Appendices

Appendix 1: Scope for the development of a clinical guideline on the management of Obsessive Compulsive Disorder ................................................................. 2
Appendix 2: Stakeholders who responded to early requests for evidence ................. 6
Appendix 3: Stakeholders and experts who responded to the first consultation draft of the guideline ................................................................................................... 7
Appendix 4: Researchers contacted to request information about unpublished or soon-to-be published studies .............................................................................. 8
Appendix 5: Clinical questions .................................................................................. 9
Appendix 6: Search strategies for the identification of clinical studies ..................... 11
Appendix 7: Systematic review quality checklist .................................................... 16
Appendix 8: RCT methodological quality checklist ................................................ 17
Appendix 9: Clinical study data extraction forms .................................................... 18
Appendix 10: Formulae for calculating standard deviations ..................................... 19
Appendix 11: Health Economics Search Strategy ................................................... 21
Appendix 12: Selection criteria for economic studies ............................................. 23
Appendix 13: Data extraction form for economic studies ....................................... 24
Appendix 14: Quality checklist - Full economic evaluations .................................. 26
Appendix 15: Characteristics of reviewed studies CD-ROM
Appendix 16: Clinical evidence forest plots CD-ROM
Appendix 17: Evidence statements CD-ROM
Appendix 1: Scope for the development of a clinical guideline on the management of Obsessive Compulsive Disorder

Final Version
31 July 2003

1. Guideline title


1.1 Shorttitle

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD).

2. Background

   a) The National Institute for Clinical Excellence (‘NICE’ or ‘the Institute’) has commissioned the National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health to develop a clinical guideline on the management of anxiety disorders for use in the NHS in England and Wales. This follows referral of the topic of anxiety disorders, by the Department of Health and Welsh Assembly Government (see Appendix). This document provides further detail on the specific issues relating to OCD and is a development of the original scope agreed for the anxiety disorders. The guideline will provide recommendations for good practice that are based on best available evidence of clinical and cost effectiveness.

   b) The Institute’s clinical guidelines will support the implementation of National Service Frameworks (NSFs) in those aspects of care where a Framework has been published. The statements in each NSF reflect the evidence that was used at the time the Framework was prepared. The clinical guidelines and technology appraisals published by the Institute after an NSF has been issued will have the effect of updating the Framework.

3. Clinical need for the guideline

   a) Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) is a potentially life-long disabling disorder. Diagnostic features include recurrent obsessions or compulsions that are distressing, time-consuming, that interfere with occupational or educational functioning and social activities or relationships.

   b) In the UK, the prevalence of OCD is 1.2% of the adult population between 16-64 years of age, with it affecting a slightly higher proportion of women (1.5%) than men (1.0%). DSM IV estimates a lifetime prevalence of 2.5% and 1-year prevalence of 1.5%-2.1%. The disorder can occur at any age. Because OCD is often a “hidden” disorder, it is neither identified nor reported accurately. Thus, these figures should be viewed as underestimates.

   c) Individuals with OCD and related disorders are currently treated in a range of NHS settings including primary care services; general mental health services and specialist secondary care mental health services. The provision and uptake of such services varies across England and Wales and in part reflects presence or absence of specialist services.
4. The guideline

a) The guideline development process is described in detail in three booklets that are available from the NICE website (see ‘Further information’). The Guideline Development Process – Information for Stakeholders describes how organisations can become involved in the development of a guideline.

b) This document is the scope. It defines exactly what this guideline will (and will not) examine, and what the guideline developers will consider. The scope is based on the referral from the Department of Health and Welsh Assembly Government (see Appendix).

c) The areas that will be addressed by the guideline are described in the following sections.

4.1. Population

4.1.1. Groups that will be covered
The recommendations made in the guideline will cover management of the following groups.

a) Children and adults who meet the standard diagnostic criteria of obsessive-compulsive disorder and body dysmorphic disorders.

4.1.2. Groups that will not be covered
a) Although the guidelines will be of relevance to all people with OCD whether or not it is accompanied by other illnesses, it will not address separately or specifically the management of individuals with other physical or psychiatric conditions.

