APPENDIX 20: ECONOMIC EVIDENCE - COMPLETED METHODOLOGY CHECKLISTS

	Case identification and assessment of mental health problems in pregnance postnatal period	2
	Psychological and psychosocial interventions for the prevention of oping mental health problems in pregnancy or the postnatal period	7
1.3	Psychological and psychosocial interventions for the treatment of mental	
health	h problems in pregnancy or the postnatal period	12

1.1 CASE IDENTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS IN PREGNANCY OR THE POSTNATAL PERIOD

Study identification: Campbell S, Norris S, Standfield L, Suebwongpat A. Screening for postnatal depression within the Well Child Tamariki Ora Framework. Report No.: 1(2). Christchurch: Health Services Assessment Collaboration; 2008.

Guideline topic: Case identification and assessment of mental health problems in pregnancy or the postnatal period

Secti	on 1: Applicability (relevance to specific guideline review ion(s) and the NICE reference case)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear /NA	Comments
1.1	Is the study population appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Cohort of postnatal women
1.2	Are the interventions and services appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Formal case identification using PHQ-3
1.3	Is the healthcare system in which the study was conducted sufficiently similar to the current UK NHS context?	Partly	New Zealand
1.4	Are costs measured from the NHS and personal social services (PSS) perspective?	No	
1.5	Are non-direct health effects on individuals excluded?	Yes	
1.6	Are both costs and health effects discounted at an annual rate of 3.5%?	NA	Time horizon 12 months
1.7	Is the value of health effects expressed in terms of quality- adjusted life years (QALYs)?	Yes	
1.8	Are changes in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) reported directly from patients and/or carers?	Partly	General population with depression treated with antidepressant medication
1.9	Is the valuation of changes in HRQoL (utilities) obtained from a representative sample of the general public?	No	Service users in US and Canada
1.10	Overall judgement: Partially applicable		
Other	r comments:		
Secti	on 2: Study limitations (the level of methodological quality)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear/ NA	Comments
2.1	Does the model structure adequately reflect the nature of the health condition under evaluation?	Partly	False positive rate assumed to be 0%
2.2	Is the time horizon sufficiently long to reflect all important differences in costs and outcomes?	Yes	12 months
2.3	Are all important and relevant health outcomes included?	Yes	
2.4	Are the estimates of baseline health outcomes from the best available source?	Partly	Observational study
2.5	Are the estimates of relative treatment effects from the best available source?	Partly	Observational study

2.6	Are all important and relevant costs included?	Partly	Cost of care for infants born to mothers with PND not included
2.7	Are the estimates of resource use from the best available source?	Partly	Assumptions, published sources
2.8	Are the unit costs of resources from the best available source?	Yes	National sources
2.9	Is an appropriate incremental analysis presented or can it be calculated from the data?	Yes	
2.10	Are all important parameters whose values are uncertain subjected to appropriate sensitivity analysis?	Yes	
2.11	Is there no potential conflict of interest?	Yes	
2.12	Overall assessment : Potentially serious limitations		
Other	comments:		

Study identification: Hewitt C, Gilbody S, Brealey S, Paulden M, Palmer S, Mann R, et al. Methods to identify postnatal depression in primary care: an integrated evidence synthesis and value of information analysis. Health technology assessment. 2009;13:1-145.

Paulden M, Palmer S, Hewitt C, Gilbody S. Screening for postnatal depression in primary care: Cost effectiveness analysis. BMJ. 2009;339:b5203.

