Appendix 20: Case identification included and excluded studies

Contents

Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)	2
Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D)	
Depression in the Medically Ill Scale (DMI)	
Distress Thermometer	
General Health Questionnaire (GHQ)	
Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS)	
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)	
Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS)	
Major Depression Inventory (MDI)	
Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS)	
Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ)	
Single question	
Zung's Self-Rating Depression Scale	
References to included studies	
References to excluded studies and reasons for exclusion	

Summary tables of the psychometric properties of screening tools

Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)

Beck Depression I	Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-21)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results	
Consultation					
Dutton <i>et al.</i> , 2004 Quality assessed: ++	BDI-21	DSM-IV	N=220, age = 49 years, 105 male, 115 female African American primary care patients Prevalence of depression – 63/220	Major depression True Positive = 57 False Positive = 25 False Negative = 8 True Negative = 130	
Laprise & Vezina, 1998 Quality assessed: +	BDI-21	DSM-III-R	N=66, age = 78 years, 31 males, 35 females Nursing home residents, Canada (French) Prevalence of depression – 27/66	Major depression Cut-off 10 - BDI Sensitivity = 0.963 Specificity = 0.462	
Whooley et al., 1997 Quality assessed: +	BDI-30 item	DSM-III- Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS)	N = 543, mean age = 53 (SD = 14), 97% male Patients visiting urgent care clinic, San Francisco, US Prevalence of depression – 97/536	Major depression Standard cut-off ≥ 10 - BDI-30 item: AUC = 87% (82-91) Sensitivity = 89% (81-95) Specificity = 64% (59-68)	
Yeung et al., 2002 Quality assessed: +	BDI-21	DSM-III-R	N = 815, mean age = 50 years, 304 female, 199 male Chinese-American primary care patients, US Prevalence of depression – 53/180 Only those who screened positive on the BDI and agreed to be interviewed for DSM and a selective sample of those who screened negative on the BDI were interviewed	Depression: major depressive disorder Cut-off ≥ 16 Sensitivity = 79% Specificity = 91% PPV = 79% NPV = 91%	

Beck Depression I	nventory (BDI-21)			
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
Zich et al., 1990 Quality assessed: +	BDI-21	DSM-III Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS)	N = 31 Primary care patients who completed both the BDI and DIS, San Francisco, US [Does not give demographic information specific to this sub-group of patients] Prevalence of depression – 3/31	Depressive disorders Cut-off ≥ 10 - BDI Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 75% Cut-off ≥ 16 - BDI Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 89%
Physical health pro	oblems			
Aben et al., 2002 Quality assessed: +	BDI-21	DSM-IV	N = 202 (N=171 completed BDI), mean age = 68 years, 91 female, 111 male Stroke patients, Maastricht Netherlands Prevalence of depression – 51/202	Depression: major depressive and minor disorder (also gives results from major depressive disorder only) Standard cut-off ≥ 10 Sensitivity = 77.1% Specificity = 65.4% PPV = 37.5% NPV = 91.4% AUC = 0.79
Berard <i>et al.</i> , 1998 Quality assessed: +	BDI-21	DSM-IV	N = 100, age = 50 years, 13 male, 87 female Cancer patients, South Africa Prevalence of depression – 21/100	Depression Cut-off 14 Sensitivity = 0.90 Specificity = 0.86
Craven <i>et al.</i> , 1998 Quality assessed: ++	BDI-21	DSM-III	N = 99, age = 51 years, 63 male, 36 female Renal dialysis patients, Canada Prevalence of depression – 12/99	Depression Cut-off 10 - BDI-21 True Positive = 11 False Positive = 36 False Negative = 1 True Negative = 51

Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-21)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
Golden <i>et al.</i> , 2007 Quality assessed: +	BDI-21	DSM-IV (SCID-CV)	N = 88, 74% male Outpatients at a hepatitis C service	Any depression BDI AUC = 0.87 (0.80-0.95)
			<u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 25/88	Cut-off ≥ 8 - BDI Sensitivity = 88% (69-97) Specificity = 75% (62-85) PPV = 58% (41-74) NPV = 94% (83-99)
Hammer <i>et al.</i> , 2008	BDI-21	DSM-IV (SCID)	N = 39, mean age = 57.62 years (SD = 8.86), 49% male	Major Depression Standard cut-off ≥11 Songitivity = 100% (62, 100)
Quality assessed: +			Patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)	Sensitivity = 100% (63-100) Specificity = 43% (26-62) PPV = 35% (18-56)
			Prevalence of depression – 7/39	NPV = 100% (72-100) Optimal cut-off ≥18 AUC = 0.89 (0.79-1.0) Sensitivity = 78% (40-96) Specificity = 80% (61-92) PPV = 54% (26-80) NPV = 92 (73-99) Any depression Standard cut-off ≥11 Sensitivity = 100% (63-100) Specificity = 43% (26-62) PPV = 35% (18-56) NPV = 100% (72-100) Optimal cut-off ≥18 AUC = 0.89 (0.79-1.0) Sensitivity = 78% (40-96) Specificity = 80% (61-92) PPV = 54% (26-80) NPV = 92% (73-99)
Hedayati <i>et al.</i> , 2006	BDI-21	DSM-IV	N = 98, age = 57 years, 54 male, 44 female	Depression Cut-off 12
Quality assessed: ++			Haemodialysis patients <u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 26/98	Sensitivity = 65% Specificity = 72%
Hermanns <i>et al.</i> , 2006	BDI-21	ICD-10	N = 376, mean age = 52 years, 228 male, 148 female	Depression

Beck Depression Inv	ventory (BDI-21)			
	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
Quality assessed: +			Diabetes patients, Merengentheim, Germany Prevalence of depression - 53/376	Cut-off ≥ 10 AUC = 0.80 Sensitivity = 86.8% Specificity = 81.4% PPV = 43.4% NPV = 97.4%
Leentjens et al., 2000a Quality assessed: +	BDI-21	DSM-IV (SCID)	N = 53, mean age 67 years (SD = 10.5) 100% Parkinson's disease Prevalence of depression – 12/53	BDI AUC = 0.857 Optimal cut-off ≥ 14 - BDI Sensitivity = 67% Specificity = 88% PPV = 62% NPV = 90% Cut-off ≥ 7 - BDI Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 46% PPV = 35% NPV = 100% Cut-off ≥ 8 - BDI Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 54% PPV = 39% NPV = 96% Cut-off ≥ 9 - BDI Sensitivity = 92% Specificity = 59% PPV = 39% NPV = 96% Cut-off ≥ 10 - BDI Sensitivity = 75% Specificity = 63% PPV = 38% NPV = 90% Cut-off ≥ 11 - BDI Sensitivity = 75% Specificity = 71% PPV = 43% NPV = 91% Cut-off ≥ 12 - BDI Sensitivity = 75% Specificity = 76%

Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-21)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
-		_		PPV = 47% NPV = 91%
				Cut-off ≥ 13 - BDI Sensitivity = 67% Specificity = 78% PPV = 47% NPV = 89%
				Cut-off ≥ 15 - BDI Sensitivity = 58% Specificity = 93% PPV = 70% NPV = 88%
				Cut-off ≥ 16 - BDI Sensitivity = 50% Specificity = 93% PPV = 70% NPV = 88%
				Cut-off ≥ 17 - BDI Sensitivity = 42% Specificity = 98% PPV = 83% NPV = 85%
Lincoln & Flannaghan, 2003	BDI	DSM-III-R /ICD-10	N = 143, mean age 66 years (SD = 13.5), 52% male	ICD-10 DSM-III-R Cut-off ≥10
Quality assessed:			Stroke patients	Sensitivity 93% 95% Specificity 24% 18%
+			Prevalence of depression (DSM- II-R) – 21/143	Cut-off ≥11 Sensitivity 88% 95% Specificity 28% 24%
			<u>Prevalence of depression (ICD-10)</u> – 12/143	Cut-off ≥12 Sensitivity 85% 91% Specificity 37% 30%
				Cut-off ≥13 Sensitivity 83% 91% Specificity 44% 36%
				Cut-off ≥14 Sensitivity 75% 91% Specificity 55% 48%
				Cut-off ≥15 Sensitivity 73% 91% Specificity 56% 49%
				Cut-off ≥16 Sensitivity 70% 91%

Beck Depression I	nventory (BDI-21)			
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
				Specificity 63% 56% Cut-off ≥17 Sensitivity 60% 76% Specificity 69% 62% Cut-off ≥18 Sensitivity 55% 71% Specificity 73% 67% Cut-off ≥19 Sensitivity 47% 67% Specificity 79% 73% Cut-off ≥20 Sensitivity 43% 62% Specificity 82% 77%
Low et al., 2007 Quality assessed: +	BDI-21	DSM-IV (SCID-I / NP)	N = 119, mean age = 62.97 years (SD = 11.61), 75% male Patients meeting criteria for either acute MI or unstable angina pectoris, British Columbia, Canada Prevalence of depression - 7/119	MDD Cut-off ≥ 9 - BDI Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 72% PPV = 17% NPV = 100% Cut-off ≥ 10 - BDI Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 75% PPV = 18% NPV = 100% Cut-off ≥ 11 - BDI Sensitivity = 83% Specificity = 76% PPV = 18% NPV = 99% Cut-off ≥ 12 - BDI Sensitivity = 83% Specificity = 80% PPV = 19% NPV = 99% Standard cut-off ≥ 13 - BDI Sensitivity = 83% Specificity = 84% PPV = 23% NPV = 99% Optimal cut-off ≥ 14 - BDI Sensitivity = 83% Specificity = 88% Specificity = 88%

Beck Depression I	nventory (BDI-21)			
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
				PPV = 28% NPV = 99% AUC = 0.91
				Any depression
				Cut-off ≥ 9 - BDI Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 72% PPV = 19% NPV = 100%
				Cut-off ≥ 10 - BDI Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 75% PPV = 21% NPV = 100%
				Cut-off ≥ 11 - BDI Sensitivity = 86% Specificity = 77% PPV = 20% NPV = 99%
				Cut-off ≥ 12 - BDI Sensitivity = 86% Specificity = 81% PPV = 23% NPV = 99%
				Standard cut-off ≥ 13 - BDI Sensitivity = 86% Specificity = 85% PPV = 27% NPV = 99%
				Optimal cut-off ≥ 14 - BDI Sensitivity = 86% Specificity = 89% PPV = 34% NPV = 99%
				AUC = 0.92
Lustman <i>et al.</i> , 1997	BDI-21	DSM-III	N = 172, mean age = 48.1 years (SD = 13.6), 52% male	Any depression Cut-off ≥ 8 – BDI
Quality assessed: +		DIS - revised	Diabetic outpatients with poor glycaemia control, Washington, US	Sensitivity = 99% Specificity = 52%
				Cut-off ≥ 10 - BDI

Beck Depression I	Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-21)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results	
			<u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 63/172	Sensitivity = 98% Specificity = 70%	
				Cut-off ≥ 12 - BDI Sensitivity = 90%	
				Specificity = 84%	
				Cut-off ≥ 14 - BDI	
				Sensitivity = 82% Specificity = 89%	
				Cut-off ≥ 16 - BDI Sensitivity = 73% Specificity = 93%	
				Optimal cut-off \geq 13 - BDI AUC = 0.94 (0.02)	
Snijders <i>et al.</i> , 2006	BDI-21	DSM-IV	N = 114, median age = 30 years, 79 male, 35 female	MDD	
Quality assessed:			Tourette's patients, UK	Cut-off 12 - BDI-21 Sensitivity = 0.96 Specificity = 0.56	
			Prevalence of depression – 26/114		
Strik et al., 2001	BDI-21	DSM-IV (SCID-I)	N = 206, male mean age = 59 years (SD = 10.6), male age	Any depression (major or minor)	
Quality assessed: +			range = 34-84 years, female mean age = 62.9 years (SD = 10.7), female age range = 38- 78 years, 76.1% male	Optimal cut-off ≥ 8 - BDI AUC = 0.84 Sensitivity = 83.8%	
			Post-myocardial infarction patients	Specificity = 71.7% PPV = 25.3 NPV = 98.3	
			<u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 39/206		
Watnick <i>et al.</i> , 2005	BDI-21	DSM-IV	N = 62, age = 63 years, 42 male, 20 female	MDD	
Quality assessed:			Dialysis patients	Cut-off 16 - BDI-21 PPV= 0.59 NPV = 0.98	
			Prevalence of depression – 12/62 (MDD)	Sensitivity = 0.91 Specificity = 0.86	
Community					
Viinamaki <i>et al.,</i> 1995	BDI-13	DSM-III-R	N = 55, mean age = 48 years	Depression	
			Participants recruited from a	Cut-off 8/9	

Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-21)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
Quality assessed: +			wood factory <u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 23/55	Sensitivity = 61% Specificity = 78% PPV = 67% NPV = 74% Standard cut-off ≥ 10 Sensitivity = 45% Specificity = 84% PPV = 67% NPV = 68% Cut-off 10/11 Sensitivity = 39% Specificity = 88% PPV = 69% NPV = 67%

Beck Depression Inventory- Short Form (BDI-SF); Beck Depression Inventory- Fast Screen (BDI-FS)						
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results		
Consultation	Consultation					
Parker et al., 2002 Quality assessed: +	Beck Depression Inventory for Primary Care (BDI-PC)	DSM-IV (Composite International Diagnostic Interview - CIDI)	N = 302, mean age = 46.5 years (SD = 12.9), 63.2% male 111 (36.8%) patients had chronic physical illness; mean duration = 9 years Outpatients from: cardiology (29.5%) respiratory (23.2%) gastroenterology (11.6%) nephrology (14.9%) haematology (7.9%) rheumatology (5.0%) radiation oncology (4.6%) endocrinology (3.3%) Australia, Sydney Prevalence of depression – 14/160	Depression Cut-off ≥ 4 - BDI-PC AUC = 0.848 Sensitivity = 83.3% (62.2, 100) Specificity = 67.0% (57.4, 76.7) Optimal cut-off ≥ 5 - BDI-PC AUC = 0.848 Sensitivity = 83.3% (62.2, 100) Specificity = 75.8% (67.0, 84.6) Cut-off ≥ 6 - BDI-PC AUC = 0.848 Sensitivity = 66.7% (40.0, 90.3) Specificity = 82.4% (74.6, 90.2)		