4.2. Healthcare setting
a) The guideline will cover the care provided in primary and secondary care and that provided by health care professionals who have direct contact with and make decisions concerning the care of patients with OCD.

b) The guideline will also be relevant to the work of, but will not provide specific recommendations to the following non NHS services. However it will consider the interface between health care services and these services:

- Social services
- Voluntary sector
- Education

4.3. Clinical management – areas that will be covered
The guideline will cover the following areas of clinical practice:

a) The full range of care routinely made available by the NHS with regard to OCD.

b) Clarification and confirmation of diagnostic criteria currently in use and therefore the diagnostic factors that trigger the use of this guideline and assessment and instruments that might be used in this process. The definition of the condition in relation to other anxiety disorders will be precise.

c) Pathways to treatment.
d) Psychological interventions including type, format, frequency, duration and intensity. This will include computerised cognitive behaviour therapy (CCBT).

e) Pharmacological treatments including type, dose and duration. When referring to pharmacological treatments, normally guidelines will recommend within the licence indications. However, where the evidence clearly supports it, recommendations for use outside the licence indications may be made in exceptional circumstances. It is the responsibility of prescribers to be aware of circumstances where medication is contra-indicated. The guideline will assume that prescribers are familiar with the side-effect profile and contraindications of medication they prescribe for patients with depression. The guideline will consider the side effects, toxicity and other disadvantages of treatments.

f) Appropriate use of combined pharmacological and psychological interventions.

g) Psychosurgery and deep brain stimulation.

h) Self-care.

i) Sensitivity to cross-cultural and religious factors.

j) The role of the family in the treatment and support of patients.

a. Clinical management – areas that will not be covered
The guideline will not cover treatments that are not normally available on the NHS.

b. Audit support within the guideline
The guideline will include review criteria for audit, for key recommendation, which will enable objective measurements to be made of the extent and nature of local implementation of this guidance, particularly its impact upon practice and outcomes for people with OCD.

c. Status
i. Scope
This is the final version of the scope. It has been derived from the scope on generalised Anxiety which formerly included OCD and which was subject to a 4-week period of consultation with stakeholders and review by the Guidelines Advisory Committee. As a result of that consultation, a decision was taken to prepare a separate guideline for OCD and this separate scope was drafted and submitted to the Institute’s Guideline Programme Director and Executive Lead for approval.

ii. Guideline
The development of the guideline will begin in June 2003.

b) Further information

Information on the guideline development process is provided in:

- The Guideline Development Process – Information for the Public and the NHS
- The Guideline Development Process – Information for Stakeholders
Appendix – Referral from the Department of Health and Welsh Assembly Government

The Department of Health and Welsh Assembly Government asked the Institute:

“To prepare a clinical guideline and audit tool for the NHS in England and Wales for ‘talking’ therapies, drug treatments and prescribing for anxiety and related common mental disorders, including generalised anxiety disorder (GAD), panic disorder (with or without agoraphobia), post-traumatic stress disorder, and obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD). The audit tool should include a dataset, database and audit methodology.”
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## A. Psychological intervention

1. For people with OCD, does behaviour therapy (BT), when compared to wait-list control/relaxation/anxiety management, behavioural stress management/another active psychological intervention produce benefits/harms on the specified outcomes?

2. For people with OCD, does cognitive therapy (CT), when compared to wait-list control/relaxation/anxiety management, behavioural stress management/another active psychological intervention produce benefits/harms on the specified outcomes?

3. For people with OCD, does cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT), when compared to wait-list control/relaxation/anxiety management, behavioural stress management/another active psychological intervention produce benefits/harms on the specified outcomes?

4. For people with OCD, does rational-emotive therapy (RET), when compared to wait-list control/relaxation/anxiety management, behavioural stress management/another active psychological intervention produce benefits/harms on the specified outcomes?

5. For people with OCD, does psychoanalysis, psychoanalytic psychotherapy, psychodynamic psychotherapy or supportive psychotherapy, when compared to wait-list control/relaxation/anxiety management - behavioural stress management/another active psychological intervention produce benefits/harms on the specified outcomes?

6. For people with OCD, does MBT, when compared to wait-list control/relaxation/anxiety management, behavioural stress management/another active psychological intervention produce benefits/harms on the specified outcomes?

7. For people with OCD, does family therapy, when compared to wait-list control/relaxation/anxiety management, behavioural stress management/another active psychological intervention produce benefits/harms on the specified outcomes?

8. For people with OCD, does any other psychological intervention*, when compared to wait-list control/relaxation/anxiety management, behavioural stress management/another active psychological intervention produce benefits/harms on the specified outcomes?

