFLUX score 60 months (SD)	Continuous	17 9	17 9	86.7 (13.8)	17 8	17 8	80.7 (20.3)	6.4 (95% CI 1.6 to 11.2)	= 0.009 *					
	SF-36 score 60 months (SD)	Continuous	17 9	17 9	44.1 (10.3)		17 8	43.2 (11.5)	2.76 (95% CI 0.21 to 5.31) *	= 0.034 *					
	EQ-5D score 60 months (SD)	Continuous	17 9	17 9	0.77 (0.26)		17 8	0.76 (0.28)	0.047 (95% CI -0.01 to 0.11) *	= 0.126 *					

\* Mean difference and P value reported from study text with correction for baseline characteristics.

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Grant AM, Wileman S.M., Ramsay C.R. et al. (2008) Minimal access surgery compared with medical management for chronic gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: UK collaborative randomised trial. <i>BMJ</i> 337: a2664.(#200) & Grant AM (2012)
Authors' conclusion	At 5 years follow up Laparoscopic fundoplciation continues to provide better GORD symptom relief, and improved health related QOL. Complications were uncommon.
Source of funding	Funded by NIHR HTA programme
Comments	Patients with strong preference for either arm were invited into a separate preference trail. All types of lap fundopication considered the same. 2% conversion to open surgery (across both randomised and open study). 21 centre UK study. High attrition rate in the Surgery arm. Surgery group were younger, more male, and had taken medication for longer than control group.

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)		M., Decadt B. et al. (20 inhibitors for treatme								
Study type & aim	Blinded: No Crossover trial: No Multicentre: 2 sites									
Number and characteristics of patients	Reflux confirmed):	rs and older (mean 48 ye . Patients with pathologic mptoms of GORD for <6	cal reflux or			, BMI>35.				
		-	Sevela	ame	r	Calci	um Ace	etate		
			N	K	MEAN/%	N	K	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	Age (IQR)	Continuous	109		48 (39 to 56)	108		47 (35 to 57	)	N/S

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Mahon D, Rhodes M., with proton-pump inh (#466)										
	Duration of medication months (IQR)	- Continuous	109	3	0 (12 to 5	6)	108		24 (12 to 16)*		N/S
	Grade 3 or 5 oesophagitis	Dichotamous	109	22			108	15		1.52 Chi <sup>2</sup>	N/S
	* Figure for IQR maxim	um as reported in st	udy manu	script.							
Intervention(s)	Laparoscopic fundoplica N: 109 Laparoscopic fundoplica gastric vessels as nece Drug: N: 108 PPI medication using ra adjusted to control sym	ation with 5 port entr ssary abeprazole 10mg, pa									
Concomitant treatments	Other medication allow	ed: Not reported									
Length of follow up	Outcomes on or off mer undertaken on medicati If off washout period (d) Follow-up: 12 months	on. For Laparoscop							•	in the med	group
Location	Country: UK										
Outcomes measures and effect sizes			lap	fundopl	ication	PPI me	dical				
			N	K M	EAN/%	NK	MEAN/ %	Δ		Р	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Mahon D, Rhodes M., Decadt B. e with proton-pump inhibitors for tr (#466)									
	GI wellbeing score 12 months (SD)	Continuous	10 8	80	37.0 (5.4)	10 9	86	35.0 (7.3)	3.0 (95% CI 1.1 to 4.9)	= 0.003
	General wellbeing score 12 months (SD)	Continuous	10 8	79	106.2 (16.3)	10 9	86	100.4 (18.9)	7.1 (95% CI 2.5 to 11.7)	= 0.003
	Major intraoperative complication.	Dichotamo us	10 9	4		10 8	0		9.26 (95% Cl 0.49to 174.05)	= 0.137
	Dysphagia >3 months.	Dichotamo us	10 9	5		10 8	0		11.42 (95% CI 0.62 to 209.14)	= 0.101
Authors' conclusion	Laparoscopic fundoplication leads to general well being at 12 months con					it 3 m	onth	ns and sig	nificantly greater improveme	ents in GI and
Source of funding	Supported by manufacturer									
Comments	PPI medication considered a class e	effect in the s	study	with	n no subgrou	p ana	lysis	s. Two su	rgeons undertook all proced	ures.

## D.7 Question 8

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cooper,G.S., Kou,T.D., Chak,A Receipt of previous diagnoses and endoscopy and outcome from esophageal adenocarcinoma: a population-based study with temporal trends. American Journal of Gastroenterology 2009;104(6):1356-62. (#10399)
Study type & aim	Study type: Cohort study (retrospective)
Number and characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>n = 2,754 with cancer (proportion with BO at baseline not reported)</li> <li>Gender: Male 80%</li> <li>Age: 78 years (mean)</li> <li>Barrett's Oesophagus defined as: N/R</li> <li>Exclusions: N/R</li> <li>Baseline characteristics: These characteristics relate to all patients with cancer for retrospective analysis:</li> </ul>

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cooper,G.S., Kou,T.D., Ch a population-based study w										carcinoma:
		SURVEILLANCE	NO	SUR	VEILLANC	CE					
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEA	N / N	IEDIAN						
	Length of BO segment	N/R	N/A								
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	N/R	N/A								
	Prevalent cancer / HGD exc years to 6 months retrospec		es, pati	ents	analysed	for fac	tors re	elating to can	cer stage	e and survi	val from 3
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Surveillance p Initial frequency of recall (fo	•	I/R								
	No Surveillance: N/R	. N/D									
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?										
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 6 months to 3 ye	ars (retrospective)									
Location	Country: USA										
Outcomes measures											
and effect sizes			SUR	VEIL	LANCE	NO S	SURVI	EILLANCE			
			N	К	MEAN/ %	N	к	MEAN/%	Δ	Р	
	100 patient year incidence cancer	of Dichotomous	N/R			N/A			N/R	N/R	
	100 patient year incidence HDG		N/R			N/A			N/R	N/R	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cooper,G.S., Kou,T.D., Chak,A., a population-based study with temp				ome from esophageal adenocarcinom 9;104(6):1356-62. (#10399)	na:			
		<b>D</b> : 1 /		N/A	N/A N/A				
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	N/R						
	Absolute number of patients developing cancer	Dichotomous	N/R	N/A	N/A N/A				
		Dichotomous	1 1/1 1						
	Independent predictor of early stage on presentation	Dichotomous	N/S						
				(95% CI					
			HR	0.25 to					
	Independent predictor of Survival	Dichotomous	0.45	0.80)					
	and year of diagnosis (year on year	r).			nnicity, income , education, comorbidit				
Authors' conclusion	Despite the development of practic endoscopic utilization, which highlig				oral increases in diagnostic frequency	or			
Source of funding	Supported by national grants, no COI								
Comments	Retrospective analysis. No detials	provided of the de	nominator	with BO at baseline and pro	oportion that did not progress to cance	er.			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Fitzgerald,R.C., Saeed,I.T., Khoo,D., Farthing,M.J., Burnham,W.R Rigorous surveillance protocol increases detection of curable cancers associated with Barrett's esophagus. Digestive Diseases & Sciences 2001;46(9):1892-98. (#7697)
Study type & aim	Study type: Cohort study
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 204 (108 Surveillance, 96 No surveillance) Gender: Male 76% Age range: 64 years

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Fitzgerald,R.C., Saeed,I.T., Khoo,D., Farthing,M.J., Burnham,W.R Rigorous surveillance protocol increases detection of curable cancers associated with Barrett's esophagus. Digestive Diseases & Sciences 2001;46(9):1892-98. (#7697)									
	Barrett's Oesophagus defi Exclusions: N/R Baseline characteristics:	ned as: Patients with end	oscop	ically o	confirmed B	0				
		SURVEILLANCE	Ν	IO SU	RVEILLANC	CE				
		MEAN / MEDIAN	Μ	EAN /	MEDIAN					
	Length of BO segment		N	/A						
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	82% No, 13% Low, 3% High , 2% Cancer	N	/A						
	Prevalent cancer / HGD ex	cluded up to 6 months?:	No –	patient	ts with canc	er at ba	aseline a	are included.		
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Surveillance Initial frequency of recall (f		1 yea	ar						
	No Surveillance: Follow up	o of patients not in surveill	ance	arm is	not describ	ed				
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD	?: N/R								
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 108 patient yea	rs for formal surveillance,	375 p	oatient	years for in	formal	surveilla	ance.		
Location	Country: UK									
Outcomes measures										
and effect sizes			SUR	VEILL	ANCE	NO S	O SURVEILLANCE			
			Ν	К	MEAN/%	Ν	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence cancer	e of Dichotomous			1.85			0.00	N/R	N/R

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Fitzgerald,R.C., Saeed,I.T., Khoo,D., Farthing,M.J., Burnham,W.R Rigorous surveillance protocol increases detection of curable cancers associated with Barrett's esophagus. Digestive Diseases & Sciences 2001;46(9):1892-98. (#7697)
	0.27 N/R N/R 100 patient year incidence of HDG Dichotomous 2.78
	96 N.R N/A N/A Mortality from cancer Dichotomous 108 N/R
	Absolute number of patients960N/AN/Adeveloping cancerDichotomous108222
Authors' conclusion	In conclusion, a rigorous biopsy protocol increases the detection of early cancer in Barrett's esophagus
Source of funding	Lead author is national research counsel fellow
Comments	'no surveillance' was not described,. It is unlikely to be true no surveillance, but patients followed up with ad hoc surveillance. Few outcomes were reported comparing the two groups.
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Gladman,L., Chapman,W., Iqbal,T.H., Gearty,J.C., Cooper,B.T Barrett's oesophagus: an audit of surveillance over a 17 year period. European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2006;18(3):271-76 (#7801)
Study type & aim	Study type: Cohort Study
Number and	n = 343 (195 Surveillance, 148 No Surveillance)

Number and characteristics of	n = 343 (195 Surveillance, 148 No Surveillance) Gender:						
patients	Age range:						
	Barrett's Oesophagus defined as: Patients with BO but no Intestinal metaplasia						
	Exclusions: Patients with severe concurrent illness (including cancer) were exluded from surveillance.						
	Baseline characteristics:						
	SURVEILLANCE NO SURVEILLANCE						