Beck Depression I	nventory- Short For	rm (BDI-SF); Be	ck Depression Inventory- Fast So	creen (BDI-FS)
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
Scheinthal <i>et al.</i> , 2001 Quality assessed: ++	BDI-Fast Screen	DSM-IV	N = 75, mean age = 74 years, 33 male, 42 female US geriatric medical setting Prevalence of depression – 8/75	Depression Cut-off 4 Sensitivity = 1 Specificity = 0.84
Whooley et al., 1997 Quality assessed: +	BDI-13	DSM-III- Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS)	N = 543, mean age = 53 years (SD = 14), 97% male Patients visiting urgent care clinic, San Francisco, US Prevalence of depression – 97/536	Major depression Cut-off ≥ 5 BDI-13 item AUC = 86% (82-90) Sensitivity = 92% (85-97) Specificity = 61% (56-66)
Wilhelm et al., 2004 Quality assessed: +	Beck Depression Inventory for Primary Care (BDI-PC)	DSM-IV	N = 212, age range = 16-91 years, 55.2% female Medical outpatients and inpatients, 2.8% neurological disorders, 25.5% cardiopulmonary disease, 9.4% malignancy, 12.3% loss of mobility, 13.7% endocrine disorder, 3.8% infectious and inflammatory disorder1, 2.3% renal disease, 20.2% other disease Prevalence of depression (major depression) – 49/212	Major depression BDI AUC = 0.85 (79, 92) Sensitivity = 91% (73, 98) Specificity = 0.62 (0.55, 0.69) Any depression (major or minor) BDI AUC = 0.86 (80, 91) Sensitivity - 0.87 (0.75, 0.94) Specificity = 0.69 (0.62, 0.76) Affective disorder BDI AUC = 0.89 (84, 94) Sensitivity - 0.89 (0.77, 0.95) Specificity = 0.72 (0.64, 0.78)
Physical health pr	oblems			
Furlanetto et al., 2005 Quality assessed: ++	BDI-SF	ICD-10	N = 155, mean age = 49.5 years (SD = 17), 47% male Patients admitted to adult medical wards, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil Prevalence of depression - 31/193	Moderate and severe depressive episodes BDI-FS AUC = 0.984 (0.97-1.00) Cut-off ≥ 9 - BDI-FS Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 82.3% PPV = 58.5% NPV = 82% Cut-off ≥ 10- BDI-FS Sensitivity = 100%

Beck Depression I	Beck Depression Inventory- Short Form (BDI-SF); Beck Depression Inventory- Fast Screen (BDI-FS)			
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
				Specificity = 83.1% PPV = 59.6% NPV = 100%
				Cut-off ≥ 11 - BDI-FS Sensitivity = 96.8% Specificity = 85.5% PPV = 62.5% NPV = 99.1%
				Cut-off ≥ 12 - BDI-FS Sensitivity = 93.5% Specificity = 89.5% PPV = 69.0% NPV = 98.2%
				Cut-off ≥ 13 - BDI-FS Sensitivity = 93.5% Specificity = 94.4% PPV = 85.3% NPV = 98.3%
				Cut-off ≥ 14 - BDI-FS Sensitivity = 93.5% Specificity = 96.0% PPV = 85.3% NPV = 98.3%
				Cut-off ≥ 15 - BDI-FS Sensitivity = 90.3% Specificity = 96.0% PPV = 84.8% NPV = 97.5%
Golden <i>et al.</i> , 2007 Quality assessed:	BDI-FS	DSM-IV (SCID-CV)	N = 88, 74% male Outpatients at a hepatitis C service	Any depression BDI-FS AUC = 0.85 (0.77-0.93)
+			Prevalence of depression – 25/88	Cut-off ≥ 4 - BDI-FS Sensitivity = 84% (64-95) Specificity = 67% (54-78) PPV = 50% (34-66) NPV = 91% (34-66)

Beck Depression Inventory- Short Form (BDI-SF); Beck Depression Inventory- Fast Screen (BDI-FS)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
Healey et al., 2008	BDI-SF	DSM-IV	N = 49, mean age = 78.9 years	Any depression
Quality assessed: ++		(SCID)	(SD = 6.79), 43% male Stroke patients recruited from inpatient rehabilitation units Prevalence of MDD - 7/49 Prevalence of minor depression - 6/49 Prevalence of any depression - 13/49	Cut-off ≥ 4 - BDI-FS Sensitivity = 62% (36-82) Specificity = 78% (62-88) PPV = 50% (28-72) NPV = 85% (69-93) MDD Cut-off ≥ 4 - BDI-FS Sensitivity = 71% (36-92) Specificity = 74% (59-85) PPV = 31% (14-56) NPV = 94% (80-98)
Love <i>et al.</i> , 2004	BDI-SF	DSM-IV	N = 227, mean age = 52 years	Any depression (major and
Quality assessed: +			(SD = 9), 100% female Women with stage IV breast cancer involved in RCT, Australia Prevalence of depression - 74/227	minor) AUC = 0.82 Cut-off ≥ 4 - BDI Sensitivity = 84% Specificity = 63% PPV = 52% NPV = 89% Cut-off ≥ 5 - BDI Sensitivity = 73% Specificity = 74% PPV = 58% NPV = 85% Cut-off ≥ 6 - BDI Sensitivity = 65% Specificity = 84% PPV = 66% NPV = 83% Cut-off ≥ 7 - BDI Sensitivity = 47% Specificity = 86% PPV = 62% NPV = 77% Cut-off ≥ 8 - BDI Sensitivity = 40% Specificity = 89% PPV = 64% NPV = 76%

Beck Depression I	nventory- Short For	m (BDI-SF); Bec	k Depression Inventory- Fast Sc	reen (BDI-FS)
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
		_	-	Major depression
				Cut-off ≥ 4 - BDI Sensitivity =100% Specificity = 52% PPV = 14% NPV = 100%
				Cut-off ≥ 5 - BDI Sensitivity = 94% Specificity = 63% PPV = 16% NPV = 99%
				Cut-off ≥ 6 - BDI Sensitivity = 75% Specificity = 71% PPV = 16% NPV = 97%
				Cut-off ≥ 7 - BDI Sensitivity = 69% Specificity = 79% PPV = 20% NPV = 97%
				Cut-off ≥ 8 - BDI Sensitivity = 62% Specificity = 82% PPV = 21% NPV = 97%
Patterson 2006 Quality assessed: +	Beck Depression Inventory – Cognitive- Affective subscale	DSM-IV (SCID)	N = 310, mean age = 39.7 years (SD = 9.0), male = 88% People with HIV infection, California, US	Major depressive Disorder BDI-Cognitive-affective subscale AUC = $0.80 \text{ (SE} = 0.04)$ Cut-off ≥ 10 – BDI-
			Prevalence of depression – 52/310	Cognitive-affective subscale Sensitivity = 61% Specificity = 80% PPV = 37% NPV = 91%
Community				
Stukenberg <i>et al.</i> , 1990 Quality assessed:	BDI - SF	DSM-III-R (SCID)	N = 177, mean age = 67.4 years (SD = 7.2), age range 56- 88 years, 33% male	Any depression BDI AUC = 0.82 (SE = 0.06)
+			Community dwelling adults,	·

