National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health

Atopic eczema in children

management of atopic eczema in children from birth up to the age of 12 years

management of atopic eczema in children from birth up to the age of 12 years

National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health

Commissioned by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Evidence tables

December 2007



| Evidence tables should be read in conjunction with the main guideline. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Published by the RCOG Press at the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, 27 Sussex Place, Regent's Park, London NW1 4RG |
| www.rcog.org.uk |
| Registered charity no. 213280 |
| First published 2007 |
| © 2007 National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health |
| No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form or by any means, without the prior written permission of the publisher or, in the case of reprographic reproduction, in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in the UK [www.cla.co.uk]. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the terms stated here should be sent to the publisher at the UK address printed on this page. |

K the publisher at the UK address printed on this page.

The use of registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant laws and regulations and therefore for general use.

While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained within this publication, the publisher can give no guarantee for information about drug dosage and application thereof contained in this book. In every individual case the respective user must check current indications and accuracy by consulting other pharmaceutical literature and following the guidelines laid down by the manufacturers of specific products and the relevant authorities in the country in which they are practising.

ISBN 978-1-904752-42-4

RCOG Editor: Andrew Welsh Original design of main guideline by FiSH Books, London Typesetting of main guideline by Andrew Welsh

Contents

| Abbreviations | 6 |
|--|-----|
| Diagnosis | 8 |
| Assessment of severity, psychological and psychosocial wellbeing and quality of life | 14 |
| Epidemiology | 48 |
| Identification and management of trigger factors | 69 |
| Treatment | 164 |
| Emollients and bandages | 164 |
| Topical corticosteroids | 177 |
| Topical calcineurin inhibitors | 200 |
| Dry bandages and medicated dressings (including wet wrap therapy) | 225 |
| Antihistamines and other antipruritics | 226 |
| Treatment for infections associated with atopic eczema | 237 |
| Stepped approach to management | 252 |
| Phototherapy and systemic treatments | 261 |
| Complementary therapies | 285 |
| Behavioural therapies | 301 |
| Education and adherence to therapy | 302 |
| Monitoring growth | 313 |
| Indications for referral | 331 |
| References | 332 |

Abbreviations

ACTH adrenocorticotrophic hormone

ADAM Assessment Measure for Atopic Dermatitis
ADASI Atopic Dermatitis Area and Severity Index
ADFIS Atopic Dermatitis Family Impact Scale
ADSI Atopic Dermatitis Severity Index

AE atopic eczema APT atopy patch test

BCSS Basic Clinical Scoring System

BNFC British National Formulary for Children

BSA body surface area

CADIS Childhood Atopic Dermatitis Impact Scale

CBCL Child Behaviour Checklist

CDLQI Children's Dermatology Life Quality Index

CI confidence interval

CIPQ Children's Illness Perception Questionnaire

CLQI Children's Life Quality Index Costa's SSS Costa's Simple Scoring System

CPMS Childhood Psychopathology Measurement Schedule

DB double-blind

DBPCFC double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge

DFI Dermatitis Family Impact scale

DS diagnostic study

EASI Eczema Area and Severity Index EL evidence level (level of evidence)

EPO evening primrose oil

FEN Fragebogen zur Lebenqualität von Eltern neurdermitiskranker Kinder

(German quality of life questionnaire for parents of children with atopic dermatitis)

FES Family Environment Scale FP fluticasone propionate

g gram

GDG Guideline Development Group GHQ General Health Questionnaire

GP general practitioner

HADS Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale

HC hydrocortisone

HPA hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal
HTA health technology assessment
ICER incremental cost-effectiveness ratio
IDQoL Infants' Dermatitis Quality of Life index
IGA Investigator's Global Assessment

IgE immunoglobulin E IQR interquartile range

ISAAC International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood

ISOLATE International Study of Life with Atopic Eczema

JUCKJU an itching scale JUCKKI an itching scale

KINDL a generic quality of life questionnaire in German for children and adolescents a generic quality of life questionnaire in German for children aged 0–6

litre

MHRA Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency

μg microgram

ml millilitre

n number of patientsN/A not applicable

NCC-WCH National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health

NESS Nottingham Eczema Severity Score

ng nanogram

NHS National Health Service

NICE National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

NPV negative predictive value
NS not statistically significant
NSAI nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory

OR odds ratio

OSAAD Objective Severity Assessment of Atopic Dermatitis

PCT primary care trust

PIQoL-AD Parents' Index of Quality of Life in Atopic Dermatitis

POEM Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure

PPIP Patient and Public Involvement Programme

PPV positive predictive value

PQoL-AD Quality of Life in Parents of Children with Atopic Dermatitis

PRIST paper radioimmunosorbent test

PRU pruritus severity

PTI Personality Trait Inventory
QALY quality-adjusted life year

QOL quality of life

r correlation coefficient
RAST radioallergosorbent test
RCT randomised controlled trial

RR relative risk

SA Subject's Assessment

SA-EASI Self-Administered Eczema Area and Severity Index

SAFT skin application food test

SA-NESS Self-Administered Nottingham Eczema Severity Score

SASSAD Six Area, Six Sign, Atopic Dermatitis score

SCORAD Scoring Atopic Dermatitis index

SD standard deviation SDS standard deviation score

SE standard error SF-36 Short Form 36

SIGN Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network

SIS skin intensity score SPT skin prick test

SQ Symptom Questionnaire STAI state trait anxiety index TA technology appraisal

TBSA total body severity assessment

TCS topical corticosteroid
TIS Three Item Severity score
URTI upper respiratory tract infection

VAS visual analogue scale

Diagnosis

| Williams Derivation of a minimum set of Ch. Burney PG; Hay a minimum set of discriminators for a blopic eczema of discriminators for the blopic eczema of discriminators for a blopic eczema of discriminators for the blopic eczema of discriminators for a blopic eczema of discriminators for a blopic eczema of discriminators for a blopic | Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|-------------------------------|---|--|
| significantly underrepresented in the control group, significantly +2.09 visible flexural dermatitis + 1.71 presence of itchy rash | information Williams HC;Burney PG;Hay RJ;Archer CB;Shipley MJ;Hunter JJ;Bingham EA;Finlay AY;Pembroke AC;Graham- Brown RA; | evidence level Derivation of a minimum set of discriminators for atopic eczema. | Intervention: Diagnostic criteria vs clinical diagnosis of atopic eczema Comparison: Observations made by two observers (dermatology registrars or senior registrars) using 31 of the Hanifin and Rajka criteria, compared with the diagnosis made by a physician with an interest in | patient characteristics 224 (120 cases, 104 | characteristics Consecutive new cases of 'typical mild to moderate atopic eczema' (aged 6 months to 50 years), and 2 control groups: patients with an inflammatory skin disorder other than atopic eczema, and a community control group with no overt skin disease. 53% of cases were aged <10 yrs. 102 participants (46%; 70 cases, 32 controls) were aged <16 yrs. Ethnicity: 82% White, 5% Indian subcontinent, 9% Afro-Caribbean, 3% Oriental, 1% 'other'. Cases were significantly younger than controls (p<0.01), and non-whites were significantly underrepresented in | measures Discriminatory value | Of the 31 criteria, 15 were eliminated because of having chi square value <10, or poor interobserver reliability (kappa scores <0.4). Of the 16 remaining criteria, subjected to regression analysis, the regression equation for the log odds of atopic eczema = -5.6+2.4 history of flexural dermatitis +3.7 onset under 2 +1.2 presence of itchy rash +1.9 personal history of asthma +1.3 history of a dry skin +1.3 visible flexural dermatitis. Although history of itchy skin became insignificant when visible flexural dermatitis was added to the final model, it was retained because pruritus was felt to be an essential feature of atopic eczema. In children aged <16 yrs, the regression equation was: = -4.36+1.84 history of flexural dermatitis +3.46 onset under 2 +2.09 visible flexural dermatitis + | Funding: Stiefel UK sponsored the first meeting of the working party. Lead author was supported by a fellowship from the Wellcome Trust. The observers were unaware of the true purpose of the study; observers' responses were concealed from physicians at all times, and observers were also blind to the physician's diagnosis. Sixteen physicians were involved in the study, 13 of whom had a special interest in atopic eczema, and 6 were paediatric dermatologists. The population included were consecutive new cases of 'typical mild to moderate atopic eczema' (aged 6 months to 50 years), and a control group of patients with an inflammatory skin disorder other than atopic eczema. Exploratory analysis was undertaken to assess whether the 6 criteria were influenced by ethnic class - there was 'no evidence' of a |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and prevalence | Population characteristics | Type of test and Reference standard | Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV | Reviewer comment |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Williams HC, Burney PGJ, Pembroke AC et al 1994 28 | Validation study of the UK Working Party criteria in hospital outpatients. EL=DS II | 114 (39 cases, 75 controls) | Dermatology outpatients (27% were children aged 10 yrs or under), and paediatric outpatients, aged up to 16 yrs. Paediatric outpatients: 51% female, median age cases 5 yrs (IQR 2-10), vs. 6 yrs controls (IQR 3-9). 51% White, 27% Afro-Caribbean, 11% Indian subcontinent, 11% Chinese/Middle-Eastern/mixed. Control groups had other conditions such as inflammatory dermatoses, or infections. | Test: Diagnostic validity of the UK working Party criteria. Reference standard: Diagnosis using the proposed composite criteria (itchy skin as a major criterion, with three or more of the other five) compared with a dermatologist's diagnosis | Optimum discrimination given by itch plus 3 or more criteria (sensitivity 85%, 95% CI 69 to 94%; specificity 96%, 95% CI 89 to 99%) PPV and NPV both 92%. Data for each composite criterion evaluated: Itch plus 2 criteria: sens 92%, spec 81%, RV 73.6 Itch plus 3 criteria: sens 85%, spec 96%, RV 80.6 Itch plus 4 criteria: sens 54%, spec 99%, RV 52.5% Itch plus minus asthma/hay fever: sens 72%, spec 97%, RV 69.1 Itch plus 2 minus signs: sens 85%, spec 87%, RV 71.3 Itch plus 2 minus signs and asthma/hay fever: sens 75%, spec 89%, RV 63.7 Omitting asthma/hay fever resulted in a reduced sensitivity and increased specificity, and omitting the sign of visible flexural dermatitis resulted in a fall in specificity from 96% to 87%. Addition of xerosis or hypopigmented patches did not results in an improvement in discrimination. | Funding: none declared. While the dermatology outpatients study included some data for children within the age group of interest to this guideline, no demographic data were provided therefore that part of the study is not considered further. Some questions were modified after the dermatology outpatients validation study; in younger children the criteria age of onset under 2 years, and personal history of hay fever may not be applicable, therefore for children aged under 4 years, the criterion onset under 2 years was not used, and history of asthma/hay fever was replaced with history of atopic disease in a first degree relative. In addition, because distribution of eczema may be different in young children, visible dermatitis on the cheeks and/or the outer aspects of the limbs were included as part of 'visible flexural dermatitis' in children aged under 4 years, and 'history of flexural dermatitis' included dermatitis on the cheeks in children under 10 years. Sensitivity and specificity in Afro-Caribbean subgroup considered to be comparable to the total group (sens 11/14, spec 17/17) 'Relative value' was also quoted in the paper (sensitivity plus specificity minus 100) – data not reproduced here. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and prevalence | Population characteristics | Type of test and Reference standard | Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV | Reviewer comment |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| Williams HC;Burney | Validation of diagnostic criteria | n=695 Prevalence 8.5% | School children aged 3 to 11 yrs | Test: Diagnosis of atopic eczema in schoolchildren | Itchy skin condition: sens 86%, spec 77%, PPV 26%, NPV 98%. | Funding: none declared, but lead author funded by the Wellcome Trust when the work was carried out. |
| PGJ;Pembroke (diagnostic AC;Hay RJ; accuracy), 1996 EL=DS II | | (31% aged 3-5.9, 38% aged 6-8.9, | | Onset under age 2 yrs: sens 47%, spec 94%, PPV 45%, NPV 95%. | Parents completed a questionnaire requesting background information, plus the 5 questions of the UK working party criteria | |
| | | 31% aged 9-11); mean age 7 (SD 2.4). | Reference standard: | History of flexural rash: sens 76%, spec 89%, PPV 39%, NPV 98%. | (response rate 75%). Self-reported skin disease was also recorded. Reference point for diagnosis of eczema was the clinical diagnosis | |
| | | Ethnic grps: 43% White, 8% Indian | clinical diagnosis by a paediatric dermatologist | History of asthma or hay fever: sens 56%, spec 70%, PPV 15%, NPV 94%. | by a dermatologist with an interest in paediatric dermatology, but unaware of the results of the questionnaire or of the diagnostic | |
| | | subcontinent, 32% Black, 15% Mixed, | | History of dry skin: sens 85%, spec 71%, PPV 21%, NPV 98%. | criteria. Dermatologist also assessed severity (very mild <5% involvement, | |
| | | | 2% other. 49% male, 51% female. | | Visible flexural dermatitis: sens 63%, spec 95%, PPV 54%, NPV 96%. | justifies emollient; mild <5% involvement but requiring 1% hydrocortisone? in addition to emollients; moderate 5-30% involvement requiring moderate-potent topical corticosteroids; severe >30% involvement needing specialist supervision). |
| | | | | | Composite criteria under test: itch plus 3 or more: sens 70%, spec 93%, PPV 47%, NPV 97% | A nurse independently assessed whether the criterion visible flexural dermatitis was present. |
| | | | | | | Point prevalence of atopic eczema (dermatologist diagnosis) was 8.5% |
| | | | | | Criteria adjusted for 1-yr period prevalence (adjusted for cases that were deemed by a physician to have had AE in the last year): itch plus 3 or more: sens 80%, spec 97%, PPV 80%, NPV 97%. | Repeatability of questionnaire also assessed in 73 cases; Kappa agreement above 0.85, and 'mean pair agreement indexes' 0.93. Validity of the criteria in certain subgroups were also explored (incl age and ethnicity), although results only given for under 4 yrs, and |
| | | | | | Sensitivity and specificity of the UK Working Party diagnostic criteria for atopic eczema, relative to a clinical diagnosis by a paediatric dermatologist. | according to severity. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and prevalence | Population characteristics | Type of test and Reference standard | Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV | Reviewer comment | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| Popescu CM;Popescu | Validation of diagnostic criteria | 1114 | Children aged 6 to 12 years from 3 | Test: UK Working party diagnostic criteria, | Itchy skin condition: sens 78%, spec 94%, PPV 24%, NPV 99%. | Funding: Sir Samuel Scott of Yews Trust. | |
| R;Williams (diagnostic H;Forsea D; accuracy), 1998 Mar EL=DS II | | schools in Bucharest. Mean | administered by questionnaire completed | Onset under age 2 yrs: sens 37%, spec 97%, PPV 21%, NPV 98%. | Parents/children/class teachers completed a questionnaire covering the UK working party criteria (response rate 88%). Self-reported | | |
| | | age 9yrs (SD 1.2). 54% male. 98% White Romanian. | by parents/children/school teachers | History of flexural rash: sens 74%, spec 96%, PPV 32%, NPV 99%. | skin disease was also recorded. Reference point for diagnosis of eczema was the clinical diagnosis | | |
| | | | 1% Gypsy, 1% Mixed, 0.1% others. | Reference standard: Clinical diagnosis of a dermatologist with an interest in eczema | History of asthma and/or hay fever: sens 44%, spec 90%, PPV 10%, NPV | by a dermatologist with an interest in eczema, but unaware of the results of the questionnaire or of the diagnostic criteria. | |
| | | | | | 99%. History of dry skin: sens 67%, spec 83%, PPV 91%, NPV 99%. Visible flexural dermatitis: sens 59%, | Dermatologist also assessed severity (very mild <5% involvement, justifies emollient; mild <5% involvement but requiring 1% hydrocortisone in addition to emollients; moderate 5-30% involvement requiring moderate-potent topical corticosteroids; severe >30% involvement needing specialist supervision). | |
| | | | | | spec 98%, PPV 40%, NPV 99%. Composite criteria under test: itch plus 3 | A nurse independently assessed whether the criterion visible flexural dermatitis) was present. | |
| | | | | | | or more: sens 74%, spec 99%, PPV 63%, NPV 99% | Point prevalence of atopic eczema (dermatologist diagnosis) was 2.4% |
| | | | | | Diagnostic accuracy of the UK working party criteria compared with clinical diagnosis as reference standard | Repeatability of questionnaire also assessed in 171 cases; Kappa agreement above 0.72, and 'mean pair agreement indexes' 0.92. | |
| | | | | | | Validity of the criteria in certain subgroups were also explored (incl age and ethnicity), although results only given for under 4 yrs, and according to severity. | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and prevalence | Population characteristics | Type of test and Reference standard | Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV | Reviewer comment |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Chalmers | Validation of | 3067 | Black Xhosa | Test: Validity of the UK | Percentage (95% CI) | Questionnaires were translated, validated in a pilot study and |
| DA;Todd G;Saxe N;Milne | diagnostic criteria (diagnostic | Point prevalenc: 1.04 | speaking children aged 3-11 years, | Working Party diagnostic criteria for atopic eczema | Q1a) Itchy skin in last year: sens 71.8 | administered by bilingual interviewers. |
| JT,Tolosana | accuracy), EL=DS III | (95% CI 0.6-1.4) | mean age 6.6 (s.d. | in a Xhosa-speaking African population. | (53.2, 86.2), spec 49.2 (47.4, 51.0), ppv 1.4 (0.9, 2.2) npv 99.4 (98.8, 99.7) | No inter-observer variability study was reported for diagnosis by a dermatologist. |
| PN;Hlaba | | 2.5), 52.4% female, 33% urban, 33% | Airican population. | Q1b) Itchy skin in last week: sens 68.7 | The UK working party criteria for diagnosing atopic eczema do not | |
| BN;Mngomeni | | | peri-urban, 34% rural | Reference standard: | (49.9, 83.8), spec 51.6 (49.8, 53.4) ppv 1.4 (0.9, 2.2) npv 99.3 (98.8, 99.7 | work well in a Xhosa-speaking population of children. The single visible of sign of visible flexural eczema works well alone as a |
| LN;Nonxuba TG;Williams HC; 2007 | | | | Clinical diagnosis by one of three dermatologists . | Q2) Onset of this skin condition under 2 years: sens 9.3 (1.9, 25.0), spec 97.5 (96.9, 98.0), ppv 3.9 (0.8, 10.9), npv 99.0 (98.6, 99.3) | diagnosing factor. |
| | | | | | Q3) History of this skin condition ever affecting the skin creases: sens 68.7 (49.9, 83.8), spec 68.8 (61.1, 64.5), ppv 3.4 (2.1, 5.2), npv 99.5 (99.1, 99.7) | |
| | | | | | Q4) History of generally dry skin in the last year: sens 62.5 (43.6, 78.9), spec 81.5 (80.1, 82.9), ppv 3.4 (2.1, 5.2), npv 99.5 (99.1, 99.7) | |
| | | | | | Q5a) Personal history of hay fever: sens 6.2 (0.7, 20.8), spec 97.1 (96.4, 97.6), ppv 2.2 (0.2, 7.8), npv 98.9 (98.5, 99.3) | |
| | | | | | Q5b) Family history of asthma, hay fever or eczema for children under 4 years: sens 0.0 (0.0, 10.6), spec 98.8 (98.4, 99.2), ppv 0.0 (0.0, 10.0), npv 98.9 (98.5, 99.2) | |
| | | | | | Q6) Visible flexural eczema: | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Fleming S;Bodner C;Devereux G;Russell G;Campbell | Study Type: Case-control | Nested case- control study from a survey of 2000 mothers, | Cases were those with the diagnosis of atopic eczema based on the results of the mailed questionnaire. | Intervention: Mother's diagnosis of atopic eczema based on self- completion of | Follow-up period: N/A Outcome Measures: | % agreement (Kappa score, 95% CI) for each criterion in cases and controls: | Funding: National Asthma Campaign. |
| D;Godden D;Seaton A; | Evidence level: 2+ | on the 1st birthday of their infants (81% response rate). | mailed questionnaire. Controls had never had an itchy skin condition or they | questionnaire listing the UK Working Party criteria. | re listing the Agreement between | itchy skin 97.2% (k=0.94, 0.84 to >1) | Infants included in the study were more likely to have fathers in a nonmanual social class, and have mothers who were never smokers |
| 2001 Dec | | 118 cases/controls selected, of | had an itchy skin condition but no more than 2 of the additional criteria (of the UK Working Party criteria). | Comparison: Nurse's diagnosis by face-to face interview (using | diagnosis of atopic eczema (based on itch plus 3 or more criteria, and based on itch plus all | history of flexural rash 95.4% (k=0.91, 0.78 to >1) | compared with the remaining cohort. |
| | | which 108 (53/59 cases, and 55/59 controls) took | 43% of infants were male, 57% female. Overall 75% | same questions as used in the questionnaire). | criteria) | family history 94.4% (k=0.89, 0.75 to >1) | |
| | | Case | had family history of atopy. Cases (vs. controls) were more likely to have a positive | | | history of dry skin 88.9% (k=0.75, 0.56 to 0.94) | |
| | | | family history of atopy, have a doctor's diagnosis of eczema, and to be using medications for eczema, | | | visible dermatitis today 89.8% (k=0.78, 0.60 to 0.96) | |
| | | | p<0.001 for each. | | | Diagnosis of eczema using itch plus 3 or more UK criteria 96.3% (k=0.93, 0.81 to >1) | |
| | | | | | | Diagnosis of eczema using itch plus all UK criteria 94.4% (k=0.89, 0.75 to >1) | |

Assessment of severity, psychological and psychosocial wellbeing and quality of life

| Bibliographic | Study type and | Number of | Patient | Intervention and | Follow-up and | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|--|---|
| information | evidence level | patients | characteristics | comparison | outcome measures | | |
| | | | | | • | 13 atopic eczema scales identified (ADAM, ADASI, ADSI, BCSS, Costa's SSS, EASI, Leicester, NESS, Rajka and Langeland, SASSAS, SCORAD, SIS, TBSA). The results validity (content, construct, criterion), reliability (interobserver reliability, intraobserver reliability, internal consistency) responsiveness (sensitivity to change) and acceptability (time to administer) were reported where available, but not compared. 10 scales had data on construct or criterion: ADAM, BCSS, Costa's SSS, Leicester, NESS, Rajka and Langeland, SASSAS, SCORAD, SIS, TBSA) 5 scales had been tested for reliability (interobserver variability, intraobserver variability, or internal consistency): ADAM, BCSS, Costa's SSS, EASI, SCORAD Data on responsiveness to change was | To test validity and reliability of the severity scale various attributes of the results need to be tested and the results assessed. The systematic review identified which scores had been tested for various attributes but did not compare the results. There are a number of different ways of testing validity, reliability, responsiveness to change and acceptability. No clinical outcomes are reported comparing the use of different scales. No objective measure is given for the results of the statistical analysis. Different statistical tests were used to calculate the agreement for different comparisons. The results from different statistical tests are difficult to compare. Further studies have been published since this systematic review. |
| | | | | components of scores | | available on 8 scales (ADASI, ADSI, BCSS, Costa's SSS, SASSAS, SCORAD, SIS, TBSA) | |
| | | | | Comparison: Any | | An estimated time to administer the | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|---|-----------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | comparison identified in search was reported, no further analysis was performed | | measure had been given for 3 scales (ADASI, SASSAD, SCORAD) | |
| Johnke H; 2006 ¹⁴⁷ | Study Type: Cohort Evidence level: 2- | 553 61 had AE | Infants born at term recruited to study. Followed up at 3, 6, 9, 12 and 18 months | Intervention: Association between high level, transient and persistent sensitization and development of AE. Comparison: Any allergen versus none as measured by histamine release, slgE and SPT Transiently and persistently sensitised to any allergen versus never as measured by histamine release, slgE and SPT | Follow-up period: 3, 6, 9, 12 and 18 months of age Outcome Measures: Odds ratios for atopic eczema. Predicting factors more than one allergen or type of sensitivity. Two classifications of allergy are defined. Class 1 where SPT wheal size is >= 2mm and Class 2 where SPT wheal is >= 3mm Class 2 results are reported. | Outcome: atopic dermatitis Predictor: more than one allergen vs none Histamine release OR 2.74 (Cl 1.11-6.27) slgE OR 3.56 (Cl 1.83-6.75) SPT OR7.57 (Cl 3.33-16.71) Predictor: transiently sensitised vs never Histamine release OR 1.98 (Cl 0.43-7.32) slgE OR 1.81 (Cl 0.70-4.48) SPT OR 6.93 (Cl 2.32-19.28) Predictor: persistently sensitised vs never Histamine release OR 1.98 (Cl 0.32-8.76) slgE OR 6.25 (Cl 2.17-17.33) SPT | AE is associated with persistent sensitisation but not with transient association at age 18 months. Confidence intervals for persistant sensitisation are very wide so results not credible.s. |
| Charman C;Chambers C;Williams H; | Study Type: Systematic review - meta- analysis | | Adults and Children | Intervention: Systematic review to identify how the severity of atopic eczema was | Follow-up period: Outcome Measures: Frequency of use of each scale | OR 12.67 (CI 4.03-39.72) 93 RCTs identified 85 RCTs (91%) reported using an objective measurement of clinical signs 23 RCTs (27%) used a published severity scale | Studies in adults and children included |
| 40 | Evidence level: 3 | | | measured in RCTs between 1994-2001. | which components were in the scale | 12 RCTs (14%) used modified versions of published scales 50 RCTs (59%) used unnamed scales | |
| | | | | Comparison: | | with no data on validity or reliability | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| | | | | | | 31 different descriptions of clinical signs being used across all scoring systems. | |
| | | | | | | 56 different "objective" clinical scales were identified | |
| | | | | | | 80 trials (86%) patients symptoms were reported | |
| | | | | | | 62 trial (67%) disease extent was reported | |
| | | | | | | Other outcome measures | |
| | | | | | | 3 trials measured quality of life | |
| | | | | | | 15 trial recorded topical steroid requirements | |
| | | | | | | 4 trials recorded antihistamine use | |
| _ | | | | | | SCORAD (15 trials), EASI (4 trials), SASSAD (4 trials) and Costa's SSS (4 trials) were the most used scales. | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| Pucci N;Novembre E;Cammarata MG;Bernardini R;Monaco MG;Calogero C;Vierucci A; | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: II | Intervention: SCORAD | 63 | Children with atopic eczema, mean age (±SD) 17.5 ± 11.15 months (range 2-48 months) | Comparison of different parameters and the total SCORAD index using Student's t-tests and Pearson's correlation coefficient. | There was a positive correlation between three parameters of the SCORAD index (numbers not given). Total SCORAD was strongly correlated with each item: extent (p<0.0001, r = 0.79), intensity (p<0.0001, r=0.91 and subjective symptoms (p<0.0001, r = 0.71). | |
| Stalder JF:Taieb | Study Type: | Intervention: | | Photos of 10 people | | Intra-observer variability: 0.84, | No details were given for the |
| A;Atherton DJ:Bieber T:Bonifazi | Other | SCORAD: Inter- observer variability | | evaluated by 10 trained investigators to provide | | p>0.05 | patients in the photos. |
| E;Broberg A;Calza A;Coleman R;de PY;Diepgen TL:Gelmetti | Evidence Level: 3 | and intra-observer variability (for erythema, oedema, oozing, lichenification | | interobserver reliability data. | | Inter-observer variability:0.92, p<0.01 | Study included in the systematic review ³⁹ |
| C;Giannetti A;Harper J;Kunz B:Lachapelle | | and excoriation) | | | | | The development of the SCORAD scale was undertaken in this study, which involved 88 patients, aged 1 |
| JM;Langeland T;Lever R;Oranje AP;Queille-Roussel C; | | objective SCORAD evaluated in 10 photos | | | | | month to 60 years (mean 7 years). |
| 1993 | | | | | | | |
| 53 | | | | | | | |
| Tripodi S;Panetta V;Pelosi S;Pelosi U;Boner AL; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Extent of lesion as a percentage of involved zones | 2 photos of children | Colour photos of two children front and back view with artificial painted | Difference between percentage of area involved in lesion | Computer evaluation percentage of area involved: 38.06% | This study was carried out on only 2 photographs with computer-generated skin lesions. |
| 2004 | Evidence Level: 3 | estimated by 20 physicians untrained in the evaluation of the skin disease. | | zoned representing skin lesions | measured by 'sight only' estimate and 'computer evaluation' | Sight only 43.44% 95%CI 36.04-39.94. Difference between 'sight only' estimate and 'computer | |
| 78 | | lst by sight only | | | Difference between | evaluation': p = 0.002 | |
| | | 2nd with use of computer 'ScordCard' | | | percentage of area involved in lesion measured by computer assistance with | ScoradCard 37.99% 95%CI 36.04-39.94. Difference between computer assistance with | |
| | | Comparison: Exact number of pixels counted by using | | | 'ScordCard' and 'computer evaluation' | 'ScordCard' and 'computer evaluation': p = 0.79 | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | specific photograph elaboration software (described as 'gold standard') | | | | | |
| Wolkerstorfer A;Laan MP;Savelkoul HF;Neijens | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Measure of soluble E-selectin, serum eosinophil cationic protein, | 40 | Children aged 13- 36 months, mean age 22.3 months, mainly with mild to moderate atopic | | Correlation between soluble E- selectin and SCORAD, rs=0.6013, p < 0.05 | There is no objective measure for the results of the statistical analysis |
| HJ;Mulder PG;Oudesluys- Murphy AM;Sukhai RN;Oranje AP; | Evidence Level: 3 | soluble intracellular adhesion molecule-1, soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 and soluble P-selectin | | eczema. | | No correlation between serum eosinophil cationic protein and SCORAD, rs=0.254, p = 0.15 | Soluble E-selectin, serum eosinophil cationic protein, soluble intracellular adhesion molecule-1, soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 and soluble P-selectin are not |
| 1998 Mar | | and SCORAD. | | | | No correlation between soluble intracellular adhesion molecule-1, soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 and soluble P-selectin | measured in clinical practice. |
| Balkrishnan | Study Type: | Intervention: SA-EASI | 49 | Children (mean (±SD) = | Validity - construct | Validity - construct | There is no objective measure for |
| R;Housman TS;Carroll C;Feldman SR;Fleischer AB; | Other Evidence Level: | ADFIS (Atopic Dermatitis Family Impact Scale - a slightly modified | | 4.7 (± 3.4) (range 6 months to 12 years) with atopic eczema, unknown inclusion and exclusion | Correlation between SA- EASI and ADFIS, using a paired t-test, and multiple regression analysis | Correlation between SA-EASI and ADFIS (p = 0.62, p < 0.001 at baseline and p = 0.38, p<0.05 at follow up) | the results of the statistical analysis. |
| 2003 May | | version of the Dermatitis Family Impact (DFI)) | | criteria | Correlation between parent perception of severity and SA-EASI score | Correlation between parent perception of severity and SA-EASI score (r = 0.45, p< 0.01 at baseline 'week to moderate'. r = | |
| 60 | | Comparison: | | | 000.0 | 0.12, p > 0.05 at follow up - no correlation | |
| Ben-Gashir MA;Seed PT;Hay RJ; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: SCORAD DFI (Dermatitis Family Impact) | 116 | Mean age 8 years (range 5-10 years) with atopic eczema identified by | Validity - construct Objective SCORAD compared to the quality | Validity - construct Objective SCORAD correlated with DFI (regression coefficient = | There is no objective measure for the results of the statistical analysis. |
| 2002 Sep | Evidence Level: II | | | general practitioners. | of life measured by the Dermatitis Family Impact questionnaire (DFI). | 0.17(95%Cl 0.06-0.29, p = 0.002). | |
| 74 | | | | | | | |
| Ben-Gashir | Study Type: | Intervention: Objective | 116 | Mean age 8 years (range | Validity - construct | Validity - construct | |
| MA;Seed PT;Hay RJ; | Other | SCORAD and CDLQI completed by the child | | 5-10 years) with atopic eczema identified by general practitioners | Objective SCORAD compared to the | Objective SCORAD compared to the Children's Dermatology Life | |
| 2004 Feb | Evidence Level: II | | | Children also studied in 74 | Children's Dermatology Life Quality Index (CDLQI), using Spearman correlation coefficient and multiple | Quality Index (CDLQI): at first visit r = 0.52, p < 0.001 and after 6 months r = 0.59, p < 0.001. This remained significant even after controlling for potential | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | | regression. | confounders. | |
| Ben-Gashir MA;Hay RJ; 2002 Nov | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: II | Intervention: SCORAD | 137 | 82 urban (42 white children and 26 black children and 14 from other races) and 55 rural (55 white children) were recruited. | Difference in severity of disease (measured by SCORAD) between white and black children, unadjusted and adjusted for erythema. | Unadjusted analysis found that black children had same severity of disease as white children (OR 0.84; 95%CI 0.4-1.76, p = 0.65) after adjusting for the erythema scores children of Black origin had more severe eczema than the white children (OR 5.93; 95%CI 1.94-18.12; P= 0.002). | |
| Bringhurst C;Waterston K;Schofield O;Benjamin K;Rees JL; 2004 Dec | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: Study of nocturnal movements using a wrist-worn accelerometer, subjective measured about the extent of skin disease, itch and quality of sleep. 20 children were studied on more than one occasion Comparison: Nocturnal activity score compared for children with atopic eczema and without atopic eczema and without atopic eczema activity score and SCORAD, objective SCORAD and visual analogue response to questions. | 25 Children with atopic eczema aged 2 to 13 years (mean age 5). 17 Children without atopic eczema aged 2 to 15 years (mean age 7) | | | Mean nocturnal activity score (per hour) higher for children with atopic eczema than children without eczema p< 0.001 (numbers not given) Spearman correlation coefficient between nocturnal activity score and SCORAD rho = 0.62, p = 0.003 Nocturnal activity score and objective SCORAD rho = 0.57, p = 0.007 Nocturnal activity score and visual analogue itch rho = 0.40, p = 0.049 Nocturnal activity score and visual analogue skin disease rho = 0.49, p = 0.0158 | Funding: Wellcome Trust, and GlaxoSmithKline. |
| Charman CR;Venn | Study Type: | Intervention: | 6 | Children and adults aged | 1) Median SASSAD | 1) 44 (32-53) | Funding: Health Services Research |
| AJ;Williams HC; | Other | | | 3-35 years with moderate to severe atopic eczema | scores per patient (range) | 16.5 (10-28) 41.5 (40-53) | Training Fellowship. |
| 2002 Jun | Evidence Level: | | | (3 were aged 12 years or under). | Interobserver variation in median scores, and | 45.5 (33-63) | The observers were: |
| 62 | | | | | intraclass correlation coefficient | 31.5 (27-38) 31.5 (26-33) | consultant dermatologists dermatology specialist registrar |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| | | | | | 3) Interobserver agreement for individual elements of the score (kappa scores, range) 4) Intraobserver variation | 2) 7-30 (median 15.5); intraclass correlation coefficient 0.7 (good agreement) 3) head and neck -0.01 to 0.46 hands -0.03 to 0.48 arms 0.01 to 0.41 trunk 0.07 to 0.36 feet 0.09 to 0.36 legs 0.04 to 0.27 | 3 dermatology research fellows |
| | | | | | | 4) Maximum 8 out of 108 | |
| Charman CR;Venn AJ;Williams HC; 2004 Dec | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: II | Intervention: POEM patient global assessment of disease severity overall bother related to the eczema | 435 | Children and adults (age range 1 to 58 years, median age 17 years) with atopic eczema from out patient department. | Validity-content: content questionnaire concerning symptoms to 200 children and adults with atopic eczema. Validity-construct: POEM was correlated with CDLQI. Validity-criterion: POEM correlated against patient global assessment of disease severity (5 point scale) and overall bother relating to the eczema (10 point scale) during the 1 week period. Reliability – Intraobserver reliability: 50 Patients | Validity-content: A questionnaire sent to 200 children and adults with atopic eczema asked about itch, pain/soreness, sleep loss, bleeding, weeping/oozing, cracking, flaking, dry/roughness, redness and tightness of skin. The symptoms were incorporated into a scoring system that asked how frequently they were experienced in the last week. However, redness, tightness and pain/soreness were excluded because patients found them difficult to understand or assess. Validity-construct: POEM was correlated with CDLQI (n = 68, r=0.73; p<0.001) 'good' Validity-criterion: POEM correlated against patient global | There is no objective measure for the results of the statistical analysis. The interval between test and retest was 24-48 hours. |
| | | | | | completed POEM twice, 24 to 48 hours apart. Sensitivity to change: New measure was completed by 40 new outpatients (age range 1- 36 years, median age 4 | assessment of disease severity (n=200; r=0.81, p < 0.001) and overall bother related to the eczema (n=200, r=0.84, p< 0.001) during the 1 week period 'high correlation' Reliability – test-retest reliability: | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| information | evidence level | | patient characteristics | characteristics | year) at 0, 1 and 4 weeks. 18 week topical corticosteroid RCT Internal consistency: 200 patients completed POEM, (aged 12 months to 69 years, medium 9 years), symptoms scores were compared using Cronbach q, a q of 0.7 | 50 patients completed POEM twice (24 to 48 hours apart), difference between the scores = 0.04(SD = 1.32) (Bland and Altman used) Sensitivity to change: All 7 symptoms showed a mean decrease during the 4-week period 18 week topical corticosteroid RCT, all variables showed an | |
| | | | | | to0.9 is thought to be ideal. | Internal consistency: Scores showed high homogeneity or internal consistency (Cronbach α=0.88). | |
| Charman D;Varigos G;Horne DJ;Oberklaid F; 1999 | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: ADAM each child assessed by two doctors out of 5 (3 dermatologists and 2 dermatology trainees). Value kappa greater | 51 | Children aged between 5 months and 161 months (13.4years) (mean age 70 months) Included in systematic review ³⁹ | | Correlation (kappa scores) between observers, of individual components. For sites and morphological items: pruritus 0.6* face 0.45* arms 0.41* | *p<0.1 **p<0.05 Kappa scores were pooled and not weighted. Comparison was made blind, within |
| | | than 70% used as a criterion for the level of significance between physicians. | | | | hands 0.5* legs 0.4* feet 0.47* trunk 0.13 scalp 0.78** napkin area 0.56** head/neck/flexures 0.39** legs/arms/flexures 0.64** | 30 minutes. |
| Charman DP;Varigos GA; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: ADAM: each child assessed by two doctors (out of 5, 3 dermatologists | 171 | Children with atopic eczema aged from 4 to 193 months (16 years) mean age 54 months | | Agreement between ADAM and physicians global rating of severity. Kappa=0.40, p<0.05. | There is no objective measure for the results of the statistical analysis. |
| 1999 | Evidence Level: 3 | and 2 dermatology trainees). Global ratings of severity by dermatologist | | (4.5 years) Included in systematic review ³⁹ | | Crude agreements/disagreements: 53/42 for mild, 5/18 for severe | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| Emerson | Study Type: | Intervention: NESS | 290 | Children aged 1 to 5 | Validity – Construct | Validity – Construct | There is no objective measure for |
| RM;Charman CR;Williams HC; | Other Evidence Level: | Global severity assessed by dermatologist | | years, selected from registers of four general practices. | NESS correlation with global severity assessment by a | NESS agreement with global severity assessment by a dermatologist: exact agreement | the results for the statistical analysis. |
| 2000 Feb | II | Severity assessment by parent | | | dermatologist (graded as mild, moderate and severe) | in 88% of cases | |
| 48 | | Topical corticosteroids use | | | NESS correlation with | NESS agreement with severity assessment by parent: exact agreement in 75% of cases | |
| | | | | | severity assessment by parent (graded as mild, | NESS correlation with | |
| | | | | | moderate and severe) | impairment of quality of life measured by CLQI: Pearson's | |
| | | | | | NESS correlation with impairment of quality of life measured by CLQI | correlation coefficient = 0.224, P> 0.05 | |
| | | | | | (Children's Life Quality Index) | NESS correlation with use of topical corticosteroids in the | |
| | | | | | NESS correlation with use of topical | previous 12 months: Mild potency topical corticosteroids were used by 62% (mild), 94% | |
| | | | | | corticosteroids: Mild and moderate and potent topical corticosteroids | (moderate) and 100% of severe cases. Moderate or potent corticosteroids were used in 18% | |
| | | | | | used over the previous 12 months | (mild), 36% (moderate) and 76% of severe cases. | |
| | | | | | Time to administer | Time to administer: 'Easily completed in a few minutes' | |
| Hanifin JM;Thurston | Study Type: | Intervention: 15 | 10 | Age range 0 to 7 years | Reliability | Reliability | Interobserver variability for each of |
| M;Omoto M;Cherill R;Tofte SJ;Graeber M; | Other Evidence Level: | dermatologists independently evaluated the atopic eczema using EASI | | mean (4.3 years) Children with atopic dermatitis from a specialist clinic were | Interobserver reliability: 15 dermatologists assessed EASI in patients. The results were | Interobserver reliability: EASI r-hat 0.66 (lower 95%CI 0.48) on day one and 0.59 (lower 95% CI 0.4) on day two ('fair-good'). | the clinical signs (erythema, infiltration/papulation, excoriations, lichenification) was assessed separately, but the results were only |
| 2001 Feb | II | following 30 minutes of training | | selected by investigator 'to achieve a broad range of disease severity and | compared using random effects model to | Induration/papulation was the | reported for a combined group of children and adults (n=10). |
| 59 | | | | body region involvement | investigate variability giving a correlation coefficient (r-hat) the proportion of overall variability explained by subject-to-subject variability. (r-hat between | most difficult sign to assess (had the lowest kappa score; 0.269 on day 1, 0.226 on day 2; kappa scores for other parameters were in the range of 0.383-0.496) | |
| | | | | | 0.4-0.75 = fair to good | Intraobserver variability: EASI | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | | reliability, > 0.75 excellent reliability) | regression coefficient 0.66. Mixed effects model showed | |
| | | | | | Intraobserver variability: EASI, retest interval 1 day, scores on two days were compared using simple linear regression analysis and mixed effects model | some evidence of significant effect of the day (p = 0.042) | |
| Hon KL;Ma | Study Type: | Intervention: NESS | 70 (36 <10 years old, 34 ≥ | < 10 years old (mean 6.5 | | Validity - Criterion: | No clinical outcomes are reported |
| KC;Wong E;Leung TF;Wong Y;Fok TF; 2003 Nov | Other Evidence Level: | SCORAD Comparison: Validity - | 10 years old, and up to 18 years) | ± 2.3, range 4 months to 10 years old) ≥ 10 years old (16 parents completed forms. | | All children: physicians assessed NESS compared to physician SCORAD scores R^2 = 35.5% | comparing the use of patients and parents assessment of atopic eczema severity with NESS translated in to Chinese and |
| 49 | " | Criterion: Physicians assessment with NESS score compared to physician assessment with | | mean 12.5 ± 1.7 years old. 18 children completed forms mean 13.8 ± 2.0) Patients with atopic | | Children < 10: parent's NESS compared to physician NESS scores: weighted kappa score 0.79 (95% CI 0.70-0.91)('substantial') | physicians assessed NESS or SCORAD. There is no objective measure for the results of the statistical analysis. |
| | | SCORAD score using a Bland and Altman plot. | | exzema recruited from outpatient clinic. | | Children ≥ 10: parent's NESS compared to physician NESS scores: weighted kappa score 0.85 (95% CI 0.69-1.00)('good') | The questionnaire was completed by all within 1 minute. |
| | | Accompanying parent's or child's own assessment of atopic dermatitis with NESS score translated into Chinese: | | | | Children ≥ 10: child's NESS compared to physician NESS scores: weighted kappa score 0.74 (95% CI 0.36-1.00)('substantial') | |
| | | Children < 10: parent's NESS score compared to physician | | | | Children < 10: parent's NESS compared to physician SCORAD scores: R^2 = 42.1% | |
| | | assessment with NESS score using a weighted Kappa | | | | Children ≥ 10: parent's NESS compared to physician SCORAD scores: R^2 = 47.5% | |
| | | score. Children ≥ 10: parent's NESS score compared to physician assessment with | | | | Children ≥ 10: child's NESS compared to physician SCORAD scores: R^2 = 49.8% | |
| | | NESS score using a weighted Kappa score. | | | | Agreement between the NESS from parents or patients and physicians: Bias = 0.47 (mean | |
| | | Children ≥ 10: child's | | | | difference between the paired means) limit of agreement was - | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|--|---|---|--|--|------------------|---|---|
| | | own NESS score compared to physician assessment with NESS score using a weighted Kappa score. | | | | 2.49 to 3.43. | |
| | | (The kappa score was interpreted as; κ≤0.20 =poor agreement, ≥0.21 κ ≤0.4 =moderate agreement, ≥0.41 κ ≤0.60 =substantial and >0.80 =good) | | | | | |
| | | Children < 10: parent's NESS score compared to physician assessment with SCORAD score using a Bland and Altman plot. Children ≥ 10: parent's NESS score compared to physician assessment with SCORAD score using a Bland and Altman plo | | | | | |
| Housman TS;Patel MJ;Camacho F;Feldman SR;Fleischer AB;Balkrishnan R; 2002 Dec | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: | Intervention: SA-EASI (SA-self assessment) EASI The SA-EASI was divided into acute (erythema, induration and excoriation) and chronic (dryness, lichenification, and oozing/crusting) SA-EASI | 47 | Children < 12 years of age (unknown mean and range) Diagnosis of atopic dermatitis. Recruited from outpatient clinics Unknown inclusion/exclusion criteria | | Validity – Criterion: Correlation between total SA- EASI and EASI, pearson's rho = 0.62, p<0.0001 Correlation between acute SA- EASI subscale and acute EASI subscale, pearson's rho = 0.60, p<0.0001 ('relatively high') Correlation between chronic SA- EASI subscale and chronic EASI subscale pearson's rho = 0.62, | No clinical outcomes are reported comparing the use of self assessment of atopic eczema and the physicians assessment of atopic eczema. |
| | | Comparison: Validity – Criterion: Agreement between total SA- | | | | p<0.0001 Subcomponents of SA-EASI: | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|------------------|--|------------------|
| | | EASI and total EASI, acute and chronic SA-EASI subscales using measure of agreement by simple linear regression according to Pearson's correlation. Correlation of sub components of SA-EASI and EASI. Correlation of sub components of SA-EASI and EASI. | | | | Correlation between visual analogue scale intensity rating from the SA-EASI (redness, thickness and scratchiness) and corresponding individual components of EASI scale (average erythema, induration and excoriation) pearson's rho range 0.17-0.30. When weighted for body surface area correlation between: SA-EASI redness and EASI erythema pearson's rho = 0.57. SA-EASI thickness and EASI induration pearson's rho = 0.53. SA-EASI scratchiness and EASI excoriation pearson's rho = 0.59. | |
| | | | | | | Correlation between visual analogue scale dryness rating from the SA-EASI and corresponding individual components of EASI scale (average dryness, lichenification and oozing/crusting) pearson's rho range 0.32-0.45. When weighted for body surface area the correlation between 'chronic' SA-EASI and EASI oozing gave a pearson's rho = 0.49, 'chronic' SA-EASI and EASI dryness pearson's rho = 0.63 and 'chronic' SA-EASI and EASI lichenification pearson's rho = 0.59. | |
| | | | | | | Correlation between visual analogue scale itch rating from the SA-EASI and the acute EASI scale score pearson's rho = 0.54 (p = 0.0001). When weighted for body surface area the pearson's rho = 0.65. Correlation between visual analogue scale itch rating from | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | | | the SA-EASI and the chronic EASI scale score pearson's rho = 0.58 (p = 0.0001). When weighted for body surface area the pearson's rho = 0.66. | |
| | | | | | | Correlation between body surface area SA-EASI estimates determined by survey co-ordinator and the EASI estimates determined by the physician was pearson's rho = 0.55 (p = 0.0001). Regression showed EASI body surface area scores significantly predicted the SA-EASI scores (p < 0.00010) explaining 0.29 of the variation. | |
| Chamlin SL;Kao J;Frieden IJ;Sheu | Study Type: Other | Intervention: SCORAD | 24 | Mean age 6.4 years, range 1.5-12 years | Construct validity SCORAD correlated with | Construct validity SCORAD correlated with, | TEWL and hydration are not |
| MY;Fowler AJ;Fluhr JW;Williams ML;Elias PM; | Evidence Level: | | | | measurement of changes in transepidermal water loss SCORAD correlated with | measurement of changes in transepidermal water loss: for involved skin r = 0.6388, p < 0.0001, uninvolved skin r = | measured in clinical practice |
| 2002 Aug | | | | | Hydration determined by electrical capacitance | 0.4274, p < 0.0001. | |
| 68 | | | | | SCORAD correlated with measurement of stratum corneum integrity, determined by sequential | Hydration determined by electrical capacitance in involved skin r = -0.4373, p < 0.0001, no correlation with uninvolved skin. | |
| | | | | | tape stripping. | Stratum corneum integrity in involved skin r = -0.3453, p < | |
| | | | | | Sensitivity to change Before and after using | 0.05. | |
| | | | | | ceramide-dominant, physiologic lipid-based emollient, using one-way analysis of variance. | Sensitivity to change Significant change in SCORAD after treatment compared to before treatment, p < 0.5. | |
| Hon KL;Leung TF;Ma KC;Li AM;Wong Y;Li CY;Chan IH;Fok TF; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: SCORAD and NESS correlation using pearson's chi squared | 126 | children aged under 18 years (mean age 9.4 =/-4.2) | | SCORAD and NESS correlation: r=0.681, p < 0.0001 | Urinary leukotriene E4 levels are not measured in clinical practice |
| OT, OHAH IFT, FUK IF, | Evidence Level: 3 | SCORAD and urinary | | | | SCORAD and urinary leukotriene E4 corelation; r=0.681, p<0.0001 | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 2004 May | | leukotriene E4 correlation using pearson's chi squared | | | | NESS and urinary leukotriene E4 corelation; not significant no numbers given. | |
| | | NESS and urinary leukotriene E4 corRelation using pearson's chi squared | | | | | |
| | | Comparison: | | | | | |
| Lob-Corzilius T;Boer S;Scheewe S;Wilke K;Schon M;Schulte Im WJ;Diepgen TL;Gielere U;Staab | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: | Intervention: Skin Detectives Questionnaire SCORAD | 183 | Study children aged 8 to 12 years with atopic eczema, SCORAD >20 | Correlation between the components of the 'Skin Detectives Questionnaire' assessed by patient and components of SCORAD | Correlation between the components of the 'Skin Detectives Questionnaire' asses by patient and components of SCORAD assessed by expert: | There is no objective measure for the results of the statistical analysis. |
| D;Werfel T;Schmid- Ott G;Fartasch | II | | | | assessed by expert: The degree of severity for | dryness in non-inflamed areas; r = 0.229, p = 0.001, n = 185 | |
| M;Wittenmeier M;Schnopp C:Kupfer J;Schlippe | | | | | dryness in non-inflamed areas, redness in inflamed areas, knotty | redness in inflamed areas; r = 0.213, p = 0.002 | |
| AV;Szczepanski R;Keins P; | | | | | swellings or small visible blisters, weeping or | knotty swellings or small visible blisters; r = 0.084, p = 0.126 | |
| 2004 | | | | | scabbing, traces of scratching, deep creases. | weeping or scabbing; r = 0.272, p = 0.000 | |
| 56 | | | | | | traces of scratching; r = 0.214, p = 0.001 | |
| 50 | | | | | | deep creases; r = 0.286, p = 0.000 | |
| Oranje AP;Stalder JF;Taieb A;Tasset C;De LM; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: The percentage of photos scoring below, within | 27 photographs | 27 photographs , examined by 69 paediatricians and 22 | | Interobserver variability: The percentage of photos scoring below, within and above the | No details of the patients in the photos were given. |
| 1997 Feb | Evidence Level: 3 | and above the range of the experts for the overall global symptom score or the | | paediatric dermatologists or physicians with dermatological experience. | | range of the experts showed that doctors without dermatological experience underscored erythema (p<0.001). There was | |
| 73 | | other intensity items (erythema, | | · | | no difference in the overall global symptom score or the other | |
| | | oedema/papulation, oozing/crusting, excoriation, lichenification). | | Included in systematic review ³⁹ | | intensity items (oedema/papulation, oozing/crusting, excoriation, lichenification). | |
| | | | | | | The study found the interobserver variability to be better in trained dermatologists | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | than non-dermatologists. | |
| Schafer T;Dockery D;Kramer U;Behrendt H;Ring | Study Type: Other | Intervention: SCORAD | | 171 children aged 5-6 years old. | Time to complete SCORAD | No longer than 10 minutes to complete SCORAD | |
| J; 1997 Oct | Evidence Level: 3 | | | Study included in the systematic review ³⁷ | Interobserver variability (9 physicians) for: Total SCORAD | Interobserver variability for: Total SCORAD: p = 0.002 Overall intensity: p = 0.000 | |
| 72 | | | | | Overall intensity | Erythema: p = 0.174 | |
| | | | | | Erythema Oedema | Oedema: p = 0.058 Oozing: p = 0.617 | |
| | | | | | Oozing lichenification Excoriation | lichenification: p = 0.000 Excoriation: p = 0.033 | |
| Holm EA;Jemec GB; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: N/A | 42 | Children aged 1-15 years (mean 7 SD 4.2) with | Time spent on eight different activities and | 1) topical application 29 (1-150), p=0.017 | Funding: none declared. |
| 2004 Nov | Evidence Level: | Comparison: N/A | | atopic eczema recruited from an outpatient dermatology clinic. Mean objective SCORAD score | their correlation* to SCORAD (mean [range] minutes per day) | washing 8.8 (0-60), p=0.05 avoiding irritants 6.2 (0-90), p=0.036 | *Spearman's correlation coefficient was used to analyse the relationship between time spent on treatment |
| | | | | 23.2 (across 65 visits). | 2) Test-retest (n=10) | sleep loss 15.7 (0-360), p=0.013 buying/obtaining treatment 1.6 (0-8), p=0.003 | and objective SCORAD, however only p values were given. |
| | | | | | | visiting GP 0.2 (0-4), p=0.625 visiting specialist 0.1 (0-2), p=0.599 | Retesting was done in 10 children by telephone interview, 2 days after the first clinical interview. |
| | | | | | | visiting hospital 0.8 (0.2-7.5), p=0.109 | Time spent on traetment was calculated as the total amount of |
| | | | | | | mean total 62.7 (.7-426.5), p<0.0001 | time spent daily on the different activities (minutes per day): theoretical maximum 1440 minutes. |
| | | | | | | 2) Mean difference 0.5 (95% CI - 2.161, 1.161), p=0.513 | Time spent on treatment as a function of SCORAD for all visits was also shown on a graph. |
| Berth-Jones J; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Review of SASSAS | | Study included in the systematic review 52 | | It takes 2 to 10 minutes to complete the SASSAD score. | |
| 1996 Sep | Evidence Level: 3 | | | | | The score has been used for monitoring the progress of individuals in the dermatology clinic. | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|--|--|--|--|------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | It has been used in a community based study to assess atopic eczema in 1 year-old babies | |
| Verwimp JJ;Bindels JG;Barents M;Heymans HS; 1995 Sep | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: Use of two different whey- protein hydrolysate based formulas | 175 | Infants from 50 baby health clinics suspected of having cow's milk protein intolerance. | | Children showed a significant improvement from baseline using BCSS | |
| 43 | | BCSS | | systematic review. ³⁹ | | | |
| Koning H;Neijens HJ;Baert MR;Oranje AP;Savelkoul HF; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Total serum IgE and Interleukin-13 and | 27 Children with atopic eczema aged 7 to 50 months (mean age 27 | | | SCORADs correlation with Interleukin-13, rs = 0.47, p = 0.0074, n = 32 | There is no objective measure for the results of the statistical analysis. |
| 1997 Jun 532 | Evidence Level: 3 | SCORAD were measured | months) 42Children without atopic eczema, allergic or non-allergic asthma aged 5 to 59 months (mean age 28 months) | | | | Interleukin 13 level is not measured in clinical practice |
| | | | Study included in the systematic review ³⁹ | | | | |
| Berth-Jones J;Finlay AY;Zaki I;Tan B;Goodyear | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Cyclosporine investigated for | 27 | Children with severe atopic eczema aged 1 to 16 years old | | Children showed a significant improvement from baseline in SASSAD | |
| H;Lewis-Jones S;Cork MJ;Bleehen SS;Salek MS;Allen BR;Smith | Evidence Level: 3 | efficacy, safety and tolerability of cyclosporine | | Study included in the systematic review 39 | | | |
| S;Graham-Brown RA; | | SASSAD used to evaluate outcome | | | | | |
| 1996 Jun | | | | | | | |
| 64 | | | | | | | |
| Frezzolini A;Paradisi M;Ruffelli M;Cadoni S;De PO; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Soluble CD30 an activation marker of T-cell clones | 25 | Children with atopic eczema aged 2 to 8 years old | | Costa's SSS correlation with soluble CD30, r = 0.508, p = 0.01 | There is no objective measure for the results of the statistical analysis. |
| 1997 Jan | Evidence Level: 3 | able to produce Th2- type cytokines and Costa's SSS were measured | | Study included in the systematic review ³⁹ | | | Soluble CD30 not measured in clinical practice |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| 533 | | | | | | | |
| | | Comparison: Correlation between soluble CD30 and Costa's SSS | | | | | |
| Charman C;Venn AJ;Williams HC; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Measurement of body surface area, using | 6 | Adults and children with atopic eczema (aged 4 to 51 years unknown mean | | Median score ranged from 4.8% to 37.2% | Adults and children used in study mean age unknown |
| 1999 | Evidence Level: 3 | 'rule of nines' by 6 dermatologically trained observers. | | age) | | Level of agreement for the classification of scores into | Measurement of extent of disease rather than total severity |
| 77 | | | | Study included in the systematic review 39;39 | | quintile categories was 55%, with a chance corrected agreement | |
| | | Level of agreement for the classification of scores into quintile categories was 55% | | Systematic review | | (kappa statistic) of 0.09 - representing very poor interobserver agreement. | |
| | | Comparison: | | | | | |
| Hon KL; | Study Type: | Intervention: SCORAD | 182 | Children under 18 with | SCORAD, comparison of | Extent vs pruritus: r =0.42 | |
| | | index as a tool for measuring severity of | | atopic eczema. Mean age 9.6 years (SD 4.2). | subjective (pruritus, sleep loss) and objective items | Extent vs sleep loss: r=0.38 | |
| 006 Jun | Evidence Level: | AE. | | age 3.0 years (3D 4.2). | (extent, intensity) | Intensity vs pruritus: r=0.38 | |
| | 3 | | | | , ,, | Intensity vs sleep loss: r=0.34 | |
| 71 | | Comparison: SCORAD index versus sleep loss and pruritus | | | | All with p<0.005 | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|-------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Sarkar R; Raj L; Kaur H; Basu S; Kanwar AJ; Jain RK; | Study Type: Case-control | n=22 children with atopic eczema | Children with atopic eczema aged 3-9 years | Intervention: None Comparison: | Follow-up period: None | Mothers: An increased number of mothers of affected children 13 (59%) were | Study is EL= 2- as it is a non-randomised controlled study |
| 2004 | Evidence level: 2- | n=20 healthy age & sex | Mild to severe cases with 64% moderate | psychological status of mothers and their | Outcome Measures: Hindi adaptation of | found to be submissive compared to the mothers of the controls 2 (10%) | Small study not carried out in the UK. |
| 84 | | matched controls plus mothers of the above | Severity of the disease was graded according to Rajka & Langeland criteria | eczematous children with mothers and their healthy children | Personality Trait Inventory (PTI) for mothers (maternal personality and mental distress) | p<0.01 Children: There was a higher frequency of | However, it used standard and validated questionnaires for assessing psychological disturbances. |
| | | | | | Childhood | low intelligence with behavioural disorders (5.9 SD2.9) with children of atopic eczema compared to | Important to note PTI comprised of 90 questions, CPMS comprised of 51statements |
| | | | | | Psychopathology Measurement Schedule for the children (CPMS) | healthy controls and also of conduct disorders (2.1 SD 1.4) p<0.01 for both | The funding of the study is unknown |
| | | | | | (low intelligence with behavioural disorders, conduct disorders, anxiety and depression) | Anxiety (1.6 SD 1.7 vs. 0.6 SD 1.0) and depression (2.7 SD 2.7 vs. 0.7 1.0) was also more frequent in children with atopic eczema. p<0.05 for both | |
| Absolon CM; Cottrell D; Eldridge SM; Glover MT; | Study Type: Case-control | n= 30 children with atopic eczema | School aged children mean age 8.7 years Severity of eczema | Intervention: None | Follow-up period: None | The Rutter scale showed twice the rate of psychological disturbance was found in children with eczema | Study is EL= 2- as it is a non-randomised controlled study |
| 1997 | Evidence level: 2- | n= 30 children | was varied | Comparison: psychological problems of children | Outcome Measures: Children: | compared with the control group (Overall p=0.063; 95%CI -6 to +48%) | Small study but based in UK. |
| 81 | | with relatively minor skin lesions such as viral warts | | with atopic eczema and children with minor skin lesions | Rutter parent scale (psychological problems) | The difference was statistically significant for children with | Rutter scale has been used in 80 countries, consists of 31 statements |
| | | | | | Mothers: General Health | moderately severe and severe eczema (Chi squared = 5.6; p=0.018) but not for children with | General Health Questionnaire consists of 28 questions |
| | | | | | Questionnaire (GHQ) (mental | very mild eczema. | Family Support Scale |
| | | | | | distress) | GHQ showed levels of mental distress of mothers were no | rates amount of help from 18 sources on a scale of 0-5. |
| | | | | | Family Support Scale | different between groups (p=0.58) | The funding of the study is unknown |
| | | | | | | There was no difference in the degree of social support experienced by the families. Both | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | groups had an average of 8 sources of informal support each and they rated these supports as similarly helpful. | |
| Walker C; Papadopoulos L; Hussien M; Lipton M; 2004 | Study Type: Case-control Evidence level: 2- | n= 85 children with eczema n= 45 children with asthma n= 36 healthy children | Children aged between 7 & 12 years old No details on severity of eczema | Intervention: None Comparison: illness beliefs and psychosocial morbidity between children with eczema, asthma and no health problems | Follow-up period: None Outcome Measures: Children's Illness Perception questionnaire (CIPQ) adapted from the adult version 26 items The Piers-Harris Children's Self- | Results suggested that the children with eczema felt greater consequences as a result of their disease than those with asthma In terms of psychosocial morbidity, the children's understanding of the consequences of their disease was more important than the presence or visibility of the condition. | Study is EL= 2- as it is a non-randomised controlled study. This study was funded by Remedi (disability charity). |
| Andreoli E; Mozzetta A; Palermi G; Paradisi M; Foglio Bonda PG; 2002 | Study Type: Other Evidence level: 3 | n= 490 subjects with a variety of skin diseases of which n=88 had atopic eczema | Subjects were aged 1-17 years and had various skin diseases at different levels of severity mean age of male eczema patients 8.35 years mean age of female eczema patients 9.82 years | Intervention: None Comparison: None | concept Scale Follow-up period: None Outcome Measures: Psychopathological diagnosis according to the American Psychiatric Association's diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders ed 4 (DSM/IV) | Atopic eczema is strongly correlated: -During ages 1-9 years with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (10%) and with mental retardation (4%). All cases were male. -During early adolescence (10-17 years) with general anxiety disorder (13%) and with dysthymic disorder (6%) (both predominantly in female cases) | Study is EL= 3 as it is an uncontrolled study. The funding of the study is unknown |
| Moore K; David TJ; Murray CS; Child F; Arkwright PD; 2006 | Study Type: other Evidence level: 3 | n=92 parents of 55 children, 26 of which had eczema (others had asthma) | Children with moderate to severe eczema or asthma | Intervention: None Comparison: sleep and quality of life of parents of children with atopic eczema and asthma | Follow-up period: None Outcome Measures: Parents sleep disturbance Hospital and Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS) | Sleep: Mothers lost median of 39 and fathers 45 minutes sleep per night with children with atopic eczema compared to 0 minutes in parents with children with asthma (p<0.001) this effect was independent of whether a one or two parent family. HADS Depression score of mothers | Study is EL= 3 as it is an uncontrolled study, using a non-specific scale to determine the anxiety and depression of parents with children with atopic eczema The funding of the study is unknown |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | looking after a child with eczema was twice that of mothers of children with asthma | |
| | | | | | | Univariate analysis eczema vs. asthma odds ratio 2.0 (1.1-3.6) p value =0.02 | |
| | | | | | | using multivariate analysis this association was found to be due to lack of sleep rather than the child's eczema per se | |
| | | | | | | 1.1 (0.5-2.4) p value =0.8 | |
| Ricci G; Bendabdi B; Aiazzi A; Masi M; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Educational and | n=17 families of children | Children with atopic eczema (no details | Fava-Kellner Symptom | Symptom questionnaire values improved over study but were still | Study is EL= 3 as it is an uncontrolled study. |
| 2004 | Evidence Level: | medical programme of 6- two hour sessions | | although SCORAD used) aged 5 months to 48 months and their | questionnaire at beginning and end of study | greater than normal values. No statistics presented | The funding of the study is unknown |
| 88 | | Comparison: | | parents | Satisfaction questionnaire | | |
| | | None | | | at the end of study | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Study summary |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| Carr A;Patel R;Jones M;Suleman A; 2007 | Study Type: OtherPre-post non-randomised, uncontrolled pilot study. | Intervention: Appointment with community pharmacist: interview about current treatment practices | 50 | Children aged 1 to 7 years with AE and their parents | Itch Irritability Sleep disturbance Skin appearance | Reduction in itch:1.48 (p=0.001) Reduction in irritablity: 1.23 (p=0.006) Reductioni n sleep disturbance: 0.34 (p=0.44) Reduction in skin appearance: 0.75 | Pharmacists can deliver education on effective use of emollients and this is valued by parents. |
| 501 | Evidence Level: 3 | advice on and demonstrations and explanations on best practice on use of emollients. Comparison: Before and after intervention | | | | Reduction in skin appearance:0.75 (p=0.09) | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| Lewis-Jones MS; Finlay AY; | Study Type: | Intervention: None | Part One n= 169 children and | Children aged 3-16 years with skin diseases and have | Part one: Children with the | Part one: 111 different aspects of how skin disease affected children's and their family's lives were identified and from | Study is EL= 3 as it is a non-intervention mainly uncontrolled study. |
| 1995 | Evidence Level: 3 | Comparison: None | their parents in a dermatology clinic Part Two | presented at a paediatric dermatology department | help of their parents were asked to write down all the ways their skin disease affected their lives. | these 10 questions were composed using a structure similar to the Adult Dermatology Life Quality Index | The funding of this study was not declared. |
| | | | n=40 children with their parents in a dermatology clinic Part three n=233 children with their parents in a dermatology clinic n=47 healthy control children n=55 controls attending a general paediatric clinic | | From the above information a 10 question questionnaire was devised Part two: This draft questionnaire was piloted and minor alterations were made to improve clarity Part three: The questionnaire (CDLQI) was given to 233 dermatology patients and 102 control patients Part four: 46 children completed the CDLQI on two occasions with a 4 day interval to check reliability of questionnaire | Part three: The CDAQI scores for eczema (mean =7.7, 5.6, n=470), psoriasis (5.4,5.0,n=25) and acne (5.7,4.4, n=40) were all significantly greater than moles and naevi (2.3,2.9,n=29) Part four: Test-retesting showed that the SD of the differences between pairs of data (2.5) was significantly less than the SD of the measurements themselves (before=4.79, after= 5.08) | |
| Ben-Gashir MA; Seed PT;HayRJ; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: None | n=78 at first visit of which n=71 attended | Children with atopic eczema | SCORAD | The children's QOL was affected in 65 (92%) and 55 (77%) children attending | The study is EL=3 as it is an uncontrolled validation study. |
| 2004 | Evidence Level: 3 | Comparison: None | the second visit and were included in the analysis | (mean age 8.6 years) in primary care | CDLQI | the first and second visits. The CDLQI was significantly correlated with the SCORAD at the first and second | The funding of this study was not declared. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| 75 | | | | | | visits (r=0.52, r=0.59, respectively p<0.001 for both) | |
| | | | | | | Each unit change in the SCORAD was associated with a 0.12 (95% CI 0.04-0.19, p=0.004) unit change in the children's quality of life. | |
| Noor Aziah MS; Rosnah T; Mardziah | Study Type: Other | Intervention: None | n=72 children of which n=70 children | Children aged between 6 months | Malay version of | SCORAD First Visit: Mean SCORAD 38.9 | This study is EL=3 as it is uncontrolled. |
| A; Norzilla MZ; | | Comparison: None | completed the DFI and | and 16 years | CDLQI | (SD 15.5) | The funding of this study was undeclared |
| | Evidence Level: 3 | Companicon: None | n=33 completed the | (mean 74 months) with atopic eczema | and | Second visit: Mean SCORAD 34.6 (SD | The fallang of the stady was anassared |
| 2002 | | | CDLQI | Mean SCORAD 38.9 SD15.5 at | DFI | 16.4) (p=0.003) | Further validation of the DFI examining internal consistency and repeatability |
| 104 | | | | first visit | SCORAD | . , | , , , |
| | | | | | 0001112 | CDLQI: | |
| | | | | | Scored at 0 and 2 | Visit 1: | |
| | | | | | weeks | Mean score 10.0 (SD 6.6) | |
| | | | | | | Visit 2: | |
| | | | | | | Mean Score 7.6 (SD 6.2) | |
| | | | | | | Mean score for mild atopic eczema | |
| | | | | | | 6.5(SD 7.8 n=2) | |
| | | | | | | Mean score for moderate atopic eczema, 8.8 (SD 5.9 n=21) | |
| | | | | | | Mean score for severe eczema 13.2 (SD 7.1 n=10) | |
| | | | | | | The highest scoring items were itchiness and soreness (1.8, SD 0.7), emotional disturbance (1.2, SD 1.0), Leisure activities (1.0, SD 0.9), school disturbance (1.1, SD 0.9) and sleep loss (1.2 SD 1.8) | |
| | | | | | | DFI | |
| | | | | | | First Visit: Mean DFI 9.4 | |
| | | | | | | (SD 5.3) | |
| | | | | | | Second visit: Mean DFI 7.8 (SD 4.8) | |
| | | | | | | Mean score for mild eczema | |
| | | | | | | 5.2 SD 4.4,n=5 | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | Mean score for moderate | |
| | | | | | | 8.5 SD 5.1, n=38 | |
| | | | | | | Mean score for severe eczema | |
| | | | | | | 11.5 SD 5.2, n=27 | |
| | | | | | | p=0.02 between moderate and severe cases | |
| | | | | | | The highest scores were for sleep loss, parents emotional disturbance, exhaustion, questions regarding diet and treatment | |
| | | | | | | Internal consistency (Cronbach alpha score)of the DFI was 0.85 (10 items tested n=70) | |
| | | | | | | Validity of questions (Kappa analysis) showed an average of moderate agreement | |
| Beattie PE; Lewis- Jones MS; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: None | n= 379 children and their parents | Children (aged 5- 16 years) with a skin disease of | CDLQI completed by children | Using linear regression analysis, the CLQI and CDLQI scores showed a strong linear association (r _s =0.72, p<0.001) and on a | Study is EL= 3 as it is an uncontrolled non-intervention study |
| 2006 | Evidence Level: 3 | Comparison: None | | more than 6 month's duration and their parents | CLQI completed by the parents | Bland-Altman plot, reasonably good agreement (expressing scores out of 100, the 95% limits of agreement were from - | The funding of this study was not declared. |
| 90 | | | | | | 25.5/100 to 26.7/100). | |
| | | | | | | In the child's opinion psoriasis and atopic eczema caused the greatest impairment (CDLQI 30.6%, 30.5% respectively). Using the generic CLQI (parental perspective) the highest score was for atopic eczema (33%). | |
| Holme SA; Man I; | Study Type: | Intervention: None | Part one (pilot): | Part one: | | Part one: | This study is EL=3 as it is an |
| Sharpe JL; Dykes | Other | | n=101 children | Children with a | Written CDLQI | There were no statistical differences | uncontrolled validation study. |
| PJ; Lewis-Jones MS; Finlay AY; | Evidence Level: 3 | Comparison: None | completed both cartoon and written CDLQI in a random | median age of 11 years. The most common | Cartoon CDLQI | between written and cartoon versions of CDLQI (p=0.405) in clinic. | The funding of this study was not |
| 2003 | | | order in clinic | diagnoses were naevi (22%), acne | with the aim of the | 42 (64%) cartoon CDLQI questionnaires | declared. |
| 106 | | | n= 66 children completed the cartoon CDLQI both in clinic | (21%), atopic dermatitis (17%), viral warts (13%) | study to validate the cartoon version against the already | were received from the second test-retest. A significant difference was found between the two scores (Kruskall-Wallis | |

| Bibliographic Information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| | | | and at home within one day | and psoriasis (9%) | validated written CDLQI | test p=0.029) | |
| | | | | Part two: | | Part two: | |
| | | | Part two: n=107 children completed both cartoon and written CDLQI in a random order in clinic | Children with a median age of 11 years. The most common diagnoses were eczema (20%), acne (12%), | | There was no significant difference between the scores of the cartoon and written ones (p=0.427) and analysis suggested no period (p=0.203), carry-over (p=0.233) or treatment (p=0.355) effect. | |
| | | | Part three: n=546 children reviewed in clinic were send either the written | psoriasis (12%), viral warts (11%) and naevus (10%) | | The cartoon version was completed faster (median 90 seconds) than the written version (median 120 seconds) (p<0.0001) | |
| | | | or cartoon version of the CDLQI to complete and return by post. | Part three: The median age of children was 12 years. No details on diagnoses | | Both children and parents preferred the cartoon version to the written version (63% children, 68% parents) and found it easier to use (69% children, 67% parents). | |
| | | | | | | Part three: 249 questionnaires were returned. 46% response rate (126 cartoon,123 text) | |
| Lewis-Jones MS; Finlay AY; Dykes PJ; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: None | n= 102 parents of children with atopic | Predominantly Caucasian infants | Infants' Dermatitis QOL index (IDQOL) | Return rate for initial questionnaires 87.3% (61boys,28 girls) | Study is EL= 3 as it is an uncontrolled non-intervention study. |
| 2001 | Evidence Level: 3 | Comparison: None | eczema (n=34 recruited by post, 68 from outpatients) | under 4 years with atopic eczema | DFI | Retest 70.6% Mean score for IDQOL was 7.89 and for | The funding of this study was undeclared. |
| | | | | | Parents were asked to complete the IDQOL and DFI on two separate occasions to test repeat validity | Spearman rank correlation between IDQOL and DFI was high, r=0.87 Correlations of IDQOL and DFI with | |
| | | | | | Infant's behavioural Check List (BCL) | clinical severity was lower, r=0.58, r=0.5 respectively | |
| | | | | | | Test-retest data for IDQOL and DFI confirmed repeatability (Bland and Altman) | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | The highest scoring questions for DFI were parental sleep disturbance, tiredness and exhaustion and emotional distress | |
| Beattie PE; Lewis | Study Type: | Intervention: The | n=203 parents of | n=203 Parents of | IDQOL | The group of n=203 infants: | This study is EL=3 as it is a survey with |
| Jones MS; | Other | impact of an initial consultation with a | infants with atopic eczema filled in the | children with atopic eczema aged 0-4 | DFI | The mean IDQOL and DFI were 8.47 (SD 5.8, 6.5 respectively). | no control group. The funding of this study was undeclared. |
| 2006? | Evidence Level: 3 | dermatology clinic | DFI and IDQOL once. | years, median age 16 months (SD 13.3 months) | | Good correlation of the above r _s =0.79 95% CI 0.73-0.84) | |
| 03 | | Comparison: Comparing the | n=50 of the above completed both | 13.3 monus) | | Parent's assessment of eczema | |
| | | parent's assessment of their child's eczema with the IDQOL and DFI. | questionnaires at the first and second visit. | of the n=50 group median age 12 months (SD 10.4) | | correlated well with IDQOL (r_s =0.6 CI 0.5-0.69) but less well with the DFI (r_s =0.4, CI 0.27-0.51) | |
| | | IDQOL and DFI. | | 0 | | Highest scoring IDQOL items were: | |
| | | Comparison of IDQOL and DFI | | Severity assessed by the parent: | | Itching and scratching, problems at bath time, time to fall asleep. | |
| | | measures at two | | n=5 clear | | Highest scoring DFI items were: | |
| | | consecutive visits. | | n=75 fairly good n=68 average | | Tiredness and exhaustion sleep loss and emotional distress. | |
| | | | | n=48 severe n=7 worst ever | | In both measures these items also | |
| | | | | | | correlated most strongly with eczema severity | |
| | | | | | | The group of n=50 who completed questionnaires at visit 1 and 2: | |
| | | | | | | Between visits 1 & 2 median eczema severity score fell from 2 (SD 0.83) to 1(SD 0.8) (Cl 0.5 to 1) | |
| | | | | | | Median IDQOI fell from 8 (SD 5.92) to 5 (SD 5.92) (Cl 2 to 5.5) | |
| | | | | | | Median DFI score fell from 9.62 (SD 6.45) to 5.49 (SD 6.56) (CI 2 to 5.5) | |
| | | | | | | The most improved IDQOL items were time taken to get off to sleep, difficulties at mealtimes | |
| | | | | | | The most improved DFI items were tiredness, exhaustion and emotional | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | | | distress in parents. | |
| Lawson V; Lewis- Jones MS; Finlay | Study Type: Case-control | n= 73 families with a child with atopic | Families with children with atopic eczema | Intervention: None | Follow-up period: None | In the eczema group the mean DFI score was 9.6+/-7.0 (range 0-27, n=56) | Study is EL= 2-as it is a controlled study. |
| AY; Reid P; Owens RG; | Evidence level: 2- | eczema n= 50 families with | rated by the investigator using standard criteria | Comparison: Families with children with atopic | Outcome Measures: atopic 10 question one- pared page Dermatitis with Family Impact (DFI) | In the unaffected families the mean score was 0.4+/- 0.9 (range 0-3, n=26, | This study was partially funded by the National Eczema Society UK |
| 1998 ₉₂ | | no atopic eczema | | eczema compared with families with | | p<0.0001) | This study developed the DFI by qualitative interviews, testing a detailed |
| | | The highest scoring questions were treatment, sleep, tiredness and distress | questionnaire and then producing the 10 question DFI. Only the results of the latter stage have been detailed in this table | | | | |
| Ben-Gashir MA; Seed PT; Hay RJ; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: None | n= 116 children on first visit | Children with atopic eczema | Modified form of the SCORAD index, | First visit (n=116, mean age 8 years): | Study is EL= 3 as it is an uncontrolled study. |
| | | Comparison: None | | aged 5-10 years with 80% of these | (SCORAD-D) | Family QOL affected in 48 (45% of cases) | |
| 2002 74 | Evidence Level: 3 | | n= 106 children on | diagnosed as mild | DEI | Mean DFI 2.4 SD4.4 | The funding of this study was not |
| | | | second visit and this number was used in analysis | by the SCORAD index | DFI | Mean SCORAD-D 8.2 SD10.2 | declared. |
| | | | | | tested at 0 and 6 months | Second visit (n=106, mean age 8.5 years: | Further validation of the DFI confirming its association with severity of disease |
| | | | | | monuis | Family Qol affected in 38 (36% of cases) | No analysis of test-retest validity |
| | | | | | | Mean DFI 1.9 SD4.2 | although data appears to support validity |
| | | | | | | Mean SCORAD-D 7.7SD8.7 | |
| | | | | | | Changes in the DFI were significantly related to changes in the SCORAD-D | |
| | | | | | | (regression coefficient ; 0.17 (95%Cl 0.06-0.29, p=0.002) | |
| | | | | | | After adjusting for potential confounders each unit increase in the SCORAD-D lead to a 0.25 (95% CI 0.11-0.4, p=0.001) and 0.23 (95% CI 0.05-0.42, p=0.014) increase in the DFI for the first and second visits respectively | |
| McKenna SP; Whalley D; de Prost | Study Type: Other | Intervention: None | After 65 qualitative interviews with parents | Parents with children with atopic | International development of | Application of the Rasch model to the survey data identified the final 28 item | Study is EL= 3 as it is an uncontrolled non-intervention study. |
| Y; Staab D; Huels J; Paul CF; van Assche D; | Evidence Level: 3 | Comparison: None | in the UK, Netherlands and Italy and field-test interviews with approximately 20 | eczema | PIQoL-AD Validation within different countries (languages) | version All language versions had | The study was funded by Novartis Pharma AG (Basel, Switzerland). |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2006 | | | children in each country to assess face and content validity, the instrument was finalised with the following numbers of children with atopic eczema and their parents in each of the following countries UK n=328 Netherlands n=45, France n=209 Germany n=78 US n=48 Spain n=153 | · | Evaluation of psychometric properties | a)good item fit b)test-test reliability: all co-efficients above the minimum acceptable level of 0.85 c)internal consistency: Cronbach's coefficients for the PIQoL-AD varied between 0.88 and 0.93 at time 1 and between 0.88 and 0.93 at time 2 d)promising validity | Evidence for validity of PIQoL-AD across 7 European countries |
| DM Meads; McKenna SP; Kahler K; 2005 | Study Type: Systematic review - meta- analysis Evidence level: 1+ | n=621 data from four trials Trial A: Two US trials consisting of 199 and 206 participants aged up to 18 years. These trials were double-blind for 6 weeks followed by an open-label period lasting 20 weeks measuring QoL and disease severity at 0, 6 weeks and 6 months. Other trials were multinational Trial B: 733 children up to 18 years Trial C: 255 children aged up to 2 years These trials lasted 12 months and compared active with conventional | Children with atopic eczema and their parents | Intervention: Comparison: None | Follow-up period: None Outcome Measures: Secondary analysis of The Parents Index of Quality of Life in Atopic Dermatitis (PiQoL-AD) data to interpret the meaningfulness (significance) of the QoL results with anchor-based and distribution-based methods | Anchor-based analysis The overall correlations for each time point (baseline, 6, 26 and 52 weeks) in each trial indicated generally low levels of association between PiQoL-AD scores and clinical indicators EASI (0.35), IGA, (0.26) PRU(0.35)and SA (0.32) Large CI of PIQoL-AD meant limit to usefulness of clinical relevant conclusions. When data from all time points were combined, it showed a clear progression in mean PIQoL-AD scores with increasing severity of measures (EASI,IGA,PRU,SA) although correlation was still low PIQoI-AD scores varied by scores on all four anchor measures (p<0.001) Distribution-based analysis This was used to determine effect size, which was similar across all trials: 1.2 points = small effect 3 points= moderate effect 5 points= large effect | Systematic review is EL= 1+ as it consists of RCTs. The funding of this study was undeclared. it was not clear in the text exactly such studies the data came from |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|--------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | treatment Assessments of QoL and disease severity were at 0,6 weeks, and 12 months. | | | | These results indicate that a change of 2 to 3 PIQoL-AD points over time could be considered meaningful. | |
| | | The PIQoL -AD was only completed by parents of children aged 8 years or younger who lived in countries in which a validated version of the measure was available. | | | | | |
| Chamlin SL; Frieden IJ; Williams ML; Chren MM; | Study Type: Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: None Directed focus sessions were | n=26 parents of children with atopic eczema | Children aged birth to 6 months with atopic eczema | to document the effects of atopic eczema on young | Parents and experts mentioned a total of 181 specific quality of life effects. | This study is EL=3 as it is non- interventional explorative study. |
| 2004 | | performed with the parents to determine quality of life effects | | after initial diagnosis. Recruitment was not based on severity of disease | children and their families | From these documented effects a conceptual frame work was developed containing the domains of physical health, emotional health, physical functioning and | It was funded by a grant from the Society for Pedriatric Dermatology (USA) |
| | | Comparison: None | | Children with comorbid medical conditions that required daily or frequent medical care were excluded | | social functioning. Each domain includes effects on both the child and the parents. | |
| Chamlin SL; Cella D; Frieden IJ; Williams | Study Type: Other | Intervention: None | n= 270 parents of children with atopic | Children with atopic eczema | Testing of the validity of CADIS and to | Exploratory factor analysis of the entire sample results in the removal of nine | Study is EL= 3 as it is an uncontrolled study. |
| ML; Mancini AJ; Lai JS; Chren MM; 2005 ¹¹² | Evidence Level: 3 | Comparison: None | eczema | under the age of 6 years and their parents | refine it | items e.g. item 18 was removed for low factor loading, four items were reviewed and removed that were ambiguous, biased or wordy. | The funding of this study was partially supported the Society for Pediatric Dermatology, The American Skin |
| | | | | | | Rasch analysis resulted in elimination of three further items e.g. for the symptoms domain, three items had a high Mean Square fit Statistics (MnSq) and were eliminated | Association and the National Institute on Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases USA. |
| | | | | | | Five further items were removed because many parents chose the same response | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | Based on the results of psychometric analyses and item performance results the framework was modified to a five scale framework | |
| | | | | | | Internal consistency was acceptable for all scales: alpha results and item total correlation range shown for each | |
| | | | | | | Family and social function | |
| | | | | | | 0.91, 0.48-0.81 | |
| | | | | | | Emotion scale: | |
| | | | | | | 0.92, 0.42-0.75 | |
| | | | | | | Sleep scale: | |
| | | | | | | 0.76, 0.54-0.66 | |
| | | | | | | Symptoms scale: | |
| | | | | | | 0.93, 0.70-0.84 | |
| | | | | | | Activity limitations and behaviour scale: 0.84,0.39-0.69 | |
| | | | | | | 270 parents responded with 453 mentions of the way atopic eczema bothered their child and 410 mentions of the ways it bothered them. The three most common issues were itching/scratching, pain/discomfort and sleep issues. All mentions noted by 7% or more parents were included in CADIS items | |
| Su JC; Kemp AS; Varigos GA; Nolan | Study Type: Cohort | n=48 children with | Children with atopic eczema aged 4 | Intervention: None | Follow-up period: None | Impact on family score: | This study is EL-2 as it is a cross sectional survey of children with eczema |
| TM; | Conort | atopic eczema | months to 15 years, | | None | 0.04 (01.0.0 + 0.0) | with a control (reference) group of |
| | Evidence level: 2- | n= 46 children with | mean age 4.5 (SD 4.2 | Comparison: The score on a family | Outcome Measures: | Severe eczema 2.61 (Cl 2.3 to 2.9) | children with diabetes. |
| 1997 | Evidence level. 2 | insulin dependent | years) | impact | The impact on family | (p=0.0002 compared to diabetes group) | |
| | | diabetes mellitus | Eczema severity | questionnaire | questionnaire of | Madarata 227222 2 21 (2.0 to 2.6) | The funding of this study was |
| 7 | | | scored by the Rajka and Langeland: n=10 | (Stein and Riessman), the | Stein and Riessman | Moderate eczema 2.31 (2.0 to 2.6) | undeclared. |
| | | | severe,n=20 moderate, | economic cost of | - : | (p=0.0032 compared to diabetes group) | |
| | | | n=18 mild | treatment and the | Financial costs were assessed by a | Mild eczema 1.97 (1.7 to 2.2) | |
| | | | | loss of earnings | questionnaire | • | |
| | | | | between the two groups eczema | consisting of 4 | (p=0.41 compared to diabetes group) | |
| | | | | and diabetes | sections: the cost of medication over past 12 months; the | All patients with eczema 2.25 (CI 2.1 to 2.4) | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| | | | | | number of visits to health professionals over last 12 months; the number of hospital admission days over past 12 months and indirect costs contributing to income loss e.g. days off work Numbers of hours of sleep loss over past 3 months from observations and records | (p=0.0012 compared to diabetes group) Diabetes 1.85 (1.7 to 2.0) Costs to the community were great in terms of visits to health professionals and hospitalisation. An estimate of the annual personal financial cost of managing mild moderate and severe eczema was AUS \$330,818 and 1255 respectively. and this was considered to be greater than looking after children with asthma. The mean (SD) hours of sleep lost by parents averaged 3(2.8) hours for severe group, 3(1.7) hours for moderate and 2 (1.5) hours for the mild group The mean (SD) hours of sleep lost by children averaged 2(2.1) hours for severe group, 2(1.4) hours for moderate and 1 (1.1) hours for the mild group | |
| Zuberbier T; Orlow SJ; Paller AS; Taieb A; Allen R; Hernanz- Hermosal; Ocampo- Cadiani J;Cox M; Langeraar J; Simon JC; 2006 | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: None Comparison: None | n=2002 with atopic eczema of which n=779 are children with aged 2-13 years. | Children with moderate to severe atopic eczema as defined by their physician/GP. | In depth telephone or face to face interviews using a non standard questionnaire consisting of 37 single and multipart questions for parents/carers looking after children aged 2-13 years. The questions were divided into sections including sections on the effect of an atopic eczema flare on daily life, emotional aspects of atopic eczema and one section on | Effect of atopic eczema on daily life (average figure): Total duration of flare (14 days) No. of days in flare per year (121.8) No. of nights sleep affected during a flare (5) No. of times woken up at night during a flare (1.8) Percentage of patients (%) avoiding at least 1 everyday activity (86%) School life affected (30%) Home life affected (27%) Percentage of time at work/school performance affected during flare (7%) No of days absent from school-work | The study is EL=3 as it is uncontrolled. The funding of this study is undeclared. This is a large, up to date record of children's and their families experiences of atopic eczema. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| | | | | | quality of life using the PIQoL-AD | because of a flare (2 days) | |
| | | | | | | Percentage of patients during an atopic flare | |
| | | | | | | Fairly/very concerned about being seen in public (29%) | |
| | | | | | | With effect on self confidence (24%) | |
| | | | | | | Unhappy or depressed (52%) | |
| | | | | | | Have been bullied or teased because of their atopic eczema (25%) | |
| | | | | | | Percentage of patients where atopic eczema has an effect on other household members (37%) | |
| | | | | | | PiQoL results reflected the above results with 71% taking care over clothes, 64% worrying about possible side effects of treatment, 63% worrying about the child's looks, 52% felt they had no control over the atopic eczema, 46% worrying about the future of their child. | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|-------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| Dennis H; Rostill H; Reed J; Gill S. | Study Type: Case series | n=353 of which n=74 completed survey (21% | Children aged 5 to 11 years (mean age 7.1 years SD =1.9) with | Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL) | Data of interest and its analysis was presented as opposed to all data from outcome measures. | The data presented in this paper is hard to interpret as it is not presented as a whole rather as select complex analysis [EL=3] |
| 2006 | Evidence Level: 3 | response rate) | atopic eczema (equal numbers of mild, moderate and severe diagnosed by | General Health Questionnaire version 28 (GHQ- v28) | Severity of eczema had no statistically significant effect on child adjustment (internalising and externalising) scores or parental psychological adjustment (p>0.05 for all) | The funding of this study is undeclared. |
| 89 | | | consultant dermatologist) but no other serious medical | DFI | Family adjustment (DFI) was significantly affected by the severity of the child's atopic eczema (p<0.01) | |
| | | | conditions | Family Environment Scale (FES) | Bonferroni analyses indicated this difference was between mild and severe categories. | |
| | | | | The parent of each child was sent a letter inviting them to participate and all | CBCL data showed that 27.4% of the children showed internalising behaviour and 9.6% showed externalising behaviour this compares to 18% and 17% respectively in the general population. | |
| | | | | the relevant forms regarding the above with a stamped addressed envelope for return. | Further analysis showed a positive association between internalising and parental psychological wellbeing (p=0.02), family impact (p=0.02) and negative association with supportive family environment (p<0.01) | |
| | | | | Severity of eczema was assessed for medical record once consent was obtained. | There was also a significant negative assocation with externalising and a supportive family environment (p=0.01) | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Hon KLE; Kam | Study Type: | n=80 | Children (mean age | Follow-up period: | Median scores (interquartile range) | Median scores were used through out and |
| WYC;Lam MCA; | Cross-sectional | 42 boys, 38 girls | 11.7 SD 3.7 years) with | none | SCORAD 56.1 (45.8-71.4) | thus the two extremes of quality of life and |
| Leung TF; Ng PC; | | | atopic eczema as diagnosed by Hanifin | | NESS 14 (12-15) | severity were not represented. |
| , | Evidence level: 2- | | and Rajka criteria. | Outcome Measures: SCORAD (and | CDQLI 10 (7-13) | The funding of this study was undeclared. |
| 2006 | | | | objective SCORAD) and NESS for severity of atopic eczema. | Total CDLQI weakly correlated with total SCORAD (Spearman coefficient =0.23, p<0.05) | |
| | | | | CDLQI for quality of life | Total CDLQI and total NESS poorly correlated (Spearman coefficient =0.29, p<0.05) | |
| | | | | lgE and eosinophil counts | No correlation for objective SCORAD and CDLQI (Spearman coefficient =0.17, p>0.05) | |
| | | | | | Median serum IgE and eosinophil counts and percentages did not correlate with CDLQI Spearman coefficient 0.191, 0.136 and 0.098 respectively. | |