## B. Pharmacological interventions

1. For people with OCD (BDD), do tricyclic antidepressants (excluding clomipramine)*, when compared to placebo/comparator drug, produce benefits/harms on the specified outcomes?

2. For people with OCD (BDD), does clomipramine, when compared to placebo/comparator drug, produce benefits/harms on the specified outcomes?

3. For people with OCD (BDD), do SSRIs*, when compared to placebo/comparator drug, produce benefits/harms on the specified outcomes?

4. For people with OCD (BDD), do atypical SRIs, when compared to placebo/comparator drug, produce benefits/harms on the specified outcomes?

5. For people with OCD (BDD) do SNRIs*, when compared to placebo/comparator drug, produce benefits/harms on the specified outcomes?

6. For people with OCD, do MAOIs*, when compared to placebo/comparator drug, produce benefits/harms on the specified outcomes?
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>For people with OCD (BDD), do anxiolytics*, when compared to placebo/comparator drug, produce benefits/ harms on the specified outcomes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>For people with OCD (BDD), do antipsychotics, when compared to placebo/comparator drug, produce benefits/ harms on the specified outcomes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>For people with OCD (BDD), does any other pharmacological intervention*, when compared to placebo/comparator drug, produce benefits/ harms on the specified outcomes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>For people with OCD, do augmentation strategies*, when compared to placebo/comparator drug, produce benefits/ harms on the specified outcomes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>For people with OCD, does any drug treatment, when compared to any psychological intervention, produce benefits/ harms on the specified outcomes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>For people with OCD, does the combination of a drug treatment and a psychological intervention, when compared to a drug treatment alone/psychological intervention alone, produce benefits/ harms on the specified outcomes?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Other Biological Interventions

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>For people with OCD, does neurosurgery*, when compared to placebo/wait-list control/drug treatment/any psychological intervention, produce benefits/ harms on the specified outcomes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>For people with OCD, does deep brain stimulation*, when compared to placebo/wait-list control/drug treatment/any psychological intervention, produce benefits/ harms on the specified outcomes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>For people with OCD, does transcranial magnetic stimulation, when compared to placebo/wait-list control/drug treatment/any psychological intervention, produce benefits/ harms on the specified outcomes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>For people with OCD, does ECT, when compared to placebo/wait-list control/drug treatment/any psychological intervention, produce benefits/ harms on the specified outcomes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>For people with OCD, do other interventions, when compared to placebo/wait-list control/drug treatment/any psychological intervention, produce benefits/ harms on the specified outcomes?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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OCD search filter

MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, PsycINFO

1. compulsive behavior.sh.
2. obsessive-compulsive disorder.sh.
3. obsessive behavior.sh.
4. compulsions.sh.
5. obsession.sh.
6. body dysmorphic disorder.sh.
7. obsessive compulsive neuros$.tw.
8. obsessive compulsive disorder$.tw.
10. (recurr$ adj thought$).tw.
11. (obsession or obsessions or obsessional).tw.
13. OCD.tw.
15. ((obsess$ adj ruminat$) or scrupulosity or body dysmorphi$ or dysmorphophobi$ or imagine$ ugl$).mp.
16. (compulsion or compulsions or compulsional).tw.
17. ((symmetr$ or count$ or arrang$ or order$ or wash$ or repeat$ or hoard$ or clean$ or check$) adj compulsi$).mp.
18. or/1-11
19. 13 not 14
20. or/15-19

BDD search filter

1. body dysmorphic disorder.sh.
2. (body dysmorphi$ or dysmorphophobi$ or imagine$ ugl$).mp.
3. 1 or 2
4. remove duplicates from 3

Systematic review search filter

MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, PsycINFO

1. meta analysis/
2. meta analysis.fc.
3. meta-analysis.pt.
4. (review,academic or review,multicase).pt.
5. exp literature searching/
6. systematic review.pt.
7. (metaanaly$ or meta analy$ or meta?analy$).tw.
8. ((systematic or quantitative or methodologic$) adj (overview$ or review$)).tw.
9. (research review$ or research integration).tw.
10. (handsearch$ or ((hand or manual) adj search$)).tw.
11. (mantel haenszel or peto or dersimonian or der simonian).tw.
12. (fixed effect$ or random effect$ or (pooled adj data)).tw.
13. (medline or embase or scisearch or science citation or isi citation or "web of science").tw.
14. or/1-13