Guideline topic: Case identification and assessment of mental health problems in pregnancy or the postnatal period

	on 1: Applicability (relevance to specific guideline review cion(s) and the NICE reference case)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear /NA	Comments
1.1	Is the study population appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Hypothetical cohort of postnatal women
1.2	Are the interventions and services appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	EPDS, BDI and Whooley questions
1.3	Is the healthcare system in which the study was conducted sufficiently similar to the current UK NHS context?	Yes	UK study
1.4	Are costs measured from the NHS and personal social services (PSS) perspective?	Yes	
1.5	Are non-direct health effects on individuals excluded?	Yes	
1.6	Are both costs and health effects discounted at an annual rate of 3.5%?	NA	Time horizon 1 year
1.7	Is the value of health effects expressed in terms of quality- adjusted life years (QALYs)?	Yes	
1.8	Are changes in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) reported directly from patients and/or carers?	Partly	General population with depression
1.9	Is the valuation of changes in HRQoL (utilities) obtained from a representative sample of the general public?	No	Service users in US and Canada

1.10	Overall judgement: Directly applicable		
Other	r comments:	T	1
Section	on 2: Study limitations (the level of methodological quality)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear/ NA	Comments
2.1	Does the model structure adequately reflect the nature of the health condition under evaluation?	Partly	False positive rate assumed to be 0%
2.2	Is the time horizon sufficiently long to reflect all important differences in costs and outcomes?	Yes	12 months
2.3	Are all important and relevant health outcomes included?	Yes	
2.4	Are the estimates of baseline health outcomes from the best available source?	Partly	Observational study
2.5	Are the estimates of relative treatment effects from the best available source?	Yes	Meta-analysis of diagnostic studies
2.6	Are all important and relevant costs included?	Partly	Cost of care for infants born to mothers with PND not included
2.7	Are the estimates of resource use from the best available source?	Partly	Assumptions; published sources
2.8	Are the unit costs of resources from the best available source?	Yes	National sources; other published literature
2.9	Is an appropriate incremental analysis presented or can it be calculated from the data?	Yes	
2.10	Are all important parameters whose values are uncertain subjected to appropriate sensitivity analysis?	Yes	
2.11	Is there no potential conflict of interest?	Yes	
2.12	Overall assessment : Potentially serious limitations	1	1
care p in the quest	r comments: The economic model structure did not appropriat pathway associated with identification, assessment and treatme postnatal period. Assumed false positive rate to be 0%; positi- ions resulted in the provision of intensive psychological therap bility of further assessment.	ent of women w ve response to V	ith depression Vhooley

Study	Study identification: Economic analysis undertaken for this guideline		
Guid	Guideline topic: Case identification and assessment of mental health problems in pregnancy or the		
postn	atal period		
	Section 1: Applicability (relevance to specific guideline review question(s) and the NICE reference case) Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear Comments No/Unclear /NA /NA /NA		
1.1	Is the study population appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Hypothetical cohort of postnatal women
1.2	Are the interventions and services appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	

1.3	Is the healthcare system in which the study was conducted sufficiently similar to the current UK NHS context?	Yes	
1.4	Are costs measured from the NHS and personal social services (PSS) perspective?	Yes	
1.5	Are non-direct health effects on individuals excluded?	Yes	
1.6	Are both costs and health effects discounted at an annual rate of 3.5%?	NA	Time horizon 1 year
1.7	Is the value of health effects expressed in terms of quality- adjusted life years (QALYs)?	Yes	
1.8	Are changes in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) reported directly from patients and/or carers?	Partly	General population with depression
1.9	Is the valuation of changes in HRQoL (utilities) obtained from a representative sample of the general public?	Yes	EQ-5D, UK general public
1.10	Overall judgement: Directly applicable		
	comments:		
Section	on 2: Study limitations (the level of methodological quality)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear/ NA	Comments
2.1	Does the model structure adequately reflect the nature of the health condition under evaluation?	Yes	
2.2	Is the time horizon sufficiently long to reflect all important differences in costs and outcomes?	Yes	1 year
2.3	Are all important and relevant health outcomes included?	Yes	
2.4	Are the estimates of baseline health outcomes from the best available source?	Yes	Guideline MA
2.5	Are the estimates of relative treatment effects from the best available source?	Yes	Guideline MA
2.6	Are all important and relevant costs included?	Yes	
2.7	Are the estimates of resource use from the best available source?	Partly	Studies included in guideline MA; GDG expert opinion
2.8	Are the unit costs of resources from the best available source?	Yes	
2.9	Is an appropriate incremental analysis presented or can it be calculated from the data?	Yes	
2.10	Are all important parameters whose values are uncertain subjected to appropriate sensitivity analysis?	Partly	Deterministic SA, and threshold analysis
2.11	Is there no potential conflict of interest?	Yes	
2.12	Overall assessment : Potentially serious limitations	l	1
Other studie	comments: Sensitivity and specificity for PHQ-9 and Whooley es. The study reporting diagnostic characteristics for PHQ-9 wa stnatal.		