Beck Depression I	nventory- Short For	m (BDI-SF); Bed	ck Depression Inventory- Fast So	creen (BDI-FS)
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
			over 55 years Prevalence of depression (any)- 27/178	Mild depression Optimal cut-off≥ 5 - BDI-SF Sensitivity = 0.71 Specificity = 0.83 PPV = 74% Moderate depression Optimal cut-off≥ 8 - BDI-SF Sensitivity = 0.59 Specificity = 0.93 PPV = 88% Severe depression Optimal cut-off≥ 16 - BDI-SF Sensitivity = 0.29 Specificity = 0.99 PPV = 99%
Viinamaki et al., 1995 Quality assessed: +	BDI-13	DSM-III-R	N = 55, mean age = 48 years Participants recruited from a wood factory Prevalence of depression – 23/55	Cut-off 8/9 Sensitivity = 61% Specificity = 78% PPV = 67% NPV = 74% Standard cut-off ≥ 10 Sensitivity = 45% Specificity = 84% PPV = 67% NPV = 68% Cut-off 10/11 Sensitivity = 39% Specificity = 88% PPV = 69% NPV = 69% NPV = 67%

Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D)

Center for Epidem	iological Studies-D	epression Scale	(CES-D)	
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
Consultation				
Blank et al., 2004 Quality assessed +	CES-D	Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS)	N = 360, participants were recruited from primary care (N=125), general hospitals (N=150) and nursing home (N=85) settings (analysis presented separately for each group). All participants were aged >60 years, mean age = 77 years, 37% male	Major depression Primary care sample CES-D Cut-off ≥16 Sensitivity = 79% (51-94) Specificity = 75% (71-77) AUC = 0.86 (0.77-0.95)
			Prevalence of major depression – 9% Prevalence of any depression – 16%	Cut-off ≥20 - recommended Sensitivity = 79% (51-94) Specificity = 80% (77-82) Nursing Home sample
Klinkman et al	CES-D	DSM-III-R	Prevalence of major depression in primary care – 11% Prevalence of major depression in hospital – 8% Prevalence of major depression in nursing homes – 9% N = 425 weighted sub-sample	CES-D Cut-off ≥16 Sensitivity = 71% (32-95) Specificity = 85% (81-87) AUC = 0.82 (0.60-1.03) Cut-off ≥14 - recommended Sensitivity = 86% (44-99) Specificity = 78% (74-79) Hospital sample CES-D Cut-off ≥16 Sensitivity = 75% (44-93) Specificity = 76% (73-78) AUC = 0.91 (0.84-0.98) Cut-off ≥14 - recommended Sensitivity = 100% (70-100) Specificity = 70% (62-78)
Klinkman et al., 1997 Quality assessed: +	CES-D	DSM-III-R	N = 425 weighted sub-sample of 1580 people attending primary care, mean age = 39.6 years, 23.3% male Prevalence of depression – 57/425	Depression Cut-off ≥ 16 - CES-D Sensitivity = 0.807 Specificity = 0.717 PPV = 0.307 Cut-off ≥ 22 - CES-D Sensitivity = 0.614

Center for Epidem	Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D)					
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results		
				Specificity = 0.848 PPV = 0.385		
Robison et al., 2002 Quality assessed: +	CES-D	CIDI	N=303, mean age = 61 years, 88 male, 215 female Primary care, Hispanic population in US Prevalence of depression - 67/303	Depression Standard cut-off - CES-D Sensitivity = 0.73 Specificity = 0.72		
Schein & Koenig, 1997 Quality assessed: +	CES-D	DSM-III-R	N = 76, age = 70 years, 41 male, 35 female US, medically ill inpatients Prevalence of depression – 26/76	Depression Sensitivity = 0.73 Specificity = 0.84 Major depression Sensitivity = 0.90 Specificity = 0.84		
Thomas et al., 2001 Quality assessed: +	CES-D	DSM-IV	N = 179 women, mean age = 44 years Participants were all low income women attending primary care clinics Prevalence of depression - 9/179	Major depressive disorder AUC = 0.89 (SE = 0.209) Cut-off ≥ 16 Sensitivity = 95% Specificity = 70% PPV = 28.4% NPV = 99.1% Cut-off ≥ 34 Sensitivity = 45% Specificity = 95% PPV = 52.9% NPV = 93.2%		
Watson et al., 2004 Quality assessed: +	CES-D	DSM-IV	N = 84, age = > 70, mean age 82, 26% male, Participants residing in two Continuing Care Retirement Communities in US Prevalence of depression - 10/78	Major Depression CES-D Standard cut-off ≥ 16 Sensitivity = 60% (50, 70) Specificity = 89% (82, 96) PPV = 43% NPV = 94% AUC = 0.88 GDS-30 Alternative cut-offs Cut-off ≥ 6 Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 54%		

Center for Epidem	iological Studies-D	epression Scale	(CES-D)	
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
		•	•	Cut-off ≥ 7
				Sensitivity = 90%
				Specificity = 60%
				ı y
				Cut-off ≥ 8
				Sensitivity = 90%
				Specificity = 68%
				T y
				Cut-off ≥ 9
				Sensitivity = 90%
				Specificity = 69%
				T y
				Cut-off ≥ 10
				Sensitivity = 90%
				Specificity = 72%
				ı ,
				Cut-off ≥ 11
				Sensitivity = 80%
				Specificity = 77%
				ı ,
				<u>Cut-off ≥ 12</u>
				Sensitivity = 80%
				Specificity = 78%
				ROC analysis – captured 80%
				of cases
				or cases
				Cut-off ≥ 13
				Sensitivity = 70%
				Specificity = 81%
				Specificity 0170
				Cut-off ≥ 14
				Sensitivity = 70%
				Specificity = 86%
				Specificity 00%
				Cut-off ≥ 15
				Sensitivity = 70%
				Specificity = 88%
				or content,
				Cut-off ≥ 16
				Sensitivity = 60%
				Specificity = 89%
				openiery 07/0
				Cut-off ≥ 17
				Sensitivity = 60%
				Specificity = 93%
				opecinicity = 30 /0
				Cut-off ≥ 18
				Sensitivity = 50%
				Specificity = 97%
				Cut-off ≥ 21
				Sensitivity = 40%