Epidemiology

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|---|------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| Bohme M;Svensson A;Kull I;Nordvall SL;Wahlgren CF; 2001 Jun | Study Type: Case-control Evidence level: 2- | 320 (221 cases, 99 controls) | Part of a community-based birth cohort of 2256 children (the BAMSE study). Cases (those with an itchy rash for 2 weeks or more) first seen at the clinic before 25 months of age were included. At about 2 years (median 25 months, range 20-29 months) children with atopic eczema were systematically reexamined. Controls were also examined at about 2 years (median 27 months, range 23-32 months). They had no history of eczema at 1 or 2 years (questionnaire and telephone interview respectively). | Intervention: Cases - children with atopic eczema Comparison: Control group - no atopic eczema | Follow-up period: Outcome Measures: 1) Sensitisation* 2) Sensitisation and severity of AE (SCORAD) | 1) 27% had at least one positive skin prick reaction. Positive reactions: 21% to hen's egg white, 15% peanut, 8% cow's milk, 2% cod, 2% wheat, 1% soya. The IgE test result was positive in 15%. 2) No data, but it was reported that there was no significant difference in objective SCORAD scores in sensitised and non-sensitised cases with ongoing eczema. | Funding:Swedish Asthma and Allergy Association, the Swedish Foundation for Health Care Sciences and Allergy Research. All skin examinations were carired out by the same dermatologist. The Hanifin and Rajka criteria were used to diagnose atopic eczema. *Specific IgE to inhalants and foods were measured in 212 cases (96%). Results were recorded as positive or negative (not defined). Skin prick testing was done in 97% of cases. A positive reaction was defined as a reaction at least half the diameter of the reaction to the positive control, and not less than 3mm in diameter. |
| Bieber T; 2002 | Study Type: Systematic review - meta- analysis | 30 studies (26 in children) | Adults and children | Intervention: Search of Medline (1966 to August 2000) and Embase (1977 to August | Follow-up period: Outcome Measures: Prevalence | 30 studies published between 1990 and 2000 (26 in children). In UK (5 studies): | |
| 114 | Evidence level: 3 | | | 2000) Study type not restricted. English language only. | | 14% (n=322) aged 1-4 years (history and examination by trained observer in 1992). 10.7% (n=413) in 1 year olds | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|----------------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | Prevalence of atopic eczema | | (dermatologist examination, 1993) | |
| | | | | Comparison: NA | | 11.7% (n=693) in 3-11 year olds, dermatologists examination, 1994) | |
| | | | | | | 8.5% (n=695) in 3-11 year olds, dermatologists examination, 1995) | |
| | | | | | | 14.2% (n=260) in 4 year olds (dermatologists examination, 1996) | |
| | | | | | | 12-month period prevalence 16.5% (by history and dermatologists examination in 1 to 5 year olds, n = 695) | |
| Williams H; 2000 115 | Study Type: Systematic review - meta- analysis Evidence level: 3 | 8 studies | Adults and children | Intervention: Epidemiological data Comparison: N/A | Follow-up period: Outcome Measures: Age of onset Location Severity Long term prognosis Concurrent asthma, hay fever and allergic rhinitis | 8 studies identified based in hospital patients or specialist clinics. The age of onset of atopic eczema was before 1 year of age in between 42% (n = 100) and 88% (n = 121) of the children. The review also found two studies investigating the age of onset of atopic eczema in the community. One a historical cohort study based in the UK found 66% developed atopic eczema by the age of 7 years (n = 6877, up to the age of 16). The second study, a retrospective questionnaire, found 63% developed atopic eczema by the age of 7 years (n = 694, aged 14 years). | The author was contacted for methodological details of this review. He confirmed that this was conducted as a systematic review, although the search strategy, inclusion/exclusion criteria etc were not specified in the chapter and so the review cannot easily be replicated/updated using information from the book chapter alone, and therefore the review has been assigned an evidence level of 3 (as a narrative review) |
| | | | | | | Severity of atopic eczema reported in 5 studies. "65 to 90% of cases in the community being mild | |

| Bibliographic | Study type and | Number of | Patient | Intervention and | Follow-up and | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|---|-------------------|
| information | evidence level | patients | characteristics | comparison | outcome measures | | |
| | | | | | | severity and 1 to 2% | |
| | | | | | | classified as severe" | |
| | | | | | | 25 studies investigated the | |
| | | | | | | long-term prognosis of atopic | |
| | | | | | | eczema; 22 included children | |
| | | | | | | aged under 12 years at study | |
| | | | | | | inception (studies were | |
| | | | | | | reported between 1930 and | |
| | | | | | | 1997). Data for studies that included children at inception | |
| | | | | | | are reported here. The | |
| | | | | | | countries in which the studies | |
| | | | | | | were conducted were not | |
| | | | | | | clear. Most of the studies | |
| | | | | | | included individuals who had | |
| | | | | | | been treated as hospital inpatients or outpatients. | |
| | | | | | | Data were gathered by | |
| | | | | | | questionnaire and/or physical | |
| | | | | | | examination; losses to follow- | |
| | | | | | | up were common, ranging | |
| | | | | | | from about 3% to 73% (median 31%). The studies | |
| | | | | | | suggest that atopic eczema is | |
| | | | | | | a chronic condition, with a | |
| | | | | | | 10-year clearance rate of 50- | |
| | | | | | | 70%, although a wide range | |
| | | | | | | of clearance rates over | |
| | | | | | | varying follow-up periods have been reported (11- | |
| | | | | | | 92%). Several studies found | |
| | | | | | | that individuals who were | |
| | | | | | | apparently clear of atopic | |
| | | | | | | eczema subsequently | |
| | | | | | | experienced a relapse at a | |
| | | | | | | later point, which may reflect differences in use of terms | |
| | | | | | | such as clearance and | |
| | | | | | | remission. | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 6 studies reported concurrent | |
| | | | | | | or subsequent asthma, hay | |
| | | | | | | fever or allergic rhinitis: | |
| | | | | | | Asthma in 10 to 53% | |
| | | | | | | Hay fever in 33 to 78% | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| - | | | | | | Allergic rhinitis in 12 to 28%. | |
| Vicencio | Study Type: | 50 | Children aged 2 | Intervention: | Follow-up period: | Positive skin prick test: | Funding: none declared. |
| JCA;Gonzalez- | Cross-sectional | | months to 16 years | Sensitisation to | | 64% (51.5% of those with | Skin Prick Tests; in children 2 years or younger the |
| Andaya AM; 2005 | Evidence level: | | (mean 3.5 years), diagnosed with atopic eczema using Hanifin | different allergens at different ages | Outcome Measures: 1) Sensitisation | mild atopic eczema, 88.2% with moderate-severe). | following allergens were tested: cow's milk, egg white and yolk, shellfish, soya, tuna, peanut, HDM,cat pelt, dog epithelium, Bermuda grass and Kapok. |
| 2003 | 3 | | and Rajka criteria. 66% of the children | Comparison: N/A | 0) Dalatia aski | | |
| 150 | | | had mild atopic eczema, 28% | · | Relationship between atopic eczema and | 2) A significant association between sensitisation to food | Children older than 2 years were tested for the following allergens |
| | | | moderate and 6% severe (measured using the SASSAD scale). | | sensitisation | and/or inhalants and the severity of atopic eczema was reported (p=0.033). | Cow's milk, egg yolk and white, fish, soy, tuna, peanut, wheat, cocoa bean, HDM, cat pelt, dog epithelium, Bermuda grass, Acacia, Kapok, mixed moulds, and cock roach. |
| | | | 42% had a personal history of atopy (52% asthma, 19% allergic rhinitis, 24% asthma and allergic rhinitis, 5% urticaria). | | | Odds of developing moderate/severe eczema was 4.4 times greater in children who developed sensitisation to any one of the allergens than in those who did not (95%CI 1.06-18.2). | A positive skin test was given by a wheal size that measured at least 3mm in diameter, or a wheal that was larger than the negative control (saline). |

| Dilette energiete | Charles barrer and | Atom of shorts | No box and an although a cond | Daniel d'an | 0.4 | December and comments | D. d |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
| Ben-Gashir | Study Type: | Intervention: Survey of | 137 | Children aged 5 to 10 | 1) Severity | 1) Mild (SCORAD ≤15) in 80%, | As only children aged 5-10 years old were |
| MA;Seed PT;Hay RJ; | Other | children with atopic eczema from general | | years old who were diagnosed with | | Moderate (SCORAD 16-40) in 18% | included in the study the children who developed atopic eczema at a later age |
| | Evidence Level: | practices, involving an interview and clinical | | eczema | Age at first presentation | Severe (SCORAD >40) in 2% | would not have been included, leading to an increased number of children developing |
| 2004 Mar | 3 | examination. | | | | 2) < 1 year 68% (93/137) | eczema at an early age. Likewise the study |
| | | Looked at atopic | | | 3) Concurrent | 1-2 years 16% (21/137) | would have missed the children who |
| 133 | | eczema: | | | conditions | 2-6 years 13% (18/137) | developed asthma or hay fever at a later age, leading to an underestimate in the children |
| | | Severity using SCORAD | | | | ≥7 years 3% (4/137) | who had concurrent asthma and hay fever. |
| | | Age at first presentation | | | | Odds ratio for severity: | |
| | | Concurrent conditions | | | | If onset was during first year of life: non adjusted 2.1 95% CI 1.2-3.3, p = 0.006 | |
| | | Comparison: | | | | Adjusted 2.1 95% CI 1.2-3.2, p = 0.008 | |
| | | | | | | 3) Asthma: 43% (59/137) | |
| | | | | | | Hay fever: 45% (62/137) | |
| | | | | | | Asthma and/or Hay fever: 64% (87/137) | |
| | | | | | | Odds ratio for severity: | |
| | | | | | | If child also had Asthma: non adjusted 1.95 95% CI 1.34-3.34, p = 0.016 | |
| | | | | | | Adjusted 2.0 95% CI 1.1-3.6, p = 0.021 | |
| | | | | | | If child also had Hay fever: non adjusted 2.49 95% CI 1.44-4.3, p = 0.001 | |
| | | | | | | Adjusted 2.42 95% CI 1.39-4.2, p = 0.002 | |
| Broberg | Study Type: | Intervention: | 1961 | Children scheduled for | Prevalence | Parental reporting: | Funding: Swedish Asthma Allergy |
| A;Svensson A:Borres | Other | Questionnaire asked: | | a health visit at 5.5 | | Active eczema 16%, 167/1219 in | Association |
| MP;Berg R; | | Has your child ever had eczema? | | years of age, 1219 in Goteborg and 742 in | | Goteborg and 16%, 119/742 in Kristianstad | |
| ,20.9, | Evidence Level: 3 | 2. Does your child | | Kristianstad Sweden | | Eczema any time 37%, 447/1219 in | |
| 2000 Nov | J | have active eczema? | | | | Goteborg and 33%, 243/742 in Kristianstad (overall 690/1961, 35.2%) | |
| 534 | | Clinical examination performed by dermatologist | | | | Never had eczema 63%, 772/1219 in Goteborg and 67%, 499/742 in Kristianstad | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| - | | Severity | | | | | |
| | | Comparison: | | | | Of children reported to have active eczema by parents, on examination by a dermatologist the point prevalence was 8.5% (95% CI 7.0, 10.1) in Goteberg, and 11.5% (9.2, 13.8) in Kristianstad. | |
| | | | | | | Severity of visible eczema (In 155/157 children with visible eczema at examination): | |
| | | | | | | Mean SCORAD score 20.5 (95% CI 18.7 to 22.3), median 19.6 | |
| Emerson RM;Williams HC;Allen BR; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Questionnaire survey | 1760 | Children aged 1-5 years from general practices in | 1) 12 month period prevalence | 1) 16.5% | Funding: Novartis |
| , , | Evidence Level: | Comparison: N/A | | Nottingham | · | 2) 6% (17/290; 11 to hospital dermatologist, 4 to private | AE diagnosed by a dermatologist. |
| 1998 | 3 | | | | 2) Referral rate | dermatologist, 2 to paediatrician, and 6 to accident and emergency) | Reasons for referral were not given. |
| 123 | | | | | 3) | | |
| | | | | | | Referral rate was higher in severe disease (43%) than moderate (15%) or mild (3%). | |
| Burr ML;Butland | Study Type: | Intervention: Surveys | 965 | Children aged 12 | Eczema | 4.8% in 1973 | The main aim of the study was to record |
| BK;King S;Vaughan- Williams E; | Other | undertaken in 1973 and 1988. | | years in South Wales. | prevalence ('ever') | 15.9% in 1988 (difference 11.1, 95% CI 8.4, 13.8) | asthma prevalence but some data for eczema were also reported. |
| vviillaiiis ⊑, | Evidence Level: | | | | | | |
| 1989 Oct | 3 | Comparison: N/A | | | | | |
| 535 | | | | | | | |
| Williams H;Robertson | Study Type: Other | Intervention: | | | | | |
| C;Stewart A;it- Khaled | | Comparison: | | | | | |
| N;Anabwani | Evidence Level: 3 | | | | | | |
| G;Anderson R;Asher | 3 | | | | | | |
| I;Beasley | | | | | | | |
| R;Bjorksten | | | | | | | |
| B;Burr M;Clayton | | | | | | | |
| T;Crane | | | | | | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| J;Ellwood P;Keil U;Lai C;Mallol J;Martinez F;Mitchell E;Montefort S;Pearce N;Shah J;Sibbald B;Strachan D;von ME;Weiland SK; | | | | | | | |
| 1999 Jan | | | | | | | |
| 124 | | | | | | | |
| Aoki T;Fukuzumi T;Adachi J;Endo K;Kojima M; 1992 | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: Evaluation of which parts of the body are affected by atopic eczema Comparison: N/A | 1012 (812 [80.2%] of whom had an atopic history) | Infants and children aged less than 10 years with possible AE attending dermatology clinic, January 1989- December 1990. | Area affected by atopic eczema | in infants aged 3-5 months, 81% cheeks, 62% forehead, 61% scalp, 42% chin. On trunk, 67% chest, 64% back, 59% abdomen IN children aged 5-9 years, 50% neck, 38% nape, 16% scalp, 25% perioral, 33% forehead, 40% cheeks. | 52 skin regions were examined for the presence of lesions Data for change in incidence by age were shown in graphs. Involvement of the cheeks, forehead, scalp, chin, periauricular regions, and ankle regions decreased with age. Involvement of inguinal regions, buttocks, para-axillar regions, hips, cubital and popliteal fossae, knees and elbows increased with age. |
| | | | | | | | Only areas with highest % shown in this table. |
| Harris JM; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Epidemiological data | 592 | Children aged 8 years from a birth cohort in Kent. | 1) Lifetime prevalence | 1) 25.3% at age 8 (56.7% identified before age 2 years). | Funding:Colt Foundation |
| 2007 | Evidence Level: | Comparison: N/A | | | 2) Annual period prevalence (range) | 2) 8.3-10.6% | UK Working party criteria were used to diagnose AE. |
| | | | | | (·-···3-/ | | Recruitment to the cohort started in November 1993. |
| Ninan TK;Russell G; 1992 Apr 4 | Study Type: OtherSurvey Evidence Level: | Intervention: Questionnaire survey of parents, regarding asthma, eczema, hay fever | 2510 in 1964 and 3403 in 1989 | Children aged 8-13 years attending primary schools in Aberdeen. | Point prevalence of atopic eczema | 1) 5.3% in 1964, and 12% in 1989 | Funding: Astra Pharmaceuticals, A&H, National Asthma Canpaign |
| 116 | ა | | | Questionnaires were administered to | | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | | Comparison: N/A | | parents and guardians of the children. | | | |
| Kulig M;Bergmann R;Klettke U;Wahn V;Tacke U;Wahn U; | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: Prevalence and incidence rates of allergic sensitisation Comparison: N/A | 216 | A sub-cohort of children from the German MAS study (Bergmann 1994 ¹³⁹) - those with complete specific IgE data at the ages of 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6 years. | 1) Point prevalence of allergic sensitisation to at least one of the tested allergens 2) Annual incidence rates of sensitisation | 1) 11% (95% CI 7, 15) at 1 year, and 30% (24, 36) at 6 years To inhalant allergens: 1.5% (95% CI 0, 3) at 1 year, and 26% (20, 32) at 6 years To food allergens: 10% (95% CI 6, 14) at 1 and 6 years 2) To one of four food allergens: 10% (6, 14) at 1 year, and 3% (1, 5) at 6 years. To at least 1 inhalant allergen: 1.5% (0, 3) at 1 year, and 8% (4, 12) at 6 years. | Funding: As for Bergmann. 139 The incidence rate was defined as the proportion of children with sensitisation (specific IgE level of 0.7 or more) in the group of children at risk (children originally free of sensitisation in whom it could have developed during the period). Prevalence = the proportion of sensitised children (specific IgE of 0.7 ku/l or more) in the total group at the respective time point). |
| | | | | | | From age 3 years specific IgE to inhalant allergens were significantly higher than specific IgE levels to food allergens in children of the same age, p<0.006. | |
| Wang IJ;Lin YT;Yang YH;Chen CL;Tsai YH;Chiang BL;Hwang KC; | Study Type: OtherCross- sectional study Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: Sensitisation to inhalant and food allergens Comparison: N/A | 262 | Children aged 0-16 years with atopic eczema. 10% were aged under 2 years, 52% aged 2-5 years, and 39% aged more than 5 years. | 2) Association between allergens and age (sexadjusted OR) | 1) 57% had elevated total IgE levels. 2) Food allergy: 2.58 (1.07, 6.21) in those aged <2 years; 1.09 (0.58, 2.05) in children aged 2-5 years, and 0.57 (0.29, 1.13) in children older than 5 years. | Funding: none declared. Asthma diagnosed if there were more than 4 attacks of wheezing in the past 12 months or 1-3 wheezing episodes in addition to night awakening for wheezing, nocturnal cough, and wheezing after exercise. |
| 2004 Oct 146 | | | | Severity was assessed in 31%, using SCORAD; 19% had mild eczema, 55 moderate, and 26% severe. | 3) Risk of concomitant asthma and allergic rhinitis | Inhalant allergens: Der pteronyssinus 0.02 (0.002, 0.142) in those aged <2 years; 0.72 (0.44, 1.91) in children aged 2-5 years, and 4.28 (2.41, 7.59) in children older than 5 years. Der farinae 0.02 (0.003, 0.159) in those aged <2 years; 0.72 (0.44, 1.18) in children aged 2-5 years, and 4.02 (2.30, 7.05) in children older than 5 years. Cockroach; no data for those | Sensitisation was defined as elevated IgE levels of at least one of the allergens tested (5 inhalant allergens, 6 food allergens). A specific IgE of more than 0.7ku/l and a total IgE level of more than 200ku/l was considered positive. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | under age 2 years, 0.45 (0.18, 1.10) in children aged 2-5 years, and 3.53 (1.45, 8.61) in children older than 5 years. | |
| | | | | | | 3) Asthma: no data for those aged <2 years; 0.58 (0.34, 0.99) in children aged 2-5 years, and 3.26 (1.88, 5.65) in children older than 5 years. | |
| | | | | | | Allergi rhinitis: 0.05 (0.01, 0.24) in those aged <2 years; 0.55 (0.33, 0.90) in children aged 2-5 years, and 4.63 (2.65, 8.09) in children older than 5 years. | |
| Wolkerstorfer A;Wahn U;Kjellman NI;Diepgen TL;De LM;Oranje AP; 2002 Jan | Study Type: OtherCase series (the placebo arm of the ETAC RCT). Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: Sensitisation to cow's milk and egg, and its relationship to the severity of atopic eczema. Comparison: N/A | 382 | Children in the placebo arm of the ETAC RCT. Infants aged 1-2 years with a positive history of atopy and active symptoms of atopic eczema. Most had mild to moderate atopic eczema (mean SCORAD score of 20). | 1) Proportion with sensitisation to cow's milk and egg 2) Severity (mean SCORAD scores) according to sensitisation 3) Correlation between the severity of atopic eczema and degree of sensitisation at different followup visits | 1) At inclusion (study start): 36% cow's milk, 50% to egg. 88% of those sensitised to cow's milk were also sensitised to egg. 33% were sensitised to egg only. 'During the follow-up sensitisation remained stable for cow's milk and decreased slightly for egg' (no further details). 2) 17.9 (SD 10) in children with normal specific IgE. 18 (SD 10) in children with specific IgE to cow's milk only, 20.5 (12) with specific IgE to egg only, and 23.1 (SD 12) with specific IgE to to both cow's milk and egg. High levels of specific IgE (17/5 ku/l or more) were reported to be more common in children with moderate to severe atopic eczema (data shown in | Funding: none declared. Specific IgE levels were determined using the Pharmacia CAP system. Sensitisation = an IgE level of 0.35ku/l or more. |
| | | | | | (Spearman rank correlation) 4) Change in sensitisation (change in RAST class of at least one class) over time | graphs only). 3) Baseline 0.16 (cow's milk), 0.22 (egg), p<0.005 for both At 3 months: 0.09 (cow's milk) and 0.15 (egg; p=NS and p<0.05 respectively) At 12 months: 0.12 (cow's milk) and 0.16 (egg), p<0.05 for both | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|------------------------|
| | | | | | in relation to percentage improvement in objective SCORAD score | At 18 months, 0.15 (cow's milk) and 0.21 (egg), p<0.05 and p<0.005 respectively. | |
| | | | | | SCORAD score | 4) Sensitisation increased; mean improvement in objective SCORAD 35.8 (SD 58) cow's milk, and 38.1 (54) | |
| | | | | | | egg. Sensitisation unchanged: mean improvement in objective SCORAD 34.1 (SD 75) cow's milk, and 33.2 (81) | |
| | | | | | | egg. Sensitisation increased: mean improvement in objective SCORAD 9.5 (SD 75) cow's milk, and -6.9 (71) egg. | |
| Wuthrich B;Schmid- | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Natural history of AE | 22 | Children with AE seen at the age of 2-4 | % with | All results are for age 2-4 years then 10-12 years | Funding: none declared |
| Grendelmeier P; | Evidence Level: | Comparison: N/A | | years, and re- evaluated at age 10- | 1) AE | 1) 100% vs 68% | Swiss cohort. |
| 2002 | 3 | · | | 12 years. | 2) asthma | 2) 9% vs 45% | |
| 145 | | | | No other demographic data | 3) allergic rhinitis | 3) 0% vs 41% | |
| | | | | | A) manifica alsin | 4) 54% vs 77% | |
| | | | | | positive skin prick test | 5) 41% vs 81% | |
| | | | | | 5) elevated IgE levels | 6) 41% vs 27% | |
| | | | | | (according to age values - no further details) | 7) 50% vs 80% | |
| | | | | | 6) Sensitisation to foods (IgE test) - egg white, cow's milk, cod, wheat, peanut, soy | | |
| | | | | | 7) Sensitisation to inhalant | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | | allergens (HDM, grass, tree pollen) | | |
| Bohme M;Lannero E;Wickman M;Nordvall SL;Wahlgren CF; 2002 | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: Cohort of children recruited at their first visit to child health centre during first months of life. At the time of recruitment the parents filled in a questionnaire concerning environmental and heredity factors. At 1 or 2 years there was another questionnaire on atopic disease. Atopic eczema Concurrent conditions | 3791 | | 1) Period prevalence 2) % with concurrent conditions | 1) 25.1% atopic eczema during their first 2 years (952/3791) 2) Asthma: 2.9% (109/950). Ratio of asthma in children with atopic eczema over those without atopic eczema: 1.45, 95% CI 1.16-1.80. Allergic rhinoconjunctivitis 3.1% (115/936). Ratio of allergic rhinoconjunctivitis in children with atopic eczema over those without atopic eczema: ratio 2.25, 95% CI 1.77-2.85. Adverse reactions to food:10.7% (405/946). Ratio of adverse reactions to food in children with atopic eczema over those without: 3.20, 95% CI 2.83-3.62. | Funding: none declared Ratio adjusted for heredity |
| Bohme M;Wickman M;Lennart NS;Svartengren M;Wahlgren CF; | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: Questionnaire: Lifelong prevalence | 4089 children born between Feb 1994 and 1996 aged 0-4 | | | Lifelong prevalence: 33% had symptoms of atopic eczema | |
| Eigenmann PA;Sicherer SH;Borkowski TA;Cohen BA;Sampson HA; | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: Specific IgE antibody concentrations to 6 foods was evaluated. Comparison: N/A | 63 | Children and adults with atopic eczema aged 0.4-19.4 years, median age 2.8 years who were referred to a dermatologist. Patients had persistent eczematous rash in two or more predilection sites despite the use of topical corticosteroids and who presented to | 1) IgE levels 2) Results of DBPCFC (n=19 of 41 with a positive IgE result) | 1) 65% (41/63) of children with eczema had positive IgE values (more than 0.7ku/l) to at least 1 of 6 foods tested 2) 18 positive challenges in 11 patients. There were no reactions to placebo. | Group who were tested were a select group may not be representative of all children with atopic eczema. Positive IgE test: more than 0.7 ku/l (i.e. these were considered to be allergic to foods (this was then tested by DBPCFC). The foods tested were milk, egg, peanuts, fish, soya, wheat. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|--|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | the dermatology clinic. | | | |
| | | | | Median SCORAD score 41 (range 6.5-94.5), mean 43. | | | |
| George S;Berth- Jones J;Graham- Brown RA; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Parents of children interviewed at 1 year of age about atopic eczema. | 499 children from a cohort of 1800 | | Point prevalence of atopic eczema | 1) Asian children: 12/134 (9%) Non-Asian children: 32/279 (11.5%), p = 0.55 95% CI -3.8% to 8.9% | Funding: Leicester Dermatology Research Foundation |
| DIOWII KA, | Evidence Level: | atopic eczema. | | | | Lifetime prevalence atopic eczema: | |
| 1007 Apr | 3 | Point and lifetime | | | 2) Severity of | Asian children: 21/134 (15.7%) | |
| 1997 Apr | | Prevalence of atopic eczema | | | atopic eczema (mean SASSAD score) | Non-Asian children: 43/279 (15.4%), p = 0.94 95% CI -7% to 7% | |
| | | Severity of atopic eczema (mean | | | | 2) Asian children: 6.3 SD 3.7 | |
| | | SASSAD score) | | | | Non-Asian children: 7.3 SD 3.5 | |
| | | Consultations by general practitioner and referral to a dermatologist | | | | Not 7 dian chiaten. 7.5 SS 5.5 | |
| Halkjaer LB;Loland | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Prevalence of atopic | 411 infants. Children followed from birth to 3 | | Cumulative incidence | 44% (155/356) at 3 years (Hanifin and Rajka criteria). | Funding: none declared. |
| L;Buchvald FF;Agner T;Skov L;Strand M;Bisgaard H; 2006 May | Evidence Level: 3 | eczema | years, visits every 6 months | | | Severity of eczema (SCORAD) was assessed every 6 months. The proportions with mild, moderate or severe eczema changed as follows from age 6 months to 3 years: mild 43% - 81% moderate 56% - 17% severe 2% - 2% | Study undertaken in Copenhagen |
| | | | | | | Prevalence shown in graphs only. This peaked at 2 years in boys and 2.5 years in girls. | |
| Heinrich J;Hoelscher B;Frye C;Meyer | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Three cross-sectional regional surveys in | 7632 children aged 5-14 years recruited form Schools in Germany | | 1) Adjusted prevalence | 1) Survey 1992-1993 Children aged 12 at survey (born 1981) 9.6% | No statistical analysis given and some children participated in two or three surveys. |
| I;Wjst M;Wichmann H; | Evidence Level: 3 | 1992-1993, 1995-1996 and 1998-1999. Some children participated in | | | | Children aged 9 at survey (born 1984) 8.6% | |
| 2002 | | two or three surveys investigating the | | | | Children aged 6 at survey (born 1987) 8.6% | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| information 118 Hill DJ;Hosking CS; 2004 | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: | prevalence of atopic eczema. Intervention: Epidemiological data Comparison: N/A | 487 (those with complete data from questionnaires, of n=620) | Infants aged up to 120 months from the Melbourne Atopy Cohort study (commenced in 1990). They were recruited on the basis of one or more parents or | 1) Cumulative prevalence of atopic eczema 2) Prevalence of IgE mediated food allergy | Survey 1995-1996 Children aged 12 at survey (born 1984) 9.1% Children aged 9 at survey (born 1987) 9.9% Children aged 6 at survey (born 1990) 11.0% Survey 1998-1999 Children aged 12 at survey (born 1987) 10.2% Children aged 9 at survey (born 1990) 11.8% Children aged 6 at survey (born 1993) 13.0% 1) 28.9% (n=141) 2) 35% of those with AE, and 12% of those without AE, p<10(-6) RR of AE because of IgE-mediated food allergy for children with AE = 3.1 | Funding: Victorian Department of Human Services, Nestle and the Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne. Skin prick tests to common allergens and foods undertaken at 6 and 12 months of age. |
| | | | | siblings having either atopic eczema, asthma, hay fever, or severe reactions to foods. | 3) Prevalence of IgE mediated food allergy linked to severity of AE | (2.1, 4.4) 3) Increased with severity | Mothers were encouraged to delay the introduction of solids until after the age of 6 months when low allergen solids were introduced (not egg, peanut or fish). Modified UK Working party diagnosis criteria used to diagnose eczema. Negative skin prick test = no greater than control. IgE mediated food allergy = if the mean wheal diameter to any of 3 food extracts was |
| Illi S;von ME;Lau | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Survey of children followed up | 1314 (of 7609 infants born in 1990). | Birth cohort study | 1) Prevalence of atopic eczema | 1) 13.4% in first year of life. Lifetime prevalence by the age of 2 years: | at least twice the histamine reference standard. Funding: none declared. |
| S;Nickel R;Gruber | | aged 1,3,6,12,18 and 24 months and one a | 1123 were analysed as | | | 241/1123 (21.5%) | German Multicenter Atopy Study (MAS). 499 |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| C;Niggemann B;Wahn U;Multicenter Allergy Study | Evidence Level: 3 | year up until 7 years old. Parents questions about atopic eczema symptoms and | they completed at least one follow up. | | Scratching as a prognostic factor for AE | Of children with early manifestations of atopic eczema: n =192 | of the children had risk factors for atopy (increased cord blood IgE [0.9ku/l or more], at least 2 atopic family members, or both), and 815 newborns with none. |
| Group.; 2004 May | | severity. Comparison: N/A | | | 3) Any sensitisation (IgE 0.35ku/l or more) at age 2 years as a prognostic factor for AE 4) Having a cat in early childhood as a risk factor for AE | Of children with an onset in the first year of life, 43.2% were in complete remission after age 2 years 55.4% only had symptoms in the first year of life 18.7% had symptoms of atopic eczema every year up to the age 7 years 38% had an intermittent pattern of eczema up to 7 years 2) 72.2% of children with persistent AE reported frequent scratching with early AE compared with 35.6% of those with an intermittent pattern, and 14.5% of the children with complete remission after 2 years, adjusted cumulative odds ratio 5.86 95% CI 3.04-11.29 3) Cumulative OR 2.52 (1.62 to 3.90) 4) Cumulative OR 2.33 (0.85 to 6.38) | AE diagnosed through questions on questionnaire. |
| Kuehr J;Frischer T;Karmaus W;Meinert R;Barth R;Urbanek R; | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: Questionnaire completed by parents asking about eczema | 1376 | Children aged 6-8 years | Point prevalence | 17.3% | Funding: German Federal Ministry for Research and Technology |
| Kurukulaaratchy R;Fenn M;Matthews S;Hasan AS; 2003 Jun | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: Visit to research centre, telephone questionnaire or postal questionnaire: Life long prevalence Current incidence | 1456 | All children born on the Isle of Wight between in January 1989 and February 1990 Aged 10 years old | Prevalence of atopic eczema Onset of atopic eczema | 1) 1 year old: 9.6% 132/1374 2 years old: 10.3% 127/1231 4 years old: 11.9% 145/1214 Life long prevalence at 10 years: 41.0% Incidence in last year at 10 years: | The definition for atopic eczema unclear. For incidence of atopic eczema in the last year 'itchy rash occurring in the last 12 months and had previously been given the diagnosis of eczema'. The paper also considers risk actors for AE |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|---|-----------------------|---|--|
| 126 | | Onset | | | | 13.7% 186/1358. | such as food allergy, and smoking. Data not reproduced here. |
| | | | | | | 56.3% still had the condition at age 10 years. | |
| | | | | | | 2) 71.0% of children with current eczema developed it before the age of 4 years | |
| Lehtonen EP;Holmberg- | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Retrospective chart | 320 | Children born 1974 in Finland. | Cumulative prevalence | 1) 16% at age 5 years (95% CI 12, 20). | Funding: none declared. |
| Marttila D;Kaila M; | Evidence Level: | review of children born in 1994. Data gathered for atopic eczema | | | | 49% were diagnosed between the ages of 6 to 24 months. | Data gathered by retrospective chart review. No specific diganostic criteria were used for AE (classified as AE if those words or words |
| 2003 Oct | | | | | | 30% were recorded as having 'food- related' problems | to that effect were used in the notes). |
| McNally NJ;Williams | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Data from the National | 8278 | Children born in 1958n study who had | Prevalence | Eczema prevalence (%) and adjusted odds ratio (OR (95%CI) p value) | Cohort of children born in 1958. |
| HC;Phillips | | Child Development | | information on | | Reported by 7 years: | Pre 1975 county boundaries were used. |
| DR;Strachan | Evidence Level: | Study, 1958 birth | | presence or absence | | North west: 5.3%, 1.00 (base) | The 1973 county boundaries were used. |
| DP; | 3 | cohort. Parental reporting of eczema, from examination by a | | of visible eczema at all ages (7, 11 and 16 years). | | Northern: 5.4%, 1.03 (0.67-1.59) p > 0.05 | Funding: Department of Geography, University of Nottingham, and the British Skin |
| 2000 Apr | | health visitor. | | youro). | | East and West Ridings: 7.8%, 1.50 (1.01-2.23) p > 0.05 | Foundation. |
| 128 | | Comparison: | | | | North Midlands: 8.7%, 1.61 (1.08-2.41) p < 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Eastern: 10.8%, 2.03 (1.41-2.93) p < 0.001 | |
| | | | | | | London and south East: 8.2%, 1.48 (1.05-2.09) p < 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Southern: 10.1%, 1.89 (1.28-2.81) p < 0.01 | |
| | | | | | | South Western: 8.0%, 1.51 (1.00-2.28) p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Midlands: 7.6, 1.45 (0.98-2.15) p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Wales: 6.4%, 1.18 (0.73-1.91) p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Scotland: 5.6%, 1.10 (0.74-1.62) p > 0.05 | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | Eczema identified on examination: | |
| | | | | | | North west: 2.3%, 1.00 (base) | |
| | | | | | | Northern: 2.1%, 0.95 (0.49-1.83) p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | East and West Ridings: 2.3%, 0.98 (0.51-1.89) p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | North Midlands: 4.7%, 2.02 (1.15-3.56) p < 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Eastern: 4.0%, 1.66 (0.94-2.91) p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | London and south East: 2.4%, 0.98 (0.57-1.70) p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Southern: 4.7%, 2.00 (1.13-3.55) p < 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | South Western: 1.7%, 0.73 (0.34-1.55) p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Midlands: 2.2, 0.97 (0.51-1.85) p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Wales: 2.1%, 0.89 (0.41-1.94) p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Scotland: 2.7%, 1.25 (0.71-2.20) p > 0.05 | |
| Nnoruka EN; | Study Type: | Intervention: | 1019 patients with atopic | Age range 1 month to | Pattern of atopic | Age of onset | Adults and children included in the study, so |
| | Other | Dermatological data from patients, | eczema from 12013 patients with skin diseases | 59 years with average age of 13.8 years. | eczema | 1-6 weeks 12.7%, 129/1019 | data on concurrent illness not presented in test as unable to separate children and adult |
| 2004 Oct | - · · · · · | children's parents and | seen at skin clinic from | All patients were Black | | 7-12 weeks 8.1%, 83/1019 | data |
| | Evidence Level: 3 | relatives | 1998 -2000. | All patients were black | | 13-18 weeks 5.7%, 58/1019 | |
| 136 | · · | | | | | 19-24 weeks 4.8%, 49/1019 | |
| | | Age of onset | | | | 25-30 weeks 3.0%, 31/1019 | |
| | | Location of atopic | | | | 31-36 weeks 3.6%, 37/1019 | |
| | | eczema | | | | 37-42 weeks 2.7%, 28/1019 | |
| | | Concurrent illness | | | | >42 weeks 1.3%, 13/1019 | |
| | | Comparison: N/A | | | | Concurrent diseases: (Adults and children) | |
| | | | | | | Atopic eczema only 47.7%, 486/1019 | |
| | | | | | | Asthma 11.5%, 117/1019 | |
| | | | | | | Allergic rhinitis 4.1%, 42/1019 | |
| | | | | | | Conjunctivitis 1.3%, 13/1019 | |
| | | | | | | Concomitant respiratory allergies 35.6%, 363/1019 | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|--|--|---|---|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | In a control group Asthma 2.3%, 17/726 Allergic rhinitis 3.9%, 29/726 Conjunctivitis 0.7%, 5/726 Concomitant respiratory allergies 2.9%, 21/726 Location of atopic eczema 0-3 years (n = 298) Wrist extensors 27.2%, 78/298 Wrist flexors 16.7%, 48/298 Elbow extensors 38.5%, 111/298 Elbow flexors 40.1%, 115/298 Knee extensors 37.4%, 108/298 Knee flexors 17.9%, 51/298 3-18 years (n = 373) Wrist extensors 8.3%, 31/373 Wrist flexors 11.3%, 42/373 Elbow extensors 17.1%, 64/373 | |
| | | | | | | Elbow flexors 56.8%, 211/373 Knee extensors 15.6%, 58/373 Knee flexors 45.7%, 170/37 | |
| Olesen AB;Bang K;Juul S;Thestrup- Pedersen K; 2005 | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: Two different questionnaires sent to the different groups of children: Life long prevalence Severity Comparison: N/A | 1060 children from a stratified sample of all children born between 1984 and 1986 in a maternity hospital in Denmark, surveyed in 1993. 10,000 children from a random sample of children born in Denmark from 1984 to 1994 from the Danish Medical Birth Register, surveyed in 1998. | Children aged 3 to 15 years | 1) Lifelong prevalence of atopic eczema 2) Severity of atopic eczema in children born between 1984-1994 (measured on a scale of 1-7) | 1) 18.9% age 7 years in the group of children born between 1984-1986 (1993 study) 19.6% age 7 years in the group of children born between 1984-1994 (1998 study) 2) Mild 47.6% 660/1385 Moderate 33.1% 458/1385 Severe 12.8%, 177/1385 (data missing on 90/1385) | Funding: Univeristy of Aarhus. Data collected by questionnaire. Definition of atopic eczema in 1993 survey unclear; UK Working Party criteria were used in 1998. |
| Selnes A;Bolle | Study Type: | Intervention: | 10,093 in 1985 study and | Schoolchildren aged | 1) Cumulative | 1) 19.7% in 1995 | Funding: none declared. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|--|--|---|---|----------------------|---|--|
| R;Holt J;Lund E; 2002 Feb | Other Evidence Level: | Prevalence of atopic eczema in Norway (with further analysis for those of Sami or Norse ethnicity) | 8676 in 1995 study | 7-13 years in Northern Norway. | incidence of AE | 13.2% in 1985 | AE if there was an itchy eruption lasting for more than 4 weeks combined with lesions on the face, elbow/knee flexures, or a high degree of itching and lesions elsewhere. |
| | | Comparison: N/A | | | | | |
| Vasar M;Julge K;Bjoksto B; 2000 May | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: Physical examination and questionnaire | 298 | Healthy new born babies at term, followed up at 6, 12 and 24 months. | Point prevalence | 4% (7/173) at 6 months 10.5% (23/220) at 12 months 15% (35/223) at 2 years | Criteria for AE diagnosis - Hanifin and Rajka |
| Wadonda- Kabondo N;Sterne | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Postal questionnaire asking parents: | 8530 children aged 0 to 42 months born in 1990's. | | 1) Period prevalence | 1) 0-6 months: 21.0%, 1791/8530 6-18 months: 25.6%, 2183/8530 | Funding: several sources including the MRC. |
| JA;Golding J;Kennedy CT;Archer | Evidence Level: 3 | At the age of 6 and 18 months 1. Has the child had | | | 2) Incidence | 18-13 months: 23.2%, 1975/8530 30-42 months: 19.9%, 1701/8530 | |
| CB;Dunnill MG;ALSPAC Study Team.; | | skin rash in joints and creases of her/his body (e.g. behind the knees, under the | | | | 2) 0-6 months: 21.0%, 1791/8530 6-18 months: 11.2%, 757/6739 18-13 months: 3.8%, 229/5982 | |
| 2003 Nov | | arms) since? 2. Does she/he have this sort of rash now? | | | | 4949/8530 (58%) had a rash at least once | |
| | | 3. Has she/he had an itchy, dry oozing or crusted rash on the face, forearms or shins? | | | | 622/8530 (7.3%) reported a rash on all four occasions | |
| | | 4. Does she/he have this sort of rash now? At the age of 30 and | | | | | |
| | | 42 months 5. Has the child had an itchy, dry skin rash in joints and creases of her/his body (e.g. behind the knees, elbows under the arms) since he/she was 18/30 months old? 6. Does he/she have | | | | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|---|----------------------------|--|---|
| | | this sort of rash now? | | | | | |
| | | Study used definition of atopic eczema to be | | | | | |
| | | rash (from question 1, 3, or 5) | | | | | |
| | | Period prevalence | | | | | |
| | | Incidence | | | | | |
| | | Comparison: N/A | | | | | |
| Williams HC;Strachan | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Parents asked by health | 1053 | UK 1958 Birth cohort study (those with data | Prognosis | Of the 1053 with reported or examined eczema by age 23 years, 35% had | Funding: none declared. |
| DP; | | visitors, using | | from birth and at ages | | onset in the first year of life, and 54% | |
| 1998 | Evidence Level: | structured questionnaires | | 7, 11, 16, 23) | | by aged 7 years. | |
| 1990 | 3 | whether their child had an eczematous rash. | | | | Of 860 with reported or examined | |
| 132 | | The presence of | | | | eczema by the age of 16 years, 43% | |
| | | visible eczema was | | | | had onset by age 1 year, and 66% by age 7 years. | |
| | | recorded by experienced school | | | | | |
| | | medical officers at | | | | Of those with reported or examined eczema by age 7 years, 35% still had it | |
| | | ages 7, 11, and 16 years. | | | | at 11 years, and 26% at 16 years, and | |
| | | , | | | | 25% at 23 years. The apparent (short-term) clearance rates of 65% and 74% | |
| | | Comparison: N/A | | | | fell to 53% and 65% when adjusted for | |
| | | | | | | subsequent recurrences. | |
| Yura A;Shimizu T: | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Lifetime prevalence | In total about 4 million | Primary school children aged 7 to 12 | Lifetime prevalence | 1) 1985: 15.0% | |
| 1, | Other | Prevalence in last year | | years. 7 population | prevalence | 1987: 19.1% 1989: 20.9% | |
| 2001 Dec | Evidence Level: | , | | surveys carried out at 2 year intervals | 2) Prevalence in | 1991: 22.0% | |
| | 3 | | | between 1985 and | last year | 1993: 24.1% | |
| 121 | | | | 1997 (460000-740000 | | 1995: 22.9% | |
| | | | | per survey). | | 1997: 22.9% | |
| | | | | | | 2) 1993: 6.8% | |
| | | | | | | 1995: 5.6% | |
| | | | | | | 1997: 5.7% | |
| Paller AS;McAlister | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Survey of children or their | 429 | Children with atopic eczema aged 15 years | Prevalence of asthma in | 1) 0-2 year olds: 17.4% 21/121 | Survey was also carried out on 2500 physicians from IMS Health (article did not |
| RO;Doyle | Olliei | parents | | old or younger | children with | 3-7 year olds: 39.4% 69/175 | state what IMS stood for) who were known to |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|---|--|
| JJ;Jackson A; | | Prevalence | | members of the | atopic eczema | 8-15 year olds: 42.4% 53/125 | prescribes topical medications for treatment |
| | Evidence Level: | Age of onset | | National Eczema | | | of atopic eczema. But only 303 (12% |
| 2002 | 3 | | | Association for Science and | 2) Age of onset | 2) 93% diagnosed in first 2 years of life | responded) so data not included here. |
| | | Comparison: N/A | | Education. | | | Other outcomes reported in paper but not |
| 95 | | | | | | | reported here as not reported by age group. |
| Kay | Study Type: | Intervention: Interview | 1077 | Children aged 3-11 | 1) One-year | 1) 11.5% | Funding: none declared. |
| J;Gawkrodger | Other | by structured | | years in Birmingham. | period | | |
| DJ;Mortimer MJ;Jaron AG; | | questionnaire | | | prevalence (documented | 2) 20.2% | Atopic eczema was defined as an itchy often |
| wo,ouron 710, | Evidence Level: | O NIA | | | AE though not | | relapsing and lichenified dermatitis that tends |
| 1994 Jan | 3 | Comparison: N/A | | | necessarily | 3) Median age 6 months (0-5 months | to affect the face and hands in infants and also the popliteal and antecubital fossae in |
| 1004 0011 | | | | | within the past 12 months) | in 48%, then 7-13% maximum in every | children aged 18 months or older. |
| 122 | | | | | 12 111011(115) | 6 month period to age 5 years, and 1-3% in every 6 month period to 10 | · |
| | | | | | 2) Lifetime | years). | |
| | | | | | prevalence | 1) 000/ | |
| | | | | | | 4) 38% | |
| | | | | | 3) Age of onset | | |
| | | | | | 4) Prevalence of asthma (at any time point) | | |
| Macharia WM | Study Type: | Intervention: | 54 children with atopic | Age range 0.25 to | Pattern of | Age of onset | |
| | Other | Dermatological data | eczema seen at a | 10.25 years with | atopic eczema | 1-3 months 58.5%; 31/53 | |
| 1993 | | from children aged 0- | paediatric skin clinic in Kenya in 1985 | average age of 3.25 years. | | 4-7 months 5.6%; 3/53 | |
| | Evidence Level: | 12 years | Renya in 1905 | All children were | | 8-11 months 17.0%; 9/53 | |
| 137 | 3 | | | Black | | 12-23 months 1.9%; 1/53 | |
| | | Age of onset | | Black | | >23 months 17.0%; 9/53 | |
| | | Location of atopic | | | | | |
| | | eczema | | | | Location of atopic eczema at onset | |
| | | | | | | 0-11 months (n = 43) | |
| | | Comparison: N/A | | | | Face only 51%, 22/43 | |
| | | | | | | Flexure only 5%, 2/43 | |
| | | | | | | Extensor site only 12%, 5/43 | |
| | | | | | | Multiple sites 26%, 11/43 | |
| | | | | | | Unknown 7%, 3/43 | |
| | | | | | | 12-23 months (n = 1) | |
| | | | | | | Face only 0%, 0/1 | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------|
| | | | | | | Flexure only 0%, 0/1 | |
| | | | | | | Extensor site only 100%, 1/1 | |
| | | | | | | Multiple sites 0%, 0/1 | |
| | | | | | | Unknown 0%, 0/1 | |
| | | | | | | >23 months (n=9) | |
| | | | | | | Face only 0%, 0/9 | |
| | | | | | | Flexure only 56%, 5/9 | |
| | | | | | | Extensor site only 11%, 1/9 | |
| | | | | | | Multiple sites 33%, 3/9 | |
| | | | | | | Unknown 0%, 0/9 | |
| | | | | | | Location of atopic eczema at examination | |
| | | | | | | 0-11 months (n = 16) | |
| | | | | | | Face, flexure and extensor 19%, 3/16 | |
| | | | | | | Face only 31%, 5/16 | |
| | | | | | | Flexure only 0%, 0/16 | |
| | | | | | | Extensor only 0%, 0/16 | |
| | | | | | | Other 50%, 8/16 | |
| | | | | | | 12-23 months (n = 9) | |
| | | | | | | Face, flexure and extensor 44%, 4/9 | |
| | | | | | | Face only 11%, 1/9 | |
| | | | | | | Flexure only 11%, 1/9 | |
| | | | | | | Extensor only 0%, 0/9 | |
| | | | | | | Other 33%, 3/9 | |
| | | | | | | > 23 months (n = 29) | |
| | | | | | | Face, flexure and extensor 48%, 14/29 | |
| | | | | | | Face only 0%, 0/29 | |
| | | | | | | Flexure only 10%, 3/29 | |
| | | | | | | Extensor only 3%, 1/29 | |
| | | | | | | Other 38%, 11/29 | |

Identification and management of trigger factors

Studies evaluating the diagnostic accuracy of atopy patch tests, skin prick tests and specific IgE levels compared to DBPCFC

Cow's milk

Diagnostic accuracy of an atopy patch test compared to a DBPCFC for detection of cow's milk allergy

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for co | w's milk | | Comments |
|------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|------------|---|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Both immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| Isolauri 1996 ¹⁶¹ | 183 children aged 2-36 months with atopic eczema, | 54% on DB (and open) challenge | 49% | 51% | 51% NR | 61 (59 on open challenge) | 81 (83 on open challenge) | NR | NR | No cow's milk taken 1 month before test (they were breastfed (11%), or given soya milk 39%, whey formula 24%, or amino-acid formula 26%). |
| EL=DS III | not selected on basis of suspected allergy | 0 for placebo | | | | challerige) | challenge) | | | Antihistamines discontinued for 3 days-6 weeks before test. It is not stated whether the eczema was clear/controlled before the test |
| | to cow's milk, although 'most' excluded egg from their diet. | challenge | | | | | | | | Food challenges: Placebo: amino-acid derived substitute (Neocate). Test preparation: same as placebo + skimmed cow milk powder. 1-week challenge period; follow-up at weeks 1 and 2. A positive reaction to the food challenge was defined as an 'unequivocal adverse reaction to challenge' Humidified skimmed cow milk used for patch testing; left under occlusion for 48 hours and read 15 minutes after removing the patch, and at 72 hours. Reactions classified into four groups (negative, irritation, significant erythema, and erythema with oedema or eczema). Subsequent open challenge showed a 1% false negative of DB challenge. |

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for co | w's milk | | Comments | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|--|-----------|---------------|---------------|--|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Both immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | It is unclear whether food challenge was done blind to the results of the patch test. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Unknown whether the sensitivity and specificity data represent those who had either or both immediate or delayed reactions | | | | |
| Roehr 2001 ¹⁶⁵ | 98 children aged 2 months to 11.2 | 55% (64% milk. | 49% | 26% All atopic | 25% All atopic | 47 (any | 96 (any | 95 (any | 51 (any | Antihistamines discontinued 72 hours before test. TCS (hydrocortisone 1% or betamethasone 0.1%) were permitted twice daily. | | | | |
| EL=DS III years with atopic eczema (62% | eczema (62% | 67% egg, 51% wheat, 16% soya) | 51% wheat, | 51% wheat, | 51% wheat, | 67% egg, 51% wheat, | | eczema | eczema plus | reaction) | reaction) | reactio n) | reaction) | It is not stated whether the eczema was clear/controlled before the test |
| | mild, 28% moderate and | | | | respiratory or | 26 | 96 | 88 ediate (imme | | Food challenges: | | | | |
| | 10% severe). Not stated whether | (placebo | | | gastrointes | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | (imme diate | (immedi ate | Placebo: amino-acid derived substitute (Neocate). | | | | |
| | they were suspected of | they were challenge suspected of results NR) | challenge | | tinal symptoms | , | , | reactio n) | reaction) | Test preparation: 173 food challenges were undertaken; 41% with cow's milk, 24% with hen's egg, 20% with wheat and 15% with soya. Successive, increasing, doses of these foods were administered every | | | | |
| | having food allergy. | | | | | 78 | 96 | 93 | 86 | 20 minutes. Challenges were stopped if clinical symptoms were | | | | |
| | allergy. | | | | | (delayed reaction) | (delayed reaction) | (delaye d | (delaye d | observed or the maximum dose had been reached. | | | | |
| | | | | | | reaction | reactions | reactio n) | reaction) | Children were observed for 48 hours as inpatients. A positive reaction to the food challenge was noted if one of the following occurred: urticaria, angioedema, wheezing, vomiting, diarrhoea, abdominal pain, shock, or exacerbation of eczema. An early reaction was that occurring within 2 hours, and a delayed reaction occurred after 2 hours. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Patch test: one drop fresh cow's milk, whisked egg (white and yolk), wheat powder, and soya milk. Site checked for immediate reactions after 20 minutes, then left under occlusion for 48 hours and read 20 minutes after removing the patch, and at 72 hours. A positive reaction was defined as erythema with infiltration. Irritant reactions (sharply defined brownish erythema, decrescendo phenomenon, blistering and lack of clear infiltration) were regarded as negative. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | It is unclear whether food challenge was done blind to the results of the other tests. | | | | |

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for co | w's milk | | Comments |
|--------------------------|--|--|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|---|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Both immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| Mehl 2006 ¹⁸¹ | 437 consecutive referrals for suspected food allergy. Children aged 3 months – 14 years (median=13 months). 90% had AE | 49% (n=341) (DB and open challenge) | | | | 31 | 95 | 86 | 60 | Open challenges were allowed in children <1 year with history of immediate-type reactions. 77% of challenges were double blind. >1 week elimination diet required before provocation Eczema was clear before testing. Hydrocortisone (1%) or betamethasone (0.01%) permitted twice daily. Advice to stop antihistamines 72 hours before provocation. |

NR=not reported

Diagnostic accuracy of a skin prick test compared to a DBPCFC for detection of cow's milk allergy

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for cow' | s milk | | Comments |
|---|---|--|--------------------|-------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Isolauri 1996 ¹⁶¹ EL= DS III | 183 children aged 2-36 months with atopic eczema, not selected on basis of suspected allergy to cow's milk, although 'most' excluded egg from their diet. | 54% on DB (and open) challenge 0 for placebo challenge | 49% | 51% | NR | 48 (47 on open challenge) | 86 (83 on open challenge) | NR | NR | See atopy patch test Commercially available cow milk allergen used for prick testing, and histamine as positive control. Reactions read at 15 mins. The test was positive if it was half the size of the histamine reaction. Unknown whether the sensitivity and specificity data represent those who had either or both immediate or delayed reactions |
| Roehr 2001 ¹⁶⁵ | 98 children aged | 55% | 49% | 26% | 25% | 78 | 69 | 81 | 64 | See atopy patch test |
| EL=DS III | 2 months to 11.2 years with atopic eczema (62% | (64% milk, 67% egg, 51% wheat, 16% | | All atopic eczema | All atopic eczema plus | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reactio n) | (any reaction) | Fresh foods were applied to the volar forearm; fresh cow's milk, whisked egg (white and yolk), wheat powder, and soya milk. A 1mm |
| | mild, 28% moderate and | soya) | | | respiratory | 78 | 69 | 72 | 75 | lancet was used to undertake the skin prick test. Reactions were |
| | 10% severe). Not stated whether they were suspected of | (placebo challenge results NR) | | | or gastrointesti nal symptoms | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | (immed iate reactio n) | (immedi ate reaction) | read at 15 minutes. A wheal size of 3mm or greater, without reaction of the negative control (sodium chloride 0.9%), indicated a positive test. (Histamine dihydrochloride was used as a positive control.) |
| | having food | | | | | 78 | 69 | 64 | 82 | - |
| | allergy. | | | | | (delayed reaction) | (delayed reaction) | (delaye d reactio n) | (delaye d reaction) | |
| Sampson 1997 ¹⁶⁷ | 196 children and adolescents, aged 0.6-17.9 years with atopic | 46% (50% to milk, 73% egg, 49% | 100%* | NR | NR | 96 | 51 | 66 | 93 | It was not stated whether other treatments were permitted or discontinued, nor whether the eczema was clear/controlled before the test. |
| EL=DS III | eczema, | peanut, 28% soya, 22% | | | | | | | | Food challenges: |
| Related (earlier) publication | 'approximately' 50% of whom also had asthma | wheat, 55% fish) | | | | | | | | DBPCFC were undertaken if history or skin testing suggested food hypersensitivity, otherwise open food challenge was used. |
| including 40 of | and allergic | (placebo | | | | | | | | Placebo: not stated |
| the children, Sampson 1984 ¹⁶⁸) | rhinitis. It is not clear whether all were suspected of | challenge results NR) | | | | | | | | Test preparation: foods used were egg, milk, peanut, wheat, soya, fish, and other foods suspected of provoking skin symptoms. Up to 10g of dehydrated food was camouflaged in juice, infant formula, or moist food, and administered over 90 minutes. A placebo and an |

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for cow | s milk | | Comments |
|--|--|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|---|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| | having food allergy, but some were based on the comments made regarding use of DB and open food challenges. | | | | | | | | | active challenge were performed on the same day, 4 hours apart. All negative challenges were confirmed by open challenge. DBPCFC was not undertaken if there was a 'convincing' history of a severe allergic reaction to food (an immediate allergic reaction that developed after isolated ingestion of that food and required emergency treatment within the previous 2 years). The duration of observation was not stated, nor the characteristics of a positive test. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Skin prick test: glycerinated food extracts and appropriate positive (histamine) and negative (saline) controls were applied. It was no stated when the reactions were read. A wheal size of 3mm or greater than the negative control indicated a positive test. |
| | | | | | | | | | | It is unclear whether food challenge was done blind to the results of the other tests. |
| | | | | | | | | | | *It seems that the accuracy of the tests for immediate reactions is considered only because all reactions developed within minutes to 2 hours of the food challenge. |
| Vierrucci 1989 ⁵⁴² EL=DS III | 35 children aged 0-5 years with atopic eczema | 58% (65% milk, 67% egg, 22% tomato, 56% peanut) | NR | NR | NR | 28 | 80 | 66 | 44 | Antihistamines were discontinued 7 days before the test, TCS 4-5 days before, and oral corticosteroids 10-14 days before. An exclusion diet was used (excluding up to six suspected allergens) for 1-2 weeks before the test. It was not stated whether eczema was clear/controlled before the test. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Food challenges 59 were undertaken Placebo – not stated |
| | | | | | | | | | | Test: cow's milk, egg, tomato, wheat. Dehydrates food mixed with water or soya milk – up to 8g given in a 1-hour period. Two challenges were administered 4 hours apart (one active, one placebo). It was not reported what constituted a positive /immediate/delayed reaction. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Skin prick test: to nine foods, including cow's milk, egg, tomato, wheat and to inhalant allergens including house dust mite (all were glycerinated extracts). Positive (histamine) and negative (glycerolsaline) controls were also applied. Reactions were read at 15-20 minutes. A wheal size of 3mm or greater than the positive control was considered a positive reaction. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Total and specific IgE levels were taken in some children |

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for cow | s milk | | Comments |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|--|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | (proportions unclear). The criteria for a positive test were not reported; therefore the diagnostic accuracy data reported in the paper for IgE are not reproduced here. |
| Van Bever 1989 ¹⁷⁰ | 25 children aged 5 moths to 14 years (mean 3.5 | 47% | 47% | NR | NR | 43 | 75 | 60 | 60 | All were hospitalised and given an elemental diet for 1-2 weeks. Topical treatment for eczema continued. |
| EL=DS III | ears) with severe atopic eczema, persistent for several months and | | | | | | | | | Antihistamines were stopped for 1 week before food challenge testing. |
| | unresponsive to topical treatments and | | | | | | | | | 19 were challenged with foods (milk, soya, egg, wheat), 5 with foods and food additives, and 1 with food additives only. |
| | antihistamines. Any history of food allergy was | | | | | | | | | DBPCFC (n=96)– 2 challenges were given daily, and children assessed 4 hours later. Therefore results are for immediate reactions only. |
| | not considered in the selection of children for testing. | | | | | | | | | Skin prick tests were performed with buffer solution (negative control), histamine, codeine, egg and milk. Wheal reactions 3mm greater than the negative control were considered positive. |
| | 'Virtually all' had undergone elimination diets | | | | | | | | | Specific IgE levels were also measured and diagnostic accuracy data quoted, however the threshold indicative of a positive test was not stated. |
| Mehl 2006 ¹⁸¹ | 437 consecutive referrals for suspected food | 49% (n=341) (DB and open challenge) | NR | NR | NR | 85 | 70 | 73 | 83 | Open challenges were allowed in children <1 year with history of immediate-type reactions. 77% of challenges were double blind. |
| | allergy. Children aged 3 months – 14 years | 3 , | | | | | | | | >1 week elimination diet required before provocation |
| | (median=13 months). 90% had AE | | | | | | | | | Eczema was clear before testing. |
| | . 100 00 7 1000 | | | | | | | | | Hydrocortisone (1%) or betamethasone (0.01%) permitted twice daily. Advice to stop antihistamines 72 hours before provocation. |

Diagnostic accuracy of specific IgE compared to a DBPCFC for detection of cow's milk allergy

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for cov | v's milk | | Comments |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Roehr 2001 ¹⁶⁵ | 98 children aged 2 months to 11.2 | 55% | 49% | 26% | 25% | 84 | 38 | 70 | 59 | See atopy patch test |
| EL=DS III | years with atopic | (64% milk, 67% egg, | | All atopic eczema | All atopic eczema | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | The Pharmacia CAP system was used to measure total and |
| | eczema (62% mild, 28% moderate and 10% severe). Not stated whether they were | 51% wheat, 16% soya) (placebo challenge | | | plus respiratory or gastrointes tinal | 85 (immediate reaction) *22 | 38 (immediate reaction) *96 | 59 (immediate reaction) *86 | 71 (immediat e reaction) *54 | specific IgE levels to cow's milk, hen's egg, wheat, and soya (detection limit 35 kU/l). Children were regarded as sensitised if their IgE levels were above the detection limit. *IgE results for a cut off of 17.5kU/L |
| | suspected of | results NR) | | | symptoms | 83 | 38 | 48 | 77 | |
| | having food allergy. | | | | | (delayed reaction) | (delayed reaction) | (delayed reaction) | (delayed reaction) | |
| | | | | | | *17 | *96 | *75 | *63 | |
| Niggemann 1999 ¹⁶⁶ EL=DS II | 107 children aged 5 months to 12 years with persistent moderate-severe atopic eczema, and suspected food-related worsening of eczema or immediate-type clinical reactions by parents and/or referring doctor. 'usual' treatments had been used, and 'no specific diets had been tried in the vast majority of children' (no further details) | 51% (51% milk, 70% egg, 44% wheat, 16% soya) (placebo challenge results NR) | 70% (64% of milk challenges, 82% of egg, 47% of wheat, 57% of soya) Of 89% of early reactions manifest as skin reactions, 58% were manifest as eczema, and 23% as combined eczema and urticaria | 25% (28% of milk challenges, 16% of egg, 47% of wheat, 43% of soya) Of 89% of delayed reactions manifest as skin reactions, 76% were manifest as eczema, and 10% as combined eczema and urticaria | 5% (8% of milk challenges, 2% of egg, 6% of wheat, 0% of soya) Of 92% of combined reactions manifest as skin reactions, 83% were manifest as eczema, and 17% as combined eczema and urticaria | 85 | 38 | 61 | 71 | For at least 5 days before challenge testing children were given a diet of either extensively hydrolysed casein formula (infants and young children) or a few foods diet (older children). Antihistamines discontinued 72 hours before test. TCS were permitted twice daily (betamethasone 0.01%). It is not stated whether the eczema was clear/controlled before the test Food challenges: Placebo: casein hydrolysate banana flavour solution (128 placebo challenges were undertaken) Test preparation: 259 food challenges were undertaken, using successive doses of fresh pasteurised cow's milk containing ultraheated soya milk or fat, raw hen's egg (white and yolk), and wheat powder. The interval between foods was 30 minutes. 'In general' two active and one placebo challenge were administered to each child. Challenges were stopped if clinical symptoms were observed or the maximum dose had been reached. Children were observed for 48 hours as inpatients. A positive reaction to the food challenge was noted if one of the following occurred: urticaria, angioedema, wheezing, vomiting, diarrhoea, |

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for cov | ı's milk | | Comments |
|---|---|--|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|---------|--|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| | | | | | | | | | | occurred after 2 hours. |
| | | | | | | | | | | The Pharmacia CAP system was used to measure total and specific IgE levels to cow's milk, hen's egg, wheat, and soya (detection limit 35 kU/l). Children were regarded as sensitised if their IgE levels were above the detection limit. |
| | | | | | | | | | | The sequence used to test the foods was determined by the dietician who was not involved in assessing the clinical status of the children during the challenges. |
| | | | | | | | | | | It is assumed that the diagnostic accuracy data refer to any positive test (immediate or delayed response). |
| | | | | | | | | | | The diagnostic accuracy of the history of any food related symptoms was also reported: sensitivity 48% (64% for cow's milk, 45% egg, 33% wheat, 0 soya) and specificity 72% (100% soy, 74% wheat, 58% milk, 54% egg). |
| Sampson 1997 ¹⁶⁷ | 196 children and adolescents, aged | 46% | 100%* | NR | NR | 100 | 30 | 57 | 100 | See skin prick test. |
| EL=DS III Related (earlier) publication (including 40 of | adolescents, aged 0.6-17.9 years with atopic eczema, 'approximately' 50% of whom also had asthma and allergic rhinitis. | (50% to milk, 73% egg, 49% peanut, 28% soya, 22% wheat, 55% fish) | | | | | | | | The Pharmacia CAP system was used to measure total and specific IgE levels to egg, milk, peanut, wheat, soya, fish. 75% were also tested for inhalant allergens (house dust mite, and cat and dog dander). The detection limit was 35 kU/l; children were regarded as sensitised if their IgE levels were above the detection limit. |
| the children, Sampson 1984 ¹⁶⁸) | It is not clear whether all were suspected of having food allergy, but some | | | | | | | | | The authors also noted that there was no correlation between the level of food allergen-specific IgE and the severity of the allergic reaction. |
| | were based on the comments made regarding use of DB and open food | | | | | | | | | The authors also investigated the IgE levels that would give 90% and 95% predictive values for each of the six foods tested. [those thresholds giving the most complete results quoted here] |
| | challenges. | | | | | | | | | For PPV, the 95% values were: |
| | ŭ | | | | | | | | | Egg 6kU/L |
| | | | | | | | | | | Milk 32 kU/L |
| | | | | | | | | | | Peanut 15 kU/L |
| | | | | | | | | | | Fish 20 kU/L (i.e. if a child has a IgE level to fish of 20 or more, they are 95% likely to have a positive reaction on food |

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for cov | ı's milk | | Comments |
|--------------------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|---------|--|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | challenge). |
| | | | | | | | | | | [90% or 95% values not possible for soya or wheat] |
| | | | | | | | | | | For NPV the 90% values were: |
| | | | | | | | | | | Egg 0.6kU/L |
| | | | | | | | | | | Milk 1.0 kU/L |
| | | | | | | | | | | Peanut [not possible] |
| | | | | | | | | | | Fish 5 kU/L (0.9kU/L at 95% value) |
| | | | | | | | | | | Soya 5 kU/L (2 at 95% value) |
| | | | | | | | | | | Wheat 79 kU/L (5 at 95% value) |
| | | | | | | | | | | i.e. if a child has a IgE level to wheat of 79 or less, they are 99% likely <i>not</i> to have a positive reaction on food challenge). |
| Mehl 2006 ¹⁸¹ | 437 consecutive referrals for | 49% (n=341) (DB and | NR | NR | NR | 87 | 49 | 62 | 79 | Open challenges were allowed in children <1 year with history of immediate-type reactions. 77% of challenges were double blind. |
| EL=DS III | suspected food allergy. Children aged 3 months – | open challenge) | | | | | | | | >1 week elimination diet required before provocation |
| | 14 years (median=13 months). 90% had | | | | | | | | | Eczema was clear before testing. |
| | AE | | | | | | | | | Hydrocortisone (1%) or betamethasone (0.01%) permitted twice daily. Advice to stop antihistamines 72 hours before provocation |

Egg

Diagnostic accuracy of an atopy patch test compared to a DBPCFC for detection of egg allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic ad | ccuracy for egg | | | Comments |
|---------------------------|--|--|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Both immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Roehr 2001 ¹⁶⁵ | 98 children aged 2 months to | 55% | 49% | 26% | 25% | 57 | 93 | 94 | 52 | |
| EL=DS III | 11.2 years with atopic eczema (62% mild, 28% | (64% milk, 67% egg, 51% wheat, 16% soya) | | All atopic eczema | All atopic eczema plus respiratory or | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | |
| | moderate, and 10% severe). Not stated whether they | | | | gastrointestinal | 44 | 93 | 89 | 57 | _ |
| | were suspected of having food allergy. | (placebo challenge results NR) | | | symptoms | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | (immediat e reaction) | (immediate reaction) | |
| | | | | | | 80 | 93 | 89 | 87 | |
| | | | | | | (delayed reaction) | (delayed reaction) | (delayed reaction) | (delayed reaction) | |
| Mehl 2006 ¹⁸¹ | 437 consecutive referrals for | 66% (n=193) | NR | NR | NR | 41 | 87 | 86 | 43 | |
| EL=DS III | suspected food allergy. Children aged 3 months – 14 years (median=13 months). 90% had AE | (DB and open challenge) | | | | | | | | |

Diagnostic accuracy of a skin prick test compared to a DBPCFC for detection of egg allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for egg |] | | Comments |
|---|--|--|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Roehr 2001 ¹⁶⁵ | 98 children aged 2 months to | 55% | 49% | 26% | 25% | 89 | 57 | 81 | 73 | |
| EL=DS III | 11.2 years with atopic eczema (62% mild, 28% moderate, and 10% severe). | (64% milk, 67% egg, 51% wheat, 16% soya) | | All atopic eczema | All atopic eczema plus | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | |
| | Not stated whether they were | | | | respiratory or gastrointestinal | 89 | 57 | 73 | 80 | _ |
| | suspected of having food allergy. | (placebo challenge results NR) | | | symptoms | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | |
| | | | | | | 90 | 57 | 60 | 89 | _ |
| | | | | | | (delayed reaction) | (delayed reaction) | (delayed reaction) | (delayed reaction) | |
| Sampson 1997 ¹⁶⁷ | 196 children and adolescents, aged 0.6-17.9 years with atopic eczema, 'approximately' 50% of whom | 46% (50% to milk, 73% egg, 49% peanut, 28% soya, | 100%* | NR | NR | 98 | 53 | 85 | 90 | |
| EL=DS III | also had asthma and allergic rhinitis. | 22% wheat, 55% fish) | | | | | | | | |
| Related (earlier) publication (including 40 of the children, Sampson 1984 ¹⁶⁸) | It is not clear whether all were suspected of having food allergy, but some were based on the comments made regarding use of DB and open food challenges. | | | | | | | | | |
| Vierrucci 1989 ⁵⁴² | 35 children aged 0-5 years | 58% | NR | NR | NR | 100 | 25 | 60 | 75 | |
| EL=DS III | with atopic eczema | (65% milk, 67% egg, 22% tomato, 56% peanut) | | | | | | | | |
| Van Bever 1989 ¹⁷⁰ | 25 children aged 5 moths to 14 years (mean 3.5 ears) with severe atopic eczema, | 47% | 47% | NR | NR | 25 | 100 | 100 | 36 | |
| EL=DS III | persistent for several months and unresponsive to topical treatments and antihistamines. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Any history of food allergy was not was not considered in the selection of children for testing. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 'Virtually all' had undergone elimination diets | | | | | | | | | |
| Mehl 2006 ¹⁸¹ | 437 consecutive referrals for | 66% (n=193) | NR | NR | NR | 93 | 54 | 79 | 81 | |

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for egg | | | Comments |
|-----------|---|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| EL=DS III | suspected food allergy. Children aged 3 months – 14 years (median=13 months). 90% had AE | (DB and open challenge) | | | | | | | | |

Diagnostic accuracy of IgE compared to a DBPCFC for detection of egg allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic ad | ccuracy for egg | | | Comments |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Roehr 2001 ¹⁶⁵ | 98 children aged 2 months to | 55% | 49% | 26% | 25% | 96 | 36 | 75 | 83 | *IgE results for a cut |
| EL=DS III | 11.2 years with atopic eczema (62% mild, 28% | (64% milk, 67% egg, 51% wheat, 16% soya) | | All atopic eczema | All atopic eczema plus respiratory | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | off of 17.5kU/L were also reported |
| | moderate, and 10% severe). Not stated whether they | | | | or gastrointestinal | 94 | 36 | 65 | 83 | _ |
| | were suspected of having food allergy. | (placebo challenge results NR) | | | symptoms | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | |
| | | | | | | *28 | *100 | *100 | *52 | |
| | | | | | | 100 | 38 | 53 | 100 | |
| | | | | | | (delayed reaction) | (delayed reaction) | (delayed reaction) | (delayed reaction) | |
| | | | | | | *20 | *100 | *100 | *64 | |
| Niggemann | 107 children aged 5 months | 51% | 70% | 25% | 5% | 95 | 38 | 79 | 75 | See cow's milk |
| 1999166 | to 12 years with persistent moderate-severe atopic | (51% milk, 70% egg, 44% wheat, 16% soya) | (64% of milk challenges, 82% | (28% of milk challenges, | (8% of milk challenges, 2% | | | | | |
| EL=DS II | eczema, and suspected food-related worsening of | (-la-a-ha-a-halla-a-a-a-a-a-ha- | of egg, 47% of wheat, 57% of | 16% of egg, 47% of wheat, | of egg, 6% of wheat, 0% of | | | | | |
| | eczema or immediate-type clinical reactions by parents and/or referring doctor. | (placebo challenge results NR) | soya) | 43% of soya) | soya) | | | | | |
| | 'usual' treatments had been | | Of 89% of early | Of 89% of | Of 92% of | | | | | |
| | used, and 'no specific diets | | reactions | delayed | combined | | | | | |
| | had been tried in the vast majority of children' (no | | manifest as skin reactions, 58% | reactions manifest as | reactions manifest as skin | | | | | |
| | further details) | | were manifest as | skin reactions, | reactions, 83% | | | | | |
| | , | | eczema, and | 76% were | were manifest as | | | | | |
| | | | 23% as combined | manifest as eczema, and | eczema, and 17% as | | | | | |
| | | | eczema and | 10% as | combined | | | | | |
| | | | urticaria | combined | eczema and | | | | | |
| | | | | eczema and urticaria | urticaria | | | | | |
| Sampson 1997 ¹⁶⁷ | 196 children and | 46% | 100%* | NR | NR | 98 | 45 | 84 | 88 | |
| | adolescents, aged 0.6-17.9 | (50% to milk, 73% egg, | | | • | - - | | | - - | |
| EL=DS III | years with atopic eczema, | 49% peanut, 28% soya, | | | | | | | | |
| | 'approximately' 50% of whom also had asthma and | 22% wheat, 55% fish) | | | | | | | | |
| Related (earlier) | allergic rhinitis. | | | | | | | | | |
| publication | It is not clear whether all | | | | | | | | | |
| (including 40 of | were suspected of having | | | | | | | | | |

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic ac | curacy for egg | | | Comments |
|--|---|--|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| the children, Sampson 1984 ¹⁶⁸) | food allergy, but some were based on the comments made regarding use of DB and open food challenges. | | | | | | | | | |
| Mehl 2006 ¹⁸¹ EL=DS III | 437 consecutive referrals for suspected food allergy. Children aged 3 months – 14 years (median=13 months). | 66% (n=193) (DB and open challenge) | NR | NR | NR | 96 | 48 | 79 | 85 | |

Fish

Diagnostic accuracy of an atopy patch test compared to a DBPCFC for detection of fish allergy No studies

Diagnostic accuracy of a skin prick test compared to a DBPCFC for detection of fish allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for fish | | | Comments |
|--|--|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| Sampson | 196 children and adolescents, | 46% | 100%* | NR | NR | 90 | 57 | 77 | 80 | |
| 1997 ¹⁶⁷ | aged 0.6-17.9 years with atopic eczema, | (50% to milk, 73% egg, 49% peanut, 28% soya, | | | | | | | | |
| EL=DS III | 'approximately' 50% of whom also had asthma and allergic rhinitis. | 22% wheat, 55% fish) | | | | | | | | |
| Related (earlier) publication (including 40 of the children, Sampson 1984 ¹⁶⁸) | It is not clear whether all were suspected of having food allergy, but some were based on the comments made regarding use of DB and open food challenges. | | | | | | | | | |

Diagnostic accuracy of IgE compared to a DBPCFC for detection of fish allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for fish | | | Comments |
|---|---|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| Sampson 1997 ¹⁶⁷ | 196 children and | 46% | 100%* | NR | NR | 94 | 65 | 49 | 97 | |
| EL=DS III Related (earlier) | adolescents, aged 0.6-17.9 years with atopic eczema, 'approximately' 50% of whom also had asthma and allergic rhinitis. | (50% to milk, 73% egg, 49% peanut, 28% soya, 22% wheat, 55% fish) | | | | | | | | |
| publication (including 40 of the children, Sampson 1984 ¹⁶⁸) | It is not clear whether all were suspected of having food allergy, but some were based on the comments made regarding use of DB and open food challenges. | | | | | | | | | |

Peanut

Diagnostic accuracy of an atopy patch test compared to a DBPCFC for detection of peanut allergy No studies

Diagnostic accuracy of a skin prick test compared to a DBPCFC for detection of peanut allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic ad | curacy for peanu | t | | Comments |
|---|--|--|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| Sampson 1997 ¹⁶⁷ EL=DS III | 196 children and adolescents, aged 0.6-17.9 years with atopic eczema, 'approximately' 50% of whom also had asthma and allergic rhinitis. | 46% (50% to milk, 73% egg, 49% peanut, 28% soya, 22% wheat, 55% fish) | 100%* | NR | NR | 90 | 29 | 55 | 75 | |
| Related (earlier) publication (including 40 of the children, Sampson 1984 ¹⁶⁸) | It is not clear whether all were suspected of having food allergy, but some were based on the comments made regarding use of DB and open food challenges. | | | | | | | | | |
| Vierrucci 1989 ⁵⁴² | 35 children aged 0-5 years | 58% | NR | NR | NR | 100 | 50 | 83 | 50 | |
| EL=DS III | with atopic eczema | (65% milk, 67% egg, 22% tomato, 56% peanut) | | | | | | | | |

Diagnostic accuracy of IgE compared to a DBPCFC for detection of peanut allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic accur | racy for peanut | | | Comments |
|--|--|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| Sampson 1997 ¹⁶⁷ | 196 children and adolescents, | 46% | 100%* | NR | NR | 97 | 38 | 78 | 85 | |
| EL=DS III | aged 0.6-17.9 years with atopic eczema, 'approximately' 50% of whom also had asthma and allergic rhinitis. | (50% to milk, 73% egg, 49% peanut, 28% soya, 22% wheat, 55% fish) | | | | | | | | |
| Related (earlier) publication (including 40 of the children, Sampson 1984 ¹⁶⁸) | It is not clear whether all were suspected of having food allergy, but some were based on the comments made regarding use of DB and open food challenges. | | | | | | | | | |

Soya

Diagnostic accuracy of an atopy patch test compared to a DBPCFC for detection of soya allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic ac | curacy for soya | | | Comments |
|---------------------------|--|--|--------------------|----------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|---|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| Roehr 2001 ¹⁶⁵ | 98 children aged 2 months to | 55% | 49% | 26% | 25% | 75 | 86 | 50 | 95 | Results shown are for |
| EL=DS III | 11.2 years with atopic eczema (62% mild, 28% moderate, and 10% severe). Not stated whether they were suspected of having food allergy. | (64% milk, 67% egg, 51% wheat, 16% soya) (placebo challenge results NR) | | All atopic eczema | All atopic eczema plus respiratory or gastrointestinal symptoms | | | | | any reaction (immediate or delayed) |
| Mehl 2006 ¹⁸¹ | 437 consecutive referrals for | 26% (n=180) | NR | NR | NR | 23 | 86 | 30 | 82 | |
| EL=DS III | suspected food allergy. Children aged 3 months – 14 years (median=13 months). 90% had AE | (DB and open challenge) | | | | | | | | |

Diagnostic accuracy of a skin prick test compared to a DBPCFC for detection of soya allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic accu | racy for soya | | | Comments |
|---|---|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------|--------------------|---------|------------|---|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Roehr 2001 ¹⁶⁵ EL=DS III | 98 children aged 2 months to 11.2 years with atopic eczema (62% mild, 28% moderate, and 10% severe). Not stated whether they were suspected of having food allergy. | 55% (64% milk, 67% egg, 51% wheat, 16% soya) (placebo challenge results NR) | 49% | 26% All atopic eczema | 25% All atopic eczema plus respiratory or gastrointestinal symptoms | 50 | 90 | 50 | 90 | Results shown are for any reaction (immediate or delayed) |
| Sampson 1997 ¹⁶⁷ EL=DS III | 196 children and adolescents, aged 0.6-17.9 years with atopic eczema, 'approximately' 50% of whom also had asthma and allergic rhinitis. | 46% (50% to milk, 73% egg, 49% peanut, 28% soya, 22% wheat, 55% fish) | 100%* | NR | NR | 76 | 47 | 35 | 84 | |
| Related (earlier) publication (including 40 of the children, Sampson 1984 ¹⁶⁸) | It is not clear whether all were suspected of having food allergy, but some were based on the comments made regarding use of DB and open food challenges. | | | | | | | | | |
| Mehl 2006 ¹⁸¹ EL=DS III | 437 consecutive referrals for suspected food allergy. Children aged 3 months – 14 years (median=13 months). 90% had AE | 26% (n=180) (DB and open challenge) | NR | NR | NR | 29 | 85 | 33 | 82 | |

Diagnostic accuracy of IgE compared to a DBPCFC for detection of soya allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic ac | curacy for soya | | | Comments |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--------------------|-----------------|------------|---------|--|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Roehr 2001 ¹⁶⁵ EL=DS III | 98 children aged 2 months to 11.2 years with atopic eczema (62% mild, 28% moderate, and 10% severe). Not stated whether they were suspected of having food allergy. | 55% (64% milk, 67% egg, 51% wheat, 16% soya) (placebo challenge results NR) | 49% | 26% All atopic eczema | 25% All atopic eczema plus respiratory or gastrointestinal symptoms | 75 | 52 | 23 | 92 | Results shown are for any reaction (immediate or delayed) |
| Niggemann 1999 ¹⁶⁶ EL=DS II | 107 children aged 5 months to 12 years with persistent moderate-severe atopic eczema, and suspected foodrelated worsening of eczema or immediate-type clinical reactions by parents and/or referring doctor. 'usual' treatments had been used, and 'no specific diets had been tried in the vast majority of children' (no further details) | 51% (51% milk, 70% egg, 44% wheat, 16% soya) (placebo challenge results NR) | 70% (64% of milk challenges, 82% of egg, 47% of wheat, 57% of soya) Of 89% of early reactions manifest as skin reactions, 58% were manifest as eczema, and 23% as combined eczema and urticaria | 25% (28% of milk challenges, 16% of egg, 47% of wheat, 43% of soya) Of 89% of delayed reactions manifest as skin reactions, 76% were manifest as eczema, and 10% as combined eczema and urticaria | 5% (8% of milk challenges, 2% of egg, 6% of wheat, 0% of soya) Of 92% of combined reactions manifest as skin reactions, 83% were manifest as eczema, and 17% as combined eczema and urticaria | 100 | 26 | 23 | 100 | See cow's milk |
| Sampson 1997 ¹⁶⁷ EL=DS III | 196 children and adolescents, aged 0.6-17.9 years with atopic eczema, 'approximately' 50% of whom also had asthma and allergic rhinitis. | 46% (50% to milk, 73% egg, 49% peanut, 28% soya, 22% wheat, 55% fish) | 100%* | NR | NR | 94 | 25 | 21 | 95 | |
| Related (earlier) publication (including 40 of the children, | It is not clear whether all were suspected of having food allergy, but some were based on the comments made | | | | | | | | | |

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic ac | curacy for soya | | | Comments |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------|---------|--------------|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Sampson 1984 ¹⁶⁸) | regarding use of DB and open food challenges. | | | | | | | | | |
| Mehl 2006 ¹⁸¹ EL=DS III | 437 consecutive referrals for suspected food allergy. Children aged 3 months – 14 years (median=13 months). 90% had | 26% (n=180) (DB and open challenge) | NR | NR | NR | 65 | 50 | 22 | 86 | |

Wheat

Diagnostic accuracy of an atopy patch test compared to a DBPCFC for detection of wheat allergy

| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|--|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---|
| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for whe | eat | | Comments |
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Both immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Majamaa 1999 ¹⁶² | 39 children aged under 2 years | 56% overall (67% of the DB | 23% (of the positive | 77% (of the positive | NR | 86 (95% CI 65, 97)* | 35 (95% CI 14, 62)* | 63 (95% | 67 (95% CI 30, | Antihistamines discontinued for 3 days-6 weeks before test. |
| EL=DS II | who were suspected of having wheat allergy; 36 had | challenges, and 40% of the open challenges) | DB challenges) | DB challenges, of which 6/17 were atopic | | , | , , | CI 44, 80)* | 93)* | It is not stated whether the eczema was clear/controlled before the test. |
| | atopic eczema | 0 for placebo | | eczema, and 10/17 both atopic eczema and | | | | | | A cereal-elimination diet was used for at least 3-4 weeks. |
| | | challenge | | gastrointestinal symptoms, 1/17 had diarrhoea) | | | | | | Children with delayed-type reactions were primarily challenged in the double-blind challenge (n=24), and those with immediate type reactions in an open challenge (n=15). |
| | | | | | | | | | | Placebo: amino-acid derived substitute (Neocate). Test preparation: same as placebo + wheat flour in water. 1-week challenge period; follow-up at weeks 1 and 2. A positive reaction was not defined. |
| | | | | | | | | | | For patch testing a porridge was made of saline, milk powder, lyophilised egg white, wheat, barley, rye, oats, and soya flour. This was left under occlusion for 48 hours and read 15 minutes after removing the patch, and at 72 hours. A negative reaction was defined as no visible or palpable change on the skin, and a positive test as clear redness with palpable infiltration. |
| | | | | | | | | | | It is unclear whether food challenge was done blind to the results of the other tests. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Unknown whether the sensitivity and specificity data represent those who had either or both immediate or delayed reactions. |
| | | | | | | | | | | *Results were presented for all children, that is data for both open and DB challenges were only reported as |

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for whe | eat | | Comments |
|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|---|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Both immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | combined data. |
| Roehr 2001 ¹⁶⁵ | 98 children aged 2 months to 11.2 | 55% (64% milk, | 49% | 26% | 25% | 89 | 94 | 94 | 89 | Results shown are for any reaction (immediate or delayed) |
| EL=DS III | years with atopic eczema (62% mild, 28% moderate, and 10% severe). | 67% egg, 51% wheat, 16% soya) | | All atopic eczema | All atopic eczema plus respiratory or gastrointestin al symptoms | | | | | ,, |
| | Not stated whether they were suspected of having food allergy. | (placebo challenge results NR) | | | | | | | | |
| Mehl 2006 ¹⁸¹ | 437 consecutive referrals for | 36% (n=159) (DB and open | NR | NR | NR | 27 | 89 | 58 | 69 | |
| EL=DS III | suspected food allergy. Children aged 3 months – 14 years (median=13 months). 90% had AE | challenge) | | | | | | | | |

Diagnostic accuracy of a skin prick test compared to a DBPCFC for detection of wheat allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for whe | at | | Comments |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Majamaa 1999 ¹⁶² EL=DS II | 39 children aged under 2 years who were suspected of having wheat allergy; 36 had atopic eczema | 56% overall (67% of the DB challenges, and 40% of the open challenges) | 23% (of the positive DB challenges) | 77% (of the positive DB challenges, of which 6/17 were atopic eczema, and 10/17 both atopic eczema and gastrointestinal symptoms, 1/17 had diarrhoea) | NR | 23 (95% CI 9, 46)* | 100 (95% CI 80, 99)* | 100 (95% CI 48, 98)* | 50 (95% CI 33, 68)* | See atopy patch test table for more study details. Commercially available cow's milk, egg, fish, soya, pea allergens, 200mg of cereal flours, and soya flour diluted in saline for prick testing. Histamine was the positive control. Reactions read at 15 minutes. The test was positive if the mean diameter of the wheal was at least 3mm and the negative control (not specified) was 0 at the same time. *Results were presented for all children, that is data for both open and DB challenges were only reported as combined data. |
| Roehr 2001 ¹⁶⁵ EL=DS III | 98 children aged 2 months to 11.2 years with atopic eczema (62% mild, 28% moderate, and 10% severe). Not stated whether they were suspected of having food allergy. | 55% (64% milk, 67% egg, 51% wheat, 16% soya) (placebo challenge results NR) | 49% | 26% All atopic eczema | 25% All atopic eczema plus respiratory or gastrointes tinal symptoms | 67 | 53 | 60 | 60 | Results shown are for any reaction (immediate or delayed) |
| Sampson 1997 ¹⁶⁷ EL=DS III Related (earlier) publication (including 40 of the children, Sampson 1984 ¹⁶⁸) | 196 children and adolescents, aged 0.6-17.9 years with atopic eczema, 'approximately' 50% of whom also had asthma and allergic rhinitis. It is not clear whether all were suspected of having food allergy, but some were based on the comments made regarding use of DB and open food challenges. | 46% (50% to milk, 73% egg, 49% peanut, 28% soya, 22% wheat, 55% fish) | 100%* | NR | NR | 90 | 51 | 35 | 94 | |

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for whe | eat | | Comments |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|------------|----------|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Mehl 2006 ¹⁸¹ EL=DS III | 437 consecutive referrals for suspected food allergy. Children aged 3 months – 14 years (median=13 months). 90% had AE | 36% (n=159) (DB and open challenge | NR | NR | NR | 75 | 64 | 49 | 85 | |

Diagnostic accuracy of IgE compared to a DBPCFC for detection of wheat allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for whea | ıt | | Comments |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| Majamaa 1999 ¹⁶² EL=DS II | 39 children aged under 2 years who were suspected of having wheat allergy; 36 had atopic eczema | 56% overall (67% of the DB challenges, and 40% of the open challenges) 0 for placebo challenge | 23% (of the positive DB challenges) | 77% (of the positive DB challenges, of which 6/17 were atopic eczema, and 10/17 both atopic eczema and gastrointestinal symptoms, 1/17 had diarrhoea) | NR | 20 (95% CI 7, 44)* | 93 (95% CI 66, 100)* | 80 (95% CI 28, 99)* | 45 (95% CI 26, 64)* | See atopy patch test table for more study details. A positive IgE level (using a RAST assay) was not defined. It was reported that an elevated wheat-specific IgE level was seen in 20% of those with challenge-proven wheat allergy (levels 0.7-6.5 kU/I). *Results were presented for all children, that is data for both open and DB challenges were only reported as combined data. |
| Roehr 2001 ¹⁶⁵ EL=DS III | 98 children aged 2 months to 11.2 years with atopic eczema (62% mild, 28% moderate, and 10% severe). Not stated whether they were suspected of having food allergy. | 55% (64% milk, 67% egg, 51% wheat, 16% soya) (placebo challenge results NR) | 49% | 26% All atopic eczema | 25% All atopic eczema plus respiratory or gastrointestinal symptoms | 67 | 47 | 57 | 57 | Results shown are for any reaction (immediate or delayed) |
| Niggemann 1999 ¹⁶⁶ EL=DS II | 107 children aged 5 months to 12 years with persistent moderate-severe atopic eczema, and suspected food-related worsening of eczema or immediate-type clinical reactions by parents and/or referring doctor. 'usual' treatments had been used, and 'no specific diets had been tried in the vast majority of children' (no further details) | 51% (51% milk, 70% egg, 44% wheat, 16% soya) (placebo challenge results NR) | 70% (64% of milk challenges, 82% of egg, 47% of wheat, 57% of soya) Of 89% of early reactions manifest as skin reactions, 58% were manifest as eczema, and 23% as combined | 25% (28% of milk challenges, 16% of egg, 47% of wheat, 43% of soya) Of 89% of delayed reactions manifest as skin reactions, 76% were manifest as eczema, and 10% as combined eczema and urticaria | 6% (8% of milk challenges, 2% of egg, 6% of wheat, 0% of soya) Of 92% of combined reactions manifest as skin reactions, 83% were manifest as eczema, and 17% as combined eczema and urticaria | 80 | 6 | 43 | 25 | See cow's milk |

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for whea | at | | Comments |
|--|--|--|----------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|----------|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| | | | eczema and urticaria | | | | | | | |
| Sampson 1997 ¹⁶⁷ | 196 children and | 46% | 100%* | NR | NR | 96 | 20 | 14 | 97 | |
| EL=DS III | adolescents, aged 0.6- 17.9 years with atopic eczema, 'approximately' 50% of | (50% to milk, 73% egg, 49% peanut, 28% soya, 22% wheat, 55% fish) | | | | | | | | |
| Related (earlier) publication (including 40 of | whom also had asthma and allergic rhinitis. | | | | | | | | | |
| the children, Sampson 1984 ¹⁶⁸) | It is not clear whether all were suspected of having food allergy, but some were based on the comments made regarding use of DB and open food challenges. | | | | | | | | | |
| Mehl 2006 ¹⁸¹ | 437 consecutive | 36% (n=159) | NR | NR | NR | 82 | 34 | 41 | 77 | |
| EL=DS III | referrals for suspected food allergy. Children aged 3 months – 14 years (median=13 months). 90% had AE | (DB and open challenge) | | | | | | | | |

Tomato

Diagnostic accuracy of an atopy patch test compared to a DBPCFC for detection of tomato allergy No studies

Diagnostic accuracy of a skin prick test compared to a DBPCFC for detection of tomato allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic ac | curacy for tomato |) | | Comments | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|----------|--|--|--|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ | | | |
| Vierrucci 1989 ⁵⁴² | 35 children aged 0-5 years | 58% | NR | NR | NR | 100 | 66 | 40 | 100 | | | | |
| | with atopic eczema | (65% milk, 67% egg, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EL=DS III | | 22% tomato, 56% peanut) | | | | | | | | | | | |

Diagnostic accuracy of IgE compared to a DBPCFC for detection of tomato allergy

No studies

Studies for which a range of allergens were tested but accuracy data not reported for each allergen separately

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic acc | uracy for vario | us food alle | ergens | Comments |
|--|---|--|------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediat e reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Niggemann 2000 ¹⁶³ EL=DS II | 75 children aged 4 months-12.5 year with suspected food- related symptoms; 69 | 58% of the DB challenges (66% of those tested with egg, 65% cow's milk, | 51% | 27% (all were exacerbations of eczema) | 22% (all included exacerbations of eczema) | Atopy patch test: 55 (any reaction) | Atopy patch test: 95 (any reaction) | Atopy patch test: 93 (any reaction) | Atopy patch test: 60 (any reaction) | 'when necessary 'skin was cleared before (no further details) 209 oral challenges were undertaken with children as hospital inpatients. The food allergens tested were hen's egg, cow's milk, wheat, soya. The clinician undertaking the |
| (Related (92%) had atopic eczema. Niggemann During their hospital stay they were on an exclusion diet of extensively hydrolysed casein formula, or an amino-acid based formula. | zema. 27% soya) ring their spital stay they re on an clusion diet of tensively drolysed sein formula, an amino-acid | | | | 33 (immediate reaction) | 95 (immediate reaction) | 81 (immedi ate reaction) | 67 (immediate reaction) | test was blind to the results of skin testing and IgE. Placebo: amino-acid derived substitute (Neocate). Tests preparation: every 48 hours successive doses of | |
| | | extensively hydrolysed easein formula, or an amino-acid | | | 76 (delayed reaction) | 95 (delayed reaction) | 81 (delaye d reaction) | 93 (delayed reaction) | — fresh pasteurised cow's milk (containing soyabean milk), raw hen's egg, and wheat powder were given. Provocation was stopped if symptoms appeared or if the maximum dose was reached. Test positive if clinical reactions observed such as urticaria, angioedema, wheezing, vomiting, diarrhoea, or exacerbation of eczema (defined as an | |
| | | | | | | Skin prick test: | Skin prick test: | Skin prick | Skin prick test: | increase in SCORAD score of 10 points or more). |
| | | | | | | 83 (any reaction) | 70 (any reaction) | test: 79 (any reaction) | 75 (any reaction) | Antihistamines were withdrawn at least 3 days before testing. TCS were allowed twice a day (hydrocortisone 1% or betametasone valerate 0.3% twice daily, but not 48 hours before patch testing). |
| | | | | | 95 (immediate reaction) | 70 (immediate reaction) | 69 (immedi ate reaction) | 95 (immediate reaction) | Atopy patch test: cow's milk, hen's egg, wheat, soyabean milk; occlusion for 48 hours, results read after 20 minutes and again at 72 hours. Positive test if erythema plus clear | |
| | | | | | 58 (delayed reaction) | 70 (delayed reaction) | 41 (delaye d reaction) | 81 (delayed reaction) | infiltration occurred. Skin prick test: cow's milk, hen's egg, wheat, soyabean milk; reactions read at 15 minutes, positive if the wheal was 3mm or more without reaction of negative control (not | |
| | | | | | | IgE: | lgE: | lgE: | lgE: | specified). Histamine was used as the positive control. |
| | | | | | | 86 (any reaction) | 29 (any reaction) | 62 (any reaction) | 59 (any reaction) | IgE (specific to cow's milk, egg, wheat and soya) measured using the CAP system; positive if the level was higher than |
| | | | | | | 95 (immediate reaction) | 29 (immediate | 62 (immedi ate | 59 (immediate | ─ 0.35 kU/l. |

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic acc | uracy for vario | us food alle | rgens | Comments |
|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediat e reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| | | | | | | | reaction) 29 (delayed reaction) | reaction) 37 (delaye d reaction) | reaction) | _ |
| | | | | | | 71 (delayed reaction) | | | 72 (delayed reaction) | _ |
| Niggemann 1999 ¹⁶⁶ | 107 children aged 5 months to 12 years with | 51% (51% milk, | 70% (64% of | 25% (28% of milk | 5% (8% of milk | lgE: | lgE: | IgE: | lgE: | The diagnostic accuracy data for 'all' (assumed this means any) of the four allergens tested was reported in this study (as well as data for each allergen). |
| EL=DS II | persistent moderate-severe atopic eczema, and suspected food-related worsening of eczema or immediate-type clinical reactions by parents and/or referring doctor. 'usual' treatments had been used, and 'no specific diets had been tried in the vast majority of children' (no further details) | 70% egg, 44% wheat, 16% soya) (placebo challenge results NR) | milk challenges , 82% of egg, 47% of wheat, 57% of soya) Of 89% of early reactions manifest as skin reactions, 58% were manifest as eczema, and 23% as combined eczema and urticaria | challenges, 16% of egg, 47% of wheat, 43% of soya) Of 89% of delayed reactions manifest as skin reactions, 76% were manifest as eczema, and 10% as combined eczema and urticaria | challenges, 2% of egg, 6% of wheat, 0% of soya) Of 92% of combined reactions manifest as skin reactions, 83% were manifest as eczema, and 17% as combined eczema and urticaria | 90 | 30 | 59 | 73 | The four allergens were cow's milk, hen's egg, wheat, and soya. IgE (specific to cow's milk, egg, wheat and soya) measured using the CAP system; positive if the level was higher than 0.35 kU/l. It was reported that the specificity for all (any) allergen fell with increasing age (33% for children aged 0-24 months, 29% for 25-48 months and 26% for older children) Results represent any reaction (immediate or delayed). |
| Breuer 2004 ¹⁶⁹ | 64 children aged 1-10 years with mild to severe atopic eczema, and suspected of having food- related worsening of atopic eczema or immediate type | 10 years with ild to severe opic eczema, and suspected of aving food-lated orsening of opic eczema or 3.8% for (40% to cow's milk, 62% cow's milk, 53% 33% wheat, 35% egg, 22% 0% so soya) wheat, 50% soya) (all de reacti | 12% (13% to cow's milk, 5% egg, 33% wheat, 0% soya) | 45% (47% to cow's milk, 42% egg, 44% wheat, 50% soya) | Atopy patch test (APT): 70 (any reaction) APT: | APT: 41 (any reaction) APT: | APT: 45 (any reaction) APT: | APT: 67 (any reaction) APT: | Antihistamines discontinued 72 hours before test. Use of emollients and mild TCS (no further details) continued during the study. It is not stated whether the eczema was clear/controlled before the test The foods suspected of causing the food allergy were | |
| | | | (86% | reactions were eczema) | (all delayed reactions | 67 (immediate | 38 (immediate | 38 (immedi | 67 (immediate | excluded from the diet for 4 weeks prior to the food challenge. |

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic acc | uracy for vario | us food alle | ergens | Comments |
|-------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---|---|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediat e reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| | reactions to foods by their parents and/or | challenge | involved the skin only, 12% | | were eczema) | reaction) | reaction) | ate reaction) | reaction) | The four allergens were cow's milk, hen's egg, wheat, and soya. |
| | referring doctor. | | skin and | | | APT: | APT: | APT: | APT: | Food challenges: |
| | | | respiratory tract, and | | | 67 | 38 | 24 | 79 | Placebo: soya hydrolysate mixed with blackcurrant flavour |
| | | | 2% skin | | | (delayed | (delayed | (delaye | (delayed reaction) | (Pregomin). 52 challenges were undertaken. |
| | | | and gastrointe stinal | | | · | reaction) | d reaction) | | Test preparation: 106 food challenges were undertaken, using fresh pasteurised cow's milk, egg, powder, wheat gluten, and soya milk (all mixed in soya hydrolysate with |
| | | | tract) | | | IgE: | lgE: | lgE: | IgE: | blackcurrant flavour). |
| | | | | | | | | | | 33 were challenged with one food, 21 with two, 9 with three, and 1 with all four. Successive, increasing, doses of these |
| | | | | | | 76 | 63 | 64 | 75 | foods were administered every 30 minutes. On the second |
| | | | | | | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | day the full doses were given all at once. Children were observed for 48 hours. An early reaction included symptoms such as urticaria, angioedema, vomiting, rhinitis, bronchial |
| | | | | | | lgE: | lgE: | lgE: | IgE: | obstruction, that occurring within 6 hours. A positive late |
| | | | | | | 77 | 60 | 57 | 79 | reaction was defined as an increase of 10 SCORAD points or more, occurring after 6 hours. |
| | | | | | | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | (immedi ate reaction | (immediate reaction) | |
| | | | | | | | |) | | Patch test: using fresh pasteurised cow's milk, hen's egg powder, wheat gluten and soya milk. Test left under |
| | | | | | | IgE: | lgE: | lgE: | IgE: | occlusion for 24 hours then checked 30 minutes after |
| | | | | | | 68 | 50 | 33 | 81 | removing the occlusion, and 24 hours and 48 hours thereafter. A positive reaction was defined as erythema with |
| | | | | | | (delayed reaction) | (delayed reaction) | (delaye d reaction | (delayed reaction) | infiltration. |
| | | | | | | | |) | | The Pharmacia CAP system was used to measure specific IgE levels. The detection limit was 35 kU/l; children were regarded as sensitised if their IgE levels were above the detection limit. |
| | | | | | | | | | It is unclear whether food challenge was done blind to the results of the other tests. | |
| | | | | | | | | | It was reported that sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were higher in children under 2 years of age (86%, 74%, 75% and 95% respectively) compared to those aged 2 or above (70%, 57%, 56% and 71% respectively) | |

Combined data

| Study | Allergen | Tests | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) |
|------------------------------|------------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------|---------|---------|
| | (any type of reaction) | | | | | |
| Isolauri 1996 ¹⁶¹ | Cow's milk | Atopy patch + skin prick (in parallel) | 86 | 72 | NR | NR |
| | Cow's milk | Atopy patch + skin prick (serially) | 24 | 94 | NR | NR |
| Roehr 2001 ¹⁶⁵ | Cow's milk | Atopy patch + skin prick | 74 | 100 | 100 | 74 |
| | Cow's milk | Atopy patch + IgE | 79 | 100 | 100 | 64 |
| | Cow's milk | Skin prick + IgE | 85 | 56 | 83 | 60 |
| | Cow's milk | Atopy patch + skin prick + IgE | 81 | 100 | 100 | 67 |
| | Egg | Atopy patch + skin prick | 84 | 89 | 94 | 73 |
| | Egg | Atopy patch + IgE | 94 | 83 | 94 | 83 |
| | Egg | Skin prick + IgE | 96 | 43 | 86 | 75 |
| | Egg | Atopy patch + skin prick + IgE | 94 | 75 | 94 | 75 |
| | Wheat | Atopy patch + skin prick | 86 | 90 | 92 | 82 |
| | Wheat | Atopy patch + IgE | 92 | 89 | 92 | 89 |
| | Wheat | Skin prick + IgE | 71 | 50 | 63 | 60 |
| | Wheat | Atopy patch + skin prick + IgE | 91 | 86 | 91 | 86 |
| | Soy | Atopy patch + skin prick | 67 | 100 | 100 | 94 |
| | Soy | Atopy patch + IgE | 100 | 83 | 50 | 100 |
| | Soy | Skin prick + IgE | 100 | 91 | 50 | 100 |
| | Soy | Atopy patch + skin prick + IgE | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Mehl 2006 ¹⁸¹ | Cow's milk | Atopy patch + skin prick | 69 | 97 | 92 | 86 |
| | Cow's milk | Atopy patch +lgE | 74 | 94 | 90 | 83 |
| | Cow's milk | Atopy patch + skin prick + IgE | 82 | 95 | 91 | 90 |
| | Egg | Atopy patch + skin prick | 85 | 89 | 92 | 80 |
| | Egg | Atopy patch +lgE | 91 | 83 | 91 | 83 |
| | Egg | Atopy patch + skin prick + IgE | 92 | 82 | 92 | 82 |
| | Wheat | Atopy patch + skin prick | 43 | 90 | 50 | 86 |
| | Wheat | Atopy patch +lgE | 62 | 81 | 65 | 78 |
| | Wheat | Atopy patch + skin prick + IgE | 60 | 85 | 60 | 85 |
| | Soy | Atopy patch + skin prick | 14 | 96 | 43 | 82 |
| | Soy | Atopy patch +lgE | 31 | 85 | 27 | 87 |
| | Soy | Atopy patch + skin prick + IgE | 20 | 93 | 33 | 87 |

Studies evaluating the diagnostic accuracy of atopy patch tests, skin prick tests and specific IgE levels compared to an open food challenge

Cow's milk

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic acc | curacy for cow's n | nilk | | Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------|---|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Both immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| udowska 005 ¹⁷¹ | 34 children aged 5 months – 16 years with atopic | months – 16 years children aged (50% in years of age) years of age) (under 3 with atopic under 3 (all in children years of | (under 3 | 22 (under 3 years of | Results in children under 3 years (n=20) and over 3 years of agr (n=14) were compared. 75% of those under 3 years had been on a milk-free diet, vs 369 | | | | | |
| L=DS III | eczema, and suspected allergy | years | (all in children under 3 | children under 3 years, 36% in children over 3 years; exacerbati ons of atopic eczema in 73%) | | 80 (over 3 years of age) | | age) | age) | in the older than 3 years group. |
| | to cow's milk and/or other foods | 36% in | years) | | | years or age/ | | 80 (over 3 years of age) | s 2 yèars | Antihistamines discontinued for an unspecified period before testing; TCS discontinued 48 hours before the test. Eczema was clear/controlled before the test. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Food challenges: increasing amounts of milk at 30 minute intervals, after a 1-month milk-free diet. The food was blinded in children aged over 1 year (in apple pulp or rice). Immediate reactions: those within 2 hours; children assessed by parents at home at 24 hours. Challenge discontinued when a clinical react was noted. |
| | | | | | | | | | | A positive reaction as recorded if one of the following occurred: skin eruptions, exacerbation of atopic skin lesions, oedema, urticaria, (other listed not reproduced here). |
| | | | | | | | | | | Patch testing: porridge made from isotonic saline and cow's mit powder, egg white, cereals, gliadin, soy, maize rice. 8mm diameter Finn chambers used for children aged under 3 years, and 12mm for those aged over 3 years. Microcrystalline cellulo used as a negative control. Sites checked after 20 minutes for immediate reactions and then left under occlusion for 48 hours; read 15 minutes after removing the patch, and at 72 hours. Reactions classified into four groups (no reaction, redness [doubtful reaction], redness and palpable infiltration [positive], redness, infiltration and vesicles [strong positive]). |