Economic evidence – completed methodology checklists

1.2 PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOSOCIAL INTERVENTIONS FOR THE PREVENTION OF DEVELOPING MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS IN PREGNANCY OR THE POSTNATAL PERIOD

Study identification: Aracena M, Krause M, Perez C, Mendez MJ, Salvatierra L, Soto M, et al. A cost-effectiveness evaluation of a home visit program for adolescent mothers. Journal of Health Psychology. 2009;14:878-887.

Guideline topic: Psychological and psychosocial interventions for the prevention of developing mental health problems in pregnancy or postnatal period

	on 1: Applicability (relevance to specific guideline review ion(s) and the NICE reference case)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear /NA	Comments
1.1	Is the study population appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Young women from poor neighbourhoods
1.2	Are the interventions and services appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Home visiting
1.3	Is the healthcare system in which the study was conducted sufficiently similar to the current UK NHS context?	Partly	Chile, publicly financed healthcare system
1.4	Are costs measured from the NHS and personal social services (PSS) perspective?	No	
1.5	Are non-direct health effects on individuals excluded?	Yes	
1.6	Are both costs and health effects discounted at an annual rate of 3.5%?	NA	Time horizon 15 months
1.7	Is the value of health effects expressed in terms of quality- adjusted life years (QALYs)?	No	
1.8	Are changes in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) reported directly from patients and/or carers?	NA	
1.9	Is the valuation of changes in HRQoL (utilities) obtained from a representative sample of the general public?	NA	
1.10	Overall judgement: Partially applicable		
Other	r comments:		
Secti	on 2: Study limitations (the level of methodological quality)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear/ NA	Comments
2.1	Does the model structure adequately reflect the nature of the health condition under evaluation?	NA	RCT
2.2	Is the time horizon sufficiently long to reflect all important differences in costs and outcomes?	Yes	15 months
2.3	Are all important and relevant health outcomes included?	Partly	Goldberg's depression scale
2.4	Are the estimates of baseline health outcomes from the best available source?	Yes	
2.5	Are the estimates of relative treatment effects from the best available source?	Yes	RCT
2.6	Are all important and relevant costs included?	Unclear	

2.7	Are the estimates of resource use from the best available source?	No	Registries of health centres
2.8	Are the unit costs of resources from the best available source?	Unclear	
2.9	Is an appropriate incremental analysis presented or can it be calculated from the data?	Yes	
0.10	Are all important parameters whose values are uncertain	Partly	Statistical analysis for outcomes, but
2.10	subjected to appropriate sensitivity analysis?	Vaa	not costs
2.11	Is there no potential conflict of interest?	Yes	
2.12	Overall assessment : Potentially serious limitations	•	
Othe	Other comments:		

Study identification: Barlow J, Davis H, McIntosh E, Jarrett P, Mockford C, Stewart-Brown S. Role of home visiting in improving parenting and health in families at risk of abuse and neglect: results of a multicentre randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation. Archives of Disease Childhood. 2007;92:229-233.

McIntosh E, Barlow J, Davis H, Stewart-Brown S. Economic evaluation of an intensive home visiting programme for vulnerable families: a cost-effectiveness analysis of a public health intervention. Journal of Public Health: Oxford Journal. 2009;31:423-433.