Center for Epidem	iological Studies-D	epression Scale	(CES-D)	
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
				Specificity = 99% Minor depression CES-D Standard cut-off ≥ 16 Sensitivity = 50% (39, 61) Specificity = 86% (79.93) PPV = 21% NPV = 96% AUC= 0.72
Whooley et al., 1997 Quality assessed: +	CES-D	DSM-III- Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS)	N = 543, mean age = 53 (SD = 14), 97% male Patients visiting urgent care clinic, San Francisco, US Prevalence of depression – 97/536	Major depression Standard cut-off ≥ 16 - CES-D AUC = 89% (85-92) Sensitivity = 93% (85-97) Specificity = 69% (65-74) Cut-off ≥ 10 -CES-D (10 item) AUC = 87% (83-91) Sensitivity = 90% (82-95) Specificity = 72% (67-76)
Williams et al., 1999 Quality assessed: +	CES-D	DSM-IV	N = 296, age = 59 years, 77 male, 219 female US Prevalence of depression – 36/296	Depression Sensitivity = 0.88 Specificity = 0.75
Zich et al., 1990 Quality assessed: +	CES-D	DSM-III (Diagnostic Interview Schedule)	N = 31 Primary care patients who completed both the BDI and DIS, San Francisco, US [Does not give demographic information specific to this sub-group of patients] Prevalence of depression – 3/31	Depressive disorders Cut-off ≥ 16 - CES-D Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 53%
Physical health pro	oblems			
Agrell & Dehlin, 1989 Quality assessed: +	CES-D	Psychiatric interview	N = 40, mean age = 80 years, 45% male Adults attending an outpatient clinic following a	Depression Recommended cut-off ≥ 20 - CES-D Sensitivity = 56% Specificity = 91%

Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
			stroke. <u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 17/40	PPV = 82% NPV = 75%
Hedayati <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Quality assessed: ++	CES-D	DSM-IV	N = 98, mean age = 57 years, 54 male, 44 female Haemodialysis patients Prevalence of depression – 26/98	Depression Sensitivity = 73% Specificity = 76%
Hermanns et al., 2006 Quality assessed: +	CES-D	ICD-10	N =376, mean age = 52 years, 228 male, 148 female Diabetes patients, Merengentheim, Germany, Prevalence of depression – 53/376	Depression Cut-off ≥ 23 Sensitivity = 79.2% Specificity = 88.8% PPV = 53.8% NPV = 96.3% AUC = 0.85
Kuptniratsaikul et al., 2002 Quality assessed: +	CES-D	DSM-IV	N = 83, mean age = 33 years, 66 male Spinal cord injury patients, Thailand Prevalence of depression – 20/83	Depression: depressed mood or adjustment disorder Cut-off ≥ 19 Sensitivity = 80.0% Specificity = 69.8% PPV = 45.7% NPV = 91.7%
McManus <i>et al.</i> , 2005 Quality assessed: +	CES-D- 10 items	DSM-IV	N = 1024, mean age = 67 years, 82% men People with coronary heart disease Prevalence of depression – 224/1024	Depression AUC = 0.87 (0.84, 0.89) Cut-off point ≥ 10 Sensitivity = 76% Specificity = 79%
McQuillan et al., 2003 Quality assessed: +	CES-D	DSM-IV	N = 415, age = 58 years, 71 male, 344 female Rheumatoid arthritis, US Prevalence of depression – 37/415	Depression Sensitivity = 0.89 Specificity = 0.24

Center for Epidem	iological Studies-Do	epression Scale	(CES-D)	
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
Parikh <i>et al.</i> , 1988 Quality assessed: ++	CES-D	DSM-III	N = 80, mean age = 58 years, 40 male, 40 female Stroke patients	Depression Standard cut-off - CES-D True Positive = 48 False Positive = 12 False Negative =8 True Negative = 112
Community				
Papassotiro- poulos & Heun, 1999 Quality assessed: +	CES-D	ICD-10	N = 287, mean age = 76 years, 116 male, 171 female Older people from the community, Germany Prevalence of depression – 10/287	Depression Optimal cut-off ≥ 10 Sensitivity = 75% Specificity = 72% AUC = 0.78
Sanchez-Garcia et al., 2008 Quality assessed: ++	GDS-30	DSM-IV	N =534, mean age = 71.5 years (SD = 7.0), 32% male Older adults receiving IMSS, living in Mexico City, 206 individuals randomly selected for a clinical assessment. Prevalence of major depression – 19/206 Prevalence of any depression – 62/206	Any depression Standard cut-off CES-D Sensitivity = 82.0% (81.3-82.7) Specificity = 49.2% (48.7-49.6) PPV = 49.6% (49.1-50.0) NPV = 81.8% (81.1-88.5)
Suthers et al., 2004 Quality assessed: +	CES-D11	CIDI-SF	N = 1056 (used in table for analysis, 1284 included in study) Community sample responding to telephone screen Prevalence of depression – 79/1256	Depression Standard cut-off 9 Sensitivity = 48.1% Specificity = 88.27% PPV = 21.59% NPV = 96.20%
Tuuaninen <i>et al.,</i> 2001 Quality assessed: +	CES-D- Burnham Screen	DSM-IV	N = 436, mean age 68 years, 100% female US Prevalence of depression – 30/436	Usual cut-off (0.06) Sensitivity = 74% Specificity = 87%

Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
Wada et al., 2007 Quality assessed: +	CES-D	DSM-IV	N = 2219; mean age = 42 years, 351 female, 1868 male Community sample (workers in a company), Japan Prevalence of depression – 49/2219	Depression: major depressive disorder Standard cut-off ≥ 16- CES-D Sensitivity = 95.1% Specificity = 85.0% PPV = 10.7% NPV = 99.9% AUC = 0.96

Depression in the Medically Ill Scale (DMI)

Depression in the	Depression in the Medically III					
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results		
Physical health pro	Physical health problems					
Hilton 2006 Quality assessed:	DMI-10 DMI-18	CIDI	N = 322, mean age = 66 years, 229 male, 93 female	MDD DMI-10 Cut-off 6		
+			Coronary syndrome or heart failure Prevalence of depression –	Sensitivity = 0.80 Specificity = 0.70		
			36/322	DMI-18 Cut-off 14 Sensitivity = 0.756 Specificity = 0.773		
Wilhelm et al., 2004 Quality assessed: +	DMI -10	DSM-IV	N= 212, age range = 16-91 years, 55.2% female Medical outpatients and inpatients, 2.8% neurological disorders, 25.5% cardiopulmonary disease, 9.4% malignancy, 12.3% loss of mobility, 13.7% endocrine disorder, 3.8% infectious and inflammatory disorder, 12.3% renal disease, 20.2% other disease Prevalence of depression (major depression) – 49/212	Major depression DMI AUC = 0.85 (78, 91) Sensitivity = 87% (68, 95) Specificity = 66% (55, 69) Any depression (major or minor) DMI AUC = 0.88 (83, 93) Sensitivity = 0.87 (75, 94) Specificity = 74 (67, 80) Affective disorder DMI AUC = 0.91 (87, 95) Sensitivity = 89% (77, 95) Specificity = 77% (70, 83)		