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | Diagnostic ac | curacy for cow's | milk | | Comments | |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|------------|--|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Both immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Skin prick test: milk powder containing 3% fat diluted in water; whisked egg white and yolk. (SPT with soy, wheat, banana, orange, sesame, arachides, fish, beef, chicken was also undertaken to detect co-sensitisation). Sodium chloride 0.9% was the negative control, and 9% codeine the positive control. A wheal diameter of 3mm was considered a positive result. |
| | | | | | | | | | | IgE: to cow's milk, egg white, soy, wheat, maize, rice using UniCAP; positive if the specific IgE level was higher than 0.70 kU/l. |
| | | | | | | | | | | It is unclear whether food challenge was done blind to the results of the other tests. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Results for immediate reactions to skin prick test / IgE were also reported - but it seems only in combination. |
| | | | | | | | | | | The diagnostic accuracy data quoted are for delayed reactions. |
| Stromberg | 141 children aged | 45% cow's | 13% milk, | 87% cow's | NR | 60 | 97 | 95 | 75 | Antihistamines discontinued 72 hours before test. |
| 2002 ¹⁷⁷ EL=DS III | 2 months-4 years (mean 16 months) with atopic | milk, 55% egg, 43% wheat, 43% | 14% egg, 3% wheat, 3% rye | milk, 86% egg, 97% wheat, | | | | | | It is not stated whether the eczema was clear/controlled before the test |
| EE 50 III | eczema, referred to an allergy unit for investigation | rye | | 97% rye | | | | Food challenges: undertaken after a 2-week elimination diet. For nursing mothers one food was reintroduced one at a time after an interval of at least 7 days. Children who were not breastfed were given increasing amounts of food in hospital then continued at home for 1 week unless obvious symptoms were noted earlier. The definition of a positive test was not explicit. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | An early reaction was that occurring within 2 hours, and a delayed reaction occurred after 2 hours. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Patch test: porridge of cow's milk powder, egg white, wheat or rye. Site checked for immediate reactions after 20 minutes, then left under occlusion for 48 hours and read 15 minutes after removing the patch, and at 72 hours. A positive reaction was defined as erythema with infiltration. Redness alone was regarded as negative. |
| | | | | | | | | | | It is unclear whether food challenge was done blind to the results of the other tests. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Skin prick tests were performed before eliminating any foods from |

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | Diagnostic ac | curacy for cow's | milk | | Comments | |
|-------|------------|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|--|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Both immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | the mother's or child's diet. They were conducted on the volar forearm, tested for low fat cow's milk, egg white, wheat and ry Histamine was used as a positive control. Reactions were rear after 15 minutes. A wheal of 3mm or more in diameter was considered positive. |

Diagnostic accuracy of a skin prick test compared to an open food challenge for detection of cow's milk allergy

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic acc | uracy for cow's n | nilk | | Comments |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Cantani 1995 ¹⁷² | 146 children aged 5-48 | 45% (44% to milk, 47% egg, | 14% (to milk) | 30% (to milk) | NR | 83 (any reaction) | 32 (any reaction) | 47 (any | 72 (any | It was not stated whether other treatments were permitted or discontinued before the test. Eczema was clear before |
| EL=DS III | months with atopic eczema | 50% other foods) | | | | () | () | reaction) | reaction) | the test. |
| | believed to be associated with food allergy | | | | | 88 (immediate reaction) | 28 (immediate reaction) | 19 (immedi ate reaction) | 92 (immedi ate reaction) | Food challenges: cow's milk or egg given in successive, increasing quantities. Immediate reaction; that occurring within 2 hours, delayed thereafter. Test continued at home, results gathered after 7-15 days. Other foods were tested (not specified). The food challenge testing was done 'independently' of the other tests, after a 4-6 week diet free of cow's milk and egg (cow's milk substitutes were given). |
| | | | | | | | | | | Skin prick test: to cow's milk, egg, wheat, fish, soy, Alternaria alternate, house dust mite (no details of type of food extract). Positive (histamine) and negative (glycerolsaline) controls were also applied. Reactions were read at 20 minutes. Four grades of a reactions were noted, based on ratio of the test wheal to the histamine wheal (half, same, twice, more than twice the size). |
| | | | | | | | | | | IgE: to cow's milk, egg, wheat, fish, soy, Alternaria alternate, house dust mite (no details of type of food extract), using PRSIT test. Positive if the total IgE level was higher than two SDs for the child's age. Specific IgE categorised into 4 groups (<0.35IU/ml, 0.35-0.7, 0.7-17, >17). |
| Stromberg 2002 ¹⁷⁷ | 141 children aged 2 months-4 years (mean 16 | 45% cow's milk, 55% egg, 43% wheat, | 13% milk, 14% egg, 3% wheat, 3% | 87% cow's milk, 86% egg, 97% | NR | 41 | 99 | 96 | 68 | |
| EL=DS III | months) with atopic eczema, referred to an allergy unit for investigation | 43% rye | rye | wheat, 97% rye | | | | | | |
| Cantani 2006 ¹⁷⁹ | 58 children aged 9 months-12 years with atopic | 29% to milk, 38% to egg, 28% to wheat | NR | NR | NR | 88 | 30 | 46 | 79 | Antihistamines and TCS were stopped at least 2 weeks before testing. |
| EL=DS III | eczema and food allergy (confirmed by | 2070 to wildat | | | | | | | | Food challenges: 58 were undertaken. Cow's milk, emulsified raw egg or wheat used in successive increasing |

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic ac | curacy for cow's | milk | | Comments |
|-------|--|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|---|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| | elimination of foods) | | | | | | | | | doses until any symptoms observed. Immediate – a reaction within 2 hours. |
| | Control group: 60 nonatopic children | | | | | | | | | The prick-prick test involves using a lancet to prick fresh foods and then immediately pricking the skin. Fresh, uncooked foods bought locally were used. The prick-prick test results were not compared to he open challenge results. Skin prick test: on volar arm. Histamine used as a positive control, isotonic saline as a negative control. A range of foods an inhalant allergens were tested. Both prick-prick and skin prick tests were read after 20 minutes – test positive if the wheal was at least twice the size of the histamine wheal (i.e. 3 mm diameter or more). |
| | | | | | | | | | | Diagnostic accuracy of IgE (RAST) was also reported but no information was given about IgE testing (method or definition of a positive test). |

Diagnostic accuracy of specific IgE compared to an open food challenge for detection of cow's milk allergy

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic accur | acy for cow's milk | | | Comments |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| Cantani 1995 ¹⁷² | 146 children | 45% (44% to | 14% (to | 30% (to | NR | 59 | 60 | 52 | 67 | Unclear what a positive test was – |
| | aged 5-48 | milk, 47% | milk) | milk) | | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | assumed mover than 0.35 IU/ml (but 4 |
| EL=DS III | months with atopic eczema | egg, 50% other foods) | | | | 71 | 56 | 24 | 91 | — classes were used above this level) |
| | believed to be associated with food allergy | outer todas) | | | | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | |

Egg

Diagnostic accuracy of an atopy patch test compared to an open food challenge for detection of egg allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic acc | uracy for egg | | | Comments |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Both immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Giusti 2005 ¹⁷⁴ | 85 children aged 6 months-14 years with | 31% had an 'eczematous | NR | NR | NR | 77 (all children) | 81 (all children) | 65 (all children) | 89 (all children) | Eczema was stable before the tests were undertaken. |
| EL=DS III | atopic eczema | response' (no further details) | | | | 70 (aged 6 months-2 years, n=21) | 73 (aged 6 months-2 years, n=21) | 70 (aged 6 months- 2 years, n=21) | 73 (aged 6 months-2 years, n=21) | Food challenge: undertaken after a 3-4 week diet free of milk egg and peanuts. Cooked egg given, in hospital to start then at home. |
| | | | | | | 75 (aged 3-6 years n=33) | 80 (aged 3-6 years n=33) | 55 (aged 3-6 years n=33) | 91 (aged 3- 6 years n=33) | Testing stopped after a clinical reaction (cutaneous, respiratory, or gastrointestinal) was observed. All children were examined on |
| | | | | | | 88 (aged 7-14 years n=31) | 87 (aged 7-14 years n=31) | 70 (aged 7-14 years n=31) | 95 (aged 7- 14 years n=31) | day 7 of the challenge. Atopy patch test: a 2:1 mixture of egg yolk or white and petrolatum oil was prepared every day; 20mg was applied to the back using large (not specified) Finn chambers, for 72 hours. Results were read 30 and 60 minutes after removal of the occlusion, and graded |
| | | | | | | | | | | into four categories based on presence or absence of erythema, oedema, and papules. A negative reaction was redness with no infiltration. Skin prick tests on the volar forearm with egg volk and white using commercial allergens. |
| Stromberg 2002 ¹⁷⁷ | 141 children aged 2 months-4 years (mean 16 months) with atopic | 45% cow's milk, 55% egg, 43% wheat, 43% rye | 13% milk, 14% egg, 3% wheat, 3% rye | 87% cow's milk, 86% | NR | 71 | 97 | 96 | 73 | John data within during commortal difference. |
| EL=DS III NR=not reported | eczema, referred to an allergy unit for investigation | | | egg, 97% wheat, 97% rye | | | | | | |

Diagnostic accuracy of a skin prick test compared to an open food challenge for detection of egg allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic acc | uracy for egg | | | Comments |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Cantani 1995 ¹⁷² | 146 children aged 5-48 | 45% (44% to | 20% (to | 27% (to | 2% | 91 | 32 | 46 | 85 | |
| EL=DS III | months with atopic eczema believed to be associated with food | milk, 47% egg, 50% other foods) | egg) | egg) | | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | |
| | allergy | 10005) | | | | 100 | 28 | 23 | 100 | _ |
| | a | | | | | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | (immediat e reaction) | |
| Giusti 2005 ¹⁷⁴ | 85 children aged 6 months-14 years with | 31% had an 'eczematous | NR | NR | NR | 46 (all children) | 93 (all children) | 75 (all children) | 80 (all children) | |
| EL=DS III | atopic eczema | response' (no further details) | | | | 60 (aged 6 months-2 years, n=21) | 100 (aged 6 months-2 years, n=21) | 100 (aged 6 months-2 years, n=21) | 73 (aged 6 months- 2 years, n=21) | |
| | | | | | | 63 (aged 3-6 years n=33) | 92 (aged 3-6 years n=33) | 71 (aged 3- 6 years n=33) | 89 (aged 3-6 years n=33) | |
| | | | | | | 13 (aged 7-14 years n=31) | 91 (aged 7-14 years n=31) | 33 (aged 7- 14 years n=31) | 75 (aged 7-14 years n=31) | _ |
| Monti 2002 ¹⁷⁵ | 107 children aged 1-19 | 67% | 57% | 21% | 6% | Egg white: | Egg white: | Egg white: | Egg white: | Antihistamines and corticosteroids (not stated |
| EL=DS III | months (mean 6 months) with atopic | (49% of those with mild | | early, 17% late | | 88 (3mm positive test) | 86 (3mm positive test) | 93 (3mm positive | 77 (3mm positive | whether topical) were stopped 15 days before testing. |
| - | eczema who had never eaten egg (directly or indirectly). | eczema, 79% of those with moderate, and 80% of those | | | | 63 (4mm positive test) | 91 (4mm positive test) | test) 94 (4mm | test) 54 (4mm | It was not reported whether the eczema was stable/controlled before the tests were undertaken. |
| | The challenges were undertaken when the children were aged 12- | with severe eczema). | | | | 18 (5mm positive test) | 100 (5mm positive test) | positive test) | positive test) | Food challenge: one raw egg given as a test dose. Positive reaction if clinical reactions observed (rash, urticaria, angioedema, |
| | 24 months. | 17% of reactions were exacerbation of | | | | | | 100 (5mm positive test) | 37 (5mm positive test) | eczema, or gastrointestinal respiratory, or ocular or cardiovascular effects). Immediate if appeared within 1 hour, early at 1-6 hours, and |
| | Atopic eczema was mild | | | | | | | | | late after the 6th hour. Children were |

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic acc | curacy for egg | | | Comments |
|---|--|---|--|---|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| | in 38%, moderate in 34%, and severe in 28% | eczema. | | | | Egg yolk: 67 (3mm positive test) 26 (4mm positive test) 4 (5mm positive test) | Egg yolk: 89 (3mm positive test) 94 (4mm positive test) 100 (5mm positive test) | Egg yolk: 92 (3mm positive test) 91 (4mm positive test) 100 (5mm positive test) | Egg yolk: 56 (3mm positive test) 38 (4mm positive test) 34 (5mm positive test) | discharged after 32 hours if no reaction – they continued to ingest egg every day for 8 days. Skin prick tests on the volar forearm with egg yolk and white using commercial allergens. Histamine was used as the positive control, and a glycerol-saline solution as a negative control. Wheal size to histamine was measured after 15 minutes, and to egg after 20 minutes. Results for a wheal size of 3, 4, and 5mm were given. Specific IgE levels using CAP RAST were measured. Results read as: negative 0-35 KU/L, borderline 0.35-0.69, positive 0.7-3.49, strong positive 3.5-17.49, highly positive 17.5-49, very highly positive 50-99, extremely highly positive if >99ku/l. |
| Stromberg 2002 ¹⁷⁷ EL=DS III | 141 children aged 2 months-4 years (mean 16 months) with atopic eczema, referred to an allergy unit for investigation | 45% cow's milk, 55% egg, 43% wheat, 43% rye | 13% milk, 14% egg, 3% wheat, 3% rye | 87% cow's milk, 86% egg, 97% | NR | 60 | 97 | 96 | 67 | represent any positive reaction. |
| | • | | | wheat, 97% rye | | | | | | |
| Cantani 2006 ¹⁷⁹ EL=DS III | 58 children aged 9 months-12 years with atopic eczema and food allergy (confirmed by elimination of foods) | 29% to milk, 38% to egg, 28% to wheat | NR | NR | NR | 95 | 38 | 60 | 88 | |
| NR=not reported | Control group: 60 nonatopic children | | | | | | | | | |

Diagnostic accuracy of IgE compared to an open food challenge for detection of egg allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic accur | racy for egg | | | Comments |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Cantani 1995 ¹⁷² | 146 children aged 5-48 | 45% (44% to milk, 47% | 20% (to egg) | 27% (to | 2% | 73 | 65 | 57 | 79 | Unclear what a positive |
| EL=DS III | months with atopic eczema believed to be associated | egg, 50% other foods) | | egg) | | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | (any reaction) | test was – assumed mover than 0.35 IU/ml (but — 4 classes were used |
| | with food allergy | | | | | 90 | 59 | 33 | 96 | above this level) |
| | | | | | | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | (immediate reaction) | |
| Monti 2002 ¹⁷⁵ | 107 children aged 1-19 | 67% | 57% | 21% early, | 6% | If IgE >99 ku/l | If IgE >99 | If IgE >99 ku/l | If IgE >99 | It is assumed that the |
| | months (mean 6 months) with atopic eczema who had | (49% of those with mild | | 17% late | | considered positive: | ku/l considered | considered positive: | ku/l considered | accuracy results represent any positive reaction. |
| EL=DS III | never eaten egg (directly or | eczema, 79% of those with moderate, and | | | | positive. 17 | positive: | 100 | positive: | arry positive reaction. |
| | indirectly). | 80% of those with | | | | | 100 | 100 | 37 | |
| | | severe eczema). | | | | If IgE >17.5 ku/l | If IgE >17.5 | If IgE >17.5 | If IgE >17.5 | _ |
| | The challenges were undertaken when the | | | | | considered | ku/l | ku/l | ku/l considered | |
| | children were aged 12-24 | 17% of reactions were exacerbation of | | | | positive: 24 | considered positive: | considered positive: | positive: | |
| | months. | eczema. | | | | 27 | 100 | 100 | 39 | |
| | Atopic eczema was mild in 38%, moderate in 34%, and severe in 28% | | | | | | | | | |

Peanut

Diagnostic accuracy of an atopy patch test compared to an open food challenge for detection of peanut allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic ad | ccuracy for pean | ut | | Comments |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Seidenari 2003 ¹⁷⁶ | 132 children and adults aged 3-28 years (mean 12 years) | 9% | 8% | 50% (all eczema) | 42% (all included | 75 (all ages) | 87 (all ages) | 36 (all ages) | 97 (all ages) | Antihistamines were discontinued 7 days before patch testing. |
| EL=DS III | with atopic eczema (33% mild, 52% moderate, 14% severe). | | | | eczema) | 100 (under 6 years) | 82 (under 6 years) | 25 (under 6 years) | 100 (under 6 years) | Eczema was stable /controlled when testing was undertaken. |
| | It was not stated whether there was a history or | | | | | 75 (6-12 years) | 83 (6-12 years) | 38 (6-12 years) | 96 (6-12 years) | |
| | suspicion of food allergy. None had been treated with systemic corticosteroids, antihistamines with long half-lives (not specified) or ciclosporin for 4 months prior to the study | | | | | 50 (older than 12 years) | 94 (older than 12 years) | 40 (older than 12 years) | 96 (older than 12 years) | Food challenge: undertaken after a 4 week diet free of milk egg and peanuts. Peanuts were given daily in increasing quantities, in hospital to start then at home for 7 days. Testing stopped after a clinical reaction (cutaneous, respiratory, or gastrointestinal) was observed. Atopy patch test: peanuts were whipped and mixed with petrolatum. 20mg of this was applied to the back using a 12mm finn chamber, and left under occlusion for 72 hours. Results were read 30-60 minutes after removal of the occlusion, and graded into four categories based on presence or absence of erythema, oedema, and papules. A negative reaction was redness and oedema with no infiltration. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Skin prick tests on the volar forearm using commercial allergens (not specified). Reactions were read at 15-20 minutes; test positive if the wheal size was 3mm or more. Histamine was used as a positive control. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Specific IgE was measured in 57% using the Pharmaci uniCAP system. |
| | | | | | | | | | | It is assumed that the accuracy results represent any positive reaction. |

Diagnostic accuracy of a skin prick test compared to an open food challenge for detection of peanut allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic acc | curacy for peanut | | | Comments |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Seidenari 2003 ¹⁷⁶ | 132 children and adults aged 3-28 years (mean 12 years) | 9% | 8% | 50% (all eczema) | 42% (all included | 33 (all ages) | 90 (all ages) | 25 (all ages) | 93 (all ages) | It is assumed that the accuracy results represent any positive |
| EL=DS III | with atopic eczema (33% mild, 52% moderate, 14% | | | | _ | 25 (under 6 years) | 98 (under 6 years) | 50 (under 6 years) | 94 (under 6 years) | reaction. |
| | severe). It was not stated whether there was a history or | | | | | 25 (6-12 years) | 90 (6-12 years) | 25 (6-12 years) | 90 (6-12 years) | |
| | suspicion of food allergy. | | | | | 50 (older than 12 years) | 83 (older than 12 years) | 20 (older than 12 years) | 95 (older than 12 | |
| | None had been treated with systemic corticosteroids, antihistamines with long half-lives (not specified) or ciclosporin for 4 months prior to the study | | | | | | | | years) | |

Diagnostic accuracy of IgE compared to an open food challenge for detection of peanut allergy
No studies

Wheat

Diagnostic accuracy of an atopy patch test compared to an open food challenge for detection of wheat allergy

| · · | • | | • | • | | Ū | | | 0, | |
|---|--|--|---|---|--------------------------------|---|---|------------|---------|---|
| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | accuracy for w | heat | | Comments |
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Both immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Stromberg 2002 ¹⁷⁷ EL=DS III | 141 children aged 2 months-4 years (mean 16 months) with atopic eczema, referred to an allergy unit for investigation | 45% cow's milk, 55% egg, 43% wheat, 43% rye | 13% milk, 14% egg, 3% wheat, 3% rye | 87% cow's milk, 86% egg, 97% wheat, 97% rye | NR | 90 | 94 | 92 | 93 | 93, 90, 88, and 95 respectively for rye |
| Jarvinen 2003 ¹⁷⁸ EL=DS III | 90 children aged 2.5-36 months with atopic | 73% | 12% (73% wheat, 9% each rye, | 61% (40% wheat, 9% rye, 7% | NR | 67 (76 in | 79 (71 in | 90 | 46 | Antihistamines were discontinued 72 hours – 6 weeks before patch testing. TCS were not allowed. |
| EL-DO III | eczema and cow's milk allergy – they had shown a | | barley, oats) | barley, 44% oats) | | children aged less than 1 year, 68 | children aged less than 1 year, 83 for | | | Eczema was stable /controlled when testing was undertaken. |
| | good response to cow's milk elimination but had residual symptoms (atopic eczema | | | these reactions were eczema) | | for age 1-2 years, 33 for age 2-3 years) | age 1-2 years, 100 for age 2-3 years) | | | Food challenge: undertaken after a 2 week diet free of cereal (child and mother). Cow's milk and cereal were given in increasing doses as inpatients for 3 days, then continued at home. Symptoms indicative of a positive reaction were anaphylaxis, urticaria, atopic eczema, vomiting, diarrhoea. Immediate reactions – those occurring within 1 hour. |
| | or gastrointestinal symptoms), and were therefore | | | | | | | | | The results reported represent the results of the fist cereal challenge only (the challenge continued weekly at home). |
| | suspected of, and tested for, cereal allergy | | | | | | | | | Atopy patch test: undertaken during the elimination diet. Skimmed milk powder and cereal (flour) applied to the back using a 12mm finn chamber, and left under occlusion for 48 hours. Results were read at 72 hours, and oedema and eczema were taken as positive reactions. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Skin prick tests on the volar forearm using commercial cow's milk extract and cereals. Reactions were read at 15 minutes; test positive if the diameter of the wheal was 3mm or more and at least half the size of the positive control. Histamine was used as a positive control, and sodium chloride as a negative control. |

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for wh | neat | | Comments |
|-------|------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|---------|--|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Both immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| | | | | | | | | | | It is assumed that the accuracy results represent any positive reaction. Results quoted for cereal (wheat) challenge. |

Diagnostic accuracy of a skin prick test compared to an open food challenge for detection of wheat allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | accuracy for wh | neat | | Comments |
|---|---|---|---|--|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|---------|---|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| Stromberg 2002 ¹⁷⁷ EL=DS III | 141 children aged 2 months-4 years (mean 16 months) with atopic eczema, referred to an allergy | 45% cow's milk, 55% egg, 43% wheat, 43% rye | 13% milk, 14% egg, 3% wheat, 3% rye | 87% cow's milk, 86% egg, 97% wheat, 97% rye | NR | 13 | 98 | 80 | 60 | 15, 99, 90, and 60 respectively for rye |
| | unit for investigation | | | | | | | | | |
| Jarvinen 2003 ¹⁷⁸ EL=DS III | 90 children aged 2.5-36 months with atopic eczema and cow's milk allergy – they had shown a good response to cow's milk elimination but had residual symptoms (atopic eczema or gastrointestinal symptoms), and were therefore suspected of, and tested for, cereal allergy | 73% | 12% (73% wheat, 9% each rye, barley, oats) | 61% (40% wheat, 9% rye, 7% barley, 44% oats) (67% of these reactions were eczema) | NR | 23 | 100 | 100 | 32 | Results quoted for cereal (wheat) challenge |
| Varjonen 1995 ¹⁸⁰ | 34 children aged 'under 1 year' to 11 years with severe | 63% | 33% | 66% | NR | 86 | 100 | 100 | 82 | All were treated with topical hydrocortisone (strength not stated). Eczema was 'at most mild' when testing was done. |
| EL=DS III | and extensive atopic eczema suspected of food allergy. | | | | | | | | | An exclusion diet (excluding the suspected foods) was used for at least 2 weeks before testing. |
| | (24 underwent food challenge) | | | | | | | | | Foods: cereals given in doses of 1, 5, and 10g. Challenge stopped if symptoms appeared. Immediate - occurring within 2 hours. What constituted a positive delayed reaction was not stated. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Skin prick test: purified gliadin in ethanol applied to the volar surface of the arm, development of wheal of 3mm diameter and more than half that of the positive control (histamine) was regarded positive. |
| | | | | | | | | | | IgE (CAP RAST) to wheat, rye, barley, oats and |

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | accuracy for wh | eat | | Comments |
|-------|-------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|---------|--|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | gluten. A level of more than 0.5ku/l was reported to be considered positive. However in the diagnostic accuracy tale a level of more than 5.5ku/l was quoted |
| | | | | | | | | | | It is assumed that diagnostic accuracy relates to any reaction. |

Diagnostic accuracy of IgE compared to an open food challenge for detection of wheat allergy

| Study | Population tested | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for whe | eat | | Comments |
|---|---|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|--|
| | | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| Varjonen 1995 ¹⁸⁰ EL=DS III | 34 children aged 'under 1 year' to 11 years with severe and extensive atopic eczema suspected of food allergy. | 63% | 33% | 66% | NR | 93 | 56 | 78 | 83 | IgE (CAP RAST) to wheat, rye, barley, oats and gluten. A level of more than 0.5ku/l was reported to be considered positive. However in the diagnostic accuracy tale a level of more than 5.5ku/l was quoted. |
| | (24 underwent food challenge) | | | | | | | | | It is assumed that diagnostic accuracy relates to any reaction. |

Studies for which a range of allergens were tested but accuracy data not reported for each allergen separately

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic a | ccuracy for vari | ous food | allergens | Comments |
|---|---|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|--|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediate reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| de Waard-van der Spek 1998 ¹⁷³ EL=DS III | 64 children aged under 4 years with atopic eczema and suspected food allergy | 36% | NR | NR | NR | 83 | 100 | 100 | 91 | Diagnostic accuracy of SAFT vs open food challenge – it is unclear whether this was for immediate or delayed reactions. Skin prick tests and IgE levels were also measured, and the level of agreement between the proportion of positive results noted. However it was not possible to calculate diagnostic accuracy of these tests from the data given. Food challenge: No details of the foods, other than they were cow's milk, egg and peanuts. Increasing doses were given. Positive reactions – urticarial rash, flare-up of eczema, itching, increased pulse rate, (other also listed). The test was stopped if a positive reaction was observed. If no reaction occurred, the child left hospital. Parents were encouraged to contact a dermatologist if a late (not defined) reaction occurred. |

Combined data

| Study | Allergen | Tests | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | (any type of reaction) | | | | | |
| Cudowska 2005 ¹⁷¹ | Cow's milk | Atopy patch test + skin prick test + specific IgE | 92 (under 3 years) | 71 (under 3 years) | 85 (under 3 years) | 17 (under 3 years) |
| | | (for immediate and delayed reactions combined) | 80 (over 3 years) | 89 (over 3 years) | 80 (over 3 years) | 11 (over 3 years) |
| EL=DS III | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Studies investigating different ways of undertaking the same test

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic accu | racy for various | food allerger | ıs | Comments |
|---|--|--|------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediat e reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | _ |
| Niggemann 2002 ¹⁸² EL=DS III | 30 children aged 3-58 months with atopic eczema and suspected food-related | 48% milk, 20% egg, 64% sow, 22% wheat | NR | NR | NR | Milk 60 (12mm) 0 (6mm) | Milk 100 (12mm) 100 (6mm) | Milk 100 (12mm) 0 (6mm) | Milk 73 (12mm) 52 (6mm) | Antihistamines were stopped for at least 72 hours before testing. TCS were allowed. |
| | symptoms. | 0 placebo | | | | Egg 71 (12mm) 29 (6mm) | Egg 100 (12mm) 100 (6mm) | Egg 100 (12mm) 100 (6mm) | Egg 67 (12mm) 44 (6mm) | Food challenge: 55 challenges undertaken. Placebo: neocate. Test: fresh pasteurised milk, raw egg, wheat powder, soya milk. Provocation stopped if clinical symptoms were observed or when the highest dose was reached. Children |
| | | | | | | Soya 100 (12mm) 0 (6mm) | Soya 100 (12mm) 100 (6mm) | Soya 100 (12mm) 0 (6mm) | Soya 100 (12mm) 82 (6mm) | were observed as inpatients for 48 hours after each challenge. Positive test: if one or more of the following: urticaria, angioedema, wheezing, vomiting, diarrhoea, abdominal pain, shock, or exacerbation of eczema. |
| | | | | | | Wheat 100 (12mm) 0 (6mm) | Wheat 89 (12mm) 100 (6mm) | Wheat 75 (12mm) 0 (6mm) | Wheat 100 (12mm) 75 (6mm) | Patch test: using Finn chambers of 6mm or 12mm in diameter. Foods (as in the DBPCFC): 50microlitre or 20microlitre respectively used. Sites checked after 20 minutes for immediate reactions. Site occluded for 48 hours, read after 20 minutes, and again at 72 hours. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Skin prick testing was also undertaken. |
| Heine 2006 ¹⁸⁵ EL=DS lb | 87 children aged 0.5-13.5 years (mean 2.4 years) with atopic | 45% | 75% | 11% | 15% | Mild erythema (39%): 45 | 67 | 53 | 59 | Topical and systemic corticosteroids were discontinued 72 hours before testing. |
| | eczema and suspected food allergy to cow's | | | | | Moderate erythema (10%): 15 | 93 | 65 | 57 | DBPCFC 'as per previously published protocol' 165 were undertaken. |
| | milk, hen's egg, wheat and soya. | | | | | Any severity (49%): 60 | 60 | 56 | 64 | Atopy patch test: one drop fresh pasteurised cow's milk, |
| | | | | | | Minor induration | 92 | 61 | 56 | _ fresh soya milk, whisked whole hen's egg and a wheat gluten flour suspension applied to the skin and covered with 12mm Finn chambers for 48 hours. |
| | | | | | | (11%): 15 Extensive (5%): | 99 | 88 | 57 | Skin changes graded as none, mild, moderate, severe, |
| | | | | | | 9 Any severity | 91 | 69 | 59 | induration, papule formation, vesiculation, and presence of |

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic accu | | | | Comments |
|-------|------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---|--|--------------------|---------|---------|--|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediat e reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| | | | | | | (16%): 24 | | | | a crescendo phenomenon (increase in severity of reaction between hours 48 and 72). |
| | | | | | | Papules (1-3, 16%): 19 | 87 | 54 | 56 | |
| | | | | | | 4-6 (12%): 12 7 or more | 89 | 47 | 55 | Personnel reading the patch test results were blind to the results of the DBPCFC. |
| | | | | | | (12%): 21 any papules | 96 | 80 | 59 | Diagnostic accuracy results are for delayed reactions. |
| | | | | | | (39%): 60 | 74 | 60 | 74 | |
| | | | | | | Crescendo (8%): 11 | 93 | 57 | 56 | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | Moderate erythema + crescendo (3%): 5 | 99 | 80 | 56 | |
| | | | | | | Induration + crescendo (3%): 4 | 98 | 60 | 55 | |
| | | | | | | Papules + crescendo (≥7; 4%) 5 | 98 | 67 | 55 | |
| | | | | | | Moderate erythema + induration (4%): 8 | 100 | 100 | 57 | _ |
| | | | | | Moderate erythema + papules (≥7; 4%): 8 | 99 | 86 | 56 | | |
| | | | | | | Induration + papules (≥7; 7%):15 | 100 | 100 | 58 | |
| | | | | | | Moderate erythema + induration + papules (≥7; 4%): 8 | 100 | 100 | 57 | |
| | | | | | | Moderate erythema or induration (27%): 41 | 86 | 70 | 64 | |

| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediat e reaction | Delayed | Combined | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
|---|--|---|---------------------|---------|-------------------|--|-------------|---------|---|--|
| | | on challenge | | | Scrisitivity (70) | (%) | rrv (70) | NPV (%) | | |
| | | | | | | Moderate erythema or papules (≥7; 18%): 27 | 90 | 69 | 60 | _ |
| | | | | | | Induration or 87 66 60 papules (≥7; 21%): 31 | _ | | | |
| | | /13% | | | 19% | Moderate erythema or induration or papules (≥7, 26%): 36 | 82 | 63 | 61 | _ |
| | 385 children aged 3 months to 14.5 years with | 43% (63% egg, | 67% | 14% | 19% | Hen's egg 93% | 59 | 80 | 83 | Antihistamines were discontinued 72 hours before testing. TCS were allowed twice daily. |
| suspected food- dependent symptoms to cow's milk, egg, | suspected food- dependent | 49% milk, 28% wheat, 19% soya) | | | | Cow's milk 85 | 75 | 76 | 83 | Food challenges 735 were undertaken. 75% were DB and 25% were open. |
| | cow's milk, egg, | w ['] s milk, egg, neat, and/or 4% placebo ya. 87% had opic eczema. | % placebo | | Wheat 65 | 77 | 52 | 85 | Placebo – 280 challenges (neocate). | |
| | wheat, and/or soya. 87% had atopic eczema. | | 4% ріасеоо | | Soya 21 | 88 | 29 | 83 | Test: cow's milk, egg, gluten, or soya milk. Doses were titrated. Challenges were positive if objective cutaneous symptoms (urticaria, worsening of eczema), or respiratory or gastrointestinal symptoms were seen. | |
| | asthma, 6% recurrent wheezing, and | | | | | | | | Skin prick test: one drop of each fresh food applied to the forearm: cow's milk, native hen's egg (whisked white and yolk), gluten powder, and soya milk. | |
| | 27% hay fever. | | | | | | | | | Positive (histamine) and negative (saline) controls were also applied. Reactions were read at 15 minutes. A wheal size of 3mm or greater than the positive control was considered a positive reaction. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Other analysis of data was undertaken: wheal diameter 13mm for hen's egg and 12.5mm for milk, would give a 95% PPV. respectively). Predictive values could not be calculated for wheat and soya. |
| | 34 children aged 1-4.4 years, median 2.26 | 59% | NR | NR | NR | Using commercial beef extract:: | 100 | NR | NR | DBPCFC: with beef. No further details in this publication. |
| :L=DS III | median 2.26 years) with atopic eczema and IgE sensitisation for | atopic IgE | | | | 90 Using fresh | 78.57 | | | Skin prick test: using extract of lyophilised skeletal muscle tissue and with raw unfrozen skeletal muscle. Positive |

| Study | Population | Prevalence | | | | Diagnostic accu | racy for various | s food allerger | IS | Comments |
|--|--|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---|--|
| | tested | Positive test on challenge | Immediat e reaction | Delayed reaction | Combined immediate & delayed | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | |
| | foods. They were enrolled in this study if they reported immediate | | | | | beef extract:: 100 | | | | (histamine) and negative (saline) controls were also applied. Reactions were read after and unspecified time. A wheal size of 3mm or greater than the positive control was considered a positive reaction. |
| symptoms attributed to consumption of beef. | | | | | | | | | It is not stated whether the food challenge was undertaken without knowing the results of the prick test. | |
| Kim 2002 ¹⁸⁹ | older people milk | 35% for crude milk | NR | NR | NR | Milk | | | | Elimination diet was used for 2 weeks prior to testing. |
| EL= DS III (mean age 12 years) with ato eczema | | 37% crude egg | | | | 44 crude | 86 | 40 | 75 | DBPCFC: |
| | . , . | 35% crude | | | | | | | | Placebo – the vehicle for DBPCFC (mixed cereal flour). |
| | | soyabean | soyabean | | 34 commercial | 70 | 27 | 72 | For the food challenge skimmed milk powder, freeze-dried flour of egg and soybean powder were used. | |
| | | | | | | Egg | | | | Determinants of a positive test were the appearance of |
| | | | | | | 64 crude | 81 | 59 | 63 | dryness/scaling, erythema, wheal, excoriation, or papulation. An increase of 20% compared to pre-test score was also regarded as a positive reaction. |
| | | | | | | 56 commercial | 53 | 40 | 71 | |
| | | | | | | Soya | | | | Skin prick testing: crude extracts of milk, egg, and soybean were prepared using phosphate buffered saline. Egg and |
| | | | | | | 54 crude | 65 | 43 | 78 | soyabean were boiled for 1 hour prior to extraction. Extracts were centrifuged and supernatants collected, which were then dried. Stock solutions were than prepared. Glycerol |
| | | | | | | 33 commercial | 71 | 26 | 38 | was used as a negative control. |
| | | | | | | | | | | Prick testing was done on the left forearm using crude and commercial extracts. Histamine was the positive control. Reactions were read after 15 minutes. The minimum size of positive reaction was 3mm. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|--|---|--|--|--|----------------------|--|
| Darsow U;Vieluf D;Ring J; 1995 Mar | Study Type: Case series Evidence Level: 3 | To compare the proportion of positive results to an atopy patch test when two different concentrations and vehicles were used. | Total No. of Patients = 36 Children and adults with atopic eczema N = 36 | Children and adults, aged 3-69 years (mean 29 years) with atopic eczema. Of these 16 reported eczematous reactions after exposure to at least one of the three allergens tested (HDM, cat dander, grass pollen). All were in the stable phase (partial or complete remission). | | Comments: Antihistamines and systemic/topical corticosteroids were discontinued for 7 days before testing. The patch testing use two concentrations, 1000 protein nitrogen units (PNU)/gm, and 10,000 PNU/gm, in two different vehicles (white petrolatum/10% isopropyl myristate and methylcellulose hydrigel/10% propylene glycol). Lyophilised grass pollen extracts were used. The patch was applied for 72 hours under 12mm Finn chambers. They were evaluated at 48 & 72 hours and classified as follows: (+) erythema, + erythema, infiltration, none or few papules, ++ erythema, intensive filtration, many papules, occasionally vesicles, and +++ for densely aggregated papules and vehicles. Skin prick tests were also done (no details, no definition of a positive test), and IgE (total and specific) levels measured. 'Concordance' between the tests was also reported (not defined) - data not reproduced here. |
| Perackis K;Staden U;Mehl A;Niggemann B; 2004 | Study Type: Case series Evidence Level: 3 | To compare the results of a skin prick test using whole egg and egg white. | Total No. of Patients = 45 Children who underwent skin prick testing N = 45 | Children aged 6-113 months with suspected allergy to hen's egg. 96% had atopic eczema. | | Source of Funding: None declared. Comments: Two drops of egg were applied to the volar forearm (one drop of whisked native whole egg, one drop of native egg white). Reactions were read after 15 minutes. A positive test was indicated by a wheal diameter of 3mm or more, without reaction of the negative control (sodium chloride 0.9%). All responded to histamine dihydrochloride (the positive control). Antihistamines and corticosteroids were prohibited 48 hours before testing. |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| Niggemann B;Binder C;Dupont | Study Type: Randomised Controlled Trial | Total number of patients = 73 Amino-acid based | Infants aged 1-9 months (median 5.7 months) with atopic eczema and proven cow's milk allergy/intolerance | Amino-acid formula vs Extensively hydrolysed whey formula | Outcomes at 6 Months: SCORAD | Source of Funding: SHS, Liverpool UK. The amino-acid based formula used was |
| C;Hadji S;Arvola T;Isolauri E; 2001 Apr | Evidence Level: 1+ | formula N = 42 Extensively | (on DBPCFC). Mean SCORAD scores 24.6 (0-72). Median total IgE 16.0 kU/L (less than 2.0 yo 4710.0). | Extensively flyurorysed whey formula | No numerical data for each group (data shown in graphs only). Mean overall score at endpoint: 10.7 (95% CI 71 to 14.2, p<0.0001) vs | Neocate, and the whey formula Alfare or Pepti- Tutteli. Quantities consumed were not specified. |
| 200 | | hydrolysed whey formula | | | Growth | Energy intake was similar in both groups. |
| | | N = 31 | | | No numerical data (shown only in graphs). | |
| | | | | | Reported that there was a statistically significant increase in length standard deviation scores in the amino-acid group, p<0.04; weight-for-length scores developments were 'similar' in both groups. | |
| Businco L;Benincori | Study Type: Randomised | Total number of patients = 31 | Children aged 6 months-10 years with severe atopic eczema requiring | Sodium cromoglicate + exclusion diet vs | Outcomes at 8 Weeks: | Source of Funding: Fisons Ltd supplied drugs |
| N;Nini G;Businco E;Cantani A;De Angelis M; | Controlled Trial Evidence Level: 1- | Sodium cromoglicate + exclusion diet N = 31 | severe atopic eczema requiring 'continuous treatment' (not defined) but not corticosteroid therapy (not stated whether topical or systemic). The children also had evidence of exacerbation of symptoms caused by eating one or two foods (established by challenge tests at home). They had positive skin tests to 'a range of | Placebo + exclusion diet | Severity* No numerical data; results shown in graphs only. p=NS between treatments when the cross-over sequence was sodium cromoglicate followed by placebo. p<0.05 in favour of sodium | The study was a DB crossover trial with 2x8-week treatment periods with a 2-week washout period in between. [EL=1-] because no baseline data and analysis was undertaken on fewer children than were randomised. |
| 219 | | exclusion diet N = 31 | allergens' and serum IgE levels higher than the normal range for their age. 39% also had asthma, 26% allergic rhinitis, 10% conjunctivitis, and 6% | | cromoglicate when placebo was taken first in the crossover sequence. vs | Withdrawals: 8, due to lack of response (2 - excluded from the analysis); non-adherence (2); and ineffective treatment (4). |
| | | | urticaria. | | Parent rating of symptoms | |
| | | | | | No numerical data; results shown in graphs only. | Exclusion diet: based on skin test and IgE results. Cow's milk and egg was eliminated in 81%, fish in 6%, and wheat in 13%. The diet |
| | | | | | p=NS between treatments when the cross-over sequence was sodium cromoglicate followed by placebo. | was taken for weeks 1-4 of the 8-week treatment period, and then foods reintroduced stepwise. |
| | | | | | p<0.01 in favour of sodium cromoglicate when placebo was taken first in the crossover sequence. vs | Severity assessed by dividing the body into ten areas which were assessed for |
| | | | | | Opinion as to which treatment 'most | redness/weeping/vesiculation/crusting, excoriations, lichenification on a scale of 0-3, |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | effective' | none-severe. Maximum total score 240. |
| | | | | | Sodium cromoglicate: 52% parents rating, 68% clinician's rating | Parents recorded daytime itch, sleep |
| | | | | | Placebo: 13% and 6% respectively | disturbance due to itch, weeping, and redness |
| | | | | | Both: 16% and 0% respectively | on a 0-3 scale (maximum score 12). |
| | | | | | Neither: 3% and 10% respectively. vs | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects 6% transient worsening at the beginning of the trial | Dose of sodium cromoglicate: 400mg daily for children of 10-20kg bodyweight, 800mg daily for 20-30kg, 1200mg for 30-40kg, 1600mg for >40kg. The daily dose was taken in four divided doses. |
| | | | | | 0% dizziness | |
| | | | | | 0% drowsiness | No topical or systemic corticosteroids were |
| | | | | | 3% bowel disturbance vs | allowed. |
| | | | | | 3% transient worsening at the beginning of the trial | |
| | | | | | 3% dizziness | |
| | | | | | 3% drowsiness | |
| | | | | | 6% bowel disturbance | |
| Businco | Study Type: | Total number of | Children aged 5 months - 14 years | Restricted diet* | Outcomes at 4 Weeks: | Source of Funding: none declared |
| L;Meglio P;Amato | Randomised Controlled Trial | patients = 1085 | (median 2 years) with AE. 58% also had a personal history of atopy, e.g. | VS | | |
| G;Balsamo V;Cainelli | | Restricted diet* | asthma, rhinitis. | Oral sodium cromoglicate | Severity | EL=1- because only 80% analysed (overall 93% completed, 82% in the diet group and |
| T;Cantone P;Castro | Evidence Level: 3 | N = 505 | | | Change in proportion with severe AE: from 43%-13% vs 48%-15% | 91% in the sodium cromoglicate group). |
| M;Coletta A;Corrias A;Giorgi PL;Grazioli | | Oral sodium cromoglicate N = 506 | | | Change in proportion with mild AE: from 23%-69% vs 19%-61%, p<0.001 from baseline for both groups for both | *Restricted diet consisted of rice, lamb, turkey, lettuce, cooked carrots, sweet potatoes, pears, olive oil, mineral water, black tea, salt, brown |
| I;Longo- Papadia | | | | | outcomes, 'no significant differences' between groups (no p value stated) vs | sugar. Sodium cromoglicate: 80mg/kg/day in four |
| L;Marcucci F;Masi M:Pavesio | | | | | Extent | divided doses - powder diluted in 20ml water. Mean dose used was 71mg/kg. |
| D;Scotta S;Seidenari S;Vierucci A; | | | | | Change in % whose extent severe: 35%-17% vs 36%-21% | Children were randomised to treatment irrespective of SPT and RAST results. |
| 1996 Mar | | | | | Change in % whose extent mild: 29-51% vs 27-44% vs | Severity: pruritus, erythema, vesiculation, papules, excoriation, scale crusting and |
| 216 | | | | | Adverse effects | lichenification assessed on a 4-point scale (1-4, no symptoms - severe). Total score = score for |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | • | | | 1% diarrhoea 0.5% lack of appetite | each area x 20. Global score: 140=absent, 141-170 mild, 171-200 moderate, more than 200 severe. |
| | | | | | 0.2% restlessness 0.2% weight loss vs | Extent: according to the number of body areas involved; o=absent, 1-5 mild, 6-10 moderate, more than 10 severe. |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | Treatment considered effective when at least |
| | | | | | 1% diarrhoea 1% vomiting | 40% improvement of the global score occurred. |
| | | | | | 1% nausea 0.2% lack of appetite | Significantly more children in the diet group had positive tests to foods on SPT. Results were |
| | | | | 0.2% lack of appetite 0.2% restlessness 2% abdominal pain | 0.2% restlessness | compared for children with positive or negative tests - 'no significant differences' in response |
| | | | | 0.4% headache | were noted (data presented in graphs only). | |
| | | | | | 0.4% pruritus 0.2% rash | |
| | | | | | 0.2% urticaria | |
| | | | | | 0.2% constipation 0.2% joint pain | |
| Ewing Cl;Gibbs | Study Type: | Total number of patients = 50 | Zinc vs | Children aged 1-16 years (mean 8 years) with atopic eczema, being | Outcomes at 8 Weeks: | Source of Funding: Smith Kline French supplied drugs |
| ACC;Ashcroft C;David TJ; | Randomised Controlled Trial | Zinc sulphate | Placebo | treated with emollients and TCS, and some with trimeprazine. | ltch | [EL=1-] as only those who completed treatment |
| 1991 | Evidence Level: | (sustained release capsules containing 61.8mg | | | 4.6 vs | were analysed. Withdrawal rates were 6% zinc and 10% placebo; reasons were nonadherence (1 each group), diarrhoea (1 placebo), 1 |
| 220 | 1- | zinc sulphate) N = 25 | | | 3.4, p=0.01 vs | exacerbation of eczema (1 zinc), itchy rash (1 zinc, 2 placebo), Herpes simplex infection (1 |
| | | Placebo | | | Sleep disturbance | placebo). Usual treatment continued during the study. |
| | | N = 25 | | | No numerical data, p=0.77 between groups vs | Families recorded redness, daytime itch, and night-time sleep disturbance on a 1-10 scale. |
| | | | | | Trimeprazine dose | Severity: body divided into 14 areas and the surface area affected estimated. Each area score on a scale of 1-5 for severity. Surface |
| | | | | | No numerical data, p=0.11 between groups vs | area x severity = combined disease severity score. |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | TCS quantity applied | |
| | | | | | 259.3g (mean) vs | |
| | | | | | 188g (mean), p=0.23 vs | |
| | | | | | Emollient quantity applied | |
| | | | | | 1159.1g (mean) vs | |
| | | | | | 511.6g (mean), p=0.13 vs | |
| | | | | | Surface area score (mean change) | |
| | | | | | +5.5 (29%) vs | |
| | | | | | +1.6 (11%), p=0.53 vs | |
| | | | | | Erythema score (mean change) | |
| | | | | | -0.1 (4%) vs | |
| | | | | | -0.4 (17%), p=0.10 vs | |
| | | | | | Combined disease severity score (mean change) | |
| | | | | | -12.6 (35%) vs | |
| | | | | | -4.7 (14%), p=0.60 | |
| Graham P;Hall-Smith SP;Harris | Study Type: Randomised Controlled Trial | Total number of patients = 29 | Children aged 3-12 years (mean 7 years 5 months) with chronic AE requiring regular attendance at | Sodium cromoglicate vs | Outcomes at 27 Weeks: | Source of Funding: Fisons Ltd provided study medication |
| JM;Price ML; | | Sodium | outpatient clinics. Children were treated with a 'tailored diet' which was | Placebo | Severity (mean score change) | DB cross-over RCT. Only 76% completed and |
| 1984 | Evidence Level: 1- | cromoglicate N = 29 | not detailed, other than foods were eliminated and re-introduced | | -0.69, p<0.01 vs baseline vs | were analysed [EL=1-]. |
| | | | according to IgE levels. | | | Sodium cromoglicate dose: 100mg four times a |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|---|
| 217 | | Placebo N = 27 | Baseline severity score 2.09, extent 1.98. | | -0.63, p<0.01 vs baseline vs | day before meals (capsules) for 3 weeks increasing to 200mg for next 3 weeks. |
| | | | | | Extent (area; mean score change) -0.10 vs | Treatment periods were of 6 weeks' duration, with a 2-week washout in between (usual diet). |
| | | | | | -0.16 | Symptoms, severity and extent were measured on a 4-point scale. |
| | | | | | | All previous medication for AE was stopped and all were given HC 1% (not stated whether cream or ointment), and emulsifying ointment as needed. |
| Leung TF;Ma KC;Cheung LT;Lam | Study Type: Randomised Controlled Trial | Total number of patients = 15 | Infants and young children aged under 3 years (median 1.4 years, IQR 0.6-2.6) with AE. All had a positive | Amino-acid-based elemental diet vs | Outcomes at 5 Months: | Source of Funding: Chinese University of Hong Kong |
| CW;Wong E;Wan H;Hon | | Amino-acid based | SPT to at least one of six food allergens (cow's milk, soy, whole egg, | Control | SCORAD | EL=1- because no baseline data reported |
| EK; | Evidence Level: 1- | elemental diet* N = 15 | peanut, wheat, mixed fish), and raised cow's milk or soya bean specific IgE (35 KaU/L or more). | | treatment different 3.97, p=0.274 treatment x period interaction 7.23, | therefore not known whether groups were similar at baseline. Only completers were analysed (73%). The reasons for the 4 |
| 2004 Dec | | Control | Median SCORAD 23.9 (IQR 10.5- | | p=0.012 vs | withdrawals were: 2 drank less than required, 1 refused to drink the amino-acid formula, 1 had |
| 212 | | N = 15 | 29.7). | | Parental global health score (VAS 1-9, worst-best) | 'too mild' AE. |
| | | | | | treatment difference 0, p=0.792 | The amino-acid formula used was Neocate. 500ml or more was advised to be taken (not stated whether this is per day). |
| | | | | | treatment x period interaction 0.01, p=0.958 | No dairy or soya based products were allowed during the study. |
| | | | | | | The control group continued with their pre- existing formula. |
| | | | | | | A dietician conducted a nutritional assessment. |
| | | | | | | All treatments for AE remained unchanged (TCS and sedating antihistamines). |
| | | | | | | Severity was assessed by a paediatric dermatologist unaware of treatment allocation. |
| | | | | | | The positive tests to skin prick allergens were: cow's milk, soya bean, wheat, mixed fish (all 1 each), whole egg (11), mixed peanuts (4), |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|---|-------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| - | | | | | | house dust mite (6). |
| | | | | | | Daily fluid and energy intake did not differ before and after the interventions. |
| | | | | | | Treatment was given for 6 weeks with a 6 week washout in between. |
| Tsoureli-Nikita E:Hercogova | Study Type: Randomised | Total number of patients = 96 | Children and adults aged 10-60 years with moderate-severe atopic eczema | Vitamin E | Outcomes at 8 Months: | Source of Funding: None declared |
| J:Lotti | Controlled Trial | patients - 50 | affecting 30-70% of body surface | vs | | |
| T;Menchini G; Evidence Level: 2002 Mar 1- | | Vitamin E (400 units [268mg]) | area. | Placebo | Global assessment (response to questionnaire) | [EL=1-] because although the study is described as randomised in the abstract the methods would suggest that the treatments |
| | once daily | | | 00/ | were not allocated randomly. No baseline data | |
| | N = 50 | | | 8% worsened | were reported. | |
| 221 | | | | | 12% no change | |
| | | Placebo | | | 20% slight improvement | Only petrolatum emollients were permitted |
| | | N = 46 | | | 46% great improvement | during the study. |
| | | | | | 14% almost complete remission | |
| | | | | | vs 78% worsened | |
| | | | | | 11% no change | |
| | | | | | 9% slight improvement | |
| | | | | | 2% great improvement | |
| | | | | | 0% almost complete remission vs | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |
| | | | | | none | |
| | | | | | vs | |
| | | | | | none | |
| Viljanen | | Lactobacillus | | Lactobacillus | Outcomes at 1 Months: | DB RCT. Only 91% completed and analysed; |
| M;Savilahti E;Haahtela | | (5x10 -9 colony forming units) | | VS | | reasons for withdrawals were: moved away (2), did not start diet because symptoms alleviated |
| T;Juntunen- Backman | | N = 80 | | Mixture of probiotics (Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Propionibacterium) vs | SCORAD (all infants - mean score change) | (11), unable to tolerate diet (4), protocol too difficult (3). |
| K;Korpela R:Poussa | | Mixture of | | Placebo | -16.6 (48%) vs | |
| T;Tuure | | probiotics | | | -10.0 (40%) VS | Eczema lesions were treated with emollients |
| T;Kuitunen M; | | (Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Propionibacterium) | | | -14.0 (42%) vs | and HC 1% (not stated whether cream or ointment). |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|
| 2005 Apr | | N = 76 | | | -14.2 (47%), p=NS between groups | The probiotics and placebo were given as capsules mixed with food, twice daily. |
| 22 | | Placebo N = 74 | | | SCORAD (in 52% with verified cow's milk challenge - mean score change) | The dose of Lactobacillus given was 5x10 (-9 colony forming units. |
| | | | | | -15.1 (45%) vs | |
| | | | | | -14.5 (43%) vs | |
| | | | | | -15.2 (46%), p=NS between groups | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Study aims/objectives | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Brouwer ML; 2006 Jul 2224 Country: Netherlands | Study Type: Randomised Controlled Trial Evidence Level: 1- | | Total No. of Patients = 50 Hydrolysed whey formula + Lactobacillus rhamnosis N = 17 Hydrolysed whey formula + Lactobacillus N = 16 Control: hydrolysed whey formula | Infants (age 1.1 - 5.2 months) with AE and suspected cow's milk allergy routinely attending a baby health clinic. | Outcomes at 3 months: Reduction in SCORAD index | Funding: Not stated SCORAD index was reduced in all groups irrespective of treatment implying reasons other then the studied intervention caused this reduction. |
| | | | control: hydrolysed whey formula only N = 17 | | | |
| Agata H;Kondo N;Fukutomi O;Shinoda S;Orii T; 1993 Feb 205 | Study Type: Cohort Study Evidence Level: 2- | | Total No. of Patients = 150 Children 3 months- 13 years with sensitivity to hen's egg or cow's milk (on basis of history and food challenges) N = 43 Nonatopic healthy children without milk or egg sensitivity N = 64 Children sensitive to egg and milk with urticaria, angioedema, acute gastroenteritis within 1 hour of the food challenge N = 53 | Children aged 3 months - 13 years with atopic eczema with positive food challenge to eggs/milk, or who developed urticaria, angioedema, acute gastroenteritis, and bronchial asthma within 1 hour of the challenge test, and a control group who did not have atopy. | Outcomes at 3 Months: Severity in those sensitive to egg (n=33) in 27 who had elimination diet, 23 improved by one category, 4 improved by 2 or more (at baseline 7 were mild, 13 moderate, 7 severe) in 6 who did not have an elimination diet, 4 had no improvement, 2 worsened by one category (at baseline 1 was mild, 5 moderate) Severity in those sensitive to milk (n=21) in 16 who had elimination diet, 1 was unchanged, 10 improved by one category, 5 improved by 2 or more (at baseline 1 was mild, 9 moderate, 6 severe) | Funding: Ministry of public welfare, Japan Only 43 of 54 'randomly selected' children were treated with elimination diets; the other 11 continued with the 'offending' foods. Severity of AE was graded on the basis of food-challenge symptom scores, where 0=absent, 1=mild, 2=moderate, 3=severe. DBPCFC was performed if there was a clear-cut history of major allergic skin symptoms after ingestion of a specific food or if there was a chance of systemic anaphylaxis. The food challenge consisted of egg, milk, or placebo. |
| | | | | | in 5 who did not have an elimination diet, 2 had no improvement, 3 | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Study aims/objectives | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| - | | | | | worsened by one category (at baseline 2 were mild, 3 moderate) | | |
| Devlin J;David TJ;Stanton RH; | Study Type: Case series | To describe the treatment of children | Total No. of Patients = 43 | Children included in the Devlin 1991 study. ²⁰⁸ | Severity | Source of Funding: North Western Regional Health Authority | |
| 1991 Jan | Evidence Level: 3 | with atopic eczema with a diet eliminating all but six foods. | Elemental food (100% free amino acids) | Those who were subsequently treated with an 'extreme antigen avoidance | Median score: 33% of baseline score (3-134%) | Comments: | |
| 209 | | | | N = 43 | regimen' including an elemental diet (100% free amino acids) in hospital using Vivonex . | Global success/failure | Of the 43 children, 1 declined the intervention, 2 refused to drink the |
| | | | | At baseline median 70% (20-96%) body surface area was affected. Median erythema score was 3 (2-3), median severity score 210 (60-288). | 27% treatment failures (score same or worse than at beginning) | formula feed, and only 37 who had been followed up for 12 months or longer were analysed. | |
| | | | | | 73% treatment success (median reduction to 27% of baseline score [3-67%]; 96% were only using emollients at the end of treatment) | Severity score = surface area affected x degree of erythema (0-3). | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | | |
| | | | | 89% (of n=34) lost up to 17% body weight. 19% loose stools 0% electrolyte disturbance | All mammalian and avian pets were removed from the home. Investigators 'ensured that rigorous measures' were taken to reduce house dust mite levels in the bedroom. | | |
| | | | | | serum albumin fell in 93% (of n=27), from mean 30.8g/l to mean nadir of 21.2g/l | Corticosteroids (not stated whether topical) were discontinued at the time of hospital admission, but emollient and trimeprazine (night sedation) were continued. All were also given an appetite stimulant (cyproheptadine 2mg twice daily). | |
| | | | | | | All usual food and drink (including water) were excluded, and the child fed exclusively on unlimited quantities of unflavoured Vivonex. A low concentration was used to start, gradually increasing to isotonicity on day 3. | |
| | | | | | | After 28 days if there was little or no improvement (not defined), the diet was abandoned and systemic corticosteroids or TCS used instead. If there was moderate improvement, the elemental diet was extended for 1-2 weeks. If 'largely unresolved', open food challenges were | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Study aims/objectives | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|-------------------------|-----------|
| | | | | | | commenced. The demographics and clinical features of treatment failures were compared with those for whom treatment was successful, and ' no significant differences' were found. | | | | |
| | | | | | | Children were discharged from hospital once established on three foods, at which point Vivonex was discontinued. After the first week at home, food challenges were continued, and if positive repeated at intervals of 6-12 months. The number of food challenges done and the number of positive tests were reported - data not reproduced here. | | | | |
| Sloper KS;Wadsworth J;Brostoff J; | Study Type: Case series | To investigate whether food elimination in | Total No. of Patients = 91 | Children aged 0.42-15 years (median 4.5 years) with AE. | Severity (median score change) | Source of Funding: Heinz provided tinned foods for challenge test | | | | |
| 1991 Aug | Fridance Levels 2 | childhood eczema would improve the condition in at least some patients. | improve the condition in | improve the condition in (range 0-80). Level: 3 at least some patients. 76% were breast-f | improve the condition in | improve the condition in | | Baseline severity score: median 32 (range 0-80). | -6 (-36 to 10), p<0.001 | Comments: |
| - | at least some patients. | | | | 76% were breast-fed; cow's milk had been given to 96%. | Withdra | Withdrawal rate 27% (66 provided adequate pre- and post-elimination | | | |
| 196 | | | | Foods exacerbated AE in 56% - mainly egg or cow's milk (each 28.6%), | | diet data). | | | | |
| | | | | followed by colourings (13.2%). | | *elimination diet (started when eczema was stable): eggs, cow's milk, and 'other foods according to history'. Other foods avoided included: 42% nuts, 36% fish, 26% food colours, 23% tomatoes and wheat, 21% citrus fruit, 18% potato, 17% soya and chocolate. | | | | |
| | | | | | | Dietary advice was given by a dietician. | | | | |
| | | | | | | 52% were avoiding at least one food at the start of the study (32% egg, 13% cow's milk, 10% nuts). | | | | |
| | | | | | | Cow's milk and egg challenges were undertaken in some - data not reproduced here. | | | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Study aims/objectives | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | Usual treatment was unchanged. |
| | | | | | | Severity score reached by dividing body into 20 areas and each assessed for the presence or absence of erythema, vesiculation, excoriation, lichenification (maximum score 80). |
| Pike MG;Carter CM;Boulton P;Turner | Study Type: Case series | To investigate the effects of a few foods | Total No. of Patients = 66 Few foods diet* | Children aged 0.6-16.8 years (mean 4.2 years) with severe atopic eczema | 'Worthwhile improvement' (not defined) | Source of Funding: none declared |
| MW;Soothill JF;Atherton DJ; | Evidence Level: 3 | diet in children with atopic eczema | N = 66 | inadequately controlled by standard topical treatment (no further details). 42% had at least one hospital admission for atopic eczema, 91% were woken by itching more than 50% of nights, 53% had previous dietary treatment for their eczema, 52% were already excluding one or more foods at | 46% parental opinion 35% investigator's opinion | Comments: Median duration of follow-up was 26 weeks (range 19-44). |
| 1989 Dec | | | | | Reintroduction of foods** | Some underwent skin prick testing for cow's milk, egg, dog fur, cat fur, HDM, grass pollen. |
| | | | | start of the study. | 25% deteriorated months 1-3 15% withdrew despite 'benefit' (diet too burdensome) 60% persisted (mean 47.9 weeks, range 26.4-71.1) | *the diet was individually tailored: foods implicated in the exacerbation of eczema (generally or in the child) were excluded. The diet was as strict as the child could tolerate and palatable enough to ensure adherence. Mean number of foods taken: 8.76 (SD 3.76), range 1-19. |
| | | | | | | Children who responded to the diet, with parental agreement, continued serial reintroduction of individual foods at weekly intervals. Those who successfully completed the food reintroduction underwent DBPCFC (n=10). Those who did not respond either discontinued or proceeded to a second diet, similar in type but with different constituents. |
| | | | | | | **in children who the investigator thought had improved. |
| | | | | | | Severity assessed on 20 body areas, each on 0-3 scale for redness, surface damage, lichenification. |
| | | | | | | Parents recorded itch, redness, and |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Study aims/objectives | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | sleep disturbance (0-3). |
| | | | | | | Adverse effects were not considered. |
| | | | | | | % improvement - numerical data were only reported for the 'diet responsive' group. Characteristics of responders and non-responders were reported (data not reproduced here). |
| Aoki T;Kojima M;Adachi J;Okano M; | Study Type: Case series | To ascertain the relationship between the | Total No. of Patients = 213 | Infants aged under 3 years with infantile or atopic eczema. | Skin condition 'better' (not defined) | Source of Funding: none declared |
| 1992 | Evidence Level: 3 | effect of egg exclusion and egg allergy. | Egg exclusion diet N = 213 | Exclusions: purely milk-fed infants, infants already on egg exclusion diet, eating small amounts of egg, infants with severe skin symptoms, and those who needed immediate treatment. | 48.5% in children aged 3-6 months (n=33) 44% in children aged 7-11 months (n=25) 19.6% in children aged 1 year (n=46) 17.6% in children aged 2 years (n=34) Results according to positive vs negative test for egg allergy (n=99 only): 70% vs 30% 37.5% vs 62.5% 28.6% vs 71.4% 0 vs 100% respectively (for age groups as listed above) | Comments: RAST test performed for egg white, milk, soybean, wheat, house dust mite (scores of 2 or more regarded as positive). Condition of skin at follow-up was compared to sketches and photographs taken at the first visit (without knowing the results of RAST). At the first visit the skin symptoms were graded into 3 categories. Infants considered allergic if either RAST or skin test proved positive. The study was called a 'controlled' trial but there was no control group evident in the paper. |
| | | | | | | Authors also explore the effects of egg exclusion in those with positive and negative RAST or SPT to egg or other allergens, and between age groups. 'Correlation' reported between egg exclusion and allergy in infants aged 3-6 months. Correlation reported between egg exclusion and a positive test to egg allergy for the age group 3-6 months |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Study aims/objectives | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments |
|--|-------------------------------|---|---|---|------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | (only). |
| | | | | | | Withdrawals due to: non-attendance (11%) |
| | | | | | | nonadherence (17%) |
| | | | | | | restricted foods other than egg (36%) |
| | | | | | | change of symptoms (infections; 16%) |
| | | | | | | change of treatment (12%) |
| | | | | | | 'effect no indicated' (8%) |
| Businco L;Businco E;Cantani A;Galli | Study Type: Case series | To investigate the efficacy of milk and/or | Total No. of Patients = 59 Cow's milk elimination (plus/minus | Children aged 2-14 years (mean 4 years and 2 weeks) with severe and | Global response to treatment | Source of Funding: None declared |
| E;Infussi R;Benincori N; | | egg free diets in children with severe AE. | egg elimination) | chronic atopic eczema. They had been referred to the Allergy & Immunology | 80% 'cured or improved' | Comments: |
| IN, | Evidence Level: 3 | WILLI SEVELE AL. | N = 59 | section of a paediatric hospital | 20% unchanged | *the elimination diet was tailored to |
| 1982 Jul | | | | department after no improvement from usual treatments (antihistamines, TCS | | the history of suspected allergy. The proportions having either or both |
| 195 | | | | and systemic corticosteroids). | | foods eliminated was not stated. |
| | | | | | | Skin tests, IgE levels were undertaken, but children were treated with an eliminiation diet regardless of these test results. Skin tests for cow's milk proteins were positive in 30, for egg in 5, and for both egg and cow's milk in 10. |
| | | | | | | Response to treatment was also examined in terms of the child's age and age of onset of AE, family history of atopy, duration of breast-feeding, and total and specific IgE -data not reproduced here. |
| David TJ; | Study Type: Case series | | | This study is included only as duplicate/related publication to refs ²⁰⁸ and ²⁰⁹ - there no additional data | | |
| 1992 | | | | reported in this paper. | | |
| 210 | | | | | | |
| van Asperen PP;Lewis M;Rogers | Study Type: | To describe the authors' experience with an | Total No. of Patients = 29 | Children aged 2-12 years with persistent AE despite regular TCS | Parental global assessment | Source of Funding: none declared |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Study aims/objectives | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments |
|--|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| M;Kemp | Case series | elimination diet in | Elimination diet | treatment. | | |
| AS;Thompson S; | | children with AE. | N = 29 | | 7 improved | Comments: |
| 1000.0 | Evidence Level: 3 | | | | 3 unchanged | *consisting of 19 foods: lamb, |
| 1983 Sep | | | | | 3 deteriorated | chicken, beef, lettuce, carrots, parsley, pears, rice, plain flour, |
| 204 | | | | | Dermatologist's assessment | semolina, matzo crackers or Carrs water biscuits, sugar, golden syrup, |
| | | | | | 5 improved | honey, oils, vinegar, salt and |
| | | | | | 7 unchanged | pepper, and coffee. 55% withdrew from the diet, in 28% |
| | | | | | 1 deteriorated | this was because the diet was too restrictive. |
| | | | | | | The study design was as follows: 2 weeks of usual diet (baseline), 2 weeks of the elimination diet, then reintroduction of foods at the rate of a new one every 2 days (limited details reported for the latter stage). |
| | | | | | | Outcomes were assessed using parental diary card, with sleep and itch scores (both using scales of 0-3 none-severe). In addition, a dermatologist assessed severity (inflammation, lichenification, and cracking, all on a grade of 1-2); a change of 2 or more was considered significant. |
| | | | | | | The authors also reported that there were significant improvements in itch score and in the area of eczema affected, and no significant difference in sleep score or severity (data shown in graphs only). |
| Martino F;Bruno | Study Type: | To investigate the | Total No. of Patients = 16 | Children aged 5-24 months (mean 9.1) | Severity (median score change) | Source of Funding: None declared |
| G;Aprigliano D;Agolini D;Guido F;Giardini | Case series | effectiveness of a home- made meat-based | Home-made meat-based formula | with severe atopic eczema, suspected to be 'multiple food-induced'. Severity | | |
| O;Businco L; | Fridance Local C | formula and its | (the 'Rezza-Cardi' diet) N = 16 | score of more than 15 (maximum score | -21 (no p value reported) | Comments: |
| | Evidence Level: 3 | adequacy as a diagnostic tool for | IV - IV | 30) and more than three positive skin prick test responses to food allergens | Growth | Severity was measured on a scale of 0-3 for 10 areas. |
| 1998 Nov | | children with food- induced atopic eczema. | | (14 were positive to cow's milk, egg and wheat, and 2 were positive to | No numerical data; 'all gained weight normally according to Italian | The diet consisted of lamb meat, olive oil, pre-cooked rice flour, |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Study aims/objectives | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments |
|--|-------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|
| 214 | | | | cow's milk, egg, and soya). | standards', and the body weight centile increased in 38% children. | water, and sodium chloride. Calcium 300mg and vitamin D 400 |
| | | | | | Lipids (mean change) | units were given daily as a supplement. |
| | | | | | Total cholesterol +1.5mg/dl (0.04 mmol/l) | Fruit and age were also given according to the patient's age. |
| | | | | | High density lipoprotein +8.5mg/dl (0.22 mmol/l) | Topical betametasone dipropionate was allowed for the first week of the |
| | | | | | Low density lipoprotein -0.7mg/dl (0.02 mmol/l) | study only. |
| | | | | | Triglycerides -40.6mg/dl (0.46 mmol/l) | Adverse effects were not considered. |
| | | | | | (no change was statistically significant from baseline) | |
| Broberg A;Engstrom I;Kalimo K;Reimers L; | Study Type: Case series | To report the authors' experience of using an elimination diet to treat | Total No. of Patients = 13 Elimination diet* N = 13 | Children aged 10 months-4 years with severe atopic eczema in spite of 'adequate' topical treatment (emollients, hydrocortisone, intermittent triamcinolone, antihistamines, and antibiotics) and elimination of the food items to which the child was suspected to be allergic. | Proportion improved | Source of Funding: Grants from two institutions |
| 1992 Sep | Evidence Level: 3 | atopic eczema | | | 6 based on the investigator's scores | Comments: |
| 206 | | | | | 8 based on parents' scores | *elimination diet: casein hydrolysate, lamb, rice, corn, corn oil, potato, cucumber, melon, bilberries, salt, sugar, and gluten and milk-free bread. |
| | | | | | | The children's usual treatment for atopic eczema was continued during the study. |
| | | | | | | One child withdrew due inability to keep to the diet. |
| | | | | | | Not all the children who improved according to the investigator improved according to the parents, however the scoring system used was different. Investigator's rating: intensity of erythema, lichenification, vesiculation, excoriation, papules, dryness scored on scale of 0-4, none-severe, and distribution measured on scale of 0-4 |

Atopic eczema in children

| Study type and evidence level | Study aims/objectives | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | | (maximum total score 96). Parent's rated eczema and pruritus on a scale of 0-4, and disturbed nighttime sleep on 0-3. |
| Study Type: Case series | To determine the minimum wheal size that | Total No. of Patients = 467 | Children referred for suspected food allergy. Median age 3 years. | Results: Wheal sizes of 8mm for cow's milk. | Source of Funding: Not stated |
| | rules in a diagnosis of | | g, | 7mm for egg and 8mm for peanut | Comments: Data are not |
| Evidence Level: 3 | food allergy. | | | are the minimums required to | independent as some children had |
| | | | | predict allergy on open food challenge in this high-risk population. | more than one SPT result. Open food challenge used as reference for these wheal size data but this is |
| | evidence level Study Type: Case series | Study Type: To determine the Case series minimum wheal size that rules in a diagnosis of | Study Type: To determine the Total No. of Patients = 467 Case series minimum wheal size that rules in a diagnosis of | Study Type: To determine the Total No. of Patients = 467 Children referred for suspected food allergy. Median age 3 years. | Study Type: Case series minimum wheal size that rules in a diagnosis of Evidence Level: 3 Evidence Level: 3 To determine the minimum wheal size that rules in a diagnosis of food allergy. Total No. of Patients = 467 Children referred for suspected food allergy. Median age 3 years. Wheal sizes of 8mm for cow's milk, 7mm for egg and 8mm for peanut are the minimums required to predict allergy on open food challenge in this high-risk |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Atherton DJ;Sewell M;Soothill JF;Wells | Study Type: Randomised | Total number of patients = 36 | Children aged 2-8 years (median 6 years) attending a dermatology clinic | Egg and cow's milk elimination diet with soya-based milk substitute | Outcomes at 4 Weeks: | Source of Funding: Cow & Gate provided milk powders |
| RS;Chilvers CE; | Controlled Trial | Egg and cow's milk | Of the 20 who completed the study, 3 had a previous history of exacerbation of skin symptoms after | vs egg and cow's milk elimination diet, with a mixture of dried egg and cow's milk as milk substitute | Activity scores (treatment effect*) | DB cross-over RCT, withdrawal rate |
| 1978 Feb 25 | Evidence Level: 1- | elimination diet, with soya-based milk substitute | | | 2.06, p<0.001 vs | 44% (25% were due to 'dietary lapses', defined as drinking less than a pint of milk substitute per day |
| 193 | substitute N = 36 | N = 36 | | | Area scores (treatment effect*) | or eating excluded food, i.e. non-adherence). |
| | | Control: egg and cow's milk elimination diet, with | | | 2.73, p<0.005 vs | EL=1- because only completers analysed, and lack of baseline data regarding comparability of |
| | | a preparation containing a mixture of dried egg and cow's milk as milk | | | Pruritus (treatment effect*) | intervention and control groups. |
| | | substitute N = 36 | | | 4.49, p=NS vs | Dietary advice given by a dietician. The elimination diet also excluded |
| | | | | | Sleeplessness (treatment effect*) | chicken and beef. Treatment/ control was given for 4 |
| | | | | | 4.95, p<0.05 vs | weeks followed by a 4-week 'washout' during which the usual diet was resumed. Children were asked |
| | | | | | Antihistamine usage (not explained further; treatment effect*) | to drink at least a pint of the milk substitute per day. |
| | | | | | 14.15, p<0.025 vs | Usual treatment for atopic eczema was continued (daily bath with emulsifying ointment, HC ointment 1%, oral trimeprazine). |
| | | | | | Activity scores (order effect*) | |
| | | | | | 1.34, p<0.01 vs | Parents recorded daytime itch and sleep disturbance on a scale of 0-3. Two dermatologists scored 20 body |
| | | | | | Area scores (order effect*) | areas as affected or unaffected, plus 'activity' of eczema (+2 for major improvement, +1 minor |
| | | | | | 2.02, p<0.05 vs | improvement, 0 no change, -1 minor deterioration, -2 major deterioration). |
| | | | | | Pruritus (order effect*) | Lichenification and ichthyosis alone were ignored. |
| | | | | | 4.74, p=NS vs | *treatment effect = mean difference between groups. Order effect = |
| | | | | | Sleeplessness (order effect*) | difference between mean scores in the first and second treatment |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| | | | | | | periods using the intervention. |
| | | | | | 8.83, p<0.01 vs | |
| | | | | | Antihistamine usage (order effect*) | Prick tests were performed at the start of the second treatment period with 10 allergen solutions (including house dust mite, grass pollen, cat |
| | | | | | 3.99, p=NS | fur, egg, milk, control). It was also reported that there was no correlation between positive prick test to egg and cow's milk antigens and response to diet. |
| Mabin DC;Sykes AE;David TJ; | Study Type: Randomised Controlled Trial | Total number of patients = 85 | Children aged 0-3-13.3 years (median 2.3 years) with AE that persisted despite conventional | Few foods diet with whey hydrosylate as milk substitute | Outcomes at 6 Weeks: | Source of Funding: Two authors supported by Cow and Gate |
| 1995 Sep | | Few foods diet with | treatment and involved 12% or more of body surface area. | vs few foods diet with casein hydrosylate | Body surface area (median change in score, 95% CI) | Single-blind RCT. |
| | Evidence Level: 1- | whey hydrosylate as milk substitute | • | as milk substitute | | The parents and dietician who |
| 207 | · | N = 27 | Exclusions: if breast-fed, had unstable or infected AE, intolerance to casein or whey hydrosylate | vs control (continued usual diet) | -4.9 (-12 to -1.5), p=0.49 between groups vs | advised on the diet were blind to the identity of the milk (but not to which diet). A single observer was blind |
| | | Few foods diet with casein hydrosylate as milk substitute | formulas, received oral corticosteroids within 4 weeks. | | -5 (-21.2 to -1.6) vs | both to whether the child was receiving a diet and to which milk the child was receiving. |
| | | N = 32 | | | -4.9 (-12 to -1.5), p=0.49 between groups vs | A dietician gave advice to parents regarding the few foods diet over a 6-day period. The diet consisted of |
| | | Control (continued usual diet) N = 26 | | | Skin severity score (median change in score, 95% CI) | one meat, rice, potato, one of the brassicas, one fruit, and whey or casein hydrosylate formula milk. Up to three additional foods were |
| | | | | | -21.8 (-30.2 to -12.8) vs | allowed if it was judged by the dietician that compliance with the diet would otherwise be poor. Tap |
| | | | | | -13.5 (-38 to -13.4) vs | water and pure fruit juice (the juice of whichever fruit chosen as a food) |
| | | | | | -15.9 (-22.5 to -5), p=0.88 between groups vs | were also permitted. Severity was measured on a scale of 0-3 for each of 32 areas (extent of |
| | | | | | Sleep disturbance score (median change in score, 95% CI) | area affected and degree of erythema). Sleep and itch were also assessed on a 0-3 scale. |
| | | | | | -0.4 (-1.4 to 0.3) vs | Criteria for withdrawal from the study were defined a priori |
| | | | | | -0.2 (-0.7 to 0.1) vs | (withdrawal rates were 46% overall, 67% of the whey arm, 53% of the |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|---|---|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | -0.1 (-0.2 to 0.2) vs | casein arm, and 15% of the control arm. 37% and 25% in the whey and casein arms respectively withdrew due to failure to adhere to the diet. |
| | | | | | Daytime itch score (median change in score, 95% CI) | compared to none for this reason in the control arm). |
| | | | | | -0.1 (-1.72 to 0) vs | EL=1- because only those who completed the 6- eek treatment period were analysed. |
| | | | | | Daytime itch score (median change in score, 95% CI) | 15% in the whey group, 28% in the casein group and 42% of the control |
| | | | | | -0.6 (-1 to -0.21) vs | group used antihistamines. |
| | | | | | 0 (-0.4 to 0.14), p=0.08 between groups | |
| Tan BB;Weald D;Strickland I:Friedmann PS; | Study Type: Randomised Controlled Trial | Total number of patients = 60 | Children and adults aged 7-65 years with AE (defined as atopic on the basis of a positive 15 minute | House dust mite reduction vs | Outcomes at 6 Months: | Source of Funding: not declared |
| 1996 Jan 6 | Evidence Level: | House dust mite avoidance | response to a prick-test challenge with a range of aeroallergens). 30 (50%) were aged under 17 years. | placebo | Body surface area (mean difference between groups) | House dust mite reduction consisted of a Goretex bedding system, benzyltannate complex spray for |
| | 1- | N = 30 | (con, nore ages and no years | | 10% (3-17), p=0.006 | carpets, and a high-filtration vacuum cleaner. |
| 227 | | Placebo N = 30 | Exclusions: pets, house dust mite avoidance measures, or systemic treatment for AE in the previous 6 weeks. | | (8.3, 95% CI 2.5 to 19.1, p=0.13 accounting for mattress dust weight and carpet Der p1 concentrations) vs | The placebo group used light cotton bedcovers, water with a trace of alcohol to spray on carpets and a standard upright vaccum cleaner with a poor filtration performance. |
| | | | | | SASSAD (mean score change) | A trained nurse applied the bedcovers and spray in all |
| | | | | | -12.6 vs | households. |
| | | | | | -4.2 (no baseline data) vs | In both groups, treated carpets were vacuumed daily and the rest of the house 2-3 times per week. Soft toys |
| | | | | | SASSAD (mean difference in score | were excluded from bedrooms. |
| | | | | | change) | Use of usual range of treatments was permitted. |
| | | | | | 4.2 (95% Cl 1.7 to 6.7, p=0.008), accounting for differences in initial eczema scores, mattress dust weight, and bedroom carpet | A physician unaware of treatment group allocation examined all patients monthly. |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|--|---|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | concentrations vs Reduction in geometric mean dust load in mattress | Dust sampling was not done after 3 months for any beds used by the intervention group because the reduction in dust seen earlier in the trial meant there was insufficient |
| | | | | | -98% vs | dust to sample. |
| | | | | | -16%, p=0.002 vs | Withdrawal rates were 3% in the intervention group and 17% in the placebo group - of the total of 12 who withdrew, 10 were due to |
| | | | | | Median reductions in Der P1 (antigen) concentrations in bedroom carpet | moving house or acquiring pets or changing carpets; 2 were due to breaking the protocol. |
| | | | | | -91% vs | [EL=1-] because only those who completed treatment were analysed. |
| | | | | | -89%, p=0.94 vs | Analysis of variance to investigate what the treatment effect could be |
| | | | | | Median reductions in Der P1 (antigen) concentrations in living room carpet | due to was also undertaken - data not reproduced here. |
| | | | | | -76% vs | |
| | | | | | -38%, p=0.27 vs | |
| | | | | | Mean difference in final severity scores | |
| | | | | | 4.3 (95% CI 1.3 to 7.3, p=0.006, accounting for differences in initial eczema scores, mattress dust weight, and bedroom carpet concentrations (11.1, 95% CI -3.1 to 25.3, p=0.019 in children aged under 17 years) | |
| Ricci G;Patrizi A;Specchia F;Menna L;Bottau P;D'Angelo | Study Type: Randomised Controlled Trial | Total number of patients = 41 | Children aged 2-10 years (mean 3.9 years) with AE associated with high total and/or specific IgE serum levels | House dust mite avoidance vs | Outcomes at 2 Months: | Source of Funding: National Research Council, Italy |
| V;Masi M; | Controlled IIIdi | House dust mite | (specific to foods or inhalant | Control | SCORAD (mean score change) | [EL=1-] because no baseline data |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2000 Aug | Evidence Level: 1- | avoidance N = 21 | allergens). Baseline SCORAD scores 33 in the | | -76%, p=0.025 vs baseline vs | therefore unclear whether groups similar at baseline. |
| 226 | | Control | intervention group and 27 in the control group. | | -11%, p value vs baseline not | House dust mite avoidance |
| | | N = 20 | | | stated. No between-group analysis. vs | consisted of: encasing mattresses and pillows with mite microfine fibres or Goretex bedding system, a hot weekly wash of bedding, living room |
| | | | | | Change in geometric mean dust load in beds (mg/m2) | and bedroom vacuumed at least twice a week, soft toys washed once a week or excluded from bedrooms, |
| | | | | | -54%, p=0.014 vs baseline vs | carpets removed or vacuumed once a week or more. No pets allowed. Advice on mite avoidance was given |
| | | | | | -43%, p=NS vs baseline. No between-group analysis. vs | by a person not involved in the later assessment. |
| | | | | | Change in geometric mean concentration of Der p1 and Der f1 in beds (ng/m2) | The control group continued with previous house cleaning strategies (no specific mite avoidance measures were used). |
| | | | | | -76%, p=0.025 vs baseline vs | No dietary restriction was used during the study. |
| | | | | | -58%, p=NS vs baseline. No between-group analysis. | |
| Cant AJ;Bailes JA;Marsden | Study Type: Randomised | Total number of patients = 19 | Exclusively breast-fed infants aged 6 months - 6 years with atopic eczema. | Exclusion diet plus soya milk substitute vs | Outcomes of trial 2 | Source of Funding: Wyeth supplied milk substitutes |
| RA;Hewitt D; 1986 Jul 26 | Controlled Trial Evidence Level: 1- | Exclusion diet* plus soya as milk substitute N = 19 | All underwent skin prick testing for eggs, cow's milk, chocolate, cod, mixed nuts, and wheat; 8 (42%) tested positive at entry to trial 1 (see comments), and 9 (50%) at entry to | Exclusion diet plus cow's milk and egg milk substitute | Activity scores at weeks 2, 4, 6: 17.2, 13.2, 14.1 (p<0.001 for difference between weeks 2 and 4). | Trial 1 (DB RCT): *excluding cow's milk, egg, chocolate, wheat, nuts. fish, beef, |
| 202 | | Exclusion diet* plus milk | trial 2. Mean activity score 17.3 (SD 9.7), | | Area scores at weeks 2, 4, 6: 13.2, 10.7, 10.7 (p<0.01 for difference | chicken, citrus fruits, colourings, preservatives. |
| | | substitute containing and mean area score 12.4 (SD 5.8). cow's milk and egg | and mean area score 12.4 (SD 5.8). | | between weeks 2 and 4) vs | Design: 3x4-week periods; the first two consisting of cross-over randomised treatment with the milk |
| | | N = 19 | Exclusions: seborrhoeic dermatitis. | | Outcomes at 12 Weeks: | substitutes, the last period consisting of usual diet. |
| | | | | | Area score | The milk substitutes were supplied as powders for reconstitution with |
| | | | | | 9.1 on usual diet, p<0.01 vs baseline vs | water; the equivalent of a pint a day was consumed. Diets were supervised by a dietician. |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|---|---|----------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | | Activity score | Area score: presence or absence of eczema on 20 body areas. Activity: |
| | | | | | 11.8 on usual diet, p<0.01 vs baseline vs | severity on scale of 0-3 for each body area. |
| | | | | | Outcomes at 4 Weeks: | Of 17 completers, 12 were exclusively breast-fed. Reasons for withdrawal: mother vomiting on soya substitute (n=1), baby developed |
| | | | | | Area score | eczema and bloody diarrhoea within 24 hours of cow's milk/egg substitute (n=1). |
| | | | | | 9.0 vs | It was also reported that the quantity of TCS used did not differ |
| | | | | | 8.9 vs | significantly between groups (no numerical data). |
| | | | | | Activity score | Trial 2: |
| | | | | | 10.4 vs | Design: open trial for 6 weeks; 2 weeks usual diet (containing cow's milk and egg), 2 weeks exclusion |
| | | | | | 12.6 vs | diet (as in trial 1), 2 weeks usual diet. If activity scores fell by more |
| | | | | | Outcomes at 8 Weeks: | than 20% during the exclusion diet and increased by more than 20% on reintroducing the usual diet, the |
| | | | | | Activity score | mothers were invited to take part in a further randomised crossover |
| | | | | | 11.2 vs | phase of 2 other milk substitutes. However only 2 infants qualified for this and only 1 underwent the trial |
| | | | | | 11.8 (SE for difference between means at week 8: 1.62, p=NS) vs | (data not reproduced here). |
| | | | | | Area score | |
| | | | | | 8.3 vs | |
| | | | | | 9.9 (SE for difference between means at week 8: 0.98, p=NS) | |
| Neild VS;Marsden RA;Bailes JA;Bland JM; | Study Type: Randomised Controlled Trial | Total number of patients = 53 | Children and young people aged 1- 23 years with AE requiring regular treatment with emollients, TCS, and | Egg and cow's milk exclusion, soya milk substitute | Outcomes at 6 Weeks: | Source of Funding: South West Thames Regional Health Authority |
| JIVI, | Controlled IIIdl | Egg and cow's milk | oral antihistamines. | vs Control: preparation containing mixture | Area score (treatment difference*) | DB cross-over RCT; 25% withdrew, |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|--|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1986 Jan | Evidence Level: | exclusion, soya milk | | of dried egg and cow's milk as | -1 (95% CI -6 to 3.4) vs | due to non-adherence. |
| | 1- | substitute | Positive skin prick tests to egg and/or | substitute | | EL=1- only completers analysed. |
| 194 | | N = 53 Egg and cow's milk | Egg and cow's milk exclusion, dried mixed more inhalant allergens in 79%. Overall 59% had an IgE level of more than 100 (units not stated). | | Total (day & night) itch score (treatment difference*) | *treatment effect = mean difference between trial and control diets. |
| | | • | | | 15 (95% CI -21 to 51) vs | |
| | | preparation used as substitute N = 53 | | | Total TCS consumption (treatment effect*) | Chicken and beef were also excluded from the diet as they may contain proteins common to egg and milk. |
| | | | | | fluorinated TCS: 5.8 (95% CI 1 to 10) HC 1%: 6.0 (95% CI 0.1 to 12) [i.e. greater use when treated with the trial diet] | Dietician gave the dietary advice. The two 6-week treatment periods were separated by a 6-week washout where the usual diet was consumed. |
| | | | | | Usual treatment for AE was continued. | |
| | | | | | | Parents recorded day and night itch and sleep disturbance on a 10cm scale. |
| | | | | | | Two dermatologists assessed extent and activity of AE. |
| | | | | | | At the start of the trial a skin prick test for house dust mite, grass pollen, cat fur, egg or cow's milk was undertaken. |
| Lever R;MacDonald C;Waugh P;Aitchison T: | Study Type: Randomised Controlled Trial | Total number of patients = 62 | Children of mean age 11-17 months (across both groups), with AE and suspected egg sensitivity, optimally | Egg exclusion diet vs | Outcomes at 4 Weeks: | Source of Funding: none declared |
| 1998 Feb | Evidence Level: | Egg exclusion diet N = 28 | controlled with conventional topical treatment and on stable maintenance treatment using mild-moderate TCS | Control (no specific dietary advice) | Body surface area affected (mean change) | Children were not eating eggs as such, but in hidden forms such as pasta and cakes. |
| | 1- | | at the time of entry into this study. | | -8.7% vs | All continued with topical treatment |
| 198 | | Control | | | | for AE during the study. |
| | N = 27 | N = 27 | All had a raised IgE to eggs (RAST test). Results to DBPCFC: positive in 69% in the egg exclusion group vs 67% control; and negative in 13% | | -3.2%, p=0.04 (95% CI for mean difference in score between groups 0.1 to 10.9) vs | Egg exclusion diet = exclusion of all foods containing eggs. Control = no specific advice on any |
| | | | and 10% respectively (the remaining patients defaulted). | | Severity score (mean change) | particular item of food. |
| | | | | | -9.4 (SD 12.3) vs | Severity score = six clinical features assessed on a scale of 0-3, on 16 |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | -3.3 (SD 10.5), p=0.05 (95% CI for mean difference between groups - 0.1 to 12.3) | body sites (extent, erythema, oedema/papulation, oozing/crusts, dryness, lichenification). |
| | | | | | | Body surface area was calculated using the rule of nines. |
| | | | | | | EL=1- because only the 89% who completed the treatment period were analysed. |
| Majamaa H;lsolauri E; | Study Type: Randomised | Total number of patients = 27 | Children aged 2.5-15.7 months with AE and a history suggestive of cow's | Extensively hydrolysed whey formula + Lactobacillus* | Outcomes at 1 Months: | Source of Funding: Academy of Finland, Medical Research Fund |
| 1997 Feb | Controlled Trial | Extensively hydrolysed | milk allergy, confirmed by DBPC cow's milk challenge. Duration of exclusive and total breast-feeding | vs Extensively hydrolysed whey formula | SCORAD (median score change) | EL=1- because of limited baseline |
| 223 | Evidence Level: 1- | whey formula + Lactobacillus* N = 13 | were 2.8 months (range 2.1-3.5) and 5.9 months (4.5-7.2) respectively. | | -11 (42%), p=0.008 vs baseline vs | data therefore cannot determine whether groups similar at baseline. |
| | | Extensively hydrolysed | Baseline median (IQR) SCORAD scores were 26 (17-38) in the intervention group and 21 (14-31) in the control group, p=0.33. | | -2 (10%), p=0.89 vs baseline (no between-group analysis) | Eczema lesions were treated with emollients and TCS. |
| | | whey formula N = 14 | | | | *5x10 (-8) colony forming units per gram, added to the whey formula. The quantity varied from 500-1000ml, depending on the age of the child. Otherwise, diet was 'normal for age'. |
| | | | | | | Other parameters were also measured (data not reproduced here): faecal concentration of eosinophil cationic protein, alphaantitrypsin, tumour necrosis factor alpha. |
| Isolauri E;Sutas Y;Makinen-Kiljunen | Study Type: Randomised | Total number of patients = 45 | Infants aged 4-8 months (mean age 6 months) who had AE and a positive | Cow's milk substitute (hydrolysed whey, n=22; or amino-acid derived | Outcomes at 8 Months: | Source of Funding: Academy of Finland |
| S;Oja SS;Isosomppi R;Turjanmaa K; | Controlled Trial | Cow's milk substitutes | reaction to a DB challenge with cow's milk, had not been breast-fed, and had needed a cow milk substitute | formulae, n=23, as desired) | SCORAD (mean score change) | Additional dietary restrictions were |
| 1995 Oct | Evidence Level: 3 | N = 45 | formula for at least 6 months. Baseline SCORAD 17 in those | | -12 (71%) in those receiving whey and -17 (81%) with the amino-acid | made on the basis of history, skin tests, RASTs, and clinical challenge. These included no eggs and no |
| 201 | | | receiving the whey substitute and 21 in the amino-acid formula group. | | formula, p=0.001 vs baseline vs | cereal for 68% of the whey group and 65% of the amino-acid group. |
| | | | | | Weight | |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | 'increased similarly' in both groups (no numerical data). The data were shown in graphs; weight increased in both groups in the first month of treatment, and continued to increase in the amino-acid group over the 9-month follow-up period. The pattern in the whey substitute group was less consistent, but weight at 9 months was about the same or worse than at baseline. In terms of statistical significant there is overlap of the 95% CI for the groups for weight. vs | Plasma amino-acid concentrations were compared with corresponding results in healthy age-matched infants who were breast-fed. Fasting morning plasma amino acid concentrations were taken and mean essential and branched amino-acid concentrations quoted. Data not reproduced here. Energy intake was similar in both groups. |
| | | | | | Length | [EL=1-] Although described as randomised in the abstract, randomisation is not described |
| | | | | | increased in amino-acid formula group but not in whey group, p=0.006 (no numerical data). Data were shown in graphs which show that length increased in both groups in the first month of treatment, and continued to increase in the amino-acid group over the 9-month follow-up period. The pattern in the whey substitute group was less consistent, but length at 9 months was about the same or worse than at baseline. In the graphs there is no overlap of the 95% CI for the groups for length which indicates statistically significant differences between groups. | elsewhere in the document. Additionally the interventions were given 'as desired', implying some degree of choice in the milk substitute given, which would nullify randomisation. |
| Glover MT;Atherton DJ; | Study Type: Randomised Controlled Trial | Total number of patients = 26 | Children aged 5-16 years (mean 10.26 years) with severe AE unresponsive to adequate treatment | Tyrosine adsorbed glycerinated extract of D. pteronyssinus* vs | Outcomes at 6 Months: Severity of erythema (mean score | Source of Funding: National Eczema Society/ Beecham's Pharmaceuticals |
| 1992 Apr | Evidence Level: 1- | House dust mite sensitisation N = 13 | with emollients, mild TCS, ichthammol paste bandages, systemic antihistamines, and 'appropriate' elimination diets. All had positive skin prick reaction to | Control (tyrosine suspension alone*) | change) | *given by subcutaneous injection once a week for 6 weeks (dose increasing to a maximum of 400 |
| | | Placebo N = 13 | Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus (a weal at least 4mm in diameter). 78% also had asthma, and 92% allergic | | -49%, p=0.643 for difference between scores at endpoint vs | Noon units); then given once a month for up to 6 months. Injections were given in hospital and followed |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | | | rhinitis. | | Severity of surface damage (mean score change) | by medical supervision for at least 2 hours. |
| | | | | | -47% vs | Parameters measured fro severity were assessed using a scale of 0-3. |
| | | | | | -32%, p=0.907 for score difference at endpoint vs | Scores for erythema and lichenification were 'slightly higher' at the start in patients receiving active treatment. |
| | | | | | Severity of lichenification (mean score change) | Skin prick tests were done at baseline and after 12 injections to variety of allergens. |
| | | | | | -43% vs | IgE also measured at baseline and after 12 injections. |
| | | | | | -48%, p=0.685 for score difference at endpoint vs | |
| | | | | | Parents assessment | |
| | | | | | 62% better | |
| | | | | | 31% same 8% worse vs | |
| | | | | | 82% better | |
| | | | | | 18% same 0% worse vs | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |
| | | | | | 6 redness at injection site vs | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |
| | | | | | 6 redness at injection site 1 faintness and dizziness 4 hours after injection | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Study aims/objectives | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| Galli E;Chini L;Nardi S;Benincori N;Panei | Study Type: Cohort Study | To evaluate the efficacy of an oral specific hyposensitisation therapy | Total No. of Patients = 60 | Children aged 0.5-12 years (mean 4.6 years) | Outcomes at 3 Years: | Funding: none declared |
| P;Fraioli G;Moschese V;Rossi P; | Evidence Level: 2- | (to house dust mite) in children with AE and positive skin prick tests and/or RAST to house dust | Children with AE and respiratory | with AE and positive skin prick tests to house dust mite | 'Dermatitis score' (mean score change) | Oral hyposensitisation therapy was randomised to the two groups who received this |
| 1994 Jan | | mite. | allergy (asthma or rhinitis), all given | solutions and/or positive RAST for anti- house dust mite IgE. | -8.4 (54%), p=NS between groups | intervention. EL=2- because baseline |
| 230 | | | oral hyposensitisation therapy; had previously had a 6- week free diet of cow's milk and/or | nouse dust time type. | | characteristics were not given therefore it is not possible to determine whether groups were similar other than in the intervention. |
| | | | eggs N = 26 Children with AE | | | Clinical features of erythema, vesicles, fissuration, lichenification, and itching gives a score of 0-3 (absent- |
| | | | only; all given oral hyposensitisation therapy | | | severe). |
| | | | N = 16 | | | Oral hyposensitisation therapy contained major (Der p1 and Der p11) and minor antigens |
| | | | Children with AE exclusively, treated with conventional therapy N = 18 | | | of house dust mite. The dose was increased up to a final dosage of 250 'STU' (not defined) administered three times a week. Duration of immunotherapy was: mean 18.7 vs 16.3 months in the AE plus allergy group vs AE only group respectively. |
| | | | | | | All children were treated with conventional therapy when needed, and used preventive measures to avoid the exposure to house dust mite. |
| | | | | | | The % improvement was also reported but this was not defined. |
| Sanda T;Yasue T;Oohashi M;Yasue A; | Study Type: Cohort Study | To investigate the effectiveness and mechanism of action of an air- | Total No. of Patients = 30 | Children and adults aged 9-75 years | Time to recurrence of symptoms (unspecified; mean [range]) | Funding: none declared |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Study aims/objectives | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| 1992 | Evidence Level: 2- | cleaning system (clean room therapy) in HDM allergen-sensitive patients with atopic eczema. | Clean room therapy (patients had HDM allergen-specific IgE RAST score of 3 or higher) N = 30 Clean room therapy (HDM allergen-specific IgE RAST score of 0) N = 11 Control (common sickroom; and HDM allergen-specific IgE RAST score of 3 or higher) N = 10 | (mean early 20s) with atopic eczema (score of 4.5 [scale not specified]) covering at least 18% of body surface area. All had a score of 0 for mold-specific (Candida) animal dander-specific and pollen-specific IgE RAST scores. | 8.4 (2-34) vs 1.7 (1-4) vs 1.6 (1-3) months | Patients were hospitalised for treatment. Clean room therapy consisted of an aircleaning system incorporating a HEPA filter; ventilation exchange of inside for outside air was conducted for about 10 minutes a day. Patients in the clean rooms were not allowed out except to go to the washroom/toilet. The ordinary 'sick room' used by the control group was identical in design to the clean room but without the aircleaning system; patients were allowed free movement in and out of the room. There were two patients to every room. Use of hydrocortisone butyrate 0.1% and/or beclometasone dipropionate 0.025% was permitted. The statistical significance of changes in laboratory parameters and HDM particle counts were also reported (no numerical data) - not reproduced here. Patients were hospitalised for 3-4 weeks. |
| Devlin J;David TJ;Stanton RH; | Study Type: Case series | To describe the treatment and follow-up of children with AE treated at home with a diet eliminating all but six foods. | Total No. of Patients = 63 Few foods diet | Children aged 0.4-14.8 years (median 2.9 years) with AE, selected for the study | Severity change in median score: from 60 (20-240) to 40 (4- | Source of Funding: North Western Regional Health Authority |
| 1991 | Evidence Level: 3 | Similarity an out on rooms. | N = 63 | either because of | 270), -33%, p<0.001. | Comments: |
| 208 | | | | extensive (more than 30% skin surface area) skin involvement | 39% had 'little or no improvement'. | The diet consisted of six foods: lamb, potato, rice, rice |
| | | | | poorly responsive to conventional therapy | 52% had 20% or greater reduction in disease | krispies, carrot and pear. Only water was permitted to drink. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Study aims/objectives | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|
| | | | · | or because of a 'clear history' of food intolerance (those with a food intolerance were already avoiding the foods concerned). 73% had a history of intolerance to 1-8 (median 3) foods, usually manifested as urticaria/angio-oedema, or exacerbation of AE. | severity score | If a child had a history of intolerance or dislike of one of the foods, a small number of alternatives were given instead. 20 were given a casein hydrosylate milk formula. All diets were supervised by a dietician. Parents asked to continue with usual treatment (although some changes were permitted if there was marked improvement or deterioration in the skin condition). If there was a 20% of greater improvement in disease severity score, then foods were reintroduced singly. |
| | | | | | | Severity score = surface area x erythema score (0-3). |
| | | | | | | It was also noted that 68% were followed up for '12 months or more. |
| | | | | | | Regardless of the response to treatment, at one year the final outcome was very similar'. |
| Ehlers I;Worm M;Sterry W;Zuberbier T; | Study Type: Case series | To investigate whether sugar exacerbates atopic eczema. | Total No. of Patients = 30 Sugar (sucrose) | Children and adults aged 2-47 years (mean 25 years) with | Changed from 31.7 (13, 65) to 29.4 (8, 60) after the 1-week elimination diet, then +3.1 (-9, 15) after sucrose challenge, and -4.4 (-22, 2) after the | Source of Funding: Charite Research Foundation |
| 2001 Aug | Evidence Level: 3 | | elimination* | atopic eczema. | placebo challenge. | Comments: |
| 215 | | | N = 30 | Exclusions: those with diabetes and phenylketonuria. | | *1-week elimination of sugar, sweets, and avoid regarding alternative sweeteners such as honey, maple syrup, and fruits. Aspartame was offered as a replacement sweetener. |
| | | | | | | In the DBPCFC, 100g sucrose (40g for children aged under 6 years) was given +200mg |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Study aims/objectives | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | aspartame (so that both active and placebo challenges tasted similar). The placebo was 500mg aspartame (+200mg to ensure the same taste). |
| | | | | | | Foods were added to a dessert. |
| Mehl A;Verstege A;Staden U;Kulig M;Nocon M;Beyer K;Niggemann B; 2005 Aug | Study Type: Case series/diagnostic Evidence level 3 | To investigate whether a higher ratio of specific to total IgE would result in higher probability of symptomatic food allergy | Total No. of patients = 501 Children with suspected food allergy N = 501 | Children aged 3 months to 16 years (median 13 months) with suspected food allergy (88% had atopic eczema) | Proportion of positivie tests results on food challenge: 49% to cow's milk, 66.5% egg, 35% wheat, 6% soya. Delayed 6% milk, 3% egg, 10% wheat, 8% soya. Both early and delayed reactions: 8% milk, 12% egg, 9% wheat, 5% soya. Total IgE ranged from 0.3-13.525 ku/l (median 94.3). Ratio of specific to total 0-91% (median 0.3%) for milk, 69.4% (median 1.7%) for egg, 70.7% (median 0) for wheat, and 15% (median 0) for soya. Significant correlation was reported for the outcome of food challenges for milk, egg, and wheat but not for soy. At the 95% predictive probability, for hen's egg a ratio of specific to total IgE of 19.1% had sensitivity of 10%, NPV 35.7%, and specificity and PPV of 100%. No predictive probabilities could be calculated for cow's milk, wheat or soya. | Source of Funding: None declared Comments: Antihistamines were withdrawn 72 hours before testing. TCS were allowed twice daily. Testing was only undertaken when eczema was controlled. Elimination diets were used 1 week before testingh. Food challenges (n=992) 74% were DBPCFC (placebo = neocate). Open challenges were used for children younger than 12 months who had a clear history of immediate type reactions. Increasing doses of foods were used (fresh milk, soyamillk, wheat powder, and raw hen's egg). Test positivie if 1 or more of the following: urticaria, angiodema, wheezing, vomiiting, diarrhoea, shock or exacerbation of eczema. |
| | | | | | | and soya. The lower detection limit was 0.35ku/l. |
| Hill DJ; Lynch BC | Study Type: Case series | To investigate the effects of an elemental-based diet in children with severe atopic eczema. | Total No. of Patients = 10 | Children (age not stated) with severe generalised atopic | Eczema scores fell in the 8 children who completed 6 weeks' treatment, and were significantly lower than at baseline, p<0.001. | Source of Funding: None declared. |
| 1982 May | | | Elemental diet | eczema which was | After resuming their usual diet for 6 weeks, scores | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Study aims/objectives | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|---|
| | Evidence level: 3 | | (Vivonex-Eaton) for | persistent and | increased towards baseline, p>0.05 vs baseline. | Comments: |
| 213 | | | 2 weeks, then pumpkin, potatoes, | unresponsive to topical treatment. | Adverse effects were not considered. | 2 children withdrew in the first week. |
| | | | zucchini, apples, pears, and pure vegetable margarine added for weeks 3-6. N = 10 | | | The eczema score was calculated by adding severity (0-3) with extent (0-3, non-involving trunk and flexures), and use of TCS. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Study summary |
|---|---------------------------------|---|--|--|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Niggemann B, Reibel S, Roehr C, et al.; 2001 ⁵⁴⁷ | Cohort Evidence level = 2 | To identify the number of patients with food allergy but without IgE sensitivity. | n=139 Age 2 months – 11.2 years, median 13 months All children had atopic eczema. | Children with atopic eczema referred for food allergy investigation. | Positive reaction to DBPCFC | 208 DBPCFCs undertaken, 111 were positive, of which 59 were early, 25 were late and 27 were combined early and late. 46 early reactions included urticaria All late and combined reactions were related to atopic eczema Allergens tested were cow's milk, egg and wheat. There were 52 +ve challenges to cow's milk, 38 to egg and 21 to wheat. There were 12 +ve tests with allergens that did not display high slgE. | Retrospective review of consecutive referrals to allergy clinic. |
| Sampson H. 1983 ⁵⁴⁸ | Cohort Evidence level = 2 | To determine whether immediate reactions to food play a part in the pathogenesis of atopic eczema | n=26 age 16 months – 19 years, median 11 years all children with atopic eczema, serum IgE concentrations > 1000U/ml, history of possible food hypersensitivity, capable of cooperating with challenge procedures. | | Diagnosis of food allergy | 15 children had +ve challenge tests (23/104 tests) 21 tests provoked cutaneous reactions +ve challenges or convincing history of anaphylaxis were to egg (10), milk (4), peanut (3), wheat (3), soya (2), chicken (2) fish (1), chocolate (1), potato (1), rye (1) | Small study investigating concordance between skin tests and food challenge. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Study summary |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sampson H, McCaskill C.; 1985 ⁵⁴⁹ | Cohort Evidence level = 2 | As in study ⁵⁴⁸ | n=113, age 4 months – 24.5 years, median 6 years all had atopic dermatitis | Children referred for investigation of severe atopic eczema | Foods inducing reactions in DBPCFC | 101/370 +ve food challenges in 56% of patients. Main allergens as derived by DBPCFC or convincing history were egg (44 challenges), peanut (20 challenges) and milk (11 challenges). Other allergens were soya, wheat, fish, chicken, pork, beef and potato. | |
| Burks A, James J, Hiegel A, et al.; 1998 ⁵⁵⁰ | Cohort Evidence level = 2 | To determine if screening for food allergy by skin prick testing can identify food allergy. | n=165, age 4 months – 21.9 years, median 49 months) | Patients attending allergy clinic with atopic eczema | Food allergy identified by DBPCFC | 266 DBPCFC tests carried out, 83 were positive plus 12 identified by convincing history of anaphylaxis. All reactions occurred within 2 hours. Main allergens were peanut (27/44 challenges), milk (14/28 challenges). Other foods producing reactions were wheat, soya, cod, catfish, cashew, chicken, kidney bean, tomato, beef, other pulses and shrimp. | |
| Eigenmann P, Calza, A-M.; 2000 ¹⁴² | Cohort Evidence level = 2 | To report how food allergy diagnosis is made. | n=74, age 6 months – 16.3 years, median 2.5 years | Patients attending paediatric allergy or dermatology clinics with atopic eczema | Food allergy identified by CAP or DBPCFC | 6 children underwent DBPCFC of whom 3 were allergic to milk and 2 to soya. | Retrospective review of consecutive referrals |