Guideline topic: Psychological and psychosocial interventions for the prevention of developing mental health problems in pregnancy or the postnatal period

	on 1: Applicability (relevance to specific guideline review cion(s) and the NICE reference case)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear /NA	Comments
1.1	Is the study population appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Vulnerable pregnant women
1.2	Are the interventions and services appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Home visiting
1.3	Is the healthcare system in which the study was conducted sufficiently similar to the current UK NHS context?	Yes	UK study
1.4	Are costs measured from the NHS and personal social services (PSS) perspective?	No	Public sector plus informal care
1.5	Are non-direct health effects on individuals excluded?	Yes	
1.6	Are both costs and health effects discounted at an annual rate of 3.5%?	NA	Time horizon 18 months
1.7	Is the value of health effects expressed in terms of quality- adjusted life years (QALYs)?	No	
1.8	Are changes in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) reported directly from patients and/or carers?	NA	
1.9	Is the valuation of changes in HRQoL (utilities) obtained from a representative sample of the general public?	NA	
1.10	Overall judgement: Partially applicable		
	r comments: When the primary outcome was proportion of inf		0
treate	ed costs were considered up to 5 years and were discounted at		
Secti	on 2: Study limitations (the level of methodological quality)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear/ NA	Comments

2.1	Does the model structure adequately reflect the nature of the health condition under evaluation?	NA	RCT
2.2	Is the time horizon sufficiently long to reflect all important differences in costs and outcomes?	Yes	18 months; 5 years
2.3	Are all important and relevant health outcomes included?	Partly	HRQoL not measured
2.4	Are the estimates of baseline health outcomes from the best available source?	Yes	RCT
2.5	Are the estimates of relative treatment effects from the best available source?	Yes	RCT
2.6	Are all important and relevant costs included?	Yes	
2.7	Are the estimates of resource use from the best available source?	Partly	RCT; other published sources; assumptions
2.8	Are the unit costs of resources from the best available source?	Partly	Some unit costs based on local sources
2.9	Is an appropriate incremental analysis presented or can it be calculated from the data?	Yes	
2.10	Are all important parameters whose values are uncertain subjected to appropriate sensitivity analysis?	Yes	PSA and deterministic SA
2.11	Is there no potential conflict of interest?	Yes	
2.12	Overall assessment : Minor limitations	1	1
Other	r comments:		

Study identification: Hiscock H, Bayer J, Gold L, Hampton A, Ukoumunne OC, Wake M. Improving infant sleep and maternal mental health: a cluster randomised trial. Archives of Disease Childhood. 2007;92:952-958. Guideline topic: Psychological and psychosocial interventions for the prevention of developing mental health problems in pregnancy or the postnatal period Yes/ Partly/ Comments **Section 1:** Applicability (relevance to specific guideline review No/Unclear question(s) and the NICE reference case) /NA 1.1 Is the study population appropriate for the guideline? Yes 1.2 Are the interventions and services appropriate for the Yes Infant sleep guideline? intervention 1.3 Is the healthcare system in which the study was conducted Partly Australian sufficiently similar to the current UK NHS context? studv Are costs measured from the NHS and personal social No Healthcare 1.4 services (PSS) perspective? plus informal care Are non-direct health effects on individuals excluded? 1.5 Yes Are both costs and health effects discounted at an annual NA Time horizon 1.6 12 months rate of 3.5%? 1.7 Is the value of health effects expressed in terms of quality-No adjusted life years (QALYs)? 1.8 Are changes in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) NA reported directly from patients and/or carers?

1.9	Is the valuation of changes in HRQoL (utilities) obtained	NA	
	from a representative sample of the general public?		
1.10	Overall judgement: Partially applicable		
	comments: The analysis did not use QALYs however there w	as no problem v	with the
interp	pretation of the findings, since intervention was dominant.	A () ()	
Section	on 2: Study limitations (the level of methodological quality)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear/ NA	Comments
2.1	Does the model structure adequately reflect the nature of the health condition under evaluation?	NA	RCT
2.2	Is the time horizon sufficiently long to reflect all important differences in costs and outcomes?	Yes	
2.3	Are all important and relevant health outcomes included?	Partly	Women reporting EPDS, SF-12
2.4	Are the estimates of baseline health outcomes from the best available source?	Yes	
2.5	Are the estimates of relative treatment effects from the best available source?	Yes	RCT
2.6	Are all important and relevant costs included?	Yes	
2.7	Are the estimates of resource use from the best available source?	Partly	RCT
2.8	Are the unit costs of resources from the best available source?	Unclear	
2.9	Is an appropriate incremental analysis presented or can it be calculated from the data?	Yes	
2.10	Are all important parameters whose values are uncertain subjected to appropriate sensitivity analysis?	Yes	Statistical analysis of costs and outcomes
2.11	Is there no potential conflict of interest?	Yes	
2.12	Overall assessment : Minor limitations	•	•
Other	comments:		

	v identification: Petrou S, Cooper P, Murray L, Davidson LL. C ntive counseling and support package for postnatal depressior		
-	nology Assessment in Health Care. 2006;22:443-453.		
	eline topic: Psychological and psychosocial interventions for the al health problems in pregnancy or the postnatal period	ne prevention o	f developing
			Comments
1.1	Is the study population appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Women at high risk of PND
1.2	Are the interventions and services appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Listening visits
1.3	Is the healthcare system in which the study was conducted sufficiently similar to the current UK NHS context?	Yes	UK study

	re costs measured from the NHS and personal social ervices (PSS) perspective?	No	Healthcare plus informal care
1.5 A	re non-direct health effects on individuals excluded?	Yes	
16	are both costs and health effects discounted at an annual ate of 3.5%?	No	Costs 6%; health effects 1.5%
	s the value of health effects expressed in terms of quality- djusted life years (QALYs)?	No	
	re changes in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) eported directly from patients and/or carers?	NA	
	the valuation of changes in HRQoL (utilities) obtained om a representative sample of the general public?	NA	
1.10 O	Dverall judgement: Partially applicable		
	omments:		
Section	2: Study limitations (the level of methodological quality)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear/ NA	Comments
	oes the model structure adequately reflect the nature of ne health condition under evaluation?	NA	RCT
//	the time horizon sufficiently long to reflect all important ifferences in costs and outcomes?	Yes	18 months
2.3 A	re all important and relevant health outcomes included?	Partly	HRQoL not considered
24	re the estimates of baseline health outcomes from the best vailable source?	Yes	RCT
25	re the estimates of relative treatment effects from the best vailable source?	Yes	RCT
2.6 A	re all important and relevant costs included?	Yes	
27	re the estimates of resource use from the best available ource?	Partly	RCT
	re the unit costs of resources from the best available ource?	Partly	Local and national sources
/4	an appropriate incremental analysis presented or can it be alculated from the data?	Yes	
	re all important parameters whose values are uncertain ıbjected to appropriate sensitivity analysis?	Yes	PSA; limited deterministic SA
2.11 Is	there no potential conflict of interest?	Yes	
2.12 O	verall assessment: Minor limitations		1
	omments:		

1.3 PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOSOCIAL INTERVENTIONS FOR THE TREATMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS IN PREGNANCY OR THE POSTNATAL PERIOD

Study identification: Dukhovny D, Dennis CL, Hodnett E, Weston J, Stewart DE, Mao W, et al. Prospective economic evaluation of a peer support intervention for prevention of postpartum depression among high risk women. American Journal of Perinatology. 2013;30:631-642.

Guideline topic: Psychological and psychosocial interventions for the treatment of mental health problems in pregnancy or the postnatal period