MEAN Length of BO segment N/R Degree of dysplasia (if	I / MEDIAN	ME	AN / M						
0 0				IEDIAN					
Degree of dysplasia (if		N/F	र						
<b>o</b> , , ,	splasia	No	dyspla	isia					
Prevalent cancer / HGD excluded u	p to 6 months?: \	Yes – ι	up to 2	years.					
Surveillance: Surveillance with 'multiple biopsies at 1 cm intervals Initial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): mixed									
	uired based on sy	ymptor	ns.						
Follow-up: 5.5 years									
Country: UK									
		SUR	VEILL	ANCE	NO S	NO SURVEILLANCE			
		Ν	К	MEAN/%	Ν	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous	-		0.37	-	-	N/R	N/R	N/R
100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			0.19			N/R	N/R	N/R
		105	N/R		148	N/R		N/R	N/R
Absolute number of patients					148	N/R		N/R	N/R
	Surveillance: Surveillance with 'mul nitial frequency of recall (for BO wit No Surveillance: Endoscopy as req Patients on PPI for GORD?: N/R Follow-up: 5.5 years Country: UK 100 patient year incidence of cancer 100 patient year incidence of HDG Mortality from cancer	Surveillance: Surveillance with 'multiple biopsies at 1 nitial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): No Surveillance: Endoscopy as required based on se Patients on PPI for GORD?: N/R Follow-up: 5.5 years Country: UK 100 patient year incidence of cancer Dichotomous 100 patient year incidence of HDG Dichotomous Mortality from cancer Dichotomous Absolute number of patients developing cancer Dichotomous	Surveillance: Surveillance with 'multiple biopsies at 1 cm in nitial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): mixed No Surveillance: Endoscopy as required based on symptor Patients on PPI for GORD?: N/R Follow-up: 5.5 years Country: UK 100 patient year incidence of cancer Dichotomous 100 patient year incidence of HDG Dichotomous 100 patient year incidence of HDG Dichotomous 100 patient year incidence of HDG Dichotomous 100 patient year incidence of Jochotomous 100 patient year incidence of HDG Dichotomous 100 patient year incidence of Jochotomous 100 patient year incidence of HDG Dichotomous 100 patient year incidence of HDG Dichotomous 100 patient year incidence of HDG Dichotomous 100 patient year incidence of Jochotomous 100 patient year incidence of Jochotomous 195	Surveillance: Surveillance with 'multiple biopsies at 1 cm intervals nitial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): mixed No Surveillance: Endoscopy as required based on symptoms. Patients on PPI for GORD?: N/R Follow-up: 5.5 years Country: UK <u>SURVEILL</u> N K 100 patient year incidence of cancer Dichotomous 100 patient year incidence of HDG Dichotomous 100 patient year incidence of HDG Dichotomous 100 patient year incidence of HDG Dichotomous Mortality from cancer Dichotomous 195 N/R Absolute number of patients developing cancer Dichotomous 195 4	nitial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): mixed No Surveillance: Endoscopy as required based on symptoms. Patients on PPI for GORD?: N/R Follow-up: 5.5 years Country: UK $\frac{SURVEILLANCE}{N K MEAN/\%}$ 100 patient year incidence of cancer Dichotomous 0.37 100 patient year incidence of HDG Dichotomous 0.19 Mortality from cancer Dichotomous 195 N/R Absolute number of patients developing cancer Dichotomous 195 4	Surveillance: Surveillance with 'multiple biopsies at 1 cm intervals nitial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): mixed No Surveillance: Endoscopy as required based on symptoms. Patients on PPI for GORD?: N/R Follow-up: 5.5 years Country: UK	Surveillance: Surveillance with 'multiple biopsies at 1 cm intervals nitial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): mixed No Surveillance: Endoscopy as required based on symptoms. Patients on PPI for GORD?: N/R Follow-up: 5.5 years Country: UK	Surveillance: Surveillance with 'multiple biopsies at 1 cm intervals nitial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): mixed No Surveillance: Endoscopy as required based on symptoms. Patients on PPI for GORD?: N/R Follow-up: 5.5 years Country: UK $\frac{SURVEILLANCE}{N \ K \ MEAN/\% \ N \ K \ MEAN/\%}$ 100 patient year incidence of cancer Dichotomous 0.19 Mortality from cancer Dichotomous 195 N/R Absolute number of patients developing cancer Dichotomous 195 4	Surveillance: Surveillance with 'multiple biopsies at 1 cm intervals nitial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): mixed No Surveillance: Endoscopy as required based on symptoms. Patients on PPI for GORD?: N/R Follow-up: 5.5 years Country: UK $\frac{SURVEILLANCE}{N K MEAN/% N K MEAN/% \Delta}$ 100 patient year incidence of Cancer Dichotomous 0.37 N/R N/R 100 patient year incidence of HDG Dichotomous 0.19 Mortality from cancer Dichotomous 195 N/R Absolute number of patients developing cancer Dichotomous 195 4

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Gladman,L., Chapman,W., Iqbal,T.H., Gearty,J.C., Cooper,B.T Barrett's oesophagus: an audit of surveillance over a 17- year period. European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2006;18(3):271-76 (#7801)
Authors' conclusion	The incidence of adenocarcinoma was low compared with many published series, and we speculate whether this is the result of maintenance PPI therapy
Source of funding	No conflicts of interest
Comments	Most endoscopies and biospies assessed by 1 person which suggests low variability. Incidence of cancer not reported between groups.

## D.8 Question 8

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)			al results from 10 year coh J 2000;321(7271):1252-55.	ort of patients undergoing surveillance for (#8414)					
Study type & aim	Study type: Cohort study								
Number and characteristics of patients	Gender: 52% Male Age: 63 years	n = 409 (143 surveillance, 266 No surveillance) Gender: 52% Male Age: 63 years Barrett's Oesophagus defined as: Patients with BO >3cm on endoscopy and biopsy detected columnar metaplasia Exclusions: N/R							
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE						
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN						
	Length of BO segment	N/R	N/A						

reference (Ref ID)	Barrett's oesophagus: obser	vational study.	BMJ 2	2000;	321(7271):	1252-5	5. (#841	4)		
	Degree of dysplasia (if any) N	I/R		N/A	A					
	Prevalent cancer / HGD exclud	led up to 6 month	is?: N/	R						
ntervention(s)	Surveillance: Biopsy from 4 qu symptoms in patients in the su Initial frequency of recall (for B No Surveillance: Endoscopy w	rveillance group v O with no dyspla	vere e sia): M	xcluc ixed		mality.	Endosco	opies used to	investiga	ate deteriorating
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?: N	/R								
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 4.4 years									
	Country: UK									
Location	oountry. Or									
Outcomes measures			SUR	VEIL	LANCE	NO S	URVEIL	LANCE		
Outcomes measures			SUR N	VEIL	LANCE MEAN/%		URVEIL K	LANCE MEAN/%	Δ	P
Outcomes measures	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous							 Δ N/A	<i>P</i> N/A
Location Outcomes measures and effect sizes	100 patient year incidence of	Dichotomous			MEAN/%			MEAN/%		
Outcomes measures	100 patient year incidence of cancer 100 patient year incidence of			K	MEAN/%			MEAN/%	N/A	N/A

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Macdonald,C.E., Wicks,A.C., Playford,R.J Final results from 10 year cohort of patients undergoing surveillance for Barrett's oesophagus: observational study. BMJ 2000;321(7271):1252-55. (#8414)
	Rate of cancer incidence possible to calculate for surveillance cohort, but only cancer death available from no surveillance group.
Authors' conclusion	The current surveillance strategy has limited value, and it may be appropriate to restrict surveillance to patients with additional risk factors such as stricture, ulcer, or long segment (>80 mm) Barrett's oesophagus.
Source of funding	No conflicts of interest.
Comments	High attrition in the surveillance group. Mostly through death from other causes 20%, comorbidity 27%, age 32%, loss to follow up 11%, moving from area 10%. Patients excluded from surveillance were older and more likely to have comorbidity. If these patients are more likely to develop cancer then the incidence rate in the surveillance programme will appear artificially low

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Corley DA, Mehtani K, Quesenberry C, et al. Impact of Endoscopic Surveillance on Mortality From Barrett's Esophagus–Associated Esophageal Adenocarcinomas. Gastroenterology 2013; 145:312-319.							
Study type & aim	Study type: Case control study							
Number and characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>n = 139 (38 cases in surveillance, 101 controls in surveillance)</li> <li>Gender: Cases (89.5% male); controls (92.1% male)</li> <li>Age: Mean age: Cases = 73.5 years; controls = 73.8 years</li> <li>Barrett's Oesophagus defined as: The presence of visible endoscopic changes consistent with BO and the histologic presence of esophageal intestinal metaplasia.</li> <li>Exclusions: had only gastric-type metaplasia of the esophagus, had columnar metaplasia without intestinal metaplasia, lacked endoscopic changes indicating BO; or lacked an esophageal biopsy.</li> <li>Baseline characteristics:</li> </ul>							
	CASES IN CONTROLS IN SURVEILLANCE SURVEILLANCE							
	MEAN / MEDIAN MEAN / MEDIAN							

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Corley DA, Mehtani K, Quesenberry C, et al. Impact of Endoscopic Surveillance on Mortality From Barrett's Esophagus–Associated Esophageal Adenocarcinomas. Gastroenterology 2013; 145:312-319.								
	Length of BO segment								
	<3cm	1 (2.6%)	15 (14.9%)						
	≥3cm	31 (81.6%)	79 (78.2%)						
	Not defined	6 (15.8%)	7 (6.9%)						
	Degree of dysplasia (if								
	any)	N/R	N/R						
	Prevalent cancer / HGD excluded up to 6 months?: N/A								
Intervention(s)	Cases:	xcluded up to 6 mo	ntns (: IN/A						
	Barrett's esophagus diagr	nosis (as defined ea	arlier) 6 months or more		efore September 2007; had a osis; and subsequently died of 31, 2009.				
	People with a diagnosis of Barrett's esophagus (confirmed as described earlier) who did not die of esophageal or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma through the end of the follow-up evaluation. Controls were matched to cases by age at Barrett's esophagus diagnosis, year of Barrett's esophagus diagnosis, medical center of Barrett's esophagus diagnosis, sex, and race.								
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORE	)?: N/R							
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 14 years								
Location	Country: USA								
Outcomes measures and effect sizes			CASES IN SURVEILLANCE	CONTROLS IN SURVEILLANCE					
	RISK OF DEATH FROM				ADJ OR (95%CI)				
	OESOPHAGEAL CANCE	R	N (%)	N (%)	· · ·				

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Corley DA, Mehtani K, Quesenberry C, e Esophagus–Associated Esophageal Ad		•	-
	Adjusted for dysplasia status Adjusted for dysplasia status and BO length	21 (55.3%) 21 (55.3%)	61 (60.4%) 61 (60.4%)	0.99 (0.36 to 2.75) 1.14 (0.39 to 3.32)
Authors' conclusion	Endoscopic surveillance of Barrett's esoph esophageal adenocarcinoma, within a larg or a benefit from more intensive surveillan- able to be treated despite detection of earl effectiveness of standard existing treatment	e, community-based p ce (eg, annual); howev y stage disease, a find	opulation. The results cann rer, many patients had can	not exclude a small to moderate benefit cer-related deaths and some were not
Source of funding	No conflicts of interest.			
Comments	This study had several limitations. It cannot present, the benefit would be much smalle endoscopic surveillance performed in the intervals.	r than those incorporat	ted into widely used cost-e	ffectiveness analyses. Second,