Treatment

Emollients and bandages

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Bibliographic | Study type and | Number of | Patient | Intervention and | Follow-up and | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
| information | evidence level | patients | characteristics | comparison | outcome measures | | |
| Hindley D;Galloway G;Murray | Study Type: RCT | 50 (45 analysed) | Children with atopic eczema with moderate | Intervention: Wet Wraps treatment | Follow-up period: 4 weeks | 1) -29 (55%) vs -24 (59%) | Funding: NHS research and development fund (North West) |
| J;Gardener L; | Evidence level: | Wet wraps n=28 | or severe atopic eczema (SCORAD scores >15) aged 4-27 | initially applied daily for 24 hours a day over hydrocortisone | Outcome Measures: | 'Effect of allocation (effect of intervention from linear | The study was conducted in a secondary care |
| 2006 Feb | 1- | Conventional treatment n=22 | months, median age 8 months in wet wraps | ointment 1% (or more potent topical | Disease severity (mean change in | regression model after adjustment for baseline) -3.4 | paediatric department. |
| 249 | | | arm and 14 months in conticosteroid is conventional required) for a week, | 95% CI -12.2 to 5.5, p=0.44' | [EL=1-] because the study was underpowered to detect clinically significant differences (the sample size in | | |
| | | Exclusions: active skin infection; | treatment arm | followed by wet wraps 12 to 24 hours a day depend on progress | 2) Quantity of topical corticosteroids used | 2) Mean difference -0.56g/day, 95% CI -1.9 to 0.8 g/day, p=0.404 | each group was only half that needed to ensure 80% power at the 0.05% level of statistical significance), the 'education' nurses were not blind to the treatment |
| | | previous allergic reactions to proposed trial | | assessed by the research nurse. | Concomitant treatments (used by | 3) Sedative antihistamines | allocation, and only those who completed treatment were analysed. |
| | | treatment; | | When wet wraps was | % children) | 13% vs 14% | Withdrawal rates were 5 (22%) in the wet wrap group |
| | | predominantly on the face | | used for 12 hours a day the hydrocortisone 1% and emollients | 4) Nurse/carer ratings in | Antibiotics 22% vs 0%, difference 22%, 95% CI 5% to 42%, p=0.05 | vs 0 with control, p=0.057. Withdrawals were due to non-compliance. |
| | | | | were used as required during the non-wet | a) difference in eczema control (% | , i | One child in the wet wrap group received a potent topical corticosteroid (no further details) from days 4-7, |
| | | | | wrap peroid | better or much better) | 4a) nurse rating 65% vs 59%, p=0.672 | and was subsequently withdrawn from the study |
| | | | | Concomitant treatment: | b) ease of use of treatments (% easy to very easy to use) | carer rating 70% vs 64%, p=0.758 | |
| | | | | a sedative antihistamine as required | c) how easy to tolerate (% easy or very easy) | 4b) carer rating 39% vs 73% p=0.036 | |
| | | | | 2. oral antibiotics as required | | 4c) carer rating 48% vs 67% p=0.239 | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| IIIOIIIIatioii | evidence level | patients | CHARACTERISTICS | Companson | Outcome measures | | |
| | | | | Comparison: | | | |
| | | | | Conventional | | | |
| | | | | treatment: emollients | | | |
| | | | | applied at least 3 | | | |
| | | | | times a day and as | | | |
| | | | | required use of | | | |
| | | | | hydrocortisone | | | |
| | | | | ointment 1% twice a | | | |
| | | | | day (or use more potent topical | | | |
| | | | | corticosteroids if | | | |
| | | | | required) for 4 weeks | | | |
| eattie PE;Lewis- | Study Type: | 19 children | Children with atopic | Intervention: | Follow-up period: | 1a) -10.3 (37%) vs -15.7 (53%) | Funding: The Tayside University Hospitals Trust grant |
| ones MS; | RCT | | eczema affecting | Hydrocortisone 1% | Duration of | 'mean fall in SASSAD was 8 | scheme |
| | | Wet wraps n=10 | 30% or more of their | applied once in the | treatment 3 weeks | more without wet wraps, 95% | |
| 004 Jul | Evidence level: | Wet wraps 11-10 | body surface area, | morning for 2 weeks, | | CI -18 to 2, p=0.11) | The study was described as a pilot RCT. |
| 00+ 0ui | 1+ | • " ' | without infectious | with wet wraps applied | Outcome Measures: | | Head and neck excluded from wet wrap therapy. |
| 6 | | Conventional | evidence. | twice daily for the first week and only at night | 1) SASSAD scores | 1b) -11.4 (41%) vs -15.7 (53%) | riead and neck excluded nom wet wrap therapy. |
| | | treatment n=9 | Age 4 months to 3 | for the second week. | a) mean change | 15) 1111 (1176) 10 1017 (0076) | |
| | | | years, mean 1.77 years in wet wraps | Only an emollients | from baseline at | 0) 1. 1. 14 0 04 0 | Within the quality of life assessment, changes in sleep |
| | | Exclusions: | arm, and 1.44 years in | was used during the | week 2 | 2) week 1: 14.9g vs 24.8g | scores were also reported (improvements in both groups), but no between-groups analysis. |
| | | children | conventional | third week. | b) mean change | week 2: 9.3g vs 18.9 g, p=0.10 | groups), but no between-groups analysis. |
| | | requiring more potent topical | treatment arm | | from baseline at | | |
| | | corticosteroids | | Emollients could be | week 3 | 3) week 1: 285.5 g vs 199.9 g | |
| | | than | Baseline SASSAD | used as required for | | week 2: 224.5 g vs 221.5 g | |
| | | hydrocortisone | scores 28 vs 29.9 | the whole duration of | 2) Quantity of topical | week 3: 200.3 g vs 257.7 g | |
| | | 1%; use of oral | | the study. | corticosteroids used | 0 0 | |
| | | steroids or | | | (median) | 4a) -2 vs -7, 95% CI for | |
| | | antibiotics within | | One finger-tip unit was | | difference -10 to 3, p=0.24 | |
| | | 2 weeks; concurrent ues | | spread over two hand | 3) Quantity of | a | |
| | | of systemic or | | areas. | emollients used | 4b) -2 vs -5. 95% CI for | |
| | | alternative | | | (median) | difference -14 to 2, p=0.42 | |
| | | therapies | | A 20-min time delay | | amororioo - 17 to 2, μ-0.42 | |
| | | | | between use of | 4) Quality of life | 5) 0 (000() 0 (III IIII | |
| | | | | steroids and | a) IDQOL (median | 5) 2 (20%) vs 0 folliculitis | |
| | | | | emollients | change in scores at | | |
| | | | | | week 3) | withdrawals: 2 (20%) vs 2 | |
| | | | | Comparison: | b) DFI (median | (22%) due to folliculitis, unable | |
| | | | | Hydrocortisone 1% | change in score at | to attend vs non-compliance, | |
| | | | | applied twice daily for | week 3) | treatment failure. | |
| | | | | 2 weeks, followed by | | | |
| | | | | emollients only for the | 5) Adverse effects | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | third week. | and withdrawals | | |
| | | | | Emollients could be used as required for the whole duration of the study. | | | |
| Wolkerstorfer A;Visser RL;De Waard van der Spek FB;Mulder PG;Oranje AP; 2000 Nov | Study Type: Cohort Non-randomised controlled trial Evidence level: 2- | 31 children Group 1: 50% dilution of fluticasone propionate (FP) 0.05%, n=18 Group 2: a side-to-side 10%, 25% and 50% dilution of FP 0.05% for one week, then 10% dilution for one week, n=5 Group 3: 0% (emollient), 5%, 10% or 25% dilution of FP 0.05%, n=8 | Children with severe refractory atopic eczema aged 5 months to 13 years, mean age not reported. SCORAD score >40 in 29 (94%) | Intervention: Group 1: 50% dilution of FP cream under wet wrap treatment for 2 weeks Group 2: different dilution (10%, 25% and 50%) of FP cream under wet wraps treatment for body symmetrically eczema for 2 weeks Group 3: different dilution (0% (emollient), 5%, 10% and 25%) of FP cream under wet wraps treatment for 2 children in each strength for 2 weeks Comparison: The serum corticol levels before and after wet wrap treatment in different dilution of FP strength groups | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment: 2 weeks Outcome Measures: 1) Mean serum cortisol levels (SD) a) Group 1 b) Group 2 c) Group 3 2) Adverse effects a) Group 1 b) Group 2 c) Group 3 | 1a) Overall, no significant decrease in cortisol levels at week 2, p=0.24. Levels were 'temporarily below the normal range' (0.2-0.8 micromol/l) in 3 (17%) children 1b) 0.45 (0.17) micromol/l at week 2 vs 0.42 (0.16) at baseline 1c) levels were below the normal range in 2/8 children (0.03 and 0.09 micromol/l). Serum cortisol levels vs FP quantity per body surface area (microgram per m2) for each of the 8 patients: 0.28 vs 0 0.46 vs 0 0.55 vs 564 0.39 vs 728 0.36 vs 835 0.09 vs 957 0.03 vs 1129 0.33 vs 2071 2a) 30% (6/18) upper respiratory tract infection 30% (6/18) folliculitis 5.5% (1/18) herpes simplex | Funding: none declared. Tubifast was the bandage used. The cream was applied to the whole body. The bandage was rewetted every 2 hours with water using aspary bottle. Cortisol was measured at 9 o'clock in the morning in groups 1 and 2, at baseline and after 2 weeks. In group 3 serum cortisol and urinary timed morning cortisol/creatinine ratio was measured daily at 6 o'clock in the morning for the first week of treatment. SCORAD scores were also measured, but only selected numerical data were reported; results were mainly presented in graphs. The proportions with mild, moderate and severe atopic eczema were also reported, but the method of classification was not described. |
| | | | | | | infection 5.5% (1/18) diarrhoea 5.5% (1/18) itching | |
| | | | | | | 5.5% (1/16) liching | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | 2b) 40% (2/5) upper respiratory infection | |
| | | | | | | 40% (2/5) folliculitis | |
| | | | | | | 20% (1/5) abdominal pain | |
| | | | | | | 20% (1/5) itching | |
| | | | | | | 2c) 63% (5/8) folliculitis | |
| | | | | | | 12.5% (1/8) balanitis | |
| | | | | | | 12.5% (1/8) furunculosis | |
| Grimalt R;Mengeaud V;Cambazard | Study Type: RCT | 173 randomised; 82 to control, 91 | Infants less than 12 months old with | Intervention: Topical corticosteroid plus | Follow-up period: 6 weeks | Mean weight of high-potency corticosteroid consumption | Data reported at 3 weeks but not reproduced here. |
| F;Study Investigators' | Infants under 12 | to treatment; 162 analysed, 4 lost | moderate to severe atopic dermatitis | emollient | | after 6 weeks: 14.7g (no emollient), 8.56 (emollient) | Topical corticosteroid prescribed according to |
| Group.; | months randomised to | to follow up in | (SOCRAD 20-70, | Occursion Tables | Outcome Measures: | (p=0.025) | investigators' regular practice. |
| | topical | control group, 5 | mean 35 at baseline) | Comparison: Topical corticosteroid alone. | Primary outcomes: consumption of | u / | |
| 2007 | corticosteroid | in treatment | | Topical corticosteroids | high-potency | Mean weight of moderate- | |
| | plus emollient or | group. 2 infants randomised to | Excluded if | used were micronized | corticosteroids, | potency corticosteroid | |
| 248 | alone | treatment group | SOCRAD<20 or | desonide 0.1% cream | consumption of | consumption after 6 weeks: | |
| | | did not meet | SOCRAD >70 or if emollients or topical | or desonide 0.1% | moderate-potency corticosteroids. | 8.03g (no emollient), 7.43 (emollient) (p=0.92) | |
| | Evidence level: 1+ | inclusion criteria. | corticosteroids had | cream | corticosteroias. | (emoment) (p=0.32) | |
| | 17 | | been used in week | Emollient used was an emollient emulsion | Secondary | No significant difference in | |
| | | | prior to | (Exomega) containing | outcomes: severity | SOCRAD score was found | |
| | | | commencement of study. Infants older | evening primrose oil | of atopic eczema | between the treatment groups | |
| | | | than 12 months were | and oat extract. | (SOCRAD score), | at 6 weeks. | |
| | | | excluded as well as | | quality of life (French version of | | |
| | | | any with history of | | IDQoL and DFI), | No significant differences in | |
| | | | allergy to a product constituent or medical | | tolerance and | quality of life were found | |
| | | | problems likely to | | safety. | between treatment groups. | |
| | | | interfere with AD | | | 0 " ' " ' | |
| | | | evaluation. | | | 2 patients suffered severe adverse effects and were not | |
| | | | | | | included in the analysis. | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| Giordano-Labadie F; 2006 235 | Study Type: RCT Evidence level: 1- | Total: 76 Emollient: 37 No emollient: 39 | Children aged 6 months to 12 years. SCORAD < 35 | Intervention: Emollient used twice daily Comparison: Emollient vs. no emillient | Follow-up period: 8 weeks Outcome Measures: SCORAD, pruritus, xerosis, quality of life (CLQI) | Emollient group: CLQI reduction: 0.84 (p=0.001) SCORAD: 59% reduction (p>0.05) pruritus: 66% reduction (p<0.0001) xerosis:69% reduction (p<0.01) No emollient group: CLQI reduction 0.41 (p=0.17) SCORAD: 49% reduction (p>0.05) pruritus: 42% reduction (p>0.05) xerosis:36% reduction (p<0.01) | No description of randomisation, concealment, dropouts. |
| | | | | | | p<0.01 for difference between the two groups on pruritus and xerosis. | |
| Schnopp C;Holtmann C;Stock S;Remling R;Folster-Holst R;Ring J;Abeck D; | Study Type: RCT Left-right side comparison | 20 | Children aged 2-17 years ('medium' age 7.2 years), presenting at outpatients with exacerbation of atopic | Intervention: Mometasone furoate 0.1% covered by wet wraps (n not stated) | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 5 days | No numerical data reported; data presented in graphs only. Statistically significantly greater reduction in mometasone group claimed, | Funding: Essex Pharmaceuticals Treatment given as hospital inpatients. |
| 2002 | Evidence level: | | eczema, and skin lesions symmetrically | Comparison: Vehicle covered by wet wraps | Outcome Measures: 1) SCORAD | p<0.01 | |
| 250 | 1- | | affecting either inside of elbows or back of knees. | (n not stated) | Transepidermal water loss (change from baseline) | 2) -16 (44%) mometasone vs - 12.5 (36%), p=NS | |
| | | | Medium SCORAD score 52.6 (SD 16.9), range 21.5-82.2 | | 3) S aureus skin counts | 3) Data not reported | |
| Pei AYS;Chan HHL;Ho KM; | Study Type: RCT | 40 randomised, 27 completed | Children aged 1-15 years with atopic | Intervention: Group 1: Fluticasone propionate | Follow-up period: | 1) (group 1 vs 2 vs 3 vs 4 respectively) | Funding: none declared |
| 2001 | Evidence level: | treatment and analysed | eczema, attending a paediatric outpatient clinic. Active disease | 0.005% (diluted to 10% strength with petrolatum), for 4 | Outcome Measures: 1) Disease severity | -6.50 (18%) p=0.091 -19.0 (46%) p=0.078 | [EL=1-] only completers analysed. |
| 327 | 1- | Fluticasone propionate | despite treatment with a moderately potent topical corticosteroid | petrolatum), for 4 weeks | score (change in median at week 4; p vs baseline) | -24.0 (60%) p=0.018 -46.5 (77%) p=0.050 | *Disease severity score takes account of 6 signs measured over 8 areas, using a score of 0-3 (0 none to 3 severe, and giving a maximum score of 144. The 6 |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| iioiiiatioii | evidence rever | 0.005% (diluted to 10% strength with petrolatum) n=21 Mometasone furcate 0.1% (diluted to 10% strength with petrolatum) n=19 Exclusions: treatment with systemic corticosteroids, immunosuppres sants, Chinese herbal medicine or antibiotics within 6 weeks; other skin conditions or infections | plus soap substitutes and emollients. Minimum disease severity score 40/144*. Baseline median scores 36.5, 41, 40, and 60.50 in groups 1, 2, 3, 4 respectively. Disease extent scores 54 vs 70.50 (groups 3 and 4 only) Topical skin treatment was standardised to emulsifying ointment as a soap substitute, petrolatum as emollient, and flucinolone acetonide 0.005% cream, applied twice daily | Group 2: Mometasone furoate ointment 0.1% (diluted to 10% strength with petrolatum), for 4 weeks Comparison: Group 3: Fluticasone propionate 0.005% (diluted to 10% strength with petrolatum), for 2 weeks, then under wet wraps for 2 weeks Group 4: Mometasone furoate ointment 0.1% (diluted to 10% strength with petrolatum), for 2 weeks, then under wet wraps for 2 weeks In all groups, petrolatum was applied to non-affected areas | 2) Disease extent score (change in median at week 4; p vs baseline) 3) Subjective assessment of impact of atopic eczema on daily life (scale o-3, where 3=highest impact), p vs baseline | 2) Groups 3 and 4 only -30.0 (56%) p=0.028 -48.0 (68%) p=0.025 3) Groups 3 and 4 only +1 (6%) p=0.671 -3.5 (18%) p=0.011 | signs are erythema, oedema/papulation, oozing/crusting, excoriation, lichenification, dryness). Disease extent score estimates the body surface area involved; 8 areas are evaluated, with contributions of 9% each for three areas, 18% for four, and 1% for one. Patients initially received the TCS for 2 weeks, then if less than 50% improvement in their condition, they were further randomised to continue with the same treatment alone, or the same under wet wraps. At bedtime, patients applied medicated ointment to affected areas after a bath, then tubifast dressings soaked in warm water were placed over the affected areas. A second, dry, layer was placed over the wet layer. Dressings were left on overnight beofre removal in the morning. Ten patients achieved 50% or greater improvement at week 2 therefore did not enter the second half of the study. Three children withdrew from the study, 1 unable to tolerate the fluticasone wet wrap, 2 stopped after first week and dropped out because they 'felt eczema was static'. |
| Lucky AW;Leach AD;Laskarzewski P;Wenck H; 1997 Jul | Study Type: Cohort Non-randomised comparative trial Evidence level: 2- | Exclusions: topical corticosteroid creams not indicated; hypersensitivity to corticosteroids. | Children with mild to moderate atopic eczema, and clinically evident atopic eczema present symmetrically either on both, antecubital or popliteal fosssae or on matching areas on the extensor surfaces of the arms, legs, trunk, or cheeks. Age 3-15 years, mean 7.8 years. | Intervention: Hydrocortisone cream 2.5% plus emollient (Eucerin), both applied once daily Comparison: Hydrocortisone cream 2.5% applied twice daily | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 3 weeks Outcome Measures: 1) Signs and symptoms of eczema (mean change in scores at 3 weeks, on scale of 0-3, none to severe) a) erythema b) scaling/crusting c) excoriation d) lichenification e) burning/stinging | 1a) -1.4 (73% vs -1.44 (75%) 1b) -1.48 (77%) vs -1.52 (81%) 1c) -1.52 (83%) vs -1.4 (83%) 1d) -1.2 (83%) vs -1.24 (86%) 1e) -1.04 (100%) vs -1.0 (100%) 1f) -2.12 (95%) vs -2.24 (93%) 1g) -1.44 (67%) vs -1.56 (75%) p>0.545 for 'rates of improvement' 2) -66% vs -68% (unclear whether this applies to the total area of all lesions) | Funding: none declared Investigator was blind to assigned treatment. [EL=2-] because unclear whether groups were similar at baseline - only baseline scores for global condition shown, no further details about the children. Moisturising characteristics of the emollient were also investigated (satisfaction, ease of use) but incomplete data reported therefore data not reproduced here. The quantities of TCS used in each group were not reported. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | f) itching | | |
| | | | | | g) global | p>0.98 between groups | |
| | | | | | 2) Mean size of least and greatest diameters of lesions (millimetres squared) | | |
| Harper J; | Study Type: RCT | 30 randomised, 26 analysed | Children aged 1-9 years (mean 4.5 | Intervention: Oilatum bath emollient. | Follow-up period: Duration of | 1) 2.7 (SEM 2.6) Oilatum vs 9.2 (SE 2.9) Oilatum plus. | Funding: none declared |
| 1995 | Evidence level: | Exclusions: | years) with atopic eczema displaying features of recurrent | 15ml was added to 8 inches of bath water, | treatment, 4 weeks | Assumed these are redcutions. No baseline scores reported, | [EL=1-] because no baseline data for main outcome, and fewer analysed than randomised. |
| 241 | 1- | concurrent use, or use within 2 weeks, of systemic or | infection and/or frequent exacerbations. | the child soaked for 10-15 minutes. Comparison: Oilatum | Outcome Measures: 1) Mean change in total clinical score* from baseline | although it was reported that the change from baseline in the Oilatum Plus group was significant, p<0.05 | Single centre, double-blind cross over study. |
| | | topical antibiotics or oral corticosteroids | 88% had at least three exacerbations in their | Plus bath emollient. 15ml was added to 8 | 2) Global impression | 2) Although described as | Each bath additive was used daily for 4 weeks, separated by a 2-week washout period. |
| | | coracostorolas | eczema during the 12- month period prior to study entry. | inches of bath water, the child soaked for 10-15 minutes. | scale, global change scale, self-reported diary 3) Adverse effects | outcomes, no numerical data reported. 'No significant difference' between groups claimed. | Emulsifying ointment or aqueous cream were used as a soap substitute in all cases, and any pre-study topical corticosteroid therapy was continued unaltered during the study. |
| | | | | | 3) Adverse effects | 3) n=4 vs 3 pruritus | |
| | | | | | | | *total clinical score takse account of 10 signs and symptoms of eczema, and the area of the body affected; total score 100. |
| White MI;Batten TL;Ormerod AD; | Study Type: Cohort | 9 | Children with chronic stable atopic eczema | Intervention: Daily use of bath emollient (one | Follow-up period: Duration of | 1) 1.25 (SE 0.88), 95% CI - 0.84 to 3.34 | Funding: none declared |
| 1994 | within patient left-right side (arm) | Exclusions: clinical infection; known allergy to | attending a paediatric outpatient clinic. | arm soaked in a basin of warm water with 1ml Oilatum added, for 15 minutes/day) | treatment, 4 weeks Outcome Measures: | Mean scores only presented in graphs. | Examiner was unaware of which arm was being soaked in bath emollient daily |
| 244 | comparison Evidence level: | emollient; atopic condition requiring | Aged 5 months to 13 years. | plus usual care (weekly bathing in | Mean difference in clinical score at week 4 | 2) 0.93 (SE 0.32), 95% CI 0.21 to 1.66, p=0.019 | Clinical score takes account of extent and severity of atopic eczema. Maxium score not stated |
| | 2- | systemic corticosteroid therapy | Baseline clinical scores (presented in graphs only) ranged from 1 to 7 | weekly bathing in bath containing 15ml emollient, twice daily application of emollient and topical corticosteroid, use of 3% aqueous emulsifying was as a | 2) Mean difference in change in clinical score over duration of study | · | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | soap substitute | | | |
| | | | | Comparison: Usual care (weekly bathing in bath containing 15ml emollient, twice daily application of emollient and topical corticosteroid, use of 3% aqueous emulsifying was as a soap substitute) | | | |
| Muzaffar F, Hussain I, et al | Study Type: Cohort | 50 | Children with mild to moderate atopic | Intervention: Betamethasone valerate 0.1% | Follow-up period: Duration of | 1) -17.2 (88%) vs -17.5 (88%) 'no significant difference | Funding: none declared, although the emollient cream was provided by Stiefel Laboratories ltd. |
| 2002 | Evidence level: 2- | (a left-right comparison) | eczema (SCORAD scores 15-40, mean approximately 20). Mean age 3.5 (SD 2.5), no range | ointment applied in the morning to affected areas, and emollient applied in the evening | Outcome Measures: 1) SCORAD (mean | between groups' (no p value reported) 2) None were reported during | [EL=2-] because no baseline data were reported, therefore cannot tell whether groups were similar in all aspects other than the intervention. |
| | | | reported. | (Oilatuma) | change in score from baseline) | the trial | The quantities of TCS used in each group were not |
| | | | | Comparison: Betamethasone valerate 0.1% ointment applied twice daily to affected areas | 2) Adverse effects | | reported. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| Tang WYM;Chan HHL;Lam VMF;Chong LY;Lo KK; | | Intervention: Wet wraps treatment with mometasone furoate 0.1% once daily for 2 weeks, diluted to 10% or 15% using emulsifying ointment (strength used depended on age and disease severity). Mometasone was applied to the affected areas and emulsifying ointment to all areas of dry skin of the body and limbs as an emollient. Wet wraps were worn for 10-12 hours per day. | Exclusions: systemic corticosteroid treatment, Chinese herbal medicine, or systemic immunosuppressant therapy in the preceding 3 months; extensive oozing or clinically infected eczematous lesions | Children with severe atopic eczema who failed to respond to at least 2 weeks' treatment with emollients and topical corticosteroids. Aged 3-12 years, mean 8.5 years. | 1) Clinical severity score (0-3, applied to 5 clinical signs/symptoms, erythema, papulation/oedema, excoriations, lichenification, dryness), mean change 2) Self-assessment score (0-3, applied to 4 symptoms, mood disturbance, itchiness, sleep loss, social perturbation), mean change 3) 'early morning' plasma cortisol levels (n=8) | 1) -7.5 (73%) 2) -6.2 (72%) 3) Within normal range in 7 of 8 children (166-773 nmol/l); below lower limit in 1 child (139nmol/l). Change from baseline not reported. 4) 25% (n=3) folliculitis 25% 'tight sensation' 8% itchiness 8% cool sensation 8% hot and wet sensation | Funding: none declared Dressings used were tubifast and tubigrip; 10 used tubigrip alone, 1 tubifast alone, and 1 used both. Parents made up the diluted product at home, having been provided with the weighed ingredients to make a fresh product every night. A 10% dilution was used in 4 children, and a 15% dilution in 8. |
| Cork MJ: | EL=3 | Intervention: Regimen 1: | 44 | It is not clear whether | folliculitis 1) Severity | No numerical data. | This was a DB left-right side comparison. |
| 1998 ²⁴⁷ | | Fluprednidene-21- acetate applied twice daily days 1 and 3, emollients applied twice daily day 2 (repeated until day 21) Regimen 2: Fluprednidene-21- acetate applied twice daily days 1 and 4, emollients applied twice daily days 2-3 (repeated until day 21) | | the patients were children or adults. They had atopic eczema. No other demographic details. | 2) Quantity of TCS used | Reported that the reduction in severity was similar in the four groups. Not stated how severity was measured. 2) The group using emollient for most days used 75% less TCS than the control group (TCS only). | g |
| | | Regimen 3: Fluprednidene-21- acetate applied twice | | | | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | daily days 1 and 5, emollients applied twice daily days 2-4 (repeated until day 21) | | | | | |
| | | Comparison: Fluprednidene-21- acetate applied twice daily, wihout emollients | | | | | |
| Chamlin SL;Kao J;Frieden J;Sheu MY;Fowler AJ;Fluir JW;Williams ML;Elias PM; 2002 Aug ⁶⁸ | EL=3 | Intervention: Moisturising cream three times daily plus desonide 0.5% lotion applied twice daily (left side of body) To standardise a cleansing regimen, patients were also instructed to use a nonmedictaed cleansing bar (cetaphil) Comparison: Control group (desonide 0.5% lotion applied twice daily, used on right side of body) | 24 | Patients aged 6 years and above with a 'confirmed diagnosis' of mild-to-moderate atopic eczema, having erythema, dryness or scaling, and pruritus on both sides of their body. | 1) Symptom scores (7 signs or symptoms*, marked out of 9; maximum scores 63) 2) Global assessment of improvement (clear=100% clearance except for residual discolouration; marked improvement=75-99% improvement; definite improvement; minimal improvement =25-49% improvement; no change; and exacerbation | No numerical data for any outcome; data shown in graphs only | Funding: Galderma Laboratories Inc., Fort Worth, Texas. Target lesions were identified on both sides of the body; mirror lesions were preferred but not required. *erythema, dryness or scaling, pruritus, excoriations, lichenification, oozing or crusting, and indurations or papules. Scale 0-9: 0=none, 1-3=mild, 4-6=moderate, 7-9=severe |
| Cork MJ:Timmins | EL=3 | To standardise a cleansing regimen, patients were also instructed to use a nonmedictaed cleansing bar (cetaphil) Intervention: Aqueous cream (used by 71%) | 100 | Children with atopic eczema aged 1-16 | 3) Tolerability Proportion reporting an immediate cutaneous | 56.3% with aqueous cream | Funding: none declared. |
| J;Holden C;Carr J;Berry V;Tazi- Ahnini R;Ward SJ; | | Comparison: 'other' emollients (14 used; which not specified) | | years attending a paediatric dermatology clinic. No further demographic details. | reaction (a report of one or more of burning, stinging, itching, and redness developing within 20 minutes of applying an emollient to the child's skin) | 17.8% immediate cutaneous reactions per episodes of exposure (111 for 622 episodes Difference between aqueous cream and all other emollients grouped together | An anonymised form was completed from the children's notes and during clinic visits |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| | | | | | | statistically significant, p<0.001 | |
| Whitefield M; | EL=3 | Intervention: Dermol 500 lotion, applied to the affected areas as | 40 (39 completed) | Children aged 20 months to 13 years (mean 6 years) | 1) Itching | Itching of limbs/trunk (n=37): 84% better, much better or completely better, | Funding: none declared (author's address Dermal Laboratories Ltd). |
| 1998 240 | | required; could be used in the shower or bath, | | already receiving treatment for | 2) Dryness | 16% unchanged. | Patients continued with their other systemic or topical treatments. |
| | | and instead of ordinary soap or shower gel. | | eczema/dermatitis and known to require emollients to manage | 3) Satisfaction | Itching of face/neck (n=21): 86% better, much better or | · |
| | | Comparison: N/A | | their dry skin condition. | 4) Ease of use (cosmetic acceptability, n=34 [87%]) | completely better, 14% unchanged. | Dryness of the skin assessed by visual inspection; severity of itching assessed using indicators such as the overall level of distress being caused to the child and by the intensity |
| | | | | Exclusions: acute secondary skin infection (exudative dermatitis); known or | 5) Satisfaction with the effectiveness of the lotion as a soap susbstitute (in 27 who used the product in this way) | 2) Dryness of limbs/trunk (n=39): 87% better, much better or completely better, 13% unchanged or worse. | and frequency of scratching. |
| | | | | suspected history of intolerance or skin sensitivity to any of the | • • | Dryness of face/neck (n=21): 81% better, much better or | |
| | | | | ingredients e.g. benzalkonium chloride or chlorhexidine | 6) Adverse effects | completely better, 19% unchanged. | |
| | hydrochloride | | 3) Overall effectiveness described as excellent, very good or good by 95%, and poor by 5% | | | | |
| | | | | | | Of 79 comparisons with emollients used previously 72% ranked dermol 500 as better or much better, 23% 'were ambivalent', and 5% worse or much worse. | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 4) 24% excellent 41% very good 35% good | |
| | | | | | | Of 79 comparisons with emollients used previously 77% ranked dermol 500 as better or much better, 15% 'were ambivalent', and 8% | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| | | | | | | worse or much worse. | |
| | | | | | | 5) 4% excellent | |
| | | | | | | 15% very good | |
| | | | | | | 63% good | |
| | | | | | | 19% satisfactory | |
| | | | | | | 6) No adverse effects were reported. | |
| Ling TC;Highet AS; | EL=3 | Intervention: An antiseptic bath oil emollient containing benzalkonium cholride | 7 (case reports), 4 of whom were children age under 12 years | Patients with atopic eczema who had developed irritant reactions to an | Adverse effects reported by each case | A 6.5 year old with infected atopic eczema (other treatments; antibiotics and topical corticosteroids): | Funding: none declared. |
| 2000 243 | | (6%) and triclosan (2%) (Oilatum Plus) | | antiseptic bath oil emollient. | | on first exposure to oilatum plus, developed an erythematous desquamating | |
| | | Comparison: none | | | | rash, affecting particularly the skin flexures of the groin. Half a capful had been used in a standard sized bath filled to | |
| | | | | | | half the depth. Previously used oilatum (plain) with no adverse effects | |
| | | | | | | Circolo | |
| | | | | | | 2) An 11-month old child with | |
| | | | | | | an infective episode that settled with potent topical | |
| | | | | | | steroids and oilatum | |
| | | | | | | plus.After 2 weeks of daily | |
| | | | | | | use of oilatum plus used according to the instructions, | |
| | | | | | | he gradually developed areas | |
| | | | | | | of dry, non-pruritic | |
| | | | | | | desquamation behind his knees. This resolved after | |
| | | | | | | oilatum plus was stopped. | |
| | | | | | | 3) A 2-year old girl presented | |
| | | | | | | with mild, infected atopic | |
| | | | | | | eczema for which she was prescribed oilatum plus and | |
| | | | | | | mild topical steroids. She | |
| | | | | | | gradually developed an | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|---|----------------------------|------------------|--|------------------|
| | | | | | | irritant reaction to oilatum | |
| | | | | | | plus over several months, | |
| | | | | | | affecting the skin flexures, | |
| | | | | | | including the groin and the skin under the plastic of her | |
| | | | | | | disposable nappy. Her | |
| | | | | | | mother had been using an | |
| | | | | | | excessive amount of oilatum | |
| | | | | | | plus; two capfuls to only 5cm | |
| | | | | | | of water in a standard sized | |
| | | | | | | bath. The reaction settled | |
| | | | | | | following a change to a plain | |
| | | | | | | bath emollient. | |
| | | | | | | 4) A 2-year old boy with | |
| | | | | | | atopic eczema managed with | |
| | | | | | | emollients, topical steroids, | |
| | | | | | | antiseptic bath emollients and | |
| | | | | | | wet wrapping. He had an | |
| | | | | | | exacerbation of his atopic | |
| | | | | | | eczema while using oilatum | |
| | | | | | | plus; in an attempt to hasten | |
| | | | | | | his recovery, his mother had started to add extra capfuls of | |
| | | | | | | oilatum plus to the bath, after | |
| | | | | | | which his face was washed | |
| | | | | | | with the bath water. He | |
| | | | | | | developed erythema and | |
| | | | | | | scaling around his mouth and | |
| | | | | | | on his trunk which was worse | |
| | | | | | | on the skin flexures (but less | |
| | | | | | | itchy than his usual atopic | |
| | | | | | | eczema). Subsequent use of | |
| | | | | | | oilatum plus at the correct | |
| | | | | | | concentration was well | |
| | | | | | | tolerated with no adverse | |
| | | | | | | reactions. | |

Topical corticosteroids

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| Thomas KS;Armstrong S;Avery A;Po | Study Type: RCT Double-blind | 207 | Children with mild or moderate atopic eczema, 84% of children came | Intervention: Betamethasone valerate 0.1% | Follow-up period: 18 weeks | 1) Potent vs mild: 117.5 (99.3 to 125.0) vs 118.0 (99.8 to 124.0), | Funding: NHS R&D programme (Trent). |
| AL;O'Neill C;Young S;Williams HC; | Evidence level: | Exclusions: severe | from general practices, and 15% from a general hospital outpatient clinic | applied twice daily for 3 consecutive days, followed by a | Outcome Measures: 1) Number of scratch-free | Difference: 0.5 (95% CI -3.0 to 2.0, day), p =0.68 | Most outcomes were evaluated for the community population only (n=165). |
| 2002 Mar 30 | 1+ | eczema | (including 13 general practices and a teaching hospital). | base emollient only (white soft paraffin) for 4 days (n=104 | days (n evaluated 198; median with IQR) | 2) 1.0 (0.0 to 3.0) vs 1.0 (0.0 to 3.0) | The total quantities of topical corticosteroids used during the trial were reported but only for 42% of the children. |
| 254 | | | hospital). Age 1-15 years, mean 5 | Comparison: | 2) Number of relapses (n=165) | Difference:0, p = 0.66 | dilider. |
| | | | years in the HC group vs 6 years in the betamethasone group | Hydrocortisone ointment 1% applied twice daily | 3) Number of undisturbed nights (n=165) | 3) 121.0 (101.3 to 126) vs 123.0 (109.5 to 126) Difference: 2.0 (95% CI 0.0 to | |
| | | | 0 1 | for seven consecutive days (n=103) | 4) Mean (SD) change in | 2.0), p=0.53 | |
| | | | | (11–103) | Children's Life Quality index (n=168) | 4) -1.9 (3.0) vs -2.4 (4.0) Difference: -0.5 (95% CI -1.52 | |
| | | | | | 5) Mean (SD) change in | to 0.62) p=0.41 | |
| | | | | | Dermatitis family impact | 5) -0.6 (2.2) vs -0.5 (2.4) | |
| | | | | | (n=169) | Difference: -0.1 (95% CI -0.60 to 0.80), p=0.78 | |
| | | | | | 6) Adverse effects | | |
| | | | | | 7) Withdrawals (dropped out or resorted to | 6) Total 18 children reported adverse events (8.7%) | |
| | | | | | concurrent treatment) | 5% vs 9% worse symptoms | |
| | | | | | | 2% vs 0% spots/rashes | |
| | | | | | | 1% vs 0% hair growth | |
| | | | | | | 1% vs 0% viral encephalitis | |
| | | | | | | Skin thickness was measured by ultrasound in 51%: | |
| | | | | | | Baseline: | |
| | | | | | | 0.91 mm (mild arm), 0.99 (potent arm); | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | | | Mean change: -0.04 mm (SD 0.11mm) for mild arm, -0.05 mm (0.14) potent. | |
| | | | | | | 7) 25% vs 36%, mean difference 11%, 95% CI -3 to 25, p=0.19) | |
| Green C;Colquitt | Study Type: | 10 RCTs | RCT2 | Intervention: RCT2: | Follow-up period: RCT 3 | RCT2 | Funding of RCT2: Glaxo. |
| JL;Kirby J;Davidson P;Payne E; | Systematic review - meta- analysis | (data for children from 3 RCTs; two | Children with at least moderately severe eczema (score of 6 or | Fluticasone propionate cream 0.05% applied | Duration of treatment, 4 weeks (or less if eczema cleared sooner) | 1) 86% once daily vs. 891% twice daily success, difference | Funding of RCT3: not stated. Manufacturer (GlaxoSmithKline) assumed |
| 2004 | HTA | published and one from a | more from a maximum of | once daily, n=63 | | 3% (95% CI -15.5 to 9.6), p = | (Glasicollina i allo) accamoa |
| 288 | Evidence level: | manufacturer' s submission | 9 for erythema, pruritus and thickening). | RCT 3: | Outcome Measures: RCT2 | 0.644 | Refer to evidence tables for Richelli 1990 ²⁸⁷ for details of RCT 1 |
| | 1++ | to the NICE technology | RCT 3 (unpublished) | Fluticasone propionate | | 2) 37% vs 35% reported adverse events | |
| | | appraisal programme). | Children with at least moderately severe eczema (score 7 or more | ointment 0.005% applied once daily, n=63 of 123 were | Global assessment, where success='cleared', 'excellent' or 'good'; and failure = 'fair'. 'little' or | 24% vs 17% were possibly related to treatment, predominantly signs or | |
| | | Refer to evidence | but scale not described fully). | children | 'worse' | symptoms relating to skin or their eczema | |
| | | tables for Richelli 1990 ²⁸⁷ for | Age range 1-12 years (subgroup of RCT involving children and | Comparison: RCT2: Fluticasone | 2) Adverse events | RCT 3 | |
| | | details of the first RCT | adults) | propionate cream 0.05% applied twice daily, n=63 | RCT 3 1) Global assessment, | 1) 77% once daily vs. 91% twice daily success, difference 13.5% (95% Cl 0.6 to 26.4), p | |
| | | Data for RCTs 2 and 3 are | | RCT 3 | where success='cleared', 'excellent' or 'good'; and failure = 'fair'. 'little' or | = 0.048 | |
| | | reproduced from the HTA because data | | Fluticasone propionate ointment 0.005% | 'worse' | 2) 72% vs. 91% success, difference 18.6% (95% CI 5.0 to 32.3), p=0.011 | |
| | | for children are not | | applied twice daily, n=57 of 122 were | 2) Patients' self- assessment of success: | 3) 49% vs 40% reported | |
| | | published elsewhere | | children | success= totally, greatly, or moderately improved; | adverse events | |
| | | SIGOWINGIC | | | failure = slightly | 8% vs 17% were possibly | |
| | | | | | improved, not changed, worsened or greatly worsened | related to treatment, but no details of these adverse events were reported. | |
| | | | | | 3) Adverse events | | |
| Green C;Colquitt | Study Type: | 10 RCTs | RCT2 | Intervention: RCT2: | Follow-up period: RCT 3 | RCT2 | Funding of RCT2: Glaxo. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| JL;Kirby J;Davidson P;Payne E; 2004 288 | Systematic review - meta- analysis HTA Evidence level: 1++ | (data for children from 3 RCTs; two published and one from a manufacturer's submission to the NICE technology appraisal programme). Refer to evidence tables for Richelli 1990 ²⁸⁷ for details of the first RCT Data for RCTs 2 and 3 are reproduced from the HTA because data for children are not published elsewhere | Children with at least moderately severe eczema (score of 6 or more from a maximum of 9 for erythema, pruritus and thickening). RCT 3 (unpublished) Children with at least moderately severe eczema (score 7 or more but scale not described fully). Age range 1-12 years (subgroup of RCT involving children and adults) | Fluticasone propionate cream 0.05% applied once daily, n=63 RCT 3: Fluticasone propionate ointment 0.005% applied once daily, n=63 of 123 were children Comparison: RCT2: Fluticasone propionate cream 0.05% applied twice daily, n=63 RCT 3 Fluticasone propionate cream ointment 0.005% applied twice daily, n=57 of 122 were children | Duration of treatment, 4 weeks (or less if eczema cleared sooner) Outcome Measures: RCT2 1) Global assessment, where success='cleared', 'excellent' or 'good'; and failure = 'fair', 'little' or 'worse' 2) Adverse events RCT 3 1) Global assessment, where success='cleared', 'excellent' or 'good'; and failure = 'fair', 'little' or 'worse' 2) Patients' self-assessment of success: success= totally, greatly, or moderately improved; failure = slightly improved, not changed, worsened or greatly worsened 3) Adverse events | 1) 86% once daily vs. 891% twice daily success, difference 3% (95% CI -15.5 to 9.6), p = 0.644 2) 37% vs 35% reported adverse events 24% vs 17% were possibly related to treatment, predominantly signs or symptoms relating to skin or their eczema RCT 3 1) 77% once daily vs. 91% twice daily success, difference 13.5% (95% CI 0.6 to 26.4), p = 0.048 2) 72% vs. 91% success, difference 18.6% (95% CI 5.0 to 32.3), p=0.011 3) 49% vs 40% reported adverse events 8% vs 17% were possibly related to treatment, but no details of these adverse events were reported. | Funding of RCT3: not stated. Manufacturer (GlaxoSmithKline) assumed Refer to evidence tables for Richelli 1990 ²⁸⁷ for details of RCT 1 |
| Vernon HJ;Lane AT;Weston W; | Study Type: RCT | 48 | Children with more than 15% of body surface area involving atopic | Intervention: Mometasone furoate 0.1% cream | Follow-up period: Up to 6 weeks treatment and follow-up; children whose | 1) 95% mometasone vs 75% HC, p=0.01 | Funding: Schering-Plough |
| 1991 Apr | Evidence level: 1+ | | eczema, and a score of at least 8/15 for severity* and an erythema score | applied once daily (n=24) | condition had cleared by week 3, and those who had shown no | 2) -40% vs -26%, p=0.03 | Double-blind study. 30 children (15 in each group) completed the study |
| 260 | | | of at least 2. | Comparison: Hydrocortisone | improvement were withdrawn from the study. | No numerical data. No significant differences were found in mean values, nor in | early (median duration 3 weeks). |
| | | | Age range: 6 months to | 1.0% cream | | any change in mean cortisol | Children who used antibiotics, antihistamines, or |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | | | 12 years | applied twice daily (n=24) | Outcome Measures: 1) Percentage improvement | levels from baseline between groups. | emollients were removed from the study. |
| | | | | | in severity* score from baseline | One child treated with HC had a plasma cortisol level of 5 microg/dl (below normal range, | Severity score: each of 5 signs/symptoms scored on a scale of 0-3 (none to severe). |
| | | | | | 2) Change in % body surface area affected | although this range was not quoted) on day 8 | The quantities of TCS used were not stated. |
| | | | | | 3) Plasma cortisol levels | 4) 8% (n=2) vs 0% stinging on application | |
| | | | | | 4) Adverse effects | 0 vs 4% molluscum contagiosum on area treated | |
| | | | | | 5) Withdrawals | 5) 63% vs 63% due to clearance of the condition | |
| | | | | | | 0 vs 13% lack of response | |
| | | | | | | 0 vs 4% (n=1) flare of asthma requiring systemic corticosteroids | |
| | | | | | | 0 vs 4% lost to follow-up | |
| | | | | | | 4% vs 0 S. aureus infection of scalp | |
| Wolkerstorfer A;Strobos MA;Glazenburg | Study Type: RCT | 22 | Children with moderately active atopic eczema. SCORAD scores 29 in | Intervention: Fluticasone propionate 0.05% | Follow-up period: 6 weeks; up to 4 weeks treatment, or less if | 1) -19 (66%) vs -22 (69%), no statistically significant difference in groups | Funding: none declared. |
| EJ;Mulder | Evidence level: | Exclusions: | the fluticasone group and | cream applied once | SCORAD score below 9 | unierence in groups | Basic skin care was used for all children. |
| PG;Oranje AP; 1998 Aug | 1+ | use of systemic | 32 in the clobetasone group. | daily plus a vehicle cream once daily (n=12) | ('clinically healed'), and 2 weeks follow-up after treatment completed. | 2) +13 (130%) vs +11 (110%) | Double-blind |
| 261 | | treatment for atopic eczema within | Aged from 3-8 years, mean | Comparison: | Outcome Measures: 1) | No numerical data reported but it was noted that there were no significant differences | One child in the clobetasone arm withdrew because of varicella. |
| | | 1 month | 4.9 years (fluticasone) and 4.1 years (clobetasone) | Clobetasone butyrate 0.05% cream applied twice daily (n=10; 9 | SCORAD (mean score change from baseline to week 4) | between groups at baseline or weeks 4 or 6, p=0.8, and no signficant changes from baseline. | The quantities of TCS used were not stated. |
| | | | Initial SCORAD: 29 (FP group); 32 (CB | completed treatment) | 2) SCORAD (mean score change from week 4 to | In one child levels fell from | |
| | | | group) | | week 6) | 162.8 at baseline to 67 nmol/24hr at week 4, but | |
| | | | Medication (emollient, hydrocortisone acetate 1%, antihistamines) not | | 3) Urinary cortisol excretion (nmol/24 hours) | returned to the pre-treatmnet level by week 6. | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | used in the week before the trial started | | | | |
| Wolkerstorfer A;Visser RL;De | Study Type: Cohort | 31 children | Children with severe refractory atopic eczema | Intervention: Group 1: 50% dilution of | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment: 2 | 1a) Overall, no significant decrease in cortisol levels at | Funding: none declared. |
| Waard van der Spek | Non-randomised | Group 1: 50% | aged 5 months to 13 | FP cream under | weeks | week 2, p=0.24. Levels were | Tubifast was the bandage used. |
| FB;Mulder PG;Oranje AP; | controlled trial | dilution of | years, mean age not reported. | wet wrap treatment for 2 weeks | | 'temporarily below the normal range' (0.2-0.8 micromol/l) in 3 | The cream was applied to the whole body. |
| 2000 Nov | Evidence level: | fluticasone propionate (FP) 0.05%, | SCORAD score >40 in | Group 2: different | Outcome Measures: 1) Mean serum cortisol levels (SD) | (17%) children | The bandage was rewetted every 2 hours with water using aspary bottle. |
| 2000 NOV | 2- | (FF) 0.05%, n=18 | 29 (94%) | dilution (10%, 25% | a) Group 1 | 1b) 0.45 (0.17) micromol/l at | |
| 328 | | | (* : , , , | and 50%) of FP | b) Group 2 | week 2 vs 0.42 (0.16) at | Cortisol was measured at 9 o'clock in the morning in |
| | | Group 2: a | | cream under wet | c) Group 3 | baseline | groups 1 and 2, at baseline and after 2 weeks. In |
| | | side-to-side | | wraps treatment for body symmetrically | c) Gloup 3 | | group 3 serum cortisol and urinary timed morning cortisol/creatinine ratio was measured daily at 6 |
| | | 10%, 25% and 50% | | eczema for 2 | 2) Adverse effects | 1c) levels were below the | o'clock in the morning for the first week of treatment. |
| | | dilution of FP | | weeks | a) Group 1 | normal range in 2/8 children (0.03 and 0.09 micromol/l). | ů |
| | | 0.05% for one | | | b) Group 2 | Serum cortisol levels vs FP | SCORAD scores were also measured, but only |
| | | week, then | | Group 3: different | c) Group 3 | quantity per body surface area | selected numerical data were reported; results were |
| | | 10% dilution for one week, | | dilution (0% (emollient), 5%, | o) Group 3 | (microgram per m2) for each of the 8 patients: | mainly presented in graphs. |
| | | n=5 | | 10% and 25%) of FP cream under | | 0.28 vs 0 | The proportions with mild, moderate and severe |
| | | O 2: 00/ | | wet wraps | | 0.46 vs 0 | atopic eczema were also reported, but the method of |
| | | Group 3: 0% (emollient), | | treatment for 2 | | 0.55 vs 564 | classification was not described. |
| | | 5%, 10% or | | children in each strength for 2 | | 0.39 vs 728 | |
| | | 25% dilution | | weeks | | 0.36 vs 835 | |
| | | of FP 0.05%, n=8 | | | | 0.09 vs 957 | |
| | | 11-0 | | Comparison: The | | 0.03 vs 1129 | |
| | | | | serum corticol levels before and | | 0.33 vs 2071 | |
| | | | | after wet wrap treatment in 2a) 30% (6/18) upper | 2a) 30% (6/18) upper respiratory tract infection | | |
| | | | | different dilution of | | 30% (6/18) folliculitis | |
| | | | | FP strength groups | | 5.5% (1/18) herpes simplex | |
| | | | | | | infection | |
| | | | | | | 5.5% (1/18) diarrhoea | |
| | | | | | | 5.5% (1/18) itching | |
| | | | | | | 2b) 40% (2/5) upper | |
| | | | | | | respiratory infection | |
| | | | | | | 40% (2/5) folliculitis | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | 20% (1/5) abdominal pain | |
| | | | | | | 20% (1/5) itching | |
| | | | | | | 2c) 63% (5/8) folliculitis | |
| | | | | | | 12.5% (1/8) balanitis | |
| | | | | | | 12.5% (1/8) furunculosis | |
| Lucky AW;Grote GD;Williams JL;Tuley | Study Type: RCT | 20 | Children with atopic eczema affecting more than 20% of body | Intervention: Desonide ointment 0.05% applied | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment: 4 weeks | % increase in stimulated 60 minute mean cortisol levels at day 28: | Funding: none declared |
| MR;Czernielewski JM;Dolak TM:Herndon | Evidence level: | | surface area, (mean 38%). | twice daily (n=10) | Outcome Measures: | 109% desonide vs 124% HC, p=0.69. | Mean quality of TCS applied was approximately 3g/day/child. |
| JH;Baker MD; | | | Age range 11months to 11 years, mean 4.7 years | Comparison: HC ointment 2.5% applied twice daily | Change in cortisol levels in response to ACTH stimulation (measured at | No clinically or statistically significant differences reported | |
| 1997 Mar | | | desonide vs 2.6 years HC. | (n=10) | 30 minutes and 60 minutes after an intravenous dose) | between treatment for changes in ACTH | |
| 285 | | | | | intraverious dose) | | |
| | | | Baseline cortisol levels 2- 25 microg/ml | | | Mean within-treatment change | |
| | | | 25 microg/mi | | | -0.4 microg/ml (-1.3%), p>0.8 | |
| Patel L;Clayton | Study Type: | 28 | See intervention and | Intervention: | Follow-up period: N/A; | Basal levels: | Funding: none declared |
| PE;Addison GM:Price DA:David | Cross-sectional | | comparisons | Children aged 3.1- 10.7 years, mean | cross-sectional study | 0.6 (95% CI -140 to 90 nmol/l) | |
| TJ; | Fridayas lavali | Exclusions: | | 7.2 years with | Outroma Management | | *500ng/1.73 square metres body surface area |
| | Evidence level: 3 | children receiving | | atopic eczema | Outcome Measures: Plasma cortisol values | Peak: | |
| 1995 | ŭ | inhaled or systemic | | affecting 16-90% (mean 58%) of body surface area | (response to low-dose ACTH stimulation*); | 0.2 (95% CI -125 to 50 nmol/l) | |
| 278 | | corticosteroid | | and treated with | differences between | Increment: | |
| | | s in the preceding 6 months. | | HC ointment 1% since infancy for 3-10 years (mean 6.5 | medians in atopic eczema vs control groups, (95% CI) | p=0.8 (95% CI -120 to 95 nmol/l) | |
| | | | | yrs) (n=14) | | Area-under-curve: | |
| | | | | • • • | | 0.2 (95% CI -7725 to 1587, | |
| | | | | Quantity used: 48.7-223.2 | | 0.2 (95% CI -7725 to 1567, nmol/l) | |
| | | | | mg/square metre (median 134.2) | | Time to peak: | |
| | | | | body surface area/day for 3-10 | | 0.02 (95% CI -10 to 0, min) | |
| | | | | years. | | | |
| | | | | 64% had used | | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | TCS intermittently | | | |
| | | | | Comparison: Control group: children without atopic eczema being investigated for short stature, age 3.8-10.7 years, mean 7.8 years. Children had not received corticosteroids before the study, and had no endocrine abnormality or systemic disease (n=24) | | | |
| Lebwohl M; | Study Type: RCT | 219 | Children with moderate to severe atopic eczema | Intervention: Mometasone | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 3 | 1) Mometasone vs HC | Funding: Schering Plough Inc |
| 1999 Aug | Evidence level: | | who had failed to respond to at least 7 days consecutive | furoate cream 0.1% cream once daily (n=109) | weeks | 87.2% vs 78.6% (p=0.01) | Multicentre study (n=10). |
| 256 | 1- | | treatment with a topical hydrocortisone | , | Outcome Measures: 1) Mean percentage | Global evaluation score at day 21 | No other therapies for atopic eczema were permitted. |
| | | | preparation, the last application occurring | Comparison: Hydrocortisone valerate cream | improvement in disease severity. (Severity signs and | 36.3 vs 19.6, p<0.01 | Although described as a randomised controlled trial, no details of randomisation were given, nor any |
| | | | within a week of enrolment in this study. | 0.2% twice daily (n=110) | symptoms assessed on a scale of 0-3 (none to | 3) 19.3% vs 17.3% reported adverse effects | baseline data. Therefore it is not possible to know whether groups were similar other than in the |
| | | | Age 2-12 years | | severe: erythema, induration/lichenification, | 3.7% vs 1.8% application-site reactions | intervention being given. Additionally, while treatment with a HC preparation had failed, it is assumed that this was a mild preparation, thereby not exposing the |
| | | | | | scaling/crusting, excudation, excoriation and pruritus. A target | (other adverse effects 'not considered to be treatment- | group receiving HC in this RCT to continued prior ineffective therapy. |
| | | | | | area of at least 20cm2 was selected for | related' therefore no further details given) | The physician's assessment of global clinical |
| | | | | | evaluation of treatment effect). | 4) Total withdrawals 19.6% Reasons: | response compared to baseline at day 15 (p=0.009), day 22 (p=0.011), respectively. |
| | | | | | Physician's assessment of global clinical response vs | 16.5% vs 8% clearance of atopic eczema | The quantities of TCS used were not stated. |
| | | | | | baseline | 2.7% vs 3.6% non-compliance | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | | 3) Adverse effects | | |
| | | | | | | 0 vs 1% treatment failure | |
| | | | | | 4) Withdrawals | 20/ -0/1 / / | |
| | 0 | | 0131 31 131 | | | 2% vs 5% lost contact | |
| Andersen BL;Andersen KE:Nielsen R:Stahl | Study Type: RCT Within patient | 96 | Children with dry bilateral symmetrical atopic eczema | Intervention: Hydrocortisone 1% lipocream | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 4 weeks | 1) -1.0 (59%) HC lipocream vs -0.9 (53%) HC ointment | Funding: none declared |
| D;Niordson | left-right side | Exclusions: primary | | (Mildison) applied | | 2a) 37% vs 33% | *Severity measured on a 5-point scale (0-4, none to severe) |
| A;Roders GA; | comparison | bacterial or | Age range 2 months to | twice daily | Outcome Measures: 1) | 2b) 11% vs 15% | Severe) |
| | | viral skin | 13 years, mean 4.9 years | | Change in global | 2c) 26% vs 24% | One child from the hydrocortisone 1% ointment group |
| 1988 | Evidence level: | lesions; | | Comparison: | severity* of atopic | 2d) 16% vs 14% | was excluded from the analysis because of non- |
| | 1- | secondarily infected | | Hydrocortisone 1% ointment (Uniderm) | eczema | 2e) 4% vs 8% | compliance |
| 268 | | lesions, | | applied twice daily | 0) 01-1-11 | 2f) 5% vs 7% | |
| | | treatment with systemic corticosteroid | | | Global improvement in skin lesions (% in each category): | p>0.05 between groups | It is reported that analysis of baseline data was undertaken, but no baseline/demographic data were |
| | | s or potent | | | a) clearance | 3) 73% preferred lipocream vs | shown. |
| | | TCS within 2 weeks | | | b) considerable improvement | 18% ointment, p<0.001 | |
| | | | | | c) definite improvement | 4) 0 vs 1% (n=1) pustules on | |
| | | | | | d) minimal improvement | target area | |
| | | | | | e) no change | | |
| | | | | | f) worse | | |
| | | | | | Patients' preference (based on cosmetic acceptability) | | |
| | | | | | 4) Adverse effects | | |
| Olholm LP;Brandrup F;Roders GA; | Study Type: RCT | 60 | Children with dry bilateral symmetrical atopic | Intervention: Hydrocortisone 1% | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 4 | 1) 41% lipocream vs 38% ointment none | Funding: none declared |
| | | Exclusions: | eczema; 51 children | oil-in-water | weeks | 43% vs 45% slight | *Severity measured on a 5-point rating scale (0-4, |
| 1988 | Evidence level: | primary | were aged under 10 years, but the mean age | emulsion (Lipocream) | | 12% vs 14% moderate | none to very severe) |
| | 1- | bacterial or viral skin | was not reported | applied twice daily | Outcome Measures: 1) | 3% vs 3% severe | |
| 269 | | lesions; secondarily infected | | Comparison: Hydrocortisone 1% | Global severity* of atopic eczema (% with none, slight, moderate, severe, very severe at endpoint) | No statistical analysis | No baseline data were given (other than severity scores). Two children withdrew from the study, and data were not included for some children for the outcomes cosmetic acceptability (n=1) and global |
| | | lesions; needing | | ointment (Uniderm) applied twice daily | | 2) 2% vs 2% worse | improvement (n=3). |
| | | treatment with | | applied twice daily | 2) Global improvement in | 2% vs 2% no change | |
| | | systemic | | | skin disease | 7% vs 5% minimal | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | corticosteroid | | | | improvement | |
| | | s; use of | | | 3) Patients' preference (in | 29% vs 33% definite | |
| | | potent corticosteroid | | | relation to cosmetic acceptability) | improvement | |
| | | s within two | | | acceptability) | 20% vs 20% considerable improvement | |
| | | weeks | | | | 40% vs 38% clearance | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | No statistical analysis | |
| | | | | | | 3) 26% preferred lipocream | |
| | | | | | | 25% found the lipocream was worse | |
| | | | | | | 49% no difference between products | |
| Veien NK;Hattel T;Justesen | Study Type: RCT | 40 | Children with chronic symmetrical, bilateral | Intervention: Hydrocortisone 17- | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 4 | 1) HC-17-butyrate 0.1% vs HC 1%: | Funding: none declared |
| O;Norholm A;Verjans HL; | Within-patient left-right side | | atopic eczema. Mean severity score 2.6 (scale 0-4). | butyrate 0.1% cream (Locoid), applied twice daily | weeks (or until complete clearance of lesions of the side involved. | -2 (77%) vs -1.6 (62%), p<0.05 | The quantities of TCS used were not stated. |
| 1984 | comparison | | 0-4). | аррпец (місе цапу | whichever was shorter) | | |
| | Evidence level: | | Age 10 months to 10 | Comparison: | | 2) 60% vs 30%, p<0.01 | |
| 258 | 1+ | | years, mean 4.1 years | Hydrocortisone cream 1% | Outcome Measures: 1) Global severity of atopic | 3) Reported to be significantly | |
| | | | | (Uniderm), applied | eczema (mean reduction | in favour of HC-17-butyrate | |
| | | | | twice daily | in scores, on 5-point rating scale where | 0.1%; investigator's preference | |
| | | | | | 0=none, 1=slight, | p<0.01, patients/parents preference p<0.01 | |
| | | | | | 2=moderate, 3=severe, | r | |
| | | | | | 4=very severe) | 4) 'No serious adverse events' | |
| | | | | | 2) Clearance rate | | |
| | | | | | 3) Investigator and | | |
| | | | | | patients/parents preference for HC-17- | | |
| | | | | | butyrate 0.1%, where | | |
| | | | | | moderate, good or excellent associated with | | |
| | | | | | score reductions of at | | |
| | | | | | least 1, 2, and 3 points on | | |
| | | | | | the rating scale. | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures 4) Adverse events | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|--|--------------------|---|--|---|---|---|
| Veien NK;Hattel T;Justesen O;Norholm A;Verjans HL; 1984 | Study Type: RCT Within-patient left-right side comparison Evidence level: 1+ | 40 | Children with chronic symmetrical, bilateral atopic eczema. Mean severity score 2.6 (scale 0-4). Age 10 months to 10 years, mean 4.1 years | Intervention: Hydrocortisone 17- butyrate 0.1% cream (Locoid), applied twice daily Comparison: Hydrocortisone cream 1% (Uniderm), applied twice daily | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 4 weeks (or until complete clearance of lesions of the side involved, whichever was shorter) Outcome Measures: 1) Global severity of atopic eczema (mean reduction in scores, on 5-point rating scale where 0=none, 1=slight, 2=moderate, 3=severe, 4=very severe) 2) Clearance rate 3) Investigator and patients/parents preference for HC-17- butyrate 0.1%, where moderate, good or excellent associated with score reductions of at least 1, 2, and 3 points on the rating scale. | 1) HC-17-butyrate 0.1% vs HC 1%: -2 (77%) vs -1.6 (62%), p<0.05 2) 60% vs 30%, p<0.01 3) Reported to be significantly in favour of HC-17-butyrate 0.1%; investigator's preference p<0.01, patients/parents preference p<0.01 4) 'No serious adverse events' | Funding: none declared The quantities of TCS used were not stated. |
| Munkvad M; | Study Type: RCT | 30 | Children with mild to moderate bilateral | Intervention: Clinitar (extract of | Adverse events Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, up | 1a) -0.97 (75%) vs -0.97 (76%) | Funding: Pharma medica a-s supplied the trials material. Smith & Nephew assisted in preparing the |
| 1989 Dec | Within-patient left-right side | | symmetrical atopic eczema | crude coal tar) cream applied | to 4 weeks | 1b) -0.8 (71%) vs -0.87 (74%) | paper |
| 264 | comparison | | Mean age 11.8 years (range not reported) | twice daily Comparison: | Outcome Measures: 1) Change in severity* scores of atopic eczema | 1c) -1.0 (70%) vs -1.13 (79%) | No other medicines were permitted during the study period |
| | Evidence level: 1- | | (range not reported) | Hydrocortisone 1% cream applied twice daily | from baseline a) infiltration b) erythema c) lichenification | 1d) -0.54 (70%) vs -0.6 (78%) 1e) -1.07 (78%) vs -1.0 (75%) | Severity score used for infiltration, erythema, lichenification, excoriation and dryness, measured on a 5-point scale: 0-4 (none to severe) |
| | | | | | d) scratch marks | 'no significant differences between treatment'; p value | No baseline/demographic data (other than severity scores) were reported for the two groups. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| | | | | | e) dryness | not reported | |
| | | | | | 2) Adverse effects | 2) Reported in 6 children ('itching and soreness'); 5 in the coal tar group and 1 in the HC group. | |
| Smitt JHS;Winterberg DH;Oosting J; | Study Type: RCT | 40 | Children with atopic eczema, with a mean severity score of at least 4*, with at least two | Intervention: Triamcinolone acetonide cream 0.1% applied twice | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 3 weeks | 1a) 1.9 (-75%) triamcinolone vs 1.4 (-53%) alclometasone, p=0.047 | Funding: Essex (Nederland) BV, subsidiary of Schering Plough Corporation USA. |
| 1993 | Evidence level: 1+ | | symptoms rated as moderate. | daily (n=20) | Outcome Measures: 1) Severity of signs and | 1b) 1.6 (-65%) vs 0.6 (-25%), p=0.004 | Use of bath oils, white petrolatum and antihistamines was continued for as long as necessary. |
| 257 | | | Eczema affected 44% of mean body surface area of the triamcinolone group and 53% of the | Comparison: Alclometasone diproprionate cream 0.05% applied twice daily | symptoms, mean change from baseline to end of week 2 for: a) erythema | 1c) 2.1 (-72%) vs 1.4 (-48%), p=0.005 | Baseline mean values for each parameter calculated from data reported in the paper - mean change was reported, but this did not clearly state that the changes were reductions. |
| | | | alclometasone group Age 1-15 years | (n=20) | b) lichenificationc) pruritusd) exudation | 1d) 1.7 (-94%) vs 0.7 (-45%), p=0.009 | The quantities of TCS used were not stated. |
| | | | Mean age, 5.1 years in the triamcinolone acetonide arm, and 3 years in the | | (*4 point scale: 0=absent, 1=mild, 2=moderate, and 3=severe) | 2) Results for 23 patients presented in the report, but no units nor normal ranges to know whether the levels were high, low, or normal. It was | |
| | | | alclometasone diproprionate arm, p=0.046 | | 2) Serum cortisol levels (fasting, taken at 8.30am) | also reported that there were 'no significant differences between groups', meaning that there were no significant changes from baseline to weeks 2 or 3. | |
| Chunharas A;Wisuthsarewong W;Wananukul | Study Type: Cohort | 50 (48 analysed) | Children with atopic eczema who an affected are at least 4cm2, and | Intervention: Loratadine syrup once daily (5ml if | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 15 days | 184% loratadine vs -85% placebo, p=0.883 (actual score change 12.4 to 1.94 vs 12.21 | Funding: none declared. |
| S;Viravan S; 2002 Apr | Evidence level: 2+ | Mometasone furoate 0.1% cream plus | severity scores (SCORAD) of at least 10 out of 18 (mean was 12); | weight up to 30kg, 10mls if over 30kg) in addition to | Outcome Measures: 1. Severity of the disease | to 1.83) 2. 75% vs 91.6% had 75-100% | The study is described as a double-blinding, multicentre trial, however, the methods of blinding are unclear. |
| 342 | | loratadine syrup, n=24 | pruritus of the target area present, with a minimum score of 2.5 (scale 0-3), mean was ~2.7 | mometasone furoate 0.1% cream, applied once daily after a | (% change in SCORAD score from baseline) | improvement, p=0.245 8.3% vs 8.3% had 50-75% improvement, p=1.0 | Two children from the loratadine group withdrew (1 due to impetigo, 1 because rash 'very much improved') |
| | | Mometasone furoate 0.1% cream plus placebo | Age 2-11.2 years, mean 6.2 years | bath in the evening Comparison: | 2. Physician global assessment | 17% vs 0% had <50% improvement, p=0.109 | Although the volume (and not strength) was reported in the paper, it is assumed that the only available |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | syrup, n=24 | Exclusions: history of hypersensitivity to either drug, or nonresponsvie to mometasone before the study. If antibiotics or antihistamine were used or severe illness and side effects were noted, the patient was withdrawn from the study. | Placebo syrup once daily (5ml if weight up to 30kg, 10mls if over 30kg) in addition to mometasone furoate 0.1% cream, applied once daily after a bath in the evening | Cleared=100% improvement Marked=75-100% improvement Moderate=50-75% improvement Slight=<50% improvement No change Exacerbation 3. Pruritus score (0= none) | 390% vs -97% (from 2.77 to 0.29 vs 2.63 to 0.09), p=0.097 4. No reports of drowsiness or difficulty awakening 1 child in each group reported dizziness 1 vs 0 nausea 0 vs 1 anorexia | proprietary preparation of loratadine was used (5mg/5ml). |
| | | | | | to 3=severe; % change from baseline) 4. Adverse effects | | |
| Kirkup ME;Birchall NM;Weinberg EG;Helm K;Kennedy CT; 2003 Sep | Study Type: RCT Evidence level: 1+ | Two multicentre RCTs in one report Exclusions: signs of skin infection; severe atopic eczema requiring hospital admission; treatment with very potent or systemic | Children experiencing a flare of moderate to severe atopic eczema (total atopic eczema score of 6 or more*), treated at outpatient clinics. Age 2-14 years, mean age 8 years Mean number of body areas affected, 67% (8 out of a possible 12) | Intervention: Study A: Fluticasone propionate 0.05% cream (n=70) Study B: Fluticasone propionate 0.05% cream (n=66) Acute phase - twice daily for 2-4 weeks until atopic eczema stabilised | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, acute phase (2-4 weeks) and maintenance phase (up to 12 weeks) Outcome Measures: Study A 1) Total atopic eczema score* (reduction in scores, and mean difference between groups) | Study A (fluticasone vs HC 1%) 1a) At the end of the acute phase: -4.91 (41%) vs -2.37 (20%), difference -2.39, 95% CI -3.47 to -1.31, p<0.001 1b) At the end of the maintenance phase: -6.87 (57%) vs -4.84 (41%), difference -1.88, 95% CI -3.20 to -0.56 p=0.006 2a) +31% vs +8%, difference | Funding: Glaxo Wellcome R&D UK. Multicentre RCT. The two studies were identical in design. *Total atopic eczema score (Max, 21) = Number of body areas affected (out of possible 12 body areas) + sum of three signs (erythema, excoriation and lichenification) graded as 0-3 for target area (max 9) Recurrence of atopic eczema was defined as an increase of 1.0 in either the number of body areas affected or in the sum of scores for the target area. |
| | | corticosteroid s in the previous 3 weeks; history of adverse response to corticosteroid s | | Maintenance phase - intermittently up to twice daily as required for 12 weeks plus emollients as required Comparison: Study A: Hydrocortisone | 2) Patient's diary at end of acute phase (change in score vs baseline; difference in scores at endpoint. Score used was 1-7, worse than ever to better than ever) a) rash b) itch c) sleep disturbance | 2b) +29% vs +9%, difference 0.70, 95% Cl 0.33 to 1.07, p<0.001 2c) +26% vs +12%, difference 0.46, 95% Cl 0.08 to 0.84, p=0.019 | Use of regular inhaled or intranasal corticosteroids was permitted |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|-------------------|
| | | | | | 3) Physician's | | |
| | | | | Study B: Hydrocortisone 17- | assessments: Improved=better than | 3) 94% vs 85% improved, p=NS | |
| | | | | butyrate cream | ever, or better than usual, | p-140 | |
| | | | | 0.1% (n=62) | Not improved= same, worse than ever, or worse | 4) 62 (range 7-118) vs 36 (7- 114) | |
| | | | | Acute phase - twice | than usual | | |
| | | | | daily for 2-4 weeks until atopic eczema | 4) Median time to | 5) 29% vs 31% reported an | |
| | | | | stabilised | recurrence during the | adverse event 7% vs 10% general symptoms | |
| | | | | | maintenance phase (days) | 8.5% vs 6% influenza | |
| | | | | Maintenance phase - intermittently up | (uays) | 8.5% vs 8.5% 'miscellaneous | |
| | | | | to twice daily as required for 12 | 5) Adverse effects | events related to the skin' | |
| | | | | weeks | 6) Withdrawals | Possibly related to treatment: | |
| | | | | plus emollients as required | ., | 1% vs 0% folliculitis and | |
| | | | | | 7) Quantity of TCS used | ringworm 0 vs 1% severe flare with | |
| | | | | | | secondary infection | |
| | | | | | Study B | | |
| | | | | | 1) Tatal atania a a | 6) 26% vs 20% | |
| | | | | | Total atopic eczema score* (reduction in | reasons: | |
| | | | | | scores, and mean | 2.9% vs 12% treatment failure 10% vs 3% non- | |
| | | | | | difference between groups) | compliance/personal | |
| | | | | | groupoj | 4.2% vs 1.5% early cure | |
| | | | | | 2) Patient's diary at end | 0% vs 1.5% adverse event | |
| | | | | | of acute phase (change in score vs baseline; difference in scores at | 11.4% vs 3% protocol violation/no reason | |
| | | | | | endpoint. Score used was 1-7, worse than ever to better than ever) | 7) median 57g (range 10-259) vs 60g (15-252) | |
| | | | | | a) rash | Ch. d. D (fl. diagona 110.47 | |
| | | | | | b) itch | Study B (fluticasone vs HC-17-butyrate 0.1%) | |
| | | | | | c) sleep disturbance | | |
| | | | | | 3) Physici | 1a) At the end of the acute phase: 4.37 (41%) vs -4.52 (37%) difference -1.25, 95% CI -2.46 to -0.05, p=0.042 | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| | | | | | | 1b) At the end of the maintenance phase: -6.76 (63%) vs -6.78 (56%) difference -1.39, 95% CI -2.72 to -0.05 p=0.042 | |
| | | | | | | 2a) +11% vs +10%, difference 0.38 95% CI -0.01 to 0.77, p=0.056 | |
| | | | | | | 2b) +11% vs +12%, difference 0.50 95% CI 0.09 to 0.92 p=0.017 | |
| | | | | | | 2c) +7% vs +7%, difference 0.48 95% CI 0.11 to 0.85, p=0.011 | |
| | | | | | | 3) 98% vs 84% improved, p=0.024 | |
| | | | | | | 4) 51 (range 7-121) vs 57 (9- 123) | |
| | | | | | | 5) 42% vs 35% reported an adverse event 12% vs 8% upper respiratory tract infection 11% vs 2% cough 8% vs 15% 'miscellaneous events related to the skin' | |
| | | | | | | Possibly related to treatment: 1.5% (n=1) vs 0% red papules/boil 0 vs 3.2% (n=2) itchy skin after applying cream 0 vs 1.6% minor skin infections and pustules 0 vs 1.6% impetigo on the face | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|---|--|---|
| | | | | | | 6) 11% vs 18% reasons: 0% vs 8% treatment failure | |
| | | | | | | 3% vs 4.8% non- compliance/personal | |
| | | | | | | 1.5% vs 4.8% adverse event | |
| | | | | | | 6% vs 9.7% protocol violation/no reason | |
| | | | | | | 7) Median 62g (17-201) vs 59g (16-126) | |
| Sefton J;Galen WK;Nesbitt LT:Landow RK: | Study Type: RCT | 66 | Children/ young people with atopic eczema, | Intervention: Triamcinolone acetonide cream | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 2 weeks | No numerical data. Results shown in graphs only | Funding: none declared |
| 1983 | Evidence level: 1- | | bilaterally symmetrical lesions in a chronic stable state. | 0.1% applied twice daily (n=66) | Outcome Measures: 1) Severity | 2) 74% vs 74% experienced 'clearance' or an 'excellent response' | Double-blind |
| 283 | | | Age 4 months to 22.8 years (mean 5.3 years). | Comparison: HC valerate cream 0.2% applied twice | Global evaluation | 3) 3% triamcinolone vs 3% HC transient stinging on | |
| | | | [EL=1-] only completers analysed (n=54, 82%). Reasons for withdrawal: 10 lost to follow-up, 2 intercurrent medical conditions | daily (n=66) | 3) Adverse effects | application | |
| Ellison JA, Patel L et al | Study Type: Cross-sectional | 46 | See interventions and comparisons | Intervention: Children/adolescen ts with atopic | Follow-up period: N/A | No significant differences in basal, peak, incremanet, or time to peak cortisol values | Funding: none declared |
| 2000 | Evidence level: 3 | | | eczem, attending a tertiary referral clinic. Age 0.7-18.7 years, median 9.3 | Outcome Measures: 1) Serum cortisol levels in response to low-dose ACTH*; differences | between children treated with mild or moderately potent TCS and controls. | *500ng/1.73 square metre body surface area, after discontinuing TCS treatment for 24 hours (normal response: peak plasma cortisol 500nmol/l or more, increment 200nmol/l or more) |
| | | | | years. Had been using TCS, applied twice daily since | between children with atopic eczema and controls | All children treated with potent TCS failed the ACTH test. | Subggroup analysis of 7 children with severe eczema ws also reported, although this was confounded by |
| | | | | infancy, median 6.9 years (0.5-17.7) (n=35) | Correlation between plasma cortisol response to the test and severity of | Peak, increment and area- under-curve cortisol responses were significantly lower in the atopic eczema group, with no | other treatments (inhaled and/or systemic corticosteroids). |
| | | | | 7 had used HC 1% | atopic eczema and its treatment (variables | significant difference between groups in baseline or time to | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | 17 used moderately potent TCS 4 used potent TCS | considered by multiple linear regression; treatment and severity scores, age, prepubertal status, treatment duration) | peak cortisol values. 3) Severity score was the only significant variable influencing peak (r2=24%, p=0.0016) and increment (r2=25%, p=0.014) cortisol response. | |
| | | | | Comparison: Children being investigated for short stature, age 3.8-17.3 years, median 10.3 years. Never treated with corticosteroids (n=14) | | | |
| Stalder JF;Fleury M;Sourisse M;Rostin M;Pheline F;Litoux | Study Type: RCT | 40 | Children aged 4.5 months - 15 years (mean 40 months) with atopic | Intervention: Desonide | Follow-up period: 7 days | 1) 66.7% vs 15.8% showed 'improvement or resolution), p<0.001 | This was a DB RCT. |
| P; | Evidence level: 1+ | n=19 desonide applied once | eczema. | Comparison: Vehicle | Outcome Measures: 1) Change in clinical score | | All other treatments for atopic eczema were excluded during the study. |
| 1994 Oct | | n=21 vehicle applied once daily | Exclusions: clinical infection requiring antibiotic therapy | | | | The effects of treatment on Staph aureus denisty was also reported - data not reproduced here. |
| Prado de Oliveira ZN;Cuce LC;Arnone M; | Study Type: RCT | 25 | Children with atopic eczema, with minimum total severity score* of 8 | Intervention: Mometasone furoate 0.1% once | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment: 42 days | 1) 'evidence of atrophy' in 17% desonide vs 31% mometasone | Funding: none declared |
| 2002 | Evidence level: | | (and 2 for erythema) | daily (n=13) | Outcome Measures: 1) | (mean scores between 0.2 and 0.4 according to graph) | *Severity of erythema, lichenification, desquamation, excoriation, pruritus on a scale of 0-3 (none to severe). |
| 284 | · · | | Age range 2-12 years, mean 7.2 years | Comparison: Desonide 0.05% | Atrophy (on scale of 0-3, absent to intense) | 2) n=1 vs 0 pneumonia 1 vs 3 ardor (burning) | Use of emollients was permitted. |
| | | | mometasone vs 4.8 years desonide | once daily (n=12) | 2) Other adverse effects | 0 vs 1 appearance of laguna (fine hair) | Severity and global improvement were also evaluated but data not reproduced here. |
| | | | | | | | Atrophy was assessed by measuring the following signs on a four-point scale (thinning of the skin, striae, shiny skin, telangectasia, loss of elasticity, loss of normal lines on the cutaneous surface). |
| Rafanelli Aea; | Study Type: | 60 | Children with atopic | Intervention: | Follow-up period: | 1) -6.7 (85%) vs -4.8 (66%), | Funding: none declared |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| 1993 ₂₅₉ | RCT Evidence level: 1+ | | eczema, showing three signs/symptoms (erythema, induration, pruritus)in the area to be observed durig the study. Total severity score at entry at least 6; each sign/symptom scored on a scale of 0-3 (none to severe) Mean age about 7 years. Duration of disease significantly longer in the mometasone group (26.7 vs 16.4 with clobetasone), p<0.05. | Mometasone furoate 0.1% applied once daily (n=30) Comparison: Clobetasone 0.05% applied twice daily (n=30) | Duration of treatment, up to 3 weeks. Outcome Measures: 1) Reduction in mean disease severity score from baseline 2) Response to treatment a) cleared (100% improvement) b) marked improvement (>75%) c) moderate improvement (50-75%) d) slight improvement (<50%) e) no change | p<0.01 2a) 50% vs 6.7% 2b) 30% vs 36.6% 2c) 20% vs 50% 2d) 0 vs 6.7% 3) No 'drug-induced' skin alterations nor atrophy. No adverse events were reported | The quantities of TCS used were not stated. |
| Lassus A; 1984 Oct 263 | Study Type: RCT Evidence level: 1- | 43 | Children aged 5-11 years with atopic eczema, stable or worsening for more than 1 week. Three signs/symptoms of eczema (erythema, induration, pruritus) with a total severity score* of 6 or more (baseline score was about 8) | Intervention: Alclometasone dipropionate cream 0.05% applied twice daily (n=22) Comparison: Clobetasone butyrate cream 0.05% applied twice daily (n=21) | 3) Adverse events Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 2 weeks Outcome Measures: 1) Severity score (mean change from baseline) 2) Investigator's global evaluation a) no. children with at least 75% improvement b) no. children with 100% improvement | 1) -7.0 (85%) vs -7.14 (86%), p >0.10 2a) 64% vs 75% 2b) 41% vs 48% 3) 10% (n=2) vs 0 stinging | Funding: none declared Double-blind study *Severity score used: 0=absent, 1=mild, 2=moderate, 3=severe Lesions on the face, neck, trunk, and upper and lower extremities were included as study areas It was not stated whether an emollient was also used. The quantities of TCS used were not stated. |
| Lassus A; | Study Type: RCT Evidence level: 1+ | 40 | Children with atopic eczema, stable or worsening for more than 1 week. Three signs or symptoms (erythema, induration, pruritus) with | Intervention: Alclometasone dipropionate cream 0.05%, applied twice daily (n=20) | 3) Adverse effects Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 2 weeks Outcome Measures: 1) | 1) -5.85 (76%) vs-5.55 (69%), p>0.10 2a) 10% vs 15% | Funding: none declared Double-blind study. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| 262 | | | a severity score* of 6 or more (score 7.70 and 8.05 in alclometasone and HC groups respectively) Age 5-11 years, mean | Comparison: Hydrocortisone butyrate cream 0.1%, applied twice daily (n=20) | Severity score (mean change from baseline) 2) Investigator's rating of improvement a) 100% | 2b) 30% vs 20% 2c) 55% vs 45% 2d) 5% vs 15% 2e) 0 vs 0 2f) 0 vs 5% | *Severity score used: 0=absent, 1=mild, 2=moderate, 3=severe. Areas treated were the face, neck, trunk, and upper and lower extremities. |
| | | | about 8 years. | | b) >75% c) 51-75% d) 26-50% e) 1-25% f) 0 | 3) 10% vs 5% stinging | The quantities of TCS used were not stated. |
| Bleehen SS;Chu | Study Type: | | | Intervention: | Follow-up period: | 1) | |
| AC;Hamann I;Holden C;Hunter JA;Marks R; | RCT Evidence level: | | | Comparison: | Outcome Measures: | | |
| 1995 Oct | | | | | | | |
| 286 | | | | | | | |
| Richelli C;Piacentini GL;Sette L;Bonizzato MC;Andreoli A;Boner AL; 1990 | Study Type: RCT Evidence level: 1- | Once daily, n=9 Twice daily at 8am and 3pm, n=13 Twice daily at 3pm and 8pm, n=8 | Children with atopic eczema who had not used TCS within 2 weeks. Mean age ranged from 4-5.5 years across groups. | Intervention: Clobetasone 17- butyrate 0.05% lotion applied once daily Comparison: Clobetasone 17- butyrate 0.05% lotion applied twice daily (at 8am and 3pm) Clobetasone 17- butyrate 0.05% lotion applied twice daily (at 3pm and 8pm) | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 1 week Outcome Measures: 1) Severity of signs and symptoms 2) Serum cortisol and ACTH levels | No numerical data for 1) or 2). Data shown in graphs only, showing reduction in severity of signs and symptoms in all groups. It was reported that there were 'no differences' between groups. | Funding: none declared |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|--|-------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Devillers ACA;de Waard- van der Spek FB;Mulder PGH;Oranje AP; 2002 329 | El=3 | Intervention: Application of fluticasone propionate (FP) 0.05% wet wraps once daily to whole body for one week. Thereafter, application of FP to affected areas, and emollient to unaffected areas for days 1-4 of the week, followed by emollient only for days 5-7 of the week. Wet wrap dressings worn for a minimum of 12 hours per day. 5% dilution was used on the face A side-to-side left-right treatment comparison was made using 5% and 10% dilutions. | 14 children and 12 adults | Adults and children with refractory atopic eczema who visited paediatric outpatient department between March 1999 and 2000, unsuccessfully treated with topical corticosteroids and emollients. Age range of children 6 months to 10 years, mean 3 years. Mean SCORAD score in children 39.09. | 1) SCORAD (mean change form baseline to day 9) 2) Serum cortisol levels (nmol/ml), at day 7 | 1) -28 (71%), 95% CI 20.87 to 34.40, p<0.0005 2) No values <200nmol/ml (although temporary drop to <200ml seen in 3 children mid week). Baseline minimum 28, maximum 890, median 585; Day 7 minimum 206, maximum 549, median 410, p<0.016 | Funding: none declared Serum cortisol measured at 6 a.m.; reference value for lower limit 200 nmol/l. One child did not use/need a facial mask. Three used an additional mild to moderate topical corticosteroids to treat facial or scalp lesions. |
| McGowan R;Tucker P;Joseph D;Wallace AM;Hughes I;Burrows NP;Ahmed SF; 2003 Sep 331 | El=3 | Comparison: N/A Intervention: Wet wrap dressings with emollient (n=1) or beclomethasone dipropionate, strength not stated, diluted to 10% (n=6) or 25% (n=1) applied under tubular bandages. Bandages left on for 24 hours a day for up to 2 weeks, reducing to overnight use for 1 week, then as required for the remaining 12 week | 8 | Children with atopic eczema aged 3.3- 8.8 years, median 5.1 years | 1) Lower leg length velocity (knemometry); millimetres per week 2) Urinary deoxypyridinoline crosslink excretion (UDPD); median rate, nmol/l | 1) 0.42 (vs 0.43 during the pretreatment period), p value not reported 2) 26.3 (vs 25.9 in pretreatment period), p value not reported | Funding: Addenbrookes Charities Committee, the Marmaduke Shiled Fund, Serono Pharmaceuticals Ltd, and Mason Medical Research Foundation. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | Comparison: N/A | | | | | |
| Boner AL;Richelli C;De SG;Valletta EA;Ferrari S;Mengoni M; 1985 Feb ²⁷⁴ | El=3 | Intervention: Clobetasone butyrate cream 0.05% applied twice daily for 7 days (n=17) Comparison: Clobetasone butyrate cream 0.05% applied twice daily for 14 days (n=12) | Exclusions: children treated with topical corticosteroids within 2 weeks | Children with chronic atopic eczema Mean age 5 years and 7 months in the one-week arm and 1 year 8 months in the two-week arm | 1) Plasma cortisol concentrations (micromol/l; before vs after) 2) ACTH concentrations (pg/ml; before vs after) | 1a) Group receiving 7 days' treatment At 0800hrs: 0.4 vs 0.4, p<0.5 At 2000hrs: 0.29 vs 0.30, p<0.5 Group receiving 14 days' treatment At 0800hrs: 0.44 vs 0.39, p<0.3 At 2000hrs: 0.27 vs 0.28, p<0.3 2) Group receiving 7 days' treatment At 0800hrs: 41 vs 36, p<0.3 At 2000hrs: 38 vs 34, p<0.4 Group receiving 14 days' treatment At 0800hrs: 31 vs 37, p<0.5 At 2000hrs: 31 vs 37, p<0.5 At 2000hrs: 31 vs 34, p<0.3 | Funding: none declared Children were reported to have been randomised to one or two weeks treatment; but for the outcome measured, the evidence level is considered to be a before and after study [EL=3] |
| Furue M;Terao H;Rikihisa W;Urabe K;Kinukawa N;Nose Y;Koga T; | El=3 | Intervention: Adverse effects to TCS Comparison: N/A | 666 | 1271 people with atopic eczema who had been followed fro 6 months in Japanese outpatient clinics (666 [52%] infants or children; up to 12 years). All were | 1) Cumulative incidence of adverse effects (infants vs children) 2) Effects of TCS (and other variables) on three major adverse effects, analysed using stepwise logistic regression analysis | 1) 0.5% vs 1% hyperspertirchosis 0 vs 2.3% telangiectasia on cheek 1.5 vs 5.2% skin atrophy of antecubital fossae 1.9% vs 4.1% skin atrophy of popliteal fossae | Funding: Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology. TCS were classified as 'strongest, very strong, strong, mild, weak'. It is unknown which products the classification relates to. Quantity of TCS used (median, infants and |
| | | | | treated with TCS and emollients. Infants' mean age | a) telangiectasia on cheek | 0 vs 0 striae atrophica 0 vs 1.3% acne and folliculitis 1.4% vs 2.1% bacterial | children) face 1g vs 15g scalp 0 vs 0 trunk 21g vs 45g |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | 1.1 year, children | b) skin atrophy of antecubital | infection | extremities 25g vs 45g |
| | | | | mean 5.6 years | fossae | 1.9% vs 0.6% fungal | |
| | | | | | | infection | Type used (n, infants vs children) |
| | | | | | c) skin atrophy of popliteal | 0 vs 0.4% steroid-induced | Face: |
| | | | | | fossae | dermatitis | strongest 0 vs 0 |
| | | | | | | 0 vs 0.4% contact dermatitis | very strong 1 vs 5 |
| | | | | | | 0) " 0 0 4 == (0 = 0) | strong 1 vs 17 |
| | | | | | | 2a) duration: OR 1.77 (95% CI 1.41 to 2.22) p=0.0000 | mild 94 vs 71 |
| | | | | | | age: OR 3.61 (1.84 to 7.1), | weak 4 vs 7 |
| | | | | | | p=0.000 | |
| | | | | | | duration 6 years: OR 0.534 | Scalp: |
| | | | | | | (0.396 to 0.72), p=0.000 | strongest 0 vs 0 |
| | | | | | | doses of TCS to face (20g | very strong 1 vs 5 |
| | | | | | | 'changing point'): OR 1.37 (1.14 to 1.65), p=0.0013 | strong 1 vs 17 |
| | | | | | | (1.14 to 1.00), p 0.0010 | mild 94 vs 71 |
| | | | | | | 2b) age: OR 2.8 (95% CI | weak 4 vs 7 |
| | | | | | | 1.75 to 4.47) p=0.0000 | |
| | | | | | | duration: OR 1.24 (1.12 to | Trunk and extremities |
| | | | | | | 1.38), p=0.000 | strongest 1 vs 1 |
| | | | | | | duration 9 years: OR 0.626 | very strong 17 vs 27 |
| | | | | | | (0.464 to 0.845), p=0.0022 | strong 34 vs 37 |
| | | | | | | doses of TCS to truck and extremities (500g 'changing- | mild 46 vs 35 |
| | | | | | | point'): OR 3.82 (1.07 to 13.6), p=0.0465 | weak 2 vs 0 |
| | | | | | | , | 'changing point' believed to be threshold at |
| | | | | | | 2c) duration: OR 1.35 (95% CI 1.19 to 1.52) p=0.0000 | which comparison was made |
| | | | | | | age: OR 2.08 (1.21 to 3.56), p=0.0063 | |
| | | | | | | duration 9 years ('changing point'): OR 0.492 (0.345 to 0.7), p=0.0001 | |
| Queille | EL=3 | Intervention: A topical | 26 | Children with | Plasma cortisol levels (mean, | Betamethasone dipropionate | Funding: none declared |
| C;Pommarede | | corticosteroid | | severe atopic | microg/100ml, before vs after | 10.46 vs 4.14 (-61%) | |
| R;Saurat JH; | | preparation, applied once daily. One of: | | eczema requiring hospitalisation. | treatment) | | No statistical analysis. |
| 1004 lo- 277 | | betamethasone | | Children had not | | Difluorocortolone valerianate | |
| 1984 Jan ²⁷⁷ | | dipropionate (n=5), difluorocortolone | | been treated with TCS for at least 2 | | 12.35 vs 3.4 (-72%) | No normal range given for serum cortisol, and no analysis vs baseline |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | valerianate (n=4), halcinonide (n=4), clobetasone butyrate | | weeks, and never with systemic corticosteroids. | | Halcinonide 12.53 vs 7.76 (-38%) | Quantity of TCS used (g/day/square metre): 5.9 betamethasone |
| | (n=5), desonide (n=5), fluocortine butylester (n=3 | | Mean cortisol levels at baseline 10.96 (SD 3.46 | | Clobetasone butyrate 12.22 vs 9.67 (-21%) | 9 difluorocortolone 3.98 halcinonide 5.3 clobetasone | |
| | | Comparison: N/A | | microg/100ml). | | Desonide 9.53 vs 9.67 (+1%) | 9.9 desonide 13 fluocortine |
| | | | | Age 5 months to 12 years. | | Fluocortine butylester 8.2 vs 9.43 (+15%) | 10 nuocotune |
| Friedlander SF;Hebert | EL=3 | Intervention: Fluticasone propionate cream 0.05% | 51 | Children with moderate to severe | Serum cortisol levels in response to stimulation with | 1) Prestimulation -1.78 microg/dl, p=0.1734 | Funding: Glaxo Wellcome Inc |
| AA;Allen DB;Fluticasone Pediatrics Safety Study | | applied twice daily to all lesions, including facial areas but not nappy areas, eyelids, perioral | Exclusions: acute self limiting eczema; use or anticipated use of topical or inhaled corticosteroids | atopic eczema affecting more than 35% of the body surface area (mean | cosyntropin (mean difference in pre- and post-stimulation values) | Poststimulation -2.49 microg/dl, p=0.719 | 17% withdrew from the study (10% in older group, 7% in younger group) |
| Group.; 2002 Mar ²⁷⁶ | | area, nostriis, or areas or atrophy within 1 week, or continuous therapi including ciclospor Comparison: N/A ultraviolet light and | | body surface area 64%). | 2) Adverse events | Two children (4.7%, 2/43) had serum cortisol values | 'normal adrenal response' defined as a poststimulation cortisol peak value of more than 18.0 microg/dl measured by |
| | Co | | ultraviolet light and topical products within 4 weeks | Age range 3 months to 5 years (63% aged 3 months to 2 years, | | below 18 microg/dl following stimulation at treatment end. They had been treated for 4 and 5 weeks. | fluorescence-polarisation immunoassay |
| | | | | and 37% aged 3 to 5 years) | | 2) 50% reported 39 adverse events, 'most frequently' fever and cold symptoms. | |
| | | | | | | Drug-related adverse events: | |
| | | | | | | 1 burning | |
| | | | | | | 1 urticaria | |
| | | | | | | 1 erythematous rash | |
| | | | | | | 3 telangiectasia | |
| Boner AL;Richelli C;De | EL=3 | Intervention: Clobetasone butyrate | 12 | Children with chronic atopic | Cortisol level measured following administration of | At 0800hrs: 12.8 vs 15.5 microg/ml | Funding: none declared. |
| SG;Antolini I;Aprili F;Mengoni M; | | cream 0.05% applied three time a day for 1 week then twice daily for | Exclusions: children receiving oral corticosteroids during the | eczema, with pruritus, persistent scratching, excoriations, | tetracosactrin at 8a.m. (dose given: 0.25mg/square metre by intramuscular injection) | At 0830hrs: 32.4 vs 28.7 microg/ml At 0900hrs: 42.3 vs 37.5 | Mean quantity of clobetasone used during the study period was 82.5g |
| | | | study period or in the | crusting and | | microg/ml | The significance of any changes in cortisol |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 1985 275 | | Comparison: N/A | previous 3 months | thickening or lichenification | Mean levels before vs after | At 1800hrs: 12.7 vs 10.9 microg/ml | levels in individual children was not considered in the trial report |
| | | | | Age range 2-13 years, mean 8.2 years | | p>0.1 for all comparisons | |
| Hebert AA; | Study Type: | Intervention: 0.05% | n=44 | Children aged 3 | Serum cortisol levels | Baseline serum cortisol: | |
| | Other Open-label | Fluticasone propionate lotion | | months to 6 years with moderate to | | pre- cosyntropin stimulation test | |
| 2006 Sep | study with no | | | severe AE affecting | | 13.2 micrograms/dL (sd=6.1) | |
| 543 | comparator group | Comparison: Serum cortisol levels measured | | >35% of body surface area | | post- cosyntropin stimulation test | |
| | Evidence Level: | before and after treatment | | | | 35.3 micrograms/dL (sd=6.02) | |
| | | | | | | End of treatment serum cortisol: | |
| | | | | | | pre- cosyntropin stimulation test | |
| | | | | | | 12.4 micrograms/dL (sd=6.3) | |
| | | | | | | post- cosyntropin stimulation test | |
| | | | | | | 33.3 micrograms/dL (sd=8.1) | |