	on 1: Applicability (relevance to specific guideline review tion(s) and the NICE reference case)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear /NA	Comments
1.1	Is the study population appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Postnatal women
1.2	Are the interventions and services appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Social (peer) support
1.3	Is the healthcare system in which the study was conducted sufficiently similar to the current UK NHS context?	Partly	Canada, publicly financed healthcare system
1.4	Are costs measured from the NHS and personal social services (PSS) perspective?	No	
1.5	Are non-direct health effects on individuals excluded?	Yes	
1.6	Are both costs and health effects discounted at an annual rate of 3.5%?	NA	
1.7	Is the value of health effects expressed in terms of quality- adjusted life years (QALYs)?	No	
1.8	Are changes in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) reported directly from patients and/or carers?	NA	
1.9	Is the valuation of changes in HRQoL (utilities) obtained from a representative sample of the general public?	NA	
1.10	Overall judgement: Partially applicable		
wom	r comments: Authors aimed the intervention to be preventative en in RCT scored >9 on the EPDS and 39% scored >12 the stud y for this guideline review.		
Secti	on 2: Study limitations (the level of methodological quality)	No/Unclear/	
2.1	Does the model structure adequately reflect the nature of the health condition under evaluation?	NA	RCT
2.2	Is the time horizon sufficiently long to reflect all important differences in costs and outcomes?	No	12 weeks
2.3	Are all important and relevant health outcomes included?	Partly	Women reporting EPDS
2.4	Are the estimates of baseline health outcomes from the best available source?	Yes	RCT
2.5	Are the estimates of relative treatment effects from the best	Yes	RCT

available source?

2.6	Are all important and relevant costs included?	Yes			
2.7	Are the estimates of resource use from the best available source?	Partly	RCT		
2.8	Are the unit costs of resources from the best available source?	Partly	Local and national sources		
2.9	Is an appropriate incremental analysis presented or can it be calculated from the data?	Yes			
2.10	Are all important parameters whose values are uncertain subjected to appropriate sensitivity analysis?	Yes			
2.11	Is there no potential conflict of interest?	Yes			
2.12	2.12 Overall assessment : Potentially serious limitations				
Other	Other comments:				

Study identification: Hewitt C, Gilbody S, Brealey S, Paulden M, Palmer S, Mann R, et al. Methods to identify postnatal depression in primary care: an integrated evidence synthesis and value of information analysis. Health technology assessment (Winchester, England). 2009;13(36):1-145, 7-14523

Paulden M, Palmer S, Hewitt C, Gilbody S. Screening for postnatal depression in primary care: Cost effectiveness analysis. BMJ. 2009;339:b5203.

Guid	eline topic: Psychological and psychosocial interventions for	the treatment of	mental health
probl	ems in pregnancy or the postnatal period		
	on 1: Applicability (relevance to specific guideline review ion(s) and the NICE reference case)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear /NA	Comments
1.1	Is the study population appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Women with PND
1.2	Are the interventions and services appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Structured psychological therapy and listening visits
1.3	Is the healthcare system in which the study was conducted sufficiently similar to the current UK NHS context?	Yes	UK study
1.4	Are costs measured from the NHS and personal social services (PSS) perspective?	Yes	
1.5	Are non-direct health effects on individuals excluded?	Yes	
1.6	Are both costs and health effects discounted at an annual rate of 3.5%?	NA	1 year
1.7	Is the value of health effects expressed in terms of quality- adjusted life years (QALYs)?	Yes	
1.8	Are changes in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) reported directly from patients and/or carers?	Partly	General population with depression
1.9	Is the valuation of changes in HRQoL (utilities) obtained from a representative sample of the general public?	No	Service users in US and Canada
1.10	Overall judgement: Directly applicable		
Other	comments:		

Sectio	on 2: Study limitations (the level of methodological quality)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear/ NA	Comments
2.1	Does the model structure adequately reflect the nature of the health condition under evaluation?	Yes	
2.2	Is the time horizon sufficiently long to reflect all important differences in costs and outcomes?	Yes	
2.3	Are all important and relevant health outcomes included?	Yes	
2.4	Are the estimates of baseline health outcomes from the best available source?	Yes	Meta-analysis of RCTs
2.5	Are the estimates of relative treatment effects from the best available source?	Yes	Meta-analysis of RCTs
2.6	Are all important and relevant costs included?	Partly	Cost of care for infants born to mothers with PND not included
2.7	Are the estimates of resource use from the best available source?	Partly	Published studies; expert opinion
2.8	Are the unit costs of resources from the best available source?	Yes	National sources
2.9	Is an appropriate incremental analysis presented or can it be calculated from the data?	Yes	
2.10	Are all important parameters whose values are uncertain subjected to appropriate sensitivity analysis?	Yes	PSA
2.11	Is there no potential conflict of interest?	Yes	
2.12	Overall assessment : Minor limitations	1	1
Other	comments:		