Randomised controlled trials search filters

MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, PsycINFO

1. exp clinical trials/ or cross-over studies/ or random allocation/ or double-blind method/ or single-blind method/
2. random$.pt.
Search strings supporting specific reviews

Other Psychological

Date of search 30.10.2003
Databases searched MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, PsycINFO
No. of hits 406
Dedup'ed: 369

[1-20 OCD search filter above]
21. psychoanalysis.sh.
22. (gestalt therapy or counseling or hypnosis or transactional analysis).sh.
23. exp psychoanalysis/
24. exp hypnotherapy/ or exp counseling/ or (supportive psychotherapy or eye movement desensitization therapy).sh.
25. (psychoanaly$ or psychodynamic$ or support$ psychotherap$).tw.
26. (EMDR or eye movement desensiti$ or gestalt or counseling or hypnotherap$ or transactional analy$ or cognitive analytic).tw.
27. or/23,25
28. 20 and 27
29. remove duplicates from 28
30. or/22,24,26
31. 20 and 30
32. remove duplicates from 31

Augmentation

Date of search 13.11.2003
Databases searched MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, PsycINFO
No. of hits after Dedup'ed 369

[1-20 OCD search filter above]
21. (adjunct$ or augment$ or "add on" or addition$ or supplement$ or resist$ or refract$ or nonrespon$ or intractable).ti,ab.
22. 20 and 21
23. remove duplicates from 22
24. exp inositol/ or exp pindolol/ or exp antipsychotic agents/ or exp tryptophan/ or (valproic acid or lithium).sh.
25. exp antipsychotic agents/ or (inositol or lithium or valproic acid or tryptophan).sh.
26. exp lithium/ or exp tryptophan/ or exp neuroleptic drugs/ or valproic acid.sh.
27. exp neuroleptic agent/ or (gabapentin or inositol or lithium or pindolol or valproic acid or tryptophan).sh.
28. (anti-testosterone or gabapentin or inositol or lithium or pindolol or valproate or valproic acid or triptans or tryptophan).ti,ab.
29. (benperidol or chlorpromazine or flupentixol or fluphenazine or haloperidol or levomepromazine or methotrimeprazine or periyoyazine or perphenazine or pimozide or prochlorperazine or promazine or sulpiride or thioridazine or trifluoperazine or zuclopenthixol or amisulpride or clozapine or olanzapine or quetiapine or risperidone or sertindole or zotepine).mp.
30. (loxapine or pericyazine or buspirone or fenfluramine or trazodone).mp.
31. or/24-30
32. 20 and 31
33. remove duplicates from 32
34. 23 and 33

Other Pharmacological

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of search</th>
<th>29.04.2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Databases searched</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CINAHL: 48 hits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMBASE: 549 hits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsychINFO: 147 hits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDLINE: 230 hits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of hits</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. inositol or pindolol or tryptophan or gabapentin or triptans or anti-testosterone or john* wort or kava kava or gingko biloba or ginkgo biloba or amphetamine or oxytocin or clonidine or practolol or beta-blocker* or ondansetron or ritanserin or anti-androgen or cyproterone
2. OCD not osteochondr*
3. (obsess* near ruminat*) or scrupulosity or body dysmorphi* or dysmorphophobi* or (imagin* ugl*)
4. obsessive compulsive neuros* or obsessive compulsive disorder* or (recurr* near obsess*) or (recurr* near thought*) or obsession or obsessions or obsessional or compulsion or compulsions or compulsiona
5. (compulsive behavior or obsessive-compulsive disorder or obsessive behavior or compulsions or obsession or body dysmorphic disorder)

Other Medical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of search</th>
<th>20.10.2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Databases searched</td>
<td>MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, PsycINFO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of hits</td>
<td>843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedup'ed: 602</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[1-20 OCD search filter above]
21. neurosurgery.sh.
22. psychosurgery.sh.
23. exp brain stimulation/
24. electroconvulsive therapy.sh.
25. electroconvulsive shock therapy.sh.
26. brain depth stimulation.sh.
27. transcranial magnetic stimulation.sh.
28. tractotomy.sh.
29. (neurosurg$ or brain stimulat$ or transcranial or TMS or magnetic stimulat$ or ECT or electroconvulsive).tw.
30. (cingulotom$ or cingulectom$ or leucotom$ or leukotom$ or capsulotom$ or tractotom$ or electric$ capsular$).tw.
31. or/21-30
32. 20 and 31
33. remove duplicates from 32

