# Characteristics Table for The Clinical Question: What are the best interventions for offenders with substance misuse problems?

# Comparisons Included in this Clinical Question Cognitive behavioural intervention

versus control AUSTIN1997 DUGAN1998 JOHNSON1995 KINLOCK2003

Methods	Participants	Outcomes	Interventions	Notes
AUSTIN1997				
Study Type: RCT  Blindness: Open  Duration (days): Mean 140  Followup: 1-year  Setting: US, San Francisco, San Jose, Oakland, Santa Rosa Community (Probation)  Notes: Details on randomisation not reported.  Info on Screening Process: Details not reported.	n= 135 Age: Mean 36 Sex: all males Diagnosis: 100% Offenders  Exclusions: - Male offenders who were neither sentenced to probation or released from prison with the mandatory condition that they participate in drug treatment.  Notes: Drug offenders; 41% for treatment and 44% for control had offences for drug-related crimes with robbery as the second most common offence. Participants were mandated for drug treatment through testing rather than specific drug related offences.  Baseline: No significance test reported; participants similar at pre-assessment.	Data Used Recidivism (12 months)  Notes: TAKEN AT: recidivism data collected 1- year termination from both programmes. RECIDIVISM: number of arrests.	Group 1 N= 70  Reasoning and Rehabilitation - Bi-weekly session for 20 weeks. Delivered by probation. Group therapy.  Group 2 N= 65  Drug treatment programme - 3 phases each with a 4 month duration. Random urine tests. Weekly substance abuse counselling meetings. Individualised treatment plan.	
Results from this paper: 1.1 Well covered 1.2 Not reported 1.3 Not addressed 1.4 Not addressed				

- 1.5 Adequately addressed 1.6 Not addressed
- 1.7 Well covered

- 1.8 Not applicable
  1.9 Adequately covered
  1.10 Poorly addressed

2.1 +

DUGAN1998				
Study Type: RCT	n= 117	Data Used	Group 1 N= 61	
Type of Analysis: Completers	Age: Mean 30	Offences, mean number Notes: TAKEN AT: pre- and 2 year follow-up.	Cognitive and behavioural - Cognitive based therapy based on therapeutic	
Blindness: Open	Sex: 106 males 11 females	DROP OUTS: none reported	communities and cognitive skills training	
Duration (days): Mean 40	Diagnosis: 100% Offenders		within rational emotive therapy. Group therapy. Deconditioning, cognitive	
Setting: US			restructuring, alternating behaviours.	
Prison (maximum security)	Exclusions: Inmates who did not indicate alcohol drug		Group 2 N= 56	
Notes: Details on randomisation not reported	dependency		TAU - Treatment as usual in prison services.	
Info on Screening Process: Details not reported			SCIVICES.	