Psych evalu Guid	y identification: Morrell CJ, Warner R, Slade P, Dixon S, Walter nological interventions for postnatal depression: Cluster randor ation. The PoNDER trial. Health Technology Assessment. 2009 eline topic: Psychological and psychosocial interventions for the ems in pregnancy or the postnatal period	nised trial and ();13:i-153.	economic
	on 1: Applicability (relevance to specific guideline review	Yes/ Partly/	Comments
quest	ion(s) and the NICE reference case)	No/Unclear /NA	
1.1	Is the study population appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Women with PND
1.2	Are the interventions and services appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Listening visits
1.3	Is the healthcare system in which the study was conducted sufficiently similar to the current UK NHS context?	Yes	UK study
1.4	Are costs measured from the NHS and personal social services (PSS) perspective?	Yes	
1.5	Are non-direct health effects on individuals excluded?	Yes	

1.6	Are both costs and health effects discounted at an annual rate of 3.5%?	NA	Time horizon up to 12 months
1.7	Is the value of health effects expressed in terms of quality- adjusted life years (QALYs)?	Yes	
1.8	Are changes in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) reported directly from patients and/or carers?	Yes	RCT
1.9	Is the valuation of changes in HRQoL (utilities) obtained from a representative sample of the general public?	Yes	SF-6D, UK tariff
1.10	Overall judgement: Directly applicable		
Other	r comments:		
Section	on 2: Study limitations (the level of methodological quality)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear/ NA	Comments
2.1	Does the model structure adequately reflect the nature of the health condition under evaluation?	NA	RCT
2.2	Is the time horizon sufficiently long to reflect all important differences in costs and outcomes?	Yes	6 months; 12 months
2.3	Are all important and relevant health outcomes included?	Yes	
2.4	Are the estimates of baseline health outcomes from the best available source?	Yes	
2.5	Are the estimates of relative treatment effects from the best available source?	Yes	RCT
2.6	Are all important and relevant costs included?	Yes	
2.7	Are the estimates of resource use from the best available source?	Partly	RCT; expert opinion and authors' assumptions
2.8	Are the unit costs of resources from the best available source?	Yes	National sources; RCT
2.9	Is an appropriate incremental analysis presented or can it be calculated from the data?	Yes	
2.10	Are all important parameters whose values are uncertain subjected to appropriate sensitivity analysis?	Yes	
2.11	Is there no potential conflict of interest?	Yes	
2.12	Overall assessment : Minor limitations	1	L
Other	r comments:		

Study identification: Stevenson MD, Scope A, Sutcliffe PA. The cost-effectiveness of group cognitive behavioral therapy compared with routine primary care for women with postnatal depression in the UK. Value in Health. 2010;13:580-584. (a)

Stevenson MD, Scope A, Sutcliffe PA, Booth A, Slade P, Parry G, et al. Group cognitive behavioural therapy for postnatal depression: A systematic review of clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and value of information analyses. Health Technology Assessment. 2010;14:1-152. (b)

Guideline topic: Psychological and psychosocial interventions for the treatment of mental health problems in pregnancy or the postnatal period

	1: Applicability (relevance to specific guideline review on(s) and the NICE reference case)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear /NA	Comments
1.1	Is the study population appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Women with PND

1.2	Are the interventions and services appropriate for the	Yes	CBT-informed
	guideline?		psychoeducation
1.3	Is the healthcare system in which the study was conducted sufficiently similar to the current UK NHS context?	Yes	UK study
1.4	Are costs measured from the NHS and personal social services (PSS) perspective?	Yes	
1.5	Are non-direct health effects on individuals excluded?	Yes	
1.6	Are both costs and health effects discounted at an annual rate of 3.5%?	NA	Time horizon 1 year
1.7	Is the value of health effects expressed in terms of quality-adjusted life years (QALYs)?	Yes	
1.8	Are changes in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) reported directly from patients and/or carers?	Yes	RCT (mapping technique)
1.9	Is the valuation of changes in HRQoL (utilities) obtained from a representative sample of the general public?	Yes	UK tariff
D1.10	Overall judgement: Directly applicable		
data w and SF conver	comments: In order for QALYs to be estimated a mapping t ras obtained from the PoNDER trial (Morrell et al., 2009), wh 5-36; the statistical relationship between EPDS and SF-36 and ts SF-36 into utility values were subsequently used to transf ed in HONEY2002 RCT into utility values that could be utili	ich collected da l the SF-6D algo orm the observ sed in the econ	ata on both EPDS prithm that ed gains in EPDS omic model.
Sectio	n 2: Study limitations (the level of methodological quality)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear/ NA	Comments
2.1	Does the model structure adequately reflect the nature of the health condition under evaluation?	NA	RCT
2.2	Is the time horizon sufficiently long to reflect all important differences in costs and outcomes?	Yes	12 months
2.3	Are all important and relevant health outcomes included?	Yes	
2.4	Are the estimates of baseline health outcomes from the best available source?	Yes	
2.5	Are the estimates of relative treatment effects from the best available source?	Partly	RCT; authors' assumptions
2.6	Are all important and relevant costs included?	No	Intervention cost only
2.7	Are the estimates of resource use from the best available source?	Partly	RCT; authors' assumptions; other published sources
2.8	Are the unit costs of resources from the best available source?	Unclear	
2.9	Is an appropriate incremental analysis presented or can it be calculated from the data?	Yes	
	Are all important parameters whose values are uncertain subjected to appropriate sensitivity analysis?	Yes	
2.10			
2.10 2.11	Is there no potential conflict of interest?	Yes	
		Yes	

	eline topic: Psychological and psychosocial interventions for t		
Secti	ems in pregnancy or the postnatal period on 1: Applicability (relevance to specific guideline review cion(s) and the NICE reference case)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear /NA	Comments
1.1	Is the study population appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	Hypothetical cohort of women with depression in the postnatal period
1.2	Are the interventions and services appropriate for the guideline?	Yes	
1.3	Is the healthcare system in which the study was conducted sufficiently similar to the current UK NHS context?	Yes	
1.4	Are costs measured from the NHS and personal social services (PSS) perspective?	Yes	
1.5	Are non-direct health effects on individuals excluded?	Yes	
1.6	Are both costs and health effects discounted at an annual rate of 3.5%?	NA	Time horizon 1 year
1.7	Is the value of health effects expressed in terms of quality- adjusted life years (QALYs)?	Yes	
1.8	Are changes in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) reported directly from patients and/or carers?	Partly	General population with depression
1.9	Is the valuation of changes in HRQoL (utilities) obtained from a representative sample of the general public?	Yes	EQ-5D, UK general public
1.10	Overall judgement: Directly applicable		
Othe	r comments:		
Secti	on 2: Study limitations (the level of methodological quality)	Yes/ Partly/ No/Unclear/ NA	Comments
2.1	Does the model structure adequately reflect the nature of the health condition under evaluation?	Yes	
2.2	Is the time horizon sufficiently long to reflect all important differences in costs and outcomes?	Yes	1 year
2.3	Are all important and relevant health outcomes included?	Yes	
2.4	Are the estimates of baseline health outcomes from the best available source?	Yes	Guideline MA
2.5	Are the estimates of relative treatment effects from the best available source?	Yes	Guideline MA
2.6	Are all important and relevant costs included?	Yes	
2.7	Are the estimates of resource use from the best available	Partly	Studies included in guideline MA and GDG expert
	source?		opinion

2.9	Is an appropriate incremental analysis presented or can it be calculated from the data?	Yes			
2.10	Are all important parameters whose values are uncertain subjected to appropriate sensitivity analysis?	Yes	Deterministic and threshold analysis; PSA		
2.11	Is there no potential conflict of interest?	Yes			
2.12	Overall assessment: Minor limitations				
Other	Other comments:				