Child Psychotherapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of search</th>
<th>05.11.2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Databases searched</td>
<td>MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, PsycINFO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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21. exp child/ or exp adolescent/
22. exp pediatrics/
23. (child$ or adolescent$).tw.
24. or/21-23
25. 20 and 24
26. limit 25 to (adult <19 to 44 years> or aged <65 to 79 years> or "aged <80 and over>" or middle age <45 to 64 years>)
27. limit 26 to (all adult <19 plus years> or "all aged <65 and over>")
28. limit 27 to adulthood <18+ years>
29. limit 28 to (adult <18 to 64 years> or aged <65+ years>)
30. 25 not 29
31. exp psychotherapy/
32. (cognitive therapy or behavior therapy or family therapy).sh.
33. psychotherapy, rational-emotive.sh.
34. rational emotive therapy.sh.
35. systematic desensitization therapy.sh.
36. ((cognitive or behavior$ or behaviour$ or family or systemic or strategic or structural) adj1 (therap$ or treatment$)).tw.
37. (rational emotive or RET or CBT or (multimodal adj1 (behavior or behaviour)) or MBT).tw.
38. or/31-37
39. 30 and 38
40. remove duplicates from 39

Psychoanalysis

21. psychoanalysis.sh.
22. (gestalt therapy or counseling or hypnosis or transactional analysis).sh.
23. exp psychoanalysis/
24. exp hypnotherapy/ or exp counseling/ or (supportive psychotherapy or eye movement desensitization therapy).sh.
25. (psychoanalys$ or psychodynamic$ or support$ psychotherap$).tw.
26. (EMDR or eye movement desensiti$ or gestalt or counseling or hypnotherap$ or transactional analys$ or cognitive analytic).tw.
27. or/23,25
28. 20 and 27
29. remove duplicates from 28
30. or/22,24,26
31. 20 and 30
32. remove duplicates from 31
33. 29 not 32

Screening

Date of search | 05.11.2003
Databases searched | PsycINFO
No. of hits after Dedup’ed | 130

1. (RELIABILITY OR SENSITIVITY OR SPECIFICITY OR SCREENING).AB.
2. OBSESSIVE ADJ COMPULSIVE ADJ DISORDER
3. OBSESSIVE-COMPULSIVE-DISORDER.DE.
4. 3 AND 1
5. 3 AND 1
6. (TEST OR QUESTIONNAIRE OR SCALE OR INVENTORY).AB.
7. 6 AND 5
8. primary ADJ care
9.PRIMARY-HEALTH-CARE.DE. OR PHYSICIANS.W..DE. OR FAMILY-PHYSICIANS.DE. OR
GENERAL-PRACTITIONERS.DE.
10.7 AND 9
11.primary ADJ care
12.general ADJ practitioner
13.physician
14.7 AND (11 OR 12 OR 13)
15.10 OR 14
### Depresssion Guideline
### Quality checklist for a systematic review (notes for reviewer are in italics)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Checklist completed by:</th>
<th>Report reference ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### SECTION 1: VALIDITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation criteria</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1.1 Does the review address an appropriate and clearly focused question?

Unless a clear and well-defined question is specified, it will be difficult to assess how well the study has met its objectives or how relevant it is to the question you are trying to answer on the basis of its conclusions.

1.2 Does the review include a description of the methodology used?

A systematic review should include a detailed description of the methods used to identify and evaluate individual studies. If this description is not present, it is not possible to make a thorough evaluation of the quality of the review, and it should be rejected as a source of Level 1 evidence. (Though it may be useable as Level 4 evidence, if no better evidence can be found.)

1.3 Was the literature search sufficiently rigorous to identify all relevant studies?

Consider whether the review used an electronic search of at least one bibliographic database (searching for studies dating at least 10 years before publication of the review). Any indication that hand-searching of key journals, or follow-up of reference lists of included studies, were carried out in addition to electronic database searches can normally be taken as evidence of a well-conducted review.

1.4 Was study quality assessed and taken into account?

A well-conducted systematic review should have used clear criteria to assess whether individual studies had been well conducted before deciding whether to include or exclude them. At a minimum, the authors should have checked that there was adequate concealment of allocation, that the rate of drop out was minimised, and that the results were analysed on an "intention to treat" basis. If there is no indication of such an assessment, the review should be rejected as a source of Level 1 evidence. If details of the assessment are poor, or the methods considered to be inadequate, the quality of the review should be downgraded.