Topical calcineurin inhibitors

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| taab | Study Type: | Total number of patients = | Children included in a | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | Outcomes at 4 Weeks: | Source of Funding: Novartis |
|);Kaufmann R;Brautigam ∕I;Wahn U; | Randomised Control Trial | 195 | vehicle-controlled RCT of pimecrolimus (Breuer 2004.300 Kaufmann | applied twice daily vs vehicle applied twice daily | PQOL-AD psychosomatic wellbeing (mean score change) | *values estimated from graphs |
| 2005 ¹¹⁰ | | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | 2004 ³⁰¹) | | +0.3 (14.6%) vs +0.1 (6.2%), p<0.05 | |
| 2003*** | Evidence Level: 1+ | N = 129 | | | PQOL-AD effects on social life (mean score change)* | PQOL-AD: quality of life in parents and children with atopic dermatitis |
| | | Vehicle N = 66 | | | +0.2 (6.5%) vs 0 (2%), p<0.05 | · |
| | | N - 00 | | | PQOL-AD confidence in medical treatment (mean score change)* | |
| | | | | | +0.3 (10%) vs +0.1 (3.5%), p<0.05 | |
| | | | | | PQOL-AD emotional coping (mean score change) | |
| | | | | | +0.4 (16.0%) vs +0.1 (6.5%), p<0.05 | |
| | | | | | PQOL-AD acceptance of disease (mean score change) | |
| | | | | | +0.3 (19.6%) vs +0.1 (6.9%), p<0.05 | |
| McKenna | Study Type: | | Quality of life data for | Pimecrolimus cream 1% applied twice daily vs vehicle | Outcomes at 12 Months: | |
| SP;Whalley D:De PY:Staab | Randomised Control Trial | 384 | children included in two vehicle-controlled RCTs | | PIQOL-AD (mean score change in infants) | |
| D;De PY;Staab D:Huels J:Paul | Control Trial | | of pimecrolimus cream | applied twice daily | -4.9 (51%) vs -1.8 (21%) | |
| CF;Assche D; 2006 ¹⁰⁹ | Evidence Level: | Pimecrolimus cream 1% applied twice daily | of pimecrolimus cream 1% (Wahn 2002 ²⁹⁷ and Kapp 2002 ³⁰²). | | OR 1.8 (95% CI 1.12 to 2.92), p=0.016 | |
| 2000 | 1+ | N = 283 | | | PIQOL-AD (mean score change in children) | |
| | | | 154 infants included in | | -3.8 (41%) vs -2.3 (26%) | |
| | | Vehicle applied twice daily | the PIQOL-AD results | | OR 1.46 (95% CI 1.08 to 1.98), p=0.015 | |
| | | N = 101 | 230 children in the PIQOL-AD results | | | |
| | | | 144 children in the CDLQI results | | CDLQI (mean score change in children) 2.12 (95% CI 0.52 to 3.71), p=0.01 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Nhalley | Study Type: | Total number of patients = | Children aged up to 8 | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | Outcomes at 6 Weeks: | Source of Funding: Novartis |
| D;Huels | Randomised | 278 | years who were | applied twice daily vs vehicle | PIQoL-AD (mean change from baseline) | Pharmaceuticals Corporation |
| J;McKenna SP;van AD; | Control Trial | | included in the Eichenfield 2002 ²⁹⁵ | applied twice daily | -3.3 (35%) vs -1.3 (15%), p=0.023 | |
| 2002 ²⁹⁶ | Evidence Level: | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | study (n=278; 69% of | | | Only results for 80% were available at 6 weeks. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| | 1+ | N = 158 Vehicle N = 83 | the total study population). Mean age about 4 years (range 1-7 years). Baseline mean PIQoI-AD scores were 9.4 pimecrolimus vs 8.8 vehicle. | | PIQoL-AD (least squares mean change) -3.20 vs -1.63 (difference 1.57, 95% CI 0.22 to 2.92) | Following the 6-week controlled phase, children from both groups were offered treatment with pimecrolimus for up to 6 months. QOL data at 6 months [EL=3] were also shown in the report, which indicated further reductions in scores (improvement). |
| Reitamo S;Harper J;Dbos J;Cambazard F;Bruijnzeel- Koomen C;Valk P;Smith C;Moss C;Dobozy A;Palatsi R; 2004 ²⁶⁵ | Study Type: Randomised Control Trial Evidence Level: 1+ | Total number of patients = 624 Tacrolimus ointment 0.03% once daily N = 207 Tacrolimus ointment 0.03% twice daily N = 210 Hydrocortisone acetate 1% N = 207 | Children aged 2-15 years (mean about 7 years) with moderate-severe AE affecting 5% or more of BSA (mean 37-39%). | Tacrolimus ointment 0.03% applied once daily vs tacrolimus ointment 0.03% applied twice daily vs hydrocortisone acetate 1% applied twice daily | Outcomes at 3 Weeks: Modified EASI (median score change) 70% vs 78.7% vs 47.2%, p<0.001 both tacrolimus groups vs HC, p=0.007 between tacrolimus groups EASI (median score change) 66.7% vs 76.7% vs 47.6%, p<0.001 both tacrolimus groups vs HC, p=0.015 between tacrolimus groups Physician's global evaluation (at least 90% improvement) 27.8% vs 36.7% vs 13.6%, p value not reported Physician's global evaluation (at least 50% improvement) 74.1% vs 81% vs 52.9%, p value not stated Patient/parent's evaluation (% better or much better) 67% vs 82.9% vs 50.7%, p value no reported Itch (mean score change on 10cm VAS) -48% vs -57% vs -32%, p value not stated Sleep quality (mean change on 10cm VAS +27% vs +45% vs +25%, p value not reported Adverse effects* 23.2% skin burning 18.4% pruritus | Source of Funding: Fujisawa GmbH, Munich Treatment was given for 2 uninterrupted weeks, and for a further 7 days after clearance. Bath oil and nonmedicated emollients were permitted. Modified EASI includes an assessment of itch. *the most common adverse effects (occurring in 5% or more). Additionally skin infection occurred in 1.4% vs 2.9% vs 2.9%, p=NS |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | | 2.9% flu syndrome vs | |
| | | | | | 23.8% skin burning | |
| | | | | | 21.4% pruritus | |
| | | | | | 5.2% folliculitis | |
| | | | | | 5.7% flu syndrome vs | |
| | | | | | 14.5% skin burning, p=0.028 both tacrolimus groups | |
| | | | | | vs HC | |
| | | | | | 15.9% pruritus | |
| | | | | | 3.9% folliculitis | |
| | | | | | 5.3% flu syndrome | |
| Wahn U;Bos | Study Type: | Total number of patients = | Children aged 1-17 | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | Outcomes at 12 Months: | Source of Funding: Novartis Pharma AG |
| JD;Goodfield M;Caputo | Randomised Control Trial | 713 | years (mean 8 years) with atopic eczema | applied twice daily (plus usual care)* | % with no flares of atopic eczema | |
| R;Papp | Control Thai | D: 1' 40' | affecting at least 5% of | VS | 50.8% vs 28.3%, p<0.001 | Double-blind. |
| K;Manjra A;Dobozy | Evidence Level: | Pimecrolimus cream 1% N = 476 | BSA (mean 24%), and IGA score of 2 or more | vehicle applied twice daily (plus usual care)* | Time to first flare | *treatment was applied to the affected |
| A;Paul C;Molloy S;Hultsch T;Graeber M:Cherill R:De | 17 | Vehicle N = 237 | on a 6-point scale; at baseline 26.2% pimecrolimus vs 27.8% vehicle had mild | (pius usuai care) | 'significantly longer' in the pimecrolimus group; p<0.001. No numerical data | areas at the first sign (erythema) or symptom (pruritus) of atopic eczema, to prevent progression to flare. Emollients were used in both groups to treat dry skin. |
| PY;Flare Reduction in | | | disease (score of 2), 55.3% vs 50.6% | | EASI (median change from baseline, estimated from graph) | Moderately potent TCS were mandated in both groups for flares not controlled by |
| Eczema with Elidel (Children) | | | moderate, 15.6% vs 17.7% severe, 2.7% vs | | -60% vs -40% | study medication (i.e. at least severe erythema and severe infiltration/papulation; |
| Multicenter | | | 3.8% very severe. | | % using TCS | IGA score of 4 or more). Treatment with |
| Investigator Study Group.; | | | Baseline EASI score | | 42.6% vs 68.4% | TCS was followed by 1 week of treatment with study medication for 'residual disease'. |
| 2002 ²⁹⁷ | | | 12.8 (mean). | | 42.0 /0 V3 00.4 /0 | with study medication for residual disease. |
| | | | Exclusions: | | Duration of use: | 14.2% vs 7.0% used study mediation |
| | | | phototherapy or | | 57.4% vs 31.6% used 0 days | continuously. |
| | | | systemic therapy within 1 month | | 17.1% vs 27.5% used 1-14 days | |
| | | | | | 25.5% vs 41% used for >14days | Antihistamines were permitted if the dosages used was stable; they were used |
| | | | | | Mean % time using TCS: 4.08% vs 9.10% | by 57.2% of the pimecrolimus group vs 62.9% vehicle. |
| | | | | | Adverse effects 24.7% suspected drug-related adverse effect 28.9% nasopharyngitis 23% headache 13.2% bronchitis 14.6% influenza | Discontinuation rates at 12 months were 31.6% pimecrolimus vs 51.5% vehicle; p value was not reported, but the difference between groups was reported to be 'significant'. The main reason for discontinuation was unsatisfactory therapeutic effect (12.4% vs 30.4%). |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|---|---|
| - | | | | | 19.3% cough | |
| | | | | | 15.4% pyrexia | IGA was also measured as an outcome but |
| | | | | | 10.5% application-site burning | no data were reported. |
| | | | | | 14.2% bacterial skin infection | |
| | | | | | 12.4% viral skin infection | Other than the adverse effects for which p |
| | | | | | VS | values are given, no other statistically significant differences were reported |
| | | | | | 18.7% suspected drug-related adverse effect | between groups. |
| | | | | | 27.1% nasopharyngitis | 3 |
| | | | | | 21.5% headache | |
| | | | | | 13.7% bronchitis | |
| | | | | | 9.5% influenza | |
| | | | | | 11.8% cough, p=0.04 | |
| | | | | | 11.8% pyrexia | |
| | | | | | 9.3% application-site burning | |
| | | | | | 30.9% bacterial skin infection | |
| | | | | | 6.3% viral skin infection, p=0.038 | |
| | | | | | RR of having a flare | |
| | | | | | 0.69 (95% CI 0.61 to 0.77) | |
| | | | | | Outcomes at 6 Months: | |
| | | | | | % with no flares of atopic eczema | |
| | | | | | 61% vs 34.2%, p<0.001 | |
| Карр А;Рарр | Study Type: | Total number of patients = | Children aged 3-23 | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | Outcomes at 12 Months: | Source of Funding: Novartis Pharma AG |
| K;Bingham | Randomised | 250 | months (mean 12 | applied twice daily* vs | % with no flares of AE | |
| A;Folster-Holst R:Ortonne | Control Trial | | months) with AE affecting at least 5% of | vehicle applied twice daily* | 56.9% vs 28.3% | Double-blind. |
| JP;Potter | | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | BSA (mean 28%), and | | | |
| PC;Gulliver | Evidence Level: 1+ | applied twice daily* | IGA score of 2 or more: | | Time to first flare | *study medication was applied at the first |
| W;Paul | 17 | N = 204 | 32.8% pimecrolimus vs | | 'pimecrolimus was associated with a significantly | sign (erythema) or symptom (pruritus) of |
| C;Molloy S;Barbier | | | 39.1% vehicle groups had mild disease, | | longer flare-free period', p<0.001 | AE, to prevent progression to flares. Emollients were used in both groups to |
| N;Thurston | | Vehicle* | 57.4% vs 47.8% | | | treat dry skin. Moderately potent TCS were |
| M;De PY;Flare | | N = 46 | moderate, 8.3% vs | | Number of flares per person (mean) | allowed in both groups for flares not |
| Reduction in | | | 10.9% severe, 1.5% vs | | 1.0 vs 2.2, p<0.001 | controlled by study medication (IGA score |
| Eczema with Elidel (infants) | | | 2.2% very severe. | | | of at least 4). Treatment with TCS was followed by a week of treatment with study |
| multicenter | | | Baseline EASI score was 12 (mean). | | % using TCS | medication for residual disease. |
| investigator | | | mao 12 (moan). | | 63.7% vs 34.8% used none. | |
| study group.; | | | Exclusions: | | Duration of use 3.2% vs 6.2% | 15.7% pimecrolimus vs 34.8% vehicle |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| 2002302 | | | immunocompromised, active skin infections, other skin infections or | | IGA (score of 0 or 1) 53.9% vs 47.8%, p=NS | withdrew at 6 months, and 24.5% vs 39.1% at 12 months, p=0.016. |
| | | | other infections that might interfere with the | | 33.3 /6 VS 41.0 /6, μ=N3 | IGA, pruritus and caregiver assessment all |
| | | | study. | | EASI (mean score change) | measured on a scale of 0-3. |
| | | | | | -7.3 (59%) vs -5.7 (45%) | |
| | | | | | Pruritus (score of 0 or 1) | |
| | | | | | 77% vs 63%, p=0.337 | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |
| | | | | | 6.5% application-site reactions | |
| | | | | | 27% at least one skin infection vs | |
| | | | | | 14.7% application-site reactions, p=0.104 | |
| | | | | | 27.6% at least one skin infection, p=0.728 | |
| | | | | | Outcomes at 6 Months: | |
| | | | | | IGA (score of 0 or 1) | |
| | | | | | 52.9% vs 37.0%, p=0.03 | |
| | | | | | % with no flares of AE | |
| | | | | | 67.6% vs 30.4% | |
| Boguniewicz M;Fiedler | Study Type: Randomised | Total number of patients = 180 | Children aged 7-16 years (mean about 10 | Tacrolimus ointment 0.03% applied twice daily vs | Outcomes at 22 Days: | |
| VC;Raimer S;Lawrence | Control Trial | Tacrolimus ointment | years) with 5-30% BSA affected with AE (mean | tacrolimus ointment 0.1% applied twice daily vs | 75% improvement or more in physician's global assessment | |
| ID;Leung | | 0.03% | ranged from 15-19% | tacrolimus ointment 0.3% | 69% vs 67% vs 70% vs 38%, p<0.004 for all | |
| DY;Hanifin JM; | Evidence Level: 1+ | N = 43 | across groups, | applied twice daily vs vehicle | tacrolimus groups vs vehicle | |
| 1998292 | | Tacrolimus ointment 0.1% N = 49 | p=0.049). | applied twice daily | FACL(9/ improvement in accres) | |
| | | Tacrolimus ointment 0.3% | | | EASI (% improvement in scores) 72% vs 77% vs 81% vs 26%, p<0.001 all tacrolimus | |
| | | N = 44 | Exclusions: in need of antimicrobial treatment | | group vs vehicle | |
| | | Vehicle | | | | |
| | | N = 44 | | | Head & neck total score (% improvement) | |
| | | •• | | | 65% vs 83% vs 81% vs -2%, p<0.001 all tacrolimus groups vs vehicle | |
| | | | | | Patients global assessment (% feeling better or much better) | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | • | | | 76% vs 91% vs 91% vs 52%, p<=0.025 all tacrolimus groups vs vehicle | |
| | | | | | Pruritus (% reduction in scores) | |
| | | | | | no numerical data; 'significantly' greater for tacrolimus- treated patients vs the vehicle group, p=0.027 | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |
| | | | | | 20.9% burning | |
| | | | | | 25.6% pruritus | |
| | | | | | 0 erythema vs | |
| | | | | | 10.2% burning | |
| | | | | | 20.4% pruritus | |
| | | | | | 2% erythema | |
| | | | | | vs 6.8% burning | |
| | | | | | 15.9% pruritus | |
| | | | | | 4.5% erythema, p=NS for all between group | |
| | | | | | differences | |
| | | | | | Mean tacrolimus blood concentrations | |
| | | | | | 0.07 ng/ml (SD 0.10) vs | |
| | | | | | 0.09 ng/ml (SD 0.31) vs | |
| | | | | | 0.18 ng/ml (SD 0.21) vs | |
| | | | | | Outcomes at 4 Days: | |
| | | | | | Mean tacrolimus blood concentrations | |
| | | | | | 0.10 ng/ml (SD 0.17) vs | |
| | | | | | 0.21 ng/ml (SD 0.32) vs | |
| | | | | | 0.31 ng/ml (SD 0.41) | |
| Drake L;Prendergast | Study Type: Randomised | Total number of patients = 323 | Children and toddlers included in the Paller | Tacrolimus ointment 0.03% applied twice daily | Outcomes at 12 Weeks: | Source of Funding: Fujisawa Healthcare |
| M;Maher | Control Trial | 020 | 2001 ²⁹³ study. | VS | CDLQI in children (mean score change)* | *adicated for boarding access For the |
| R;Breneman D;Korman | Evidence Level: | Tacrolimus ointment 0.03% | 178 children mean age 9 years | Tacrolimus ointment 0.1% applied twice daily vs Vehicle applied twice daily | -24.4 vs -24.1 vs -8.1, p=0.000 both tacrolimus groups vs vehicle, p=0.937 between tacrolimus groups | *adjusted for baseline score. For the toddlers, relatives completed a version of the CDLQI (Toddler survey) modified |
| N;Satoi Y;Beusterien KM;Lawrence I; | 1+ | N = 1171 | 145 toddlers (not defined), mean age 3 | | CDLQI in toddlers (mean score change)* | based on recommendations from the developer. |
| 2001 ²⁹⁴ | | Tacrolimus ointment 0.1% | years. | | -30.8 vs -35.6 vs -7.9, p=0.000 both tacrolimus groups vs vehicle, p=0.224 between tacrolimus groups | 40.0.0pdi. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | N = 118 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Vehicle | | | | |
| | | N = 116 | | | | |
| Eichenfield | Study Type: | Total number of patients = | Children aged 1-17 | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | Outcomes at 6 weeks: | Source of Funding: Novartis |
| LF;Lucky | Randomised Control Trial | 403 | years (mean 6.7 years) with atopic eczema | applied twice daily vs vehicle applied twice daily | IGA (% with score of 0 or 1) | Pharmaceuticals Corp |
| AW;Boguniewic Contr z M;Langley | Control Thai | | affecting at least 5% of | applied twice daily | 34.8% vs 18.4%, p <=0.05 | |
| RG;Cherill | Evidence Level: | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | BSA (mean 26%), and | | | This report represents pooled analysis from 2 RCTs. |
| R;Marshall | 1+ | N = 267 | IGA score of 2 or 3 (mild to moderate disease) on a 6-point | | Change in IGA score | Z NOIS. |
| K;Bush | | | | | 59.9% vs 33.1% improved by 1 IGA score or more | Davida blind |
| onno scale | scale. At baseline 30% | | 36% vs 47.1% maintained baseline score | Double-blind. | | |
| 2002200 | | N = 136 | pimecrolimus vs 31.6% | • | 4.1% vs 19.9% worsened | 104 |
| | | | vehicle had mild | | | IGA scored on a 6-point scale of 0-5, none to very severe. |
| | | | disease, 60.3% vs 57.4% moderate, 8.6% | | Change in EASI score | to very severe. |
| | | | vs 8.1% severe, 1.1% vs 2.9% severe. | | -45% vs -1%, p<=0.001 | Pruritus was measured on a scale of 0-3. |
| | | | | | | no itching/scratching to bothersome |
| | | | Baseline EASI score | | Pruritus severity (% with score of 0 or 1; estimated | itching/scratching that disturbs sleep. |
| | | | 12.8 (mean). | | from graph) | |
| | | | | | 55% vs 33%, p<0.001 | Children also received stable doses of an |
| | | | | | | additive-free basic, bland emollient for at |
| | | | | | Patients assessment of disease control (% reporting | least 7 days before baseline. |
| | | | | | complete or good control; estimated from graph) | |
| | | | | | 61% vs 40%, p<0.05 | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |
| | | | | | 44% reported one or more | |
| | | | | | 28% local adverse effects | |
| | | | | | 14.2% URTI | |
| | | | | | 13.9% headache | |
| | | | | | 11.6% cough | |
| | | | | | 10.1% nasopharyngitis | |
| | | | | | 10.4% application-site burning | |
| | | | | | 1.9% discontinuation due to adverse effects vs | |
| | | | | | 42.6% reported one or more | |
| | | | | | 35% local adverse effects | |
| | | | | | 13.2% URTI | |
| | | | | | 8.8% headache | |

| Bibliographic Information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | 8.1% cough | |
| | | | | | 7.4% nasopharyngitis | |
| | | | | | 12.5% application-site burning | |
| | | | | | 2.9% discontinuation due to adverse effects | |
| Eichenfield | Study Type: | Total number of patients = | Children included in | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | Outcomes at 6 weeks: | Source of Funding: Novartis |
| LF;Lucky | Randomised | 589 | vehicle-controlled RCTs | applied twice daily vs vehicle | IGA score of 0 or 1 (Caucasian group) | Pharmaceuticals Corp |
| AW;Langley RG;Lynde | Control Trial | | of pimecrolimus cream 1% (Ho 2003 ²⁹⁹ and | applied twice daily | 45% vs 23.6%, p<0.02 | |
| C;Kaufmann R;Todd | Evidence Level: | Pimecrolimus cream 1% N = 390 | Eichenfield 2002 ²⁹⁵). | | (treatment effect 21.4, 95% CI 0.03 to 0.41) | The proportions of children with an IGA score of 0 or 1 in the three non-Caucasian subgroups were also reported, as was the |
| G;Lindsley | | | Results for children of | | IGA score of 0 or 1 (non-Caucasian group) | % change in EASI scores. |
| L;Barbier N:Felser JM: | | Vehicle | Caucasian origin (54%) | | 36.3% vs 15.7%, p<0.001 | IGA score of 0 or 1 (pimecrolimus vs |
| 2005 ³⁰⁶ | | N = 199 | were compared with | | (treatment effect 20.6, 95% CI 0.09 to 0.30) | vehicle): |
| 2000 | | | those for children of non-Caucasian origin | | | 34.2% vs 20.5% Black |
| | | | (41.8% Black, 11.6% | | EASI (mean score change, Caucasian group) | 42.9% vs 0% Asian |
| | | | Asian, 46.6% 'other', | | -6.56 (SD 8.24) vs -1.22 (SD 6.04), p<0.001 | 36.5% vs 15.0% other |
| | | | mainly Hispanic) | | (treatment effect -4.35 95% CI -5.65 to -3.04) | |
| | | | | | | Mean EASI score change: |
| | | | | | EASI mean score change (non-Caucasian group) | -3.85 vs +0.28 Black |
| | | | | | -5.83 (SD 7.9) vs -0.49 (SD 9.34), p<0.001 | -6.33 vs -0.32 Asian |
| | | | | | (treatment effect -5.37, 95% CI -7.44 to -3.29) | -7.41 vs +0.75 other |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |
| | | | | | application-site burning: | |
| | | | | | 9% (Caucasian) | |
| | | | | | 5.6% (non-Caucasian) vs | |
| | | | | | application-site burning: | |
| | | | | | 9.1% (Caucasian) | |
| | | | | | 10.1% (non-Caucasian) | |
| Ho VC;Gupta | Study Type: | Total number of patients = | Children aged 3-23 | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | Outcomes at 6 weeks: | Source of Funding: Novartis |
| A;Kaufmann | Randomised | 186 | months (mean 12.6 | applied twice daily vs vehicle | IGA (score of 0 or 1) | Pharmaceuticals Corp |
| R;Todd G;Vanaclocha | Control Trial | | months) with atopic eczema affecting 5% or | applied twice daily | 54.5% vs 23.8%, p<0.001 | |
| F;Takaoka | Cuidonas Lauri | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | more of BSA, and IGA | | | The 6-week randomised phase was |
| R;Folster-Holst | Evidence Level: 1+ | N = 123 | score of 2 or 3 (mild or | | EASI (mean score change) | double-blind. Following this, children were offered treatment with pimecrolimus cream |
| R;Potter P:Marshall | | | moderate) based on degree of erythema | | -6.81 vs -0.75, p<0.001 | 1% in an open, unblinded way. |
| ⊃;iviarsnaii K:Thurston | | Vehicle | and | | | Emollients were permitted only on areas |
| M;Bush | | N = 63 | infiltration/papulation; | | EASI (median % change) | untreated with study medication. During |
| C;Cherill R; | | | 32.5% pimecrolimus vs | | -81.6% vs -25% | weeks 7-26, emollients were permitted on |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------|------------|---|---|
| | | Number of patients and patient characteristics | | Comparison | Pruritus severity (score of 0 or 1) 72.4% vs 33.3%, p<0.001 Carers assessment (complete or good control) 71.5% vs 27%, p<0.001 Adverse effects 31.7% pyrexia 23.6% URTI 14.6% nasopharyngitis 8.1% teething 8.1% diarrhoea 8.1% restlessness 7.3% gastroenteritis 5.7% bronchitis 5.7% influenza 4.9% rhinitis 5.7% asthma 0.8% bacterial skin infection vs 12.7% pyrexia, p<0.05 14.3% URTI 7.9% nasopharyngitis | Reviewer comment after study medication had been fully absorbed. 88.6% in the pimecrolimus group vs 52.4% in the vehicle group completed the 6-week DB phase. Pruritus score was measured on a scale of 0-3, no itching/scratching to bothersome itching/scratching that disturbs sleep. |
| | | | | | 4.8% teething0% diarrhoea4.8% restlessness3.2% gastroenteritis | |
| | | | | | 4.8% bronchitis3.2% influenza7.9% rhinitis3.2% asthma6.3% bacterial skin infection | |
| | | | | | Outcomes at 26 Weeks: 54.7% had IGA score of 0 or 1 EASI score remained at about 80% below baseline | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | | about 67% had absent/mild pruritus | |
| | | | | | 27% pyrexia | |
| | | | | | 21% URTI | |
| | | | | | 16% nasopharyngitis | |
| | | | | | 10% teething | |
| | | | | | 9% bronchitis | |
| | | | | | 9% otitis media | |
| Kempers | Study Type: | | Children/ young people | Tacrolimus ointment 0.03% | Outcomes at 4 Days: | Source of Funding: Novartis |
| S;Boguniewicz | Randomised | 141 | aged 2-17 years with | applied twice daily vs pimecrolimus cream 1% applied twice daily | Application-site reactions | Pharmaceuticals Corporation |
| M;Carter E:Jarratt | Control Trial | | moderate atopic eczema (Investigator's | | 26% vs 24% | |
| M:Pariser | | Tacrolimus ointment | Global Assessment | applied twice daily | | The primary outcome in the study was local |
| D;Stewart | Evidence Level: 1+ | 0.03% applied twice daily | [IGA] score of 3 or more on a scale of 0-5). | | Warmth/burning/stinging | tolerability. Data for day 4 were presented in detail in the report because these |
| D;Stiller | į+ | N = 70 | | | 17% vs 2-%, p=0.931 | reactions 'are most common during the first |
| M;Tschen E;Chon K;Wisseh S;Abrams B; 2004 ³⁰⁵ | | | 83.6% were aged 2-12 | | (% with duration >30 mins: 67%) vs 0, p<0.001) | few days of therapy'. Incidence appeared |
| | | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | years. | | (, | to fall with time in both groups over the 6- |
| | | applied twice daily | | | Erythema or irritation | week study period (data shown in graphs |
| | | N = 71 | Exclusions: treatment with phototherapy or | | 19% vs 8%, p=0.039 | only). |
| | | systemic corticoste within 1 month, top | systemic corticosteroids within 1 month, topical | | (% with duration >30 mins: 85%) vs 0, p<0.001) | Ease of application also reported. Data not reproduced here. |
| | | therapy (not specified) | | Increased itching | · | |
| | | | within 1 week, or systemic antibiotics | | 20% vs 8%, p=0.073 | Discontinuation rates 4% tacrolimus vs |
| | | within 2 weeks. | | | (% with duration >30 mins: 60%) vs 17%, p=0.559) | 18% pimecrolimus. |
| | | | | | Outcomes at 6 weeks: | |
| | | | | | IGA score of clear or almost clear | |
| | | | | | 42% vs 30%, p=0.119 | |
| | | | | | Pruritus score of absent or mild | |
| | | | | | 70% vs 64%, p=0.493 | |
| | | | | | % body surface area affected | |
| | | | | | % change from baseline: | |
| | | | | | -45% whole body | |
| | | | | | -35% head/neck | |
| | | | | | -42% lower limbs | |
| | | | | | -38% upper limbs | |
| | | | | | -36% trunk | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | <u> </u> | | | VS | |
| | | | | | % change from baseline: | |
| | | | | | -43% whole body | |
| | | | | | -54% head/neck | |
| | | | | | -29% lower limbs | |
| | | | | | -35% upper limbs | |
| | | | | | -40% trunk | |
| Paller A:Eichenfield | Study Type: | Total number of patients = | Children aged 2-15 | Tacrolimus ointment 0.03% | Outcomes at 12 weeks: | Source of Funding: Fujisawa Healthcare |
| LF;Leung | Randomised Control Trial | 351 | years (61% aged 2-6 years, 39% 7-15 years), | applied twice daily | Success (improvement of 90% or more on physician's | |
| DY;Stewart | 00111.01.111.01 | Tacrolimus ointment 0.03% | with moderate (38%) or | vs Tacrolimus ointment 0.1% | global assessment) 35.9% vs 40.7% vs 6.9%, p<0.001 both tacrolimus | Emollients permitted on unaffected areas. |
| D;Appell M; | Evidence Level: | N = 117 | severe (62%) AE | applied twice daily | groups vs vehicle | |
| 2001 ²⁹³ | 1+ | Tacrolimus ointment 0.1% | involving 10-100% BSA (mean 45-49%). 83% | VS | 3. asp. 12 . amer | Discontinuation rates due to adverse |
| | | N = 118 | had AE on head or | Vehicle applied twice daily | EASI (mean score change) | effects: 5% tacrolimus 0.03%, 2.5% tacrolimus 0.1%, 8% vehicle. |
| | | Vehicle | neck. | , | -14 vs -15 vs -2, p<0.001 both tacrolimus groups vs | |
| | | N = 116 | | | vehicle (values estimated from graph) | Incidence of herpes simplex reported for |
| | | | Exclusions: other skin | | | tacrolimus groups combined and vehicle |
| | | | conditions, pigmentation, or | | Pruritus (mean score change) | (2.6% vs 0.9% respectively). Incidence of |
| | | | scarring, infected AE | | -4 vs -4 vs -0.8, p<0.001 tacrolimus groups vs vehicle | molluscum contagiosum also 2.6% vs 0.9%. |
| | | | 3 , | | (values estimated from graphs) | |
| | | | | | Detient's alabel accessment | Median duration of treatment (days): 85 |
| | | | | | Patient's global assessment No numerical data. Statistical significance reported for | tacrolimus 0.03%, 85 tacrolimus 0.1%, 46 |
| | | | | | both tacrolimus groups vs vehicle, p<0.001 | vehicle. |
| | | | | | | Mean quantities used per day: 4.6g, 4.1g, 7.4g. |
| | | | | | % BSA affected (mean change) | |
| | | | | | -26% vs -27% vs -6%, p<0.001 both tacrolimus groups | |
| | | | | | vs vehicle (values estimated from graph) | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |
| | | | | | 43% skin burning | |
| | | | | | 41% pruritus | |
| | | | | | 5% varicella | |
| | | | | | 4% vesiculobullous rash | |
| | | | | | 3% sinusitis vs | |
| | | | | | 34% skin burning | |
| | | | | | 32% pruritus | |
| | | | | | 1% varicella | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | | 1% vesiculobullous rash | |
| | | | | | 1% sinusitis | |
| | | | | | 29% skin burning, p=0.04 vs tacrolimus 0.03% | |
| | | | | | 27% pruritus, p=0.03 vs tacrolimus 0.03% | |
| | | | | | 0% varicella, p=0.042 vs tacrolimus 0.03% | |
| | | | | | 0% vesiculobullous rash, p=0.042 vs tacrolimus 0.03% | |
| | | | | | 8% sinusitis, p=0.046 vs tacrolimus 0.1% | |
| | | | | | Tacrolimus blood concentrations | |
| | | | | | No measurable concentration in 90% of 148 samples. Mean and median levels below limit of quantification (2ng/ml) at all time points. Range of values 0-2.28ng/ml. | |
| Reitamo S;Van | Study Type: Randomised | Total number of patients = | Children aged 2-15 | Tacrolimus ointment 0.03% | Outcomes at 3 Weeks: | Source of Funding: Fujisawa GmbH, |
| Leent EJ;Ho | | 560 | years (mean about 7 | applied twice daily | Modified EASI (median score change) | Munich |
| V;Harper J;Ruzicka T;Kalimo K:Cambazard | Control Trial Evidence Level: | Tacrolimus ointment 0.03% | years) with moderate to severe AE affecting 5- 60% BSA (mean 23- 26%). | vs Tacrolimus ointment 0.1% applied twice daily vs Hydrocortisone acetate 1% applied twice daily | -55.2% vs -60.2% vs -36.0%, p=0.006 both tacrolimus groups vs HC, p=0.006 between tacrolimus groups | Double-blind. |
| F;Rustin M;Taieb | 1+ | N = 189 Exclusions: skin Tacrolimus ointment 0.1% disorders other than | Exclusions: skin | | Physician's global evaluation (at least 90% improvement) | Bath oils and non-medicated emollients were allowed. |
| A;Gratton | | | | | 38.5% vs 48.4% vs 15.7%, p=0.001 both tacrolimus | nore anonea. |
| D;Sauder D;Sharpe G:Smith | | | AE, history of eczema | | groups vs HC, p=0.055 between tacrolimus groups | Modified EASI includes assessment of itch. |
| C;Junger M;De | | | | | Tacrolimus blood concentrations | Of the tacrolimus blood concentrations, |
| PY; | | | | | 23.4% levels below limit of quantification | levels of 1ng/ml or more were seen in 1.6% |
| 2002266 | | | | | 75% <=1ng/ml | of the tacrolimus 0.03% group, and 11.3% |
| | | | | | 1.6% 1to <5ng/ml vs | of the tacrolimus 0.1% group at some time point. No values exceeded 5ng/ml. |
| | | | | | 12.4% levels below limit of quantification | Lower limit of quantification was not |
| | | | | | 76.3% <=1ng/ml | reported. |
| | | | | | 11.3% 1to <5ng/ml | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |
| | | | | | 18.5% skin burning | |
| | | | | | 13.2% pruritus | |
| | | | | | 5.8% folliculitis | |
| | | | | | 3.2% skin infection | |
| | | | | | 2.1% skin erythema vs | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| | | panoni onaracionono | 0.14.4010110100 | | 20.4% | |
| | | | | | 11.3% | |
| | | | | | 4.3% | |
| | | | | | 2.2% | |
| | | | | | 0.5% vs | |
| | | | | | 7.0%, p<0.05 both tacrolimus groups vs HC | |
| | | | | | 7.6% | |
| | | | | | 2.7% | |
| | | | | | 2.2% | |
| | | | | | 1.6% | |
| Siegfried | Study Type: | tudy Type: Total number of patients = | Children aged 3 months | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | Outcomes at 6 Months: | Source of Funding: Novartis |
| E;Korman | Randomised | 275 | to 11 years (mean 39 | applied twice daily* vs vehicle applied twice daily* | % with no major flares | Pharmaceuticals Corp |
| N;Molina C:Kianifard | Control Trial | | months) with mild to severe AE (IGA score | | 51.9% vs 34.1%, p=0.007 | |
| F;Abrams K; | | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | 2-4) involving 5% or | | | Double-blind study. |
| 2006 ³⁰⁴ Evider 1+ | Evidence Level: | N = 183 | more BSA (mean 29%). | | Mean duration of TCS use (days) | |
| | 17 | | Mean IGA score 2.9 | | 10.9 vs 17.3, p=0.002 | Emollients were applied to all areas of dry |
| | | Vehicle | (scale 0-5 none- severe), mean pruritus | | | skin. |
| | | N = 92 | severity score 1.9 | | Adverse effects | |
| | | | (scale 0-3, none-severe). | | 9.8% rhinorrhoea vs 2.2%, p=0.025 | *used at the first sign or symptom of AE, plus a 'major flare regimen' was introduced if after 7 days pimecrolimus or vehicle plus emollient the condition had not improved, |
| | | | Exclusions: immunocompromised | | | or worsened to a point where IGA 4 or more. A TCS (fluticasone propionate cream |
| | | | children, concurrent skin disease, AE triggered by a known | | | 0.05% or mometasone furoate 0.1% cream [the latter in children aged over 2 years]) was used at night during a flare, while |
| | | | unavoidable allergen or irritant, active viral or | | | pimecrolimus or vehicle continued to be used in the morning. The major flare |
| | | | bacterial infection. | | | regimen was used until all signs or |
| | | | | | | symptoms of AE resolved or for a maximum of 3 weeks, after which twice |
| | | | | | | daily use of pimecrolimus or vehicle resumed. |
| | | | | | | 7% pimecrolimus vs 23% vehicle experienced more than 2 major flares. |
| | | | | | | Withdrawal rates 18% pimecrolimus vs 28% vehicle, due to unsatisfactory therapeutic effect in 3.8% vs 14.3%, p=0.003. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | | | Rhinorrohoea was the only adverse effect occurring in significantly different proportions of children. Others were predominantly respiratory and gastrointestinal effects. Application-site reactions were the most common suspected drug-related adverse effects (2.2% in both groups). |
| | | | | | | Score change for EASI and pruritus were only reported for day 8; data not reproduced here. |
| Sikder M;Al | Study Type: | Total number of patients = | Children aged 7-15 | Tacrolimus ointment 0.03% | Outcomes at 4 Weeks: | |
| MS;Khan RM;Chowdhury | Randomised Control Trial | 45 | years with moderate- severe AE affecting 5- | applied twice daily | Modified EASI (median score change) | |
| AH;Khan | John Hidi | Clobetasone butyrate | 50% BSA (mean 25%). | vs Clobetasone butyrate 0.05% | -81.9% vs -95.1% vs -98.7%, p=0.00 vs tacrolimus, p=0.018 clobetasone vs tacrolimus, p=NS clobetasone | |
| HM;Hoque MM; | Evidence Level: | cream 0.05% | | applied twice daily | vs combination | |
| 2005 ²⁶⁷ | 1+ | N = 15 | Exclusions: other skin | VS | | |
| | ., ., | | conditions, history of | Tacrolimus ointment 0.03% | % BSA affected (mean change) | |
| | | eczema herpeticum | (evening) + clobetasone butyrate 0.05% (morning) | -40% vs -66.7% vs -83.3%, p=0.00 vs tacrolimus, p=0.007 clobetasone vs tacrolimus, p=NS clobetasone vs combination | | |
| | | | | | Investigator's global evaluation (at least 90% improvement) | |
| | | | | | 13.3% vs 66.7% vs | |
| | | | | | 93.3%, p value not reported | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |
| | | | | | 46% skin burning | |
| | | | | | 20% itching vs | |
| | | | | | 7% | |
| | | | | | 13.3% vs | |
| | | | | | 46%, p=0.010 tacrolimus vs clobetasone, p=0.042 clobetasone vs combination | |
| | | | | | 6.7%, p=0.562 | |
| Breuer | Study Type: | Total number of patients = | Children aged 3-23 | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | Outcomes at 16 Weeks*: | Source of Funding: Novartis Pharma AG |
| K;Braeutigam M;Kapp A;Werfel T; | Randomised Control Trial | ndomised 196 months (mean 12 months) with AE | | applied twice daily vs vehicle applied twice daily | No numerical data for efficacy outcomes; but reported to be sustained. Adverse effects believed to be related to treatment: | Double-blind. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| 2004 | Evidence Level: 1+ | Pimecrolimus cream 1% N = 130 | BSA, and IGA score of 2 or more; 9.3% pimecrolimus vs 12.1% | | 6 children (2 cases of impetigo, 1 herpes simplex dermatitis, 1 varicella, 1 asthma, 1 aggravated atopic eczema, 1 exacerbated eczema). | Emollients were only permitted on areas not treated with study medication. |
| 300 | Vehicle N = 66 | vehicle had mild AE, 58.1% vs 59.1% moderate, 26.4% vs 25.8% severe, 6.2% vs 3% very severe. Baseline EASI score 17 (mean). | | Outcomes at 4 Weeks: EASI (mean score change) -71.5% vs +19.4%, p<0.001 | Correlations between changes in EASI, IGA and SCORAD scores were also reported - data not reproduced here. | |
| | | | Exclusions: 'insufficient washout' from other treatments for AE, concomitant disease that might interfere with the study, severe concurrent skin disease, active viral or bacterial infections | | EASI (mean score change in components) -61.5% infiltration | Adverse effects were reported in a related publication (Kaufmann 2004301). |
| | | | | | -60.3% excoriation -54% erythema -37.1% lichenification vs | Drop-out rates: 10% pimecrolimus, 38% vehicle. |
| | | | | | -4.3% infiltration +24.1% excoriation +7.4% erythema +10.5% lichenification (all p<0.001 vs pimecrolimus) | *after 12 weeks open-label, uncontrolled use. |
| | | | | | IGA (mean score change) -50.7% vs -5.5%, p<0.001 | |
| | | | | | IGA (score of 0 or 1) 53.5% vs 10.6%, p<0.001 | |
| | | | | | SCORAD (mean score change) -55.2% vs +1.1%, p=0.002 | |
| | | | | | Pruritus severity (mean score change) -59% vs +16%, p<0.001 | |
| | | | | Sleep loss (mean score change) -57% vs +5%, p<0.001 | | |
| | | | | | Dry skin (mean change in % with) -27.1% vs -5.3%, p<0.1 | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Comparison | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | 63.8% reported at least one | |
| | | | | | 2.3% treatment-related (1 application-site burning, 1 impaired healing, 1 burning sensation) vs | |
| | | | | | 60.6% reported at least one | |
| | | | | | 3.0% treatment-related (1 application-site burning, 1 erythema) | |
| Schachner | Study Type: | Total number of patients = | Children aged 2-15 | Tacrolimus ointment 0.03% | Outcomes at 6 Weeks: | Source of Funding: Astellas Pharma US |
| LA;Lamerson | Randomised | 317 | years (mean about 7 | applied twice daily vs vehicle | IGA (% with score of 0 or 1) | |
| C;Sheehan MP;Boguniewic | Control Trial | | years) with mild to moderate AE affecting | ecting | 50.6% vs 25.8%, p<0.0001 | Double-blind study. |
| z M;Mosser | | Tacrolimus ointment | 2-30% of BSA (mean | | · | · |
| J;Raimer | Evidence Level: | 0.03% | 12%). | | EASI (mean score change) | Nonmedicated emollients were allowed on |
| S;Shull T;Jaracz | 1+ | N = 158 | Baseline EASI score 6, | | -54.8% vs -20.8%, p=0.0004 | non-affected areas. |
| E;US | | | itch score 5 (on scale 0- | | | |
| Tacrolimus Ointment Study Group.; 2005 ³⁰⁷ | | Vehicle | 10). | | % BSA affected (change) | Tacrolimus was used on the head and |
| | | N = 159 | | | -50.5% vs -16.4%, p<0.0001 | neck (areas affected in 54% and 59% of |
| | | | Exclusions: other skin | | 00.070 V3 10.470, p -0.0001 | children respectively). |
| | | | conditions, previous use of tacrolimus | | Itch (mean score change) | |
| | | | ointment | | -2.8 (57%) vs -1.2 (24%), p<0.0001 | Withdrawal rates were 18.4% tacrolimus vs |
| | | omunent | | -2.0 (31 %) VS -1.2 (24 %), p~0.0001 | 38.4% vehicle, p<0.0001; 2.5% vs 12.6% due to lack of efficacy, p=0.0007. | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |
| | | | | | 19% burning/stinging | |
| | | | | | 23.4% itching | |
| | | | | | 7.6% erythema | |
| | | | | | 2.5% withdrew due to application-site reactions | |
| | | | | | 1.3% folliculitis | |
| | | | | | 2.5% skin infections | |
| | | | | | 1.3% acne | |
| | | | | | 0 eczema herpeticum vs | |
| | | | | | 17% | |
| | | | | | 33.3%, p=0.05 | |
| | | | | | 18.9%, p=0.003 | |
| | | | | | 7.5%, p=0.04 | |
| | | | | | 3.8% | |
| | | | | | 3.1% | |
| | | | | | 0% | |
| | | | | | 0.6% eczema herpeticum | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Hanifin JM;Paller | Study Type: | To evaluate the | Total No. of Patients = | Children 2-15 years and | Outcomes at 49 Months: | |
| AS;Eichenfield L:Clark RA:Korman | Case series | long-term safety and efficacy of | 799 | adults who participated in a previous clinical trial of | Adverse effects in children 2-15 years | |
| N;Weinstein G;Caro | | tacrolimus ointment. | Tacrolimus ointment 0.1% (185 aged 2-6 | tacrolimus ointment 0.1% for | 20% pruritus | |
| I;Jaracz E;Rico MJ; | Evidence Level: | | vears, 206 aged 7-15 | mild to severe AE. Tacrolimus | 13% pustular rash | |
| 2005312 | 3 | | years) | was applied twice daily to | 19% skin burning | |
| | | | | affected areas, continuing for a week after clearance of | 8% skin erythema | |
| | | | | these areas. 30-35% of children's BSA was affected. | 23% skin infection: | |
| | | | | | 5.3% herpes simplex | |
| | | | | | 7% warts | |
| | | | | Exclusions: other skin | 5.4% varicella zoster | |
| | | | | conditions. | 8% molluscum contagiosum | |
| | | | | | 0.3% eczema herpeticum | |
| Koo JYM;Fleischer Jr | | Total No. of Patients = | | Outcomes at 6 Months: | Source of Funding: Astellas | |
| AB;Abramovits W;Pariser DM;McCall | Case series | | safety and efficacy of tacrolimus ointment in children and adults. 7923 Children (2-15 years) N = 3959 | adults with mild to severe AE treated with tacrolimus ointment 0.03% or 0.1% twice | Adverse effects | Comments: |
| CO;Horn TD;Gottlieb | | | | | 17% pruritus | Emollients permitted on non-treatment |
| AB;Jaracz E;Rico MJ; | Evidence Level: | and adults. | | daily to affected areas, and | 19% skin burning | areas. |
| 2005310 | 3 | | Adults | continued for one week after clearance of affected area. | 15% skin infection | Madian at at at at 200 (4.007) |
| | | | N = 3964 | BSA affected 36%. | 6.5% skin erythema | Median study duration 210 days (1-687), mean 239 days (135 for tacrolimus 0.03% |
| | | | N - 3904 | | | and 247 for tacrolimus 0.1%). 26% |
| | | | | Exclusions: other skin | | discontinued treatment. |
| | | | | conditions | | |
| | | | | | | Efficacy data (% BSA affected) not reproduced here. |
| | | | | | | <4% were prescribed TCS for AE at some time point, and 7% for any reason. |
| | | | | | | amo pomi, and 170 tot any reason. |
| | | | | | | Adverse effects occurring in more than 5% were allergic reaction (e.g. conjunctivitis, seasonal allergy, food allergy), asthma, cough, fever, flu-like symptoms, headache, infection, otitis media, pharyngitis, sinusitis. |
| | | | | | | Data on infections reported for overall group (children and adults): 1.3% varicella zoster, 2.3% herpes simplex, 1.3% warts, 0.9% molluscum contagiosum, 0.3% eczema herpeticum |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments |
|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Kaufmann R;Folster- | Study Type: | To assess the | Total No. of Patients = | Children included in Breuer | Outcomes at 12 Weeks: | Source of Funding: Novartis Pharma AG |
| Holst R;Hoger | Case series | efficacy and safety | 188 | 2004,300 who were offered 12 | EASI (mean score change) | ŭ |
| P;Thaci D;Loffler H;Staab D;Brautigam M;-Study Group.; | Evidence Level: | of longer-term use of pimecrolimus. | Pimecrolimus cream 1% N = 188 | weeks' open-label use of pimecrolimus cream 1% after the 4-week DB randomised phase | no numerical data; 'significant improvements sustained' | Comments: This extension study provides little data on safety or efficacy of 12-weeks' |
| 2004301 | · | | | priase | Adverse effects | pimecrolimus use. |
| | | | | | 73% reported one, 4% of these treatment-related: | |
| | | | | | 4 infections | *terms not defined |
| | | | | | 1 asthma | |
| | | | | | 1 aggravated AE* | |
| | | | | | 1 exacerbated eczema* | |
| Lakhanpaul M;Davies | Study Type: | To measure the | Total No. of Patients = | Children aged 6-12 months, | Outcomes at 12 Months: | Source of Funding: None declared |
| T;Allen BR;Schneider | Case series | systemic absorption | 5 | included in the Allen 2003317 | Mean blood pimecrolimus concentration | ű |
| D; | | of pimecrolimus after 1 years' use. | Pimecrolimus cream | study who were followed up for 1 year in total. | 0.68 (SD 0.76) ng/ml | Comments: |
| 2006 ³¹⁸ | Evidence Level: 3 | and 1 years use. | 1% N = 5 | ion i year in total. | Outcomes at 6 Months: Mean blood pimecrolimus concentration 0.32 (SD 0.35) ng/ml | pimecrolimus was used as required: mean duration of use (days) was 332 (range 168-365). Two children were also treated with TCS during the study. |
| | | | | | | Lower limit of quantification of blood pimecrolimus concentrations was 0.1ng/ml. |
| Lubbe J;Friedlander | Study Type: | To assess safety | Total No. of Patients = | Children and adults aged 3 | Outcomes at 6 Months: | Source of Funding: Novartis |
| SF;Cribier B;Morren | Case series | and efficacy of | 947 | months to 81 years with AE of | IGA (% with reduction in whole-body score) | |
| M;Garcia-Diez A:Gelmetti | | pimecrolimus used in everyday practice. | Pimecrolimus cream 1% | any severity. Median age 8 years; 62% were aged up to | 66% aged <2 years | Comments: |
| C;Hofmann H;Houwing | Evidence Level: 3 | over yaary praesies. | N = 947 | 12 years. | 71% aged 2-12 years | Pimecrolimus was used in addition to standard care (emollients, treatment for |
| RH;Kownacki S;Langley | | | | Exclusions: active viral | IGA (% with reduction in facial score) | infections as per physician's usual practice, TCS used to treat flares at the |
| RGB;Virtanen | | | | infections at treatment site, | 78% aged <2 years | physician's discretion). |
| M;Wolff K;Wisseh S;McGeown | | | | other skin conditions, treatment with immunosuppressive therapy | 79% aged 2-12 years | 85% received concomitant treatment for AE (no details other than for TCS, which |
| C;Abrams B;Schneider D; | | | | or phototherapy. | IGA (% with whole-body score of 0 or 1) | were used at least once by 53%). 88% |
| 2006 ³¹⁵ | | | | | 54% aged <2 years 48% aged 2-12 years | were using emollients at baseline, 80% after bathing/showering, which fell to 53% at 6 months. |
| | | | | | 76% aged <2 years 80% aged 2-12 years Duration and quantity of pimecrolimus use | Pimecrolimus was applied twice daily to affected areas at the first signs or symptoms of AE and continued as long as signs or symptoms of the disease |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|--|---|
| - | | | | | 135.6 mean days use (75%) | persisted; the aim of treatment was to |
| | | | | | mean quantity used 4.2g per day | prevent progression to flare). |
| | | | | | daily use in 55% | |
| | | | | | | Data for children aged up to and including 12 years extracted here. |
| | | | | | Adverse effects* | 12 years extracted here. |
| | | | | | 15.7% nasopharyngitis | 16% discontinued early, 10% due to loss |
| | | | | | 14.6% URTI | of follow-up or unsatisfactory therapeutic |
| | | | | | 10.5% cough | effect, and 2.3% due to adverse effects. |
| | | | | | 10.2% pyrexia | |
| | | | | | 5.2% application site burning | *Those occurring in more than 10%, and |
| | | | | | 3.7% pruritus | those related to skin or skin infections |
| | | | | | 3.0% impetigo | listed here |
| | | | | | 2.0% worsening AE | |
| | | | | | 1.7% molluscum contagiosum | |
| | | | | | 0.8% herpes simplex infections | |
| | | | | | 0.3% skin papilloma | |
| Papp KA;Werfel T:Folster-Holst | Study Type: | Assess long-term efficacy and safety | Total No. of Patients = 91 | Children from the study Kapp 2002 ³⁰³ who were offered | Outcomes at 2 Years: | Source of Funding: Novartis Pharma AG |
| R;Ortonne JP;Potter | Case series | of pimecrolimus (up | 91 | continued treatment with | % with no flares | |
| PC;De PY;Davidson | | to 2 years). | Pimecrolimus cream | pimecrolimus cream 1% for a | 76.9% | Comments: |
| MJ;Barbier N;Goertz | Evidence Level: | | 1% | further year. | | Pimecrolimus was applied to affected areas at the first sign or symptoms of |
| HP;Paul C; 2005 ³⁰³ | 3 | | N = 91 | Mean age 28 months (range | % using TCS | disease flare. The use of moderately |
| 2005303 | | | | 18-41 months). IGA scores: 14.3% =0, 22% =1, 24.2%=2, | 27.5% (mean duration of use 7.5 days) | potent TCS was also permitted for flares |
| | | | | 36.2%=3, 3.3%=severe, 0 = | 10.4 | uncontrolled by pimecrolimus. |
| | | | | very severe. | IGA score of 0 or 1 71.4% | |
| | | | | Of the 91 enrolled, 76 had | 71.4% | 2 years' use refers to the 1-year DB RCT and this 1 year follow-up phase. 16% had |
| | | | | been treated with pimecrolimus in the RCT, and | FACI (mann again shanga from year 1 to 2) | previously been treated with vehicle rather |
| | | | | 15 with vehicle. | EASI (mean score change from year 1 to 2) -50% | than pimecrolimus. |
| | | | | | -30 % | |
| | | | | | Total BSA affected (mean change year 1 to 2) | Over the 2 years, 57.9% of those treated |
| | | | | | -42% | with pimecrolimus had not used TCS. |
| | | | | | | Median duration of pimecrolimus use = 99 days (range not quoted). |
| Staab D:Pariser | Study Type: | To evaluate | Total No. of Patients = | Children 3-23 months (mean | Outcomes at 3 Weeks: | Source of Funding: none declared |
| D;Gottlieb | Case series | systemic exposure | 21 | 12 months) with AE affecting | % blood samples within given concentration | Society of Full all all all all all all all all all |
| AB;Kaufmann | 3000 001100 | to pimecrolimus. | Pimecrolimus cream | 50% BSA (range 10-92%). | 31% <0.1ng/ml | Comments: |
| R;Eichenfield LF;Langley RG;Scott | Evidence Level: | | 1% | | 40% 0.1 to <0.5ng/ml | Pimecrolimus was applied to all skin |
| LI ,Laligley RG,SCOTT | EVIGORIOU EUVOI. | | | | 1070 0.1 to 10.011g/iiii | i intotrollinao wao applica to ali skili |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|---|---|
| G;Ebelin ME;Barilla | 3 | | N = 21 | | 15% 0.5-1.0ng/ml | areas, including face and neck. |
| D;Schmidli H;Burtin | | | | | 10% >1.0-2.0ng/ml | |
| P; 2005 ³¹⁶ | | | | | 2% >2.0-2.26ng/ml | Emollients were used to treat dry skin areas and affected areas after |
| | | | | | 96% of the 100 blood samples were below 2ng/ml. | pimecrolimus had been 'visibly absorbed'. |
| | | | | | | Mean quantity of pimecrolimus used per application ranged from 1g to 8.5g. |
| | | | | | | Blood samples taken on days 1 and 10, collected 1 and 2 hours, or 2 and 3 hours after application of study medication. |
| | | | | | | Limit of quantification = 0.1ng/ml. |
| | | | | | | The relationship between BSA and pimecrolimus blood concentrations were also considered (data shown graphically) - the difference in mean concentrations of pimecrolimus between children with 10% and 90% BSA affected was 0.4ng/ml. |
| Allen BR;Lakhanpaul | Study Type: | To measure | Total No. of Patients = | Children aged 4 months to 14 | Outcomes at 3 Weeks: | Source of Funding: none declared |
| M;Morris A;Lateo | Case series | pimecrolimus blood | 26 | years with 21-80% BSA | % blood samples within given concentration | |
| S;Davies T;Scott G:Cardno M:Ebelin | | concentrations and report efficacy and | Pimecrolimus cream | affected by atopic eczema. | 44% 0-0.5ng/ml | Comments: |
| ME:Burtin | Evidence Level: | tolerability of | 1% | | 33% 0.5-1.0ng/ml | Use of bland emollients was encouraged |
| P;Stephenson TJ; | 3 | pimecrolimus. | N = 26 | | 21% 1.0 to <2.0ng/ml | (applied 1 hour after pimecrolimus). |
| 2003317 | | | | | 2% 2.0-2.6ng/ml | |
| | | | | | Plant discouling a secondaria di solutione in POA | Blood concentrations measured on days 4 and 22. The lower limit of quantification |
| | | | | | Blood pimecrolimus concentrations in relation to BSA | was 0.5ng/ml. |
| | | | | | Mean difference between concentrations for where 10% or 90% BSA affected: 0.7ng/ml | |
| | | | | | On linear regression analysis, blood concentration increased with increased BSA affected, p=0.028 | It was reported that there was 'no evidence of accumulation' between days 4 and 22 (results were in a similar range on graph). |
| Tan J;Langley R; | Study Type: | To evaluate the | Total No. of Patients = | Children or adults aged 2 | Outcomes at 6 Months: | Source of Funding: Fujisawa Canada |
| 2004311 | Case series | safety and efficacy of tacrolimus used | 236 | years or older who had used tacrolimus ointment 0.1% | Adverse effects in children 2-15 years | |
| | | of tacrolimus used for 6 months | Tacrolimus ointment | tacrolimus ointment 0.1% twice daily for mild to severe | 32% skin infections: | Comments: |
| | Evidence Level: | ioi o monuio | 0.1% in children | AE. | 6.1% folliculitis | Itch and BSA affected were also reported - |
| | 3 | | N = 83 | | 13.4% impetigo | data not reproduced here. |
| | | | | Exclusions: other skin | | |

Atopic eczema in children

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| | | | | conditions. | 6.1% 'other' application site | |
| | | | | | 2.4% herpes simplex | |
| | | | | | 2.4% molluscum contagiosum | |
| | | | | | 1.2% fungal infection | |
| | | | | | 1.2% nail infection | |
| | | | | | Application-site effects: | |
| | | | | | 38.1% burning | |
| | | | | | 33.9% pruritus | |
| | | | | | 19.9% infection | |
| | | | | | 9.3% paraesthesia | |
| | | | | | 5.1% warmth | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Study summary | Reviewer comment |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| Bieber T; Vick K; Folster-Holst R; Belloni- Fortina A; Stadler G; Worm M; Arcangeli F; | Study Type: Randomised comparative trial Evidence Level: 1- | Intervention: Patients applied 0.03% tacrolimus ointment twice daily or 0.1% methylprednisolone aceponate (MPA) ointment in the evening over all affected areas for a minimum of 2 weeks and maximum of 3 weeks and cleared areas were treated for an additional 7 days post clearance. Comparison: comparison between 0.03% tacrolimus and 1% MPA | n=265 children and adolescents of which n=129 were randomised to MPA or n=136 to tacrolimus MPA group n=96 (74%) were age 11 years or less Tacrolimus n=102 were age 11 years or less n=257 of children and adolescents completed the study | Children and adolescents with severe and very severe atopic eczema three age groups:2-6, 7-1 and 12-15 years mean ages MPA = 7.8 ±4.2 years tacrolimus = 7.5 ±4.2 years | IGA score EASI Modified EASI (mEASI) for patients BSA Patients' assessment of itch (VAS), quality of sleep (VAS), cost effectiveness of treatment and assessment of change of disease from baseline. | Results were reported as a whole for all age groups. IGA: IGA score was 'clear' or ' almost clear' by the end of treatment in 86/129 (67%) in the MPA group and 91/136 (67%) in the tacrolimus group p=0.9314 EASI: By end of treatment the mean % change was 90% in the MPA group compared with 85% in the tacrolimus group p=0.0667 mEASI: Data were reported to reflect the EASI score but was not given. | The authors concluded that both treatments had a similar efficacy in the treatment of severe atopic eczema but suggested that the severity index (EASI), sleep and itch data shown increased benefit of MPA over tacrolimus which made it a more favorable treatment as it is also significantly cheaper. | Comparative study which showed both treatments were of benefit to children with severe atopic eczema [EL=1-] Presentation of data was selective. The comparative cost of the two treatments was significant. This study was sponsored by Intendis GmbH Berlin. |
| | | | | | Safety assessment by physical examination, record of other medications, pregnancy tests, medical history and monitoring of AEs throughout study. | BSA: %BSA was ~29% at baseline and dropped to 6.8% in the MPA group and 7.7% in the tacrolimus group. Patients' assessment of itch was 68.0mm to 6.3 mm in the MPA group and 63.6mm to 13.8mm with tacrolimus at the end of the study p=0.0004 | | |
| | | | | | | Patients' assessment of sleep was 54.6mm to 5.3mm in the MPA group and 51.5mm to 11.0mm in the tacrolimus group from baseline to end of treatment. P=0.0094. Medication costs: Mean cost for MPA treatment was 14.59 Euros and 100.99 Euros for | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Study summary | Reviewer comment |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| | | | | | | tacrolimus treatment during the study. P=0.0001 | | |
| | | | | | | CDLQI data was reported in favour of MPA over tacrolimus in terms of 'symptoms and feelings 'and 'sleep' but no data was shown. | | |
| | | | | | | n=0 of the MPA and n=2 in the tacrolimus group reported a worsening of their atopic eczema during the study. | | |
| Arkwright PD; Gillespie MC; Ewing CI; David TJ; | Study Type: Cohort within patient left-right side (arms and legs) comparison | Intervention: One set of arms and legs were treated with their usual topical corticosteroid (hydrocortisone 1%, flucinolone acetonide | n=96 children | Children aged 6 months to 18 years were recruited (no mean available but data suggests all children participating | Severity of atopic eczema as determined by clinical examination regarding erythema and lichenification | After 7 days 48/93 children had a greater improvement with 0.03% tacrolimus compared with their usual topical corticosteroid. | Topical tacrolimus (0.03% or 0.1%) was found to be more effective than topical corticosteroid | This study lacked detail on demographic data, diagnosis and outcome measures. [EL=2- |
| 2006 | Evidence Level: 2- | 0.00625%, | | were 12 years or | (visual and by | The remaining 45 children for whom 0.03% tacrolimus was no more | treatment in 77% of |] There were |
| 309 | | clobetasone butyrate 0.05%, betamethasone valerate 0.025% or 0.1%, hydrocortisone butyrate 0.1% or mometasone furoate 0.1% for 7 days. The opposite side of the body was treated with 0.03% tacrolimus ointment twice a day for 7 days. If the 0.03% tacrolimus ointment had no effect after 7 days, it was stepped up to 0.1% tacrolimus for a further week | | below) with moderately severe atopic eczema. This was defined as incomplete control of atopic eczema from emollients and topical corticosteroids. | touch) Classifications: less severe, no difference and more severe between sides. | effective than their usual treatment were given a further weeks treatment of 0.1% tacrolimus. After the second week with 0.1% tacrolimus 24/45 (53%) showed a more marked improvement compared with their usual treatment. Overall tacrolimus ointment (0.03% and 0.1%) was more effective than usual topical corticosteroid treatment in 72/93 children (77%). | children who completed a side to side body comparison study. | also no safety data. The funding of this study was undeclared. |
| | | Comparison: Side to side body comparison | | | | | | |
| Remitz A; Harper J; Rustin M; Goldschmidt WFM; Palatsi R; | Study Type: Longitudinal case series | Intervention: 0.03% topical tacrolimus ointment twice daily to affected areas of | n=466 of which n=328 completed the study. | Children aged 2-15 years (no details but split into two age groups 2-6 and 7-15 | Safety assessments of adverse events and laboratory tests (haematology, renal | Mean study duration was 16.3 SD 6.4months On average children used tacrolimus | There was a significant improvement in the children's atopic | This is a large and longer term uncontrolled case series and shows |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Study summary | Reviewer comment |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| van der Valk PGM; Sharpe G; Smith CH; Dobozy A; Turjanmaa K. 2007 | Evidence Level: 3 | body. If improvement did not occur within 2 weeks, children in the verum group were provided with 0.1% tacrolimus. If this failed to work at 2 weeks, children were excluded at investigator's discretion. Comparison: None | n=61 of which n=58 | years) with moderate and severe atopic eczema (50/50) as defined by Hanifin and Rajka. | and hepatic function) at day 1, 6 and 12 months and at the end of study. Children's weight and height. EASI IDQOL CDLQI | on 64% of the study days. Safety Most common AE was pruritus and skin burning. Other AEs assessed as causally related were skin infection, lack of drug effect, skin erythema, folliculitis, herpes simplex, application site reaction, rash, skin neoplasm benign, flu syndrome and pustular rash. 33 children (7.1%) experienced a serious AE, this lead to discontinuation of treatment in 15 patients (3.2%). One 6 year old boy had leukopaenia with no accompanying symptoms and was withdrawn from study. Eosinophil levels were greater in 40% of the study population No other abnormalities were seen in the biochemical tests. No growth retardation was seen during the study. Efficacy: Both age groups improved (EASI), with notable effect by 2 weeks and was maintained throughout study (data in graph form only) Physician's assessment of therapeutic response was 73-77% of patients experiencing at least a satisfactory response to treatment by the end of the study. This was reflected in the QoL scores (IDQOL,CDLQI) (also presented in graph form only) | eczema within 2 weeks of use of the tacrolimus ointment and this was maintained throughout the study. Adverse events do occur with tacrolimus treatment with local irritation being the most prevalent however all adverse events were transient. | that the efficacy is maintained over time and the safety profile is similar to that of shorter studies. [EL=3] This study was funded by a grant from Fujisawa GmbH |
| Noppakin N; Limpongsanuru | Case series | tacrolimus (Protopic®) twice daily for 4 weeks | completed the study | 6.98 ±2.81 years) with moderate (n=29) or | Evaluation of Clinical Response | week 1 to week 4 (2.28,3.07 | tacrolimus is effective in treating | case series of short duration. |

Atopic eczema in children

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Study summary | Reviewer comment |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| k W; Wisuthsarewon | Evidence Level: | on affected areas or until one week after | | severe (32) atopic eczema as defined by | (PhGECR) | respectively, p<0.001) | moderate to severe eczema over a 4 | Safety issues of longer or |
| g W;Aunhachoke K: Chunharas A: | 3 | the affected areas had cleared. Minimum length of treatment 2 | | Hanifin and Rajka criteria. | EASI | PhGECR at week 4 rated 7% clear, 26% excellent, 40% marked, 21% | week period. Most adverse events | repeated application of treatments not |
| Wananukul S; Akaraphanth R. | | weeks. | | | Patient's Global Evaluation of | moderate and 4% slightly improved. EASI significantly decreased | (burning sensation, erythema, and pruritus and itching) | addressed.[EL=3] |
| · | | Comparison: None | | | Clinical Response (PaGECR) | | were resolved after 1 week. | The funding of |
| 2006 | | | | | (Faglor) | 6.09 at baseline, 2.09 at week 4 (p<0.001). | WOOK. | the study was undeclared |
| 314 | | | | | CDLQI (Thai version) | D 050D : '5 # : | | |
| | | | | | version) | PaGECR significantly increased between week 1 and week 4 (1.91, | | |
| | | | | | Safety assessment of adverse events | 2.31 respectively, p=0.018). | | |
| | | | | | | PaGECR at week 4 rated 57% much better, 26% better, and 12% slightly better, 3% the same, 2% worse. | | |
| | | | | | | Mean CDQoL scores significantly decreased from 1.19 to 0.68 at end of study (p<0.01). | | |
| | | | | | | Adverse events reported application site burning (n=14), erythema (n=2), itching (n=10), folliculitis (n=1) and infection (n=2). | | |

Dry bandages and medicated dressings (including wet wrap therapy)

See above (emollients and bandages)

Antihistamines and other antipruritics

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| La Rosa M;Ranno C;Musarra | Study Type: RCT Evidence level: | 22 children Cetirizine. | Children with atopic eczema with mild to moderate itching | Intervention: Cetirizine 5mg per day for 8 weeks in | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 8 weeks | 1) 73% vs 18%, p<0.02 2) 18% cetirizine vs | This study reported significant differences between | Funding: UCB Pianezza (Turin) Italy supplied the medicine |
| I;Guglielmo F;Corrias A;Bellanti JA; | 1+ | n=11* Placebo, n=11 | Aged 6-12 years, mean 7 years (SD 2) | children weighing 30kg or less, and 10mg per day for 8 weeks in children | Outcome Measures: 1) Clearance of all signs and symptoms | 82% placebo, p<0.01 Children in cetirizine | groups, with fewer children treated with cetirizine using concomitant | Method of randomisation and degree of blinding unclear. |
| 1994 ³³⁸ | | Exclusions: chronic disease of kidney, liver or cardiovascular system; using other oral antihistamine treatment; cutaneous or other infections | Diagnosis by Hanifin and Rajka | over 30kg. Plus concomitant treatment including disodium cromoglycate and procaterol Comparison: Placebo for 8 weeks Plus concomitant treatment including topical corticosteroid and disodium cromoglicate | Concomitant treatment (% children using) Adverse effects | group used disodium cromoglycate and procaterol, and those in the placebo group 'consisted mainly' of disodium cromoglicate aerosol and nasal and cutaneous administration of topical corticosteroids. 3) 'no adverse effects of cetrizine were noted' | treatment, and more cetirizine-treated children experiencing clearance of all signs and symptoms of eczema. | *originally 12 children were randomised to cetirizine, 1 withdrew 'voluntarily' therefore analysis was undertaken on 11 from each group Severity of pruritus (cetirizine vs placebo, total scores) also measured by dividing the body into 20 areas and each area into 7 manifestations: pruritus, erythema, vesiculation, palpus, excoriation, scaly crusts and lichenification; an arbitrary score for manifestation in each body area is recorded, ranging from 1=none, 2 = mild, 3=moderate, and 4=severe. However, data were only presented in a graph in the trial report, with no statistical analysis of between group differences, although confidence intervals on the graph showed overlap between cetrizine and placebo groups at all time points measured, indicating no statistically significant difference between groups. Erythema also measured using the same scale as for severity; again no |
| Munday | Study Type: RCT | 151 children | Children with atopic | Intervention: | Follow-up period: Duration | 1. 56% vs 56.6% none | No significant | numerical data reported. Funding: none declared. |
| J;Bloomfield R;Goldman M;Robey | Evidence level: | Chlorphenami | eczema including nocturnal itching and scratching. Severity of | Chlorphenamine 1mg/2.5 ml for children aged 1-5 | of the treatment, 4 weeks Outcome Measures: 1. | 33% vs 29% minimal 8% vs 10.5% mild | differences were seen between chlorphenamine and | Multi-centre DB RCT (UK and Poland) |
| H;Kitowska GJ;Gwiezdziski Z;Wankiewicz A;Marks | | Placebo, n=76 | itching at baseline: chlorphenamine 1.3% none, 20% minimal, 56% mild, 22.7% | once daily, and 2mg/5ml for those aged 6-12 once daily in the | Severity of nocturnal itching rated at day 29 (modal response; % chlorphenamine vs | 1.3% vs 2.6% moderate 1.3% vs 1.3% no data, p=0.745 overall | placebo in any outcomes (severity of nocturnal itching, investigator's | Itching severity recorded by investigator using a 5-point rating scale (none to severe) |

| Bibliographic | Study type and | Number of | Patient | Intervention and | Follow-up and outcome | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|--|----------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| information | evidence level | patients | characteristics | comparison | measures | | | |
| R;Protas-Drozd F;Mikaszewska M; 2002 ³³⁹ | | Exclusions: systemic antihistamine treatment in last 2 weeks; history of | moderate, 0 severe; placebo 0 none, 19.7% minimal, 59.2% mild, 15.8% moderate, 5.2% severe. Aged 1-12 years, | evening, before bedtime. 3 hours after first administration, additional second dosage permitted | 2. Investigator assessment of atopic eczema signs and symptoms (median VAS scores) | 2. Severity of atopic eczema (chlorphenamine vs placebo, 95% CI for median difference) | assessment of eczema, quantity of emollient or hydrocortisone 1% used). | Investigators recorded severity of atopic eczema by assessing five symptoms on a digital VAS; erythema, excoriation, dryness, lichenification, exudation and crusting. |
| | | epilepsy, glaucoma, or hepatic disease; any other clinical abnormalities | median 7 years | After 2 weeks of trial children allowed to take | Baseline Total score Erythema Excoriation | 1) Baseline (median VAS scores) Total: 28 vs 26, 95% CI -5.0 to 2.0, p=0.479 | | Last observation carried forward used for children who withdrew from the study early. |
| | | the investigator believed would affect | | double previous dosage if itching had not improved (2mg/5ml for | C. Dryness D. Lichenification E. Exudation and crusting | A. 30 vs 24, 95% CI -10 to 1.0, p=0.192 | | |
| | | the trial | | children aged 1-5 years and 4mg/10 ml for those aged 6-12 years) | 2) End of treatment Total | B. 20 vs 20, 95% CI - 3.0 to 4.0, p=0.6 | | |
| | | | | Plus concomitant treatment | A. Erythema B. Excoriation C. Dryness | C. 50 vs 48, 95% CI - 6.0 to 6.0, p=0.91 | | |
| | | | | including the use of emollient (Unguentum | D. Lichenification E. Exudation and crusting | D. 30 vs 28, 95% CI - 7.0 to 2.0, p=0.283 | | |
| | | | | Merck) and mild topical corticosteroids (hydrocortisone | Concomitant treatment Quantity of emollient used (g), from 100g | E. 0 vs 0, 95% CI 0 to 0, p=0.634 | | |
| | | | | cream 1%) as required | container 2) Quantity of hydrocortisone 1% used | 2) End of treatment Total: 14 vs 14, 95% CI -3.6 to 1.6, p=0.532 | | |
| | | | | Comparison: Placebo matching test medicine in appearance and smell | (g), from 30g container 4. Safety | A. 10 vs 7, 95% CI -8.0 to 0, p=0.05 | | |
| | | | | Plus concomitant | | B. 6 vs 0, 95% CI -3.0 to 0, p=0.066 | | |
| | | | | treatment including the use of emollient and mild topical | | C. 30 vs 30, 95% CI - 6.0 to 7.0, p=0.798 | | |
| | | | | corticosteroids | | D.14 vs 20, 95% CI -1.0 | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| | | | | (hydrocortisone cream 1%) as required | | to 8.0, p=0.296 E. 0 vs 0, 95% CI 0 to | | |
| | | | | | | 3. Concomitant treatment (quantity used; chlorphenamine vs placebo) | | |
| | | | | | | 1) 64.5g vs 68g, median difference 2, 98% CI - 5.0 to 12.0, p=0.517 | | |
| | | | | | | 2) 15.0g vs 13.0g, median difference 0, 98% CI -3.0 to 2.0, p=0.968 | | |
| | | | | | | 4. 13% (20/151) of children reported a total of 29 separate adverse events in both treatment arms, of which none were serious. Events were not described. | | |
| Klein GL;Galant SP; | Study Type: RCT | 20 | Children with acute exacerbation of atopic eczema (present for at | Intervention: Hydroxyzine 1.25mg/kg/day | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 7 days | Severity of pruritus (hydroxyzine vs cyproheptadine, mean | This study found that hydroxyzine was more effective than | Funding: Roerig Pfizer Pharmaceuticals. |
| 1980340 | Evidence level: 1+ | Hydroxyzine n=10 | least 24 hours but no longer than 7 days). Any antipruritics | three times per day with maximum of 30mg/day three | Outcome Measures: 1. Severity of pruritus | % improvement in scores) | cyproheptadine in reducing day and night pruritus over a | Double-blind study. No details of methods of randomisation |
| | | Cyproheptadin e n=10 | stopped for 4 days before trial started. | times per day for 7 days | 1) nocturnal pruritus | 1) 48.8% (SEM 3.39) vs 30.1% (4.9), p<0.005 | period of 1 week in children with atopic eczema who were | and concealment. |
| | | Exclusions: children who | Aged 2-16 years, mean 8.95 years | Plus use of lubricating cream | 2) day pruritus | 2) 32.1% (4.98) vs 6.2% (4.9), p<0.001 | also using an emollient | SEM=standard error of the mean. Severity of pruritus graded using: mild |
| | | had previously shown adverse | hydroxyzine vs 8.35 years cyproheptadine. | three times daily (Lubriderm) | 2. Physician's evaluation of dermatitis | 2. Scores at endpoint | | (1 point), itching occasionally bothersome; moderate (2 points), |
| | | reactions to either drug. | Baseline day pruritus score (mean, SEM): 2 (0.3) hydroxyzine vs | Comparison: Cyproheptadine 0.25mg/kg/day | 3. Adverse effects | (hydroxyzine vs cyproheptadine) | | itching occurs often but not enough to alter daily activity or sleep; severe (3 points), itching frequent enough to disturb daily activity or sleep. |

| | | 1.6 (0.2) cyproheptadine; night pruritus score 2.7 (0.16) vs 2.4 (0.22) | three times per day with maximum of 6mg/day three times per day for 7 days | | 1.7 (0.48) vs 0.5 (0.49), p<0.05 | | Physician's evaluation of atopic eczema used the following scoring system: -1= |
|--------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Plus use of lubricating cream three times daily (Lubriderm) | | 3. Sedation in n=2 vs n=3 (hydroxyzine vs cyproheptadine) | | worse (increase of erythema, excoriation), 0= no change (in lesion), 1= slight improvement (decrease of erythema), 2=moderate improvement (decrease in erythema and excoriation), 3=marked improvement (decrease of erythema, excoriation, and size of lesion). |
| | | | | | | | No other antihistamines, antipruritics or anxiolytics were permitted during the study period, nor topical corticosteroids. |
| Study Type: RCT | 284 randomised | Individuals, mean age 9 years (SD 0.7) with | Intervention: Ketotifen 0.2mg/ml | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment (4 weeks) | 1) markedly improved 13.1 ketotifen vs 8.1% | This poor quality study with loosely | Funding: none declared |
| Evidence level: 1- | (255 analysed for efficacy) | atopic eczema; 24% mild, 65% moderate, | Dosage according | , , | clemastine moderately improved | defined endpoints does not provide | Multicentre DB study |
| | Ketotifen n=145 (131 analysed) Clemastine n=139 (124 analysed) | Exclusions: received treatment with systemic corticosteroids during the 2 weeks prior to the study; dermatologic symptoms disappeared or changed quickly. | to body weight; for those <14kg, dose 2ml twice daily; for those 14kg or more and <23kg, 3ml twice daily; for those 23kg or more, 5ml twice daily. Comparison: Clemastine 0.1mg/ml Dosage according to body weight; for those <14kg, dose 2ml twice daily; for those 14kg or more and <23kg, 3ml twice daily; for | Investigator's global improvement rating* 2) Investigator's rating of improvement in five symptoms A. itching B. erythema/papule C. weeping eczema/erosion D. excoriation/scratch E. lichenification 3) Adverse effects | slightly improved 16.2% vs 32.5% unchanged 16.2% vs 13.8% slightly aggravated 6.2% vs 11.4% moderately aggravated 3.1% vs 8.1% markedly aggravated 1.5% vs 3.3% 2) % having improvement in symptoms (ketotifen vs clemastine) A. 79.2% vs 57.3%, p<0.01 B. 73% vs 57.8%, p<0.05 | useful data regarding the comparative effectiveness of ketotifen and clemastine | [EL=1-] because fewer analysed than randomised, and poor consideration of whether groups balanced at baseline White vaseline (white soft paraffin) was permitted, and hydrocortisone 0.25% ointment if needed for 'serious symptoms' *Seven grades: markedly improved, moderately improved, slightly improved, unchanged, slightly aggravated, moderately aggravated, markedly aggravated |
| | | randomised (255 analysed for efficacy) Ketotifen n=145 (131 analysed) Clemastine n=139 (124 | randomised (255 analysed for efficacy) Ketotifen n=145 (131 analysed) Clemastine n=139 (124 analysed) Clemastine clemastine n=139 (124 analysed) Reflection Fig. 20 years (SD 0.7) with atopic eczema; 24% mild, 65% moderate, 11% severe. Exclusions: received treatment with systemic corticosteroids during the 2 weeks prior to the study; dermatologic symptoms disappeared or | randomised (255 analysed for efficacy) Method elevel: 1- Retotifien n=145 (131 exclusions: received analysed) Clemastine n=139 (124 analysed) Clemastine n=nalysed) Comparison: Clemastine nalysed) Comparison: Clemastine nalysed) Comparison: Clemastine nalysed Comparison: Clemastine nalysed | randomised (255 analysed for efficacy) dence level: 1- dence level: 1- randomised (255 analysed for efficacy) Mild, 65% moderate, 11% severe. Ketotifen | dence level: 1- randomised (255 analysed for efficacy) lence level: 1- rendomised (255 analysed for efficacy) Retotifen (255 analysed) Retotifen (255 malysed) Retotifen (256 malysed) Retoti | randomised (255 analysed for efficacy) along exercing 24% of refficacy) along exercing 24% of refficacy) along exercing 24% of refficacy) analysed for efficacy of mild, 65% moderate, 11% severe. Ketotifen n=145 (131 analysed) analysed) Exclusions: received analysed) analysed) Exclusions: received analysed) analysed) Exclusions: received treatment with systemic corticosteroids during the study; dermatologic symptoms disappeared or changed quickly. The study of the study: dermatologic symptoms disappeared or changed quickly. The study of the study |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | <u> </u> | | | | E. 54.5% vs 48% no p value given | | |
| | | | | | | 3) % reporting: 9.8% vs 13.2%. | | |
| | | | | | | Drowsiness 'most frequent' event; no numerical data reported | | |
| Diepgen | Study Type: RCT | 795 | Children aged 12-24 | Intervention: | Follow-up period: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | This study found that | Funding: UBB, S.A. Kits for |
| TL;Early Treatment of the Atopic Child | Evidence level: | Cetirizine | months with active symptoms of atopic eczema at least 1 | Cetirizine 0.25mg/kg twice daily for 18 | duration of treatment, 18 months | Severity of atopic eczema (mean baseline vs end of the treatment) | incidence of urticaria was significantly lower in the cetirizine | determination of immunochemistry parameters were supplied by Pharmacia & Upjohn. |
| Study Group; | 1++ | n=398 | month before the trial | months | Outcome Measures: | SCORAD scores [% | group. Other | |
| 0000 4 040 | | Placebo | started and at least one parent or sibling | | Severity of atopic | change]) | outcomes did not differ significantly | This RCT was a multi-centre study |
| 2002 Aug ³⁴³ | | n=397 | with a history of atopic eczema, allergic | Plus concomitant medication: topical or systemic | eczema (SCORAD) | 1) 24.9 vs 15.2, p<0.001 (39%) | between groups (disease severity, | involving 12 European countries and Canada (the Early Treatment of the Atopic Child [ETAC]). Its aim was to |
| | | Exclusions: young children | rhinitis or asthma. | therapy including emollients, topical | 1) Cetirizine | , , , | usage of other treatments for eczema). However, | establish whether cetirizine could delay the onset of asthma in young children |
| | | with asthma; weight below the third | Mean age 16.8 months in the cetirizine arm and 17.2 months in the | corticosteroids and other oral | 2) Placebo | 2) 25.1 vs 15.7, p<0.001 (37%) | usage of other oral antihistamines was | with eczema. |
| | | percentile; chronic | placebo arm. | antihistamine agents if necessary | 3) Cetirizine vs placebo | 'no statistically significant difference | significantly lower with cetirizine than with placebo. In the | Double-blind. |
| | | pulmonary disease; severe | Mean SCORAD scores 24.9 cetirizine, | Comparison: | Use of topical and systemic medications | between groups' (no details reported) | subgroup of children with more severe | Drop-out rates: 12% cetirizine, 12.8% placebo. |
| | | neurologic or | 25.1 placebo | Placebo (matching cetirizine in | during the trial (% patients taking other medications) | O Haraffadada ad | atopic eczema, the mean percentage | There were no recommendations or |
| | | psychological disorder; | | appearance and | taking other medications) | Use of topical and systemic medications | days' use of | restrictions for the treatment of eczema |
| | | cardiac disease; prior | | taste) twice daily for 18 months | 1) Emollient | during the trial (cetirizine vs placebo) | moderate to potent topical corticosteroids was | during the trial period. |
| | | desensitisatio n or immunotherap | | Plus concomitant medication: topical | 2) NSAI cream | 1) 76.9% vs 76.1%, | significantly lower in the cetirizine group. | A symptom or event was counted as urticaria when typical hives or areas of skin swelling, redness and itching, |
| | | y; taken part in | | or systemic | 3) Tar (not specified | p=0.79 | | distinctly different from the child's usual |
| | | a clinical trial within 3 months | | therapy including emollients, topical | whether coal tar) | 2) 14.1% vs 13.9%, p=0.93 | | inflammatory skin lesions to atopic eczema, were reported. ³⁴⁶ |
| | | monuis | | corticosteroids and other oral | 4) Mild topical | p 0.00 | | |
| | | | | antihistamine agents if | corticosteroid | 3) 14.3% vs 14.4%, p=0.99 | | Quantities of other medications taken were not reported. |
| | | | | necessary | Moderate to potent topical corticosteroids | 4) 41.7% vs 41.6%, | | word not reported. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | 6) Other oral antihistamine | p=0.17 | | |
| | | | | | 7) Antibiotics/antiseptics | 5) 53.5% vs 56.4%, p=0.41 | | |
| | | | | | Duration of use of topical and systemic medications during the trial (mean % days of use | 6) 18.6% vs 24.9%, p=0.03 | | |
| | | | | | during 18 month trial period) | 7) 21.1% vs 25.2%, p=0.17 | | |
| | | | | | 1) Emollient | 3. Duration of use of | | |
| | | | | | 2) NSAI creams | topical and systemic medications during the trial [(mean %), | | |
| | | | | | 3) Tar | cetirizine vs placebo] | | |
| | | | | | 4) Mild topical corticosteroid | 1) 59.5% vs 58.6%, p=0.894 | | |
| | | | | | 5) Moderate to potent topical corticosteroids | 2) 7.3% vs 5.9%, p=0.828 | | |
| | | | | | 6) Other oral antihistamine | 3) 7.4% vs 7.7%, p=0.971 | | |
| | | | | | 7) Antibiotics/antiseptics | 4) 22.2% vs 20.5%, p=0.801 | | |
| | | | | | 4. Subgroup data on children with SCORAD > 25 (n=347) | 5) 18.8% vs 25.2%, p=0.067 | | |
| | | | | | Duration of use of topical corticosteroids (mean % days of use of other medication) | 6) 3.4% vs 4.4%, p=0.035 | | |
| | | | | | Mild topical corticosteroid | 7) 4.5% vs 6.2%, p=0.146 | | |
| | | | | | Moderate to potent topical corticosteroids | 4. Subgroup data on children with SCORAD > 25 (n=347) | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | 2) Local antibiotics/antiseptics 5. % having one or more episodes of urticaria | 1) Duration of use of topical corticosteroids [(mean %), cetirizine vs placebo) 28.1% vs 23.1%, p=0.366 (mild) 25.8% vs 35.1%, p=0.014 (moderate to potent) 2) 45% vs 64%, p=0.037 | | |
| | | | | | | 5. 5.8% cetirizine vs 16.2% placebo, p<0.001 | | |
| Wahn U 1998 | Study Type: RCT Evidence level: 1++ | 795 Cetirizine n=398 | As for Diepgen 2002 ³⁴³ | Intervention: As for Diepgen 2002 ³⁴³ Comparison: As | Follow-up period: 18 months treatment and follow-up | 1) 37.7% cetirizine vs 38% placebo RR 1.0 (95% CI 0.8 to | Overall risk of asthma was not significantly different in cetirizine and | Funding: as for Diepgen 2002 ³⁴³ |
| 544 | 1++ | Placebo | | for Diepgen 2002 ³⁴³ | Outcome Measures: 1) Asthma incidence | 1.2), p=0.973 | placebo groups, but differences were apparent in the | |
| | | n=397 | | | 2) Urticaria incidence | In subgroups, significant differences identified for those with raised IgE levels due to grass pollen and/or house dust mite: | subgroups with raised IgE levels to grass pollen and/or house dust mite. | |
| | | | | | | grass pollen (n=70), 27.8% vs 58.8%, RR 0.5 (95% CI 0.3 to 0.90), p=0.002 | | |
| | | | | | | house dust mite (n=124), 28.6% vs 51.5%, RR 0.6 (95% CI 0.3 to 0.9), p=0.005 | | |
| | | | | | | grass pollen and house dust mite (n=158), 34.2% vs 53.7%, RR 0.6 (95% Cl 0.4 to 0.9), | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | <u> </u> | | p=0.006 | | |
| | | | | | | 2) 5.8% vs 16.1%, p<0.001 | | |
| Simons FE; | Study Type: RCT | As for Diepgen | As for Diepgen 2002 ³⁴³ | Intervention: Cetirizine | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 18 months | Safety (cetirizine vs placebo) | The incidence of serious adverse | Funding: See Diepgen 2002 ³⁴³ |
| 1999 Aug | Evidence level: 1++ | 2002343 | | 0.25mg/kg twice daily for 18 | Outcome Measures: | 1. 9.3% vs 13.6% | events and neurological adverse | Double-blind RCT |
| 344 | · | | | months | Safety | p=0.053 | effects was not significantly different between cetirizine | Drop-out rates: 12% cetirizine, 12.8% |
| | | | | Plus concomitant medication: topical | Young children with serious symptoms/events | 2. 9% vs 11.8% p=0.189 | and placebo groups. There did not appear | placebo |
| | | | | or systemic therapy including | (%) | • | to be any effect on behaviour or | Compliance 'greater than 90%' in both groups |
| | | | | emollients, topical corticosteroids and other oral | 2. Hospitalisations (%) | 3 Neurological symptom or event | development in the subgroup of patients | 'Serious' adverse events - as defined by |
| | | | | antihistamines if | 3. Neurological symptom | 1) 9% vs 5.3%, p=0.071 | evaluated for these outcomes. | the World Health Organisation |
| | | | | , | or event | 2) 3.3% vs 1.3%, p=0.093 | | |
| | | | | Comparison: Placebo (matching | 1) Insomnia | 3) 2.3% vs 2.0%, p=1.0 | | |
| | | | | cetirizine in appearance and | 2) Fatigue3) Somnolence | 4) 1.3% vs 2.3%, p=0.296 | | |
| | | | | taste) twice daily for 18 months | 4) Hyperkinesia 5) Nervousness | 5) 1.3% vs 1.8%, p=0.577 | | |
| | | | | Plus concomitant | 6) Emotional lability | 6) 1.3% vs 1.5%, p=0.772 | | |
| | | | | medication: topical or systemic | 7) Febrile convulsions8) Ataxia (loss of balance) | 7) 0.5% vs 1%, p=0.45 8) 0.5% vs 0.5%, p=1.0 | | |
| | | | | therapy including emollients, topical | 9) Others 10) Total | 9) 1.3% vs 1.5%, p=0.772 | | |
| | | | | corticosteroids and other oral antihistamine | , | 10) Total 16.3% vs | | |
| | | | | agents if necessary | 4. Behavioural and developmental | 13.8%, p=0.373 | | |
| | | | | 110003341 y | assessments | Behavioural and developmental | | |
| | | | | | Behavioural screening questionnaire assessment | assessments (total mean scores) | | |
| | | | | | (semi-structured interview to answer 12 different | 1) 6.32 vs 6.51 p=0.604 | | |
| | | | | | behaviour characteristics of early childhood; in | (cetirizine arm, n=168, | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|---|---------------|--|
| | | | | | n=322 [41%]) | placebo arm, n=154) | | |
| | | | | | 2) The McCarthy Test (scale of children's abilities for the assessment of psychomotor development in young children aged 2.5 years and older; in n=161 | 2) 103 vs 103.6 (cetirizine arm, n=83, placebo arm, n=78) 5. All within normal limits | | |
| | | | | | [20%]) 5. Electrocardiogram results | 6. No clinical relevant difference found between two arms | | |
| | | | | | 6. Laboratory tests | | | |
| Stainer et al. 2005 | Study Type: RCT | 114 | 114 children aged 2-12 years with moderate to severe atopic eczema | Intervention: sodium | Follow-up period: 12 weeks | 1. Baseline SCORAD (mean +- SD) sodium cromoglicate 4%, 41.0 | | *Clinically relevant treatment success defined as reduction in severity (SCORAD) of at least 25% with no |
| 336 | 1+ lotion | Aqueous lotion containing | (SCORAD >= 25 and <= 60) | cromoglicate 4% Comparison: | Outcome Measures: | +- 9.0 placebo, 40.4 +- 8.73 | | accompanying increase in topical |
| | | sodium cromoglicate 4%, n=58 | Usual treatment with emollients and topical corticosteroids | placebo | Severity of atopic eczema (SCORAD) Use of topical | Reduction in SCORAD after 12 weeks: | | |
| | | Placebo | continued during the study | | corticosteroids | sodium cromoglicate 4%, 13.2 (36%) | | |
| | | (lotion base only), n=56 | , | | 3. Patient opinion | placebo, 7.6 (20%) (mean difference 5.6, | | |
| | | | | | 4. Adverse events | 95% CI 1.0 to 10.3) | | |
| | | | | | | Clinically relevant treatment success*: sodium cromoglicate 4%, 50% | | |
| | | | | | | placebo, 30% (OR 2.29, 95% CI 1.06 to 4.94) | | |
| | | | | | | Treatment-related adverse events: sodium cromoglicate 4%, 7/58 placebo, 4/56 | | |

| | evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | | | (irritation, redness or burning at site of application). | | |
| chunharas ;Wisuthsarewo g y;Wananukul ;Viravan S; | Study Type: Cohort Evidence level: 2+ | 50 (48 analysed) Mometasone furoate 0.1% cream plus loratadine syrup, n=24 Mometasone furoate 0.1% cream plus placebo syrup, n=24 | Children with atopic eczema who an affected are at least 4cm2, and severity scores (SCORAD) of at least 10 out of 18 (mean was 12); pruritus of the target area present, with a minimum score of 2.5 (scale 0-3), mean was ~2.7 Age 2-11.2 years, mean 6.2 years Exclusions: history of hypersensitivity to either drug, or nonresponsive to mometasone before the study. If antibiotics or antihistamine were used or severe illness and side effects were noted, the patient was withdrawn from the study. | Intervention: Loratadine syrup once daily (5ml if weight up to 30kg, 10mls if over 30kg) in addition to mometasone furoate 0.1% cream, applied once daily after a bath in the evening Comparison: Placebo syrup once daily (5ml if weight up to 30kg, 10mls if over 30kg) in addition to mometasone furoate 0.1% cream, applied once daily after a bath in the evening | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, 15 days Outcome Measures: 1. Severity of the disease (% change in SCORAD score from baseline) 2. Physician global assessment Cleared=100% improvement Marked=75-100% improvement Moderate=50-75% improvement Slight=<50% improvement No change Exacerbation 3. Pruritus score (0= none to 3=severe; % change from baseline) | 184% loratadine vs-85% placebo, p=0.883 (actual score change 12.4 to 1.94 vs 12.21 to 1.83) 2. 75% vs 91.6% had 75-100% improvement, p=0.245 8.3% vs 8.3% had 50-75% improvement, p=1.0 17% vs 0% had <50% improvement, p=0.109 390% vs -97% (from 2.77 to 0.29 vs 2.63 to 0.09), p=0.097 4. No reports of drowsiness or difficulty awakening 1 child from each group reported dizziness | It appears that addition of loratadine to mometasone furoate has no added benefit. | Funding: none declared. The study is described as a double-blinding, multicentre trial, however, the methods of blinding are unclear. Two children from the loratadine group withdrew (1 due to impetigo, 1 because rash 'very much improved') Although the volume (and not strength) was reported in the paper, it is assumed that the only available proprietary preparation of loratadine was used (5mg/5ml). |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | 1 vs 0 nausea 0 vs 1 anorexia | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Study summary | Reviewer comment |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Bettzuege-Pfaff BI, Melzer A 2005 ²⁴² | Case series EL=3 | Intervention: Bath oil containing soya oil and lauromacrogols (Balneum Plus bath oil). No specific instructions were given regarding quantity or frequency of use. 13% used the bath oil daily, 38% three times a week, 42% twice a week, and 7% once a week. 78% received additional treatment ('mostly other basic preparations' in 50.1%, topical preparations containing urea in 41.9%, and topical steroids in 27.9%). Comparison: No comparison group | 3566 | Paediatric patients with dry, itchy dermatoses. 94% were aged under 15 years, 83% under 9 years, and 61% aged 4 years or under. Atopic eczema was the most common skin condition being treated (86%). Level of skin dryness was moderate or severe in 89%, and the level of pruritus moderate or severe in 75%. | 1) Physician rated severity 2) Global assessment of success of treatment regimen 3) Physician assessment of compliance 4) Physician assessment of tolerability 5) Adverse effects | 1) Change in score (% mean reduction) -62% (-69% in those [21%] who only used bath oil 2) 14.3% symptoms cleared (score 0) 82.6% improvement (drop in total score) 2.0% no change 1.1% deterioration 3) 'good/very good' in 90% 4) 'good/very good' in 96.8% 5) 0.28% skin reactions ('mostly mild skin reactions such as burning, itching, reddening of the skin') | This case series reports improvement in the skin condition of mainly paediatric patients with dry, itchy dermatoses treated with a bath oil containing soya oil and lauromacrogols. Skin reactions occurred in 0.28% over the mean duration of treatment of 6 weeks. | Funding: Hermal Kurt Herrmann GmbH, Reinbek, Germany. This was a post-marketing surveillance study. Physician-rated severity (assessing skin dryness, itching, flaking, excoriation); 0=none, 1=slight, 2=moderate, 3=severe. Global assessment of tolerability: very good, good, moderate or poor. Compliance also rated globally using same criteria. |

Treatment for infections associated with atopic eczema

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| Kubeyinje EP; 1995 ₃₉₇ | Study Type: Case-control Evidence level: 2- | n=32 children with atopic eczema and with a varicella infection n= 34 children unaffected by atopic eczema and with a varicella infection | Children with atopic eczema (no details of severity)consisted of 20 males and 12 females mean age = 3.2, age range 1-12 years Children unaffected by atopic eczema consisted of 23 males and 11 females mean age 3 years, age range 1-11 years | Intervention: none Comparison: Clinical data concerning the varicella infection between the children with atopic eczema and those children unaffected by atopic eczema | Follow-up period: Duration of disease Mean 16 days +/- 3.6SD Outcome Measures: Prodromal features (fever and general malaise) Persistent fever Profuse eruption Severe pruritus Secondary bacterial infection Pneumonia Bronchiolitis Duration of illness | unaffected group vs. atopic eczema group Prodromal features: 14.7%, 12.5% Persistent fever 5.9%, 37.5%* Profuse eruption 5.9%, 31%* Severe pruritus 17.6%, 87.5%* Secondary bacterial infection 5.9%, 31%* Pneumonia 0,1 Bronchiolitis 0,2 Duration of illness 11 days +/- 3.4,16 days +/- 3.6** *p<0.01, *** p<0.01 statistically significant difference between groups | This study suggests that varicella infection is more aggressive in children with atopic eczema compared with children unaffected by eczema. Symptoms were more severe, secondary complications were more likely and the duration of disease was longer. | This is an isolated study on a small population of children with atopic eczema (severity unknown). It high-lights potential problems with atopic eczema and varicella infection. [EL=2-] The funding of this study was undeclared. |
| Williams H; 1993 368 | Study Type: Case-control Evidence level: 2+ | n=9263 children | Children involved in the National Child Development Survey for whom the presence or absence of visible eczema (no details of severity) and warts were recorded at the ages of 11 and 16 years | Intervention: none Comparison: Comparison between children with atopic eczema and children unaffected by atopic eczema and the prevalence of viral warts. | Follow-up period: Data was collected at 11 and 16 years for each child. Outcome Measures: The prevalence of visible warts | The prevalence of visible warts at age 11 and or 16 years was less in children with atopic eczema compared with unaffected children: 5.4% 95% CI 3.0 to 7.7 8.7% 95% CI 8.1 to 9.3 respectively. Relative risk for development of warts in children with atopic eczema 0.60; 95% CI 0.37 to 0.95; p=0.03 This effect persisted even | This study does not support the hypothesis that there is an increased risk of viral warts in children with atopic eczema. | This is a large study but was not designed to investigate the prevalence of viral warts and atopic eczema in children. These data were extracted retrospectively. Although viral warts were slightly more prevalent in the non-atopic eczema population this is probably not of clinical significance. [EL=2+] This study was part of the National Child Development Survey. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | when confounding factors such as region of residence, ethnic group, social class, and family size were considered. | | |
| | | | | | | This effect was not influenced by whether eczema was 'active' (visable) or 'inactive' at the time of examination | | |
| Weinberg E;Fourie; | Study Type: RCT | n=33 of which | Children aged 6 months to 12 years | Intervention: Oral cefadroxil in | Follow-up period: 2 weeks | 28/30 patients had superinfections of <i>S.aureus</i> | This study suggests that cefadroxil is a useful | This is small, probably unblinded RCT [EL=-1] |
| 1992 | Evidence level: 1- | n=16 received active treatment n=17 received placebo | suffering with S.aureus superinfected AE | suspension 50mg/kg/day in two equal doses | Outcome Measures: Skin swab sensitivity cultures from 3 sites | or <i>S.aureus</i> and mixed group b haemolytic streptococcus as diagnosed by swabs at start of study | antibacterial agent for superinfected atopic eczema when <i>S.aureus</i> is involved. | particularly of note is the difference between physician and patient global assessment at 2 weeks. |
| | | n=3 in the active group were withdrawn due to | | Comparison: Oral placebo in suspension | Hanafin/Rajka activity scores | Only one case was resistant to cefadroxil. | | The funding of this study was undeclared. |
| | | side effects, non-compliance and the | | | Pictorial documentation | At 2 weeks: | | |
| | | presence of a resistant organism | | | RAST for egg albumin , cow's milk and S.aureus | 0/30 in the active group and 9/17 in the placebo group had clinically apparent superinfections. | | |
| | | | | | Total serum IgE, IgA, Ig G IgM | 4/30 in the active group and 14/17 in the placebo had positive cultures | | |
| | | | | | 0-3 grading of eczema activity | 45.5% of the active group were classified as severe | | |
| | | | | | Patient and physician global evaluation | compared to 84.6% at baseline. | | |
| | | | | | g | 37.5% of the placebo group were classified as severe compared to 82.4% at baseline. | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | There were no intergroup difference in symptoms of atopic eczema | | |
| | | | | | | Immunoglobulin serum levels were unchanged during the study. | | |
| | | | | | | One AE: emesis with active treatment patient withdrew | | |
| | | | | | | Physician rated global assessment was significantly in favour of the active treatment (p=0.009) | | |
| | | | | | | Patient rated global assessment was similar in both groups. | | |
| Kimata H; | Study Type: Cohort | n=35 children of which n=17 were controls (2- | Children (< 1 year old) with atopic eczema (no details of severity) | Intervention: Nadifloxacin (15- 30g) and | Follow-up period: 4 weeks of study plus 3 months for active | Active group: Serum levels of anti SEA IgE (before 0.6 SD 0.4 after | This study suggests that nadifloxacin is effective for the treatment of MRSA with | Small studies with no inter group comparisons. |
| 417 | Evidence level: 2- | 11 months old) and n=18 active treatment (2-11 | and a MRSA infection. | bufexamac ointment (20-40g) | group Outcome Measures: | 0.3 SD 0.1, p<0.001) and anti SEB lgE (before 0.8 SD 0.3after 0.3 SD 0.1, | atopic eczema in children. There were no adverse events in the short duration | No long term data on the potential safety issues of using nadifloxacin although |
| | | months) | | Comparison: Bufexamac ointment (30-60g) | lgE serum levels measured using anti SEA and anti-SEB | p<0.0001) were significantly improved | of this study. | assumably treatment would always be short term. |
| | | | | (0, | antibodies | MRSA was absent from all cultures and for 3 months after | | The funding of this study was undeclared. |
| | | | | | Skin scores for inflammation 0 (none) 1(erythema only) 2 | Atopic eczema significantly | | |
| | | | | | (erythema with swelling) on 15 areas of the body. | improved (before 20.0 SD 4.0, after 9.0 SD 3.0, p<0.0001) | | |
| | | | | | Skin culture identification of MRSA | Control group: No changes in anti SEA | | |
| | | | | | Blood samples for haematological, hepato-renal function | (before 0.5 SD 0.3 after 0.6 SD 0.4) and anti SEB (before 0.7 SD 0.4 after 0.8 | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | | and urine taken before and after treatment | SD 0.4) IgE levels MRSA still present in all cases Atopic eczema did not improve (before 19.0 SD 5.0, after 18 SD 4.0) | | |
| | | | | | | No nephropathy or hepatoxity was noted from blood and urine samples | | |
| Hjorth N; Schmidt H; Thomsen K; 1985 419 | Study Type: Controlled double-blind Left-right body comparison Evidence level: 2- | n=81 patients of whom n=26 were children | 60/81 patients had a diagnosis of atopic eczema (no details, or individual number for children). The mean age of the children was 9 years (range 1-15 years). Children under 2 years of age were excluded. ' The majority of the patients enrolled were clinically judged to be have a certain degree of impetiginised dermatosis.' | Intervention: On a randomised basis the patients received the combination of 0.1% betamethasone 17-valerate and 2% microcrystalline fusidic acid ('Fusicort') on the right hand side of the body and 0.1% betamethasone 17-valerate on the left side of the body or vice versa twice daily for 7 days. The cream vehicle was the one used in the commercial preparation of 'Betnovate' Comparison: Left-right comparison on the individuals body. | Follow-up period: One week Outcome Measures: At visit 1(time 0) and visit 2 (1 week later): A bacterial swab was taken from a lesion on either side of the body. Clinical symptoms were rated on a scale of 0-3 severity scale taking into account: vesicles, oedema, erythema, excoriation, crusting, lichenification and itching. In additional at visit 2 the overall effect of the treatment was assessed as 'cleared', 'improved', 'unchanged' or 'worse' and treatment preference if any was recorded. | No individual data for children There was no difference in overall clinical evaluation of the two treatments made by the investigator at the end of one week. 'Success' was recorded in 53 cases with the combination treatment and 45 cases after betamethasone alone. 'Failure' was recorded in 3 and 5 cases respectively. Mean symptom score was reduced from 12.4 to 3.1 with the combination nad from 12.5 to 3.6 by steroid alone (no SD or significance level available). 46/81 preferred the combination and 34 of the 46 considered the combination to be more effective. (p<0.05). | This study showed no clinically superiority of the combined treatment of fusidic acid and betamethasone on the clinical improvement of impetiginised atopic eczema in children and adults. Both groups improved with little evidence to suggest differing reduction in Gram positive bacteria and patient preference for either treatment | This small study was short in duration did not present separate children and adult data. No details were given as to the degree of severity and infection of the atopic eczema. Despite the authors conclusions there was insufficient evidence to recommend the combined treatment over the steroid alone treatment. [EL=2-] The funding of this study was undeclared. |
| | | | | | | Positive isolates of Gram- | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | | positive bacteria (Staph. and Strep.) were reduced from 80 to 15 with the combination treatment and 71 to 24 by steriod alone. Other bacteria were unaffected. | | |
| | | | | | | Susceptability to fusidic acid was high with Staph. (MICs around 0.1ug/ml) and intermediate for Strep (MICs around 5ug/ml). | | |
| | | | | | | Tolerance to treatments was similar in both groups. | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Goodyear HM;Watson PJ;Egan SA;Price EH;Kenny PA;Harper JI; 1993 Jul | Study Type: Case-control Evidence level: 2- | n=50 children with atopic eczema n=20 non-atopic control children | Children with atopic eczema (34% mild, 40% moderate, 20% severe, 3% very severe) aged 6 months to 14 years (mean age 4.4 years) None had had antibiotic therapy in the previous two months. | Intervention: none Comparison: Colonisation, phage typing and determination of resistance or sensitivity of the bacteria isolated from skin, nose, axillae and groin of children with atopic eczema compared to control non- atopic children. | Follow-up period: none Outcome Measures: Culture, identification and determination of resistance of bacteria (Contact agar discs by the Litsky method) from skin swabs | S.aureus was the most common pathogen isolated: 74% from worst eczema areas and 30% was unaffected areas in the group of children with atopic eczema, Carriage rates of S.aureus in the children with atopic eczema were 20% in the nose, 12% in the axillae and 18% in the groin compared with children in the control group from which 10% (2 children) grew S. aureus from nasal swabs but not from other sites. The most common S. aureus phage group was II (32%). 35% were not typeable. Resistance to penicillin was present in 88% of S.aureus strains. Resistance to 2 or more antibiotics occurred in 38% cases (sulphamethoxazole, erythromycin, trimethoprim, fusidic acid, mupirocin, gentamicin) No resistance to gentamicin or methicillin was detected. | This study confirms the role of S.aureus in atopic eczema in children and highlights the need to be prudent in the use and choice of antibiotics to treat atopic eczema. | A small study confirming previous data about S.aureus colonisation on the skin and nasal area of children with atopic eczema. The sensitivity and resistance data of the S.aureus are difficult to extrapolate due to the small number of children involved. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Goh CL;Wong JS;Giam YC; | Study Type: Case-control | n=33 patients with atopic eczema n=20 of | Patients presenting at an outpatient's clinic with atopic eczema | Intervention: none | Follow-up period: none | 46% of non-eczematous skin of children with atopic eczema was positive for | This study confirms that S.aureus colonisation is greater on the skin and | A small study confirming previous data about S.aureus colonisation on the skin and |
| 1997 Sep 421 | Evidence level: 2- | eczema n=20 of unaffected patients | Age range 3 months to 32 years (mean age 12.7 years) 13/33 (40%) were less than 10 years old Atopic eczema diagnosis: 52% mild 39% moderate 9% severe 79% were Chinese,185 were Malay and 35 Indian | Comparison: bacterial colonisation rates on eczematous and non-eczematous skin and nasal mucosa of children with atopic eczema and control children plus the bacterial resistance or sensitivity to antibiotics. | Outcome Measures: S. aureus colonisation and resistance/sensitivity to antibiotics. | S.aureus compared to 5% (one child) in the control group. 54% of nasal cutures were positive in atopic eczema children compared to 35% in the control group. 54% of cultures were positive for S.aureus from skin/nasal mucosae of children with atopic eczema compared to 20% in the control group. Results showed that S.aureus was very sensitive to cloxacillin, cephalexin,clindamycin and co-trimoxazole however | nasal area of children with atopic eczema compared to controls and this is linked to the severity of the eczema. In this study, the S. aureus isolated was sensitive to most antibiotics but were generally resistant to penicillin and ampillicin. | nasal area of children with atopic eczema. The sensitivity and resistance data of the S .aureus are difficult to extrapolate due to the age of the study and the small number of children involved. |
| | | | | | | 92.55 (49/53) of the S.aureus isolated from the atopic group was sensitive to erythromycin and 72.7% (24/53) of the S.aureus to tetracycline 13% of S.aureus was sensitive to penicillin and ampicillin in atopics and controls. | | |
| Shah M; | Study Type: Case-control | Study group: n=48 hospital | Severity of atopic eczema was not | Intervention: none | Follow-up period: none | | | |
| 2003 May 422 | Evidence level: 2- | dermatology outpatients of which 23 were atopic eczema patients Control groups: n=119 primary | recorded. The age range of the total study population was 6 months to 75 years (mean age 6.7 years) | Comparison: Rates of fusidic acid resistance in microbiology samples between dermatology patients seen overa 4 month | Outcome Measures: Resistance of microbiology samples to fusidic acid by culture and the modified Stokes disc diffusion method. | | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | care n= 111 hospital inpatients n=71 non- dermatological outpatients | | period and non- dermatology patients. | Prescribing details of fusidic acid prepations in the local PCT | | | |
| El-Zimaity D; Kearns AM; Dawson SJ; Price S; Harrison GAJ; 2004 | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: none Comparison: none | n=2476 records of which there were clinical details of 2170, of these 7.3% were eczema. No individual data as to the number of paediatric patients in this group | Subjects had clinical records on clinical isolates of S.aureus from skin swabs. | Details on the patterns of fusidic acid resistance among S.aureus swabs in the Carmarthen area UK 1997-2001: Year Hospital department Details of isolates and their susceptibility to fusidic acid presented in age groups Total amount of prescriptions of fusidic acid preparations in hospital and GP setting. Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of 31 strains of S.aureus | Between 1997-2001 there was a rise in fusidic acid resistance particularly among paediatric patients with atopic eczema and impetigo. No individual data for atopic eczema but fusidic acid resistance in the participants under 10 years of age were: 1997: 5.1% 1998: 4.3% 1999: 17.5% 2000: 24.6% Total fusidic acid prescription between 1997 and 2001 were In hospital: 198 and 219 In 17 GP: 3375 and 5078 respectively. Clinical isolates from 2002 swabs showed that in vitro resistance was more likely to occur in samples from impetigo as opposed to eczema, dematitis and abscesses. | Study provides data on fusidic acid resistance in S.aureus isolates in the Carmarthen area UK which indicates there is an increase within paediatric patients with atopic eczema. | This survey shows an increased localised S.aureus resistance to fusidic acid preparations most likely connected with increased prescriptions. [EL=3] It is important to note that these observations can not be extrapolated to the UK in general and that each region need to be monitored individually. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| Hanifin JM; Rogge JL; 1997 373 | Study type: Case report Evidence level 3 | n=1 A further 3 case reports were noted but not described in detail. | 6 year old girl with a history of recurrent flares of atopic eczema from the age of 3 months and also severe asthma for the age of 5 years. | After several episodes of pyoderma which were treated with antibiotics she presented with severe exacerbation of her atopic eczema | Cultures from swabs of the infected areas revealed <i>S.aureus</i> which was resistant to erythromycin and a β-haemolytic Streptococcus | Over the following year , 7 separate courses of antibiotics were prescribed which overall were insufficient to control infection | |
| Hoeger P; Ganschow R; 2000 374 | Study type: Case report Evidence level 3 | n=2 | Case 1: 22 month old boy with atopic eczema from the age of 4 weeks complicated with recurrent infection. Case 2: 4 year old girl with atopic eczema from 7 months of age complicated with recurrent infection. | Case 1: He was admitted to hospital with fever with increasing redness in his lower left leg. His skin was extremely dry with widespread excoriated papules and patches. Bacterial cellulitis was diagnosed. | Case 1: Laboratory tests were indicative of an infection. Cultures from swabs of infected areas revealed S.aureus and infection resistant to penicillin, ampillicin. | Case 1: He was treated with iv. ampillicin and flucloxacillin in addition to topical crystal violet (0.3%) and 1% hydrocortisone ointment. He was discharged after 12 days. | Case 2: This child was of particular concern as she had a congenital ventricular septal defect which was monitored during both infections by echocardiography for signs of endocarditis. None were found. |
| | | | | Case 2: she was admitted to hospital with a 5 day history of fever and vomiting since the previous night. Generalised atopic eczema was noted. | Case 2: Blood counts and cultures were indicative of S.aureus resistant to penicillin and ampicillin | Case 2: She was treated with iv. flucoxacillin and tobramycin with topical therapy of crystal violet and hydrocortisone. She was discharged 25 days later but had a similar reoccurrence four weeks later. | |
| Sharma AK; 1997 ₃₇₅ | Study type: Case report Evidence level 3 | n=1 | 4 year old boy with atopic eczema with cutaneous colonisation with S.aureus unresponsive to topical medication | Child was hospitalised due to deterioration in his condition. He was moderately pruritic, infiltrated, slightly scaly patches were apparent intermingled with excoriated papules of which some oozed a seropurulent | Serum IgG was mildly elevated and Serum IgE was moderately elevated. Other laboratory tests were within normal limits. Cultures of swabs grew S.aureus resistant to penicillin, tetracycline and cotrimoxazole | Child was given oral promethazine and a topical steroidantibiotic cream for 3 weeks over which time, little improvement in the skin was seen and it was noticed that right leg below the knee was oedematous. Following x rays and | The improvement in the atopic eczema lasted for 5 years follow up. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | discharge. | | biopsy , chronic osteomyelitis was confirmed and the material excised grew S.aureus. This was treated with oral erythromycin and after 3 months the skin condition had improved and osteomyelitis was eliminated. | |
| Pike MG; Warner JO; 1989 ₃₇₆ | Evidence level: Case report Evidence level 3 | n=1 | 3.5 year old boy with severe atopic eczema with recurrent skin infections since infancy and also had asthma. | His treatment consisted of an exclusion diet, calcium supplements, mild topical steroids and emollients. Ketotifen and terbutaline for asthma. Asorbic acid and and cimetidine for defective chemotaxis and frequent oral and topical antibiotics for his skin infections. He was admitted to hospital with continuing skin sepsis in spite of treatment. | Following a history of murmur an echocardiogram confirmed a ventricular septal defect and blood cultures grew S.aureus leading to the diagnoses of acute bacterial endocarditis. | Surgery corrected his septal defect and he was treated with high dose steroids. He had two further episodes of septicaemia due to Proteus mirabilis and Pseudomonas aeruginosa | In long term follow up the boy continued to have severe atopic eczema subject to recurrent skin sepsis. |
| Adach J; Endo K; 1996 ₃₈₀ | Study type: Case report Evidence level 3 | n=2 of which one was a child. | 5 year old girl with moderate atopic eczema since infancy. | Presented at clinic with skin eruptions on face and fore arms which had rapidly worsened in the past 2 days accompanied by slight fever | Infection with streptococcal impetigo was diagnosed and treated with oral ampicillin for 14 days. Microbial cuture detected Group G streptococci and S.aureus. | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|--|--------------------|---|---|--|-------------|--|
| | | | | | occurred 6 months later and was successfully treated in the same way. | | |
| Scheinfeld N; 2003 381 | Study type: Case report Evidence level 3 | n=1 | An infant of ~9 months who had had atopic eczema from ~one month old and an extensive history of antibiotic use both for his skin, ear and oral fungal infections. | In spite of a typical impetiginised atopic eczema appearance, skin cultures revealed the presence of Acinetobacter spp. (A.Iwoffi and A.anitratus) resistant to β-lactam antibiotics | The impetiginised rash cleared with 4 days of i.v. cefotaximine, gentamicin and emollients. | | Presence of unusual pathogens with atopic eczema are likely to be due to the extensive prior use of antibiotics. |
| Callen JP; 1983 388 | Study type: Case report Evidence level 3 | n=1 | 8 month old male with infantile atopic eczema being treated with emollients, hydrocortisone hydrochloride cream and oral diphenhydramine hydrochloride elixir | Infant was hospitalised with a generalised hyperpigmented lichenified rash with asteatosis and fever. Disseminated vesicles with central umbilication were noted on the skin mainly on the face and neck. The neck was rigid and there was bilateral conjunctivitis. Herpes simplex virus infection was confirmed by culture. | The child was treated with iv. vidarabine (adenosine arabinoside) because of presumed systematic involvement. The response was good. | | Both mother (breast) and 8 year old sibling (around mouth) of the infant in the case report were diagnosed with eczema herpeticum and treated accordingly. |
| David TJ; Lakhani PK; 1984 ³⁸⁹ | Study type: Case report Evidence level 3 | n=1 | 10 year old girl with atopic eczema from the age of ~3 months which developed into severe atopic eczema despite treatment with topical hydrocortisone and Synacthen Depot twice weekly. Constant bandaging of the hands was also used. All resulted in significant absence from school. | History of many infections: S.aureus Strep.spp Pseudomonas aeruginosa 3 attacks of pneumoncoccal meningitis and septicaemia Herpetic gingivostomatitis | | | This case report is extreme with the child missing 2 years of school and when eventually leaving an extensive hospital stay was rehabilitated in a school for physically handicapped children. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|-------------|---|
| | | | | Eczema herpeticum | | | |
| | | | | Plus radiological evidence of rickets | | | |
| Cox GF; Levy ML; 1985 ₃₉₀ | Study type: Case report Evidence level 3 | n=1 | 10 year old female with a lifelong history of atopy manifested by mild eczema and moderate to severe asthma and rhinitis | 5 days after having a 'whirlpool spa bath' with a friend with 'active fever blisters' on her lower lip she noted painful blisters on her hands which spread and she developed a fever. This was diagnosed as eczema herpeticum | On admission to hospital she was treated with i.v. piperacillin and systemic hydrocortisone and topical steroids with occlusion. Her condition deteriorated. iv. aciclovir was then used and the vesicles were dry within 5 days. | | This article speculates that eczema herpeticum may be associated with the use of hot tubs. |
| Muelleman PJ; Doyle JA; 1986 391 | Study type: Case report Evidence level 3 | 5.5 year old boy with atopic eczema and asthma | History of watering and mattery eyes and a rash in the groin for 5 days which was not responding to topical and oral steroids and antibiotics. | On examination the rash was identified as eczema herpeticum both around eyes and groin area which was confirmed by culture. | Oral aciclovir was prescribed and Polysporin ointment for the facial lesions. Lesions were healing within four days. A month later the | | |
| | | | | | infection reoccurred and was treated in the same way. | | |
| Sanderson IR; Brueton LA; 1986 392 | Study type: Case report Evidence level 3 | 1 year old boy with atopic eczema was managed with liquid paraffin/white soft paraffin (50:50), hydrocortisone ointment and regular baths with emollient and emulsifying ointment. | The boy had become lethargic and febrile and on admission had a fever and was covered with herpeticum eruptions. He was 10% dehydrated with sunken eyes, reduced skin turgor and cold extremities | Eczema herpeticum was diagnosed clinically immediately and by culture 4 days later. | Treatment included rehydration and iv. acyclovir and broad spectrum antibiotics Despite intensive treatment he suffered a cardiac arrest, spontaneous cutaneous and gastric bleeding and required i.v. feeding and ventilatory support. His skin was treated with potassium permanganate. He | | This case study shows the seriousness of Eczema herpeticum if not diagnosed promptly. There was a lag time of one week between initial symptoms and diagnosis |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|-------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | made a full recovery and was discharged after 4 weeks | | |
| Bajoghli A; Babl FE; 1999 ³⁹³ | Study type: Case report Evidence level 3 | 15 month old boy with a history of atopic eczema since the age of 2 months and treated with topical corticosteroids and emollients. | Admitted to hospital due to exacerbation of his chronic atopic eczema with worsening pruritis, increasing weeping lesions, irritability and fever. He had just received his varicella vaccination and had been in contact with a visitor with cold sores 2 months earlier. | Eczema herpeticum was diagnosed by clinical examination, microscopic examination of facial erosions samples and finally bacterial skin and blood cultures. | | | |
| Katta R; 2001 ³⁹⁴ | Study type: Case report Evidence level 3 | 9 month old boy with a history of atopic dermatitis only partially controlled with | The boy was admitted with a fever, worsening of eczema on one arm and increasing pain, redness and skin breakdown for 3 days. | Physical examination leads to the diagnosis of an eczema herpeticum on the left arm. | Treatment was i.v. nafcillin sodium and acyclovir for 7 days after which the child recovered. | | |
| | | emollients and mild topical steroids | | Herpes simplex was subsequently confirmed by culture. Blood cultures grew <i>S.aureus</i> | | | |
| Mackley CL; Adams DR; 2002 395 | Study type: Case report Evidence level 3 | 6.5 month old female with a history of atopic eczema | Child was presented to GP with a foul smelling, sore rash on the face. It was treated with oral antibiotics and referred. On presentation to consultant, papules and vesicles were present on the face and a fever recorded. Eczema herpeticum was diagnosed. | Treatment was oral aciclovir and by 4 days the erosions were healing and the inflammation markedly decreased. | | | |
| Khan MS; Shaw L: 2005 ₃₉₆ | Study type: Case report Evidence level 3 | 18 month old baby with a history of eczema | The child was presented at hospital with a fever and. malaise. On clinical examination, diffuse ulcers were seen in the mouth and an extraoral rash was observed. It was only after a second referral that the diagnosis of eczema herpeticum was made | Treatment was i.v. antiviral treatment and systematic antibiotics plus parenteral fluids and analgesics. | | | |
| Lipman BL; 1983 | Study type: Case report | n=1 | A male infant aged 30 months with a history of atopic eczema from 6 weeks | A generalised verrucae vulgares infection complicating | | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------|--|--|--|-------------|---|
| 398 | Evidence level 3 | | of age treated with hydrocortisone, Cristo shortening and bath oil. | the atopic eczema was diagnosed at when the child was 12 months old. | | | |
| Solomon L; Telner P; 1966 401 | Study level: Case report Evidence level 3 | n=1 | A 2.5 year old girl with a 12 month history of mild atopic eczema | Presented at clinic with asymptomatic popular lesions in the nappy area and lower limbs. Molluscum contagiosum was diagnosed clinically nad microscopic examination of a biopsy specimen | As new crops of papules continued to appear, the following treatments were tried: 1. the child was put under general anaesthesia and visible lesions were opened and Curetted. 2. carbon dioxide snow 3.electrodessication 4. simple rupture by mother | | It was commented on by the authors that the resolution of infection may have been due to the antiviral treatment or may have been the infection had run its natural course. |
| | | | | | following treatment with oral methisazone (antivaccina virus agent) and topical 1% iodine. | | |
| Keipert JA; 1971 ₄₀₂ | Study level: Case report Evidence level 3 | n=6 | 6 children (3 girls) aged 10 months to 7 years with atopic eczema of varying severity. | Children presented at clinic with molluscum contagiosum (no details of diagnosis) on various areas of the body e.g. thighs, upper arms, ears | Treatments included: Salicylic acid and lactic acid, lesion incision, podophyllin and topical iodine, | | |
| | | | | One child had developed atopic eczema after developing a molluscum infection | | | |
| Block SH; 1972 403 | Study level: Case report Evidence level 3 | n=1 | Four-year old girl with a history of eczema | Girl presented at clinic with molluscum contagiosum infection | No detail of treatment but it was noted that the child's atopic eczema was | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|-------------|---|
| | | | | | mostly on her arms and legs and the infection mainly on her trunk. | | |
| Luber H; Amornsiripanitch S; 1988 | Study level: Case report | n=1 | Four-year old boy with a history of atopic eczema who was chronically colonised | At 3.5 years he developed osteomyelitis of three | Treatment was i.v. vancomycin and topical mupirocin. | | This is an extremely rare type of case report |
| 418 | Evidence level 3 | | with <i>S.aureus</i> that had become resistant to methicillin a year previously. | fingers and S aureus (resistant to erythromycin, cephalexin and methicillin) was cultured | His osteomyelitis recurred once but was successfully resolved with the same treatment. | | |

Stepped approach to management

Managing flares

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | No. of studies | Study Characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|----------|
| Langan SM;Thomas KS;Williams HC; 2006 425 | Study Type: Systematic Review/Meta- Analysis Evidence Level: 1+ | Total number of studies = 15 | Studies that discussed 'flare'. (The eligibility criteria were not stated explicitly). | Definition of a flare | Definitions A change in severity score above a set threshold (change in SCORAD score of 50-80% or more than fifteen points; increase in TIS score of at least four points; COSTA score increased by 70%; or disease activity scores by more than 75%) – seven studies. The need to use topical corticosteroids (disease state requiring TCS for 3 days or more; need to use potent TCS of further systemic treatment; or investigator deemed that TCS were needed for 3 days or more – one study each). IGA score 4 or more, TCS used within 3 days of visit of her medical appointment and preceded by 7 days without TCS use - three studies. An IGA score of at least three with a score of two or three for any two signs or symptoms (erythema, itch, papulation, induration/oedema) – one study. A scratch score of more than two on a five-point scale for 3 consecutive days – one study. | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| Zuberbier T;Orlow SJ;Paller | Study Type: Cross-sectional survey | To consider the effects of AE on the | Total No. of Patients = 2002 | Adults, or carers of children with atopic eczema. 39% were carers of children aged 2-13 years, and 61% were aged older than 13 | Disease characteristics during a flare (children aged 2-13 years) | Funding: Novartis Pharma AG |
| AS;Taieb A;Allen R;Hernanz- Hermosa | Evidence Level: | lives of those affected, and how that varies with | | years. Overall 69% had moderate AE, and 32% severe. | mean 8.7 flares per year mean duration of flare 14 days | |
| JM;Ocampo- Candiani J;Cox | v | age, gender, and severity of disease; to ascertain how | gender, severity of sse; to | AE within the last 6 months (flare defined as a sudden worsening of symptoms requiring a physician consultation or application of prescription medication). | number of days per year in a flare 121.8 number of nights sleep affected during a flare 5 number of times woken up at night during a flare 1.8 | |
| M;Langeraar J;Simon JC; | | patients/carers manage the | | | 86% avoid at least one everyday activity 30% stated flare affected school/work life | |
| 2006 | | disease; and to determine how well patients | | | 34% stated flare affected home life 27% stated affected social life | |
| | | believe that their AE is controlled. | | | percentage time at school/work performance affected during a flare 7% days absent from school/work because of a flare 2.0 | |
| | | | | | Funding: Novartis Pharma AG | |
| | | | | | Management of a flare | |
| | | | | | overall (all ages): 65% used TCS prescribed by a physician to treat a | |
| | | | | | flare (in 54% this was the main means of treating the flare) | |
| | | | | | 4% used emollients to treat flares (27% used prescribed emollients overall) | |
| | | | | | 25% used pimecrolimus to treat a flare (in 18% this was the main means of treating the flare) | |
| | | | | | 9% used tacrolimus to treat a flare (in 6% this was the main means of treating the flare). | |
| | | | | | [Any combinations used to treat flares were not reported] | |
| Ricci G;Patrizi A;Bendandi B;Menna G;Varotti | Study Type: Cohort Study | To evaluate the effectiveness of a new silk | Total No. of Patients = 46 Silk fabric* | Children aged 4 months to 10 years (mean 2 years) with atopic eczema, in a phase of exacerbation (not defined) at the time of examination. | Outcomes at 7 Days: SCORAD (mean score change) | Funding: none declared The investigator undertaking the assessments was blind to the intervention. |
| E;Masi M; | Evidence Level: 2- | fabric in the treatment of | N = 31 | | -13 (30%), p=0.003 vs baseline | Dillia to the intervention. |

Atopic eczema in children

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|--|--|
| 2004 | | young children with atopic eczema affected by a flare. | Cotton clothing (continued to wear cotton clothing) N = 15 | | -1 (2%), p=0.886 vs baseline Local score** -42%, p=0.001 for covered area -16%, p=0.112 for uncovered area | *the silk fabric used was MICROAIR Dermasilk, which also has antibacterial properties due to an 'exclusive water-resistant' treatment with AEGIS AEM 5772/5, a durable antimicrobial finish for textile products (based on the compound alkoxysilane quaternary ammonium). Children were instructed to wear silk products all day long - they were provided with the following items according to cutaneous involvement: body suit for the trunk (n=6), rompers for the whole body (n=11), leggings for the lower limbs (n=5), tubular bandages for small parts of the arms and legs (n=6), gloves for the hands (n=2), waist bands for the lower abdominal area near the nappy (n=2). |
| | | | | | | Emollients were used by all, but topical corticosteroids were not permitted. No between-group analysis was undertaken for the outcomes. |
| | | | | | | All the 26% of the silk group who withdrew were excluded from the analysis. Other than the SCORAD scores no other baseline data were provided. |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--------------------------|--|
| Hanifin J;Gupta | Study Type: | Total | Children and adults, aged 3 months to | Fluticasone propionate cream 0.05%* | Outcomes at 20 Weeks: | Source of Funding: GlaxoWellcome Inc | | | | | | | | | |
| AK;Rajagopalan | Randomised | number of | 65 years (mean 16.8 years) with atopic | VS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R; | Controlled Trial | patients = 348 | eczema who had received treatment with fluticasone propionate cream 0.05% for up to 4 weeks, together with | Vehicle* | Relapse (% children) | *those whose condition had stabilised were randomised to continued use of FP cream | | | | | | | | | |
| 2002 Sep | Evidence Level: 1+ | Fluticasone | an emollient.* Approximately 75% had 'continuous atopic eczema without | | 27% vs | 0.05% (intermittently), or to its vehicle base (stabilisation was defined as an IGA score of 2 or less [scale 0-5], and a score of 1 or less | | | | | | | | | |
| 427 | | propionate cream 0.05% N = 229 | remission'. In 63% the atopic eczema was of moderate severity, and in 37% it was severe. | | 66% (p value not reported) vs | [scale 0-3] for each of erythema, pruritus, and papulation/excoriation. During stabilisation FP | | | | | | | | | |
| | N = 229 | Overall 66% were aged 2-17 years, and 32% were aged 5 years or below. | | OR of not having a relapse in fluticasone group | was used twice daily - for the first 4 weeks of the maintenance phase (this RCT), treatment was applied once daily four times a week | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Vehicle N = 119 | In 18%, less than 9% of the skin was involved; in 45%, between 9% and 36% | | 8.1 (95% CI 4.3 to 15.2), p<0.001 vs | (Sunday, Tuesday, Thursday, Saturday). For the remaining 16 weeks FP was applied once a day on 2 days of the week (Sunday and | | | | | | | | | |
| | | of the skin was involved, and in 32%, more than 36% of the skin was | | Median time to relapse (children) | Thursday). Emollients were continued. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | affected. Exclusions: eczema of only the face. | | Could not be estimated for fluticasone because most were controlled at 20 | A relapse was defined as an IGA score of 3 or more, and a score of 2-3 for any of the three signs/symptoms: erythema, pruritus, and | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | feet or hands; erythroderma or toxicoderma, psoriasis, contact | | weeks vs | papulation/induration/oedema. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | dermatitis at sites of AE, atrophy or telangiectasia, systemic treatment for | | 5.1 weeks, p<0.001 vs | In children the median exposure to FP was 335 days. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | AE within 1 month, topical treatment with tar or TCS within 1 week, or concomitant systemic or topical | | Global assessment (children) | · | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | treatment with antibiotics or corticosteroids. | | 72% excellent or good vs | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 34% excellent or good vs | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 31% reported at least one vs | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Cosynotropin stimulation test (n=44) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 'Evidence of possible adrenal suppression' in two (unclear whether children or adults): | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | one with more than 35% BSA affected, intermittent FP use for 345 days (post | | | | | | | | | | |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| | | | | | stimulation test cortisol level 17mcg/dl - minimum level should be 18); | |
| | | | | | one with post stimulation level 9 mcg/dl (BSA affected less than 35%). | |
| Berth-Jones J;Damstra RJ;Golsch S;Livden JK;Van HO;Allegra F;Parker CA;Multinational Study Group.; 2003 Jun 21 | Study Type: Randomised Controlled Trial Evidence Level: 1+ | Total number of patients = 376 Fluticasone propionate cream 0.05% N = 70 Vehicle (following stabilisation with FP cream 0.05%) N = 84 Fluticasone propionate ointment 0.005% N = 68 Vehicle (following stabilisation with FP ointment 0.005%) N = 73 | Young people and adults aged 12-65 years (mean 28.8 years) with recurrent moderate to severe atopic eczema with a flare (score of 4 or more on TIS [sum of 3 signs; erythema, oedema or papulations, and excoriations, each 0-3]). Exclusions: medical conditions that would mean TCS were contraindicated; other dermatological conditions. | Fluticasone cream 0.05%* vs Vehicle (following stabilisation with FP cream 0.05%) vs Fluticasone propionate ointment 0.005% vs Vehicle (following stabilisation with FP ointment 0.005%) | Outcomes at 16 Weeks: Relapse (% with) 19% vs 64% vs 40% vs 56% vs Hazard ratio for remaining free of relapse 5.8 (95% Cl 3.1 to 10.8), p<0.001 (cream vs vehicle) 1.9 (95% Cl 1.2 to 3.2), p=0.01 (ointment vs vehicle) vs Median time to relapse >16 weeks vs 6.1 weeks vs 16 weeks vs | *Patients were randomised to the stabilisation and maintenance phases of the study at the outset - initially the flare was stabilised with FP cream 0.05% or ointment 0.005%, used once or twice daily for 4 weeks (4 treatment groups). Those in remission thereafter (TIS score of 1 or less for index lesion) used the same formulation of FP as during the stabilisation phase or its vehicle base - treatment was applied on 2 consecutive evenings of the week, for up to 16 weeks. Treatment was applied to all healed sites of potential relapse and any newly occurring sites. Patients also used emollients (a cetomacrogol-based cream) twice daily (or once daily on 'treatment days'), and used a bath oil as needed. Comparisons between FP cream and ointment during the stabilisation phase were also reported, as were differences between once and twice daily use - data not reproduced here. |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |

| Bibliographic Details | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------|
| | | | | | Adverse event rates for all events not reported. | |
| | | | | | During stabilisation: the most common events were ear, nose, and throat infection. 4 events classified as serious (erysipelas, exacerbation of asthma, 2 flares of eczema). | |
| | | | | | Visual signs of atrophy in 3 patients - 2 using the FP ointment, and had telangiectasia and striae, one using the cream had telangiectasia (only 1 of the 3 was newly observed). | |
| | | | | | During maintenance: no visual signs of skin changes or atrophy. | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Kirkup ME;Birchall NM;Weinberg EG;Helm K;Kennedy CT; | Study Type: RCT Evidence level: | Two multicentre RCTs in one report | Children experiencing a flare of moderate to severe atopic eczema (total atopic eczema score of 6 or more*), | Intervention: Study A: Fluticasone propionate 0.05% cream (n=70) | Follow-up period: Duration of treatment, acute phase (2-4 weeks) and maintenance phase (up to 12 weeks) | Study A (fluticasone vs HC 1%) 1a) At the end of the acute phase: -4.91 (41%) vs -2.37 (20%), difference -2.39, | Funding: Glaxo Wellcome R&D UK. Multicentre RCT. The two | | | | | |
| 2003 Sep | 1+ | Exclusions: | treated at outpatient clinics. | Study B: Fluticasone propionate 0.05% cream (n=66) | Outcome Measures: Study A | 95% CI -3.47 to -1.31, p<0.001 | studies were identical in design. | | | | | |
| 255 | | signs of skin infection; severe atopic eczema requiring | Age 2-14 years, mean age 8 years | Acute phase - twice daily for 2-4 weeks until atopic eczema | Total atopic eczema score* (reduction in scores, and mean difference between groups) | 1b) At the end of the maintenance phase: -6.87 (57%) vs -4.84 (41%), difference -1.88, 95% CI -3.20 to -0.56 p=0.006 | *Total atopic eczema score (Max, 21) = Number of body areas affected (out of possible 12 body areas) + | | | | | |
| | | hospital admission; treatment with very | Mean number of body areas affected, 67% (8 out of a possible 12) | stabilised Maintenance phase - intermittently up to | Patient's diary at end of acute phase (change in score vs baseline; difference in scores at endpoint. Score used was 1-7, worse than ever | 2a) +31% vs +8%, difference 0.81, 95% Cl 0.45 to 1.16, p<0.001 | sum of three signs (erythema, excoriation and lichenification) graded as 0- 3 for target area (max 9) | | | | | |
| | | potent or systemic corticosteroi | | twice daily as required for 12 weeks plus emollients as | to better than ever) a) rash | 2b) +29% vs +9%, difference 0.70, 95% Cl 0.33 to 1.07, p<0.001 | Recurrence of atopic eczema was defined as an | | | | | |
| | | ds in the previous 3 weeks: | | required | b) itch c) sleep disturbance | 2c) +26% vs +12%, difference 0.46, 95% CI 0.08 to 0.84, p=0.019 | increase of 1.0 in either the number of body areas affected or in the sum of | | | | | |
| | | history of adverse | history of adverse | history of adverse | adverse | | adverse | | Comparison: Study A: Hydrocortisone cream 1% (n=67) | Physician's assessments: Improved=better than ever, or better | 3) 94% vs 85% improved, p=NS | scores for the target area. |
| | | corticosteroi ds | | Study B: Hydrocortisone 17- | than usual, Not improved= same, worse than ever, or worse than usual | 4) 62 (range 7-118) vs 36 (7-114) | Use of regular inhaled or intranasal corticosteroids was permitted | | | | | |
| | | | | butyrate cream 0.1% (n=62) | Median time to recurrence during | 5) 29% vs 31% reported an adverse event 7% vs 10% general symptoms | | | | | | |
| | | | | Acute phase - twice | the maintenance phase (days) | 8.5% vs 6% influenza 8.5% vs 8.5% 'miscellaneous events related | | | | | | |
| | | | | daily for 2-4 weeks until atopic eczema | 5) Adverse effects | to the skin' | | | | | | |
| | | | | stabilised | 6) Withdrawals | Possibly related to treatment: 1% vs 0% folliculitis and ringworm | | | | | | |
| | | | | Maintenance phase - intermittently up to twice daily as required | Study B | 0 vs 1% severe flare with secondary infection | | | | | | |
| | | | | for 12 weeks plus emollients as required | Total atopic eczema score* (reduction in scores, and mean difference between groups) | 6) 26% vs 20% reasons: 2.9% vs 12% treatment failure | | | | | | |

| Bibliographic Information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|-------------------|
| | | | | | 2) Patient's diary at end of acute | 10% vs 3% non-compliance/personal | |
| | | | | | phase (change in score vs baseline; difference in scores at endpoint. | 4.2% vs 1.5% early cure | |
| | | | | | Score used was 1-7, worse than ever | 0% vs 1.5% adverse event | |
| | | | | | to better than ever) | 11.4% vs 3% protocol violation/no reason | |
| | | | | | a) rash | | |
| | | | | | b) itch | Study B (fluticasone vs HC-17-butyrate | |
| | | | | | c) sleep disturbance | 0.1%) | |
| | | | | | 3) Physician's assessments: | 1a) At the end of the acute phase: -4.37 | |
| | | | | | (same scale as above) | (41%) vs -4.52 (37%) difference -1.25, 95% CI -2.46 to -0.05, p=0.042 | |
| | | | | | | 1b) At the end of the maintenance phase: - | |
| | | | | | | 6.76 (63%) vs -6.78 (56%) difference -1.39, 95% CI -2.72 to -0.05 p=0.042 | |
| | | | | | | 2a) +11% vs +10%, difference 0.38 95% CI | |
| | | | | | | -0.01 to 0.77, p=0.056 | |
| | | | | | | 2b) +11% vs +12%, difference 0.50 95% CI 0.09 to 0.92 | |
| | | | | | | p=0.017 | |
| | | | | | | • | |
| | | | | | | 2c) +7% vs +7%, difference 0.48 95% CI 0.11 to 0.85, p=0.011 | |
| | | | | | | 3) 98% vs 84% improved, p=0.024 | |
| | | | | | | 4) 51 (range 7-121) vs 57 (9-123) | |
| | | | | | | 5) 42% vs 35% reported an adverse event | |
| | | | | | | 12% vs 8% upper respiratory tract infection | |
| | | | | | | 11% vs 2% cough | |
| | | | | | | 8% vs 15% 'miscellaneous events related to the skin' | |
| | | | | | | Possibly related to treatment: | |
| | | | | | | 1.5% (n=1) vs 0% red papules/boil | |
| | | | | | | 0 vs 3.2% (n=2) itchy skin after applying | |

Atopic eczema in children

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| | | | | | | cream | |
| | | | | | | 0 vs 1.6% minor skin infections and pustules | |
| | | | | | | 0 vs 1.6% impetigo on the face | |
| | | | | | | 6) 11% vs 18% | |
| | | | | | | reasons: | |
| | | | | | | 0% vs 8% treatment failure | |
| | | | | | | 3% vs 4.8% non-compliance/personal | |
| | | | | | | 1.5% vs 4.8% adverse event | |
| | | | | | | 6% vs 9.7% protocol violation/no reason | |

Phototherapy and systemic treatments

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention & comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Berth-Jones J;Arkwright PD;Marasovic D;Savani N;Aldrifge CR;Leech SN;Morgan C;Clark SM;Ogilvie S;Chopra S;Harper JI;Smith CH;Rook GAW;Friedmann PS; 2006 63 Country: UK and Croatia | Study Type: Randomised Controlled Trial Evidence Level: 1 | Total number of patients = 166 Mycobacterium vaccae 1mg (given by a 0.1ml intradermal injection) N = 56 Mycobacterium vaccae 0.1mg (given by a 0.1ml intradermal injection) N = 58 Placebo (phosphate buffer solution), 0.1ml by intradermal injection N = 52 | Children aged 5-16 years (mean 9 years) with atopic eczema and a SASSAD score of more than 20 (means across treatment groups 30-36). Exclusions: clinically infected eczema, history of a serious adverse drug reaction to any drug, treatment with any vaccine, drug or device for investigational use within 3 months. | Mycobacterium vaccae 1mg vs Mycobacterium vaccae 0.1mg vs Placebo | Adverse effects (not reported by treatment group) 32% eczema (13% believed to be treatment-related) 14% infected eczema 10% asthma 8% upper respiratory tract infection 19% injection-site reactions (induration and erythema) 0.6% (n=1) injection-site haematoma Outcomes at 12 weeks: Pruritus (on a 5-point scale) No significant differences between groups (no data shown) TCS use Sleep disturbance (on a 5-point scale) -26% SASSAD (mean score change) -25% SASSAD (mean score change) -24%, p=NS between groups Outcomes at 24 weeks: % body surface area affected -13% vs -12% vs -15%, p=NS between groups Patient's global assessment 65% much or slightly better vs 67% much or slightly better vs 65% much or slightly better CDLQI (score change) | Source of Funding: SR Pharma Use of usual treatment (not defined) was allowed (including TCS), but not topical or systemic immunomodulatory agents. [EL=1-] because only those who completed treatment were analysed. |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention & comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | +4.8 vs | |
| | | | | | +5.4 vs | |
| | | | | | +5.5, p=NS between groups | |
| Harper JI; | Study Type: | Total number of patients = | Children aged 2-16 | Ciclosporin for 12 weeks | Remission | Treatment could be restarted in |
| | Randomised Controlled Trial | 43 | years (mean 10 years) | VS | 17 of 19 in weeks 1-12, for mean 66 days. | either arm if patients relapsed |
| 2000 Jan | Controlled Trial | Ciclosporin 5mg/kg/day (starting dose) for 12 weeks | with severe atopic eczema refractory to TCS therapy, and having | Ciclosporin for 1 year | 16 of 17 following second treatment course for mean 177 days vs | (defined as a score of 75% or more of the baseline value). Remission was defined as a 40% reduction in |
| 440 Evidence Level: 1- | N = 21 | TCS therapy, and having no contraindications to ciclosporin. | | 15 of 16 in weeks 1-12. Three patients stopped treatment between months 9-11 and were still in | baseline severity score. | |
| | | Ciclosporin 5mg/kg/day (starting dose) for 1 year N = 19 | Exclusions: treatment | | remission at study end. | TCS were permitted throughout the study. |
| | | N = 19 | with systemic | | Quality of life (CDLQI) | |
| | | | corticosteroids, cytotoxic agents, or phototherapy within 2 weeks. | | No numerical data given; only statistical significance of changes from baseline notes. No between group comparisons. | Three children randomised were excluded from analyses due to no or minimal post baseline assessments. |
| | | | | | Outcomes at 1 Years: | |
| | | | | | SASSAD (mean score change) | |
| | | | | | -22 (42%) vs -28 (56%), p=NS | |
| | | | | | Body surface area affected (% change) | |
| | | | | | -26 (39%) vs -34 (49%), p=NS | |
| | | | | | Outcomes at 3 Months: | |
| | | | | | Treatment-related adverse effects | |
| | | | | | 14% nausea | |
| | | | | | 19% paraesthesia | |
| | | | | | 10% hypertrichosis | |
| | | | | | 10% swollen gums | |
| | | | | | 10% headaches | |
| | | | | | 5% rhinitis | |
| | | | | | 10% upper respiratory tract infection | |
| | | | | | 5% abdominal pain | |
| | | | | | 10% folliculitis | |
| | | | | | 5% hyperuricaemia | |
| | | | | | 29% withdrew (10% due to adverse effects, 0% treatment failure, 10% protocol violation, 10% uncooperative with dose/dose schedule) | |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention & comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|---------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| | | | | | No significant change in serum creatinine or blood pressure values from baseline in either group. | |
| | | | | | SASSAD (mean score change) -24 (46%) vs -27 (54%), p=NS | |
| | | | | | Body surface area affected (% change) -25 (37%) vs -30 (43%), p=NS | |
| Heddle RJ; 1984 450 | Study Type: Randomised Controlled Trial Evidence Level: 1- | Total number of patients = 27 Oral + nasal beclometasone dipropionate* N = 27 Placebo N = 27 | Children aged 3-14 years (mean 6.5 years) with moderate to severe atopic eczema for at least 3 months and who failed to respond adequately to conventional treatment with emollients, weak TCS (not specified), and systemic antihistamines. Twenty-four underwent prick testing to 6 allergens; all developed immediate weals of 2mm or more, and positive IgE levels to grass, house dust mite, cat dander, egg and cow's milk. None were receiving systemic or inhaled | Oral + nasal beclometasone dipropionate* four times daily vs Placebo (double-dummy) | Outcomes at 4 Weeks: Redness (mean score change) -6.3 (25%) vs -1 (4%), p<0.02 Surface damage (mean score change) -6.5 (25%) vs +0.7 (2.7%), p<0.01 Lichenification (mean score change) 2.8 vs 3.5, p<0.05 Sleep loss (mean score) 2.2 vs 2.4, p>0.1 Daily antihistamine dose*** 0.71 vs 0.95, p<0.05 Daily TCS dose*** 0.99 vs 0.95, p>0.1 | Source of Funding: None declared; Glaxo supplied study medications [EL=1-] because baseline data were not complete, therefore it is not possible to tell whether groups were similar in all aspects other than the intervention. This was a double-blind, cross-over study, consisting of 2x4-week treatment periods with a 4-week washout period in between. *oral dose = contents of a 200microgram capsule of Becotide rotacaps suspended in about 20ml water; each nasal dose given as a single metered dose (50microgram) from a Beconase aerosol via each nostril (total daily dose 120mcg beclometasone dipropionate). |
| | | | corticosteroids. Mean severity scores at entry** were 25 for redness, 26 for surface damage, and 19 for lichenification. In addition, 17 had a history of recurrent wheeze, 14 a history of recurrent rinnitis, or cutaneous wealing. | | Parental global assessment (mean score) -0.8 vs -0.2, p<0.05 (significant treatment order interaction for this outcome, p<0.05) Adverse effects 0 (11% had skin infections) vs 0 (19% had skin infections) | Topical treatments and oral antihistamines permitted during the trial (89% were using a TCS). 'Some' children had been using empirical elimination diets which were continued (no further details). **severity assessment: skin divided into 20 areas, each scored on scale 0-3 for redness, surface damage. |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention & comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|
| | | | | | | and lichenification. | |
| | | | | | | Daytime itch and sleep disturbance scored on 0-10 VAS. | |
| | | | | | | Global change in severity (parental assessment): -2 very much better, -1 somewhat better, o=no change, +1 somewhat worse, +2 very much worse. | |
| | | | | | | ***stated to be measured in 'inches'. No explanation, and also assumed that daily dose means quantity used. | |
| Hanifin JM; | Study Type: | Total number of patients = | Children and adults | Interferon gamma | Outcomes at 12 Weeks: | Source of Funding: Genentech Inc | |
| | Randomised Controlled Trial | 83 | aged 2-65 years with severe atopic eczema. | VS | 50% global improvement | | |
| 1993 Feb | Controlled That | Interferon gamma 50 microgram per square | Mean age 37 years in | Placebo | 45% investigator assessment | *severity: 6 parameters (erythema, | |
| | Evidence Level: | metre per day by | the interferon group, 28 | | 53% patient assessment (67% in 3-20 years age group) vs 21% investigator assessment, p=0.016 | oedema/papulation/induration, pruritus, excoriations/erosions, | |
| 458 | 1+ | subcutaneous injection | years in the placebo group, p=0.01. 25% | | 21% investigator assessment, p=0.016 21% patient assessment, p=0.002 (67% in 3-20 years | scaling/dryness, lichenification) | |
| | | | N = 4 | N = 40 were a | were aged 3-20 years (6 | | age group, p value not stated) |
| | | Placebo | in the interferon group, | | -g- gp, p | severe, maximum score 18. | |
| | | N = 43 | 15 in the placebo group). | | Severity parameters | Deficiely (see an experience) | |
| | | | Total severity score* 12. | | 34.6% improvement (erythema) | Patients (or presumably carers in the case of children) administered | |
| | | | Body surface area affected 59%. Total | | no numerical data for | injections themselves. | |
| | | | serum IgE (IU/ml) 4475 | | pruritus | Paracetamol was taken 1 hour pre | |
| | | | in the interferon group | | induration | and 4 hours post dose. | |
| | | | and 3888 in the placebo group, p=0.94. Duration | | excoriations | | |
| | | | of disease 30.4 vs 21.7 | | dryness | TCSs (triamcinolone acetonide | |
| | | | years in the interferon and placebo groups | | lichenification | 0.1% or HC 1% cream or ointment) were permitted. | |
| | | | respectively. | | Severity parameters | 00/ ''' 1400/ 14 | |
| | | | 2% were taking prednisone for asthma, | | 19.5% improvement (erythema), p=0.035 | 6% withdrew, and 10% had the dosage reduced. | |
| | | | and 8% were taking | | no numerical data for | dosage reduced. | |
| | | | systemic corticosteroids | | pruritus, p=0.11 | Antibiotic and antihistamine use did | |
| | | | (unspecified) for atopic | | induration, p=0.27 | not differ between groups (no data | |
| | | | eczema. | | excoriations, p=0.045 | reported). | |
| | | | | | dryness, p=0.54 | Eosinophil, granulocyte counts also | |
| | | | | | lichenification, p=0.09 | reported (not reproduced here). | |
| | | | | | lichenification | | |
| | | | | | | Logistic regression analysis was | |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention & comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | TOO | used to account for differences in baseline demographics. |
| | | | | | TCS use | |
| | | | | | 24.89 ounces per square m vs 34.3 ounces per square m, p=NS | |
| | | | | | (where TCS = triamcinolone acetonide 0.1% | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |
| | | | | | 60% headaches | |
| | | | | | 30% myalgia, chills | |
| | | | | | 12.5% transient granulocytopenia | |
| | | | | | 16.3% mild transient increases in liver transaminase levels vs 28% headaches, p=0.004 | |
| | | | | | % myalgia, chills not stated | |
| | | | | | 2.5% transient granulocytopenia | |
| | | | | | 2% mild transient increases in liver transaminase level | |

| Dibliographia dataila | Ctudy type and | Nim of study | No of nationto | Dationt characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
| Berth-Jones J;Finlay AY;Zaki | Study Type: | To assess response to | Total No. of Patients = 27 | Children aged 2-16 years | Severity (SASSAD) | Source of Funding: None declared |
| I;Tan B;Goodyear H;Lewis- Jones S;Cork MJ;Bleehen | Case series | ciclosporin in children with severe atopic eczema. | Ciclosporin (capsules or | (mean 9 years) with severe atopic eczema refractory to | No numerical data, p<0.001 vs | |
| SS;Salek MS;Allen BR;Smith | | severe atopic eczerna. | oral solution) 5mg/kg/day (taken in two divided | TCS. At enrolment they | baseline | Comments: |
| S;Graham-Brown RA; | Evidence Level: 3 | | doses) | were free of any uncontrolled infection, and | Body surface area affected | TCS treatment was continued as required during the study. |
| 1000 1 | | | N = 27 | had normal blood | No numerical data, p<0.001 vs nepatic baseline Antihistamir | |
| 1996 Jun | | | | | | Antihistamines (continued use of) were the only systemic drugs the patients could use. |
| 64 | | | | | Pruritus | |
| | | | | | No numerical data, p<0.002 vs baseline | The 2 withdrawals were due to: pharyngitis and an asthma attack (1) and adverse effects (1; nausea, headaches, paraesthesia). |
| | | | | | Sleep disturbance | |
| | | | | | No numerical data, p<0.005 vs baseline | Pruritus, sleep disturbance, irritability and TCS requirement were measured on a 100mm VAS. |
| | | | | | Irritability | All results were only shown in graphs. |
| | | | | | No numerical data, p<0.001 vs baseline for parental assessment; p<).06 for child's assessment | |
| | | | | | Quality of life | |
| | | | | | No numerical data, p<0.05 vs baseline. | |
| | | | | | Scale used unknown | |
| | | | | | TCS requirement | |
| | | | | | No numerical data, p<0.001 vs baseline | |
| | | | | | Global assessment of response | |
| | | | | | Child/parental assessment: | |
| | | | | | 8 no/minimal symptoms | |
| | | | | | 13 considerable improvement | |
| | | | | | 3 slight improvement | |
| | | | | | 2 no/minimal change | |
| | | | | | Corresponding investigator's ratings: 8, 14, 3, 1 | |
| | | | | | | |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--|----------|
| | | | | | Global assessment of tolerability | |
| | | | | | Child/parental assessment: | |
| | | | | | 16 very good | |
| | | | | | 8 good | |
| | | | | | 1 moderate | |
| | | | | | 0 poor | |
| | | | | | 1 very poor | |
| | | | | | Corresponding investigator's ratings: 21, 4, 0, 1, 0 | |
| | | | | | raungs. 21, 4, 0, 1, 0 | |
| | | | | | Follow-up 2 weeks after treatment stopped | |
| | | | | | In 11 of 20 assessed the total | |
| | | | | | sign scores had not exceeded | |
| | | | | | 75% of the baseline value; the | |
| | | | | | scores were maintained for 6 | |
| | | | | | weeks in 6, and were maintained for 6 months in 3. | |
| | | | | | ioi o monulo in o. | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |
| | | | | | 26% headaches | |
| | | | | | 22% abdominal pain | |
| | | | | | 15% nausea | |
| | | | | | 7% paraesthesia | |
| | | | | | 7% tremor | |
| | | | | | 7% upper respiratory tract infection | |
| | | | | | 4% (n=1) loose stool | |
| | | | | | 4% green stool | |
| | | | | | 4% acid reflux | |
| | | | | | 4% migraine | |
| | | | | | 4% asthma exacerbation | |
| | | | | | 4% pustules | |
| | | | | | 4% hyperactivity | |
| | | | | | 4% frequent micturition | |
| | | | | | 4% facial swelling | |
| | | | | | 4% sunburn | |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|---|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | | No statistical or clinical change in serum creatinine or blood pressure. | |
| | | | | | 1 case of transient increase in serum bilirubin from 25-56 micromol/l at 4 weeks (treatment was continued, and the level fell to 23 micromol/l at 6 weeks). | |
| Bunikowski R;Staab D;Kussebi F;Brautigam | Study Type: Case series | To investigate the effects of ciclosporin with respect | Total No. of Patients = 10 Ciclosporin 2.5mg/kg/day | Children aged 22-189 months (median 106) with | Severity (mean change in SCORAD score) | Source of Funding: None declared |
| M;Weidinger G;Renz H;Wahn U; 2001 Aug | Evidence Level: 3 | to clinical and immunological outcomes in children with severe atopic eczema. | (microemulsion) N = 10 | severe atopic eczema (SCORAD 58-97, mean 74). Exclusions: systemic corticosteroids within 2 weeks, biochemical parameters above upper limit of normal, hyperkalaemia, hypertension, uncontrolled infection, malignancy (or history of), food allergy as a cause of atopic eczema. | Reduction of at least 35% in 9 children (reduction 32% in 1); 7 of the 9 did not relapse during following treatment discontinuation (weeks 8-12) Adverse effects O hypertension. no significant changes in serum creatinine (1 transient increase that normalised - treatment was not discontinued). significant increase in bilirubin, p<).05, from 10-3-12.8 micromol/l. tolerability 'good or excellent' in 9 (patient assessment) and 8 (investigator's assessment) | Comments: The daily ciclosporin dose could be increased to a maximum of 5mg/kg/day, based on response; three received 5mg/kg, three 3.5mg/kg, and four 2.5mg/kg. Treatment was for 8 weeks followed by a 4-week period of follow-up. TCS therapy continued unchanged during the study. Relapse was defined as a SCORAD score of more than 80% of the baseline score. Immunological data were also collected and compared to data from 20 non-atopic healthy controls (aged 55-210 months, median 166 months). These data were interleukin and tumour necrosis factor alpha production by peripheral blood mononuclear cells. No numerical data were reported - interleukin levels were shown in graphs in an associated publication. 444 |
| | | | | | | The quality of life of mothers was reported in an associated publication. ⁴⁴³ |
| Bunikowski R; | Study Type: Case series | To investigate the effects of ciclosporin on S. aureus | Total No. of Patients = 11 Ciclosporin 2.5-5.0 | Children aged 22-197 months with severe atopic | | Source of Funding: None declared |
| 2003 Feb | | colonisation in severe | mg/kg/day | eczema refractory to TCS therapy. SCORAD index | | Comments: |
| | Evidence Level: | atopic eczema. | N = 11 | more than 50, and mean | | Treatment was given for 8 weeks. |
| 445 | 3 | | | objective score more than 40 on two measurements separated by an interval of | | Topical betametasone 0.01% to 0.05% was used twice daily. |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | | at least 2 weeks. | | |
| | | | | All were 'heavily colonised' with S. aureus, and six required antimicrobial treatment for suppurative superficial S. aureus skin infection. | | |
| Zaki I; | Study Type: | To report the author's | Total No. of Patients = 18 | Children aged 3-16 years | Response (not defined) | Source of Funding: None declared |
| | Case series | experience of using oral ciclosporin to treat children | Ciclosporin orally (initial | (mean 8.1 years) with | 8 excellent | |
| 1996 Sep | | with severe atopic | dose 5-6mg/kg, adjusted | severe atopic eczema sufficiently severe to | 8 good | Comments: |
| | Evidence Level: | eczema. | according to response) N = 18 | warrant systemic therapy | 1 moderate | The median duration of treatment was 6 weeks |
| 441 | 3 | | N - 10 | refractory to other forms of | 1 poor | (range 4-12). |
| | | | | treatment (not specified). | | 4 of the children were also included in the Berth- Jones study. ⁶⁴ |
| | | | | | Relapse interval | Jones study. |
| | | | | | Median 6 weeks (0-38) | Emollients were continued, but TCS discouraged |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | during the study. |
| | | | | | 1 nausea | Delegation defined as the good to use actual |
| | | | | | 'no significant change' in serum creatinine or blood pressure' | Relapse was defined as the need to use potent TCS or to receive further systemic treatment. |
| Bourke JF; | Study Type: | To document the success | Total No. of Patients = 1 | A 2.5 year old child with | Severity* (mean score change) | Source of Funding: Sandoz provided Neoral |
| , | Case series | of ciclosporin treatment | Ciclosporin (formulation | severe extensive atopic | severity -55% | ů i |
| 1996 Apr | | after switching brands. | changed to ` | eczema unresponsive to | itching -38% | Comments: |
| • | Evidence Level: | | microemulsion) | potent TCS (no details) and intolerant to ultraviolet | sleep +47% | Oral Sandimmum is no longer available in the |
| 447 | 3 | | N = 1 | therapy, who was treated with ciclosporin 5mg/kg | irritability -37% | UK. |
| | | | | (brand: Sandimmum) for 6 weeks, during which the | Adverse effects | *Severity of six signs/symptoms, all graded on a scale of 0-3. |
| | | | | condition deteriorated. | No significant change in blood pressure, urea, creatinine, or electrolytes'. No further details. | Mother scored itching, sleep, irritability. |
| | | | | The treatment was changed to a different brand (Neoral) at the same dose. | electrolytes . No futurel details. | The publication also details two other cases (both adults) with similar outcomes. |
| Murphy LA; | Study Type: | To describe the | Total No. of Patients = 48 | Children aged 6-16 years | Global response (parental | Source of Funding: None declared |
| | Case series | experience of using | Azathioprine 2.0- | (mean 6.9 years)* with | assessment) | |
| 2002 Aug | | azathioprine in children who had thiopurine | 3.5mg/kg/day (taken as a | severe atopic eczema, and thiopurine | 28 excellent (at least 90% | Comments: |
| | Evidence Level: | methyltransferase | single dose) | methyltransferase levels | response) | Thiopurine methyltransferase (TPMT) activity is |
| 454 | 3 | genotyping over a 3-year | N = 48 | within the normal range. | 13 good (60-90%) | believed to be valuable in identifying individuals |
| | | | | | 7 inadequate (less than 60% | who are deficient in this enzyme, which leads to |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| | | period. | | Fifteen had previously been treated with systemic prednisolone, which was continued while azathioprine treatment started, and in a further 8, prednisolone was given at the same time as azathioprine. Five were previously treated with oral psoralen phototherapy, and three with ciclosporin. | response) Adverse effects 2% (n=1) eczema herpeticum 2% nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea 2% urticaria, vomiting (believed to be a hypersensitivity reaction) 31% transient lymphopenia 10% transient abnormalities in liver function tests 2% transient and 'mild' thrombocytopenia 0 neutropenia | impaired metabolism of azathioprine, and consequently may be at higher risk of developing myelosuppression. TPMT levels were taken in 91 children of which 76 were within the normal range. *of 91 who had the TPMT assay. The age of those treated at the time of treatment was 38-198 months (3.2-16.5 years), mean approximately 91 months (7.6 years). The total duration of treatment was 983 months in the whole group but the range and mean/median duration of treatment and/or follow-up was not |
| | | | | | o neuropolia | quoted. The study was a retrospective review of case notes, and other sources of data/information. |
| Ahmed I; 2002 Jul 448 | Study Type: Case report Evidence Level: 3 | To document the reduction in raised blood pressure in one child following ciclosporin treatment. | Total No. of Patients = 1 Ciclosporin (5mg/kg/day initially, reduced to 4mg/kg/day after 4 months) N = 1 | A 6-year old boy with high blood pressure (day 135/85, night 137/81, 24-hr 136/83mmHg) and severe atopic eczema treated successfully with ciclosporin. Corresponding heart rate 129, 126, 128. Had previously missed school regularly. Also had asthma and hayfever. Previous treatment: HC butyrate 0.1% with chlorquinaldol 3%, applied twice daily on limbs and trunks, and HC ointment 1% to face. Tubular bandages and emollients were used as a body suit. Budesonide inhaler was used for asthma, and 'occasional' oral prednisolone. | Change in blood pressure Follow-up period not stated; 'during treatment' blood pressure fell to: day 110/66, night 103/53, 24-hr 108/62. Corresponding heart rates 89, 80, 86 | Source of Funding: None declared Comments: The child was admitted to hospital for ciclosporin treatment. The authors concluded that the raised baseline BP may have been due to stress or sleep deprivation related to atopic eczema; or previous treatment (topical corticosteroids). |
| Galli E; | Study Type: | To evaluate the role of a | Total No. of Patients = 7 | Children aged 3-14 years | Severity score | Source of Funding: Ministero della Pubblica |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| 1994 | Case series | bolus dose of intravenous methylprednisolone in the | Intravenous methylprednisolone | (mean 9 years and 7 months) with severe atopic | Less than 8 in 5 of 7 children (believed to be measured | Istruzione (40-60%) |
| | Evidence Level: | management of severe atopic eczema in children. | (20mg/kg/day for 3 days) N = 7 | eczema and chronic itching. Two had | immediately after the 3-day treatment period). 'mild improvement' in the other 2 (score 30-40 for 'a few days') | Comments: |
| 451 | 3 | atopic eczenia iii ciliuleii. | N = 1 | | | Clinical severity score: scale of 0-3 (none-severe) assigned to each of five features of atopic eczema (erythema, vesicles, 'fissuration', lichenification, itching). A 'dramatic' decrease in itching was also reported (no further details). |
| | | | | | | Lymphocyte counts, CD4, IgG, IgA and IgM levels were also reported - data not reproduced here. |
| | | | | | | IgE levels were reported to be 'unaffected' by therapy. |
| Sonenthal KR; | Study Type: | To describe the use of a | Total No. of Patients = 1 | A 7-year old girl with a | Response | Source of Funding: None declared |
| | Case report | systemic corticosteroid for | Prednisone 5mg daily | history of atopic eczema since age 1 year and | Follow-up period not specified. | |
| 1993 May | | severe atopic eczema. | N = 1 | asthma since age 3 years. | The child had an exacerbation of | Comments: |
| 452 | Evidence Level: 3 | | | Previous treatment TCS, tar baths, emulsions (not defined), oatmeal baths, and urea cream without success, and had multiple tapered corticosteroid doses (not stated whether systemic or topical). Rarely sleeps through the night due to pain and itching, and missed 45 days of school in the previous year. On presentation had | her skin disease while receiving prednisone 2.5mg once daily; the patient was 'stable' with a treatment regimen of prednisone 5mg once daily, triamcinolone cream 0.1% applied to her body, hydroxyzine and urea cream. 'She is much more outgoing, able to sleep through the night, and easier for her parents to manage' | No further details were given. Two other cases were described in this paper (both adults, aged 22 and 24 years). |
| | | | | lichenified, excoriated, erythematous skin. Skin tests positive to grass and dust mite, but not to milk, soy, peanuts, egg white or yolk, fish or wheat. | | |
| van Meurs; | Study Type: | To report the occurrence of raised alkaline | Total No. of Patients = 2 | Two case reports of children (both aged 2 | Alkaline phosphase levels | Source of Funding: None declared |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 1998 449 | Case reports Evidence Level: 3 | phosphatase enzymes in children treated with ciclosporin. | Ciclosporin 5mg/kg (initial dose) N = 2 | years) who were treated with ciclosporin for their atopic eczema and who both had elevations in plasma alkaline phosphatase levels | 2-year old girl (treated for 6 weeks, followed up to week 8): 188, 1730, 2026, 2161, 1182 weeks 0, 4, 5, 7, 8 respectively 2-year old boy (treated for 12 weeks, followed up to week 30): 166, 176, 169, 170, 1927, 2177, 296, 156 weeks 0, 4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 30 respectively | Comments: The authors note that the mother of one child was of Chinese descent, and the other was of Taiwanese origin; they also noted that they had not seen such changes in liver enzyme levels in other children treated (although the ethnic origin was not described). |
| Murphy LA; 2003 Nov 455 | Study Type: Case reports Evidence Level: 3 | To describe the use of azathioprine to treat refractory atopic eczema in children with lower than normal levels of thiopurine methyltransferase. | Total No. of Patients = 2 Azathioprine N = 2 | Two children with refractory atopic eczema and thiopurine methyltransferase levels lower than the normal range who were treated with azathioprine. The 14-year old was treated with 1.25mg/kg/day for 10 months. The 7-year old was treated with 1mg/kg/day for 8 months | Global response (not defined) n 7 year old: greater than 90% improvement in signs and symptoms. Oral corticosteroid withdrawn during this time. In 14 year old: 'almost completely clear'. Oral corticosteroid withdrawn during this time. Adverse effects In 7 year old: varicella zoster virus, treated with oral aciclovir and antibiotics; the illness was no more severe nor protracted than would otherwise have been expected. | Source of Funding: None declared Comments: The normal range for thiopurine methyltransferase is 8-14.5nmol/hr/ml red blood cells. The levels in the 7- and 14-year olds were 5.5 and 4.8 respectively. |
| Forte WC; 2005 Nov 453 | Study Type: Case reports Evidence Level: 3 | To document a rebound effect on withdrawing systemic corticosteroid therapy | Total No. of Patients = 2 Systemic corticosteroid (no details) N = 2 | Children aged 6 and 8 years treated with a systemic corticosteroid (the drugs were not specified). | Withdrawal effects (6-year old) Oral corticosteroid taken for 15 days, when dose reduced to 0.5mg/kg/day there was worsening of his condition - generalised erythematous bullosum lesions. Treated with antihistamines, weak TCS, skin hydration, 'environmental hygiene' - his condition improved. Withdrawal effects (8-year old) Used oral corticosteroid 'several | Source of Funding: None declared Comments: No further details given. |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | times' during several periods of 15 days, always reporting worsening of the condition on treatment withdrawal (increase in size of the affected area, and exudation of the lesions). Resolved after 20 days without the systemic corticosteroid therapy. | |
| Noh GW; | Study Type: | To evaluate immunological | Total No. of Patients = 68 | Children and adults (age | Severity (Costa's SSS) | |
| 1998 Dec | Case series | parameters as predictors of response to interferon | Interferon gamma 2x1,000,000 IU/square | range not reported) with severe atopic eczema of at | More than 20% (mean 63%) reduction in 34% | |
| 461 | Evidence Level: | gamma. | meters for 5 days (week 1), three times a week | least 12 months' duration, with an inadequate response to topical corticosteroids and antihistamines. | Less than 20% (mean 8%) in 44% | |
| | • | | (weeks 2-4), then twice a week (weeks 5-6). N = 68 | | No response in the remainder (22%) | |
| Pung YH; | Study Type: | To describe the use of interferon gamma in two | Total No. of Patients = 2 | A 2-year old boy with severe atopic eczema | Response | |
| 1993 Sep | Case reports Evidence Level: 3 | children with severe atopic eczema. | Interferon gamma 0.05mg/square metre three times a week N = 2 | which had not responded to potent topical corticosteroids. He also had asthma. | n 2-year old, initial improvement but flare in the 4th week. Interferon gamma dose doubled, but no response, therefore treatment was changed to interferon alpha. Total body | |
| | | | | A 5-year old boy with hyper IgE syndrome, and atopic eczema. | surface area affected fell from 70% to 10% at week 16. | |
| | | | | | In the 5-year old, severity score fell from 11 to 3; IgE from 21,000 to 8,500 IU/ml. | |
| Schneider LC; | Study Type: | To evaluate the | Total No. of Patients = 15 | Children and adults aged | Total body surface area | Source of Funding: Genentech Inc |
| 4000.44 | Case series | effectiveness and safety of interferon gamma for | Interferon gamma 50 micrograms by | 3.6-57 years, (60% aged under 16 years) with | -70%, p<0.001 | |
| 1998 Mar | F.4 | atopic eczema | subcutaneous injection | severe atopic eczema. | Tatal aliminal and 20 | Comments: |
| 460 | Evidence Level: 3 | | every day or every other | | Total clinical severity -45%, p<0.001 | Minimum duration of treatment was 22 months (range 22-76, median 36 months) |
| | | | day N = 15 | | Adverse effects | The dose was 50 microgram/m2 daily for 12 |
| | | | N - 10 | | Treatment-related adverse effects: | months, reduced to every other day thereafter if less than 10% of body surface area was affected |
| | | | | | 47% headaches | on two consecutive visits. Treatment was discontinued if less than 10% of body surface |
| | | | | | 13% fever | area was affected on two consecutive visits on |
| | | | | | 6.7% chills | the alternate day regimen. |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| | | | | | | Severity of six signs/symptoms were scored on a scale of 0-3. |
| | | | | | | Growth charts were used to monitor the patients aged under 16 years, which did not appear to show any effects on growth during the study. |
| Stevens SR; | Study Type: Case series | To describe the outcomes of longer-term treatment | Total No. of Patients = 24 Interferon gamma 50 | Children and adults included in the Hanifin | Total body surface area -63.7%, p<0.001 | Source of Funding: Genentech Inc |
| 1998 Jul | | with interferon gamma in | microgram per square | 1993 ⁴⁵⁸ RCT. Age range | -40.2%, p<0.001 | Comments: |
| 459 | Evidence Level: 3 | patients with atopic eczema following participation in a RCT of the same treatment. patients with atopic metre by subcutaneous injection daily years). metre by subcutaneous injection daily years). N = 24 | | | Global assessment | Twenty-four patients were treated with interferon gamma for 1 year, and 16 for 2 years. |
| 100 | - | | 1.7 of possible 3, p<0.001 | Reasons for discontinuation between years 1 and | | |
| | | | | | 1.3 of possible 3, p<0.001 | 2 were inconvenience and nonadherence (2 each), and improvement without therapy, |
| | | | | | Total clinical severity | ineffectiveness, flulike symptoms, and unknown reasons (1 each). |
| | | | | | -40.3%, p<0.001 | Todostio (1 odoti). |
| | | | | | -42.6%, p<0.001 | The severity of each sign/symptoms was assessed on a scale of 0-3. |
| | | | | | Individual severity parameters | |
| | | | | | All improved, p<0.001 (erythema, oedema, pruritus, excoriations, dryness, lichenification) | |
| | | | | | All improved, p<0.05 | |
| | | | | | Associated atopic symptoms | |
| | | | | | -60% severity of allergic conjunctivitis, p<0.001 | |
| | | | | | -58% severity of allergic rhinitis, p<0.005 | |
| | | | | | -20.8% asthma, p=NS | |
| | | | | | No numerical data for allergic conjunctivitis or rhinitis; p<0.01. | |
| | | | | | -77% asthma, p=NS | |
| | | | | | Adverse effects | |
| | | | | | Increases in the liver enzymes aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase at 1 year, which fell towards baseline at year 2. | |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| | | | | | Serum creatinine was mildly elevated at year 2 but remained within the normal range. | |
| | | | | | 16% 'transaminitis' | |
| | | | | | 8% headache | |
| | | | | | 8% malaise | |
| | | | | | 8% acne vulgaris | |
| | | | | | 8% neutropenia | |
| | | | | | 8% arthralgias | |
| | | | | | 4% (n=1) fever/chills | |
| | | | | | 4% gastric and oesophageal | |
| | | | | | ulcers | |
| | | | | | 4% splenomegaly | |
| | | | | | 4% herpes zoster | |
| | | | | | 4% molluscum contagiosum | |
| | | | | | 4% respiratory 'congestion' | |
| | | | | | 4% theophylline toxicity | |
| | | | | | 4% postherpetic neuralgia | |
| Horneff G; | Study Type: | To document the effects of interferon gamma in two | Total No. of Patients = 2 | Two children with severe | Response in 4-year old | Source of Funding: none declared |
| 1994 May | Case reports | children with severe atopic eczema | Interferon gamma by subcutaneous injection (50micrograms three times a week for 3 weeks, | atopic eczema, which had not been treated successfully with standard treatment (a 4-year old boy and a 5-year old girl). | Reduction in body surface area affected from 11% to 4%. | Comments: |
| 463 | Evidence Level: 3 | GCZGIIIa | | | No significant change in parents' opinion. | Where 'standard treatment' included TCS and allergen avoidance. |
| | | | then 25micrograms three times a week for 1 week) | and a o your ora garry. | Remission lasted for 5 months. | · |
| | | | N = 2 | | Response in 5-year old | |
| | | | | | No response to the first course of treatment. | |
| | | | | | After the second course (following a 2-week interval): | |
| | | | | | Change in body surface area affected from 41% to 63%. | |
| von Ruden U; | Study Type: | To report the quality of life | Total No. of Patients = 10 | As for Bunikowski 2001.442 | Quality of life (FEN*) | Source of Funding: None declared |
| | Case series | of mothers of children | Ciclosporin 2.5mg/kg | (Children aged 22-189 | Change in the five subscales: | |
| 2002 | | treated with ciclosporin. | N = 10 | months with severe atopic eczema). | -0.34 (11%) psychosomatic | Comments: |
| | Evidence Level: | | | oozomaj. | wellbeing, p=0.046 | The 8-week treatment period was followed by an |
| 443 | 3 | | | | -0.02 (0.5%) satisfaction with medical treatment, p=NS | additional 4-week follow-up period. |
| | | | | | -0.27 (8.6%) effects on social life, | *the five subscales are psychosomatic wellbeing, |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| | | | | | p=NS -0.45 (14.3%) emotional coping, p=0.027 | satisfaction with medical treatment, effects on social life, emotional coping, acceptance of the disease. |
| | | | | | -0.05 (1.4%) acceptance of the disease, p=NS | |
| Patel L; | Study Type: Narrative | To describe the use of interferon gamma as 'a | Total No. of Patients = 10 Interferon gamma (no | Children with severe atopic eczema who had failed to respond to standard | | Source of Funding: None declared. |
| 1996 | review/case reports | last resort' in children with atopic eczema. | dosage information) N = 10 | treatment. Ages at time of treatment: 10 years in one, uncertain in the remainder (age of onset from 2 months). | | Comments: |
| 464 | roporto | | N - 10 | | | No outcomes data were reported. |
| | Evidence Level: 3 | | | | | |
| | | | | All treated initially as hospital inpatients. | | |
| Leonardi S; | Study Type: | To document the use of ciclosporin in children. | Total No. of Patients = 3 | Children who had been treated with ciclosporin. | Severity* | Source of Funding: None declared |
| 2004 Apr | Case reports | ciciosporin in children. | Ciclosporin 5mg/kg/day (in two divided doses) N = 3 | The children were aged 2, 4, and 5 years, in whom conventional treatment had failed. All were hospitalised at some time, but it was | In the 2-year old the score changed from 495-290; because not 'completely improved', antihistamines and emollients were used after ciclosporin was stopped, and 'satisfactory control' achieved. | Comments: |
| 446 | Evidence Level: 3 | | | | | Serum ciclosporin levels also measured. |
| | | | | | | *Score calculated using the 'rule of nines'; 20 body areas assessed for seven manifestations (pruritus, erythema, vesciculation, papules, |
| | | | | unclear whether this was | In the 4-year old the score | excoriation, scaly crust, lichenification) scored on |
| | | | | when ciclosporin treatment was initiated. In the 4-year old ciclosporin treatment | changed from 312-142. Relapse occurred after 12 months, when another course of ciclosporin | a scale of 1-4, none-severe. Therefore 140 (1x7x20) = 'normal'/baseline. |
| | | | | was started at the age of 18 months. | treatment was given for 4 weeks, at 3mg/kg/day. Remission lasted 7 months. No further details. | |
| | | | | | In the 5-year old the score changed from 408-153. Sleep | |
| | | | | | pattern improved. Relapse occurred after 4 weeks (score increased to 206), which was treated with emollients, TCS and antihistamines. | |
| Bunikowski R; | Study Type: | See Bunikowski 2001 ⁴⁴² | Total No. of Patients = 30 | This is a separate | | |
| 2001 Aug | Case series | | | publication of the Bunikowski 2001 ⁴⁴² paper. No further details were | | |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes and results | Comments |
|---|--|--|--|--|----------------------|--|
| | | | | reported in this publication. | | |
| 444 | | | | | | |
| Weatherhead SC;Wahie S;Reynolds NJ;Meggitt SJ; 2007 Feb | Study Type: Case series Evidence Level: 3 | To conduct a dose-ranging trial of methotrexate for the treament of moderate-severe atopic eczema. | Total No. of Patients = 12 Methotrexate once weekly (starting dose 5mg, increasing to 10mg weeks 2-4, then by a further 2.5mg every week up to 22.5mg weekly | Adults aged 18 years and over with moderate-severe atopic eczema who had tried at least one secondline treatment (not defined) and whose condition was refractory to optimal | | Source of Funding: None declared Comments: Usual treatment with emollients and TCS was continued. The median dose used to achieve control |
| | | | [maximum]) N = 12 | emollient and TCS therapy. Exclusions: treatment with systemic immunosuppressants, phototherapy, sun-bed treatment, or herbal medicines within 3 months. Use of potent TCS within 2 weeks or topical calcineurin inhibitors within 4 weeks. | | (marked improvement or more than 50% reduction in SASSAD score) was 15mg weekly |
| Goujon C;Berard F;Dahel K;Guillot I;Hennino A;Nosbaum A;Saad N;Nicolas JF; 2006 | Study Type: Case series Evidence Level: 3 | To report the use of methotrexate for the treatment of atopic eczema. | Total No. of Patients = 20 Methotrexate once weekly (25mg intramuscular in 14, oral does [7.5mg-25mg] in 6) N = 20 | Adults aged 17-68 years with moderate to severe atopic eczema, who had insufficient response to 'routine' treatment or with an affected body surface area too extensive for local treatment. | | Source of Funding: None declared Comments: All patients use emollients daily. 'Some' used topical treatments (TCS and/or tacrolimus). |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention & comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|---|--|
| Bibliographic details Tzung TY;Lin CB;Chen YH;Yang CY; 2006 431 | | No. of patients Total number of patients = 26 Pimecrolimus cream 1% applied to all skin lesions twice daily + narrowband UVB to one half of body twice weekly N = 12 Pimecrolimus cream 1% applied to half the body twice daily + narrowband UVB irradiation to whole body twice weekly N = 14 | Patient characteristics Children and adolescents aged 5-17 years with moderate to severe atopic eczema. IGA mean score 4.2, mean EASI score 30.5 (12.2-52.5), and mean body surface area affected 48.5 (range 15-95). Mean pruritus score 6.9 (on 10cm VAS). Exclusions: those receiving treatment with antihistamines, systemic corticosteroids, immunosuppressive therapy, Chinese herbal medicine or phototherapy within 3 months; TCS or antihistamines within 1 week. | | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size Outcomes at 6 Weeks: EASI (mean score change) -53% pimecrolimus-only body half (p=0.002 for both sides vs baseline, and p=0.084 between halves) -55% pimecrolimus-only body half -59% pimecrolimus-only body half (p=0.002 for both sides vs baseline, and p=0.059 between halves) Pruritus (mean score change) overall mean score reductions of 3.0 or 3.1 (p<=0.004) - unclear which group which result relates to Adverse effects none vs 14% (n=2) intractable generalised pruritus and tender erythema | Source of Funding: None declared Investigators were blind to treatment allocation - unsure how blinding can be maintained when irradiation leads to erythema. UVB irradiation was performed using 24 Waldmann fluorescent tubes mounted in a UV 5001BL cabinet. The starting dose was 70% of the predetermined minimal erythema dose for each patient, with increments every week to a maximum of 1.5J/square cm. When UVB irradiation was given to half the body, the other half was shielded using UV-filtering clothing. |
| | | | | | | as within-patient left-right side comparisons. No other active treatments (including emollients) were allowed during the study. |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | Study aims/objectives | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Outcomes | Comments |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Silva SH; 2006 | Study Type: Cohort Study | To consider the effects of UVB phototherapy on microorganisms on skin. | Total No. of Patients = 20 Children with AE treated with narrowband UVB | Children mean age 114 months (9.5 years) with moderate severe atopic | SCORAD (mean score change, AE only) -22.4 (31%), p<0.05 vs baseline | Funding: Grants from Brazilian ministries |
| 432 | Evidence Level: 2- | | phototherapy N = 10 Children with vitiligo | eczema (mean SCORAD score 71, median 73, range 62- | Total cutaneous aerobes (log CFU/square cm)* -0.27 vs -0.21 | *change from before to after UVB. |
| | | | triader with narrowband UVB phototherapy N = 10 | 82). The children in the control group had vitiligo and were of the same mean age. | Total cutaneous anaerobes (log CFU/square cm)* -0.20 vs -0.13 Total cutaneous Staphylococci (log CFU/square cm)* -1.02 vs -0.15 | UVB exposure was similar in children with AE and vitiligo (accumulated joules 4.3 SD 0.9 vs 4.3 SD 0.8 respectively). Duration of exposure and of follow-up was not stated. All changes in levels of cutaneous microbes were reported to be statistically significant (p<0.05), but it is not clear whether this is from baseline or between groups (or both). |
| | | | | | | Isolation frequency and toxins of S.aureus were also reported - data not reproduced here. |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention & comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| Atherton DJ; 1988 Jun 439 | evidence level Study Type: Case series Evidence Level: 3 | To describe the use of psoralen photochemotherapy (PUVA) in adolescents | Total No. of Patients = 15 PUVA (8-methoxypsoralen 0.6mg/kg + UVA irradiation) N = 15 | Children aged 10-14.7 (median 13.6) years with severe atopic eczema which had proved refractory to other forms of treatment. Most (unknown number) became unable to attend school because of the severity of their eczema. Ten children also had asthma, whose height was on or below the third centile at the start of treatment. | Clearance/near-clearance 14 (93%) (1 withdrew as unable to tolerate the heat of the UVA cabinet) Time to remission 0.3-1.8 years (median 1 year) Duration of remission 0-25-4.2 years (median 1.1 years) Adverse effects 20% freckles 7% (n=1) cutaneous herpes simplex 1 photo-onycholysis | Source of Funding: None declared Comments: 8-methoxypsoralen was given 2 hours before irradiation. The duration of treated is unknown. Nine children received irradiation three times a week, and six twice a week. The initial dose was 1 J/square cm, gradually increasing by increments of 0.5-2.0 J/square cm until clearance or near-clearance (not defined) achieved. Maintenance treatment was used after clearance, with the freqeuncy gradually reduced. Short courses of oral prednisolone were used in 5 (33%) when it was not possible to increase the UVA exposure adequately due to skin irritability. At clearance the dose of UVA given was 2-15 (median 9) J/square cm; cumulative dose 50-590 (median 155). |
| Sheehan MP; 1993 Oct | Study Type: Case series Evidence Level: | To document the experience of using PUVA in children. | Total No. of Patients = 53 Photochemotherapy (8- methoxypsoralen 0.6mg/kg 2 hours before UVA exposure) twice or three times a week N = 53 | Children aged 6-16 years (mean 11.2 years) with severe atopic eczema that: 1) 'substantially disabled' them educationally, physically, socially, and/or emotionally | Response 74% at least 90% clearance (after mean 9, median 11 weeks treatment, range 6-28)* 26% did not achieve clearance or near-clearance (21% discontinued treatment)* | Source of Funding: None declared Comments: UVA was administered using a standard stand-up 7001k UVA Waldmann machine. A standard 1 J/square cm was used as the |
| | | | | had failed to respond to intensive topical treatment with emollients and TCS. | Relapse 69% in remission (none requires dialy skin treatment) Adverse effects | initial dosage, which was gradually increased to 0.5-2.0 at intervals no less than 1 week. After clearance a period of |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention & comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--|---|--|
| | evidence level | | | The children were also required to have normal renal and hepatic function. | 30% development of freckles 19% blistering 9.4% recurrent herpes simplex 3.8% acute exacerbations of asthma No evidence of corneal or lens opacities Liver function tests remained normal | stabilisation was allowed during which UVA was continued at the same frequency for a number of weeks (never less than 2 weeks, nor greater than 12 weeks - 4-6 weeks was usual). *at the time of clearance the UVA dose ranged from 2-15J/square cm (mean 8), the cumulative dose 180-470 (mean 280), and numbe of treatments 12-84 (mean 19, median 27). In those who discontinued the cumulative dose was 320-2020 (mean 980), and number of treatments 24-67 (mean 45, median 48). |
| | | | | | | In 32% the psoralen dose was changed to 5-methoxypsoralen awas permitted in the protocol for excessive erythema and/or pruritus (dose 1.2 mg/kg). |
| | | | | | | Following reduction in treatment frequency, 82% of those whose AE cleared subsequently discontinued treatment (duration of treatment 13-116 weeks, mear 31). The cumulative UVA dose was 97-3870 J/square cm (mean 1118, median 1308), total numbe of treatments 31-176, mean 59, median 65). |
| | | | | | | 38% also received oral prednisolone during the early phase of treatment to allow increases of UVA exposure, the prednisolone was then gradually tapered off. The cumulative dose of those also treated with prednisolone was less than the total group (mean 870, median 922 J/square cm) as was the |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention & comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | number of treatments (mean 52, median 59). |
| Collins P; 1995 Oct | Study Type: Case series Evidence Level: 3 | To document the authors experience of using narrowband UVB, and to discuss its potential (letter). | Total No. of Patients = 40 Narrowband UVB phototherapy N = 40 | Children aged 2.5-15 years (median 11 years) with atopic eczema, severe in 50%, moderate in 48%, and mild in 2%. None had previously had phototherapy or photochemotherapy. | Response 23% excellent (not defined) 58% good 20% poor (treatment discontinued) Relapse Data for 24 of the 32 who completed treatment: 20% relapsed within 6 weeks | Source of Funding: None declared Comments: The minimal erythema dose ranged from 70-770 mJ/square cm (median 240, mean 301). Cumulative dose range 2225-49,067 (median 16,371, mean |
| | | | | priotos remotilistrapy. | 50% relapsed at 3-4 months 25% relapsed at 6-9 months 5% remained clear 2 years later | 17,887). Total number of exposures 12-58 (median 24, mean 26). |
| | | | | | Adverse effects 50% truncal erythema | The data were reported within a letter. |
| | | | | | 35% facial erythema 25% xerosis 5% herpes labialis 2.5% burning | Use of emollients was encouraged during the study, and weaning off TCS depending on their condition. |
| Tay Y; | Study Type: Case series | To report the authors experience of using UVB phototherapy in children | Total No. of Patients = 20 Phototherapy (UVB) N = 5 | Children aged 14 months to 12 years with various skin conditions | Response No numerical data. It was reported that 'none healed completely but all were moderately improved, with a | Source of Funding: None declared |
| 433 | Evidence Level: 3 | with skin conditions. | N = 0 | treated with phototherapy (25% had atopic eczema). Those with atopic | reduction in extent of eczema and in pruritus'. TCS use was 'less' - no numerical data. | Comments: The number of treatments ranged from 20-61 (mean 41) over 7-20 |
| | | | | eczema were aged 16 months to 11 years (mean 7 years), had the condition for 1-9 years (mean 3.4 years). All had disease covering at least 50% of the body surface area, and was not controlled with TCS, emollients and antibiotics. | Adverse effects 40% (n=2) erythema and burning after some of the treatments, necessitating temporary discontinuation of treatment | weeks (mean 15). Cumulative dose range 2.39-7.78 J/square cm (mean 5.6). |
| Pasic A; 2003 | Study Type: Case series | To report the authors' experience with phototherapy in children with UV-responsive skin | Total No. of Patients = 57 Combination of UVA and UVB irradiation three or five | Children aged 4-16 years with various skin conditions, including | Response 45% 'almost complete disappearance of eczema and pruritus' | Source of Funding: None declared |

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention & comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| - | Evidence Level: | disorders. | times a week | atopic eczema in 37%. | 23% good response | Comments: |
| 434 | 3 | | N = 21 | In those with AE the age range was 4-15 years, mean 11.5 years. The | 32% moderate response Adverse effects | The whole body was irradiated including the face. |
| | | | | condition covered at least 40% of their body surface area despite the use of emollients, TCS, antihistamines, antibiotics. Ten had a | 19% mild erythema | Excellent response = greater than 90% reduction in SCORAD score, good 70-90% reduction, moderate 50-70% reduction. |
| | | | | positive family history of atopic, sic had | | Cumulative UVB dose 1.3-10.42 J/square cm (mean 6.14). |
| | | | | coexisting hayfever. | | Mean 18 treatment received, range 9-71. |
| | | | | | | Cumulative UVA dose 27.5-182 J/square cm (mean 69.7). |
| | | | | | | Mean 18 treatment received, range 9-47. |
| | | | | | | Duration of treatment unclear. |
| Jury CS; | Study Type: | To describe experience of | Total No. of Patients = 77 | Children treated with | Response (in AE group) | Source of Funding: None |
| 2006 Mar | Case series | using narrowband UVB in patients aged 16 years and under. | Narrowband UVB phototherapy | narrowband UVB phototherapy for various skin conditions (32% | 68% achieved minimal residual disease at treatment end | declared |
| | Evidence Level: | and under. | N = 25 | atopic eczema). Age | 16% 'no better' | Comments: |
| 435 | 3 | | | range of the total group 4-16 years, median 12 years. | No outcomes documented for the remaining 16% of patients. | Response was recorded as clear, minimal residual disease, no better, worse, or failed to attend |
| | | | | Demographic detail not | Adverse effects (total group) | follow-up. |
| | | | | reported separately for | 30% erythema | |
| | | | | the atopic eczema subgroup. | 6.5% anxiety | Phototherapy: a minimal erythema dose was established in |
| | | | | Sabgroup. | 2.6% Herpes simplex infection (both AE patients) - did not progress to eczema herpeticum | 42%, who received a starting dose of 50% of the minimal |
| | | | | | 1.3% (n=1) varicella zoster infection | erythema dose. The starting dose in the remainder was empirical. 20% increments were used in most cases, reducing to 10% increments where necessary. |
| | | | | | | Overall in the 77 patients, 103 treatment courses were administered, with 18 children receiving more than one course. |

Atopic eczema in children

| Bibliographic details | Study type and evidence level | No. of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention & comparison | Outcome measures, follow-up and effect size | Comments |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | In children with atopic eczema who received more than one course (number unknown), the mean number administered was 2.1 (range 2-3). |
| | | | | | | The frequency of phototherapy within a treatment course was not stated, nor was the duration of a treatment course. |
| Clayton TH; | Study Type: | To assess improvement of | Total No. of Patients = 60 | Age range 4-16 years | Adverse events recorded in 14 patients: well- | |
| | Case series | AD in children who had | | (median 12 years), AE | demarcated erythema, painful erythema and reactivation of herpes simplex. No improvement was | |
| 2007 | | undergone NB-UVB phototherapy | | patients who had undergone narrow-band | reported in 7 children. | |
| | Evidence Level: | pp) | | UVB phototherapy | | |
| 437 | 3 | | | between 1999 and 2005 in a single hospital | | |

Complementary therapies

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| Witt CM; Lüdtke R; Baur R; Willich SN; 2005 ⁴⁶⁹ | Study type: Prospective multicentre observational cohort Evidence level: 3 | 3,981 adults & children 1,130 were children of which 20% had a diagnosis of atopic eczema (n=226) | Chronic conditions (97% of diagnoses) including children with atopic eczema Mean age (± SD) of all children 6.7±4.1years Primary care Germany | To investigate on a range of diagnoses (including atopic eczema), course of treatment, and long-term outcome in those who chose to receive homeopathic medical treatment by standardised questionnaire | Follow up period: 24 months Intervention: Children's and physician's assessments (0-10) and quality of life at 0,3,12,24 months (KINDL) Quality of life assessed by parent for children under the age of 6 years (KITA) Comparison: Children acted as their own controls, differences from baseline to end of study. Safety: No measures | No effect size calculated Atopic eczema data not presented separately Disease severity decreased between 0-24 months by both child 6.1±1.8- to 2.2±2.0 (SD) & physician assessment 5.9±1.7 to 1.5±1.8 both p<0.001 versus baseline. Improvement in quality of life of all young children (data not presented separately) | Findings indicate that homeopathic medical therapy may play a beneficial role in the long-term care of patients with chronic diseases. | Methodological quality poor (uncontrolled, no details of treatments, data not presented by diagnosis group, quality of life data assessed by parent if child under 6 years but data not given separately) No safety data given |
| Mohan GR; Anandhi KS; 2003 ⁴⁷⁰ | Study type: Uncontrolled case series Evidence level: 3 | n=36 | Various age groups including 9 children (11 months-12 years) with mild to moderate symptoms except one with severe symptoms. 2 groups: skin symptoms only (n=6) and skin & respiratory symptoms (n=3) in an Indian | Intervention Individualised homeopathic treatment for 5 years Comparison None Concomitant treatment | Follow up period: 5 years Outcome measure Effectiveness On observation of no less than 6 months positive result: a) relief (76-99%) of the | Skin symptom only group: 3/6 were rated 99% with no new exacerbation 2/6 were rated 60% with occasional exacerbation 1/6 was rated 20% and discontinued treatment | Findings indicate that homeopathic medical therapy may play a beneficial role in the long-term care of patients with atopic eczema without undue side effects. | Methodological quality poor (uncontrolled and small numbers Lack of detail of clinical symptoms |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | | homeopathic medical college | Regular counselling on diet, maintaining dust and stress free environment. Liquid paraffin for dry skin | symptoms with no new exacerbation b) relief (51-75%) of the symptoms with occasional exacerbation c) relief(26-50%) of the symptoms with new recurrence Negative result 0-25% relief of symptoms, no change in skin condition or with new recurrence Safety | | | |
| | | | | | No measures | | | |
| Sheehan MP; Atherton DJ; 1992 ⁴⁷¹ | Study type: RCT Placebo controlled, double blind crossover study Evidence level: 1- | n= 47 enrolled 37 completed the trial No numbers for each arm of study | 37 children with non exudative atopic eczema Age range 1.5-18.1 years. Mean age: 9.1 years Tertiary referral centre | Intervention: Chinese herbal combination product Provisional identification of components: Ledebouriella sesloides, Potentillia chinensis, Anebia clematidis, Rehmannia glutinosa, Paeonia lactifora, Lophatherum gracile, Dictamnus dasycarpus, Triculus terrestris, Glycyrrhiza uralensis and Schizonepeta tenuifolia | No measures Follow up period: 20 weeks Outcome measures: Effectiveness Mean severity score (0-3) and percentage coverage of erythema and surface damage Parents were asked to state a preference based on their children's sleep Safety Questionnaire seeking evidence for possible adverse events | Effectiveness Median percentage decrease in erythema scores during active phase 51.0% (95% CI 34.5% to 72.6%) compared to 6.1% (-25.2% to 30.7%) during the placebo phase. (95% CI for the difference 13.4% to 89.7%) Median percentage decrease in surface damage scores during active phase 63.1% (95% CI 34.5% to 72.6%) compared to 6.2% (-25.2% to 30.7%) during the placebo phase. (95% CI for the difference 19.2% to 97.9%) | Chinese medicinal herbs provide a therapeutic option for children with extensive atopic eczema that has failed to respond to other treatments. In the medium term, it proved helpful for approximately half the children who originally took part in the trial. The possibility that it may provoke hepatic abnormalities requires further study. | Small study with some compliance and long time safety issues. This product (Zemaphyte) is no longer being manufactured |

| Bibliographic Information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---|---|--|---------------|-------------------|
| | | | | Comparison: | A 24 hour urine sample was taken at start and | Improved sleep was reported in 19 cases during active phase, 3 in placebo | | |
| | | | | Placebo consisting of a mixture of inert | end of each treatment (n=3) for measurement of creatinine and endogenous | phase and no change was noted for the remaining 15 cases | | |
| | | | | plant matter: Humulus lupulus, | corticosteroid excretion | Parent's preference | | |
| | | | | Hordeum distichon, Hordeum distichon ustum, Bakers bran, | | 27 cases reported superiority of the active phase, 2 cases for placebo, 8 cases had no preference | | |
| | | | | sucrose, Salvia | | Safety | | |
| | | | | spp. Thymus vulgaris, Rosmarinus offincinalis, Mentha piperita, clove oil and Glycyrrhiza uralensis. | | There was no evidence of haematological, renal or hepatic toxicity. | | |
| | | | | Children were supplied with two | | | | |
| | | | | types of sachets, parents prepared decoctions | | | | |
| | | | | according to the child's age: age | | | | |
| | | | | 1-7 years two | | | | |
| | | | | large and two small sachets | | | | |
| | | | | daily; age 8-13 years: three large | | | | |
| | | | | and three small sachets; age >14 | | | | |
| | | | | years: four large and four small sachets daily. | | | | |
| | | | | Decoction taken orally as 100ml liquid whilst still warm. | | | | |
| | | | | All children received both | | | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | treatments for 8 weeks with a 4- week wash out period in between. | | | | |
| Sheenan MP; Atherton DJ; 1994 ⁴⁷² | Study type: One year uncontrolled follow up of Sheenan MP; Atherton DJ; 1992 ⁷ Evidence level 3 | n=37 14 children withdrew within that year | Children who had completed an RCT of Chinese medicinal herbs for atopic eczema Of the 23 children completing age range was 1.5-18.1 years, mean age 9.1 years | Intervention: Chinese herbal mixture Provisional identification of components: Ledebouriella sesloides, Potentillia chinensis, Anebia clematidis, Rehmannia glutinosa, Paeonia lactifora, Lophatherum gracile, Dictamnus dasycarpus, Triculus terrestris, Glycyrrhiza uralensis and Schizonepeta tenuifolia Children were supplied with two types of sachets, parents prepared decoctions according to the child's age: age 1-7 years two large and two small sachets daily; age 8-13 years: three large and three small sachets; age >14 years: four large and four small | Outcome measures Effectiveness 3 month assessments Mean severity score (0-3) and percentage coverage for erythema and surface damage. Blood pressure measurements & total serum IgE Safety 6 month assessments full blood count, serum sodium, pottasium, urea, creatinine,calcium, phosphate, bilirubin, AST and alkaline phosphatase | Fifectiveness 7/23 were able to discontinue treatment after achieving at least 90% reductions in eczema activity scores and this was maintained until the end of the study 16/23 continued treatment for the year to maintain improvement. At the end of study 11/16 had a 90% reduction of eczema scores, 1/16 reduction between 60% and 89%, 4/16 had reduction between 30-59%. Blood pressure was normal throughout study. 21/23 children had elevated IgE levels prior to the original study 10/23 showed a >10% increase over the year. 3/23 showed a >10% increase over the year. Safety Serum AST levels exceeding 1.5 times the upper limit of normal was recorded on a single occasion in two children. In both children treatments were stopped. Serum AST for all other children and all other biochemical | Chinese medical herbs provide a therapeutic option for children with extensive atopic eczema that has failed to respond to other treatments. The possibility that it may provoke hepatic abnormalities requires further studies. | A mild laxative effect was noted by approximately one third of patients during the first few weeks but this caused no compliance problems This product (Zemaphyte) is no longer being manufactured. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | sachets daily. Decoction taken orally as 100ml liquid whilst still warm. | | parameters within normal range throughout the study. | | |
| | | | | Comparison: | | | | |
| | | | | All children/parents opted to have the active treatment | | | | |
| | | | | Children were seen at 3 month intervals Daily treatment was continued until reduction of 90% was recorded in both erythema and surface damage scores. Treatment frequency was then gradually reduced at 6 week intervals, provided that the level of benefit was maintained | | | | |
| Hon KLE; Leung T; Wong Y; Lam WC; Guan DB; Ma KC; Sung YR; Fok T; Leung P; 2004 ⁴⁷⁴ | Study type: Uncontrolled case series Evidence level: 3 | 9 children All completed, one showed 75% adherence | Chinese children with atopic eczema with a SCORAD index of ≥15 Median age 11.3 (5-13.5) years in a paediatric dermatology outpatient clinic | Intervention 3 pentaherb capsules twice daily for 4 months Formulation: Flos Lonicerae (Jinyinhua)2g, Herba Methae | Follow up period: 4 months Outcome measures Effectiveness SCORAD index monthly | The overall SCORAD score before and at the end of 3 months was 60.3 (20.0-82.6) and 40.0 (11.4-56.5) respectively (p=0.008) The extent, intensity, prutius and sleep loss components of SCORAD were also significantly improved (p<0.05 for all) | Pentaherb capsules were well tolerated by children and apparent beneficial effects were noted clinically. | Methodological quality poor (uncontrolled,& small numbers) |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | (Bohe) 1g, Cortex Moutan (Danpi) 2g, Rhizoma Atractylodis (Cangzhu) 2g and Cotex Phellodendrie (Huangbai) 2g which makes 6-7 capsules | Safety biochemical tests | No clinical or biochemical evidence of adverse events | | |
| | | | | Comparison None | | | | |
| Schacher L; Field T; Hernadez-Reif; Duarte AM; Krasnegor J; 1998 ⁴⁸⁷ | Study type: RCT Unblinded study Evidence level: 1- | n=20 children 10 children in each group | Children with atopic eczema Age range 2-8 years Mean 3.8 years | Intervention: All children continued to receive usual care (emollients and topical corticosteroids) Intervention 20 minute daily massage with emollient by parents (initial session taught by therapist) Comparison: Usual care alone | Follow up period: 1 month Outcome measures: Effectiveness Pre and post therapy sessions: Parent measure of STAI (20 items) Child measures using Happy Face Scale (1-4) Researcher measure Behavioural Observation Scale: affect, activity, anxiety First and last day assessments: Parent measure of Tactile Defensiveness Scale, Coping index (0-4), How I feel about my child (17 item), Likert scale (5 points) Skin assessment focal & global Scale of 0-3 on redness, lichenification, | Pre and post therapy sessions STAI statistically significant improvement in massage group between first and last day (41.5, 35.3 p=0.05) Control group no differences Happy faces: no differences in both groups Behavioural observations: massage group improved statistically significant only on last day: p=0.05 for all. Control group no differences First and last day assessments Tactile defensiveness scale: no statistical differences in either group Coping index: massage group improved in 3/6 measures on last day, anxiety (p=0.05), stability (p=0.05), feeling about child (p=0.01). Control group no differences | These data suggest that massage therapy may be a cost effective adjunct treatment for atopic eczema, since there is a one time expense of \$30 for the child to receive the massage and the parent to learn the technique | Encouraging data but small sample size relatively short duration of intervention, lack of blinding for children and parents Comparisons are with baseline within each treatment group, rather than between treatment groups. Need for further research. No safety data reported |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|--|-------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | scaling, excoriation and pruritus. | Skin assessments on last day: | | |
| | | | | | Safety No measures | Massage group improved in focal area redness (p=0.001), lichenification (p=0.05), scaling (p=0.05)), pruritus (p=0.05), excoriation (p=0.1) & global area scaling and excoriation (p=0.05) | | |
| | | | | | | Control group No differences except in focal & global area scaling (p=0.05) | | |
| Sokel B; Christie D; Kent A; Lansdown | Study type: RCT unblinded | n= 45 enrolled complete data | Children (5-15 years) with atopic | Intervention: | Follow up period: 20 weeks | Effectiveness | 20 weeks after entry to the trial the children in the two | Methodologically poor, small study, lack of blinding, relatively |
| R; Atherton D; 1993 ⁴⁸⁴ | study Evidence level: 1- | for 31 children Biofeedback group (n=9) | eczema (5-14.7 years) Mean age: 8.9 | Biofeedback group (relaxation which did not | Outcome measures: | There were no significant differences in severity of erythema across groups or time. | relaxation groups showed a significant reduction in the severity of surface damage and lichenification compared | short Possible post-hoc analysis of final data. |
| | | Hypnotherapy : (n=12) | years | include imagery) | Effectiveness | unie. | with the control group. | No safety data reported |
| | | Discussion group (n=10) All children were stabilised on topical and oral treatment in a 2 week run in period | | Hypnotherapy group (relaxation that focused specifically on reducing itching) | Mean severity score (0- 3) and percentage coverage for erythema, surface damage and lichenification at 0, 8 and 20 weeks, determined by a | With severity of surface damage there was a significant interaction between intervention groups (pooled) vs. discussion and time p=0.046) | | |
| | | period | | Comparison: | dermatologist blinded to treatments | Severity of lichenification was significantly improved | | |
| | | | | Discussion group (attention | Safety | between intervention groups (pooled) and discussion group at visit 3 | | |
| | | | | placebo) | No measures | (20 weeks) (p=0.02) | | |
| | | | | All children received four 30 minute sessions with a psychologist 2,3,5 and 8 weeks after enrolment | | There was no significant difference in the percentage of body area covered for erythema, lichenification or surface damage at any time point. | | |
| Derrick EK; Karle H; | Study type: | n=11 | Children with | Intervention | Follow up period : | Median improvement in | Some benefit from the self | Methodologically poor: |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| Darley:CR; 1994 ⁴⁸⁵ | | | established atopic eczema severe enough to require regular TCS (age range 5-12 years) Uk dermatology unit | After initial control period, taught self-hypnosis by a guided imagery technique | 18 weeks Outcome measures: Effectiveness | total eczema score between visit 1 and 6 was 2.6 (p=0.139) and between visit 3 and 6 (period of self hypnosis) 1.75 (p=0.169) | hypnosis technique on the children's eczema was observed although this did not reach statistical significance | uncontrolled and small numbers |
| | | | | Comparison None Children were treated in a standard way with emollients and TCS throughout study | eczema score of a maximum of 18: dryness, lichenification, crusting, erythema, excoriations and extent scored 0-3 at 6 visits | Only 2 patients maintained home diaries | | |
| | | | | | patient diary Safety No measures | | | |
| Stewart AC; Thomas SE; 1995 ⁴⁸⁶ | Study type: Uncontrolled case series Evidence level 3 | n=20 children and 1 adult | Children with severe refractory atopic eczema (age range 2-15 years) | Intervention Individualised tape of Magic Music | Follow-up period: 18 months Outcome measures: | Pictorial data only were shown on severity of eczema. Marked improvement was reported. | These preliminary results indicate that a larger study with controls and more detailed pre-treatment assessment of the children's | Methodologically poor: uncontrolled and small numbers |
| | | | UK dermatology unit | incorporating elements of relaxation, stress management ego strengthening, skin comfort and post hypnotic suggestions to use nightly | Effectiveness Assessments of eczema were made at 3 consecutive clinic appointments using the scale of mild, moderate or considerable | Of the 12 responses to the questionnaire, 10 children had maintained improvement in itching, scratching, sleep disturbance and 7 with regard to improvements in mood. | eczema would be useful in evaluating the benefit of hypnotherapy in atopic eczema | |
| | | | | Comparison None | Questionnaire at 18 months asked about any change in itching, scratching, sleep disturbance and mood. Unaltered, improved or worsened (a little or a lot) | | | |
| | | | | | Safety | | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| | | | | | No measures | | | |
| Anderson C; Lis Balchin M, Kirk- Smith M; | Study type: RCT Unblinded study | n=16 n=8 for | 16 children with atopic eczema born to middle class | Intervention: | Follow up period 8 weeks | Effectiveness | A significant improvement in the eczema in the two | Small, unblinded trial, with various interventions i.e. choice of essential oils used Plus potential long-term adverse events |
| 2000 ⁴⁸⁸ | Evidence level:- 1- | aromatherapy massage n=8 massage | mothers Age range 3-7 | Massage with essential oils plus counselling from | Outcome measures: | Significant improvement of eczema but no differences between groups in both | groups of children following therapy, but there was no significant difference in | |
| | | alone | years Mean age not | a therapist weekly plus daily treatment from | Effectiveness | groups. | improvement shown between the aromatherapy massage and massage only | |
| | mother. Choice of Daily day time irritation Pre-during data 36 oils of which scores & night time Daytime irritation score | • | group. Thus there is evidence that tactile contact between mother and child | | | | | |
| | | | | the most popular were sweet marjoram, | disturbance scores (both 0-10) before and after treatment | Aroma: 4.7 ±1.6, 2.13 ± 0.45 p=<0.02 | benefits the symptoms of atopic eczema but that | |
| | | | frankincense, German | assessed by mother | Massage: 5.70±2.39, 4.70±2.88 p=0.002 Nighttime irritation score | adding essential oils is no more beneficial than massage alone. | | |
| | | | | chamomile, myrrh, thyme, | General improvement | Aroma: | massage dione. | |
| | | | | benzoin, spike lavender and | in, spike ler and cubeda scores (0-10) after 2 weeks by GP, therapist, and mother | 2.33±0.72,0.94±0.1 p=0.002 | | |
| | | | | Libea cubeda diluted in almond | | Massage: 2.06±0.52,1.14±0.26 | | |
| | | | | carrier oil. | Differences from baseline to end of study | P=0.002 | | |
| | | | | Comparison: Same treatment | (child acting as on control) | General improvement score by GP, therapist, and mother | | |
| | | | | with almond | Plus inter group differences. | Aroma | | |
| | | | | carrier oil only | unierences. | 2.8±0.65, 3.9±0.67, | | |
| | | | | | Safety | 5.4±0.62 | | |
| | | | | | No measures | Massage | | |
| | | | | | THE INCUCATION | 3.0±0.6, 4.0±0.91, 6.3±0.59 | | |
| | | | | | Safety | | | |
| | | | | | | No safety issues experienced during trial but further treatments with essential oil massage showed deterioration in the | | |
| | | | | | | eczematous condition after two further 8-week periods of therapy. This may have | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|-------------------------------|
| | | | | | | been due to a decline in the novelty of the treatment, or, it strongly suggests possible allergic contact dermatitis by the essential oils. | | |
| Al-Waili NS: 2003 489 | Study Type: Controlled single-blind study Evidence level 2- | n=21 Group 1: n=10 who were having no treatment at start of study Group 2: n=11 who were undercurrent treatment with TCS United Arab Emirates dermatology unit | 21 children with moderate to severe eczema (Aged 5-16 years) | Intervention Group 1: Lesions on right side of body treated with Vaseline, left side with honey, beewax and olive oil mixture (1:1:1) three times daily for 2 weeks If no response recorded as failure. If response to honey mixture, Vaseline was replaced by honey mixture for up to 6 weeks Group 2: Lesions on right side of body treated with Vaseline and 0.1% betamethasone esters (v/v1:1), left side with mixture A for 2 weeks If response to Vaseline, treatment was continued and patients were removed from | Follow up period: 6 weeks Outcome measures: Effectiveness Body lesions assessed by study author for erythema, scaling, lichenification, excoriation, induration/papulation, oozing/crusting and for the reported intensity of pruritis. Severity on a 0-4 scale (none, mild, moderate, severe very severe) at each visit Safety No measures | Main assessment was at 2 weeks Group1: 8/10 children showed improvement with honey mixture Mean score 6.7±5.3. Significantly improved from baseline line and Vaseline treatment (p<0.05). All children treated with honey mix for next 4 weeks and continued 0i improve significantly from baseline (p<0.0001) Group2: 5/11 patients showed no deterioration upon 75% reduction of TCS with the use of mixture C. Mean scores at 0 & 6 weeks were comparable | The honey mixture may be useful adjunct in the management of atopic eczema although there is no clear rationale behind treatments. | Complex and low quality study |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|--|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | | study. If no response to Vaseline mix,, treatment was replaced with mixture A. If response to mixture A, Mixture B was used for next 2 weeks, if response again, mixture C was used for last 2 weeks (total 6 weeks) | | | | |
| | | | | Mixture A: honey mixture with TCS ointment (v/v 1:1) | | | | |
| | | | | Mixture B: honey with TCS (v/v2:1) | | | | |
| | | | | Mixture C: honey mix with TCS (v/v 3:1) | | | | |
| | | | | Type of TCS as patients prescription prior to study | | | | |
| Kalus U; Pruss A; Bystron J; Jurecka | Study type: | a) RCT n=63 atopic | a) 9 children with atopic eczema, (no | Intervention One black seed | Follow up: 8 weeks | Clinical improvement occurred in 2/6 patients the | Black seed oil may be beneficial adjuvant | Despite being a RCT, numbers were low and clinical outcomes |
| M; Smekalova A; Lichius J; Kieswetter H; | Clinical paper reporting 4 studies of which | patients of which n=9 had atopic eczema | detail on status of eczema) (Aged 6- 17 years) | (<i>Nigella sativa</i>) oil capsule three times daily for 8 | Outcome measures | drug compared to 1/3 patients in the placebo group | treatment to the treatment of atopic eczema but the numbers of children tested | inadequate Uncontrolled study and adverse |
| 2003 490 | 2 were relevant | n=6 treatment n=3 placebo | b) 6 children with atopic eczema, no | weeks Comparison | Effectiveness | No other clinical data | and paucity of outcome data make it impossible to be definitive | events |
| | a) 1RCT evidence level 1- | b) uncontrolled study | detail on status of eczema) (Aged 6- 17 years | One placebo oil capsule three times daily for 8 | Subjective feeling of improvement | IgE levels and eosinophils count were unchanged | Black seed oil may be beneficial adjuvant | |
| | b) Uncontrolled study evidence level 2- | n=49 of atopic patients of which n=6 with atopic eczema | | weeks Treatment was | Biochemical tests | One child of the 63 reported gastrointestional problems | treatment to the treatment of atopic eczema but the numbers of children tested and paucity of outcome data | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| | | | | started at first sign of symptoms | Safety | 3/6 children improved, 2/6 | make it impossible to be definitive | |
| | | | | No details of | Self reporting | remained unchanged, 1/6 had deterioration | | |
| | | | | other treatments | Follow up: 6-8 weeks | No other clinical data | | |
| | | | | Intervention 2 capsules of | Outcome measure: | Gastrointestinal complaints | | |
| | | | | black seed oil three times daily | Effectiveness | occurred in 9/49 children taking capsules on an | | |
| | | | | for 6-8 weeks | Subjective feeling of improvement | empty stomach. The dose of 80mg/kg body weight too | | |
| | | | | Comparison | Safety | high | | |
| | | | | None | Self reporting | | | |
| Berth-Jones; Graham-Brown; | Study Type: RCT | n=133 Two age groups | 62 children with atopic eczema | Intervention: | Follow up period 16 weeks | No separate analysis for adult and children's data. | The study found no effect of essential fatty acid | Good quality RCT No individual reporting of |
| 1993 65 | Double-blind, placebo controlled, | lacebo 60 years ontrolled, | 60 years Co-treatments included weak | 6 capsules each containing 500mg of EPO | Outcome measures: | Authors state separate analysis gave results similar to the overall analysis. | supplementation in atopic eczema. | children's data |
| | parallel group study | Under 12 years | topical steroids, emollients | Or | Effectiveness | Effectiveness: | | |
| | Evidence level:1+ | Placebo n=20 | | | SASSAD score: Body | At 16 weeks, there was no | | |
| | 10701.1 | EPO n=21 | | 6 capsules each | divided into 10 zones, | statistically significant | | |
| | | Fish oil n=21 | | containing 107mg of fish oil | each scored 0 (absent) to 3 (severe) for erythema, excoriation, | improvement in the Leicester scores with either | | |
| | | n=27 of adults & children had defaulted or | | Comparison: | dryness, cracking and lichenification | active treatment different from placebo (p=0.74, p=0.26 respectively) Mean changes in individual | | |
| | | been withdrawn by end of study | | 6 capsules of placebo (olive) oil | Percentage of skin affected | components of the SASSAD score showed no differences between active | | |
| | | | | Administered twice daily. Capsules were cut open if | Topical steroid requirement | treatments and placebo except for in favour of placebo over fish oil in erythema (p=0.04) and cracking (p=0.05). | | |
| | | | | necessary to administer to children | Patient diaries with visual analogue scales for itch, dryness, scaling, redness and overall impression for 24 weeks | Mean percentage of skin surface affected fell by 3.26% (4.49%; 33) with EPO and 0.11% (4.56%; 35) on fish oil and rose by 3.62%(3.52%; 34) with | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| | | | | | Safety No measures | placebo. There were no statistically significant differences. | | |
| | | | | | | There was a reduction in steroid requirement in all three groups with the largest reduction in the placebo group. | | |
| | | | | | | The greatest mean overall reduction in visual analogue scales was seen in the placebo group | | |
| | | | | | | No significant differences in response to treatment between 'allergic' and 'non-allergic' children thus data were pooled. | | |
| Biagi PL; Bordoni A; Hrelia S; Celadon M; Ricci GP; Cannella V, Patrizi A; Specchia F; Masi M; 1994 ⁴⁹² | Study Type: RCT Double blind, placebo- controlled study Evidence level: 1+ | n= 51 mean age 4.2 years Range 2.2 to 8.5 years Children were divided into 2 groups: a) non- allergic (normal Ig E, negative RAST & PRIST test) N=25 b) allergic (raised >100iU/ml IgE, Positive RAT & PRIST test) n=23 3 children failed to attend follow up. Children in Group a) & b) were randomised to one of the three | Children with a diagnosis of atopic eczema according to the method of Hanjfin & Rajka Co-treatments included weak steroids, emollients | Intervention: High dose evening EPO (0.5g/kg/day) Or Low dose EPO 50% mix 0.5g/kg/day + placebo capsules Comparison: Placebo olive oil=10mg Vitamin E | Follow up period: 8 weeks Outcome measures: Effectiveness: Rating scale (0-3) where 0= absent, 3=severe on 10 clinical features: erythema, scaling, crusting, oedema, vesiculation, evidence of infection, lichenification, pigmentation, papules & excoriation. Pruritus was assessed separately on a 0-3 scale All children were assessed for their allergic status using IgE, RAST, PRIST tests | Effectiveness: Clinical assessment scores at baseline and end of treatment showed there was a trend towards improvement in the low dose group which approached significance (p=0.077) and a significant improvement in the high dose group compared with placebo (p=0.046). For prutitus there was a trend towards improvement in both EPO compared with placebo but it did not reach statistical significance. | The overall severity of atopic eczema improved significantly on a high dose of evening primrose oil compared with placebo, independent of whether the children had manifestations of IgE-mediated allergy. | Good quality trial but clinical features were analysed as a whole. Individual analysis would have been of more use. |
| | | one of the three groups n=16 in | | | Safety: No measures | | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| Bordoni A; Biagi PI; Masi M; Ricci G; Fanelli C; Patrizi A; Ceccolini E; | Study type; RCT Double blind placebo controlled study. Evidence level: 1+ | n=24 Children aged 2-4 years. EPO n=12 Placebo n=12 | Children with a diagnosis of atopic eczema according to doctor Co-treatments included mild topical steroids, emollients, oral antihistamines. | Intervention: EPO, six 0.5g capsules daily Comparison: Six capsules of olive oil (placebo). | Follow up =4 weeks Outcome measures: Effectiveness Clinical evaluation by rating scale for erythema, oedema, vesiculation, crusting, excoriation, scaling, lichenification, pruritus, loss of sleep on a 0-3 scale with 0= absent 3= severe from which a total eczema score. 4 groups of clinical change: improved <10 points or more Moderately improved | Effectiveness: After 4 weeks symptoms of children treated with EPO significantly improved (P<0.01). Placebo-treated children clinical status remained largely unchanged EPO group 4 children improved, 4 moderately improved, 3 unchanged, 1 worse. Placebo group 0 children improved, 1 moderately improved, 1 unchanged, 1 worse. | Evening primrose oil substantially improved the clinical symptoms of atopic eczema in two thirds of the treated children after 4 weeks. | Small study but clinical features were analysed as a whole. Individual analysis would have been of more use. Improvement was from baseline. |
| | | | | | <5-10 points Unchanged < or > of 4 points Worse increase of >5 points | | | |
| | | | | | Safety No measures | | | |
| Takwale A; Tan E; Agarwal S; Barclay G; Ahmed I; Hotchkiss K; Thompson JR; Chapman T; Berth- Jones J; | Study type; RCT Double blind placebo controlled study. Evidence level: 1+ | n=140 including 69 children n= 40 borage oil n=29 placebo | Children over the age of 2 years with atopic eczema | Intervention: Borage oil Two 500mg capsules twice daily (460mg of y linoleic acid) | Follow up period: 12 weeks Outcome measures: Effectiveness | Adult and children data were analysed together. Authors state that subset analysis of adults and children yielded no suggestion of any differences in results from | Gamma linolenic acid is not beneficial in atopic eczema | Good quality RCT No individual reporting of children's data |
| 2003 493 | 1† | children completing trial was not reported but 16 participants | | Comparison: | SASSAD Score Visual analogue scale for severity of itching, | the combined data Effectiveness: SASSAD & symptom | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|---------------|--|
| | | withdrew during trial | | Olive oil same regimen | sleep, disturbance and irritability | scores fell (improved) in both groups with a marginally greater improvement in the placebo | | |
| | | | | | Children/parents overall assessment of response to treatment | group | | |
| | | | | | (5 point scale) & overall | No statistically significant | | |
| | | | | | tolerability of treatment | differences in overall | | |
| | | | | | (4 point scale) | assessments of response or tolerability between the | | |
| | | | | | Need for topical corticosteroid (five point | groups. | | |
| | | | | | scale) | No differences in topical | | |
| | | | | | | steroid use between the | | |
| | | | | | Safety | groups (no statistics used) | | |
| | | | | | Monitoring of adverse events by non-leading | Safety: | | |
| | | | | | questions at each visit. | Adverse event profile was similar in both groups. | | |
| | | | | | Children were assessed at 2,4,8 & 12 weeks | Adult & children's data reported combined | | |
| | | | | | at 2,-1,0 a 12 wooks | Adverse events reported were: | | |
| | | | | | | Upper respiratory tract | | |
| | | | | | | infection; diarrhoea; nausea & vomiting; | | |
| | | | | | | abdominal pain; asthma; | | |
| | | | | | | allergic rhinitis; urticaria; | | |
| | | | | | | new rash; muscular | | |
| | | | | | | skeletal pains; skin sepsis; glandular fever headache | | |
| Perharic L; Shaw D; | Study Type: Case report | n=9 | Of the nine adult cases, n=4 were | Four cases of atopic eczema | Case1: fatal outcome | | | Suggested causative agents: licorice and skullcap (Scutellaria |
| 1992 | тероп | | being treated for | patients after 3 | Case 2: liver function returned to normal | | | spp) |
| 477 | Evidence level: 3 | | atopic eczema , n=4 psoriasis and | weeks to 10 months treatment | within 3 months of stopping CHM. | | | |
| | | | n=1 ichtyosiform erythroderma | with CHM showed clinical symptoms of : | Case 3: liver function returned to normal | | | |
| | | | | Case 1: unwell, | within 5 weeks. | | | |
| | | | | jaundice, fulminant liver failure. | Case 4: Liver enzyme levels were checked. | | | |
| | | | | Case 2: | | | | |

Atopic eczema in children

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|--|---|--------------------|---|---|---|-------------|---------------|--|
| | | | | shivering fatigue, general ill health. | | | | |
| | | | | Case 3: flu like illness, ear infection | | | | |
| | | | | Case 4: none | | | | |
| Lord GM; Tagore R; 1999 ⁴⁷⁹ | Study type: Case report Evidence level =3 | n=2 | Case 1: 49 year old white woman with a history of atopic eczema Case 2: 57 year old woman with with 'chronic eczema.' | Case 1: presented at GP with headaches and hypertension. Only medication was a Chinese herbal remedy taken for past 2 years. Case 2: Admitted to hospital with | Case 1: Creatinine levels 662µmol/L and urea 35.7mmol/L 'Substantial proteinuria' Echogenic kidneys which progressed rapidly to end stage renal failure followed by renal transplant. Case 2: creatinine | | | The causative agents of these case reports was thought to be aristolochic acid (nephrotoxin). It was found in both Chinese herbal preparations |
| | | | | end stage renal failure History of anorexia, lethargy and nausea. Had been taking 'Chinese herbal tea' for 6 years. | 841µmol/L, urea 20.6 mmol/L Ultrasound revealed reduced renal cortical thickness. Haemodialysis as started and patient placed on the transplant list. | | | |

Behavioural therapies

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| Hampel P; Rudolph H; Petermann F; Stachow R; | Study Type: Cohort | n= 60 in total n= 44 at follow | Children with a mean age of 12.64 years (n=44) | Intervention: Cognitive-behavioral based stress | Follow-up period: 6 months | Immediately after treatment (1 month) both groups showed a significant | Study is EL= 2- as it is a non-randomised controlled study, with large dropout at 6 months. Post hoc analysis. Language, quality of write up and statistical presentation |
| 2001 | Evidence level: 2- | up assessment of which n=25 | diagnosed with atopic eczema (mean SCORAD | management training 10 one hour training sessions | Outcome Measures: SCORAD index for severity of disease | reduction in disease severity (p<0.001) regarding the SCORAD index. | difficult to interpret. The funding of the study is unknown |
| 496 | | for the experimental group, n=19 for the control group | 37.9 SD 15.54 (n=60)) | 37.9 SD 15.54 | German Coping questionnaire (Stressverarbeitungsfrag ebogen SVF-KJ) At 6 beha man impr | At 6 months, the cognitive- behavioural stress management training led to | The fulfulling of the study is unknown |
| | | | | 6 sessions of 'anti- stress training' | | improvements in subjective health status (post hoc analysis) and the ability to | |
| | | | | Comparison: | performed at time 0,1month, 6 months | cope with common stressors | |
| | | | | Standard patient education program | | | |
| | | | | 6 sessions | | | |

Education and adherence to therapy

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| Staab D;Diepgen TL;Fartasch | Study Type: RCT | 992 randomised (823 analysed) | Children with atopic eczema aged | Intervention: 2- hour group | Follow-up period: 1 year | Between group difference in total SCORAD scores (95% CI) | Funding: German Federal Ministry of Health and Social Services. |
| M;Kupfer J;Lob- Corzilius T;Ring J;Scheewe S;Scheidt R;Schmid- | Evidence level: 1- | Education group, n=446 | 3months-7 years (n=274) and 8-12 years (n=102), adolescents with | sessions of standardised education programmes for | Outcome Measures: 1) Severity of eczema (SCORAD) | Age 3 months to 7 years, -5.2 (-8.2 to -2.2), p=0.0002 Age 8-12 years, -8.2 (-13.6 to -2.8), p=0.003 | The study was open-label. |
| Ott G;Schnopp C;Szczepanski R;Werfel T;Wittenmeier | | Control group, n=377 | atopic eczema aged 13-18yrs (n=70), and controls (n=244, n=83, and n=50 | atopic eczema once weekly for 6 weeks. The programme was | 2) Subjective severity (Skin Detectives questionnaire). | Between group differences in subjective severity scores (95% CI) Age 3 months to 7 years, -1.1 (-1.9 to -0.3), | [EL=1-] because 17% were lost to follow-up and were not included in the analysis of results (10% from intervention group, 24% from control |
| M;Wahn U;Gieler U; 2006 Apr 22 | | | respectively). Mean SCORAD score at baseline was 42-43 (objective SCORAD | tailored to age groups (3months - 7 years, 8-12 years, 13-18 | 3) Quality of life for parents of affected children aged less than 13yrs* | p<0.001 Age 9-12 years, -2.1 (-3.4 to -0.8) | group). *QOL measured using 'the German |
| 498 | | | ~33-34). | Education covered medical, nutritional, and psychological issues and were carried out by a | 4) Itch questionnaires, in children 8-12 years (measuring itch and behaviour using JUCKKI and JUCKJU) | 3) Parents of affected children aged less than 7 yearrs experienced significantly better improvement in all five quality of life subscales, whereas parents of children aged 8-12 yrs experienced significantly better improvement in 3 of 5 quality of life subscales (confidence in treatment, emotional coping, acceptance of disease) | questionnaire "quality of life in parents of children with atopic dermatitis". This scale consists of 26 items divided into 5 subscales; psychosomatic well being, effects on social life, confidence in medical treatment, emotional coping and acceptance of the disease. |
| | | | | multiprofessional team of dermatologists or paediatricians, psychologists, and dieticians who had undergone a 40-hour training programme. | | 4) Improvement in the itching behaviour of children who received education vs those who did not for subscales 'catastrophisation' (negative thoughts of pain that have got out of control): 0.7, 95% CI –8.9 to –5.1 versus – 1.8, 95% CI –3.5 to –0.2: p< 0.0001, and coping 1.0, 95% CI –0.3 to 2.3 versus –0.4, 95% CI –1.6 to 0.8: p<0.05. No further details | |
| | | | | Comparison: No education | | | |
| Broberg A;Kalimo K;Lindblad | Study Type: RCT | 50 randomised, 42 analysed | Girls (n=24) and boys (n=26) | Intervention: Routine | Follow-up period: 4 months | % reduction in eczema score (nurse education vs control) | Funding: none declared. |

| 'routine' varying severity, spent with a erythema, lichenification, secordation, wesiculation, excordation, papules and dryness were and Rajka. | techniques, no sample size calculation and information on statistical analysis inadequate. |
|---|---|
| treatment and practical training 1-mild 2. Children in controlling AE 2-moderate used significations. | 9%, p=NS session covering general information about AE, environmental control, topical treatments (type and how to use), practical advice to aid selfmanagement, importance of maintenance therapy, expectations. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | | not stated) | | |
| Staab D;von RU;Kehrt R;Erhart | Study Type: RCT | 204 (145 followed to 1 | Children aged between 5 months-12 | Intervention: Inter-disciplinary, | Follow-up period: 1 year | 1) mean decrease in severity score (SCORAD) -20 points VS -16 points (p=0.43) | |
| M;Wenninger K;Kamtsiuris P;Wahn U; | Evidence level: | year) | yrs with moderate- severe AD for at least 4 months, and diagnosis confirmed by a physician | structured educational program which covered medical, nutritional, and | Outcome Measures: 1) Severity of eczema (SCORAD) | 2) 82% vs 67% still used regular skin care (p=0.041) | |
| 2002 Apr | | | according to the (SCORAD score>20 | psychological issues in 6 group | 2) Treatment habits | 65% vs 38% used topical corticosteroids, p=0.001. | |
| 101 | | | points). Diagnosis made according to the | sessions of 2 hours each | 3) Quality of life | | |
| | | | clinical criteria of [Hanifin and Rajka] | Comparison: No intervention | 4) Treatment costs | 30% vs 0% reduction in % seeking 'unconventional' (alternative) help for their condition | |
| | | | | (delayed intervention - would participate | | 67% vs 40% maintained dietary restrictions | |
| | | | | in the training programme 1 year later | | 22% vs 8% had removed a pet from their household because of atopic eczema p= 0.019 | |
| | | | | | | 3) In the disease specific health related QoL questionnaire there was a trend towards a greater increase in the education group regarding confidence in medical treatment as compared to the control group (p =0.016) | |
| | | | | | | 4) Treatment costs- after a yr, cost reduction was also seen to to have decreased more in the intervention group compared with the control.[119 versus 65; p= 0.043] | |
| Grillo M, Gassner L, | Study Type: | 61 | Children aged 0-16 | Intervention: A 2- | Follow-up period: 12 weeks | 1) Change in score -54% education vs -16% | Funding: partially by Flinders |
| Marshman G et al | RCT | | years diagnosed with | hour workshop | | control, p<0.005 | Medical Center Volunteer Study |
| 2006 | Evidence level: | Educational intervention, n=32 | atopic eczema; 35 boys, 26 girls, mean age 4.3 years (range 4 | together with their usual management | Outcome Measures: 1) Severity (SCORAD) | 2) Change in score -33% vs -27%, p=NS | Award Three children lost to follow-up but |
| 500 | 17 | Control, n=29 | months to 13 years). 70.5% reported more | regimen. Education covered: | 2) DFI | 3) Change in score -78% vs 27%, p=0.0004 | their data were included in the analysis of results. |
| | | Exclusions: | than three flares per month. 34% used one topical corticosteroid, | understanding the condition, | 3) CDLQI | 4) Change in score -37% vs -38%, p=NS | |
| | | severe eczema requiring | 26% used two different topical corticosteroids (one | trigger factors, investigations, basic skin care, | 4) IDQOL | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | | treatment with systemic immunosuppres sants. | for face and one for body). 27.9% preferred not to use topical corticosteroids even when the eczema was moderate to severe. Basline SCORAD score 50.97 education group vs 47.73 control; DFI 11.09 vs 10.86; CDLQI 8.1 vs 9.69; IDQOL 11 vs 8.63 | topical corticosteroid therapy, infection, wet wraps, additional treatments, complementary therapies. The included a practical session on wet wrapping and cream application. Time for questions and for sharing ideas and experiences was provided. | | | |
| | | | | Comparison: Usual management | | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| Ricci G;Bendandi B;Aiazzi R;Patrizi A;Masi M; | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: Six 2- hour group sessions, conducted at weekly intervals covering medical, psychological and behavioural issues of atopic eczema, which were | Families of 17 children with atopic eczema | Families of 17 children with atopic dermatitis(AD), [16 Caucasians and 1 Afro-caucasian], mean age 18 months (range 5-48 months). | Satisfaction (questionnaire), completed by 14 families | 79% of the families thought the programme was satisfactory Their attitudes towards the disease was reported as 'tranquil' in 79%. Improvements in relations with the | Funding: none declared Aim of the study was to inform families of children with AD about the natural courses of the disease, to improve their management of AD and to offer them the opportunity of a more open and wide medical dialogue. |
| 88 | | epidemiology, diagnostic tests, nutritional aspects, development of inhalant allergy, prevention, treatment of symptoms. | | | | child were reported in 11 families, and in communication with partner in 50%. Less frequent itching was in child was reported in 4 families (30%) and 43% benefited from a more stable sleeping-waking rhythm. | |
| | | Comparison: N/A | | | | | |
| Cork MJ;Britton J;Butler L;Young S;Murphy R;Keohane SG; | Study Type: Other Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: A 30 min conversation with a dermatologist and specialist dermatologist nurse that involved listening | 51 | 51 children (new patients) with atopic eczema referred to one dermatologist because they had uncontrollable | Control/ improvement of the eczem using the SASSAD score | The mean SASSAD score fell from 42.9 to 4.6. 2) Parent assessment of eczema severity fell: | Funding: no external funding received. This was not a RCT and the study design can not show a direct link between education and adherence to treatment. |
| 2003 Sep 504 | | and explaining the nature of atopic eczema . -Followed by a full skin examination according (done according to the guidelines for treatment of atopic eczema according to the British association of dermatologists) - diagrammatic explanations to patients on the causes of eczema and how it's treatment exert their effect (emollients, wet wraps and topical steroids) - Problem of nonspecific irritation to | | Mean age 4 year 4 months, range 2 weeks to 14 years. Mean SASSAD score 42.9. The interval between the intervention and follow up final visit varied for each child; the average interval was not reported. | 2) Parent's assessment of child's itching, sleeping and and irritability (using a 10-cm visual analogue scale) 3) Use of emollients 4) Use of topical corticosteroids 5) Use of wet wraps | Itching from a mean 5.6 to 0.4 Irritability from 5.3 to 0.3. 3) The total quantity of emollient used increased form 150g weekly to 581g. At the second and subsequent visits 95% of the children were being treated with 3 types of emollients cream/ointment, bath oil substitute. The proportion of children whose eczema was controlled (SASSAD<5) with emollients alone rose from 0 at visit one to 12% at visit 2 and 22% at visit 3. A Spearman's test showed a significant reduction in SASSAD with increasing quantity of emollient. | The education programme consisted of: First visit – seen by dermatologist and specialist nurse for at least 40 minutes, 30 minutes spent listening and explaining the nature of eczema. Full skin examination. Nurse demonstrated use of prescribed products and gave written instructions. Contact details for clinic given in event of emergency. Follow-up visit at 3 weeks, when questionnaire repeated, and third visit after 6-8 weeks. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---------------------|---|--|
| | | any topical product when eczema is severe | | | | At over four visits there was a decrease in the use of very potent, potent and moderate steroids and | |
| | | -Explanation of the role of selected emoillents, steroids and dressings | | | | the use of mild steroids. 5) The use of wet wraps intermittently was seen to increase | |
| | | - targets for the estimated length of time a tube or tub of the emollients and steroids should last | | | | from 7.8% to 33% by visit 4. | |
| | | _ demonstration on how to apply each product by a the nurse and repeat of how long each one should last. | | | | | |
| | | -Advice to help make the treatment more acceptable to children suchas warming of emollients cream/ointment in a sink of warm water prior to application. | | | | | |
| | | -written instructions gi | | | | | |
| Charman CR;Morris AD;Williams | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Survey about concerns over steroid treatment | 142 parents of children (aged < 16) 58 adults (aged > 16) | Children and adults with atopic eczema. Mean age 13 years, | | 104/142 (73.2%) of parents (of children <16 years old) were worried about using steroid creams | Funding: Author funded by a Health Services Research Training Fellowship from Trent NHS Executive. |
| HC; | Evidence Level: | Comparison: | oo addiio (agoa > 10) | (median age 5.4 years, range 4 months to 67.8 years) | | and ointments on their child's skin | Views only the study can not show a direct link between views and adherence |
| 2000 May 503 | | | | , | | 35/104 (36.5%) of the parents who had worries about steroid creams, the worries stopped them from using the steroids prescribed by a doctor. | |
| | | | | | | Patients age, gender, duration of eczema or outpatients status (new or follow up) had no effect on whether they worried about using topical corticosteroids or if the worries stopped the used of the | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | topical corticosteroids. | |
| | | | | | | The reasons given for fears about using topical corticosteroids (adults and children): skin thinning (34.5%), non-specific tong-term effects (24%), absorption/effects on growth and development (9.5), ageing/wrinkling (3.5%), changes in skin colour (3%), makes eczema worse (3%), may become immune to effect (3%), may become dependent (2.5%), scarring (2%), stretch marks (1%), pain/stinging (1%), reduced immunity to infections (0.5%), cataracts (0.5%), cancer (0.5%), sunburn (0.5%), bruising (0.5%), increased body hair (0.5%). | |
| Fischer G; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Attitude | 109 | Parents of children answered a Attitude | | 'Cortisone creams are dangerous' | Funding: none declared. |
| | Other | survey asking: | | survey. Children were | | 40% yes | These are just the view of one group of parents one areas of Australia in 1995, it |
| 1996 May | Evidence Level: | 'Cortisone creams are | | aged 1 month to 10 | | 20% no | may not reflect the view of parents now in the |
| | 3 | dangerous' | | years with atopic | | 40% don't know | UK. |
| 502 | v | 'Cortisone creams should only by used for severe eczema' | | eczema presenting as new patients at an outpatient's clinic. | | 'Cortisone creams should only by used for severe eczema' | Views only the study can not show a direct link between views and adherence |
| | | 'Cortisone creams are | | | | 57% Yes | |
| | | too dangerous to use | | | | 14% No | |
| | | on my child' | | | | 29% Don't know | |
| | | 'Have you been told that cortisone creams are dangerous?' By whom | | | | 'Cortisone creams are too dangerous to use on my child' 20% Yes | |
| | | 'I would prefer to use natural therapy' | | | | 47% No | |
| | | 'I think my child's | | | | 33% Don't know | |
| | | problem is due to allergy' | | | | 'Have you been told that cortisone | |
| | | 'Do any treatments sting or itch?' Which? | | | | creams are dangerous?' 64% Yes | |
| | | 'My child is unco- | | | | 36% No | |
| | | operative with treatment' | | | | By whom | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|---------------------|---|------------------|
| | | 'Treatment is too time | | | | 33% Friends | |
| | | consuming' | | | | 28% Family | |
| | | 'Treatment failed | | | | 22% GP | |
| | | because the condition returned after it was | | | | 10% Pharmacist | |
| | | stopped' | | | | 4% Dermatologist | |
| | | 'Treatment is too | | | | | |
| | | expensive' | | | | 'I would prefer to use natural therapy' | |
| | | 'I spend per month on treatment' | | | | 46% Yes | |
| | | uoumont | | | | 17% No | |
| | | Comparison: | | | | 37% Don't know | |
| | | Companson. | | | | 37 % DOIT (KIIOW | |
| | | | | | | 'I think my child's problem is due to | |
| | | | | | | allergy' | |
| | | | | | | 34% Yes | |
| | | | | | | 27% No | |
| | | | | | | 39% Don't know | |
| | | | | | | 'Do any treatments sting or itch?' | |
| | | | | | | 64% Yes | |
| | | | | | | 36% No | |
| | | | | | | Which? | |
| | | | | | | 75% Sorbolene | |
| | | | | | | 5% Pinetarsol | |
| | | | | | | 5% Bath oil | |
| | | | | | | 10% Cortisone cream | |
| | | | | | | 'My child is unco-operative with | |
| | | | | | | treatment' | |
| | | | | | | 34% never | |
| | | | | | | 49% sometimes | |
| | | | | | | 15% always | |
| | | | | | | 2% only on the face | |
| | | | | | | 'Treatment is too time consuming' | |
| | | | | | | 48% never | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 13% rarely | |
| | | | | | | 32% sometimes | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------|---|--|
| - | | | | | | 7% always | |
| | | | | | | 'Treatment failed because the condition returned after it was stopped' | |
| | | | | | | 54% Yes | |
| | | | | | | 46% No | |
| | | | | | | 'Treatment is too expensive' | |
| | | | | | | 54% Yes 25% No | |
| | | | | | | 25% NO 21% Don't know | |
| | | | | | | 21% DOILL KNOW | |
| | | | | | | 'I spend per month on treatment' | |
| | | | | | | 35% <\$10 | |
| | | | | | | 24% \$11-20 | |
| | | | | | | 16% \$21-30 | |
| | | | | | | 25% >\$30 | |
| Ohya Y;Williams | Study Type: Other | Intervention: A cross- | 205 | Mothers of children | | Adherence measures | Funding: Educational grant from Pfizer Health Research Foundation. |
| H;Steptoe A;Saito H;likura | Other | sectional survey of mothers of children | | with atopic eczema. Children aged 0 to 19 | | Removal of carpets: not eliminated by 17% | Study was carried out in Japan, unknown if |
| Y;Anderson | Evidence Level: | with atopic eczema | | years (mean 6.9 +/- | | Cleaning rooms every day: No 21% | an UK population would be the same or |
| R;Akasawa A; | 3 | asked about the adherence to different components of atopic | | 4.9 years) | | Using antimite bedding for child: No 24%, partially 18% | similar. |
| 2001 Oct | | eczema care by the parent and the child. | | | | Using antimite bedding for family: No 31%, partially 21% | Advice given focuses on daily repeated skin- care treatment and house dust mite allergen reduction measures. |
| 505 | | Comparison: | | | | Bating every morning: less than once a week 32%, a few days a week 21%, every day 47% | 90% of study participants had visited the |
| | | | | | | Using ointment every morning: less than once a week 13%, a few days a week 17%, every day 70% | clinic at least three times previously. |
| | | | | | | Frequency of ointment use during the day: once a day 19%, twice daily but advised three times 20%, twice daily as advised 36%, three times daily as advised 25% | |
| | | | | | | Demographic items, steroid phobia, and depression correlation with | |

| Bibliographic nformation | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------|
| | | | | | | adherence measured using one way | |
| | | | | | | ANOVER: | |
| | | | | | | Adherence to mite avoidance the child with atopic eczema also had asthma $2.8 + 1.5 = 1.5 = 1.7$, p < $0.05 = 1.7$ | |
| | | | | | | Age, sex and duration of follow up did not predict adherence | |
| | | | | | | No difference in adherence to mite avoidance when looking at frequency of visiting clinic, number of siblings, anxiety about steroids, steroid use or depression in parent | |
| | | | | | | Adherence to skin care treatment in children who visited biweekly or more 5.3 +/-1.9 compared to bimonthly or less 3.3+/- 2.1, p < 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Adherence to skin care treatment in children who used steroids every day $4.8 + l$ - 2.2 compare to not used $3.5 + l$ - 2.7 , p < 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | No difference in adherence to skin care treatment when looking at if the child also had asthma, number of siblings, anxiety about steroids or depression in parent | |
| | | | | | | Psychosocial factors correlation with adherence measured using bivariate correlation: | |
| | | | | | | Association with skin-care adherence | |
| | | | | | | The doctor patient relationship: 0.368, p < 0.01 | |
| | | | | | | Self-efficacy in management: 0.167, p < 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Spouse cooperation: 0.198, p< | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Aim of study | Number of patients and patient characteristics | Population characteristics | Outcome measures | Results and comments | Reviewer comment |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------|
| | | | | | | 0.01 | |
| | | | | | | Resentful child attitude: -0.058, p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Concerns about cost: -0.050, p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Bathing reluctance: 0.033, p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Late awake: -0.002, p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Social support: 0.229, p < 0.01 | |
| | | | | | | Maternal worry about child's eczema: 0.196, p < 0.01 | |
| | | | | | | Victimized feeling: - 0.023, p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Perceived severity of eczema: 0.270, p < 0.01 | |
| | | | | | | Association with mite avoidance. | |
| | | | | | | The doctor patient relationship: 0.145, p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Self-efficacy in management: 0.025, p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Spouse cooperation: -0.038, p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Resentful child attitude: 0.192, p < 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Concerns about cost: -0.039, p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Bathing reluctance: -0.248, p < 0.01 | |
| | | | | | | Late awake: 0.226, p < 0.01 | |
| | | | | | | Social support: 0.056, p > 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Maternal worry about child's eczema: 0.171, p < 0.01 | |
| | | | | | | Victimized feeling: 0.164, p < 0.05 | |
| | | | | | | Perceived severity of eczema: 0.267, p < 0.01 | |

Monitoring growth

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|--|--|--------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Kristmundsdottir F;David TJ; 1987 Jan 510 | Study Type: Case series Evidence Level: 3 | n=89 children | Children with atopic eczema of duration of at least 1 year who had at least 5% of skin surface affected and who had been referred to a paediatrician or dermatologist because of the severity of their condition. 55 boys (mean age 5.35, range 1.3-16.95 years. 34 girls (mean age 5.2, range 1.66-10.85 years) | Intervention: None Comparison: None | Height SD Sitting height SD Subischial leg length SD Weight Triceps and subscapular skinfold tests Head circumference SD Skeletal maturation using the TW2 method SD | 10% of the 89 children had a standing height below the third centile (7 were boys and 2 were girls). n=6 were >2SD below mean n=3 were more than 2.5SD below mean Mean height was less than the general population although the overall distribution was not statistically significantly different Male -0.31 SD1.22 Female -0.37 SD1.11 Both boys and girls had statistically significantly reduced sitting height (p<0.001) Subischial leg length was not different from normal standards. The difference between sitting height and subischial leg length was disproportionally shorter than normal standards (mean values, boys 0.55SD, girls 0.88SD) The centile distributions for weight and skinfold tests were not different from the normal population The mean head circumference was significantly greater than for the general population for both boys (p<0.01) and girls (p<0.02). Skeletal maturity SDS was more delayed in the girls (p<0.001) than the boys (p<0.05) | This study suggests that impaired linear growth is a feature of atopic eczema and that caution in the use of potent TCS in children should be applied. | This study includes a highly selected population with severe atopic eczema. The funding of this study is undeclared |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | · | | · | | small but statistically significant excess of boys over girls with a corrected height centile below the 10th centile (10 vs. 5). | | |
| | | | | | | There were consistent trends of disease severity, TCS strength and asthma score with decreasing height centile. | | |
| Pike MG; | Study Type: | n= 128 parents | Children with atopic | Intervention: None | Follow-up period: | 245/296 replies were received (83%) | The findings of this study | The study was |
| | Cohort | of children with atopic eczema | eczema who had been seen by a hospital | | None | 128 cases and 117 controls | suggest that children who have atopic eczema | funded jointly by the National |
| 1989 | Evidence level: | · | consultant (no details of severity) Comparison: Outcome Measures: Not all questionnaires were complete but should be severity. | (no details Growth between Outcome Measures: Not all questionnaires were complete but not asthma are | • | Eczema Society and the | | |
| 509 | 2- | n=117 parents of healthy control children | Mean age 6.9 years (range 1.2-16.2 years) | children with atopic eczema and unaffected children | Postal questionnaire: | There were no significant differences in ages, paternal employment (measure of social class) | expected from parental height. | Glaxo group Research Ltd. |
| | | | 50% were boys | | Envelope contained two envelopes. One | and parental height of both sexes between the two groups. | | |
| | | | Control children mean age 7.0 years (range 1.1-16.5 years). 52% were boys | | contained a questionnaire concerning the child with eczema. | The mean SD score of the children with eczema (-0.4505 SE 0.119) was significantly less than the controls (-0.0595 SE 0.097) even | | |
| | | | after controlling for parental height (p<0.005) The second, a questionnaire for a | , | | | | |
| | | | | | healthy similarly aged child known to the family. | n=12 were more than 2SD below the mean, n=4 were more than 3SD below the mean (12 of these very short children had asthma) | | |
| | | | | | The questionnaire included questions on: | 57% of the children reported no asthma or use of antihistamine or steroid treatment. When | | |
| | | | | | Paternal employment | compared to the control group who also answered negatively, the height SD was significantly different (p<0.01) even after | | |
| | | | | | presence or absence of asthma | correction for age and parental height. | | |
| | | | | | date of birth and height of both parent and child | There was also a significant difference even when children under 5 years were excluded (the rationale that the onset of asthma is later; | | |
| | | | | | (instructions were given as to height measurement) | p<0.005) | | |
| | | | | | If there was no response a second letter was sent | | | |
| Massarano AA; | Study Type: Case series | Intervention: None | n=68 children | Children aged 2.3- 11.9 years (mean | Height SD of parents and children | Highly significant correlation (Spearman coefficient) between height SD score and | This study suggests that children with atopic | The funding of this study is |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|--|----------------------------------|--|
| 1993 | Evidence Level: | Comparison: | | 6.2) years with atopic eczema | Maximum figure | surface area of eczema r _s =0.42, p=0.03 | eczema which affects less than 50% of their | undeclared. | |
| 512 | 3 | None | | diagnosed by the Hannifin and Rajka | Hannifin and Rajka surface area of skin for analysis: | skin surface area have normal height. Those with more extensive | Growth of children is | | |
| | | | | attended a | affected by eczema | I) less than 50% skin involved n=41 | disease may have | related to | |
| | | | | university | TOC COC | II) more than 50% skin involved n=27 | impaired growth for | surface area of skin affected | |
| | | | | department of child health. The median | TCS and SCS use | age and sex ratios were similar which the mecr unknown. | which the mechanism is | as opposed to | |
| | | | | surface area affected by eczema was 30% (46 boys | Exclusion diets were noted | group II had higher treatment (p<0.01) and diet (p<0.0001) score but were similar for asthma | unknown. | severity | |
| | | | | and girls) | Bone age was measured in children | The height SD of group I children was comparable to their parents. Only n=2 were below the third centile | | | |
| | | | | | above 6 years | (of these ?missing number eczema lesions were inflamed) | | | |
| | | | | | Presence of asthma was reported and graded | The height SD of the group II children was significantly different from their parents (p=0.001) and the children in group I (p=0.0007) | | | |
| | | | | | | n=8 were below the third centile. 4/8 were receiving a elemental diet and 1/8 had received systemic steroids | | | |
| | | | | | | The bone age of children over 6 years was mildly retarded in both groups but the difference was not statistically significant (U=225 p=0.09) | | | |
| | | | | | | Predicted heights of group 2 children were not significantly below those of mid-parental height (p=0.08) but were below those of Group I despite having taller parents (U=111,p=0.18) | | | |
| | | | | Regression analysis showed that the height SD scores were best explained by parental target height (r ₂ =0.24) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Surface area of eczema r ₂ =0.13 Combination of above explained 36% of variation in height | | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | Further combination with treatment and diet only had a marginal effect (r ₂ =0.03, r ₂ =0.0 respectively) | | |
| | | | | | | Asthma and duration of disease had no effect. | | |
| Morava E; 1994 513 | Study Type: Case series Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: None Comparison: None | n=92 of which n=72 had atopic eczema, n=12 had atopic eczema and asthma and n=8 had urticaria | n=92 children (51 boys and 41 girls) age range 0.51- 10.5 years for boys, 0.51-9.5 for girls Allergic status was confirmed by IgE levels and a detailed medical history concerning their atopic status was taken. | Somatometric measurements: Skinfold thickness (Tanner-Whitehouse) BMI (French) Relative body weight 120% =obese Height SD score | Children were divided into 2 age groups: Group 1 age 0-2.99 years n=36, Group 2: age 3 years upwards n=56 Group 1(&2): Children were tall and heavy for age 16/36 (25/56) were above the 75th weight centile 11/36 (20/56) were above the 90th weight centile. 14/36 (21/56) were above the 90th height centile | The study shows that in this population of atopic children, there is a special pattern of somatic development characterised by high stature and a high ratio of obesity in the prepubertal group | The funding of the study is undeclared. Population is Hungarian children for whom obesity patterns may be different from UK children. Hungarian centile charts were not |
| | | | | | >2.Õ=obese | skinfold tests: 3/36(20/56) had tricep folds above the 90th centile 6/36 (20/56)had subscapular skinfold thickness above the 90th centile but 6/36 (N/A) were also under the 10th centile for both these measures | | available for some of the measures |
| | | | | | | BMI: | | |
| | | | | | | 4/36 (16/56) were above the 90th centile 10/36 (N/A) were below the 10th centile | | |
| | | | | | | Relative weight: above 120% in 4/36 (17/56)cases 7/36 (20/56) were above 90th centile for weight for height | | |
| | | | | | | Mean SD score was 0.43+/-0.15 (N/A) 5/36 (N/A) had an SD of >2. | | |
| | | | | | | Sub group analysis for group 2 in which 8/56 had urticaria and 12/56 had atopic eczema and asthma showed these above measurements | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| - | | | | | | were similar in all three groups | | |
| Patel L; 1998 508 | Study Type: Cohort Evidence level: 2- | n=77children with atopic eczema n= 71 children acting as controls | Children with atopic eczema (mean age 4.8 years, range 2.0-10.5 years) attending a university department referred by paediatricians or dermatologists because of the severity or intractable nature of the atopic eczema. Children had mild to severe atopic eczema involving 8-95% (median 47%) of the body surface area | Intervention: None Comparison: Linear growth between prepubertal children with atopic eczema and those whom were unaffected | Follow-up period: 2 years Outcome Measures: For children with atopic eczema: Age of onset Percentage body surface affected Potency of TCS Asthma scores For both groups: Height velocity at year 1 and 2 Weight (BMI) SDS were calculated of the above triceps and subscapular skinfold Bone age by wrist radiographs | Height and height velocity SDS did not differ between patients and controls and were not influenced by body surface area affected by atopic eczema, TCS potency or coexisting asthma. Height SDS (r =-0.37), and height velocity SDS (r=-0.31) correlated inversely to age in patients but not in controls. A greater proportion (z=2.84) of patients than controls had year 2 height velocity SDS of less than -1.96. Patients had a mean delay in bone age of 0.22 years and 0.41 years at year 1 and year 2 of the study respectively. The delay in bone age correlated positively with age (r=0.39) and duration of atopic eczema (r=0.39)and negatively with height SDS (r=-0.5) and height velocity SDS (r=-0.38) | This study shows that prepubertal children with atopic dermatitis are not as tall as controls. However, as they approach puberty, their height velocity decreases, the proportion of children with extremely low height velocity increases and the delay in bone age increases. These features are consistent with a pattern of growth seen in people with constitutional growth delay. | The funding of this study is undeclared |
| Patel L;Clayton PE;Jenney ME;Ferguson JE;David TJ; 1997 Jun | Study Type: Cross sectional Evidence level: 3 | n=35 adult patients with atopic eczema n=35 control patients with contact dermatitis or psoriasis | Adult patients (mean age 26.3 years, range 18-50 years) 15 men and 20 women with a history of childhood onset eczema before the age of 5 years, continuing throughout childhood and requiring attendance at a hospital dermatology clinic. Control group adult patients (mean age 31.6 years, range 18-46 years) 15 men and 20 women attending | Intervention: None Comparison: None | Follow-up period: None Outcome Measures: Age of onset of atopic eczema Age when TCS started and the potency of the TCS Duration of treatment Surface area affected History and treatment of asthma Standing and sitting | There were no significant difference of standing height, mid parental height, sitting height and subischial leg length SD values and BMI between the atopic eczema and control group or any sub analysis thereof: surface skin affected, potency of TCS, with or without asthma | This study shows that short stature was not a feature of this group of adult patients who had childhood onset atopic eczema continuing into adulthood, severe enough to require specialist care. This suggests that if growth impairment occurs in childhood atopic eczema, it is likely to be temporary and reversible. | The funding of this study is undeclared |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| | | | dermatology clinic with no history of atopy. | | height Parental height if known Weight | | | |
| | | | | | SD values were calculated for the above as well as the BMI | | | |
| Ellison JA; 514 | Study Type: Case series Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: None Comparison: None | n=70 male and 40 female patients with atopic eczema | Patients had developed atopic eczema in early childhood (median age of onset 0.7 years, range 0.01-5.0 years) and had the condition throughout growth measurement period. 92/110 also had a history of asthma which was mild in 85 of cases | Height Weight BMI expressed as SDS | Regression analysis showed that the trends in height, weight and BMI SDS for atopic eczema patients were significantly different from zero and also different between males and females. Both sexes were short and relatively overweight from early childhood and the trend was more pronounced in males than females. At 5 years (school entry) the 50th centile BMI of male but not female was 0.44kgm-2 higher than the reference population but height and weight were lower. The age at adiposity rebound in atopic eczema males and females were 0.8 years and 0.7 years later than in the UK population (5.4 years, 5.3 years, and 6.2 years respectively). Children with atopic eczema attained peak height velocity later than the 1990 UK population (males 16.0 years vs. 13.5 years, p=0.0002; females 13.4 years vs. 11.0 years p=0.008). In addition males had a greater mean gain in height during late adolescence (12.2 vs. 8.8cm, p=0.03) and | This study showed that patients with childhood onset atopic eczema were relatively overweight very early but had a later adiposity rebound, were short in childhood and had a delayed adolescent growth spurt. The authors suggest that serial growth measurements should be done on all children with troublesome atopic eczema and can be helpful in counselling about the growth prognosis | The funding of the study is undeclared |
| Carrington LJ; | Study Type: Case series | Intervention: None | n=256 7-year old children | 7-year old children registered with 2 | Historical and current growth data | were shorter as young adults (170.9 vs.177.6cm, p=0.0005). Atopic eczema at 7 years was not related to any anthropometric indices at birth or during | Atopic eczema at 7 years of age is not related to | The study was funded by the |
| 2006 | Evidence Level: 3 | Comparison: None | GP pr Morth | GP practices in Northampton UK | obtained through a structured interview either at surgery or home. | infancy. A smaller head circumference at 10-15 days of age was noted in children with current wheeze at age 7 years (p=0.018) regardless of confounding factors. Comparison of children | any growth data from birth or during infancy. | Northampton NHS Primary Care Trust |
| | | | | 3 part questionnaire collecting demographic data, history of illness and | | | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | | growth measurement both current and historical. | | | |
| | | | | | Demographic data: occupation (used for social class), number of people in house, pets, smoking and immunisation history. | | | |
| | | | | | History of illness: data on wheezing and eczema were collected on questions based on the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) criteria | | | |
| | | | | | Growth data: were obtained from PCRB plus current measurements by health visitor. | | | |
| Fergusson DM;Crane J;Beasley R;Horwood LJ; | Study Type: Case series | Intervention: None | n=891 children who had complete data on patterns of atopic | A birth cohort of 1265 New Zealand children | Perinatal measures: Birth weight gestational age | There was no association of eczema or any other atopic status other than asthma with perinatal measures as shown by Chi square | Large head circumference at birth may be associated with | The study was funded by the Health |
| 1997 Dec | Evidence Level: 3 | Comparison: None | illness up to the age of 16 years (original cohort n=1265 | | head circumference length at birth | tests. Exception to this was a small non- significant association between birth weight and other | the development of asthma but no other atopic condition. | Research Council of New Zealand, the |
| 516 | | | children) | | Measures of atopic illness up to 16 years by structured | atopic status as defined using the criterion of at least one medical attendance (p<0.05) | | National Child Health Research |
| | | | | interview and hospital, GP and parents records including eczema and asthma | There were significant associations between head circumference and risks of asthma (Any diagnosis of asthma p<0.1, 5+ medical consultations for asthma p<0.0001). A head circumference of greater than 37 cm had greater risks of asthma. | | Foundation and the Canterbury Medical research Foundation. | |
| | | | | | Two categories of | | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---|---|--------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| | | | | | atopic eczema were used i) any eczema: whether the child had made any medical consultation for eczema by the age of 16 years: 36.6% of the sample met this criterion, | Even after allowing for confounding factors e.g. Maternal smoking, maternal drinking, gender, birth order etc children with a head circumference at birth of 37cm or greater had odds of asthma that were 1.8 (p<0.01) to 3.0 (p<0.0001) times higher than the these with head circumference of 37cm. | | |
| | | | | | ii) Recurrent eczema: whether the child had made at least three medical consultation for eczema by the age of 16 years: 13.6% of the sample met this criterion | | | |
| Eichenfield L; Ellis C; Fivensen D; Herbert A; Dromgoole S; Piacquadio D. 2007 | Study Type: Case series Evidence Level: 2- | n=21 | 'Healthy' boy and girls in equal numbers with 'stable atopic eczema' mean age 9+/-2.5 (range 5-12) years. No systematic or topical treatments exclusive of emollients were allowed for 2 weeks prior to study. | Intervention: Lipid- rich moisturising formulation of hydrocortisone butyrate 0.1% three times over a minimum body surface area of 25% daily for up to 4 weeks. In children noted to be 'clear' at 3 weeks, treatment was discontinued early. Comparison: none | Evaluations were made at days 1, 8, 15, 22 and 29. PGA for overall disease severity (0=clear to 6=extreme) Four point scoring system for severity of individual symptoms (0=none to 4=severe) Pruritus severity scores were defined by interference with daily activities % BSA | 20/21children completed the study. 2/22 children were clear at 22 days and 18/22 were treated for the 4 weeks. PGA scores, pruritus scores, % BSA and individual symptoms severity was improved significantly over the period of treatment. 48% of children were 'clear' or 'almost cleared' at 22 weeks. None of the children were found to have adrenal suppression Mean cortisol conc (μg/dL +/-SD) Day 0: pre stimulation 15.8 (7.0) post stimulation 28.3 (5.5) Final day: pre stimulation 13.0 (4.6), post stimulation 27.8 (4.5). | Overall a 4 week period with maximal treatment of hydrocortisone butyrate 1% there were no signs of adrenal suppression in 20 healthy children with 'stable atopic eczema' | Small uncontrolled study [EL=2-] This study was funded by a grant from Ferndale laboratories, Inc. |
| | | | | | Cosyntropin® stimulation test | A normal adrenal response was defined as greater than 18ug/dL | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | (CST) was used to challenge the responsiveness of the adrenal gland with a 30 min post injection assessment at day 1 and end of treatment. | The treatment was well tolerated and no changes in biochemical tests were noted. 2 AE's reported, mild transient burning on 1st day of application and a tinea corporis infection. | | |
| Turpeinen M; | Study Type: Case series Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: Application of hydrocortisone cream 1% followed by skin absorption tests. (n=14) ACTH test at 2 hours to evaluate the effect of previous treatment with TCS. (n=10) | n=18 children of which n=14 had atopic eczema | 18 children, (14 with atopic eczema) Aged 6 weeks to 14.4 years with chronic skin disease and who had been admitted to hospital due to the exacerbation of their skin disorder | Serum cortisol determination at 1, 2, 3,4,5,6,8,12,18 and 24 hours after application of hydrocortisone cream Serum cortisol was measured 2 hrs after administration of ACTH bolus, | Endogenous secretion of cortisol was suppressed by dexamethasone. A 24 hour absorption test was performed on 9 children of which 6 showed percutaneous absorption of hydrocortisone cream. The highest serum cortisol level was recorded within the first 6 hours. A 4 hour test was performed on 9 children showed 8 of them had absorbed hydrocortisone. The rise of serum cortisol ranged from 98-2669nmol/L The 2 hour ACTH was performed on 10/14 children with atopic eczema and 3 of these tested had suppressed adrencortical function. This effect was associated with post application of serum cortisol levels following hydrocortisone cream. This occurred more often in infants with severe skin condition than mild or moderate. | The study concluded that this skin absorption test at 4 hours, in addition to the monitoring of adrenocortical function and growth should be recommended for infants with chronic severe skin disorders requiring long term treatment with TCS. | The finding of this study was the Allergy Research Foundation of Finland |
| McGowan R;Tucker P;Joseph D;Wallace AM;Hughes I;Burrows NP;Ahmed SF; 2003 Sep 331 | Case series EL=3 | Intervention: Wet wrap dressings with emollient (n=1) or beclomethasone dipropionate, strength not stated, diluted to 10% (n=6) or 25% (n=1) applied under tubular bandages. | 8 | Children with atopic eczema aged 3.3- 8.8 years, median 5.1 years | 1) Lower leg length velocity (knemometry); millimetres per week 2) Urinary deoxypyridinoline crosslink excretion (UDPD); median rate, nmol/l | 1) 0.42 (vs 0.43 during the pretreatment period), p value not reported 2) 26.3 (vs 25.9 in pretreatment period), p value not reported | This study found no change in growth rates (lower leg velocity) or in urinary excretion of deoxypyridinoline crosslink, a marker of bone turnover, in children treated with wet wrap dressings for a median duration of 12 weeks. | Funding: Addenbrookes Charities Committee, the Marmaduke Shiled Fund, Serono Pharmaceutical s Ltd, and Mason Medical Research Foundation. |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | on for 24 hours a day for up to 2 weeks, reducing to overnight use for 1 week, then as required for the remaining 12 week | | | | | | |
| | | Comparison: N/A | | | | | | |
| Heuck C; | Study Type: Case series Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: In period one (run- in of 2 weeks) emollient (Locobase) was given twice daily In period 2 (2 weeks) budesonide cream 0.025% (Preferid) and emollient were applied with an interval of 5 mins morning and night In period three (run-out of 2 weeks) emollient (Locobase) was given twice daily | n=14 children (n=12 completed study) | 7 girls and 7 boys with atopic eczema mean age 9.5 years, range 5.8 to 12.5 years were recruited from a secondary centre. There was no treatment 2 weeks prior to study with exogenous glucocorticoids | At time 0, 2 an 4 weeks severity of atopic eczema was scored as to its extent (1-4) and its activity (1-4) Knemometry of the right lower leg was performed twice a week and lower leg growth rates were calculated | period 1: 4.33 (2.21) period 2: 2.78 (1.46)p<0.05 vs.period1 period 3: 2.79 (1.45)p<0.05 vs.period1 Lower Leg Growth period 1: 0.25 (SD 0.43) period 2: 0.14 (SD 0.37) p<0.05 vs.period3 period 3: 0.54 (SD 0.35) p<0.05 vs.period1 | The authors suggest that knemometry may be useful for comparing different TCS and treatment regimes in children with atopic eczema | The study is short in duration and small in numbers of participants. The growth measures do not include height and weight (normal growth parameters) The funding of this study is undeclared |
| | | Comparison: None | | | | | | |
| Wolthers OD;Heuck C;Ternowitz T;Heickendorff L;Nielsen HK;Frystyk J; | Study Type: Case series Evidence Level: 3 | Intervention: In period one (run in of 2 weeks) emollient (Locobase) was given twice daily | n=13 children | 6 girls and 7 boys with atopic eczema recruited from a secondary referral centre. Mean age 9.5 years, range 5.8-12.5 years). | At time 0, 2 and 4 weeks severity of atopic eczema was scored as to its extent (1-4) and its activity (1-4) | Severity of atopic eczema: (Period 1) 1 4.1 SD 2.0 (Period 2) 1.9 SD 1.1 p<0.002 | Type I and II collagen turnover may be suppressed during short term topical budesonide use in children with atopic eczema | The number of the participants was small and the outcome measures of growth were biochemical |
| 1996 | | In period 2 (2 | | Mean body surface | | No statistically significant effects were seen on | | tests as |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| 520 | | weeks) budesonide cream 0.025% (Preferid) and emollient were applied with an interval of 5 mins morning and night Comparison: | | area affected 1.1m², range 0.7-1.3 No treatment with exogenous glucocorticosteroids in the past year | Serum analysis of IGF-I, IGFBP-3, osteocalcin, PICP, ICTP and PHINP at 2 and 4 weeks | serum levels of IGF-1, IGFFBP-3, osteocalcin or ICTP. The mean (1SD) serum concentrations of PICP and PHINP were reduced between period 1 and 2 PICP 398 (132) and 7.6(1.8) ug/l (p=0.03) PHINP 355(132) and 6.4 (1.4) ug/l (p=0.01) | | opposed to clinical measures |
| Aylett SE; 1992 521 | Study Type: Case series Evidence Level: 3 | None Intervention: Beclomethason e dipropionate (BDP) mean dose 1800ug/day in 3 divided doses, range 800-1800. If therapeutic response was judged to be favourable after 4 weeks, the dose of BDP was the gradually reduced over 6 weeks to an maintenance dose for each child Comparison: None | n=15 children of which n=10 provided data for the study | Children with persistent, extensive, non-exudative atopic eczema whose a) condition was not controlled b) age was 2-10 years for girls, 2-11 years for boys c) height above above the 10th centile d) treatment had not included oral, inhaled or nasal corticosteroids in the past year. Mean age of 5.7 years, range 1.8-10.9 years) The median total lg E was 19,954 kU/I (79-68,300) | At 24 hours and 6 months Plasma cortisol profile and free urinary cortisol Atopic eczema was assessed throughout using standard scores (Pike et al 1989) Weight and height at 0 and 6 months from which height SDS were calculated and were compared to normal values for height (Tanner et al 1966) | 14/15 derived benefit from BDP treatment 10/14 were able to reduce to a maintenance dose (mean 1000ug, range 800-1800ug) Of these 10 children: 3/10 continued to grow normally in the 6 months of treatment according to growth charts 7/10 showed some sign of growth impairment (numerical data reported for n=6 only) For this group of n=6: pre treatment median height SDS was +0.285 (95% CI -0.295 to +1.055) Post treatment -0.390(95% CI -0.94 to +0.465) This difference was statistically significant (Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test 0.3-1.03) There was no significant difference in plasma cortisol levels or urinary cortisol excretion although the latter was reduced throughout the study 32.5 (95% CI 26.5-40.00) to 25nmo/24 hour (95% CI 25.0 to 31.5) (95% CI for the difference -3.75-15.0) | Oral BDP is useful in controlling childhood atopic eczema but growth should be monitored regularly through its use. | The data are of use but in the study is small in numbers of children and relatively short term. The funding of this study is undeclared |
| Woo WK; | Study Type: other | Intervention: None | n=1 | Case report of 5 year old boy with | | At presentation the boy was small for age (height and weight on the 9th centile). Unclear | Adrenal gland suppression should be | This case report is EL=3 |
| 2003 | Evidence Level: | Comparison: None | | long standing severe atopic eczema since 6 | | as to whether this was normal for him (mid- parental height 165cm). He was 103 cm. | suspected in any patient who has regularly been using potent TCS and is | as it is an n=1 study |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 522 | | | | months | | Full biochemical analysis was carried out: | small for his or her age | |
| | | | | | | Serum IgE 3850 kU/l (range 0-70) | | |
| | | | | TCS such as | | | | |
| | | | | betamethasone | | Serum cortisol 277 nmol/l (range 300-700) | | |
| | | | | valerate (0.1%) had been applied | | ACTH stimulation showed results at baseline: | | |
| | | | | continuously at up | | 8nmol/l (normal >120) | | |
| | | | | to 30g per week | 30 mins: 112nmol/I (normal >570) | | | |
| | | | | and clobetasol propionate 0.05% | | 60 mins: 150nmol/l (normal >570) | | |
| | | had been applied intermittently over the last year | | | | | | |
| | | | He had asthma from the age of 12 months with | | | | | |
| | | months with moderate severity | | | | | | |
| | | | | requiring | | | | |
| | | | | hospitalisation about twice a year | | | | |
| | | | | for which he used a | | | | |
| | | | | beclometasone | | | | |
| | | | | dipropionate inhaler twice daily | | | | |
| Bode HH | Study Type: | Intervention: | tervention: n=1 | A case report of a | | At age 13 years | | This case |
| | case report | None | | 13 year old boy who | | . A ago to years | | report is EL=3 |
| 1980 | | | | was referred to a | | Serum cortisol was 0.1ug/dL | | as it is a n=1 |
| | Evidence Level: | Comparison: | | paediatric unit due to his short stature. | | ű | | study |
| 523 | 3 | None | | to the enert statute. | | Plasma ACTH was <10pg/ml | | |
| | | | | He was born full | | | | |
| | | | | term with normal birth weight, length | | Normal thyroid function | | |
| | | | | and early | | | | |
| | | | | development. | | Bone age was that of a 9 year old boy | | |
| | | | | He developed | | Therapy was changed to an emulsion ointment | | |
| | | | | atopic eczema at 18 | | base (Eucerin cream) and the use of | | |
| | | | | months which | | beclomethasone was limited to wrists and ankles where eczema was still present. | | |
| | | | | covered most of his body. This was | | annes where eczenia was sun present. | | |
| | | | | treated with a TCS | | 9 days after his first visit, an ACTH stimulation | | |
| | | | | cream | | test was performed and the basal ACTH level | | |
| | | | | (betamethasone | | was unmeasurable, the serum cortisol level | | |
| | | | | ointment 2%) for 6 | | was 0.9ug/dL and the latter rose to only | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | years and this improved his atopic eczema and | | 1.5ug/dL 60 min after ACTH stimulation | | |
| | | | | relieved his discomfort. The | | 7 weeks later (after treatment change) | | |
| | | | | quantity of TCS | | Serum ACTH 57pg/ml | | |
| | | | | used weekly was 45g. | | Serum cortisol 7.8ug/dL which rose to 22ug/dL after ACTH stimulation. | | |
| | | | | At 13 years, he had a height of 131.7cm (mean for age 155 cm) and he weighed 30kg. His head circumference was 53.3cm, span | | Over the next year, TCS ointment was only used intermittently. In that time the boy grew 7.9cm and showed further advancement of puberty. | | |
| | | | | 128.3cm and upper to lower body segment ratio 0.95. | | Bone ages of 11 and 12.5 years were found at 6 and 12 months after change in treatment | | |
| | | | | His skin was dry, red, thin and transparent. His face appeared slightly cushingoid and there was hirsutism on both | | The eczema was controlled with non-steroidal preparations and the severe pruritus was suppressed with hydroxyzine chloride | | |
| | | | | shoulders, arms and forehead. | | | | |
| Caffarelli C; | Study Type: Cohort | n=65 children with atopic eczema | Children (40 boys and 25 girls) with a mean age of 3.55, range 6 | Intervention: None | Follow-up period: None | Gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms: | An increased frequency of GI disorders appears to be associated with the | Interesting data but there may be many |
| 524 | Evidence level: 2- | N=65 children unaffected by atopic eczema | months-14 years. Atopic eczema was diagnosed by Hanifin and Raika criteria | Comparison: None | e Outcome Measures: Questionnaire completed by parents regarding their children's | Diarrhoea (31% vs. 0%, p<0.001), vomiting (18% vs. 3% p<0.01) and regurgitation (38% vs. 17% p<0.001) occurred with greater frequency in the eczema group compared to the controls. | presence of atopic eczema in children and may be critical in some children's failure to thrive. | confounding factors and the number of children involved is |
| | | Control children had a mean age 3.65 range 6mths-14 years | | their children's gastrointestinal symptoms including questions on eczema for the affected children's | Frequency of abdominal pain, distension, eructation and flatulence was also greater in the eczema group compared to controls but not statistically so. | | relatively small. The funding of the study is undeclared | |
| | | | | | group. | In 67% of the eczema children GI symptoms preceded the onset of eczema. No association of severity of eczema and GI symptoms were | | |
| | | | | | Children's skin was examined | observed. | | |
| | | | | | | GI symptoms were more common in children | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | Weight, height and abdominal circumference | with diffuse (100%) than localised eczema (70%) p<0.05 (95% 0.187 to 0.433) | | |
| | | | | | Skin prick tests (for | Mean age of onset of GI symptoms | | |
| | | | | | affected children's group) | Eczema children: 11.2 months (15 days-74 months) | | |
| | | | | | | Control children : 4.12 months (15 days to 74 months) | | |
| | | | | | | p<0.05 | | |
| | | | | | | 60% of the children with eczema had at least one positive skin prick test and 54% had a positive skin prick test to one food antigen. | | |
| | | | | | | whole egg 37% egg yolk 34% | | |
| | | | | | | egg white 28% | | |
| | | | | | | whole cows milk 22% etc | | |
| | | | | | | There was no statistically significant difference in age, height, weight and eleventh-rib circumference between the atopic eczema and control group | | |
| Agostoni C; | Study Type: Cohort | n=55 children with atopic eczema | 55 (24 females and 31 males) children born in | Intervention: None | Follow-up period: None | Atopic eczema and control children were comparable for the baseline characteristics e.g. gestational age, birth weight and length. | This study showed that in the first year of life, | Despite small numbers it provides |
| 2006 | Evidence level: | of which n=36 | the maternity unit and subsequently admitted | Comparison: None | Outcome measures: | gestational age, birth weight and length. | infants with atopic eczema showed a | interesting data |
| 525 | 2- | breastfed and n=19 nonbreastfed | the hospital for | | Body weight and length of atopic eczema children was evaluated | Mean (SD) age at atopic eczema onset was 3.0 (1.6) months in BF children, 2.4 (1.2) months in non-BF children (p=0.12) | progressive impairment in growth irrespective of the type of early feeding (BF vs non-BF) and that disease severity of the | on dietary influences in the first year of life of infants with atopic |
| | | n=114 healthy | the Hamilin Chteria. | | retrospectively at diagnosis and then | Presence of asthma: | disease may be an | eczema. The |
| | | infants of which n=58 breastfed | The control group | | prospectively | 13 atopic eczema patients (9BF, 4 non BF) | independent factor negatively affecting | funding of the study is |
| | | and n=56 nonbreastfed | were recruited from the maternity unit. | | through the first 12 months of life. | No cases in the control group | growth. | undeclared. |
| | | | | | The control group were followed up from birth. | Patients affected by atopic eczema showed progressive impairment of growth in both WA and LA z scores (p<0.001). | | |
| | | | | | Measurements were | Weight | | |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | | made at age 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9 and 12 | After onset mean difference -0.27; 95% CI , -0.41 to -0.14 | | |
| | | | | | months. | Length | | |
| | | | | | Z scores for weight (WA)and length | After onset mean difference -0.17; 95%CI -0.3 to -0.03 | | |
| | | | | | were (LA) calculated from these | Before the onset of atopic eczema the LA z score was already significantly negative (-0.22; 95% CI:-19 to -0.6) | | |
| | | | | | In addition the following data were recorded: infants birth date mother's age, height, prepregnancy body | Differences between children with atopic eczema and control children were significant after the second month and more markedly so at 6 months even after adjustment for confounders and type of early feeding (BF vs non-BF). | | |
| | | | | | weight and education level. Familial social status gestational age and | At 12 months the adjusted mean difference was -0.69 (95% CI -1.00 to -0.38) for WA z score and -0.67 (95%CI -0.98 to -0.36 for LA z score | | |
| | | | | | parity Severity of atopic eczema (SCORAD), elimination diets | In the atopic eczema group an impairment of growth (height and weight) occurred in both the breastfed (p<0.001) and the non-breast fed (p<0.001) infants | | |
| | | | | | and presence of asthma | Analysis to determine any possible association of growth with age of onset, severity of disease, elimination diet or presence of asthma showed that severity of disease was associated with increased WA growth impairment in the second 6 months of life (p<0.05) even after adjusting for confounding factors. | | |
| Isolauri E; | Study Type: Cohort | n=100 children with suspected cow milk allergy | Children aged 1 to 17 months (mean 7 months) who had | Intervention: Cow's milk elimination diet with either an | Follow-up period: 24 months | The diagnosis of cow's milk allergy was made at 7 months (6-8 months) | It was concluded that co- ordinated dietetic and paediatric evaluation is | The eczematous status of the |
| 526 | Evidence level: 2- | n=60 healthy age-matched control children | been referred to hospital on the basis of suspected cow's milk allergy by a positive open or | extensively hydrolysed casein or whey formulation (n=44) or a soya formula (n=45) or in | Outcome Measures: Length and weight during the first 24 months of life | The reactions involved pruritus, urticaria, morbilliform exanthema or reactions of an eczematous type. | needed for evaluation of allergies so as to avoid unnecessary elimination diets and encourage compliance to the | children is unclear and no details of the eczema are given in the |
| | | | double-blind, placebo- | older patients with | | The relative length of children decreased | individually tailored | results of the |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | | controlled cow's milk challenge. Their atopic eczema was diagnosed by the Hannifin criteria. | a calcium supplement Comparison: None | Length for age and weight for length SDS were calculated | compared to the healthy control group (p<0001). The fall in length coincided with the onset of symptoms of allergy, and the start of the elimination diet. (Patients were divided into two groups) | elimination diets. | study. The funding of this study is undeclared. |
| | | | | | | Early onset group (3-6 months)p=0.003 | | |
| | | | Control children were recruited from a well- | | | Later onset group (6-10 months)p=0.009 | | |
| | | | baby clinic. | | | No catch up was seen at 24 months. The relative weight in patients continued to fall compared with that in the control group p=0.03 | | |
| | | | | | | The delay on growth was more pronounced in a subgroup of patients with early onset than in late onset patients (p<0.0001). | | |
| | | | | | | Low serum albumin was present in 6% of children | | |
| | | | | | | 24% had abnormal urea concentration | | |
| | | | | | | 8%had a low serum phospholipid docosaheaenoic acid | | |
| | | | | | | The duration of breast feeding correlated positively with the sum of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (p=0.001) and with the relative amount of docosahexaenoic acid (p=0.002) | | |
| Laitinen K; | Study Type: other | n=159 children | Children with a family history of atopic eczema (mother, | Intervention: Supplementation with Lactobacillus rhamnosus Strain GG; ATCC 53 103 was administered to the children | Follow-up period: 48 months Outcome Measures: Children were followed for 4 years with study visits at 3 | Atopic eczema was diagnosed in 29% (46/159) at 6 months, 46% (65/140) at 12 months, 35% (46/132) at 24 months and 36% (39/107) at 48 | Administration of a perinatal supplement with probiotics had no | This is a complex study with detailed |
| 527 | Evidence level: 3 | | father and/or older sibling) and who had previously participated in a prenatal probiotic | | | months. Cow's milk allergy was diagnosed in 14% in 18 children at 12 months | detrimental effect on growth but may have some effect on the incidence of atopic eczema. The presence | analysis. The results are interesting but more evidence is needed |
| | | | study. | postnatally for 6 months Comparison: None | weeks, and at 3,6,12,18, 24 and 48 months at which the following were measured | Logistic regression analysis showed that increased intakes of retinol, calcium and zinc (i.e. taking the probiotic diet) reduced the risk of atopic ezema whilst an increase of ascorbic | of atopic eczema appeared to have an effect on the growth of children up to the age of | before a probiotioc supplement could be |
| | | | | | Weight Height | acid increased the chances of atopic eczema. Probiotic administration was not associated with any detrimental effect on growth overall at 48 months | 48 months | advocated. Importantly the supplement appears safe and has no effect |

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | at 48 months | Height mean difference 0.04 SDS 95% CI - | | detrimental |
| | | | | | Biceps, triceps and suprailiac skinfold thickness | 0.33 to 0.4, p=0.852) Weight for height (mean difference -3.35 (95% CI-7.07,0.37)%, p=0.077) | | effect on growth The funding of |
| | | | | | mid upper arm | GI-7.07,0.37/70, μ-0.077/ | | this study is |
| | | | | | thickness | The effect of atopic eczema was significant with respect to weight -5.1 SDS 95%CI -8.9 to - | | undeclared. |
| | | | | | weight for height % vere calculated using Finnish -0.42 to 0.33, p=0.815) for height in children with 5.1% 95% CI -8.9-1.2% | 1.2, p=0.001) but not height -0.05 SDS 95% CI -0.42 to 0.33, p=0.815) although mean weight for height in children with atopic eczema was -5.1% 95% CI -8.9-1.2% lower compared with control children (p=0.01) | | |
| | | | | | Diagnosis of eczema was by Hanifin and cow's milk allergy was diagnosed by a double blind, placebo controlled challenge. | Mid upper arm muscle circumference and proportion of body fat were lower in children with atopic eczema at 48 months (p=0.041 and p=0.007, respectively). | | |
| | | | | | Dietary intake was recorded at 6,12 and 48 months with 4 day diaries | | | |
| Estrada-Reyes E; | Study Type: Other | Intervention: Extensively | n=45 infants and toddlers | Children 6 (1.0 to 27) months with a | Sex normalised percentiles of | Results for all children (atopic eczema and bronchitis) | Growth of infants and toddlers with cow's milk | It was a small study and |
| 528 | Evidence Level: | hydrolysed milk formula for 1 year adminstered | | positive history of cow's milk allergy confirmed by a positive skin prick | heights and weights of infants and toddlers before enrolment and after | Percentile weights (CI 95% -3.1 to -2.3) and heights (CI 95% -5.2 to 8.1) at baseline were similar to those at 1 year of follow up. | allergy was not affected by the intake of extensively hydrolysed milk for one year. The | many other confounding factors e.g. other illness, |
| | | according to weight and age. | | test and high IgE levels for either alpha-lactalbumin, | study (1 year). | Correlation coefficients at baseline and year one: | presence of atopic eczema in this population did not | social class need to be considered. |
| | | Comparison: None | | beta-lactoglbulin or casein and positive | | Weight 0.85 (95% CI, 0.74 to 0.92, p<0.001) | appear to have any deleterious effect on | Children with atopic eczema formed aa |
| | | | | single-blind food | | Height 0.87 (95% CI 0.76 to 0.92, p<0.001) | these children's growth. | |
| | | | | challenge | | Between weight and height at baseline 0.93 (95% CI, 0.88 to 0.96; p<0.0001) and year one 0.95 (95% 0.92 to0.97; p<0.001) | | small (n=13) proportion of the study population and |
| | | | | | | Multivariate analysis showed that sex, breastfeeding, early bottlefeeding, ingestion of adapted or special milk formulas, atopic eczema were not correlated with either the | | therefore the effect on growth in the atopic eczema |

Atopic eczema in children

| Bibliographic information | Study type and evidence level | Number of patients | Patient characteristics | Intervention and comparison | Follow-up and outcome measures | Effect size | Study summary | Reviewer comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|--|
| | | | | | | children's weight or height at diagnosis of allergy or at 1 year of follow up (p>.10) | | population as a whole is difficult to |
| | | | | | | Atopic eczema was reported in 18 (40%) of patients at the beginning of study and 13 (28.9%) at the end. | | extrapolate. The funding of this study is undeclared |
| | | | | | | Weights (95% CI -0.6 to 2.6) and heights (95% CI -1.5 to 9.5) were not different between toddlers who had atopic eczema during the study period and those who did not (p>0.05). | | |

Indications for referral

No evidence tables.

References

- Hanifin JM, Cooper KD, Ho VC, et al. Guidelines of care for atopic dermatitis. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology 2004;50(3):391–404.
- 2. Friedmann PS, Holden CA. Atopic dermatitis. In: Burns DA, Braethnach SM, Cox N, Griffiths CE, eds. Rook's Textbook of Dermatology. 7th ed. Blackwell Publishers; 2004.
- 3. Herd RM, Tidman MJ, Prescott RJ, et al. The cost of atopic eczema. British Journal of Dermatology 1996;135(1):20-3.
- 4. Emerson RM, Williams HC, Allen BR. What is the cost of atopic dermatitis in preschool children? *British Journal of Dermatology* 2001;144(3):514–22.
- 5. Weinmann S, Kamtsiuris P, Henke KD, et al. The costs of atopy and asthma in children: assessment of direct costs and their determinants in a birth cohort. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 2003;14(1):18–26.
- 6. Ricci G. Atopic dermatitis in Italian children: evaluation of its economic impact. *Journal of Pediatric Health Care* 2006:20(5):311–15.
- 7. Su JC, Kemp AS, Varigos GA, et al. Atopic eczema: its impact on the family and financial cost. Archives of Disease in Childhood 1997;76(2):159–62.
- 8. Barbeau M, Lalonde H. Burden of atopic dermatitis in Canada. International Journal of Dermatology 2006;45(1):31–6.
- 9. Verboom P, Hakkaart-Van RL, Sturkenboom M, et al. The cost of atopic dermatitis in the Netherlands: An international comparison. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2002;147(4):716–24.
- NHS Executive. Clinical Guidelines: Using Clinical Guidelines to Improve Patient Care Within the NHS. London: HMSO; 1996.
- 11. National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Referral Advice. 2001.
- 12. National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Frequency of Application of Topical Corticosteroids for Atopic Eczema. London: NICE; 2004.
- 13. National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Tacrolimus and Pimecrolimus for Atopic Eczema. London: NICE; 2004.
- 14. National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Guideline Development Methods: Information for National Collaborating Centres and Guideline Developers. London: NICE; 2005.
- 15. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. The Guidelines Manual 2006. London: NICE; 2006.
- 16. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. The Guidelines Manual 2007. London: NICE; 2007.
- 17. Oxman AD, Sackett DL, Guyatt GH. Users' guides to the medical literature. I. How to get started. The Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group. JAMA: the Journal of the American Medical Association 1993;270(17):2093–5.
- 18. Guyatt GH, Sackett DL, Cook DJ. Users' guides to the medical literature. II. How to use an article about therapy or prevention. A. Are the results of the study valid? Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group. *JAMA*: the Journal of the American Medical Association 1993;270(21):2598–601.
- 19. Guyatt GH, Sackett DL, Cook DJ. Users' guides to the medical literature. II. How to use an article about therapy or prevention. B. What were the results and will they help me in caring for my patients? Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group. *JAMA: the Journal of the American Medical Association* 1994;271(1):59–63.
- Jaeschke R, Guyatt G, Sackett DL. Users' guides to the medical literature. III. How to use an article about a diagnostic test. A.
 Are the results of the study valid? Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group. JAMA: the Journal of the American Medical
 Association 1994;271(5):389–91.
- 21. Jaeschke R, Guyatt GH, Sackett DL. Users' guides to the medical literature. III. How to use an article about a diagnostic test. B. What are the results and will they help me in caring for my patients? The Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group. *JAMA: the Journal of the American Medical Association* 1994;271(9):703–7.
- 22. Sackett DL, Straus SE, Richardson WS, Rosenberg W, Haynes RB. Evidence-Based Medicine. How to Practice and Teach EBM. 2nd ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2000.
- 23. Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. SIGN 50: A Guideline Developers' Handbook. No. 50. Edinburgh: SIGN; 2001.
- 24. Drummond MF, Sculpher M, Torrance GW, O'Brien BJ, Stoddart GL. Methods for the Economic Evaluation of Health Care Programmes. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2005.
- 25. Hanifin JM, Rajka G. Diagnostic features of atopic dermatitis. Acta Dermato-Venereologica 1980;(Suppl 92):44-7.
- 26. Hoare C, Li Wan PA, Williams H. Systematic review of treatments for atopic eczema. *Health Technology Assessment* 2000;4(37):1–191.
- 27. Williams HC, Burney PG, Hay RJ, et al. The U.K. Working Party's Diagnostic Criteria for Atopic Dermatitis. I. Derivation of a minimum set of discriminators for atopic dermatitis. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1994;131(3):383–96.
- 28. Williams HC, Burney PG, Pembroke AC, et al. The U.K. Working Party's Diagnostic Criteria for Atopic Dermatitis. III. Independent hospital validation. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1994;131(3):406–16.
- 29. Williams HC, Burney PG, Strachan D, et al. The U.K. Working Party's Diagnostic Criteria for Atopic Dermatitis. II. Observer variation of clinical diagnosis and signs of atopic dermatitis. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1994;131(3):397–405.

- 30. Williams HC, Burney PGJ, Pembroke AC, et al. Validation of the U.K. diagnostic criteria for atopic dermatitis in a population setting. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1996;135(1):12–17.
- 31. Popescu CM, Popescu R, Williams H, et al. Community validation of the United Kingdom diagnostic criteria for atopic dermatitis in Romanian schoolchildren. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1998;138(3):436–42 [erratum appears in *Br J Dermatol* 1998;139(3):564].
- 32. Chalmers DA, Todd G, Saxe N, et al. Validation of the U.K. Working Party diagnostic criteria for atopic eczema in a Xhosa-speaking African population. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2007;156(1):111–16.
- 33. Fleming S, Bodner C, Devereux G, et al. An application of the United Kingdom Working Party diagnostic criteria for atopic dermatitis in Scottish infants. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* 2001;117(6):1526–30.
- 34. De D. Comparative efficacy of Hanifin and Rajka's criteria and the UK working party's diagnostic criteria in diagnosis of atopic dermatitis in a hospital setting in North India. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 2006;20(7):853–9.
- Firooz A, Davoudi SM, Farahmand AN, et al. Validation of the diagnostic criteria for atopic dermatitis. Archives of Dermatology 1999;135(5):514–16.
- 36. Gu H, Chen XS, Chen K, et al. Evaluation of diagnostic criteria for atopic dermatitis: validity of the criteria of Williams, et al. in a hospital-based setting. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2001;145(3):428–33.
- 37. Charman C. Measuring Atopic Eczema Severity: Improving Outcome Measures for Research and Clinical Practice. Thesis. University of Nottingham; 2005.
- 38. Finlay AY. Measurement of disease activity and outcome in atopic dermatitis. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1996;135(4):509–15.
- 39. Charman C, Williams H. Outcome measures of disease severity in atopic eczema. *Archives of Dermatology* 2000;136(6):763–9.
- 40. Charman C, Chambers C, Williams H. Measuring atopic dermatitis severity in randomized controlled clinical trials: what exactly are we measuring? *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* 2003;120(6):932–41.
- 41. Charman D, Varigos G, Horne DJ, et al. The development of a practical and reliable assessment measure for atopic dermatitis (ADAM). Journal of Outcome Measurement 1999;3(1):21–34.
- 42. Charman DP, Varigos GA. Grades of severity and the validation of an atopic dermatitis assessment measure (ADAM). *Journal of Outcome Measurement* 1999;3(2):162–75.
- 43. Verwimp JJ, Bindels JG, Barents M, et al. Symptomatology and growth in infants with cow's milk protein intolerance using two different whey-protein hydrolysate based formulas in a Primary Health Care setting. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition 1995; 49(Suppl 1):S39–48.
- 44. Costa C, Rilliet A, Nicolet M, et al. Scoring atopic dermatitis: the simpler the better? Acta Dermato-Venereologica 1989;69(1):41–5.
- 45. Tofte S, Graeber M, Cherill M, Omoto M, Thurston M, Hanifin JM. Eczema area and severity index (EASI): A new tool to evaluate atopic dermatitis. [Abstract] *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 1998;11(Suppl 2):5197
- 46. Housman TS, Patel MJ, Camacho F, et al. Use of the Self-Administered Eczema Area and Severity Index by parent caregivers: results of a validation study. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2002;147(6):1192–8.
- 47. Barbier N. Validation of the Eczema Area and Severity Index for atopic dermatitis in a cohort of 1550 patients from the pimecrolimus cream 1% randomized controlled clinical trials programme. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2004;150(1):96–102
- 48. Emerson RM, Charman CR, Williams HC. The Nottingham Eczema Severity Score: preliminary refinement of the Rajka and Langeland grading. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2000;142(2):288–97.
- 49. Hon KL, Ma KC, Wong E, et al. Validation of a self-administered questionnaire in Chinese in the assessment of eczema severity. *Pediatric Dermatology* 2003;20(6):465–9.
- 50. Sugarman JL, Fluhr JW, Fowler AJ, et al. The objective severity assessment of atopic dermatitis score: an objective measure using permeability barrier function and stratum corneum hydration with computer-assisted estimates for extent of disease. *Archives of Dermatology* 2003;139(11):1417–22.
- 51. Charman CR, Venn AJ, Williams HC. The patient-oriented eczema measure: development and initial validation of a new tool for measuring atopic eczema severity from the patients' perspective. *Archives of Dermatology* 2004;140(12):1513–19.
- 52. Berth-Jones J. Six area, six sign atopic dermatitis (SASSAD) severity score: a simple system for monitoring disease activity in atopic dermatitis. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1996;135(Suppl 48):25–30.
- 53. Stalder JF, Taieb A, Atherton DJ, et al. Severity scoring of atopic dermatitis: The SCORAD index. Consensus report of the European Task Force on Atopic Dermatitis. *Dermatology* 1993;186(1):23–31.
- 54. Kunz B, Oranje AP, Labreze L, et al. Clinical validation and guidelines for the SCORAD index: consensus report of the European Task Force on Atopic Dermatitis. *Dermatology* 1997;195(1):10–19.
- 55. Wolkerstorfer A, De Waard van der Spek FB, Glazenburg EJ, et al. Scoring the severity of atopic dermatitis: three item severity score as a rough system for daily practice and as a pre-screening tool for studies. Acta Dermato-Venereologica 1999;79(5):356–9.
- 56. Lob-Corzilius T, Boer S, Scheewe S, et al. The 'Skin Detective Questionnaire': A survey tool for self-assessment of patients with atopic dermatitis. First results of its application. *Dermatology and Psychosomatics* 2004;5(3):141–6.
- 57. Sprikkelman AB, Tupker RA, Burgerhof H, et al. Severity scoring of atopic dermatitis: a comparison of three scoring systems. *Allergy* 1997;52(9):944–9.
- 58. Queille-Roussel C, Raynaud F, Saurat JH. A prospective computerized study of 500 cases of atopic dermatitis in childhood. I. Initial analysis of 250 parameters. *Acta Dermato-Venereologica* 1985; 114(Suppl):87–92.

- 59. Hanifin JM, Thurston M, Omoto M, et al. The eczema area and severity index (EASI): assessment of reliability in atopic dermatitis. EASI Evaluator Group. Experimental Dermatology 2001;10(1):11–18.
- 60. Balkrishnan R, Housman TS, Carroll C, et al. Disease severity and associated family impact in childhood atopic dermatitis. Archives of Disease in Childhood 2003;88(5):423–7.
- 61. Hon KL, Leung TF, Ma KC, et al. Urinary leukotriene E4 correlates with severity of atopic dermatitis in children. Clinical and Experimental Dermatology 2004;29(3):277–81.
- 62. Charman CR, Venn AJ, Williams HC. Reliability testing of the Six Area, Six Sign Atopic Dermatitis severity score. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2002;146(6):1057–60.
- 63. Berth-Jones J, Arkwright PD, Marasovic D, et al. Killed *Mycobacterium vaccae* suspension in children with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy* 2006;36:1115–21.
- 64. Berth-Jones J, Finlay AY, Zaki I, et al. Cyclosporine in severe childhood atopic dermatitis: a multicenter study. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 1996;34(6):1016–21.
- 65. Berth-Jones J, Graham-Brown RA. Placebo-controlled trial of essential fatty acid supplementation in atopic dermatitis. *Lancet* 1993;341(8860):1557–60 [erratum appears in *Lancet* 1993;342(8870):564].
- 66. Berth-Jones J, Thompson J, Graham-Brown RA. Evening primrose oil and atopic eczema. Lancet 1995;345(8948):520.
- 67. van Joost T, Kozel MM, Tank B, et al. Cyclosporine in atopic dermatitis. Modulation in the expression of immunologic markers in lesional skin. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 1992; 27(6 Pt 1):922–8.
- 68. Chamlin SL, Kao J, Frieden IJ, et al. Ceramide-dominant barrier repair lipids alleviate childhood atopic dermatitis: changes in barrier function provide a sensitive indicator of disease activity. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 2002;47(2):198–208.
- 69. Bringhurst C, Waterston K, Schofield O, et al. Measurement of itch using actigraphy in pediatric and adult populations. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 2004;51(6):893–8.
- 70. Pucci N, Novembre E, Cammarata MG, et al. Scoring atopic dermatitis in infants and young children: distinctive features of the SCORAD index. *Allergy* 2005;60(1):113–16.
- Hon KL, Leung TF, Wong. Lesson from performing SCORADs in children with atopic dermatitis: subjective symptoms do not correlate well with disease extent or intensity. *International Journal of Dermatology* 2006;45(6):728–30.
- 72. Schafer T, Dockery D, Kramer U, et al. Experiences with the severity scoring of atopic dermatitis in a population of German pre-school children. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1997;137(4):558–62.
- Oranje AP, Stalder JF, Taieb A, et al. Scoring of atopic dermatitis by SCORAD using a training atlas by investigators from different disciplines. ETAC Study Group. Early Treatment of the Atopic Child. Pediatric Allergy and Immunology 1997;8(1):28–34.
- 74. Ben-Gashir MA, Seed PT, Hay RJ. Are quality of family life and disease severity related in childhood atopic dermatitis? *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 2002;16(5):455–62.
- 75. Ben-Gashir MA, Seed PT, Hay RJ. Quality of life and disease severity are correlated in children with atopic dermatitis. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2004;150(2):284–90.
- 76. Charman CR, Venn AJ, Williams H. Measuring atopic eczema severity visually: which variables are most important to patients? *Archives of Dermatology* 2005;141(9):1146–51.
- 77. Charman C, Venn AJ, Williams HC. Measurement of body surface area involvement in atopic eczema: an impossible task? British Journal of Dermatology 1999;140(1):109–11.
- 78. Tripodi S, Panetta V, Pelosi S, et al. Measurement of body surface area in atopic dermatitis using specific PC software (ScoradCard). *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 2004;15(1):89–92.
- 79. Holm EA, Jemec GB. Time spent on treatment of atopic dermatitis: a new method of measuring pediatric morbidity? *Pediatric Dermatology* 2004;21(6):623–7.
- 80. Ben-Gashir MA, Hay RJ. Reliance on erythema scores may mask severe atopic dermatitis in black children compared with their white counterparts. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2002;147(5):920–5.
- 81. Absolon CM, Cottrell D, Eldridge SM, et al. Psychological disturbance in atopic eczema: the extent of the problem in schoolaged children. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1997;137(2):241–5.
- 82. Lewis-Jones MS, Finlay AY. The Children's Dermatology Life Quality Index (CDLQI): Initial validation and practical use. British Journal of Dermatology 1995;132(6):942–9.
- 83. Daud LR, Garralda ME, David TJ. Psychosocial adjustment in preschool children with atopic eczema. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1993;69(6):670–6.
- 84. Sarkar R, Raj L, Kaur H, et al. Psychological disturbances in Indian children with atopic eczema. *Journal of Dermatology* 2004:31(6):448–54.
- 85. Walker C, Papadopoulos L, Hussein M, et al. Paediatric eczema, illness beliefs and psychosocial morbidity: How does eczema affect children (in their own words)? *Dermatology and Psychosomatics* 2004;5(3):126–31.
- 86. Andreoli E, Mozzetta A, Palermi G, et al. Psychological diagnosis in pediatric dermatology. *Dermatology and Psychosomatics* 2002;3(3):139–43.
- 87. Moore K, David TJ, Murray CS, et al. Effect of childhood eczema and asthma on parental sleep and well-being: A prospective comparative study. British Journal of Dermatology 2006;154(3):514–18.
- 88. Ricci G, Bendandi B, Aiazzi R, et al. Educational and medical programme for young children affected by atopic dermatitis and for their parents. *Dermatology and Psychosomatics* 2004;5(4):187–92.
- 89. Dennis H. Factors promoting psychological adjustment to childhood atopic eczema. *Journal of Child Health Care* 2006;10(2):126–39.

- 90. Beattie PE, Lewis-Jones MS. A comparative study of impairment of quality of life in children with skin disease and children with other chronic childhood diseases. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2006;155(1):145–51.
- Elliott BE, Luker K. The experiences of mothers caring for a child with severe atopic eczema. Journal of Clinical Nursing 1997;6(3):241–7.
- 92. Lawson V, Lewis-Jones MS, Finlay AY, et al. The family impact of childhood atopic dermatitis: the Dermatitis Family Impact Questionnaire. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1998;138(1):107–13.
- 93. Reid P, Lewis-Jones MS. Sleep difficulties and their management in preschoolers with atopic eczema. *Clinical and Experimental Dermatology* 1995;20(1):38–41.
- 94. Long CC, Funnell CM, Collard R, et al. What do members of the National Eczema Society really want? Clinical and Experimental Dermatology 1993;18(6):516–22.
- 95. Paller AS, McAlister RO, Doyle JJ, et al. Perceptions of physicians and pediatric patients about atopic dermatitis, its impact, and its treatment. Clinical Pediatrics 2002;41(5):323–32.
- 96. Zuberbier T, Orlow SJ, Paller AS, et al. Patient perspectives on the management of atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2006;118(1):226–32.
- 97. Lewis-Jones S. Quality of life and childhood atopic dermatitis: the misery of living with childhood eczema. *International Journal of Clinical Practice* 2006;60(8):984–92.
- 98. Warschburger P, Buchholz HT, Petermann F. Psychological adjustment in parents of young children with atopic dermatitis: which factors predict parental quality of life? *British Journal of Dermatology* 2004;150(2):304–11.
- 99. von Ruden U, Bunikowski R, Brautigam M, et al. Cyclosporin A treatment of children with severe atopic dermatitis improves quality of life of their mothers. *Dermatology and Psychosomatics* 2002;3(1):14–18.
- 100. Wenninger K, Kehrt R, von RU, et al. Structured parent education in the management of childhood atopic dermatitis: the Berlin model. Patient Education and Counseling 2000;40(3):253–61.
- Staab D, von Rueden U, Kehrt R, et al. Evaluation of a parental training program for the management of childhood atopic dermatitis. Pediatric Allergy and Immunology 2002;13(2):84–90.
- Lewis-Jones MS, Finlay AY, Dykes PJ. The infants' dermatitis quality of life index. British Journal of Dermatology 2001:144(1):104–10.
- 103. Beattie PE, Lewis-Jones MS. An audit of the impact of a consultation with a paediatric dermatology team on quality of life in infants with atopic eczema and their families: further validation of the Infants' Dermatitis Quality of Life Index and Dermatitis Family Impact score. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2006;155(6):1249–55.
- Aziah MS, Rosnah T, Mardziah A, et al. Childhood atopic dermatitis: a measurement of quality of life and family impact. Medical Journal of Malaysia 2002;57(3):329–39.
- 105. Hon KLE, Kam WYC, Lam MCA, et al. CDLQI, SCORAD and NESS: Are they correlated? Quality of Life Research 2006:15(10):1551–8.
- 106. Holme SA, Man I, Sharpe JL, et al. The Children's Dermatology Life Quality Index: validation of the cartoon version. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2003;148(2):285–90.
- 107. McKenna SP, Whalley D, Dewar AL, et al. International development of the Parents' Index of Quality of Life in Atopic Dermatitis (PIQoL-AD). Quality of Life Research 2005;14(1):231–41.
- 108. Meads DM, McKenna SP, Kahler K. The quality of life of parents of children with atopic dermatitis: interpretation of PIQoL-AD scores. Quality of Life Research 2005;14(10):2235–45.
- 109. McKenna SP, Whalley D, De PY, et al. Treatment of paediatric atopic dermatitis with pimecrolimus (Elidel, SDZ ASM 981): Impact on quality of life and health-related quality of life. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology 2006;20(3):248–54.
- 110. Staab D, Kaufmann R, Brautigam M, et al. Treatment of infants with atopic eczema with pimecrolimus cream 1% improves parents' quality of life: A multicenter, randomized trial. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 2005;16(6):527–33.
- 111. Chamlin SL, Frieden IJ, Williams ML, et al. Effects of atopic dermatitis on young American children and their families. *Pediatrics* 2004;114(3):607–11.
- 112. Chamlin SL, Cella D, Frieden IJ, et al. Development of the Childhood Atopic Dermatitis Impact Scale: initial validation of a quality-of-life measure for young children with atopic dermatitis and their families. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* 2005;125(6):1106–11.
- 113. Stevens KJ, Brazier JE, McKenna SP, et al. The development of a preference-based measure of health in children with atopic dermatitis. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2005;153(2):372–7.
- Charman CR, Williams HC. Epidemiology. In: Bieber T, Leung DYM, eds. Atopic Dermatitis. New York: Dekker; 2002. p. 21–42.
- 115. Williams H. The natural history of atopic eczema. In: Williams H, ed. Atopic Dermatitis: the Epidemiology, Causes and Prevention of Atopic Eczema. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000. p. 41–59.
- 116. Ninan TK, Russell G. Respiratory symptoms and atopy in Aberdeen schoolchildren: evidence from two surveys 25 years apart. British Medical Journal 1992;304(6831):873–5 [erratum appears in BMJ 1992;304(6835):1157].
- 117. Burr ML, Wat D, Evans C, et al. Asthma prevalence in 1973, 1988 and 2003. Thorax 2006;61(4):296-9.
- 118. Heinrich J, Hoelscher B, Frye C, et al. Trends in prevalence of atopic diseases and allergic sensitization in children in Eastern Germany. European Respiratory Journal 2002;19(6):1040–6.
- 119. Olesen AB, Bang K, Juul S, et al. Stable incidence of atopic dermatitis among children in Denmark during the 1990s. Acta Dermato-Venereologica 2005;85(3):244–7.
- 120. Selnes A, Bolle R, Holt J, et al. Cumulative incidence of asthma and allergy in north-Norwegian schoolchildren in 1985 and 1995. Pediatric Allergy and Immunology 2002;13(1):58–63.

- 121. Yura A, Shimizu T. Trends in the prevalence of atopic dermatitis in school children: longitudinal study in Osaka Prefecture, Japan, from 1985 to 1997. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2001;145(6):966–73.
- 122. Kay J, Gawkrodger DJ, Mortimer MJ, et al. The prevalence of childhood atopic eczema in a general population. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 1994;30(1):35–9.
- 123. Emerson RM, Williams HC, Allen BR. Severity distribution of atopic dermatitis in the community and its relationship to secondary referral. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1998;139(1):73–6.
- 124. Williams H, Robertson C, Stewart A, et al. Worldwide variations in the prevalence of symptoms of atopic eczema in the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 1999;103(1 Pt 1):125– 38.
- 125. Bieber T. Atopic Dermatitis. New York, Basel: Marcel Dekker; 2002.
- 126. Kurukulaaratchy R, Fenn M, Matthews S, et al. The prevalence, characteristics of and early life risk factors for eczema in 10-year-old children. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 2003;14(3):178–83.
- 127. Harris JM. Early allergen exposure and atopic eczema. British Journal of Dermatology 2007;156(4):698-704.
- 128. McNally NJ, Williams HC, Phillips DR, et al. Is there a geographical variation in eczema prevalence in the UK? Evidence from the 1958 British Birth Cohort Study. British Journal of Dermatology 2000;142(4):712–20.
- 129. George S, Berth-Jones J, Graham-Brown RA. A possible explanation for the increased referral of atopic dermatitis from the Asian community in Leicester. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1997;136(4):494–7.
- 130. Williams HC, Pembroke AC, Forsdyke H, et al. London-born black Caribbean children are at increased risk of atopic dermatitis. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 1995;32(2 Pt 1):212–17.
- 131. Wadonda-Kabondo N, Sterne JA, Golding J, et al. A prospective study of the prevalence and incidence of atopic dermatitis in children aged 0–42 months. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2003;149(5):1023–8.
- 132. Williams HC, Strachan DP. The natural history of childhood eczema: Observations from the British 1958 birth cohort study. British Journal of Dermatology 1998;139(5):834–9.
- 133. Ben-Gashir MA, Seed PT, Hay RJ. Predictors of atopic dermatitis severity over time. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 2004;50(3):349–56.
- 134. Illi S, von Mutius E, Lau S, et al.; Multicenter Allergy Study Group. The natural course of atopic dermatitis from birth to age 7 years and the association with asthma. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2004;113(5):925–31.
- 135. Aoki T, Fukuzumi T, Adachi J, et al. Re-evaluation of skin lesion distribution in atopic dermatitis. Analysis of cases 0 to 9 years of age. Acta Dermato-Venereologica 1992;176(Suppl):19–23.
- Nnoruka EN. Current epidemiology of atopic dermatitis in south-eastern Nigeria. International Journal of Dermatology 2004;43(10):739–44.
- 137. Macharia WM, Anabwani GM, Owili DM. Clinical presentation of atopic dermatitis in Negroid children. *African Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences* 1993;22(4):41–4.
- 138. Bohme M, Lannero E, Wickman M, et al. Atopic dermatitis and concomitant disease patterns in children up to two years of age. Acta Dermato-Venereologica 2002;82(2):98–103.
- 139. Bergmann RL, Bergmann KE, Lau-Schadensdorf S, et al. Atopic diseases in infancy. The German multicenter atopy study (MAS-90). Pediatric Allergy and Immunology 1994; 5(6 Suppl):19–25.
- 140. Kulig M, Bergmann R, Klettke U, et al. Natural course of sensitization to food and inhalant allergens during the first 6 years of life. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 1999;103(6):1173–9.
- 141. Bergmann RL, Edenharter G, Bergmann KE, et al. Atopic dermatitis in early infancy predicts allergic airway disease at 5 years. Clinical and Experimental Allergy 1998;28(8):965–70.
- 142. Eigenmann P, Calza, A-M. Diagnosis of IgE-mediated food allergy among Swiss children with atopic dermatitis. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 2000;11:95–100.
- 143. Eigenmann PA, Sicherer SH, Borkowski TA, et al. Prevalence of IgE-mediated food allergy among children with atopic dermatitis. *Pediatrics in Review* 1998;101(3):E8.
- 144. Hill DJ, Hosking CS. Food allergy and atopic dermatitis in infancy: An epidemiologic study. Pediatric Allergy and Immunology 2004;15(5):421–7.
- 145. Wuthrich B, Schmid-Grendelmeier P. Natural course of AEDS. Allergy 2002;57(3):267-8.
- 146. Wang IJ, Lin YT, Yang YH, et al. Correlation between age and allergens in pediatric atopic dermatitis. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology* 2004;93(4):334–8.
- 147. Johnke H. Patterns of sensitization in infants and its relation to atopic dermatitis. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 2006;17(8):591–600.
- 148. Wolkerstorfer A, Wahn U, Kjellman NI, et al. Natural course of sensitization to cow's milk and hen's egg in childhood atopic dermatitis: ETAC study group. Clinical and Experimental Allergy 2002;32(1):70–3.
- 149. Bohme M, Svensson A, Kull I, et al. Clinical features of atopic dermatitis at two years of age: a prospective, population-based case–control study. Acta Dermato-Venereologica 2001;81(3):193–7.
- 150. Vicencio JCA, Gonzalez-Andaya AM. Sensitization to food and aeroallergens in children with atopic dermatitis seen at the University of Santo Tomas Hospital Allergy Clinic. Santo Tomas Journal of Medicine 2005;52(3):92–100.
- 151. Erwin EA, Platts-Mills TAE. Aeroallergens. In: Bieber T, Leung DYM, eds. *Atopic Dermatitis*. New York: Dekker; 2002. p. 357–
- 152. Ellman-Grunther L, Sampson HA. Atopic dermatitis and foods. In: Bieber T, Leung DYM, eds. *Atopic Dermatitis*. New York: Dekker; 2002. p. 375–400.

- 153. Darsow UG, Ring J. Allergy diagnosis in atopic eczema with the atopy patch test. In: Bieber T, Leung DYM, eds. *Atopic Dermatitis*. New York: Dekker; 2002. p. 437–51.
- 154. Kolmer H, Platts-Mills TA. The role of inhalant allergens in atopic dermatitis. In: Williams HC, ed. *Atopic Dermatitis: the Epidemiology, Causes and Prevention of Atopic Eczema*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000. p. 183–92.
- David TJ, Patel L, Ewing CI, Stanton RHJ. Dietary factors in established atopic dermatitis. In: Williams H.C, ed. Atopic Dermatitis: the Epidemiology, Causes and Prevention of Atopic Eczema. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000. p. 193–201.
- 156. Langan SM, Williams HC. What causes worsening of eczema? A systematic review. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2006;155(3):504–14.
- 157. Leung DY, Boguniewicz M. Advances in allergic skin diseases. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2003; 111(3 Suppl):S805–12.
- 158. Bardana EJ Jr. Immunoglobulin E- (IgE) and non-IgE-mediated reactions in the pathogenesis of atopic eczema/dermatitis syndrome (AEDS). *Allergy* 2004;59(Suppl 78):25–9.
- 159. Morren MA, Przybilla B, Bamelis M, et al. Atopic dermatitis: triggering factors. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 1994;31(3 Pt 1):467–73.
- 160. Bindslev-Jensen C, Ballmer-Weber BK, Bengtsson U, et al. Standardization of food challenges in patients with immediate reactions to foods – position paper from the European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology. Allergy 2004;59(7):690–7.
- 161. Isolauri E, Turjanmaa K. Combined skin prick and patch testing enhances identification of food allergy in infants with atopic dermatitis. The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 1996;97(1 Pt 1):9–15.
- Majamaa H, Moisio P, Holm K, et al. Wheat allergy: diagnostic accuracy of skin prick and patch tests and specific IgE. Allergy 1999;54(8):851–6.
- 163. Niggemann B, Reibel S, Wahn U. The atopy patch test (APT) a useful tool for the diagnosis of food allergy in children with atopic dermatitis. *Allergy* 2000;55(3):281–5.
- 164. Niggemann B. Atopy Patch Test (APT) its role in diagnosis of food allergy in atopic dermatitis. *Indian Journal of Pediatrics* 2002;69(1):57–9.
- 165. Roehr CC, Reibel S, Ziegert M, et al. Atopy patch tests, together with determination of specific IgE levels, reduce the need for oral food challenges in children with atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2001;107(3):548–53.
- 166. Niggemann B, Sielaff B, Beyer K, et al. Outcome of double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenge tests in 107 children with atopic dermatitis. Clinical and Experimental Allergy 1999;29(1):91–6.
- 167. Sampson HA, Ho DG. Relationship between food-specific IgE concentrations and the risk of positive food challenges in children and adolescents. *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 1997;100(4):444–51.
- 168. Sampson HA, Albergo R. Comparison of results of skin tests, RAST, and double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenges in children with atopic dermatitis. *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 1984;74(1):26–33.
- 169. Breuer K, Heratizadeh A, Wulf A, et al. Late eczematous reactions to food in children with atopic dermatitis. Clinical and Experimental Allergy 2004;34(5):817–24.
- 170. Van Bever HP, Docx M, Stevens WJ. Food and food additives in severe atopic dermatitis. Allergy 1989;44(8):588-94.
- 171. Cudowska B, Kaczmarski M. Atopy patch test in the diagnosis of food allergy in children with atopic eczema dermatitis syndrome. Roczniki Akademii Medycznej W Bialymstoku 2005; 50:261–7.
- 172. Cantani A, Arcese G, Serra A, et al. Results of skin tests, RAST, and food challenges in children with atopic dermatitis associated with food allergy. *Padiatrie und Padologie* 1995;30(6):113–17.
- 173. de Waard-van der Spek FB, Elst EF, Mulder PG, et al. Diagnostic tests in children with atopic dermatitis and food allergy. Allergy 1998;53(11):1087–91.
- 174. Giusti F, Seidenari S. Patch testing with egg represents a useful integration to diagnosis of egg allergy in children with atopic dermatitis. *Pediatric Dermatology* 2005;22(2):109–11.
- 175. Monti G, Muratore MC, Peltran A, et al. High incidence of adverse reactions to egg challenge on first known exposure in young atopic dermatitis children: predictive value of skin prick test and radioallergosorbent test to egg proteins. Clinical and Experimental Allergy 2002;32(10):1515–19.
- 176. Seidenari S, Giusti F, Bertoni L, et al. Combined skin prick and patch testing enhances identification of peanut-allergic patients with atopic dermatitis. *Allergy* 2003;58(6):495–9.
- 177. Stromberg L. Diagnostic accuracy of the atopy patch test and the skin-prick test for the diagnosis of food allergy in young children with atopic eczema/dermatitis syndrome. *Acta Paediatrica* 2002;91(10):1044–9.
- 178. Jarvinen K-M, Turpeinen M, Suomalainen H. Concurrent cereal allergy in children with cow's milk allergy manifested with atopic dermatitis. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy* 2003;33(8):1060–6.
- 179. Cantani A, Micera M. The prick by prick test is safe and reliable in 58 children with atopic dermatitis and food allergy. European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences 2006;10(3):115–20.
- 180. Varjonen E, Vainio E, Kalimo K, et al. Skin-prick test and RAST responses to cereals in children with atopic dermatitis. Characterization of IgE-binding components in wheat and oats by an immunoblotting method. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy* 1995;25(11):1100–7.
- 181. Mehl A. The atopy patch test in the diagnostic workup of suspected food-related symptoms in children. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2006;118(4):923–9.
- 182. Niggemann B, Ziegert M, Reibel S. Importance of chamber size for the outcome of atopy patch testing in children with atopic dermatitis and food allergy. *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2002;110(3):515–16.

- 183. Darsow U, Vieluf D, Ring J. Atopy patch test with different vehicles and allergen concentrations: an approach to standardization. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 1995;95(3):677–84.
- 184. Verstege A, Mehl A, Rolinck-Werninghaus C, et al. The predictive value of the skin prick test weal size for the outcome of oral food challenges. Clinical and Experimental Allergy: Journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2005;35(9):1220–6.
- 185. Heine RG, Verstege A, Mehl A, et al. Proposal for a standardized interpretation of the atopy patch test in children with atopic dermatitis and suspected food allergy. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 2006;17(3):213–17.
- 186. Hosking CS, Heine RG, Hill DJ. The Melbourne milk allergy study Two decades of clinical research. *Allergy and Clinical Immunology International* 2000;12(5):198–205.
- 187. Sporik R, Hill DJ, Hosking CS. Specificity of allergen skin testing in predicting positive open food challenges to milk, egg and peanut in children. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy* 2000;30(11):1540–6.
- 188. Perackis K, Staden U, Mehl A, et al. Skin prick test with hen's egg: Whole egg or egg white? Allergy 2004;59(11):1236-7.
- 189. Kim TE, Park SW, Noh G, et al. Comparison of skin prick test results between crude allergen extracts from foods and commercial allergen extracts in atopic dermatitis by double-blind placebo-controlled food challenge for milk, egg, and soybean. *Yonsei Medical Journal* 2002;43(5):613–20.
- 190. Fiocchi A, Bouygue GR, Restani P, et al. Accuracy of skin prick tests in IgE-mediated adverse reactions to bovine proteins. Annals of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology: Official Publication of the American College of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology 2002;89(6 Suppl 1):26–32.
- 191. Mehl A, Verstege A, Staden U, et al. Utility of the ratio of food-specific IgE/total IgE in predicting symptomatic food allergy in children. *Allergy* 2005;60(8):1034–9.
- 192. Fiocchi A, Bouygue GR, Martelli A, et al. Dietary treatment of childhood atopic eczema/dermatitis syndrome (AEDS). *Allergy* 2004; 59(Suppl 78):78–85.
- Atherton DJ, Sewell M, Soothill JF, et al. A double-blind controlled crossover trial of an antigen-avoidance diet in atopic eczema. Lancet 1978;1(8061):401–3.
- Neild VS, Marsden RA, Bailes JA, et al. Egg and milk exclusion diets in atopic eczema. British Journal of Dermatology 1986;114(1):117–23.
- 195. Businco L, Businco E, Cantani A, et al. Results of a milk and/or egg free diet in children with atopic dermatitis. Allergologia et Immunopathologia 1982;10(4):283–8.
- 196. Sloper KS, Wadsworth J, Brostoff J. Children with atopic eczema. I: Clinical response to food elimination and subsequent double-blind food challenge. Quarterly Journal of Medicine 1991;80(292):677–93.
- 197. Flinterman AE, Knulst AC, Meijer. Acute allergic reactions in children with AEDS after prolonged cow's milk elimination diets. *Allergy* 2006;61(3):370–4.
- 198. Lever R, MacDonald C, Waugh P, et al. Randomised controlled trial of advice on an egg exclusion diet in young children with atopic eczema and sensitivity to eggs. Pediatric Allergy and Immunology 1998;9(1):13–19.
- 199. Aoki T, Kojima M, Adachi J, et al. Effect of short-term egg exclusion diet on infantile atopic dermatitis and its relation to egg allergy: a single-blind test. Acta Dermato-Venereologica. Supplementum 1992;176:99–102.
- Niggemann B, Binder C, Dupont C, et al. Prospective, controlled, multi-center study on the effect of an amino-acid-based formula in infants with cow's milk allergy/intolerance and atopic dermatitis. Pediatric Allergy and Immunology 2001;12(2):78–82.
- 201. Isolauri E, Sutas Y, Makinen-Kiljunen S, et al. Efficacy and safety of hydrolyzed cow milk and amino acid-derived formulas in infants with cow milk allergy. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1995;127(4):550–7.
- 202. Cant AJ, Bailes JA, Marsden RA, et al. Effect of maternal dietary exclusion on breast fed infants with eczema: two controlled studies. *British Medical Journal* 1986;293(6541):231–3.
- Kramer MS. Maternal dietary antigen avoidance during pregnancy or lactation, or both, for preventing or treating atopic disease in the child. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2007;(1).
- 204. van Asperen PP, Lewis M, Rogers M, et al. Experience with an elimination diet in children with atopic dermatitis. *Clinical Allergy* 1983:13(5):479–85.
- 205. Agata H, Kondo N, Fukutomi O, et al. Effect of elimination diets on food-specific IgE antibodies and lymphocyte proliferative responses to food antigens in atopic dermatitis patients exhibiting sensitivity to food allergens. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 1993;91(2):668–79.
- 206. Broberg A, Engstrom I, Kalimo K, et al. Elimination diet in young children with atopic dermatitis. *Acta Dermato-Venereologica* 1992;72(5):365–9.
- 207. Mabin DC, Sykes AE, David TJ. Controlled trial of a few foods diet in severe atopic dermatitis. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1995;73(3):202–7.
- 208. Devlin J, David TJ, Stanton RH. Six food diet for childhood atopic dermatitis. Acta Dermato-Venereologica 1991;71(1):20-4.
- 209. Devlin J, David TJ, Stanton RH. Elemental diet for refractory atopic eczema. Archives of Disease in Childhood 1991;66(1):93–
- 210. David TJ. Extreme dietary measures in the management of atopic dermatitis in childhood. *Acta Dermato-Venereologica*. *Supplementum* 1992;176:113–16.
- 211. Pike MG, Carter CM, Boulton P, et al. Few food diets in the treatment of atopic eczema. Archives of Disease in Childhood 1989;64(12):1691–8.
- 212. Leung TF, Ma KC, Cheung LT, et al. A randomized, single-blind and crossover study of an amino acid-based milk formula in treating young children with atopic dermatitis. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 2004;15(6):558–61.
- 213. Hill DJ, Lynch BC. Elemental diet in the management of severe eczema in childhood. Clinical Allergy 1982;12(3):313-15.

- 214. Martino F, Bruno G, Aprigliano D, et al. Effectiveness of a home-made meat based formula (the Rezza-Cardi diet) as a diagnostic tool in children with food-induced atopic dermatitis. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 1998;9(4):192–6.
- 215. Ehlers I, Worm M, Sterry W, et al. Sugar is not an aggravating factor in atopic dermatitis. Acta Dermato-Venereologica 2001:81(4):282-4
- Businco L, Meglio P, Amato G, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of oral cromolyn sodium or an oligoantigenic diet in children with atopic dermatitis: a multicenter study of 1085 patients. Journal of Investigational Allergology and Clinical Immunology 1996;6(2):103–9.
- 217. Graham P, Hall-Smith SP, Harris JM, et al. A study of hypoallergenic diets and oral sodium cromoglycate in the management of atopic eczema. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1984;110(4):457–67.
- 218. Molkhou P, Waguet JC. Food allergy and atopic dermatitis in children: treatment with oral sodium cromoglycate. *Annals of Allergy* 1981;47(3):173–5.
- 219. Businco L, Benincori N, Nini G, et al. Double-blind crossover trial with oral sodium cromoglycate in children with atopic dermatitis due to food allergy. *Annals of Allergy* 1986;57(6):433–8.
- 220. Ewing CI, Gibbs ACC, Ashcroft C, et al. Failure of oral zinc supplementation in atopic eczema. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition 1991;45(10):507–10.
- 221. Tsoureli-Nikita E, Hercogova J, Lotti T, et al. Evaluation of dietary intake of vitamin E in the treatment of atopic dermatitis: a study of the clinical course and evaluation of the immunoglobulin E serum levels. *International Journal of Dermatology* 2002;41(3):146–50.
- 222. Viljanen M, Savilahti E, Haahtela T, et al. Probiotics in the treatment of atopic eczema/dermatitis syndrome in infants: a double-blind placebo-controlled trial. Allergy 2005;60(4):494–500.
- 223. Majamaa H, Isolauri E. Probiotics: a novel approach in the management of food allergy. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 1997;99(2):179–85.
- 224. Brouwer ML, Wolt-Plompen SA, Dubois AE, et al. No effects of probiotics on atopic dermatitis in infancy: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy* 2006;36(7):899–906.
- 225. Nishioka K, Yasueda H, Saito H. Preventive effect of bedding encasement with microfine fibers on mite sensitization. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 1998;101(1 Pt 1):28–32.
- 226. Ricci G, Patrizi A, Specchia F, et al. Effect of house dust mite avoidance measures in children with atopic dermatitis. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2000;143(2):379–84.
- 227. Tan BB, Weald D, Strickland I, et al. Double-blind controlled trial of effect of housedust-mite allergen avoidance on atopic dermatitis. *Lancet* 1996;347(8993):15–18.
- 228. Sanda T, Yasue T, Oohashi M, et al. Effectiveness of house dust-mite allergen avoidance through clean room therapy in patients with atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 1992;89(3):653–7.
- 229. Glover MT, Atherton DJ. A double-blind controlled trial of hyposensitization to *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* in children with atopic eczema. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy* 1992;22(4):440–6.
- 230. Galli E, Chini L, Nardi S, et al. Use of a specific oral hyposensitization therapy to *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* in children with atopic dermatitis. *Allergologia et Immunopathologia* 1994;22(1):18–22.
- 231. Palmer CN, Irvine AD, Terron-Kwiatkowski A, et al. Common loss-of-function variants of the epidermal barrier protein filaggrin are a major predisposing factor for atopic dermatitis. *Nature Genetics* 2006;38(4):441–6.
- 232. BNF for Children [online] 2007 [bnfc.org/bnfc/bnfc/current/index.htm].
- 233. Loden M. Role of topical emollients and moisturizers in the treatment of dry skin barrier disorders. *American Journal of Clinical Dermatology* 2003;4(11):771–88.
- 234. Thestrup-Pedersen K. Treatment principles of atopic dermatitis. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 2002;16(1):1–9.
- Giordano-Labadie F. Evaluation of a new moisturizer (Exomega milk) in children with atopic dermatitis. The Journal of Dermatological Treatment 2006;17(2):78–81.
- 236. Cork MJ, Timmins J, Holden C, et al. An audit of adverse drug reactions to aqueous cream in children with atopic eczema. Pharmaceutical Journal 2003;271(7277):747–8.
- 237. Hindson TC. Urea in the topical treatment of atopic eczema. Archives of Dermatology 1971;104(3):284-5.
- 238. Clinical Research Group for Urea Ointment. A double-blind study on clinical efficacy of urea ointment. *Rinsho Hyoka* (Clinical Evaluation) 1977;5(1):103–25.
- 239. Freitag G, Hoppner T. Results of a postmarketing drug monitoring survey with a polidocanolurea preparation for dry, itching skin. *Current Medical Research and Opinion* 1997;13(9):529–37.
- 240. Whitefield M. Effectiveness of a new antimicrobial emollient in the management of eczema/dermatitis. *Journal of Dermatological Treatment* 1998;9(2):103–9.
- 241. Harper J. Double-blind comparison of an antiseptic oil-based bath additive (Oilatum Plus) with regular Oilatum (Oilatum Emollient) for the treatment of atopic eczema. *Round Table Series Royal Society of Medicine* 1995;(37)42–7.
- 242. Bettzuege-Pfaff BI, Melzer A. Treating dry skin and pruritus with a bath oil containing soya oil and lauromacrogols. *Current Medical Research and Opinion* 2005;21(11):1735–9.
- 243. Ling TC, Highet AS. Irritant reactions to an antiseptic bath emollient. Journal of Dermatological Treatment 2000;11(4):263-7.
- 244. White MI, Batten TL, Ormerod AD. Adverse effects of a daily bathing routine on children with atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Dermatological Treatment* 1994;5(1):21–3.
- 245. Lucky AW, Leach AD, Laskarzewski P, et al. Use of an emollient as a steroid-sparing agent in the treatment of mild to moderate atopic dermatitis in children. *Pediatric Dermatology* 1997;14(4):321–4.

- 246. Muzaffar F, Hussain I, Rani Z, et al. Emollients as an adjunct therapy to topical corticosteroids in children with mild to moderate atopic dermatitis. Journal of Pakistan Association of Dermatologists 2002;12:64–8.
- 247. Cork MJ. Complete Emollient Therapy. The National Association of Fundholding Practice Official Yearbook. London: Scorpio; 1998. p. 159–68.
- 248. Grimalt R, Mengeaud V, Cambazard F, et al. The steroid-sparing effect of an emollient therapy in infants with atopic dermatitis: a randomized controlled study. *Dermatology* 2007;214(1):61–7.
- 249. Hindley D, Galloway G, Murray J, et al. A randomised study of "wet wraps" versus conventional treatment for atopic eczema. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 2006;91(2):164–8.
- 250. Schnopp C, Holtmann C, Stock S, et al. Topical steroids under wet-wrap dressings in atopic dermatitis a vehicle-controlled trial. *Dermatology* 2002;204(1):56–9.
- 251. Barry BW, Woodford R. Comparative bio-availability and activity of proprietary topical corticosteroid preparations: vasoconstrictor assays on thirty-one ointments. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1975;93(5):563–71.
- 252. Activity and bioavailabilty of topical steroids. *In vivo/in vitro* correlations for the vasoconstrictor test. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy* 1978;3(1):43–65.
- 253. Long CC, Finlay AY. The finger-tip unit a new practical measure. Clinical and Experimental Dermatology 1991;16(6):444–7.
- 254. Thomas KS, Armstrong S, Avery A, et al. Randomised controlled trial of short bursts of a potent topical corticosteroid versus prolonged use of a mild preparation for children with mild or moderate atopic eczema. *British Medical Journal* 2002;324(7340):768.
- 255. Kirkup ME, Birchall NM, Weinberg EG, et al. Acute and maintenance treatment of atopic dermatitis in children two comparative studies with fluticasone propionate (0.05%) cream. *Journal of Dermatological Treatment* 2003;14(3):141–8.
- 256. Lebwohl M. A comparison of once-daily application of mometasone furoate 0.1% cream compared with twice-daily hydrocortisone valerate 0.2% cream in pediatric atopic dermatitis patients who failed to respond to hydrocortisone: mometasone furoate study group. *International Journal of Dermatology* 1999;38(8):604–6.
- 257. Smitt JHS, Winterberg DH, Oosting J. Treatment of atopic dermatitis with topical corticosteroids in children. Efficacy and systemic effects of triamcinolone acetonide and alclometasone dipropionate. European Journal of Dermatology 1993;3(7):549–52.
- 258. Veien NK, Hattel T, Justesen O, et al. Hydrocortisone 17-butyrate (Locoid) 0.1% cream versus hydrocortisone (Uniderm) 1% cream in the treatment of children suffering from atopic dermatitis. Journal of International Medical Research 1984;12(5):310–13.
- 259. Rafanelli A. Mometasone furoate in the treatment of atopic dermatitis in children. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 1993;2(3):225–30.
- 260. Vernon HJ, Lane AT, Weston W. Comparison of mometasone furoate 0.1% cream and hydrocortisone 1.0% cream in the treatment of childhood atopic dermatitis. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 1991;24(4):603–7.
- 261. Wolkerstorfer A, Strobos MA, Glazenburg EJ, et al. Fluticasone propionate 0.05% cream once daily versus clobetasone butyrate 0.05% cream twice daily in children with atopic dermatitis. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 1998:39(2 Pt 1):226–31.
- 262. Lassus A. Clinical comparison of alclometasone dipropionate cream 0.05% with hydrocortisone butyrate cream 0.1% in the treatment of atopic dermatitis in children. *Journal of International Medical Research* 1983;11(5):315–19.
- 263. Lassus A. Alclometasone dipropionate cream 0.05% versus clobetasone butyrate cream 0.05%. A controlled clinical comparison in the treatment of atopic dermatitis in children. *International Journal of Dermatology* 1984;23(8):565–6.
- 264. Munkvad M. A comparative trial of Clinitar versus hydrocortisone cream in the treatment of atopic eczema. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1989;121(6):763–6.
- 265. Reitamo S, Harper J, Dbos J, et al. 0.03% Tacrolimus ointment applied once or twice daily is more efficacious than 1% hydrocortisone acetate in children with moderate to severe atopic dermatitis: Results of a randomized double-blind controlled trial. British Journal of Dermatology 2004;150(3):554–62.
- 266. Reitamo S, Van Leent EJ, Ho V, et al. Efficacy and safety of tacrolimus ointment compared with that of hydrocortisone acetate ointment in children with atopic dermatitis. The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2002;109(3):539–46.
- 267. Sikder M, Al Mamun S., Khan RM, et al. Topical 0.03% tacrolimus ointment, 0.05% clobetasone butyrate cream alone and their combination in older children with atopic dermatitis An open randomized comparative study. *Journal of Pakistan Association of Dermatologists* 2005;15(4):304–12.
- 268. Andersen BL, Andersen KE, Nielsen R, et al. Treatment of dry atopic dermatitis in children. A double-blind comparison between Mildison lipocream(TM) (1% hydrocortisone) and Uniderm(TM) (1% hydrocortisone) ointment. Clinical Trials Journal 1988:25(4):278–84.
- 269. Olholm Larsen P, Brandrup F, Roders GA. Report on a double-blind, left-right study comparing the clinical efficacy of Mildison (hydrocortisone 1%) Lipocream(TM) with Uniderm(TM) (hydrocortisone 1%) cream in the treatment of children with atopic dermatitis. *Current Therapeutic Research* 1988;44(3):421–5.
- Stalder JF, Fleury M, Sourisse M, et al. Local steroid therapy and bacterial skin flora in atopic dermatitis. British Journal of Dermatology 1994;131(4):536–40.
- 271. Roth HL, Brown EP. Hydrocortisone valerate. Double-blind comparison with two other topical steroids. *Cutis* 1978;21(5):695–8.
- 272. Hanifin JM, Hebert AA, Mays SR, et al. Effects of a low-potency corticosteroid lotion plus a moisturizing regimen in the treatment of atopic dermatitis. *Current Therapeutic Research* 1998;59(4):227–33.
- 273. Lupton ES, Abbrecht MM, Brandon ML. Short-term topical corticosteroid therapy (halcinonide ointment) in the management of atopic dermatitis. *Cutis* 1982;30(5):671–5.

- 274. Boner AL, Richelli C, De SG, et al. Hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal function in children with atopic dermatitis treated with clobetasone butyrate and its clinical evaluation. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, Therapy, and Toxicology* 1985;23(2):118–20.
- 275. Boner AL, Richelli C, De SG, et al. Adrenocortical function during prolonged treatment with clobetasone butyrate in children with chronic atopic dermatitis and elevated IgE levels. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacology Research* 1985;5(2):127–31.
- 276. Friedlander SF, Hebert AA, Allen DB, et al. Safety of fluticasone propionate cream 0.05% for the treatment of severe and extensive atopic dermatitis in children as young as 3 months. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 2002;46(3):387–93.
- 277. Queille C, Pommarede R, Saurat JH. Efficacy versus systemic effects of six topical steroids in the treatment of atopic dermatitis of childhood. *Pediatric Dermatology* 1984;1(3):246–53.
- 278. Patel L, Clayton PE, Addison GM, et al. Adrenal function following topical steroid treatment in children with atopic dermatitis. British Journal of Dermatology 1995;132(6):950–5.
- 279. Ellison JA, Patel L, Ray DW, et al. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal function and glucocorticoid sensitivity in atopic dermatitis. *Pediatrics* 2000;105(4 Part 1):794–9.
- 280. Furue M, Terao H, Rikihisa W, et al. Clinical dose and adverse effects of topical steroids in daily management of atopic dermatitis. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2003;148(1):128–33.
- 281. Hengge UR, Ruzicka T, Schwartz RA, et al. Adverse effects of topical glucocorticosteroids. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 2006;54(1):1–18.
- 282. Callen J, Chamlin S, Eichenfield LF, et al. A systematic review of the safety of topical therapies for atopic dermatitis. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2007;156(2):203–21.
- 283. Sefton J, Galen WK, Nesbitt LT, et al. Comparative efficacy of hydrocortisone valerate 0.2% cream and triamcinolone acetonide 0.1% cream in the treatment of atopic dermatitis. Current Therapeutic Research, Clinical and Experimental 1983;34(2 Pat 1):341–4.
- 284. Prado de Oliveira ZN, Cuce LC, Arnone M. Comparative evaluation of efficacy, tolerability and safety of 0.1% topical momethasone furoate and 0.05% desonide in the treatment of childhood atopic dermatitis. *Anais Brasileiros de Dermatologia* 2002:77(1):25–33.
- 285. Lucky AW, Grote GD, Williams JL, et al. Effect of desonide ointment, 0.05%, on the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis of children with atopic dermatitis. *Cutis* 1997;59(3):151–3.
- 286. Bleehen SS, Chu AC, Hamann I, et al. Fluticasone propionate 0.05% cream in the treatment of atopic eczema: a multicentre study comparing once-daily treatment and once-daily vehicle cream application versus twice-daily treatment. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1995;133(4):592–7.
- 287. Richelli C, Piacentini GL, Sette L, et al. Clinical efficacy and tolerability of clobetasone 17-butyrate 0.5% lotion in children with atopic dermatitis. Current Therapeutic Research 1990;47(3):413–17.
- 288. Green C, Colquitt JL, Kirby J, Davidson P, Payne E. Clinical and cost-effectiveness of once-daily versus more frequent use of same potency topical corticosteroids for atopic eczema: a systematic review and economic evaluation. *Health Technology Assessment* 2004;8(47):iii,iv,1–120.
- 289. Hebert AA, Friedlander SF, Allen DB. Topical fluticasone propionate lotion does not cause HPA axis suppression. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2006;149(3):378–82.
- 290. Hebert AA, Friedlander SF, Allen DB. Topical fluticasone propionate lotion does not cause HPA axis suppression. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2006;149(3):378–82.
- Garside R, Stein K, Castelnuovo E, Pitt M, Ashcroft D, Dimmock P, Payne L. The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of pimecrolimus and tacrolimus for atopic eczema: a systematic review and economic evaluation. Health Technology Assessment 2005;9(29):iii,xi-xiii,1-230.
- Boguniewicz M, Fiedler VC, Raimer S, et al. A randomized, vehicle-controlled trial of tacrolimus ointment for treatment of atopic dermatitis in children. Pediatric Tacrolimus Study Group. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 1998;102(4 Pt 1):637–44.
- 293. Paller A, Eichenfield LF, Leung DY, et al. A 12-week study of tacrolimus ointment for the treatment of atopic dermatitis in pediatric patients. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 2001;44(1 Suppl):S47-57.
- 294. Drake L, Prendergast M, Maher R, et al. The impact of tacrolimus ointment on health-related quality of life of adult and pediatric patients with atopic dermatitis. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 2001;44(1 Suppl):S65-72.
- 295. Eichenfield LF, Lucky AW, Boguniewicz M, et al. Safety and efficacy of pimecrolimus (ASM 981) cream 1% in the treatment of mild and moderate atopic dermatitis in children and adolescents. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology 2002;46(4):495–504.
- 296. Whalley D, Huels J, McKenna SP, et al. The benefit of pimecrolimus (Elidel, SDZ ASM 981) on parents' quality of life in the treatment of pediatric atopic dermatitis. *Pediatrics* 2002;110(6):1133–6.
- 297. Wahn U, Bos JD, Goodfield M, et al. Efficacy and safety of pimecrolimus cream in the long-term management of atopic dermatitis in children. *Pediatrics* 2002;110(1 Pt 1):e2.
- 298. Ashcroft DM, Dimmock P, Garside R, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of topical pimecrolimus and tacrolimus in the treatment of atopic dermatitis: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *British Medical Journal* 2005;330:(7490):516.
- 299. Ho VC, Gupta A, Kaufmann R, et al. Safety and efficacy of nonsteroid pimecrolimus cream 1% in the treatment of atopic dermatitis in infants. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2003;142(2):155–62.
- 300. Breuer K, Braeutigam M, Kapp A, et al. Influence of pimecrolimus cream 1% on different morphological signs of eczema in infants with atopic dermatitis. *Dermatology* 2004;209(4):314–20.

- 301. Kaufmann R, Folster-Holst R, Hoger P, et al. Onset of action of pimecrolimus cream 1% in the treatment of atopic eczema in infants. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2004;114(5):1183–8.
- 302. Kapp A, Papp K, Bingham A, et al. Long-term management of atopic dermatitis in infants with topical pimecrolimus, a nonsteroid anti-inflammatory drug. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2002;110(2):277–84.
- 303. Papp KA, Werfel T, Folster-Holst R, et al. Long-term control of atopic dermatitis with pimecrolimus cream 1% in infants and young children: a two-year study. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 2005;52(2):240–6.
- 304. Siegfried E, Korman N, Molina C, et al. Safety and efficacy of early intervention with pimecrolimus cream 1% combined with corticosteroids for major flares in infants and children with atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Dermatological Treatment* 2006;17(3):143–50.
- 305. Kempers S, Boguniewicz M, Carter E, et al. A randomized investigator-blinded study comparing pimecrolimus cream 1% with tacrolimus ointment 0.03% in the treatment of pediatric patients with moderate atopic dermatitis. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 2004;51(4):515–25.
- 306. Eichenfield LF, Lucky AW, Langley RG, et al. Use of pimecrolimus cream 1% (Elidel) in the treatment of atopic dermatitis in infants and children: the effects of ethnic origin and baseline disease severity on treatment outcome. *International Journal of Dermatology* 2005;44(1):70–5.
- Schachner LA, Lamerson C, Sheehan MP, et al. Tacrolimus ointment 0.03% is safe and effective for the treatment of mild to
 moderate atopic dermatitis in pediatric patients: results from a randomized, double-blind, vehicle-controlled study. *Pediatrics*2005;116(3):e334–42.
- 308. Bieber T. Efficacy and safety of methylprednisolone aceponate ointment 0.1% compared to tacrolimus 0.03% in children and adolescents with an acute flare of severe atopic dermatitis. *Allergy* 2007;62(2):184–9.
- Arkwright PD, Gillespie MC, Ewing CI, et al. Blinded side-to-side comparison of topical corticosteroid and tacrolimus ointment in children with moderate to severe atopic dermatitis. Clinical and Experimental Dermatology 2007;32(2):145–7.
- 310. Koo JYM, Fleischer Jr AB, Abramovits W, et al. Tacrolimus ointment is safe and effective in the treatment of atopic dermatitis: Results in 8000 patients. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 2005;53(2 Suppl 2):S195–205.
- 311. Tan J, Langley R. Safety and efficacy of tacrolimus ointment 0.1% (Protopic) in atopic dermatitis: a Canadian open-label multicenter study. *Journal of Cutaneous Medicine and Surgery* 2004;8(4):213–19.
- 312. Hanifin JM, Paller AS, Eichenfield L, et al. Efficacy and safety of tacrolimus ointment treatment for up to 4 years in patients with atopic dermatitis. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology 2005;53(2 Suppl 2):S186–94.
- Remitz A. Long-term safety and efficacy of tacrolimus ointment for the treatment of atopic dermatitis in children. Acta Dermato-Venereologica 2007;87(1):54–61.
- 314. Singalavanija S, Noppakun. Efficacy and safety of tacrolimus ointment in pediatric Patients with moderate to severe atopic dermatitis. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand* 2006;89(11):1915–22.
- 315. Lubbe J, Friedlander SF, Cribier B, et al. Safety, efficacy, and dosage of 1% pimecrolimus cream for the treatment of atopic dermatitis in daily practice. American Journal of Clinical Dermatology 2006;7(2):121–31.
- 316. Staab D, Pariser D, Gottlieb AB, et al. Low systemic absorption and good tolerability of pimecrolimus, administered as 1% cream (Elidel) in infants with atopic dermatitis—a multicenter, 3-week, open-label study. *Pediatric Dermatology* 2005:22(5):465–71.
- 317. Allen BR, Lakhanpaul M, Morris A, et al. Systemic exposure, tolerability, and efficacy of pimecrolimus cream 1% in atopic dermatitis patients. Archives of Disease in Childhood 2003;88(11):969–73.
- 318. Lakhanpaul M, Davies T, Allen BR, et al. Low systemic exposure in infants with atopic dermatitis in a 1-year pharmacokinetic study with pimecrolimus cream 1%. Experimental Dermatology 2006;15(2):138–41.
- 319. Topical tacrolimus (Protopic) and pimecrolimus (Elidel): reports of malignancies. Current Problems in Pharmacovigilance 2006;31:1–2.
- 320. Ellis CN, Kahler KH, Grueger J, et al. Cost effectiveness of management of mild-to-moderate atopic dermatitis with 1% pimecrolimus cream in children and adolescents 2–17 years of age. American Journal of Clinical Dermatology 2006;7(2):133–9.
- 321. Pitt M, Garside R, Stein K. A cost-utility analysis of pimecrolimus vs. topical corticosteroids and emollients for the treatment of mild and moderate atopic eczema. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2006;154(6):1137–46.
- 322. Coyle D, Barbeau M. Cost effectiveness of elidel in the management of patients with atopic dermatitis in Canada. *Journal of Cutaneous Medicine and Surgery* 2004;8(6):405–10.
- 323. Goodyear HM, Harper JI. 'Wet wrap' dressings for eczema: an effective treatment but not to be misused. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2002;146(1):159.
- 324. Devillers ACA, Oranje AP. Efficacy and safety of 'wet-wrap' dressings as an intervention treatment in children with severe and/or refractory atopic dermatitis: A critical review of the literature. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2006;154(4):579–85.
- 325. Oranje A, Devillers A, Kunz B, et al. Treatment of patients with atopic dermatitis using wet-wrap dressings with diluted steroids and/or emollients. An expert panel's opinion and review of the literature. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 2006;20(10):1277–86.
- 326. Beattie PE, Lewis-Jones MS. A pilot study on the use of wet wraps in infants with moderate atopic eczema. *Clinical and Experimental Dermatology* 2004;29(4):348–53.
- 327. Pei AYS, Chan HHL, Ho KM. The effectiveness of wet wrap dressings using 0.1% mometasone furoate and 0.005% fluticasone proprionate ointments in the treatment of moderate to severe atopic dermatitis in children. *Pediatric Dermatology* 2001;18(4):343–8.
- 328. Wolkerstorfer A, Visser RL, De Waard van der Spek FB, et al. Efficacy and safety of wet-wrap dressings in children with severe atopic dermatitis: influence of corticosteroid dilution. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2000;143(5):999–1004.

- 329. Devillers ACA, de Waard-van der Spek FB, Mulder PGH, et al. Treatment of refractory atopic dermatitis using 'wet-wrap' dressings and diluted corticosteroids: Results of standardized treatment in both children and adults. *Dermatology* 2002;204(1):50–5.
- 330. Tang WYM, Chan HHL, Lam VMF, et al. Outpatient, short-term, once-daily, diluted, 0.1% mometasone furoate wet-wraps for childhood atopic eczema. *Journal of Dermatological Treatment* 1999;10(3):157–63.
- 331. McGowan R, Tucker P, Joseph D, et al. Short-term growth and bone turnover in children undergoing occlusive steroid ('Wet-Wrap') dressings for treatment of atopic eczema. *Journal of Dermatological Treatment* 2003;14(3):149–52.
- 332. Oranje AP, Wolkerstorfer A, De Waard-Van Der Spek FB. Treatment of erythrodermic atopic dermatitis with 'wet-wrap' fluticasone propionate 0.05% cream/emollient 1:1 dressings. *Journal of Dermatological Treatment* 1999;10(1):73–4.
- 333. Volden G. Successful treatment of therapy-resistant atopic dermatitis with clobetasol propionate and a hydrocolloid occlusive dressing. *Acta Dermato-Venereologica*. *Supplementum* 1992;176:126–8.
- 334. Goodyear HM, Spowart K, Harper JI. 'Wet-wrap' dressings for the treatment of atopic eczema in children. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1991;125(6):604.
- 335. Niordson AM, Stahl D. Treatment of psoriasis with Clinitar Cream. A controlled clinical trial. *British Journal of Clinical Practice* 1985;39(2):67–8,72.
- 336. Stainer R, Matthews S, Arshad SH, et al. Efficacy and acceptability of a new topical skin lotion of sodium cromoglicate (Altoderm) in atopic dermatitis in children aged 2–12 years: A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 2005;152(2):334–41.
- 337. Moore C, Ehlayel MS, Junprasert J, et al. Topical sodium cromoglycate in the treatment of moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology* 1998;81(5 Pt 1):452–8.
- 338. La Rosa M, Ranno C, Musarra I, et al. Double-blind study of cetirizine in atopic eczema in children. *Annals of Allergy* 1994;73(2):117–22.
- 339. Munday J, Bloomfield R, Goldman M, et al. Chlorpheniramine is no more effective than placebo in relieving the symptoms of childhood atopic dermatitis with a nocturnal itching and scratching component. *Dermatology* 2002;205(1):40–5.
- 340. Klein GL, Galant SP. A comparison of the antipruritic efficacy of hydroxyzine and cyproheptadine in children with atopic dermatitis. *Annals of Allergy* 1980;44(3):142–5.
- 341. Yoshida H, Niimura M, Ueda H, et al. Clinical evaluation of ketotifen syrup on atopic dermatitis: a comparative multicenter double-blind study of ketotifen and clemastine. *Annals of Allergy* 1989;62(6):507–12.
- 342. Chunharas A, Wisuthsarewong W, Wananukul S, et al. Therapeutic efficacy and safety of loratadine syrup in childhood atopic dermatitis treated with mometasone furoate 0.1 per cent cream. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand* 2002:85(4):482–7.
- 343. Diepgen TL, Early Treatment of the Atopic Child Study Group. Long-term treatment with cetirizine of infants with atopic dermatitis: a multi-country, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial (the ETAC trial) over 18 months. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 2002;13(4):278–86.
- 344. Simons FER. Prospective, long-term safety evaluation of the H1-receptor antagonist cetirizine in very young children with atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 1999;104(2 Pt 1):433–40.
- 345. Stevenson J, Cornah D, Evrard P, et al. Long-term evaluation of the impact of the H1-receptor antagonist cetirizine on the behavioral, cognitive, and psychomotor development of very young children with atopic dermatitis. *Pediatric Research* 2002;52(2):251–7.
- 346. Estelle F, Simons R. Prevention of acute urticaria in young children with atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2001;107(4):703–6.
- 347. Higaki S, Morimatsu. Staphylococcus species on the skin surface of infant atopic dermatitis patients. *Journal of International Medical Research* 1998;26(2):98–101.
- 348. Keswick BH, Seymour JL, Milligan MC. Diaper area skin microflora of normal children and children with atopic dermatitis. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 1987;25(2):216–21.
- 349. Szakos E, Lakos. Relationship between skin bacterial colonization and the occurrence of allergen-specific and non-allergen-specific antibodies in sera of children with atopic eczema/dermatitis syndrome. Acta Dermato-Venereologica 2004;84(1):32–6.
- 350. Williams RE, Gibson AG, Aitchison TC, et al. Assessment of a contact-plate sampling technique and subsequent quantitative bacterial studies in atopic dermatitis. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1990;123(4):493–501.
- 351. Arslanagic N. Atopic dermatitis and Staphylococcus aureus. Medicinski Arhiv 2004;58(6):363-5.
- 352. Ricci G. Frequency and clinical role of *Staphylococcus aureus* overinfection in atopic dermatitis in children. *Pediatric Dermatology* 2003;20(5):389–92.
- 353. Monti G. Staphylococcus aureus skin colonization in infants with atopic dermatitis. Dermatology 1996;193(2):83–7.
- 354. Leyden JJ, Marples RR, Kligman AM. Staphylococcus aureus in the lesions of atopic dermatitis. British Journal of Dermatology 1974;90(5):525–30.
- 355. Falanga V. Nasal carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus* and antistaphylococcal immunoglobulin E antibodies in atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 1985;22(3):452–4.
- 356. Hon K. Clinical features associated with nasal *Staphylococcus aureus* colonisation in Chinese children with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis. *Annals of the Academy of Medicine, Singapore* 2005;34(10):602–5.
- 357. Kyu HK, Ji HH, Kyoung CP. Periauricular eczematization in childhood atopic dermatitis. *Pediatric Dermatology* 1996;13(4):278–80.
- 358. Williams JV, Vowels BR, Honig PJ, et al. S. aureus isolation from the lesions, the hands, and the anterior nares of patients with atopic dermatitis. *Pediatric Dermatology* 1998;15(3):194–8.

- 359. Hoeger PH. Staphylococcal skin colonization in children with atopic dermatitis: prevalence, persistence, and transmission of toxigenic and nontoxigenic strains. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1992;165(6):1064–8.
- 360. Smith RJ, Alder VG, Warin RP. Pyogenic cocci in infantile eczema throughout one year. *British Medical Journal* 1975;3(5977):199–201.
- 361. Patel GK. Staphylococcus aureus colonization of children with atopic eczema and their parents [2]. Acta Dermato-Venereologica 2001;81(5):366–7.
- 362. Roll A. Microbial colonization and atopic dermatitis. Current Opinion in Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2004;4(5):373-8.
- 363. Lomholt H. Staphylococcus aureus clonal dynamics and virulence factors in children with atopic dermatitis. Journal of Investigative Dermatology 2005;125(5):977–82.
- 364. Matsui K. Comparative study of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from lesional and non-lesional skin of atopic dermatitis patients. *Microbiology and Immunology* 2000;44(11):945–7.
- David TJ, Richmond SJ, Bailey AS. Serological evidence of herpes simplex virus infection in atopic eczema. Archives of Disease in Childhood 1987;62(4):416–17.
- 366. Rystedt I, Strannegard IL, Strannegard O. Recurrent viral infections in patients with past or present atopic dermatitis. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1986;114(5):575–82.
- 367. Verbov J. Severe varicella in a child with atopic eczema and ichthyosis. Practitioner 1986;230(1411):15-16.
- 368. Williams H. Are viral warts seen more commonly in children with eczema? Archives of Dermatology 1993;129(6):717-20.
- 369. Broberg A. *Pityrosporum ovale* in healthy children, infantile seborrhoeic dermatitis and atopic dermatitis. *Acta Dermato-Venereologica*. *Supplementum* 1994;191:2–47.
- 370. Jang K. Tinea pedis in Korean children. International Journal of Dermatology 2000;39(1):25-7.
- 371. Arzumanyan VG, Magarshak OO, Semenov BF. Yeast fungi in patients with allergic diseases: Species variety and sensitivity to antifungal drugs. *Bulletin of Experimental Biology and Medicine* 2000;129(6):601–4.
- Ventura A. The effect of bacterial infection in the worsening of atopic dermatitis: correlations with humoral immunologic patterns. Annals of Allergy 1989;63(2):121–6.
- 373. Hanifin JM. Staphylococcal infections in patients with atopic dermatitis. Archives of Dermatology 1977;113(10):1383-6.
- 374. Hoeger PH. Staphylococcal septicemia in children with atopic dermatitis. Pediatric Dermatology 2000;17(2):111–14.
- 375. Sharma AK. Atopic dermatitis and *Staphylococcus aureus*-induced osteomyelitis a peculiar association in a case. *Pediatric Dermatology* 1997;14(6):453–5.
- 376. Pike MG. Atopic dermatitis complicated by acute bacterial endocarditis. Acta Paediatrica Scandinavica 1989;78(3):463-4.
- 377. David TJ, Cambridge GC. Bacterial infection and atopic eczema. Archives of Disease in Childhood 1986;61(1):20-3.
- 378. Adachi J. Increasing incidence of streptococcal impetigo in atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Dermatological Science* 1998;17(1):45–53.
- 379. Higaki S, Nakamura. Secondary infections with beta-hemolytic streptococci in skin lesions. *International Journal of Tissue Reactions* 2003;25(2):47–50.
- 380. Adachi J. Group G streptococcal impetigo in atopic dermatitis Case reports. Skin Research 1996;38(6):581-4.
- 381. Scheinfeld N. Superinfection of eczema with multiple Acinetobacter species [8]. Acta Dermato-Venereologica 2003;83(2):143.
- 382. Leyden JJ. Localized herpes simplex infections in atopic dermatitis. Archives of Dermatology 1979;115(3):311–12.
- 383. David TJ. Herpes simplex infections in atopic eczema. Archives of Disease in Childhood 1985;60(4):338–43.
- 384. Taieb A. Clinical epidemiology of symptomatic primary herpetic infection in children. A study of 50 cases. *Acta Paediatrica Scandinavica* 1987;76(1):128–32.
- 385. Novelli VM, Atherton DJ, Marshall WC. Eczema herpeticum. Clinical and laboratory features. Clinical Pediatrics 1988:27(5):231_3
- 386. Fivenson DP, Breneman DL, Wander AH. Kaposi's varicelliform eruption. Absence of ocular involvement. *Archives of Dermatology* 1990;126(8):1037–9.
- 387. Lai Y. Eczema herpeticum in children with atopic dermatitis. Acta Paediatrica Taiwanica 1999;40(5):325–9.
- 388. Callen JP. Epidemic herpes simplex virus infection. American Journal of Diseases of Children 1983;137(2):182-4.
- 389. David TJ, Lakhani PK, Haeney MR. Severe atopic eczema, recurrent pneumococcal meningitis and recurrent eczema herpeticum. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine* 1984;77(8):696–7.
- Cox GF, Levy ML, Wolf JE. Is eczema herpeticum associated with the use of hot tubs? *Pediatric Dermatology* 1985;2(4):322–3.
- 391. Muelleman PJ, Doyle JA, House RF. Eczema herpeticum treated with oral acyclovir. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 1986;15(4 Pt 1):716–17.
- 392. Sanderson IR, Brueton LA, Savage MO, et al. Eczema herpeticum: a potentially fatal disease. *British Medical Journal* 1987;294(6573):693–4.
- 393. Bajoghli A. Pathological case of the month. Eczema herpeticum. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine* 1999;153(8):891–2.
- 394. Katta R. Painful skin erosions and fever in an infant. Eczema herpeticum. Postgraduate Medicine 2001;109(2):129-30.
- 395. Mackley CL, Adams DR, Anderson. Eczema herpeticum: a dermatologic emergency. *Dermatology Nursing* 2002;14(5):307–10.
- 396. Khan MS. Eczema herpeticum: a case report. International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry 2005;15(2):136-9.

- 397. Kubeyinie EP. Varicella infection in Saudi children with atopic eczema. Medical Science Research 1995;23(9):591-2.
- Lipman BL. Atopic dermatitis in an infant complicated by generalized verrucae vulgares. Annals of Allergy 1983;51(1 Pt 1):33-4.
- Kakourou T. Molluscum contagiosum in Greek children: a case series. International Journal of Dermatology 2005;44(3):221–
 3.
- 400. Dohil MA. The epidemiology of molluscum contagiosum in children. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 2006;54(1):47–54.
- Solomon LM. Eruptive molluscum contagiosum in atopic dermatitis. Canadian Medical Association Journal 1966;95(19):978–9.
- 402. Keipert JA. The association of molluscum contagiosum and infantile eczema. Medical Journal of Australia 1971;1(5):267-70.
- 403. Block SH. The association of molluscum contagiosum and infantile eczema. Medical Journal of Australia 1972;2(11):626-7.
- 404. McHenry PM, Williams HC, Bingham EA. Management of atopic eczema. Joint Workshop of the British Association of Dermatologists and the Research Unit of the Royal College of Physicians of London. *British Medical Journal* 1995;310(6983):843–7
- 405. Dhar S, Kanwar AJ, Kauer. Role of bacterial flora in the pathogenesis and management of atopic dermatitis. *IJMR (Indian Journal of Medical Research) Section A* 1992;95:234–8.
- 406. Ewing Cl. Flucloxacillin in the treatment of atopic dermatitis. British Journal of Dermatology 1998;138(6):1022-9.
- 407. Boguniewicz M. Effects of cefuroxime axetil on Staphylococcus aureus colonization and superantigen production in atopic dermatitis. The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology 2001;108(4):651–2.
- 408. Stalder JE. Comparative effects of two topical antiseptics (Chlorhexidine vs KMnO4) on bacterial skin flora in atopic dermatitis. Acta Dermato-Venereologica Supplementum 1992;(176):132–4.
- 409. Breneman DL, Hanifin JM, Berge CA, et al. The effect of antibacterial soap with 1.5% triclocarban on *Staphylococcus aureus* in patients with atopic dermatitis. *Cutis* 2000;66(4):296–300.
- 410. Sasai-Takedatsu M. Reduction of *Staphylococcus aureus* in atopic skin lesions with acid electrolytic water a new therapeutic strategy for atopic dermatitis. *Allergy* 1997;52(10):1012–16.
- 411. Ricci G. Evaluation of the antibacterial activity of a special silk textile in the treatment of atopic dermatitis. *Dermatology* 2006;213(3):224–7.
- 412. Noble WC. Steroid cream contaminated with Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Lancet 1966;1(7433):347-9.
- 413. Savin JA. Topical steroids and bacterial infection. British Journal of Dermatology 1976;94 Suppl 12:125-8.
- 414. Baird RM, Awad ZA, Shooter RA, et al. Contaminated medicaments in use in a hospital for diseases of the skin. *Journal of Hygiene* 1980;84(1):103–8.
- 415. Millar BC. Isolation of Alternaria alternata from an emollient cream: implications for public health. Mycopathologia 2003:156(4):273–7.
- 416. Weinberg E, Fourie B, Allmann B, Toerien A. The use of cefadroxil in superinfected atopic dermatitis. *Current Therapeutic Research, Clinical and Experimental* 1992;52(5):671–6.
- 417. Kimata H. Effect of nadifloxacin on atopic dermatitis with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in young children. *European Journal of Pediatrics* 1999;158(11):949.
- 418. Luber H. Mupirocin and the eradication of *Staphylococcus aureus* in atopic dermatitis. *Archives of Dermatology* 1988;124(6):853–4.
- 419. Hjorth N, Schmidt H, Thomsen K. Fusidic acid plus betamethasone in infected or potentially infected eczema. *Pharmatherapeutica* 1985;4(2):126–31.
- 420. Goodyear HM, Watson PJ, Egan SA, et al. Skin microflora of atopic eczema in first time hospital attenders. Clinical and Experimental Dermatology 1993;18(4):300–4.
- 421. Goh CL, Wong JS, Giam YC. Skin colonization of *Staphylococcus aureus* in atopic dermatitis patients seen at the National Skin Centre, Singapore. *International Journal of Dermatology* 1997;36(9):653–7.
- 422. Shah M. High levels of fusidic acid-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in dermatology patients. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2003;148(5):1018–20.
- 423. Hoeger PH. Antimicrobial susceptibility of skin-colonizing *S. aureus* strains in children with atopic dermatitis. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 2004;15(5):474–7.
- 424. El-Zimaity D, Kearns AM, Dawson SJ, et al. Survey, characterization and susceptibility to fusidic acid of *Staphylococcus* aureus in the Carmarthen area. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 2004;54(2):441–6.
- 425. Langan SM, Thomas KS, Williams HC. What is meant by a "flare" in atopic dermatitis? *Archives of Dermatology* 2006;142(9):1190–6.
- 426. Ricci G, Patrizi A, Bendandi B, et al. Clinical effectiveness of a silk fabric in the treatment of atopic dermatitis. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2004;150(1):127–31.
- 427. Hanifin J, Gupta AK, Rajagopalan R. Intermittent dosing of fluticasone propionate cream for reducing the risk of relapse in atopic dermatitis patients. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2002;147(3):528–37.
- 428. Berth-Jones J, Damstra RJ, Golsch S, et al. Twice weekly fluticasone propionate added to emollient maintenance treatment to reduce risk of relapse in atopic dermatitis: randomised, double blind, parallel group study. British Medical Journal 2003;326(7403):1367.
- 429. Van Der Meer JB, Glazenburg EJ, Mulder PGH, et al. The management of moderate to severe atopic dermatitis in adults with topical fluticasone propionate. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1999;140(6):1114–21.

- 430. Ibbotson SH, Bilsland D, Cox NH, et al. An update and guidance on narrowband ultraviolet B phototherapy: a British Photodermatology Group Workshop Report. British Journal of Dermatology 2004;151(2):283–97.
- 431. Tzung TY, Lin CB, Chen YH, et al. Pimecrolimus and narrowband UVB as monotherapy or combination therapy in children and adolescents with atopic dermatitis. *Acta Dermato-Venereologica* 2006;86(1):34–8.
- 432. Silva SH, Guedes AC, Gontijo B, et al. Influence of narrow-band UVB phototherapy on cutaneous microbiota of children with atopic dermatitis. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 2006;20(9):1114–20.
- 433. Tay Y. Experience with UVB phototherapy in children. Pediatric Dermatology 1996;13(5):406-9.
- 434. Pasic A. Phototherapy in pediatric patients. Pediatric Dermatology 2003;20(1):71-7.
- 435. Jury CS. Narrowband ultraviolet B (UVB) phototherapy in children. Clinical and Experimental Dermatology 2006;31(2):196-9.
- 436. Collins P. Narrowband (TL-01) UVB air-conditioned phototherapy for atopic eczema in children. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1995;133(4):653–5.
- 437. Clayton TH, Clark SM, Turner. The treatment of severe atopic dermatitis in childhood with narrowband ultraviolet B phototherapy. Clinical and Experimental Dermatology 2007;32(1):28–33.
- 438. Sheehan MP, Atherton DJ, Norris P, et al. Oral psoralen photochemotherapy in severe childhood atopic eczema: an update. British Journal of Dermatology 1993;129(4):431–6.
- 439. Atherton DJ. The role of psoralen photochemotherapy (PUVA) in the treatment of severe atopic eczema in adolescents. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1988;118(6):791–5.
- 440. Harper Jl. Cyclosporin for severe childhood atopic dermatitis: short course versus continuous therapy. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2000;142(1):52–8.
- 441. Zaki I. Treatment of severe atopic dermatitis in childhood with cyclosporin. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1996;135(Suppl 48):21–4.
- 442. Bunikowski R, Staab D, Kussebi F, et al. Low-dose cyclosporin A microemulsion in children with severe atopic dermatitis: clinical and immunological effects. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 2001;12(4):216–23.
- 443. von Ruden U. Cyclosporin A treatment of children with severe atopic dermatitis improves quality of life of their mothers. *Dermatology and Psychosomatics* 2002;3(1):14–18.
- 444. Bunikowski R. Effect of low-dose cyclosporin a microemulsion on disease severity, interleukin-6, interleukin-8 and tumor necrosis factor alpha production in severe pediatric atopic dermatitis. *International Archives of Allergy and Immunology* 2001;125(4):344–8.
- 445. Bunikowski R. Effect of oral cyclosporin A in children with *Staphylococcus aureus*-colonized vs *S aureus*-infected severe atopic dermatitis. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 2003;14(1):55–9.
- 446. Leonardi S, Marchese G, Rotolo N, et al. Cyclosporin is safe and effective in severe atopic dermatitis of childhood. Report of three cases. *Minerva Pediatrica* 2004;56(2):231–7.
- 447. Bourke JF. A new microemulsion formulation of cyclosporin (Neoral) is effective in the treatment of cyclosporin-resistant dermatoses. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1996;134(4):777–9.
- 448. Ahmed I. Paradoxical normalization of blood pressure in a child with atopic dermatitis treated with cyclosporin. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2002;147(1):183–4.
- 449. van Meurs T. Extreme rises in serum alkaline phosphatase in children with atopic dermatitis after intervention treatment with cyclosporin A. *Pediatric Dermatology* 1998;15(6):483.
- 450. Heddle RJ, Soothill JF, Bulpitt CJ, et al. Combined oral and nasal beclomethasone diproprionate in children with atopic eczema: a randomised controlled trial. *British Medical Journal* 1984;289(6446):651–4.
- 451. Galli E, Chini L, Moschese V, et al. Methylprednisolone bolus: A novel therapy for severe atopic dermatitis. *Acta Paediatrica* 1994;83(3):315–17.
- 452. Sonenthal KR, Grammer LC, Patterson R. Do some patients with atopic dermatitis require long-term oral steroid therapy? Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 1993;91(5):971–3.
- 453. Forte WC, Sumita JM, Rodrigues AG, et al. Rebound phenomenon to systemic corticosteroid in atopic dermatitis. *Allergologia* et *Immunopathologia* 2005;33(6):307–11.
- 454. Murphy LA. A retrospective evaluation of azathioprine in severe childhood atopic eczema, using thiopurine methyltransferase levels to exclude patients at high risk of myelosuppression. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2002;147(2):308–15.
- 455. Murphy LA. Azathioprine as a treatment for severe atopic eczema in children with a partial thiopurine methyl transferase (TPMT) deficiency. *Pediatric Dermatology* 2003;20(6):531–4.
- 456. Goujon C, Berard F, Dahel K, et al. Methotrexate for the treatment of adult atopic dermatitis. European Journal of Dermatology 2006;16(2):155–8.
- 457. Weatherhead SC, Wahie S, Reynolds NJ, et al. An open-label, dose-ranging study of methotrexate for moderate-to-severe adult atopic eczema. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2007;156(2):346–51.
- 458. Hanifin JM, Schneider LC, Leung DY, et al. Recombinant interferon gamma therapy for atopic dermatitis. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 1993;28(2 Pt 1):189–97.
- 459. Stevens SR, Hanifin JM, Hamilton T, et al. Long-term effectiveness and safety of recombinant human interferon gamma therapy for atopic dermatitis despite unchanged serum IgE levels. *Archives of Dermatology* 1998;134(7):799–804.
- 460. Schneider LC. Long-term therapy with recombinant interferon-gamma (rIFN-gamma) for atopic dermatitis. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology* 1998;80(3):263–8.
- 461. Noh GW. Blood eosinophils and serum IgE as predictors for prognosis of interferon-gamma therapy in atopic dermatitis. *Allergy* 1998;53(12):1202–7.
- 462. Pung YH, Vetro SW, Bellanti JA. Use of interferons in atopic (IgE-mediated) diseases. Annals of Allergy 1993;71(3):234-8.

- 463. Horneff G. Interferon-gamma for treatment of severe atopic eczema in two children. Clinical Investigator 1994;72(5):400–3.
- 464. Patel L. Interferon gamma in children with severe refractory atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Dermatological Treatment* 1996;7(Suppl 3):S21–23.
- 465. Jolles S. A review of high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin treatment for atopic dermatitis. Clinical and Experimental Dermatology 2002;27(1):3–7.
- 466. Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency. Advice to Consumers The Traditional Herbal Medicines Registration Scheme [www.mhra.gov.uk/home/idcplg?ldcService=SS GET PAGE&nodeld=1142].
- 467. Johnston GA, Bilbao RM, Graham-Brown RA. The use of complementary medicine in children with atopic dermatitis in secondary care in Leicester. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2003;149(3):566–71.
- Hughes R, Ward D, Tobin AM, et al. The use of alternative medicine in pediatric patients with atopic dermatitis. Pediatric Dermatology 2007;24(2):118–20.
- 469. Witt CM, Ludtke R, Baur R, et al. Homeopathic medical practice: long-term results of a cohort study with 3981 patients. BMC Public Health 2005;5:115.
- 470. Mohan GR, Anandhi KS. Efficacy of homeopathic drugs in dermatitis of atopic diathesis: a clinical study. *Homoeopathic Links* 2003;16(4):257–62.
- 471. Sheehan MP, Atherton DJ. A controlled trial of traditional Chinese medicinal plants in widespread non-exudative atopic eczema. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1992;126(2):179–84.
- 472. Sheehan MP, Atherton DJ. One-year follow up of children treated with Chinese medicinal herbs for atopic eczema. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1994;130(4):488–93.
- 473. Zhang W, Leonard T, Bath-Hextall F, Chambers CA, Lee C, Humphreys R, Williams HC. Chinese herbal medicine for atopic eczema. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2005;(4).
- 474. Hon K, Leung TF, Wong Y, et al. A pentaherbs capsule as a treatment option for atopic dermatitis in children: an open-labeled case series. *American Journal of Chinese Medicine* 2004;32(6):941–50.
- 475. Perharic-Walton L, Murray V. Toxicity of Chinese herbal remedies. Lancet 1992;340(8820):673-4.
- 476. Kane JA, Kane SP, Jain S. Hepatitis induced by traditional Chinese herbs; possible toxic components. Gut 1995;36(1):146-7.
- 477. Perharic L, Shaw D, Leon C, et al. Possible association of liver damage with the use of Chinese herbal medicine for skin disease. Veterinary and Human Toxicology 1995;37(6):562–6.
- 478. Ferguson JE, Chalmers RJ, Rowlands DJ. Reversible dilated cardiomyopathy following treatment of atopic eczema with Chinese herbal medicine. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1997;136(4):592–3.
- 479. Lord GM, Tagore R, Cook T, et al. Nephropathy caused by Chinese herbs in the UK. Lancet 1999;354(9177):481-2.
- Hughes JR, Higgins EM, Pembroke AC. Oral dexamethasone masquerading as a Chinese herbal remedy. British Journal of Dermatology 1994;130(2):261.
- 481. Keane FM, Munn SE, du Vivier AW, et al. Analysis of Chinese herbal creams prescribed for dermatological conditions. *British Medical Journal* 1999;318(7183):563–4.
- 482. Ramsay HM, Goddard W, Gill S, et al. Herbal creams used for atopic eczema in Birmingham, UK illegally contain potent corticosteroids. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 2003;88(12):1056–7.
- 483. Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency. Safety of Herbal Medicinal Products. 2002.
- 484. Sokel B. A comparison of hypnotherapy and biofeedback in the treatment of childhood atopic eczema. *Contemporary Hypnosis* 1993;10(3):145–54.
- 485. Derrick EK, Karle H, Darley CR. The use of self-hypnosis and guided imagery techniques in the management of childhood eczema. *Journal of Dermatological Treatment* 1994;5(2):83–4.
- 486. Stewart AC, Thomas SE. Hypnotherapy as a treatment for atopic dermatitis in adults and children. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1995;132(5):778–83.
- 487. Schachner L, Field T, Hernandez-Reif M, et al. Atopic dermatitis symptoms decreased in children following massage therapy. Pediatric Dermatology 1998;15(5):390–5.
- 488. Anderson C. Evaluation of massage with essential oils on childhood atopic eczema. Phytotherapy Research 2000;14(6):452-6.
- 489. Al-Waili NS. Topical application of natural honey, beeswax and olive oil mixture for atopic dermatitis or psoriasis: partially controlled, single-blinded study. *Complementary Therapies in Medicine* 2003;11(4):226–34.
- 490. Kalus U, Pruss A, Bystron J, et al. Effect of Nigella sativa (black seed) on subjective feeling in patients with allergic diseases. Phytotherapy Research 2003;17(10):1209–14.
- 491. Bordoni A, Biagi PL, Masi M, et al. Evening primrose oil (Efamol) in the treatment of children with atopic eczema. *Drugs Under Experimental and Clinical Research* 1988;14(4):291–7.
- 492. Biagi PL, Bordoni A, Hrelia S, et al. The effect of gamma-linolenic acid on clinical status, red cell fatty acid composition and membrane microviscosity in infants with atopic dermatitis. Drugs Under Experimental and Clinical Research 1994;20(2):77– 84.
- 493. Takwale A, Tan E, Agarwal S, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of borage oil in adults and children with atopic eczema: randomised, double blind, placebo controlled, parallel group trial. British Medical Journal 2003;327(7428):1385.
- 494. Withdrawal of Epogam and Efamast. Current Problems in Pharmacovigilance 2002;28:12.
- 495. Witt C, Keil T, Selim D, et al. Outcome and costs of homoeopathic and conventional treatment strategies: A comparative cohort study in patients with chronic disorders. *Complementary Therapies in Medicine* 2005;13(2):79–86.
- 496. Hampel P, Rudolph H, Petermann F, et al. Stress management training for children and adolescents with atopic dermatitis during inpatient rehabilitation. *Dermatology and Psychosomatics* 2001;2(3):116–22.

- 497. Ersser S, Latter S, Surridge H, Buchanan P, Satherley P, Welbourne S. Psychological and educational interventions for atopic eczema in children. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2006:(1).
- 498. Staab D, Diepgen TL, Fartasch M, et al. Age related, structured educational programmes for the management of atopic dermatitis in children and adolescents: multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *British Medical Journal* 2006;332(7547):933–8
- 499. Broberg A, Kalimo K, Lindblad B, et al. Parental education in the treatment of childhood atopic eczema. *Acta Dermato-Venereologica* 1990;70(6):495–9.
- 500. Grillo M, Gassner L, Marshman G, et al. Pediatric atopic eczema: the impact of an educational intervention. *Pediatric Dermatology* 2006;23(5):428–36.
- 501. Carr A, Patel R, Jones M, et al. A pilot study of a community pharmacist intervention to promote the effective use of emollients in childhood eczema. *The Pharmaceutical Journal* 2007;278:319–22.
- 502. Fischer G. Compliance problems in paediatric atopic eczema. Australasian Journal of Dermatology 1996;37 Suppl 1:S10–13.
- 503. Charman CR, Morris AD, Williams HC. Topical corticosteroid phobia in patients with atopic eczema. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2000;142(5):931–6.
- 504. Cork MJ, Britton J, Butler L, et al. Comparison of parent knowledge, therapy utilization and severity of atopic eczema before and after explanation and demonstration of topical therapies by a specialist dermatology nurse. British Journal of Dermatology 2003:149(3):582–9.
- 505. Ohya Y, Williams H, Steptoe A, et al. Psychosocial factors and adherence to treatment advice in childhood atopic dermatitis. Journal of Investigative Dermatology 2001;117(4):852–7.
- 506. Baum WF, Schneyer U, Lantzsch AM, et al. Delay of growth and development in children with bronchial asthma, atopic dermatitis and allergic rhinitis. Experimental and Clinical Endocrinology and Diabetes 2002;110(2):53–9.
- 507. David TJ. Short stature in children with atopic eczema. Acta Dermato-Venereologica. Supplementum 1989;144:41-4.
- 508. Patel L. Linear growth in prepubertal children with atopic dermatitis. Archives of Disease in Childhood 1998;79(2):169-72.
- 509. Pike MG, Chang CL, Atherton DJ, et al. Growth in atopic eczema: a controlled study by questionnaire. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1989;64(11):1566–9.
- 510. Kristmundsdottir F, David TJ. Growth impairment in children with atopic eczema. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine* 1987;80(1):9–12.
- 511. Patel L, Clayton PE, Jenney ME, et al. Adult height in patients with childhood onset atopic dermatitis. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1997;76(6):505–8.
- 512. Massarano AA, Hollis S, Devlin J. Growth in atopic eczema. Archives of Disease in Childhood 1993;68(5):677-9.
- 513. Morava E, Molnar D. Somatometric studies in allergic children. Padiatrie und Padologie 1994;29(2):35-8.
- 514. Ellison JA. Pattern of growth and adiposity from infancy to adulthood in atopic dermatitis. *British Journal of Dermatology* 2006;155(3):532–8.
- 515. Carrington LJ, Langley-Evans SC. Wheezing and eczema in relation to infant anthropometry: evidence of developmental programming of disease in childhood. *Maternal and Child Nutrition* 2006;2(1):51–61.
- 516. Fergusson DM, Crane J, Beasley R, et al. Perinatal factors and atopic disease in childhood. Clinical and Experimental Allergy 1997;27(12):1394–401.
- 517. Eichenfield L. Evaluation of adrenal suppression of a lipid enhanced, topical emollient cream formulation of hydrocortisone butyrate 0.1% in treating children with atopic dermatitis. *Pediatric Dermatology* 2007;24(1):81–4.
- 518. Turpeinen M. Effect of percutaneous absorption of hydrocortisone on adrenocortical responsiveness in infants with severe skin disease. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1986;115(4):475–84.
- 519. Heuck C. Knemometry in children with atopic dermatitis treated with topical glucocorticoids. *Pediatric Dermatology* 1998;15(1):7–11.
- 520. Wolthers OD, Heuck C, Ternowitz T, et al. Insulin-like growth factor axis, bone and collagen turnover in children with atopic dermatitis treated with topical glucocorticosteroids. *Dermatology* 1996;192(4):337–42.
- 521. Aylett SE, Atherton DJ, Preece MA. The treatment of difficult atopic dermatitis in childhood with oral beclomethasone dipropionate. *Acta Dermato-Venereologica*. *Supplementum* 1992;(176):123–5.
- 522. Woo WK. latrogenic adrenal gland suppression from use of a potent topical steroid. *Clinical and Experimental Dermatology* 2003;28(6):672–3.
- 523. Bode HH. Dwarfism following long-term topical corticosteroid therapy. JAMA: the Journal of the American Medical Association 1980;244(8):813–14.
- 524. Caffarelli C, Cavagni G, Deriu FM, Zanotti P, Atherton DJ. Gastrointestinal symptoms in atopic eczema. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1998;78(3):230–4.
- 525. Agostoni C. Growth pattern of breastfed and nonbreastfed infants with atopic dermatitis in the first year of life. *Pediatrics* 2000; 106:(5)E73.
- 526. Isolauri E, Sutas. Elimination diet in cow's milk allergy: risk for impaired growth in young children. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1998;132(6):1004–9.
- 527. Laitinen K. Evaluation of diet and growth in children with and without atopic eczema: follow-up study from birth to 4 years. British Journal of Nutrition 2005;94(4):565–74.
- 528. Estrada-Reyes E, Garcia-Hernandez. Effect of extensively hydrolyzed milk formula on growth and resistance to bronchitis and atopic dermatitis in infants and toddlers. *Journal of Investigational Allergology and Clinical Immunology* 2006;16(3):183–7.
- 529. McCormick A, Fleming D, Charlton J. Morbidity Statistics from General Practice: Fourth National Study 1991–1992. London: HMSO; 1995.

- 530. Primary Care Dermatology Society and British Association of Dermatologists. Guidelines for the management of atopic eczema. eGuidelines 2006;28:372–5.
- 531. Wolkerstorfer A, Laan MP, Savelkoul HF, et al. Soluble E-selectin, other markers of inflammation and disease severity in children with atopic dermatitis. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1998;138(3):431–5.
- 532. Koning H, Neijens HJ, Baert MR, et al. T cell subsets and cytokines in allergic and non-allergic children. I. Analysis of IL-4, IFN-gamma and IL-13 mRNA expression and protein production. Cytokine 1997;9(6):416–26.
- 533. Frezzolini A, Paradisi M, Ruffelli M, et al. Soluble CD30 in pediatric patients with atopic dermatitis. Allergy 1997;52(1):106–9.
- 534. Broberg A, Svensson A, Borres MP, et al. Atopic dermatitis in 5–6-year-old Swedish children: cumulative incidence, point prevalence, and severity scoring. Allergy 2000;55(11):1025–9.
- 535. Burr ML, Butland BK, King S, et al. Changes in asthma prevalence: two surveys 15 years apart. Archives of Disease in Childhood 1989;64(10):1452–6.
- 536. Wolkerstorfer A, Wahn U, Kjellman NI, et al. Natural course of sensitization to cow's milk and hen's egg in childhood atopic dermatitis: ETAC study group. Clinical and Experimental Allergy 2002;32(1):70–3.
- 537. Bohme M, Wickman M, Lennart NS, et al. Family history and risk of atopic dermatitis in children up to 4 years. Clinical and Experimental Allergy 2003;33(9):1226–31.
- 538. Halkjaer LB, Loland L, Buchvald FF, et al. Development of atopic dermatitis during the first 3 years of life: the Copenhagen prospective study on asthma in childhood cohort study in high-risk children. Archives of Dermatology 2006;142(5):561–6.
- Kuehr J, Frischer T, Karmaus W, et al. Clinical atopy and associated factors in primary-school pupils. Allergy 1992;47(6):650–
 5.
- 540. Lehtonen EP, Holmberg-Marttila D, Kaila M. Cumulative prevalence of atopic eczema and related skin symptoms in a well-baby clinic: a retrospective cohort study. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 2003;14(5):405–8.
- 541. Vasar M, Julge K, Bjoksto B. Development of atopic sensitization and allergic diseases in early childhood. *Acta Paediatrica* 2000;89(5):523–7.
- 542. Vierrucci A, Novembre E, de MM, et al. Reliability of tests for specific IgE to food in atopic dermatitis. *Allergy, Supplement* 1989;44(9):90–6.
- 543. Hebert AA, Friedlander SF, Allen DB. Topical fluticasone propionate lotion does not cause HPA axis suppression. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2006;149(3):378–82.
- 544. Wahn U. Allergic factors associated with the development of asthma and the influence of cetirizine in a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial: first results of ETAC. Early Treatment of the Atopic Child. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology* 1998;9(3):116–24.
- 545. Curtis L, Netten A. *Unit Costs of Health and Social Care*. Canterbury: Personal and Social Services Research Unit, University of Kent at Canterbury; 2006.
- 546. Stein K, Dyer M, Crabb T, et al. A pilot Internet "value of health" panel: recruitment, participation and compliance. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes 2006;4:90.
- 547. Niggemann B, Reibel S, Roehr C, et al. Predictors of positive food challenge outcome in non-IgE mediated reactions to food in children with atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2001;108(6):1053–8.
- 548. Sampson H. Role of immediate food hypersensitivity in the pathogenesis of atpic dermatitis. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 1983;71(5):473–80.
- 549. Sampson H, McCaskill C. Food hypersensitivity and atopic dermatitis: evaluation of 113 patients. *The Journal of Pediatrics* 1985;107(5):669–75.
- 550. Burks A, James J, Hiegel A, et al. Atopic dermatitis and food hypersensitivity reactions. The Journal of Pediatrics 1998;132(1):132–6.

Other NICE guidelines produced by the National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's **Health include:**

- Antenatal care: routine care for the healthy pregnant woman
- Fertility: assessment and treatment for people with fertility problems
- **Caesarean section**
- Type 1 diabetes: diagnosis and management of type 1 diabetes in children and young people
- Long-acting reversible contraception: the effective and appropriate use of long-acting reversible contraception
- Urinary incontinence: the management of urinary incontinence in women
- **Heavy** menstrual bleeding
- Feverish illness in children: assessment and initial management in children younger than 5 years
- Urinary tract infection in children: diagnosis, treatment and long-term management
- Intrapartum care: care of healthy women and their babies during childbirth

Guidelines in production include:

- Surgical management of otitis media with effusion
- **Antenatal care (update)**
- Diabetes in pregnancy
- **Induction of labour (update)**
- **Surgical site infection**
- Diarrhoea and vomiting in children under 5
- When to suspect child maltreatment
- Meningitis and meningococcal disease in children
- **Neonatal** jaundice

Enquiries regarding the above guidelines can be addressed to:

National Collaborating Centre for Women's and **Children's Health**

King's Court, Fourth Floor 2–16 Goodge Street London W1T 2QA enquiries@ncc-wch.org.uk

A version of this guideline for the public is available from the NICE website (www.nice.org.uk/CG057PublicInfoEnglish) or from the NHS Response Line (0870 1555 455); quote reference number N1428.