Distress Thermometer

Distress Thermom	Distress Thermometer				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results	
Physical health pro	oblems				
Akizuki et al.,	Distress	DSM-IV	N = 275, mean age = 52 years,	Depression: major	
2003	Thermometer		164 female, 111 male	depression and adjustment disorder	
Quality assessed:			Cancer patients, Tokyo and		
+			Kashiwa, Japan	Standard cut-off ≥ 5 Sensitivity = 84%	
			<u>Prevalence of depression</u> –	Specificity = 61%	
			168/275	PPV = 35%	
				NPV = 68%	
Akizuki et al.,	Distress Impact	DSM-IV	N = 295, mean age = 51 years,	Depression: major	
2005	Thermometer		164 female, 131 male	depressive disorder	
Quality assessed:			Cancer patients, Japan	Optimal cut-off ≥ 5 on distress score & ≥ 4 on	
+			Prevalence of major depression –	impact score	
			53/295	Sensitivity = 89%	
			09,250	Specificity = 70%	

General Health Questionnaire (GHQ)

General Health Q	General Health Questionnaire-12				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/caseness	Population	Results	
Consultation					
Evans & Katona, 1993 Quality assessed: +	GHQ-12	Geriatric Mental State (GMS)	N = 408, mean age of total sample = 73 years (SD = 8.4), 38% male N = 136 randomly selected for analysis of GHQ Older adults attending primary care, London Prevalence of depression – 52/136	Depression GHQ Sensitivity = 0.7692 Specificity = 0.7619	
Goldberg <i>et al.</i> , 1997 Quality assessed: +	GHQ-12; GHQ-28	CIDI (DSM- IV/ICD-10)	N = 5438 Consecutive primary care patients in 15 countries.	Common mental health problems GHQ-12	

General Health Questionnaire-12				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/caseness	Population	Results
		Cubercos		Ankara – threshold 1/2: Sensitivity =70.6% Specificity = 82.3% PPV = 55.7%
				Athens - threshold 2/3: Sensitivity = 80.6% Specificity = 84.7% PPV = 62.4%
				Bangalore - threshold 6/7: Sensitivity = 86.7% Specificity = 88.9% PPV = 71.2%
				Berlin - threshold 2/3: Sensitivity = 72.6% Specificity = 75.0% PPV = 47.8%
				Groningen – threshold 2/3: Sensitivity = 80.3% Specificity = 86.4% PPV = 65.1%
				Ibadan - threshold 1/2: Sensitivity = 77.8% Specificity = 79.4% PPV = 54.4%
				Mainz - threshold 2/3: Sensitivity = 73.5% Specificity = 81.2% PPV = 55.2%
				Manchester - threshold 3/4: Sensitivity = 84.6% Specificity = 89.3% PPV = 71.4%
				Nagasaki - threshold 1/2: Sensitivity = 76.2% Specificity = 85.9% PPV = 63.1%
				Paris - threshold 1/2: Sensitivity = 78.2% Specificity = 79.4% PPV = 54.3%

General Health Qu	General Health Questionnaire-12			
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/caseness	Population	Results
				Rio de Janeiro - threshold 1/2: Sensitivity = 70.2% Specificity = 77.3% PPV = 49.4% Santiago -=threshold 2/3: Sensitivity = 84.8% Specificity = 82.2% PPV = 60.0% Seattle - threshold 1/2: Sensitivity = 82.1% Specificity = 76.5% PPV = 52.4% Shanghai - threshold 1/2: Sensitivity = 80.6% Specificity = 84.7% PPV = 62.4% Verona - threshold 1/2: Sensitivity = 75.8% Specificity = 65.3%
Hahn et al., 2006 Quality assessed: +	GHQ-12	CIDI (DSM-IV/ICD-10)	N = 204, mean age = 49.6 years, age range 18-80, 52% male 13 rehabilitation inpatient clinics in Germany, chronically-ill inpatients: 5.9% cardiovascular diseases, 8.8% orthopaedic diseases, 5.4% cancer, 18.6% endocrinologic disease, 53.4% pneumological disease Prevalence of depression – 35/204	PPV = 40.6% Affective disorder (single episode or recurrent major depression, dysthymia) Optimal cut-off ≥ 7 - GHQ AUC = 0.779 (0.716-0.834) Sensitivity = 77.1% Specificity = 69.2% PPV = 34.2%
Harter <i>et al.</i> , 2001 Quality assessed: +	GHQ-12	M-CIDI	N = 206, mean age = 48 years Neck and back pain (70%), arthropathies (14%), rheumatic disorders (6%), other musculoskeletal disorders (10%)	AUC = 0.65 (0.57, 0.72) Cut-off ≥ 5 Sensitivity = 75% Specificity = 51.7% PPV = 17.3%

General Health Q	General Health Questionnaire-12					
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/caseness	Population	Results		
			Prevalence of depression – 10/206			
Harter et al., 2006 Quality assessed: +	GHQ-12	M-CIDI	N = 569, age range = 22-83 years, mean age = 54, 50% male 36% musculoskeletal diseases; 29% CVD and 35% cancer Prevalence of depression – 59/130	Any depression GHQ AUC = 0.72 (0.68, 0.76) Cut-off ≥ 8 GHQ Sensitivity = 52.5% Specificity = 77.9% PPV = 22.1%		
Henkel et al., 2004a & b Secondary paper Henkel et al., 2003 - brief report Quality assessed: +	GHQ-12	CIDI - ICD- 10 (and DSM- IV research criteria for minor depression)	N = 448, of which 431 had an independent clinical diagnosis, mean age = 48.98 Primary care patients Prevalence of depression (any) - 82/431 Prevalence of depression (major) - 50/431 Prevalence of depression (dysthymia disorder) - 24/431 Prevalence of depression (minor) - 54/431	Any depression GHQ-12 Standard cut-off ≥2 Sensitivity = 85% Specificity = 63% PPV = 34% NPV = 95% Any depression according to ICD-10 GHQ-12 AUC = 0.833 Any depression according to ICD-10 including minor depression (per DSM-IV research criteria) GHQ-12 AUC = 0.817 Types of depression according to ICD-10 and DSM-IV research criteria: Major depression AUC = 0.874 Dysthymia disorder AUC = 0.832 Minor depression AUC = 0.755		

General Health Qu	General Health Questionnaire-12			
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/caseness	Population	Results
The MaGPIe Research Group, 2005 Quality assessed: +	Identification tool GHQ-12	Comparator/caseness CIDI	N = 775 1151 were selected for interview, with 788 completing interviews Prevalence of depression – 136/775	Results Depression Cut-off ≥3 Sensitivity = 66.3% Specificity = 71.8% PPV = 34.0% NPV = 90.7% Cut-off ≥4 Sensitivity = 59.9% Specificity = 80.5% PPV = 40.2% NPV = 90.2% Cut-off ≥5 Sensitivity = 53.5% Specificity = 85.1% PPV = 44.1% NPV = 89.3% Cut-off ≥6 Sensitivity = 43.9% Specificity = 89.4% PPV = 47.4% NPV = 87.9%
				Cut-off ≥7 Sensitivity = 38.2% Specificity = 92.5% PPV = 52.6% NPV = 87.3% Cut-off ≥8 Sensitivity = 29.5% Specificity = 94.5% PPV = 54.1% NPV = 86.0%
Patel et al., 2008 Quality assessed: ++	GHQ-12	CIS-R	N = 598, mean age = 37.5 years (SD = 14.2 years), 43.6% male Participants attending 5 primary care clinics in Goa, India Prevalence of common mental disorders - 92/598	Common mental disorders Threshold 5/6 - GHQ-12 Sensitivity =73% Specificity = 90% PPV = 61.2% Threshold 6/7 - GHQ-12 Sensitivity = 60% Specificity = 93% PPV = 64.5%