#### SECTION 2: OVERALL ASSESSMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2.1 Low risk of bias: All or most criteria met

Moderate risk of bias: Most criteria partly met

High risk of bias: Few or no criteria met
## Appendix 8: RCT methodological quality checklist

### Depression Guideline

Quality checklist for an RCT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report reference ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Checklist completed by:</th>
<th>Date completed:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### SECTION 1: INTERNAL VALIDITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation criteria</th>
<th>How well is this criterion addressed?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Was the assignment of subjects to treatment groups randomised?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*If there is no indication of randomisation, the study should be rejected. If the description of randomisation is poor, or the process used is not truly random (e.g., allocation by date, alternating between one group and another) or can otherwise be seen as flawed, the study should be given a lower quality rating.*

| 1.2 Was an adequate concealment method used? | |

*Centralised allocation, computerised allocation systems, or the use of coded identical containers would all be regarded as adequate methods of concealment, and may be taken as indicators of a well-conducted study. If the method of concealment used is regarded as poor, or relatively easy to subvert, the study must be given a lower quality rating, and can be rejected if the concealment method is seen as inadequate.*

### SECTION 2: OVERALL ASSESSMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both criteria met</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or more criteria partly met</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or more criteria not met</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 2.1 Low risk of bias | |
| Moderate risk of bias | |
| High risk of bias | |
Study characteristics extraction form
### Data extraction form for a randomised controlled trial

| Completed by: | Report reference ID: |

#### 1 TREATMENT GROUP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dropouts</th>
<th>Treatment Responders</th>
<th>Side Effects (total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Definition of responders**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post-treatment means</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n Mean SD</td>
<td>n Mean SD</td>
<td>n Mean SD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other data</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n Mean SD</td>
<td>n Mean SD</td>
<td>n Mean SD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2 TREATMENT GROUP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dropouts</th>
<th>Treatment Responders</th>
<th>Side Effects (total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Definition of responders**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post-treatment means</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n Mean SD</td>
<td>n Mean SD</td>
<td>n Mean SD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other data</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n Mean SD</td>
<td>n Mean SD</td>
<td>n Mean SD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparisons entered:

**Appendix 10: Formulae for calculating standard deviations**

The following formulae were used to calculate standard deviations (SD) where these were not available in study reports:
**(n = sample size of group)**

\[ SD = \text{Standard Error} \times \sqrt{n} \]

\[ SD = (\text{upper 95% Confidence Interval} - \text{mean}) \times \sqrt{n} \]

\[ SD = (\text{mean}_1 - \text{mean}_2) \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{F (\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_2}})}} \]

(If F ratio is not given, then \( F = t_2 \))
Appendix 11: Health Economics Search Strategy

| Date of search | 08.04.2004 |
| Databases searched | PsycINFO |

#1 (obsessive compulsive disorder or compulsions or obsessions or body dysmorphic disorder) in DE,SU (6196 records)  
#2 obsessive compulsive neuros* or obsessive compulsive disorder* or obsession or obsessions or obsessional (8579 records)  
#3 OCD not osteochondr* (2409 records)  
#4 scrupulosity or body dysmorphi* or dysmorphophobi* or imagine* ugl* (446 records)  
#5 #1 or #2 or #3 or #4 (9446 records)  
#6 (burden near illness) or (burden near disease) or (cost* near evaluat*) or (cost* near benefit*) or (cost* near utilit*) or (cost* near minimi*) or (cost* near illness) or (cost* near disease) or (cost* near analys*) or (cost* near assess*) or (cost* near study) or (cost* near studies) or (cost* near allocation) or (cost* near outcome) or (cost* near consequence) or (cost* near offset) or (cost* near off-set) or (cost* near effect) or (cost* near treatment) (20441 records)  
#7 (economic near evaluat*) or (economic near analys*) or (economic near burden) or (economic near study) or (economic near studies) or (economic near assess*) or (economic near consequence) or (economic near outcome) or (health service near (us* or utili*)) or (health care near (us* or utili*)) or (healthcare near (us* or utili*)) or health utility or health utilities or quality adjusted life year* or quality-adjusted-life-year* or qaly* or (resource near (us* or utili* or allocation*)) or expenditure* (38791 records)  
#8 explode 'economics' or explode 'costs and cost analysis' (9300 records)  
#9 #6 or #7 or #8 (57686 records)  
#10 #5 and #9 (141 records)

