## APPENDIX 23: PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOSOCIAL INTERVENTIONS - STUDY CHARACTERISTICS

## **Abbreviations**

A acute (mania or depression)

Ad acute depression

AUS Australia

BPI bipolar I disorder

BRA Brazil CAN Canada

CBT cognitive behavioural therapy

CT cognitive therapy

DBT dialectical behaviour therapy

DEN Denmark ESP Spain

FFT family focused therapy

GBR Great Britain
GER Germany

IC integrated cognitive therapy
IGT integrated group therapy

IPSRT interpersonal and social rhythm therapy

IRE Ireland

IT interpersonal therapy

M maintenance
NLD Netherlands
NR not reported
PE psychoeducation

PTM psychological therapy for medication adherence

TAU treatment as usual

TUR Turkey

USA United States of America

Study ID	Country	Age	% female	% BPI	Phase	Intervention	N	Drop- out	Hours of contact	Duration (weeks)	Follow- up (weeks)				
Individual cognitive t	ndividual cognitive therapy (CT) / cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT)														
BALL2006	AUS	42	58%	NR	M	CT versus TAU	25, 27	16%	20	26	52 / 78				
JONES2013	GBR	39	70%	79%	M	CBT versus TAU	33, 34	3%	18	26	52				
LAM2000	GBR	39	52%	100%	M	CBT versus TAU	13, 12	8%	NR	26	52				
LAM2003	GBR	44	56%	100%	M	CT versus TAU	51, 52	16%	16	26	52				
MEYER2012	GER	44	50%	79%	М	CBT versus supportive therapy	38, 38	13%, 16%	18, 18	39	143				
MIKLOWITZ2007B <sup>1</sup>	USA	40	59%	67%	Ad	CBT versus collaborative therapy	75, 130	41%, 30%	11, 2	39, 6	52				
SCHMITZ2002	USA	34	52%	NR	Ad	CBT versus TAU	25, 21	36%, 67%	20	12	-				
SCOTT2001	GBR	39	60%	81%	A and M	CT versus TAU	21, 21	14%	11	26	1				
SCOTT2006	GBR	41	65%	94%	A and M	CBT versus TAU	127, 126	17%	NR	26	72				
ZARETSKY2008	CAN	41	NR	66%	M	CBT versus TAU	40, 39	28%	NR	13	52				
Psychological therapy	for medica	ition adhe	rence (PT	M)											
COCHRAN1984	USA	33	61%	75%	M	PTM versus TAU	14, 14	14%	6	6	32				
EKER2012	TUR	36	54%	NR	M	PTM versus Attention control	35, 36	17%	12	6	-				
Individual psychoedu	cation (PE)	)													

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> MIKLOWITZ2007B is a four-arm trial including three active interventions and a 'treatment as usual' control group. It has been listed in this table under 'Individual cognitive therapy (CT)', 'Family focused therapy (FFT)' and 'Interpersonal and social rhythm therapy (IPSRT)'.

Study ID	Country	Age	% female	% BPI	Phase	Intervention	N	Drop- out	Hours of contact	Duration (weeks)	Follow- up (weeks)		
JAVADPOUR2013	IRE	NR	51%	NR	M	PE versus TAU	54, 54	17%, 24%	7	8	26 / 52 / 78		
LOBBAN2010	GBR	45	68%	98%	M	PE versus TAU	56, 40	5%	6	6	48		
PERRY1999	GBR	45	68%	91%	M	PE versus TAU	34, 36	21%	9	NR	52		
DOGAN2003	TUR	37	35%	NR	M	PE versus TAU	14, 12	NR	14	6	-		
Individual PE versus group CBT													
PARIKH2012	CAN	41	58%	72%	M	PE versus CBT	95, 109	34%, 36%	17, 9	20, 6	72		
Online psychoeduc	ation												
PROUDFOOT2012	AUS	NR	70%	NR	Ad and M	Online PE versus attention control	139, 141	32%, 29%	0	8	26		
SMITH2011	GBR	44	62%	86%	M	Online PE versus TAU	24, 26	33%	NR	17	43		
TODD 2012	GBR	43	72%	NR	A and M	Online PE versus TAU	61, 61	66%	0	26	-		
Group CBT													
BARROS2012	BRA	44	69%	NR	M	CBT versus attention control	32, 23	NR	24	8	34 / 60		
BERNHARD2009	GER	39	73%	63%	M	CBT versus TAU	32, 36	22%	18	12	52		
GOMES2011	BRA	38	76%	76%	M	CBT versus TAU	23, 27	0%	27	26	78		
COSTA2012	BRA	40	62%	84%	M	CBT versus TAU	27, 14	0%	28	14	40		
Group social cognit	ion and i	nteractio	n trainin	g									
LAHERA2013	ESP	39	65%	76%	M	CBT versus TAU	21, 16	19%	18	18	-		
Group mindfulness	based co	gnitive t	herapy										
WILLIAMS2008	GBR	NR	NR	NR	M	Mindfulness versus waitlist	9,8	NR	23	8	-		

Study ID	Country	Age	% female	% BPI	Phase	Intervention	N	Drop- out	Hours of contact	Duration (weeks)	Follow- up (weeks)			
PERICH2013	AUS	NR	65%	62%	M	Mindfulness versus TAU	48, 47	21%, 38%	18	8	22/ 35/48/61			
Group dialectical behaviour therapy (DBT)														
DIJK2013	CAN	42	0,75	0,42	Ad	DBT versus TAU	13, 13	8%,8%	18	12	-			
Functional remedia	Functional remediation													
TORRENT2013	ESP	40	NR	NR	M	Functional remediation versus TAU	77, 80	29%, 18%	32	21	47			
Group PE														
SAJATOVIC2009	USA	41	68%	NR	A	Group PE versus TAU	84, 80	51%	NR	52	-			
CASTLE2010	AUS	42	77%	74%	M	Group PE versus TAU	42, 42	24%	23	13	52			
TORRENT2013	ESP	40	NR	NR	M	Group PE versus TAU	82, 80	24%, 18%	32	21	47			
COLOM2003a	ESP	35	62%	100%	M	Group PE versus attention control	25, 25	NR	32	20	124			
COLOM2003b	ESP	34	63%	83%	M	Group PE versus attention control	60, 60	27%	32	21	124			
Family PE (service	users and	carers)												
CLARKIN1998	USA	48	46%	100%	A	Family PE versus TAU	19, 23	5%, 35%	NR	48	ı			
DSOUZA2010	AUS	40	52%	86%	M	Family PE versus TAU	27, 31	NR	18	12	60			
GLICK1993	USA	32	67%	NR	A	Family PE versus TAU	15, 11	20%, 19%	8	7	33			
MILLER2004 <sup>2</sup>	USA	39	56%	100%	A	Family PE versus TAU	33, 29	36%	10	NR	121			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> MILLER2004 is a three-arm trial including two active interventions and a 'treatment as usual' control group. It has been listed in this table under 'Family psychoeducation (service users and carers)' and 'Family focused therapy (FFT)'.

Study ID	Country	Age	% female	% BPI	Phase	Intervention	N	Drop- out	Hours of contact	Duration (weeks)	Follow- up (weeks)
Family PE (carers)											
BORDBAR2009	IRE	30	22%	100%	M	Family PE versus TAU	29, 28	0%	2	1	52
GENT1991	NLD	49	NR	NR	M	Family PE versus waitlist	14, 12	0%	NR	5	31
MADIGAN2012	IRE	42	65%	NP	М	Family PE versus short carer-focused intervention versus TAU	18, 19, 10	28%, 21%	NR	5	57 / 109
PERLICK2010	USA	35	62%	87%	A and M	Short carer focused int. versus TAU	25, 21	4%, 10%	11	14	-
REINARES2008	ESP	34	54%	83%	M	Family PE versus TAU	57, 56	5%	18	12	65
Family focused the	erapy (FFT	<u>')</u>									
MIKLOWITZ2000	USA	36	63%	100%	A and M	FFT versus TAU	31, 70	10%	21	39	52 / 104
MIKLOWITZ2007B <sup>3</sup>	USA	40	59%	67%	Ad	FFT versus collaborative therapy	26, 130	27%, 30%	11, 2	39, 6	52
MILLER2004 <sup>4</sup>	USA	39	56%	100%	A	FFT versus TAU	30, 29	36%, 33%	10, 9	NR	121
REA2003	USA	26	NR	100%	M	FFT versus PE (individual)	28, 25	21%, 2%	21, 11	39, 39	ı
Interpersonal and	social rhyt	hm ther	apy (IPS)	RT)							
SWARTZ2012	USA	37	60%	0%	Ad	IPSRT versus quetiapine	14, 11	21%, 38%	6	12	ı
FRANK1999a	USA	35	56	100%	A	IPSRT versus	39, 43	43%,	38, 15	123	-

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> MIKLOWITZ2007B is a four-arm trial including three active interventions and a 'treatment as usual' control group. It has been listed in this table under 'Individual cognitive therapy (CT)', 'Family focused therapy (FFT)' and 'Interpersonal and social rhythm therapy (IPSRT)'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> MILLER2004 is a three-arm trial including two active interventions and a 'treatment as usual' control group. It has been listed in this table under 'Family psychoeducation (service users and carers)' and 'Family focused therapy (FFT)'.

Study ID	Country	Age	% female	% BPI	Phase	Intervention	N	Drop- out	Hours of contact	Duration (weeks)	Follow- up (weeks)			
						intensive clinical management		37%						
MIKLOWITZ2007B <sup>5</sup>	USA	40	59%	67%	Ad	IPSRT versus TAU	62, 130	32%, 30%	14, 2	39, 6	52			
Collaborative care (psychiatric focus)														
BAUER2006a	USA	47	9%	87%	A	Collaborative care versus TAU	166, 164	25%, 15%	NR	156	-			
SIMON2005	USA	44	69%	76%	A and M	Systematic care management program versus TAU	212, 229	NR	NR	52	-			
KESSING2013	DEN	36	54%	NR	М	Specialised outpatient mood disorder clinic versus TAU	72, 86	0%,0%	NR	104/130	-			
Collaborative care (	physical	health fo	cus)											
FAGIOLINI2009	USA	41	61%	67%	A and M	Enhanced clinical intervention versus TAU	235, 228	NR	NR	85	-			
KILBOURNE2008	USA	55	9%	76%	A and M	Collaborative care versus TAU	NR	NR	NR	26	-			
KILBOURNE2012	USA	45	61%	NR	A and M	Collaborative care versus TAU	34, 34	NR	NR	30	52			
Integrated group th	erapy (IG	T)												
WEISS2007	USA	42	52%	81%	Ad and M	IGT versus drug counselling	31,31	23%, 45%	20, 20	20, 20	35			
WEISS2009	USA	38	41%	79%	Ad and M	IGT versus drug counselling	31, 30	19%, 20%	12, 12	12, 12	26			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> MIKLOWITZ2007B is a four-arm trial including three active interventions and a 'treatment as usual' control group. It has been listed in this table under 'Individual cognitive therapy (CT)', 'Family focused therapy (FFT)' and 'Interpersonal and social rhythm therapy (IPSRT)'.

Study ID	Country	Age	% female	% BPI	Phase	Intervention	N	Drop- out	Hours of contact	Duration (weeks)	Follow- up (weeks)		
Integrated cognitive and interpersonal therapy (IC and IT)													
SCHWANNAUER2007	GBR	37	48%	95%	NR	IC and IT versus TAU	106, 106	23%, 17%	25	20	46, 98		