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Abela,J.E., Going,J.J., Mackenzie,J.F., McKernan,M., O'Mahoney,S., Stuart,R.C Systematic four-quadrant biopsy detects Barrett's dysplasia in more patients than nonsystematic biopsy. American Journal of Gastroenterology 2008;103(4):850-55. (#7020)
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 180 Gender: 66% Male Age range: 64 years (mean) Barrett's Oesophagus defined as: Barrett's Oesophagus >3cm, with histology of intestinal metaplasia

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Abela,J.E., Going,J.J., detects Barrett's dysplas 2008;103(4):850-55. (#702	sia in more patients tha								
	Exclusions: N/R									
	Baseline characteristics:									
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEI	LANCE						
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MED	IAN						
	Length of BO segment	N/R	N/A	N/A						
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	78% No, 19% LGD, 3% HGD	N/A							
	Prevalent cancer / HGD excluded up to 6 months?: N/R									
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: quad biopsy Initial frequency of recall (f			um of 3 level	is, at 1 la	ib, to Viei	na class	fication		
	No Surveillance: N/A									
Concomitant treatments	No Surveillance: N/A Patients on PPI for GORD	?: not reported								
Concomitant treatments Length of follow up		?: not reported								
Length of follow up	Patients on PPI for GORD	?: not reported								
Length of follow up Location Outcomes measures	Patients on PPI for GORD Follow-up: 3 years	?: not reported								
Length of follow up Location	Patients on PPI for GORD Follow-up: 3 years	?: not reported	SURVEILLAN	ICE NO S	URVEIL	LANCE				
Length of follow up Location Outcomes measures	Patients on PPI for GORD Follow-up: 3 years	?: not reported		ICE NO S FREQ N	URVEIL K	LANCE	- Δ	Ρ		
Length of follow up Location Outcomes measures	Patients on PPI for GORD Follow-up: 3 years	·	N K F				 Δ N/A	P N/A		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Abela,J.E., Going,J.J., Macker detects Barrett's dysplasia in m 2008;103(4):850-55. (#7020)									sy
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	180	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Absolute number of patients				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	developing cancer	Dichotomous	180	2						
	Progression to high grade dyspla	sia or to cancer are	not rep	orted se	parately					
Authors' conclusion	Our data support the hypothesis t sampling in detecting Barrett's dy				y is consider	ably mor	e effectiv	e than no	nsystematic biop	osy
Source of funding	none – salaries paid by University	/								
Comments	Patients selected for systematic C here.	Quad biopsy or stan	dard bio	opsy on	consultant p	eference	e. Only Q	uad biops	y data are extra	cted

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ajumobi,A., Bahjri,K., C Diseases & Sciences 20			ophagus: an audit of practice.	Digestive
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series				
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 165 Gender: not reported Age: 65 years mean Barrett's Oesophagus defi Exclusions: N/R Baseline characteristics:	ned as: patients with Bar	rett's Oesophagus – not other	rwise described	
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE		
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN	_	
	Length of BO segment	N/R	N/A	-	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ajumobi,A., Bahjri,K., Jackson, Diseases & Sciences 2010;55(6): <sup>2</sup>		rveillanc	e in B	arrett's es	ophag	gus: an	audit of pra	actice.	Digestive	
		splasia 59%, 38%, HGD 4%.	N/A			_					
	Prevalent cancer / HGD excluded u	p to 6 months?									
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: N/R										
	Initial frequency of recall (for BO with recommended intervals was undertain No Surveillance: N/A								ח from ו	national	
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?: N/R	Patients on PPI for GORD?: N/R									
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 4.2 months										
Location	Country: USA										
Outcomes measures and effect sizes				/=				LLANCE			
			SURV	/EILLA	INCE	INO 3	JURVEI	LLANCE			
									-		
			N	К	MEAN/ %	N	к	MEAN/%	Δ	Р	
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous	N	K		N N/A			Δ N/A	P N/A	
	cancer		N	К	%		N/A	MEAN/%			
			N	к	%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	MEAN/% N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	
	cancer		N 165	К 0	%	N/A	N/A	MEAN/% N/A	N/A	N/A	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ajumobi,A., Bahjri,K., Diseases & Sciences 201			ophagus: an audit of practice. Digestive
Authors' conclusion	Veteran patients with Barr	ett's esophagus undergo	ing SE rarely progress to high	n-grade dysplasia or esophageal adenocarcinoma.
Source of funding	N/R			
Comments		•	cosa (11.5%) than progressed one progressed to HGD or ca	d to HGD (3.6%) or Caner (0.0%). Of patients who ancer
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	· · · ·			oesophagus: results from a 13-year logy 2000;12(6):649-54.(#7146)
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series			
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 357 Gender: 58% male Age: 65 years Barrett's Oesophagus defi epithelium anywhere in oe Exclusions: N/R Baseline characteristics:		umnar epithelium >3cm above	e gastro-oesophageal junction, or specialised type
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE	
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN	_
	Length of BO segment Degree of dysplasia (if	6.1 cm (mean)	N/A	
	any)	N/R	N/A	
	Prevalent cancer / HGD ex	cluded up to 6 months?		
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: No mandator Initial frequency of recall (f		: 1 year	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Bani-Hani,K., Sue-Ling,H., John surveillance programme. Europe				·		-	-		
	No Surveillance: N/R				5, 1		,	, (-,		
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD? N/R									
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 3.8 years									
Location	Country: UK									
Outcomes measures and effect sizes										
			SUR	SURVEILLANCE			SURVE			
			N	к	MEAN/%	N	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Ρ
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous			0.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	100 patient year incidence of HDG				N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	357	0		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Absolute number of patients					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	developing cancer	Dichotomous	357	12						
Authors' conclusion	Whilst the role of screening patients male patients with specialized epith		esopha	gus re	emains contr	oversi	al, this	study suppo	rts the	routine
Source of funding	N/R									
Comments	No mandatory biopsy protocol used	. 12 patients lost	t to follo	อพ บอ	(no record a	vailab	le)			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Conio,M., Blanchi,S., Lapertosa,G., Ferraris,R., Sablich,R., Marchi,S., et al. Long-term endoscopic surveillance of patients with Barrett's esophagus. Incidence of dysplasia and adenocarcinoma: a prospective study. American Journal of Gastroenterology 2003;98(9):1931-39. (#7428)
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series
Number and	n = 166

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)		ophagus. Incidence of		al. Long-term endoscopic surveillance of noma: a prospective study. American Journal of					
characteristics of patients	Gender: 78% Male								
patients	Age range: 60 years Barrett's Oesophagus defined as: Detectable upward displacement of the squamocolumnar junction at endoscopy, with intestinal metaplasia								
	Exclusions: N/R Baseline characteristics:								
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE	-					
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN	_					
	Length of BO segment	N/R	N/A						
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	no dysplasia 90%, LGD 10%	N/A						
	Prevalent cancer / HGD e>	cluded up to 6 months?:	N/R						
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Endoscopy w	vith multiple biopsies							
	Initial frequency of recall (f	or BO with no dysplasia):	2 years						
Concomitant treatments	No Surveillance: N/A Patients on PPI for GORD	2. N/D							
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD	(. IN/K							
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 5.5 years								
Location	Country: Italy								
Outcomes measures and effect sizes									

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Conio,M., Blanchi,S., Lapertosa, patients with Barrett's esophagus Gastroenterology 2003;98(9):1931	Incidence of								
			SUR	VEILL	ANCE	NO S	URVEILL	ANCE	_	
		_	N	K	MEAN/%	N	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous			0.54	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			0.33	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	166	0		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Absolute number of patients developing cancer	Dichotomous	166	5		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Authors' conclusion	In our patient cohort, surveillance inv surveillance remains uncertain	volved a large e	xpend	liture	of effort but	did not	prevent	any cancer de	eaths. T	he benefit of
Source of funding	N/R									
Comments	Patients who missed some surveilla up and excluded from analysis – no					ately a	s 'partially	y compliant'.	8/174 p	atients lost to follow
Bibliographic	Cooper,S.C., El-agib,A., Dar,S.,	Mohommod	Nich	tinga		ov I A	at al. E	adacaania a	urvoillo	noo for Porrott's
reference (Ref ID)	oesophagus: the patients' perspe									
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series									<b>``</b>
Number and characteristics of	n = 151 Gender: 67% Male									

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)		, Dar,S., Mohammed,I., I s' perspective. European								
patients	Age: 66 years Barrett's Oesophagus defi intestinal metaplasia on bio Exclusions: Exclusions not Baseline characteristics:		olumna	r lined	oesophagu	s above	the pro	ximal margiı	ns of th	e upper folds, ar
		SURVEILLANCE	NO	SURV	EILLANCE					
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN							
	Length of BO segment	N/R	N/A		_					
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	90% no, 3% indefinite, 7% LGD, 0% HGD	N/A							
	Prevalent cancer / HGD ex	cluded up to 6 months?: N	I/R							
Intervention(s)	Surveillance:. Surveillance Initial frequency of recall (f	e protocol not reported. for BO with no dysplasia): N	lixed							
	No Surveillance: N/A									
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD	?: N/R								
Length of follow up	Follow-up: N/R									
Location	Country: UK									
Outcomes measures										
and effect sizes			SUR	SURVEILLANCE N		NO SI	JRVEILI	LANCE		
			N	К	MEAN/%	Ν	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence cancer	e of Dichotomous	-		N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cooper,S.C., El-agib,A., Dar,S., oesophagus: the patients' perspe									
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	151	N/R		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Absolute number of patients developing cancer	Dichotomous	151	N/R		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Patient information	Categorical	Too little information 29% (43/151), no information 22% (33/151), desire for more information 85% (129/151) Reduce risk of Oesophageal cancer 74% (109/151), completely negate risk 5% (7/151), greatly reduce risk 49% (72/151) 6.1 points (SD 4.2 points)							33/151),
	Perception of benefit of surveillance	Categorical								pletely
	Hospital anxiety and depression (HAD) Anxiety	Conrinous								
	Hospital anxiety and depression (HAD) Depression	Continous	<ul> <li>4.0 points (SD 3.5 points)</li> <li>44 points (range 27 to 55 points)</li> <li>Pain 57.2 points, General perception of health 53.9 points, mental health 72.4 points, physical functioning 57.0 points, role limitations emotional 63.0, role limitations physical 50.9, social functioning 88.1, energy 53.1</li> </ul>							
	Trust in Physician score (TIPS) (11 to 55 points higher score better)	Continous								
	SF-36	Continous								
	All SF-36 domains were significantly	lower in the BO	survoill		ationts the	an in an c		and soci	0-0000	
	general population cohort except for		Suiveille	ance p		an in an c	aye, sex		0-6001011	
Authors' conclusion	Patients undergoing endoscopic sur	veillance for BO	suffer a	nxiety	and have	impaired	quality	of life		
Source of funding	No conflicts of interests									
Comments	Questionnaire completed at a time ir scores. 71% of patients invited to tak Comparison between responders an	ke part agreed to	. And 1	51/178	patients	complete	d the qu	estionnair	e in full. 3	3 study sites.