| Date of search | 08.04.2004 |
| Databases searched | Medline |

#1 cost* (226082 records)  
#2 economic (75343 records)  
#3 health service or health care or healthcare (378473 records)  
#4 quality adjusted life year* or qaly or resource utili* or resource allocation* or expenditure* (34265 records)  
#5 (obsessive compulsive disorder or compulsive behavior or obsessive behavior) in KW,MESH,PS (7109 records)  
#6 obsessive compulsive neuros* or obsessive compulsive disorder* or obsession or obsessions or obsessional (7133 records)  
#7 OCD not osteochondr* (1867 records)  
#8 scrupulosity or body dysmorphi* or dysmorphophobi* or imagine* ugl* (334 records)  
#9 #5 or #6 or #7 or #8 (8688 records)  
#10 #1 and #9 (105 records)  
#11 #2 and #9 (30 records)  
#12 #3 and #9 (216 records)  
#13 #4 and #9 (9 records)  
#14 #10 or #11 or #12 or #13 (318 records)

| Date of search | 08.04.2004 |
| Databases searched | EMBASE |

#1 (obsessive compulsive disorder or compulsion or obsession or body dysmorphic disorder) in SU (8256 records)  
#2 obsessive compulsive neuros* or obsessive compulsive disorder* or obsession or obsessions or obsessional (7554 records)  
#3 OCD not osteochondr* (2159 records)  
#4 scrupulosity or body dysmorphi* or dysmorphophobi* or imagine* ugl* (469 records)  
#5 #1 or #2 or #3 or #4 (9129 records)
#6 (burden near illness) or (burden near disease) or (cost* near evaluat*) or (cost* near benefit*) or (cost* near utilit*) or (cost* near minimi*) or (cost* near illness) or (cost* near disease) or (cost* near analys*) or (cost* near assess*) or (cost* near study) or (cost* near studies) or (cost* near allocation) or (cost* near outcome*) or (cost* near consequence*) or (cost* near offset*) or (cost* near off-set*) or (cost* near effect*) or (cost* near treatment*) (91709 records)

#7 (economic near evaluat*) or (economic near analys*) or (economic near burden) or (economic near study) or (economic near studies) or (economic near assess*) or (economic near consequence*) or (economic near outcome*) or (economic near quality-adjusted-life-year* or qaly* or (resource near (us* or utili*)) or (resource near (us* or utili* or allocation*)) or expenditure* (60659 records)

#8 (explode 'cost' / all subheadings or explode 'economics' / all subheadings or explode 'health economics' / all subheadings ) in SU (154319 records)

#9 #6 or #7 or #8 (218918 records)

#10 #5 and #9 (242 records)

Date of search 08.04.2004
Databases searched EconLit

#1 obsessive or compulsive or obsession or obsessions or obsessional or compulsion or compulsions or compusional or body dysmorphi* or dysmorphophobi* or OCD (116 records)

Date of search 08.04.2004
Databases searched NHS EED

“obsess*” = 2
Appendix 12: Selection criteria for economic studies

Cost-of-illness/ economic burden studies

1. There was no restriction placed on language or publication status of the papers.
2. Studies published between 1980 and 2004 were included. This date restriction was imposed in order to obtain data relevant to current healthcare settings and costs.
3. Only studies from the UK/OECD were included, as the aim of the review was to identify economic burden information relevant to the national context.
4. Selection criteria based on types of clinical conditions and patients were identical to the clinical literature review.
5. Studies were included provided that sufficient details regarding methods and results were available to enable the methodological quality of the study to be assessed, and provided that the study’s data and results were extractable.

Economic evaluations

1. Studies were included provided they had used cost-minimisation analysis, cost-effectiveness analysis, cost-utility analysis or cost-benefit analysis.
2. Clinical evidence from a meta-analysis, a randomised controlled trial, a quasi-experimental trial or a cohort study was used.
3. There was no restriction placed on language or publication status of the papers.
4. Studies published between 1980 and 2004 were included. This date restriction was imposed in order to obtain data relevant to current healthcare settings and costs.
5. Only studies from the UK/OECD were considered, as the aim of the review was to identify economic evaluation information relevant to the national context.
6. Selection criteria were based on types of clinical conditions, patients, treatments and settings to which agreed by the GDG (2004).
7. Studies were included provided that sufficient details regarding methods and results were available to enable the methodological quality of the study to be assessed, and provided that the study’s data and results were extractable.
8. In cases where no published data were available, estimations were made by the GDG (2004) based upon expert opinions.