	only available for treatment group.			
Results from this paper:				
1.1 Adequately addressed 1.2 Adequately addressed 1.3 Not reported adequately 1.4 Not addressed 1.5 Not reported adequately 1.6 Adequately addressed 1.7 Adequately addressed 1.8 Not reported				
1.9 Adequately addressed 1.10 Not applicable				
JOHNSON1995				
Study Type: RCT	n= 134	Data Used	Group 1 N= 47	
Pl's land Quart	Age:	Revocations/absconsions	Cognitive skills - Specialised Drug	
Blindness: Open	Sex: all males	Notes: TAKEN AT: 8-months, average (includes intervention time i.e. since intake into	Offender Programme = drug offenders probation programme with max caseload	
Duration (days):	Diagnosis:	programme).	of 50 + cognitive model (group therapy,	
Followup: 4-month	Exclusions: Those not referred after intial drug screening for		35 sessions x 2 hour).	
Setting: Probation	Exclusions: Those not referred after inflat drug screening for Addiction Severity Index diagnosis whose drug problem score was not 5+		Group 2 N= 51  Specialized drug offender program - drug offenders probation programme with max caseload of 50. No additional training.  Group 3 N= 36  TAU - Regular probation services; caseload of 160.	
Results from this paper: 1.1 Well covered				
1.1 Well covered 1.2 Adequately covered 1.3 Not addressed 1.4 Not addressed 1.5 Adequately addressed 1.6 Not addressed 1.7 Adequately addressed 1.8 [Not reported] 1.9 Not addressed 1.10 Not applicable				
1.1 Well covered 1.2 Adequately covered 1.3 Not addressed 1.4 Not addressed 1.5 Adequately addressed 1.6 Not addressed 1.7 Adequately addressed 1.8 [Not reported] 1.9 Not addressed 1.10 Not applicable				
1.1 Well covered 1.2 Adequately covered 1.3 Not addressed 1.4 Not addressed 1.5 Adequately addressed 1.6 Not addressed 1.7 Adequately addressed 1.8 [Not reported] 1.9 Not addressed 1.10 Not applicable 2.1 +	n= 170	Data Used	Group 1 N= 88	
1.2 Adequately covered 1.3 Not addressed 1.4 Not addressed 1.5 Adequately addressed 1.6 Not addressed 1.7 Adequately addressed 1.8 [Not reported] 1.9 Not addressed 1.10 Not applicable 2.1 +  KINLOCK2003	Age:	Data Used Institutional misconduct - incident reports	Cognitive and behavioural - Individual	
1.1 Well covered 1.2 Adequately covered 1.3 Not addressed 1.4 Not addressed 1.5 Adequately addressed 1.6 Not addressed 1.7 Adequately addressed 1.8 [Not reported] 1.9 Not addressed 1.10 Not applicable 2.1 +  KINLOCK2003  Study Type: RCT  Type of Analysis: Completers			Cognitive and behavioural - Individual therapy. Target irrational beliefs and maladaptive behaviour. Skills training. 6	
1.1 Well covered 1.2 Adequately covered 1.3 Not addressed 1.4 Not addressed 1.5 Adequately addressed 1.6 Not addressed 1.7 Adequately addressed 1.8 [Not reported] 1.9 Not addressed 1.10 Not applicable 2.1 +  KINLOCK2003  Study Type: RCT  Type of Analysis: Completers Blindness: Open	Age:		Cognitive and behavioural - Individual therapy. Target irrational beliefs and	
1.1 Well covered 1.2 Adequately covered 1.3 Not addressed 1.4 Not addressed 1.5 Adequately addressed 1.6 Not addressed 1.7 Adequately addressed 1.8 [Not reported] 1.9 Not addressed 1.10 Not applicable 2.1 +  KINLOCK2003  Study Type: RCT	Age: Sex: 118 males 52 females Diagnosis:		Cognitive and behavioural - Individual therapy. Target irrational beliefs and maladaptive behaviour. Skills training. 6 months weekly interventions, 60 minutes.	
1.1 Well covered 1.2 Adequately covered 1.3 Not addressed 1.4 Not addressed 1.5 Adequately addressed 1.6 Not addressed 1.7 Adequately addressed 1.8 [Not reported] 1.9 Not addressed 1.10 Not applicable 2.1 +  KINLOCK2003  Study Type: RCT  Type of Analysis: Completers Blindness: Open Duration (days):  Setting: US Prison  Info on Screening Process: 188 entered the study, 96% of eligible participants provided	Age: Sex: 118 males 52 females  Diagnosis: 100% Offenders  Exclusions: - did not have a history of drug abuse - pre-release status not between 6 to 9 months to serve before parole - did not have at least 1 year parole supervision following release		Cognitive and behavioural - Individual therapy. Target irrational beliefs and maladaptive behaviour. Skills training. 6 months weekly interventions, 60 minutes.  Group 2 N= 82  Control - Attention control - brief counselling sessions, monthly, 30 minute	

- 1.2 Adequately addressed
- 1.3 Not reported adequately
- 1.4 Not addressed
- 1.5 Not addressed
- 1.6 Adequately addressed
- 1.7 Adequately addressed
- 1.8 Not reported
- 1.9 Adequately addressed
- 1.10 Not applicable

## **Characteristics of Excluded Studies**

Reference ID Reason for Exclusion

**EASTON2007** Domestic violence.

#### **References of Included Studies**

AUSTIN1997 (Unpublished Data Only)

Austin, J., Robinson, B., Elms, B., et al. (1997) The evaluation of two models of treating sentenced federal drug offenders in the community. International Journal of Offender Rehabilitation and Comparative Criminology, 36, 247-262.

**DUGAN1998** (Published Data Only)

Dugan, J.R. & Everett, RS. (1998) An experimental test of chemical dependency therapy for jail inmates. International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology, 42, 360-368.

JOHNSON1995 (Published Data Only)

Johnson, G. & Hunter, R.M. (1995) Evaluation of the specialized drug offender program. In Thinking Straight: The Reasoning and Rehabilitation Program for Delinquency Prevention and Offender Rehabilitation (eds. R.R. Ross & R.D. Ross), pp. 214-234. Ontario: Air Training and Publications.

KINLOCK2003 (Published Data Only)

Kinlock T.W., O'Grady, K.E. & Hanlon, T.E. (2003) The effects of drug treatment on institution behavior. The Prison Journal, 83, 257-276.

### **References of Excluded Studies**

**EASTON2007** (Published Data Only)

Easton, C., Mandel, D., Babuscio, T. (2007B) Differences in treatment outcome between male alcohol dependent offenders of domestic violence with and without positive drug screens. Addictive Behaviors, 32, 2151-2163.

\*Easton, C.J., Mandel, D.L., Hunkele, K.A., et al. (2007) A cognitive behavioral therapy for alcohol-dependent domestic violence offenders: an integrated substance abuse-domestic violence treatment approach (SADV). The American Journal on Addictions, 16, 24-31.

© NCCMH. All rights reserved.