<b>—</b>	Cooper,S.C., El-agib,A., Dar,S., Mohammed,I., Nightingale,P., Murray,I.A., et al. Endoscopic surveillance for Barrett's oesophagus: the patients' perspective. European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2009;21(8):850-54 (#7443)
	characteristics. UK perspective.

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)				r,G.A., Kuipers,E.J Risk of malignant nort study. Gut 2010;59(8):1030-36. (#7502)					
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series								
Number and	n = 16,365								
characteristics of	Gender: 63% Male								
patients	Age range: 82 years								
	Barrett's Oesophagus defined as: Histologically confirmed Barrett's Oesophagus with no dysplasia or low grade dysplasia at baseline.								
	Exclusions: Previous surgery, or malignancy								
	Baseline characteristics:	<del></del>		_					
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE						
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN	_					
	Length of BO segment	N/R	N/A						
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	None 90%, LGD 10%	N/A	_					
	Prevalent cancer / HGD ex	cluded up to 6 months?: Y	es – up to 12 months						
Intervention(s)	Surveillance; not defined								
			not defined – mean of 3 end	loscopies per patient over 4.8 years follow up.					
	Significantly more pfreque	nt if LGD at baseline							
	No Surveillance: N/A								
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD	?:							

eference (Ref ID)	progression in patients with B									(in <b>19</b> -)	
ength of follow up	Follow-up: 4.8 years										
Location	Country: Holland										
Outcomes measures and effect sizes											
			SURVEILLANCE			NO S	URVEIL	LANCE			
			N	К	MEAN/%	N	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Ρ	
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous	-	-	0.65	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			0.0021*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	16,365	N/R		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Absolute number of patients developing cancer	Dichotomous	16,365	505		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Authors' conclusion		* possibly analysis of patients that developed HGD but not cancer In this largest reported cohort of unselected patients with BO, the annual risk of OAC was 0.4%. Male sex, older age and LGD at									
Source of funding	One author is on executive boar	-		51011							
Comments	Cancer / HGD incidence rates of younger than those not included Younger (p<0.001) and male (p< Follow up frequency was signific (p<0.001) Patients with LGD were significa	f patients not in s p<0.001. Patient <0.001) patients v cantly shorter for p	urveillanc s with LG vere more patients w	D were likely ith LGI	e significant to be in 'su D (mean 1.4	ly older rveillan	than the	ose with no d p	ysplasia	(p<0.001)	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)				noma in Barrett's esophagus: a prospective prology 1997;92(2):212-15. (#7576)				
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series							
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 170 Gender: 98% Male Age: 62 years Barrett's Oesophagus defin Exclusions: N/R Baseline characteristics:	ned as: Patients with col	umnar epithelium on endosc	copy and metaplasia on biopsy specimen				
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE					
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN					
	Length of BO segment Degree of dysplasia (if	5cm	N/A					
	any)	N/R	N/A	_				
	Prevalent cancer / HGD excluded up to 6 months?: Yes							
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Dual biopsy n Initial frequency of recall (f No Surveillance: N/A	• • • •	undertaken which might redu : 1 to 2 years (mix)	uce detection rate				
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD	?: N/R						
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 4.8 years							
Location	Country: USA							
Outcomes measures								
and effect sizes			SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE				

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Drewitz,D.J., Sampliner,R.E., Gar study of 170 patients followed 4.8									
			N	К	MEAN/%	Ν	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence of	Dichotomous			0.48	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	cancer					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous	470		N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer Absolute number of patients	Dichotomous	170	N/R		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	developing cancer	Dichotomous	170	4						
Authors' conclusion	The current series is larger and has of adenocarcinoma. Surveillance of									
Source of funding	N/R			Ũ						
Comments	Patients encouraged to enter surveil	ance at their own	prefere	nce						
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ferraris,R., Bonelli,L., Conio,M., population: an endoscopic survei (GOSPE). European Journal of Ga	lance programm	e. Grup	po Op	erativo pe	r lo St	udio de	elle Precance		
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series									
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 187 Gender: 74% Male Age range: 19-75 years Barrett's Oesophagus defined as: Pa Exclusions: N/R Baseline characteristics:	atients with colum	nar epith	elium	on endosco	opy and	d metap	olasia on biop	sy spec	imen
	SURVE	EILLANCE	NO S	JRVEI	LLANCE					

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ferraris,R., Bonelli,L., Conio,M population: an endoscopic surv (GOSPE). European Journal of	eillance program	me. Grup	o Ope	erativo per l	o Stu	dio de	lle Precanc			
	MEA	N / MEDIAN	MEAN	/ MED	AN						
		no dysplasia / finite, 3% LGD,	N/A								
	Degree of dysplasia (if 0% l any)		N/A								
	Prevalent cancer / HGD excluded	up to 6 months?: '	Yes – 12 m	onths							
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Quad biopsy every 2 cm Initial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): 1 year No Surveillance:										
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?: Som	e patients on H2R/	As – earlier	in the	cohort						
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 3 years										
Location	Country: Italy										
Outcomes measures											
and effect sizes			SUF	VEILL	ANCE	NO S	SURVE	ILLANCE			
			N	К	MEAN/%	Ν	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Р	
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous			0.53	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	100 patient year incidence of HD	G Dichotomous			0.01*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	187	N/R		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
National Institute for Health	and Care Excellence 2014.										

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ferraris,R., Bonelli,L., Conio,M., Fracchia,M., Lapertosa,G., Aste,H Incidence of Barrett's adenocarcinoma in an Italian population: an endoscopic surveillance programme. Gruppo Operativo per lo Studio delle Precancerosi Esofagee (GOSPE). European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology 1997;9(9):881-85 (#7686)							
	Absolute number of patients Dichotomous 187 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A * possibly analysis of patients that developed HGD but not cancer							
Authors' conclusion	The present report shows that the incidence of adenocarcinoma in Italian Barrett's oesophagus patients is in the range of that reported from other Western countries							
Source of funding	N/R							
Comments	51.7% (187/344) eligible complied with follow up (no difference in dysplasia status between groups). Patients over 75 years were excluded from surveillance and hence this study							

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)				Quality of life in patients with Barrett's y 2002;97(9):2193-2000 (#7695)							
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series	Study type: Case series									
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 15 Gender: 100% Male Age range: 67 years (med Barrett's Oesophagus defi Exclusions: N/R Baseline characteristics:	,	on endoscopy and biopsy.								
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE	_							
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN	_							
	Length of BO segment	N/R	N/A								

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Fisher,D., Jeffreys,A., Boswo esophagus undergoing survei									
	Degree of dysplasia (if any) N/F	२	N	I/A						
	Prevalent cancer / HGD exclude	d up to 6 months?:	N/R							
Intervention(s)	Surveillance N/R Initial frequency of recall (for BO No Surveillance: N/A	with no dysplasia)	: N/R							
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?: All (	Patients on PPI for GORD?: All on PPI								
Length of follow up	Follow-up: N/A									
Location	Country: USA									
Outcomes measures										
and effect sizes			SUR	VEILL	ANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE				
			Ν	K	MEAN/%	Ν	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous			N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	100 patient year incidence of	Dichotomous			N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HDG	Dichotomous								
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	15	N/R	N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
			15 15	N/R N/R	N/R N/R	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Fisher,D., Jeffreys,A., Bosworth,H., Wang,J., Lipscomb,J., Provenzale,D Quality of life in patients with Barrett's esophagus undergoing surveillance. American Journal of Gastroenterology 2002;97(9):2193-2000 (#7695)
	* For all 5 domains of QOLRAD scores were significantly higher in patients in surveillance than gender matched cohort having endoscopy for upper GI symptoms – data not reported
Authors' conclusion	This population of BE patients had significantly higher QOLRD scores than a previously published population referred for endoscopy
Source of funding	A number of authors supported by national grants
Comments	Higher QOLRAD score denotes better QOL (scale 0 to 7). QOLRAD score did not correlate well with utility rating score (p=0.71)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)				t's esophagus: Macroscopic markers and the / & Hepatology 2003;18(5):526-33. (#7650)							
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series										
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 353 Gender: 71 Male Age: 60 years Barrett's Oesophagus defi Exclusions: N/R Baseline characteristics:	Gender: 71 Male Age: 60 years Barrett's Oesophagus defined as: Patients with BO (not otherwise described) Exclusions: N/R									
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE	_							
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN	_							
	Length of BO segment	N/R	N/A								
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	No dysplasia 83% , LGD 16% , HGD 1%	N/A	_							
	Prevalent cancer / HGD ex	Prevalent cancer / HGD excluded up to 6 months?: No - excluded up to 2 months									
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Quad biopsy	v every 2 cm. Two or more	independent pathologists u	ndertook assessment of biopsy samples							

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hillman,L.C., Chiragakis,L., C prediction of dysplasia and ad									
	Initial frequency of recall (for BO	with no dysplasia	): 1 yea	ar (3 to	6 months if	fsever	re oeso	ophagitis)		
	No Surveillance: N/A									
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?: Not	all patients on PP	ls som	e on H	l2RAs					
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 4.5 years									
Location	Country: Australia									
Outcomes measures										
and effect sizes			SURVEILLANCE			NO S	SURVE	ILLANCE		
			Ν	К	MEAN/%	Ν	K	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous	-	-	0.05	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			0.05	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	353	N/R		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Absolute number of patients developing cancer	Dichotomous	353	9		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Dieneternetae		Ũ						
	1/3 patients with HGD at baselin	e regressed to LG	D, 28/5	56 patie	ents with LC	GD reg	ressec	to no dyspla	asia.	
Authors' conclusion	The presence of severe esophage esophages.	gitis, Barrett's ulce	r, nodu	larity c	or stricture a	t entry	/ indica	ates a high-ri	sk grou	ip for Barrett's
Source of funding	N/R									
Comments	Follow up was changed from ret	rospective to pros	pective	during	the study p	period.				

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)		agus and esophagogastr		a-Pedraza,E., et al. Normalization of intestinal clinical data. American Journal of
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series			
Number and	n = 101			
characteristics of patients	Gender: 73% Male			
P	Age: 65 years Barrett's Oesonbagus defi	ned as: Patients with short	seament BO, long seamen	t BO, or specialized intestinal mucosa at the gastro-
	oesophageal junction. Cor			
	Exclusions: Patients with h	nistory of oesophageal care	cinoma or contraindication to	o endoscopy
	Baseline characteristics:			_
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE	
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN	_
	Length of BO segment	43% short segment Barrett's, 25% Long segment Barrett's	N/A	
		32% specialist intestinal mucosa at	N/A	
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	Gastro-oesophageal junction	N/A	_
	Prevalent cancer / HGD e>	cluded up to 6 months?: N	J/R	
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Quad biopsie	•		
	Initial frequency of recall (f	or BO with no dysplasia): I	N/R	
	No Surveillance:			
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD	?: Yes		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Horwhat,J.D., Baroni,D., Maydon metaplasia in the esophagus and Gastroenterology 2007;102(3):497	esophagogastric								
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 3.7 years									
Location	Country: USA									
Outcomes measures and effect sizes			SUR	VEILL	ANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE				
			Ν	К	MEAN/%	Ν	K	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous			0.54	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	101	N/R		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Absolute number of patients developing cancer	Dichotomous	101			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Regression occurred in 30% (13/44)	) of patients with s	hort seg	ment E	30					
Authors' conclusion	Surveillance of long segment BO re-	sults in the greate	st yield f	or iden	tifying dysp	lasia a	and car	ncer		
Source of funding	No conflicts									
Comments	Only 68% (101/148) of patients und	ergoing surveilland	ce were	availat	ole for analy	sis. Er	ndosco	py undertal	ken off	PPI

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hur,C., Wittenberg,E., Nishioka,N.S., Gazelle,G.S Patient preferences for the management of high-grade dysplasia in Barrett's esophagus. Digestive Diseases & Sciences 2005;50(1):116-25. (#8007)
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series
Number and	n = 20

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)			.S Patient preferences fonces 2005;50(1):116-25. (#8	or the management of high-grade dysplasia in 3007)
characteristics of patients	Gender: 55% Male Age: 65 years Barrett's Oesophagus defin that they had HGD Exclusions: N/R Baseline characteristics:	ned as: Patients with BO c	onfirmed on biopsy having a	an endoscopy or clinic visit, and asked to image
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE	
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN	-
	Length of BO segment	90% none, 10% LGD (although asked to	N/A	
	Degree of dysplasia (if any) Prevalent cancer / HGD ex	imagine they had HGD)	N/A	-
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: N/R – imagin Initial frequency of recall (f No Surveillance: N/A	ed surveillance scenario		
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD	?: N/R		
Length of follow up	Follow-up: N/R			
Location	Country: USA			
Outcomes measures and effect sizes				

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hur,C., Wittenberg,E., Nishioka, Barrett's esophagus. Digestive D					nana	gemer	nt of high	-grade d	ysplasia in
			SUR	VEILL	ANCE	NO SU		LANCE	_	
			N	К	MEAN/%	N	К	MEAN/ %	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous			N/R	N/ A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			N/R	N/ A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	20	N/R		N/ A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Absolute number of patients developing cancer	Dichotomous	20	N/R		N/ A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Preference for treatment of HGD Surveillance / oesophagectomy / PDT (0 to 100 scale – higher better)	Dichotomous	20		Surveillance 79.3 points (range 50 to 100), oesophagectomy 46.0 points (5 to 100), PDT 59.5 points (10 to 90)*					
	*Significantly more patients chose S two tailed Chi-square.	urveillance 70%	(14/20	)), tha	in oesophagectomy 18	5% (3	/20) , a	and PDT 1	5% (3/20	D) (p=0.0024)
Authors' conclusion	In summary, when patients with Barren endoscopic surveillance	rett's esophagus	were	presen	ted with three options	to ma	anage	HGD, the	majority	chose
Source of funding	N/R									
Comments	Treatment scenarios (outcomes) pre	esented to patien	its are	open t	o debate – relating to	cure a	and co	mplicatior	is. No su	rveillance was

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hur,C., Wittenberg,E., Nishioka,N.S., Gazelle,G.S Patient preferences for the management of high-grade dysplasia in Barrett's esophagus. Digestive Diseases & Sciences 2005;50(1):116-25. (#8007)
	not presented as an option (although unlikely in the situation where HGD diagnosed). Order of presenting scenarios might have affected preference. One interviewer undertook all sessions with patients

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)				development of dysplasia and adenocarcinoma I of Gastroenterology 1998;93(4):536-41. (#8138)
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series			
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 102 Gender: 83% Male Age: 63 years Barrett's Oesophagus defin specimen. Exclusions: Pat Baseline characteristics:			Gcm and specialized epithelium on at least 1 biopsy er or HGD were excluded.
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE	
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN	
	Length of BO segment		N/A	
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	Mixed no dysplasia / HGD	N/A	
	Prevalent cancer / HGD ex	cluded up to 6 months?: F	Patients with HGD at baseli	ne were excluded
Intervention(s)	Surveillance Pathologists u Initial frequency of recall (f	• • •	•	inal diagnosis, and confirmed by 2 pathologists.
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD	?: N/R		
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 4.8 years			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Katz,D., Rothstein,R., Schned,A. during endoscopic surveillance o						-		-	
Location	Country: Holland									
Outcomes measures										
and effect sizes			SURVEILLANCE BASELINE			SURVEILLANCE FOLLOW UP			_	
			Ν	К	MEAN/%	N	K	MEAN/%	Δ	P
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous			0.36	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			0.71	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	10 2	N/ R		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Absolute number of patients developing cancer	Dichotomous	10 2	2		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Authors' conclusion	Our results suggest that surveillance indeterminate for dysplasia	e endoscopy car	ı be s	afely	deferred fo	or at lea	ast 2 yr	following an	initial b	piopsy that is negative or
Source of funding	Lead author supported by fellowship	from national ir	stitut	ion a	nd funding	from u	niversity	/.		
Comments	Method of biopsy changed during st patients lost to follow up.	udy period, with	syste	emati	c quad biop	sy sar	npling u	sed later in	the coh	ort (post 1983). 1/102

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kruijshaar,M.E., Kerkhof,M., Siersema,P.D., Steyerberg,E.W., Homs,M.Y., Essink-Bot,M.L., CYBAR Study Group. The burden of upper gastrointestinal endoscopy in patients with Barrett's esophagus. Endoscopy 2006;38(9):873-78 (#8221)
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 192 Gender: 66% Male

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kruijshaar,M.E., Kerkho burden of upper gastroin Age: 62 years Barrett's Oesophagus defin Exclusions: Patients with H Baseline characteristics:	ntestinal endoscopy in provide the second structure as: Patients with BO	of 2c	nts wit	h Barrett's	esoph	nagus.	Endoscopy 2	2006;38(9)	):873-78 (#8221)
		SURVEILLANCE		NO SU	RVEILLANC	ЭE				
		MEAN / MEDIAN	1	MEAN /	MEDIAN					
	Length of BO segment	N/R	1	N/A						
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	78% no, 22% Low	1	N/A			ı			
	Prevalent cancer / HGD e>	cluded up to 6 months?:								
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: endoscopy te Initial frequency of recall (f				sed in all pa	tients				
	No Surveillance: N/A									
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD	?: N/R								
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 1 month									
Location	Country: Holland									
Outcomes measures										
and effect sizes			รเ	JRVEIL	LANCE	NO S	SURVE	ILLANCE		
			N	K	MEAN/%	N	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence cancer	e of Dichotomous			N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kruijshaar,M.E., Kerkhof,M., Sie burden of upper gastrointestinal								
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous		N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
			19		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	2	N/R					
	Absolute number of patients developing cancer	Dichotomous	19 2	N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	HAD anxiety (0 to 21 lower scores		10					0.7	0.02
	better) 1 wk	Continous	2	From 6.0 (5.	3 to 6.8) t	o 5.3 (4	4.6 to 6.0)		
	HAD depression (0 to 21 lower		10					0.5	<0.01
	scores better) 1 wk	Continous	2	From 2.9 (2.	5 to 3.2) to	0 2.4 (2	.0 to 2.8)		
	Anxiety scores before endoscopy (6 (p<0.0001)	6.0 points) were	signific	cantly higher (	(worse) tha	an in th	e general po	opulation (3.9	9 points)
Authors' conclusion		s burdensome fo	or many	/ patients with	n Barrett's	esopha	igus and car	uses modera	ite distress.
Authors' conclusion	(p<0.0001) Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy is	s burdensome fo	or many	/ patients with	n Barrett's	esopha	igus and car	uses modera	ite distress.

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Levine,D.S., Blount,P.L., Rudolph,R.E., Reid,B.J Safety of a systematic endoscopic biopsy protocol in patients with Barrett's esophagus. American Journal of Gastroenterology 2000;95(5):1152-57. (#8326)
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series
Number and characteristics of	n = 705 Gender: N/R

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Levine,D.S., Blount,P.L. Barrett's esophagus. An		· · ·				-		psy protoco	l in pati	ents with
patients	Age range: N/R Barrett's Oesophagus defi all had BO at baseline Exclusions: Patients in wh Baseline characteristics:	ned as: Patient	s with GORD	or Barre	tt's oes	ophagus. Mix	ture of	screer	0		patients, not
		SURVEILLANCE		NO SURVEILLANCE							
		MEAN / MEI	DIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN		AN					
	Length of BO segment	N/R		N/A							
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	N/R		N/A							
	Prevalent cancer / HGD ex	cluded up to 6	months?:								
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Up to 10 samples for endoscopically visible lesion, and quad biopsies every 2 cm (or 1 cm is high grade dysplasia). Jumbo forceps used for sampling biopsies Initial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): Mixed No Surveillance: N/A										
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD	?: N/R									
Length of follow up	Follow-up: N/R										
Location	Country: USA										
Outcomes measures and effect sizes										_	
				SURVEILLANCE		NCE	NO SURVEILLANCE				
				Ν	K	MEAN/%	N	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence	e of cancer Di	chotomous			N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Levine, D.S., Blount, P.L., Rudolph Barrett's esophagus. American Jo						opsy prot	ocol in patie	ents with
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			N/R	A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Too patient year incidence of TIDG	Dichotomous			N/IX	A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	705	0					
	Absolute number of patients				N/.	A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	developing cancer	Dichotomous	N/R	N/R					
	Adverse event	Dichotomous	705	F	N/	A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Adverse event	Dichotomous	700	0					
	*Rate of adverse events calculated pa	atient not ner hions	sv 18 ar	lvorso ol	vents in 11 natier	nte			
	Adverse events that required hospital	• •	•		•		eding eve	ents involved	procedures
	with stricture						Ū		•
Authors' conclusion	A rigorous, systematic endoscopic bio						produce e	sophageal p	erforation or
	bleeding when performed by an expe	rienced team of ph	nysicians	s, nurses	, and technicians				
Source of funding	Supported by a national grant								
Comments	Patients pre-selected for suitability for	r endoscopy at bas	seline.						