Health state and utility studies

1. Studies reporting health state and utilities for OCD were considered for inclusion.
2. There was no restriction placed on language or publication status of the papers.
3. Studies published between 1980 and 2004 were included.
4. Only studies from OECD countries were considered to assure the generalisability of the results to the UK context.
5. Selection criteria based on types of clinical conditions, patients, treatments and settings were identical to the clinical literature review.
Appendix 13: Data extraction form for economic studies

Reviewer: Date of review:
Authors: Publication date:
Title:
Country: Language:

Interventions compared:
Treatment:
Comparator:

Patient population:
Setting:

Economic study design:
CEA CMA
CBA CCA
CUA CA

Perspective of the analysis:
Health care system Societal
Health care provider Patient and family
Third party payer Other:

Time frame of the analysis:
Modelling:
NO YES

Source of data for effect size measures:
Meta-analysis
Non-systematic review
RCT
Quasi-experimental study Quasi-experimental study
Cohort study Cohort study
Mirror-image (before after) study Mirror-image (before after) study
Expert opinion

Comments:

Primary outcome measures:
Costs included:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direct medical</th>
<th>Direct non-medical</th>
<th>Lost productivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>direct treatment</td>
<td>social care</td>
<td>income forgone due to illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inpatient</td>
<td>social benefits</td>
<td>income forgone due to death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outpatient</td>
<td>travel costs</td>
<td>income forgone by caregiver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day care</td>
<td>caregiver out-of-pocket</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
or

Staff
Medication
Consumables
Overhead
Capital equipment
Real estate
Others:

Source of resource use and unit costs:
Currency: Price year:
Discounting (costs / benefits):
Sensitivity analysis:
Effectiveness results:
Cost results:
Cost-effectiveness results:
Authors’ conclusions:
Comments – limitations:
Appendix 14: Quality checklist - Full economic evaluations

Author:  Date of publication:

Title:

Study design

1. The research question is stated Yes No
2. The economic importance of the research question is stated Yes No
3. The viewpoint(s) of the analysis are clearly stated and justified Yes No
4. The rationale for choosing the alternative programmes or interventions compared is stated Yes No
5. The alternatives being compared are clearly described Yes No
6. The form of economic evaluation used is stated Yes No
7. The choice of form of economic evaluation used is justified in relation to the questions addressed Yes No

Data collection

1. The source of effectiveness estimates used are stated Yes No
2. Details of the design and results of effectiveness study are given (if based on a single study) Yes No N/A
3. Details of the method of synthesis or meta-analysis of estimates are given (if based on an overview of a number of effectiveness studies) Yes No N/A
4. The primary outcome measure(s) for the economic evaluation are clearly stated Yes No
5. Methods to value health states and other benefits are stated Yes No N/A
6. Details of the subjects from whom valuations were obtained are given Yes No N/A
7. Indirect costs (if included) are reported separately Yes No N/A
8. The relevance of indirect costs to the study question is discussed Yes No N/A
9. Quantities of resources are reported separately from their unit costs Yes No
10. Methods for the estimation of quantities and unit costs are described Yes No
11. Currency and price data are recorded Yes No
12. Details of currency, price adjustments for inflation or currency conversion are given Yes No
13. Details of any model used are given Yes No N/A
14. The choice of model used and the key parameters on which it is based are justified Yes No N/A

Analysis and interpretation of results

1. Time horizon of costs and benefits is stated Yes No
2. The discount rate(s) is stated Yes No N/A
3. The choice of rate(s) is justified Yes No N/A
4. An explanation is given if costs or benefits are not discounted Yes No N/A
5. Details of statistical tests and confidence intervals are given for stochastic data Yes No N/A
6. The approach to sensitivity analysis is given Yes No N/A
7. The choice of variables for sensitivity analysis is given Yes No N/A
8. The ranges over which the variables are varied are stated Yes No N/A
9. Relevant alternatives are compared Yes No
10. Incremental analysis is reported Yes No N/A
11. Major outcomes are presented in a disaggregated as well as aggregated form Yes No
12. The answer to the study question is given Yes No
13. Conclusions follow from the data reported Yes No
14. Conclusions are accompanied by the appropriate caveats Yes No

Validity score: Yes/No/NA: