#### NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# CLINICAL GUIDELINE EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT – RECOMMENDATIONS Clinical guideline updates

Clinical guideline: Irritable Bowel Syndrome

As outlined in <u>The guidelines manual (2012)</u>, NICE has a duty to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations. The purpose of this form is to document the consideration of equality issues in each stage of the guideline production process. This equality impact assessment is designed to support compliance with NICE's obligations under the Equality Act 2010 and Human Rights Act 1998.

Table 1 below lists the protected characteristics and other equality factors NICE needs to consider, i.e. not just population groups sharing the 'protected characteristics' defined in the Equality Act but also those affected by health inequalities associated with socioeconomic factors or other forms of disadvantage. The table does not attempt to provide further interpretation of the protected characteristics.

This form should be drafted before first submission of the guideline, revised before the second submission (after consultation) and finalised before the third submission (after the quality assurance teleconference) by the guideline developer. It will be signed off by NICE at the same time as the guideline, and published on the NICE website with the final guideline. The form is used to:

- record any equality issues raised in connection with the update by anybody involved since referral of the update, including NICE, the Clinical guidelines update team (CGUT), committee members, any peer reviewers and stakeholders
- demonstrate that all equality issues, both old and new, have been given due consideration, by explaining what impact they have had on recommendations, or if there is no impact, why this is.
- highlight areas where the update should advance equality of opportunity or foster good relations

 ensure that the update will not discriminate against any of the equality groups

### Table 1 NICE equality groups

#### Protected characteristics

- Age
- Disability
- · Gender reassignment
- Pregnancy and maternity
- Race
- · Religion or belief
- Sex
- Sexual orientation
- Marriage and civil partnership (protected only in respect of need to eliminate unlawful discrimination)

#### Additional characteristics to be considered

Socio-economic status

Depending on policy or other context, this may cover factors such as social exclusion and deprivation associated with geographical areas, or inequalities or variations associated with other geographical distinctions (for example, the North–South divide; urban versus rural).

Other

Other groups in the population experience poor health because of circumstances often affected by, but going beyond, sharing a protected characteristic or socioeconomic status. Whether such groups can be identified depends on the guidance topic and the evidence. The following are examples of groups that may be covered in NICE guidance:

- refugees and asylum seekers
- migrant workers
- looked-after children
- homeless people.

## 1. Have the equality areas identified since referral as needing attention been addressed in the update?

Please confirm whether:

- the evidence reviews addressed the areas that had been identified since referral as needing specific attention with regard to equality issues
- the Standing Committee has considered these areas in their discussions.

Note: some issues of language may correlate with ethnicity; and some communication issues may correlate with disability

What issue was identified and what was done to address it?	Was there an impact on the recommendations? If so, what?
Please see below.	Please see below.
Other	comments

## 2. Have any equality areas been identified during development? If so, have they have been addressed in the update?

Please confirm whether:

- the evidence reviews addressed the areas that had been identified during development as needing specific attention with regard to equality issues
- the Standing Committee has considered these areas in their discussions.

Note: some issues of language may correlate with ethnicity; and some communication issues may correlate with disability

ne Committee felt that downgrading nould not be applied for this point ecause they agreed the proportion of e study population closely mirror the bidemiology of the IBS population. Thus, ere was no impact on the commendations.  The issue of culturally specific foods for w FODMAP diet was subsequently scussed by the Committee. Detail of is has been captured in the LETR table the full addendum. The Committee cknowledged that the current dietary sources available for implementing the w FODMAP diet only includes a list of ods that are common in a typical
w FODMAP diet was subsequently scussed by the Committee. Detail of is has been captured in the LETR table the full addendum. The Committee cknowledged that the current dietary sources available for implementing the w FODMAP diet only includes a list of ods that are common in a typical
estern diet, and that information on alturally specific foods are very limited. herefore, the Committee emphasized at healthcare professionals/dietitians eed to provide individually tailored dvice to people who consume culturally becific foods who wish to undertake this etary intervention. Full information on vailable food sources for low FODMAP et needs to be provided and discussed ith people with IBS so that they can ake a fully informed decision.
ments

3. Do any recommendations make it impossible or unreasonably difficult in practice for a specific group to access a test or intervention?

For example:

<ul> <li>does using a particular test discriminate unlawfully against a group?</li> <li>would people with disabilities find it impossible or unreasonably difficult to receive an intervention?</li> </ul>
No.
4. Do the recommendations promote equality? State if the recommendations are formulated so as to advance equality, for
example by making access more likely for certain groups, or by tailoring the
intervention to specific groups.
The recommendations do not specifically target certain groups.
5. Do the recommendations foster good relations? State if the recommendations are formulated so as to foster good relations, for example by improving understanding or tackling prejudice.
N/A

• does access to the intervention depend on membership of a specific

group?