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Murphy,S.J., Dickey,W., Hughes,D., O'Connor,F.A Surveillance for Barrett's oesophagus: results from a programme in Northern Ireland. European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2005;17(10):1029-35 (#8559)
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series
Number and characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>n = 178</li> <li>Gender: 71% Male</li> <li>Age: 57 years</li> <li>Barrett's Oesophagus defined as: Patients with BO defined as columnar epithelium of any length and specialized intestinal metaplasia on biopsy</li> <li>Exclusions: Patients with significant comorbidity or unsuitability for oesophagectomy were excluded</li> </ul>

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Murphy,S.J., Dickey,W., I Northern Ireland. Europea										n <mark>a program</mark> i	me in
	Baseline characteristics:											
		SURVI	EILLANCE	NC	) SUR	VEILLANC	Ξ			nonths FU were excluded as		
		MEAN	/ MEDIAN	ME	MEAN / MEDIAN							
	Length of BO segment	N/R		N/A	١							
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	63% N indefin	o, 18% ite, 19% Low	N/A	•							
	Prevalent cancer / HGD exc prevalent cancer	cluded up	o to 6 months?: `	íes. Pa	atients	with cance	r at ba	seline o	r at up to 6 m	ionths F	U were exclu	ded as
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: multiple sample Initial frequency of recall (for No Surveillance: N/A			•			•		•			
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?	: N/R										
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 3.4 years											
Location	Country: UK											
Outcomes measures												
and effect sizes				SUR	VEILL	ANCE	NO S	SURVEI	LLANCE			
				N	К	MEAN/%	Ν	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Р	
	100 patient year incidence cancer	of	Dichotomous			0.49	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	100 patient year incidence	of HDG	Dichotomous			0.98	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Murphy,S.J., Dickey,W., Hughes,D., O'Connor,F.A Surveillance for Barrett's oesophagus: results from a programme in Northern Ireland. European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2005;17(10):1029-35 (#8559)
	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A M/A M/A Mortality from cancer Dichotomous 178 N/R
	Absolute number of patientsN/AN/AN/AN/Adeveloping cancerDichotomous1783
Authors' conclusion	The incidence of adenocarcinoma in patients in Northern Ireland was similar to the incidence reported by other large institutions. Clinical benefit is suggested but is not certain from these data, because of biases that affect surveillance programmes. Large multicentre studies are required to determine whether surveillance is beneficial
Source of funding	No conflicts on interest
Comments	No standard biopsy protocol used, multiple samples taken from Barrett's segment and additional biopsies of suspicious areas

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Nilsson, J., Skobe, V., Johansson, J., Willen, R., Johnsson, F Screening for oesophageal adenocarcinoma: an evaluation of a surveillance program for columnar metaplasia of the oesophagus. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology 2000;35(1):10-16. (#8591)
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series
Number and characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>n = 199</li> <li>Gender: 70% Male</li> <li>Age range: 59 years</li> <li>Barrett's Oesophagus defined as: Patients with specialized columnar epithelium, or gastric type metaplasia. Endoscopic and biopsy confirmation.</li> <li>Exclusions: N/R</li> <li>Baseline characteristics:</li> </ul>
	SURVEILLANCE NO SURVEILLANCE
	MEAN / MEDIAN MEAN / MEDIAN

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Nilsson,J., Skobe,V., Johans of a surveillance program for 2000;35(1):10-16. (#8591)										ation
	Length of BO segment (>3 No 10 Degree of dysplasia (if wit	% 134/199 patients d long segment BO Bcm). dysplasia or LGD 0%. 68% patients h specialized lumnar epithelium	N/A								
	Prevalent cancer / HGD exclude	ed up to 6 months?: \	res								
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Not described. 6 o Initial frequency of recall (for BC No Surveillance: N/A	r 8 biopsies per endo	oscopy		iths to 2 yea	ars					
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?: N/F	2									
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 4.0 years										
Location	Country: Sweden										
Outcomes measures											
and effect sizes			SUR	VEILLA	ANCE	NO S	SURVEII	LANCE			
			N	К	MEAN/%	Ν	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Ρ	
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous	-	-	0.63	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	100 patient year incidence of H	DG Dichotomous			N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	199	N/R		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Nilsson, J., Skobe, V., Johansson, J., Willen, R., Johnsson, F Screening for oesophageal adenocarcinoma: an evaluation of a surveillance program for columnar metaplasia of the oesophagus. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology 2000;35(1):10-16. (#8591)
	Absolute number of patients N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A AV/A N/A M/A N/A M/A M/A M/A M/A M/A M/A M/A M/A M/A M
Authors' conclusion	Low cancer incidence, high costs, and the doubtful prognosis for the patients with identified cancer question the benefits and cost- effectiveness of cancer screening among patients with columnar metaplasia in the oesophagus
Source of funding	N/R
Comments	All endoscopies performed by experienced endoscopists with >1000 endoscopies performed. 6 to 8 biopsies taken at each endoscopy

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)				and dysplasia in Barrett's esophagus: report f Gastroenterology 1999;94(8):2037-42. (#8613)
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series			
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 136 Gender: 67% Male Age: 58 years Barrett's Oesophagus defi Exclusions: N/R Baseline characteristics:	ned as: Patients with Bar	rett's Oesophagus with endo	scopic and biopsy confirmation
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE	
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN	
	Length of BO segment		N/A	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	O'Connor, J.B., Falk, G.W., Ri on the Cleveland Clinic Barret									
		% No dysplasia, 7% D, 1% HGD	N/A							
	Prevalent cancer / HGD exclude prevalent dyplasia or cancer	ed up to 6 months?: \	′es. Pat	ients w	∕ith <1 yr FL	J were	exclue	ded to avoid	l miscla	ssification of
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Quad biopsy every Initial frequency of recall (for BC		2 years							
	No Surveillance: N/A									
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?: Pat	tients treated with eit	ner H2R	RA or P	PI					
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 4.2 years									
Location	Country: USA									
Outcomes measures										
and effect sizes			SUR	VEILL	ANCE	NO S	SURVE	ILLANCE		
			N	K	MEAN/%	N	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous			0.35	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	100 patient year incidence of H	DG Dichotomous			0.70	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	136	N/R		N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A
	Absolute number of patients developing cancer	Dichotomous	136	2		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	O'Connor,J.B., Falk,G.W., Richter,J.E The incidence of adenocarcinoma and dysplasia in Barrett's esophagus: report on the Cleveland Clinic Barrett's Esophagus Registry. American Journal of Gastroenterology 1999;94(8):2037-42. (#8613)
	9/136 patients lost to follow up none of whom had developed dysplasia
Authors' conclusion	The incidence of adenocarcinoma in Barrett's esophagus is lower than initially thought. However, large multicenter studies are required to clarify the epidemiological and clinical factors related to the development of dysplasia and adenocarcinoma in Barrett's esophagus
Source of funding	N/R
Comments	Patients treated with either H2RA or PPI – cancer incidence rate might be higher on PPI if acid suppression not so complete.

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)		us: frequency of intestina		n,C.S., et al. Endoscopic surveillance of nd impact of antireflux surgery. Annals of
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series			
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 177 Gender: 76 Male Age range: 57 years Barrett's Oesophagus defin Exclusions: N/R Baseline characteristics:	ned as: Patients with speci	alized columnar epithelium.	. Endoscopic and biopsy confirmation
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE	
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN	
	Length of BO segment Degree of dysplasia (if any)	67% 134/199 patients had long segment BO (>3cm). No dysplasia or LGD 100%.	N/A N/A	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Oberg,S., Johansson,J., Wenner columnar-lined esophagus: freque Surgery 2001;234(5):619-26 (#862	ency of intestina								
	Prevalent cancer / HGD excluded up	o to 6 months?: Y	es							
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Quad biopsy every 2 c Initial frequency of recall (for BO with	•			•	•				
	No Surveillance:									
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?: N/R									
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 5.1 years									
Location	Country: Sweden									
Outcomes measures										
and effect sizes			SURVEILLANCE NO SURVEILLANCE							
			N	К	MEAN/%	Ν	K	MEAN/%	Δ	Ρ
	100 patient year incidence of					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	cancer	Dichotomous			N/R					
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Too patient year moldence of TIDO	Dichotomous			IN/IX	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
							1 1/7 1	1 1/7 1	1 1/7 1	1 1/7 1
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	177	N/R						
	Mortality from cancer Absolute number of patients developing cancer	Dichotomous Dichotomous	177 177	N/R N/R		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Oberg,S., Johansson,J., Wenner,J., Johnsson,F., Zilling,T., von Holstein,C.S., et al. Endoscopic surveillance of columnar-lined esophagus: frequency of intestinal metaplasia detection and impact of antireflux surgery. Annals of Surgery 2001;234(5):619-26 (#8626)
	51% (35/69) of patients with no metaplasia at baseline developed it over the 5.5 year follow up
Authors' conclusion	Biopsy samples from a single endoscopy, despite an adequate biopsy protocol, are insufficient to rule out the presence of intestinal metaplasia. Patients in whom biopsy specimens from a segment of CLE show no intestinal metaplasia have a significant risk of having undetected intestinal metaplasia or of developing intestinal metaplasia with time.
Source of funding	N/R
Comments	As many as 143 of the patients reported here are also included in Nilsson (2000) within this review

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Olithselvan,A., Gorard,D.A., McIntyre,A.S A surveillance programme for Barrett's oesophagus in a UK general hospital. European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2007;19(4):305-09. (#8653)									
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series	Study type: Case series								
Number and characteristics of patients			ble columnar lined mucosa >c ondition that would limit oeso;	cm with histological confirmation. phagectomy were excluded						
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE							
		MEAN / MEDIAN								
	Length of BO segment Degree of dysplasia (if	N/R	N/A							
	any)	N/R N/A								

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Olithselvan, A., Gorard, D.A., McIn European Journal of Gastroentero			-				esophagus ir	n a UK g	eneral hos		
	Grade of dysplasia not reported but study reports that it was not intending to study progression of LGD											
	Prevalent cancer / HGD excluded up to 6 months?: No. results at index endoscopy were excluded from analysis of incid								f incidence			
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Quad biopsy every 2 to Initial frequency of recall (for BO with		years									
	No Surveillance: N/A											
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?: N/R											
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 3.5 years											
Location	Country: UK											
Outcomes measures and effect sizes			SUR	VEIL	LANCE	NO S	SURVE	ILLANCE				
			N	K	MEAN/%	Ν	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Ρ		
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous			0.47	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			1.18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	121	N/ R		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Absolute number of patients					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Olithselvan,A., Gorard,D.A., McIntyre,A.S A surveillance programme for Barrett's oesophagus in a UK general hospital. European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2007;19(4):305-09. (#8653)
Authors' conclusion	This surveillance programme for classical Barrett's oesophagus was effective with six cancers being detected early and treated
Source of funding	N/R
Comments	79/121 (65%) of patients available at final follow up

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ramus,J.R., Gatenby,P.A., Caygill,C.P., Winslet,M.C., Watson,A Surveillance of Barrett's columnar-lined oesophagus in the UK: endoscopic intervals and frequency of detection of dysplasia. European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2009;21(6):636-41. (#8832)										
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series	Study type: Case series									
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 817 Gender: 64% Male Age: 61 years Barrett's Oesophagus defined as: Patients with BO, not otherwise described Exclusions: Patients with only 1 follow up endoscopy were excluded from analysis. Patients that were excluded from surveillan were significantly older than those included (p<0.001) Baseline characteristics:										
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE								
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN	_							
	Length of BO segment Degree of dysplasia (if	N/R 91% No dysplasia, 7%	N/A								
	any) LGD, 2% HGD N/A										
	Prevalent cancer / HGD excluded up to 6 months?:										
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Not describe	d. Only 7.6% of patients ha	d quad biopsies during end	loscopy							
	Initial frequency of recall (f	or BO with no dysplasia): M	lix – separate analysis for e	each period / frequency							

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ramus,J.R., Gatenby,P.A., in the UK: endoscopic interv Hepatology 2009;21(6):636-4	als and freque								
	No Surveillance: N/A									
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?:									
ength of follow up	Follow-up: 4.8 years									
ocation	Country: UK									
utcomes measures										
and effect sizes			SUR	VEILL	ANCE	NO S	SURVE	EILLANCE		
			Ν	K	MEAN/%	Ν	K	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous			0.21	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			0.53	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	817	N/R		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Absolute number of patients developing cancer	Dichotomous				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Authors' conclusion	A variation in surveillance prac		as obse	erved t	hroughout t	he UK	. A lar	ge proportio	n of dy:	splastic d
Source of funding	Supported by charity / trust / fo									
omments	Male patients were significantly frequencies. No relationship for rate calculated for only cancer	y younger than und between de	etectio	n of ca	ncer and fre	equen	cy if su	irveillance fo	or HGC	(p=0.299

	Ramus,J.R., Gatenby,P.A., Caygill,C.P., Winslet,M.C., Watson,A Surveillance of Barrett's columnar-lined oesophagus in the UK: endoscopic intervals and frequency of detection of dysplasia. European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2009;21(6):636-41. (#8832)
	symptoms 6 centre study. Separate analysis for different recall frequencies

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)			G., Metz,A., O'Connell,S., o Gastroenterology 2001;120	et al. Long-term nonsurgical management of 0(7):1607-19. (#9034)						
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series									
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 1099 Gender: N/R Age range: N/R Barrett's Oesophagus defi Exclusions: N/R Baseline characteristics:	ned as: Patients with BO r	not otherwise described	_						
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE							
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN	- -						
	Length of BO segment	N/R	N/A							
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	ee of dysplasia (if 22% No, 71% LGD, 7% HGD N/A								
	Prevalent cancer / HGD ex	cluded up to 6 months?:								
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Circumferential quad biopsy not used in all patients . 2 endoscopists undertook all procedures, and 1 pathologist examined all specimens with endoscopist Initial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): Mixed. Recall period varied during the study No Surveillance: N/A									
Concomitant treatments		?: No. Patients earlier in th	he cohort were prescribed H	2RAs						

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Schnell,T.G., Sontag,S.J., Ch Barrett's esophagus with high			-						ical management
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 7.3 years									
Location	Country: USA									
Outcomes measures and effect sizes			SURV	EILL/	ANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE				
			Ν	K MEAN/% N K MEAN/% Δ				Δ	Р	
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous	-	-	0.15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			0.56	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	1099	1		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Absolute number of patients developing cancer	Dichotomous	1099	12		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Length of BO segment at baselir	ne was associated	l with in	cider	nce of cance	er on r	nultivar	iate analysis	HR 1.38	3 (1.06 to 1.81).
Authors' conclusion	HGD without cancer in Barrett's eventually progress to cancer du valid and safe follow-up strategy	uring regular surve	illance,	surg	ical resection	on is c	urative.			
Source of funding	N/R									
Comments	None									

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)				Provenzale,D. Effectiveness and patient an Journal of Gastroenterology 1998;93(6):906-					
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series								
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 123 Gender: 79% Male Age : 55 years Barrett's Oesophagus defin HGD or cancer at baseline Exclusions: N/R Baseline characteristics:		ort or long segment BO, cand	idates for oesophagectomy or PDT, <80 years, no					
		SURVEILLANCE	_						
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN	_					
	Length of BO segment	N/R	N/A						
	Degree of dysplasia (if any) N/R N/A								
	Prevalent cancer / HGD ex analysis	cluded up to 6 months?:	No. Patients with HGD or ca	ancer at index endoscopy were excluded from					
Intervention(s)	Surveillance Type of endoscopy and biopsy protocol not reported. Initial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): 2 years								
	No Surveillance: N/A								
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD	?: PPIs used as a 2 <sup>nd</sup> line	e treatment						
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 4.0 years								
Location	Country: USA								
Outcomes measures									

and effect sizes			SUR	VEILL	ANCE	NO S	SURVE	ILLANCE	_	
			Ν	К	MEAN/%	Ν	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence of					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	cancer	Dichotomous			0.00			<b>N</b> 1/A	N. 1 / A	N1/A
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			0.40	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
						N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	123	N/R						
	Absolute number of patients	Diebetereeue	400	0		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	developing cancer	Dichotomous	123	0						
	Adverse events	Dichotomous	123	0						
Authors' conclusion	The registered nurse in our clinical s	setting effectively	adminis	stered	clinical prac	tice a	uideline	es for the m	anager	ent of Bar
	esophagus without clinically significa					lice g			anagon	
Source of funding	N/R									
Comments	Patients treated by a specialty traine	ed registered nur	se.							

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Sikkema,M., Looman,C.W., Steyerberg,E.W., Kerkhof,M., Kastelein,F., van,Dekken H., et al. Predictors for neoplastic progression in patients with Barrett's Esophagus: a prospective cohort study. American Journal of Gastroenterology 2011;106(7):1231-38 (#9133)
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series
Number and characteristics of	n = 713 Gender: 74% Male
patients	Age: 61 years

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Sikkema,M., Looman,C.W., Steyerberg,E.W., Kerkhof,M., Kastelein,F., van,Dekken H., et al. Predictors for neoplastic progression in patients with Barrett's Esophagus: a prospective cohort study. American Journal of Gastroenterology 2011;106(7):1231-38 (#9133)								
	Barrett's Oesophagus define Exclusions: Patients with p Baseline characteristics:		· · · ·	sy confirmation of no dysplasia or LGD.					
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE						
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN						
	Length of BO segment Degree of dysplasia (if	N/R	N/A						
	any)	84% No, 16% LGD	N/A						
	Prevalent cancer / HGD ex considered to be prevalent		Yes. HGD or cancer found	within 6 months of index endoscopy were					
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Endoscopy p pathologists blinded to initi Initial frequency of recall (f	al results.		local pathologist and confirmed by investigating					
	No Surveillance: N/A								
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD	?: N/R							
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 3.5 years								
Location	Country: Holland								
Outcomes measures									
and effect sizes			SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE					
			N K MEAN/%	N K MEAN/% Δ P					

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Sikkema,M., Looman,C.W., Steyerberg,E.W., Kerkhof,M., Kastelein,F., van,Dekken H., et al. Predictors for neoplastic progression in patients with Barrett's Esophagus: a prospective cohort study. American Journal of Gastroenterology 2011;106(7):1231-38 (#9133)
	100 patient year incidence of N/A
	100 patient year incidence ofN/AN/AN/AN/AHDGDichotomous1.03
	Mortality from cancer Dichotomous 713 N/R N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
	Absolute number of patients N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Absolute number of patients Dichotomous 713 N/R
	HGD incidence rate calculated is for both HGD plus Cancer – not reported seperately. Author was contacted for data – no repons
Authors' conclusion	In patients with BE, the risk of developing HGD or EAC is predominantly determined by the presence of LGD, a known duration of
	BE of >=10 years, longer length of BE, and presence of esophagitis. One or combinations of these risk factors are able to identify patients with a low or high risk of neoplastic progression and could therefore be used to individualize surveillance intervals in BE
Source of funding	National grant, no conflicts of interest
Comments	LGD was an independent predictor of progression to HGD or cancer on multivariate analysis RR 9.7 (95% CI 4.4 to 21.5), other factors were oesophagitis RR 3.5, BO for >10 years at baseline RR 3.2, and longer length of BO RR 1.11 per cm
Bibliographic	Streitz, J.M., Jr., Ellis, F.H., Jr., Tilden, R.L., Erickson, R.V Endoscopic surveillance of Barrett's esophagus: a cost- effectiveness comparison with mammographic surveillance for breast cancer. American Journal of Gastroenterology

reference (Ref ID)	effectiveness comparison with mammographic surveillance for breast cancer. American Journal of Gastroenterology 1998;93(6):911-15 (#9242)
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 136 Gender: N/R Age range: N/R

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Streitz,J.M.,Jr., Ellis,F.H.,Jr., Tilden,R.L., Erickson,R.V Endoscopic surveillance of Barrett's esophagus: a cost- effectiveness comparison with mammographic surveillance for breast cancer. American Journal of Gastroenterology 1998;93(6):911-15 (#9242)										
	Barrett's Oesophagus defir Exclusions: N/R Baseline characteristics:	ned as: Patients with BC	), not (	otherwis	se defined.						
		SURVEILLANCE		NO SUI	RVEILLANC	CE					
		MEAN / MEDIAN	١	MEAN /	MEDIAN						
	Length of BO segment	N/R	1	N/A							
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	Mixed	1	N/A							
	Prevalent cancer / HGD ex	cluded up to 6 months?	:								
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: No details of Initial frequency of recall (for No Surveillance: N/A		•								
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD	?: N/R									
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 3.8 years										
Location	Country: USA										
Outcomes measures											
and effect sizes			SUF	RVEILL	ANCE	NO S	SURVE	ILLANCE			
			N	К	MEAN/%	N	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Р	
	100 patient year incidence cancer	e of Dichotomous			1.37	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Streitz,J.M.,Jr., Ellis,F.H.,Jr., T effectiveness comparison with 1998;93(6):911-15 (#9242)									
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			N/R	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	136	1		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Absolute number of patients		136			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	developing cancer Adverse events	Dichotomous Dichotomous	136			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Districtionicae	100	U						
	Of 7 cancers detected three stage	o, two stage I ar	nd two	stage	IIA					
Authors' conclusion	Endoscopic surveillance of patient mammography to detect early breat		sopha	gus co	ompares fa	avorably	with th	ne comm	on practice	e of surveillance
Source of funding	N/R									
Comments	Costs and incidence compared to	that for breast ca	ancer s	urveil	lance					

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Switzer-Taylor,V., Schlup,M., Lubcke,R., Livingstone,V., Schultz,M Barrett's esophagus: a retrospective analysis of 13 years surveillance. Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2008;23(9):1362-67. (#9260)
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series
Number and characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>n = 212</li> <li>Gender: 69% Male</li> <li>Age: 57 years</li> <li>Barrett's Oesophagus defined as: Patients with long segment (&gt;3cm) BO with histological finding of columnar epithelium with intestinal metaplasia.</li> <li>Exclusions: Patients were excluded if thought to be unsuitable for oesophagectomy if required.</li> <li>Baseline characteristics:</li> </ul>

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Switzer-Taylor,V., Schlu years surveillance. Journ								a retrospo	ective and	alysis of 13
		SURVEILLANCE	Ν	10 S	URVEILLAN	CE					
		MEAN / MEDIAN	M	IEAN	I / MEDIAN						
	Length of BO segment		N	/A							
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	70% no (dysplasia), 15% LGD, 3% HGD	N	/A							
	Patients excluded from sur		-	nifica	intly older tha	in thos	e inclu	ded (p<0.05)	)		
Intervention(s)	Prevalent cancer / HGD excluded up to 6 months?: N/R Surveillance : Quad biopsy every 2 cm and multiple samples from areas of macroscopic abnormality. All endoscopies performed or supervised by an experienced gastroenterologist. Initial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): 3 years No Surveillance: N/A										
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?	2: N/R									
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 4.0 years										
Location	Country: New Zealand										
Outcomes measures											
and effect sizes			SUR	VEIL	LANCE	NO S	SURVE	ILLANCE			
			N	K	MEAN/%	Ν	K	MEAN/%	Δ	Р	
	100 patient year incidence cancer	of Dichotomous			1.00 (95% CI 0.45 to 1.9)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Switzer-Taylor,V., Schlup,M., Lubcke,R., Livingstone,V., Schultz,M Barrett's esophagus: a retrospective analysis of 13 years surveillance. Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2008;23(9):1362-67. (#9260)
	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 100 patient year incidence of HDG Dichotomous N/R
	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Mortality from cancer Dichotomous 212 2
	Absolute number of patients N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
	developing cancer Dichotomous 212 9
Authors' conclusion	During 13 years of Barrett's surveillance, 88% of all adenocarcinoma occurred in a subset of only 11% patients. To stratify surveillance for Barrett's esophagus, programs could focus on male patients with dysplasia or ulcerations on index endoscopy
Source of funding	Supported by local grant
Comments	Patients were excluded if thought to be unsuitable for oesophagectomy if required

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wani,S., Falk,G., Hall,M., Gaddam,S., Wang,A., Gupta,N., et al. Patients with nondysplastic Barrett's esophagus have low risks for developing dysplasia or esophageal adenocarcinoma. Clinical Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2011;9(3):220- 27 (#9465)
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series
Number and characteristics of patients	<ul> <li>n = 1204</li> <li>Gender: 88% Male</li> <li>Age range: 59 years</li> <li>Barrett's Oesophagus defined as: Patients with presence of columnar lined mucosa in the distal oesophagus of any length, and intestinal metaplasia documented on histology.</li> <li>Exclusions: Patients with any dysplasia at baseline, and patients with no metaplasia on histology were excluded</li> <li>Baseline characteristics:</li> </ul>
	SURVEILLANCE NO SURVEILLANCE

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wani,S., Falk,G., Hall,M., low risks for developing d 27 (#9465)									
		MEAN / MEDIAN		MEAN	/ MEDIAN					
	Length of BO segment	N/R		N/A			_			
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	100% No dysplasia	I	N/A			_			
	Prevalent cancer / HGD exc prevalent cases	luded up to 6 months	?: Yes.	. Patie	nts with HG	iD or c	ancer	at up to 1 ye	ear FU w	vere excluded as
Intervention(s)	Surveillance: Quad biopsy every 2 cm with standard or jumbo forceps Initial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): Mixed									
	No Surveillance: N/A									
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?	: N/R								
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 5 years									
Location	Country: USA									
Outcomes measures										
and effect sizes		<u>-</u>	SUR	VEILL	ANCE	NO S	SURVE	ILLANCE	-	
			N	K	MEAN/%	N	K	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence cancer	of Dichotomous	-	-	0.27	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	100 patient year incidence HDG				0.48	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	1204	N/R		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wani,S., Falk,G., Hall,M., Gaddam,S., Wang,A., Gupta,N., et al. Patients with nondysplastic Barrett's esophagus have low risks for developing dysplasia or esophageal adenocarcinoma. Clinical Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2011;9(3):220-27 (#9465)						
	Absolute number of patients N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Avana Av						
Authors' conclusion	There is a lower incidence of dysplasia and EAC among patients with NDBE than previously reported. Because most patients are cancer free after a long-term follow-up period, surveillance intervals might be lengthened, especially for patients with shorter segments of BE						
Source of funding	Support from manufacturer. No conflict of interest.						
Comments	5 centre study. All biopsies were reviewed by 2 <sup>nd</sup> pathologist.						

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)				et al. Risk stratification of Barrett's esophagus: erology 2004;99(9):1657-66 (#9495)
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series			
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 324 Gender: 99% Male Age: 62 years Barrett's Oesophagus defi Exclusions: Patients with r Baseline characteristics:		• •	ulti focal HGD within 3 months were excluded
		SURVEILLANCE	NO SURVEILLANCE	
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN	
	Length of BO segment	Length of BO 3.7 cm	N/A	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Weston, A.P., Sharma, P., Mat updated prospective multivari									
		% no, 18% LGD, HGD.	N/A							
	Prevalent cancer / HGD exclude	d up to 6 months?: No.	Patients	s with (	Cancer or H	IGD wi	thin 3	months of F	U were	excluded
Intervention(s)	Surveillance:. All cancer biopsys of suspicious areas, using jumbo Initial frequency of recall (for BO No Surveillance: N/A	o forceps.		econd	pathologist.	Quad	biops	y ever 2cm	or less	and target bi
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?: Not all patients were on PPIs									
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 3.2 years									
Location	Country: USA									
Outcomes measures and effect sizes			SURVEILLANCE NO SURVEILLANCE							
			N	К	MEAN/%	N	К	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous			2.03	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	100 patient year incidence of HI	DG Dichotomous			0.68	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	324	N/R		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Absolute number of patients developing cancer	Dichotomous	324	21		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Weston,A.P., Sharma,P., Mathur,S., Banerjee,S., Jafri,A.K., Cherian,R., et al. Risk stratification of Barrett's esophagus: updated prospective multivariate analysis. American Journal of Gastroenterology 2004;99(9):1657-66 (#9495)							
	Study end point of 'cancer' included a very conservative definition for cancer including patients with HGD and dysplasia related lesion or mass, and HGD in which intramucosal cancer couldn't be ruled out							
Authors' conclusion	Endoscopic and histologic features of BE at initial diagnosis are predictive of index HGD and cancer as well as with risk of BE progression							
Source of funding	Supported by national grant							
Comments	324/550 patients were included in surveillance.							

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wong,T., Tian,J., Nagar,A.B Barrett's surveillance identifies patients with early esophageal adenocarcinoma. American Journal of Medicine 2010;123(5):462-67 (#9535)							
Study type & aim	Study type: Case series							
Number and characteristics of patients	n = 248 Gender: N/R – mostly Mal Age: 63 years Barrett's Oesophagus defi Exclusions: Patients over a Baseline characteristics:	ned as: Patients with spe	cialised intestinal metaplasia	a above the gastro-oesophageal junction				
		SURVEILLANCE						
		MEAN / MEDIAN	MEAN / MEDIAN					
	Length of BO segment	63% <3cm, 37% >3cm.	N/A					
	Degree of dysplasia (if any)	100% no dysplasia	N/A					
	Prevalent cancer / HGD ex	xcluded up to 6 months?:		_				
Intervention(s)	Surveillance:. Quad biopsy	y every 3 cms						

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wong,T., Tian,J., Nagar,A.B. Journal of Medicine 2010;123			iden	tifies patie	nts wi	ith ear	ly esophag	eal ad	enocarcinoma. Amo
	Initial frequency of recall (for BO with no dysplasia): 3 years, 72% of patients received surveillance endoscopy at recommended									
	No Surveillance: N/A									
Concomitant treatments	Patients on PPI for GORD?: Not	t all patients on PF	PIs som	ie on	H2RAs					
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 4.0 years									
Location	Country: USA									
Outcomes measures										
and effect sizes			SURVEILLANCE			NO SURVEILLANCE				
			Ν	K	MEAN/%	Ν	K	MEAN/%	Δ	Р
	100 patient year incidence of cancer	Dichotomous			0.51	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	100 patient year incidence of HDG	Dichotomous			0.41	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mortality from cancer	Dichotomous	248	0		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Absolute number of patients developing cancer	Dichotomous	248	5		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Of 5 cancers detected in the sur stage I, eight stage II, 34 stages	••••	our stag	je I a	nd one stag	je II. C	f 46 ot	her cancers	detec	ted at same site three
Authors' conclusion	Patients with Barrett's esophagus undergoing endoscopic surveillance benefit from early-stage cancer diagnosis. Progression to adenocarcinoma is low, but long-segment and high-grade dysplasias have an increased risk of cancer									
Source of funding	No conflicts of interest									
Comments	Patients in the surveillance cohoot these patients had BO at baseling									

Dyspepsia and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease Evidence tables