General Health Qu	General Health Questionnaire-12				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/caseness	Population	Results	
		Caselless		Threshold 7/8- GHQ-12 Sensitivity = 52%	
				Specificity = 97% PPV = 77.1%	
				AUC = 0.8969	
Schmitz <i>et al.,</i> 1999a	GHQ-12	DSM-III-R (SCID)	N = 572, mean age = 42.7 years (SD = 15.7), 31.3% male	Common mental disorders	
Schmitz et al.,			Outpatients attending	Cut-off 11/12 Sensitivity = 0.70	
1999b – secondary study			primary care practices in Dusseldorf, Germany. Of	Specificity = 0.68 PPV = 0.56	
Schmitz <i>et al.</i> , 2001 – secondary			these 421 completed the GHQ-12	Cut-off 7/8 Sensitivity = 0.88	
study			<u>Prevalence of common mental</u> <u>disorder</u> –	Specificity = 0.41	
Quality assessed:			36.8%	AUC = 0.76 (SD = 0.026)	
Physical health pro					
Aydin & Ulusahin, 2001	GHQ-12	CIDI	N = 157 males	Depression	
Quality assessed:	Turkish version (validated)		Recently diagnosed TB (n=42), defaulted TB (n= 380,	Cut-off 1/2 Sensitivity = 87.5%	
+	(variation)		multi drug resistant TB (n=39), COPD (n=38)	Specificity = 79.4%	
			Prevalence of depression –	Cut-off 2/3 Sensitivity = 87.5%	
			8/100	Specificity = 94.1%	
				Cut-off 3/4 Sensitivity = 75%	
				Specificity = 100%	
				Cut-off 4/5 Sensitivity = 75%	
				Specificity = 100%	
				Cut-off 5/6 Sensitivity = 12.5%	
				Specificity = 100%	
				Diagnosed TB	
				Cut-off 1/2 Sensitivity = 100%	
				Specificity = 41.3%	
				Cut-off 2/3	

General Health Qu	uestionnaire-12			
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/caseness	Population	Results
		cusciless		Sensitivity = 75%
				Specificity = 63.3%
				Cut-off 3/4
				Sensitivity = 63.3%
				Specificity = 80%
				Cut-off 4/5
				Sensitivity = 20%
				Specificity = 93.3%
				Cut-off 5/6
				Sensitivity = 0%
				Specificity = 93.3%
				Multi-drug resistant TB
				Cut-off 1/2
				Sensitivity = 100%
				Specificity = 41.3%
				Cut-off 2/3
				Sensitivity = 100%
				Specificity = 62.1%
				Cut-off 3/4
				Sensitivity = 100%
				Specificity = 79.3%
				Cut-off 4/5
				Sensitivity = 70%
				Specificity = 73.1%
				Cut-off 5/6
				Sensitivity = 60%
				Specificity = 100%
				COPD
				Cut-off 1/2
				Sensitivity = 100%
				Specificity = 25%
				PPV = 54.6%
				NPV = 100%
				Cut-off 2/3
				Sensitivity = 100%
				Specificity = 40%
				PPV = 60%
				NPV = 100%

General Health Questionnaire-12				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
				Cut-off 3/4 Sensitivity = 94.4% Specificity = 55% PPV = 65.4% NPV = 91.7% Cut-off 4/5
				Sensitivity = 88.8% Specificity = 70% PPV = 72.7% NPV = 87.5%
				Cut-off 5/6 Sensitivity = 83.3% Specificity = 80% PPV = 78.9% NPV = 84.1%
Chatuverdi <i>et al.,</i> 1994	GHQ-12	ICD-9	N = 100 age= 25-49 years, 100% females	Depression
Quality assessed: +			Gynaecological patients, India	Optimal cut-off Sensitivity = 1.00 Specificity = 0.78
			<u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 36/100	
Picardi <i>et al.</i> , 2005 Quality assessed:	GHQ-12	SCID	N = 141, age = 38 years, 62 male, 79 female	Sensitivity = 0.73 Specificity = 0.78
+			Dermatology patients, Italy <u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 44/141	
			Prevalence of major depression – 12/141	
Reuter and Harter, 2000	GHQ-12	DSM-IV	N = 188, mean age = 54 years, 137 male, 51 female	Depression: Cut-off 2
Quality assessed:			Cancer patients, Germany	Sensitivity = 0.93 Specificity = 0.49
			Prevalence of depression:-: 14/188	
Community				
Costa et al., 2006	GHQ-12	ICD-10	N = 126, mean age = 81 years, 36 male, 90 female	Sensitivity = 0.661 Specificity = 0.623
Quality assessed:				

General Health Q	uestionnaire-12			
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/caseness	Population	Results
+			Elderly people, Brazil Prevalence of depression – 65/126	
Donath, 2008 Quality assessed: +	GHQ-12	ICD-10 or DSM-IV based on the CIDI	N = 10,641, 44% male Part of the 1997 Australian National Survey of Health and Wellbeing, conducted on a community sample Prevalence of affective or anxiety disorder - 7.3%	Affective or anxiety disorder Cut-off 0/1 Sensitivity = 75.4% (72.5–78.4) Specificity = 69.9% (69.5–70.3) Cut-off 1/2 Sensitivity = 58.8% (55.7–61.9) Specificity = 83.8% (83.0–84.5) Cut-off 2/3 Sensitivity = 48.0% (44.9–51.0) Specificity = 90.7% (89.9–91.4) Cut-off 3/4 Sensitivity = 38.6% (35.5–41.7) Specificity = 94.1% (93.2–94.9) AUC = 0.78 (0.76–0.80)
Papassotiro- poulos and Heun, 1999 Quality assessed: +	GHQ-12	ICD-10	N = 287, mean age = 76 years, 171 female, 116 male Older people from the community, Germany Prevalence of depression – 10/287	Depression Optimal cut-off ≥ 4 Sensitivity = 63% Specificity = 91% AUC = 0.794
Viinamaki <i>et al.</i> , 1995 Quality assessed: +	GHQ-12	DSM-III-R	N = 56 Mean age: 48 years Employers from factory <u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 23/56	Depression Cut-off 2/3 Sensitivity = 70% Specificity = 75% PPV = 73% NPV = 72%

Study	Identification tool	Comparator/	Population	Results
· · · · · ·		caseness		
Consultation				
Goldberg et al.,	GHQ-28	CIDI (DSM-	N = 5,438	Common mental health
.997	~	IV/ICD-10)	,	problems
		, , , , ,	Consecutive primary care	
Quality assessed:			patients in 15 countries.	GHQ-28
-			patients in 13 countries.	5112 =0
				Ankara – threshold 3/4:
				Sensitivity = 74.6%
				Specificity = 77.1%
				PPV = 50.7%
				FFV - 30.7 %
				Athens – threshold 5/6:
				Sensitivity = 89.5%
				Specificity = 82.8%
				PPV = 62.2%
				Bangalore – threshold 8/9:
				Sensitivity = 93.4%
				Specificity = 85.0%
				PPV = 66.4%
				Berlin – threshold 5/6:
				Sensitivity = 81.9%
				Specificity = 72.9%
				PPV = 48.8%
				Groningen – threshold 5/6:
				Sensitivity = 84.9%
				Specificity = 81.9%
				PPV = 59.8%
				Ibadan – threshold 4/5:
				_
				Sensitivity = 80.8%
				Specificity = 75.6%
				PPV = 51.2%
				Mainz – threshold 5/6:
				Sensitivity = 80.7%
				Specificity = 72.9%
				PPV = 48.5%
				Manchester - threshold 6/7
				Sensitivity = 84.4%
				Specificity = 86.2%
				PPV = 65.8%
				Nagagaki threshold 0/4
				Nagasaki – threshold 3/4:
				Sensitivity = 76.7%
	1	1	İ	Specificity = 77.6%

General Health Questionnaire-28				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/caseness	Population	Results
		caseness		PPV = 51.9% Paris - threshold 3/4: Sensitivity = 79.3% Specificity = 74.9% PPV = 49.9% Rio de Janeiro - threshold 3/4: Sensitivity = 82.0% Specificity = 71.8% PPV = 47.9% Santiago - threshold 6/7: Sensitivity = 89.0% Specificity = 85.8% PPV = 66.4% Seattle - threshold 3/4: Sensitivity = 80.5% Specificity = 74.8% PPV = 50.2% Shanghai - threshold 7/8: Sensitivity = 84.6% Specificity = 85.5% PPV = 64.8% Verona - threshold 5/6: Sensitivity = 70.8% Specificity = 72.9% PPV = 45.2%
Physical health pro	oblems			
Ibbotson <i>et al.</i> , 1994 Quality assessed: +	GHQ 28	DSM-III	N = 161 (no data for GHQ-28 on whole sample n=546) Disease free cancer patients, UK Prevalence of depression – 20/161	Depression: Cut-off 8 Sensitivity = 0.75 Specificity = 0.92
Johnson <i>et al.</i> , 1995 Quality assessed: +	GHQ-28	DSM-III (SCID)	N = 204, mean age = 71 years, male : female = 1.27 : 1 Participants received at least one screen and underwent the psychiatric assessment	Any depression Threshold 4/5 Sensitivity = 89% Specificity = 75% PPV = 47% NPV = 96%

General Health Questionnaire-28				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/caseness	Population	Results
			GHQ-26: N = 66 HADS: N = 93 GDS: N= 120 Prevalence of depression (whole sample) - 26/204 Prevalence of major depression (whole sample) - 17/204	Threshold 5/6 Sensitivity = 78% Specificity = 81% PPV = 50% NPV = 94% Threshold 6/7 Sensitivity = 44% Specificity = 86% PPV = 44% NPV = 86%
Lincoln et al., 2003 Quality assessed: +	GHQ-28	ICD-10 DSM-III-R	N = 143, mean age = 66 years (SD = 13.5), 100% stroke patients, 52% male N= 20 patients recruited from hospital + 123 recruited from an RCT on CBT Prevalence of depression (DSM-III-R) - 21/143 Prevalence of depression (ICD-10) - 12/143	Depression according to ICD-10 Optimal cut-off ≥ 8 - GHQ Sensitivity = 85% Specificity = 61% Depression according to DSM-II-R Optimal cut-off ≥ 12 - GHQ Sensitivity = 81% Specificity = 68%
Lykouras et al., 1996 Quality assessed: +	GHQ-28 (Greek version)	DSM-III-R (SCID-R)	N = 107, mean age = 43 years, 50 male, 57 female Neurological inpatients, Greece Prevalence of common mental disorder - 56/107	Common mental disorders Optimal cut-off 5/6 - GHQ-28 Sensitivity = 0.87 Specificity = 0.77

Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS)

Geriatric Depression Scale - 30 item					
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/	Population	Results	
		caseness			
Consultation					
Blank et al., 2004	GDS - 30	Diagnostic	N = 360, age >60 years, mean	Major depression	
		Interview	age = 77 years, 37% male		

Geriatric Depression Scale - 30 item				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
Quality assessed: +		Schedule (DIS)	Participants were recruited from primary care (N=125), general hospitals (N=150) and nursing home (N=85) settings (analysis presented separately for each group) Prevalence of major depression – 9% Prevalence of any depression – 16% Prevalence of major depression in primary care – 11% Prevalence of major depression in hospital – 8% Prevalence of major depression in hospital – 8% Prevalence of major depression in nursing homes – 9%	GDS-30 Cut-off ≥10 Sensitivity = 79% (50-94) Specificity = 67% (63-69) AUC = 0.87 (0.77-0.97) Cut-off ≥17 - recommended Sensitivity = 79% (51-94) Specificity = 87% (84-89) Nursing home sample GDS-30 Cut-off ≥10 Sensitivity = 86% (44-99) Specificity = 72% (68-73) AUC = 0.88 (0.74-1.02) Cut-off ≥13 - recommended Sensitivity = 86% (44-99) Specificity = 85% (81-86) Hospital sample GDS-30 Cut-off ≥10 Sensitivity = 83% (52-97) Specificity = 78% (75-79) AUC = 0.90 (0.81-1.00) Cut-off ≥15 - recommended Sensitivity = 83% (54-97) Specificity = 93% (90-94)
Burke et al., 1992 Quality assessed: +	GDS-30	DSM-III-R	N = 67, mean age = 77.2 years (SD = 6.5), 34% male Cognitively intact outpatients Prevalence of depression – 16/67	Depression Cut-off ≥ 11 Sensitivity = 81% Specificity = 61% Cut-off ≥ 14 Sensitivity = 44% Specificity = 75% Cut-off ≥ 17 Sensitivity = 31% Specificity = 94%

Geriatric Depression Scale - 30 item				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
Evans & Katona, 1993 Quality assessed: +	GDS-30	Geriatric Mental State (GMS)	N = 408, mean age = 73 years (SD = 8.4), 38% male Older adults attending primary care, London. N = 144 randomly selected for analysis of GDS Prevalence of depression – 59/144	Depression GDS Sensitivity = 0.8475 Specificity = 0.7176
Fernandez-San Martin <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Quality assessed: +	GDS-30	DSM-IV	N = 192, age >65 years, 70 male, 122 female Primary care, Spain Prevalence of depression – 60/192 (mainly psychotic depression)	Depression Cut-off ≥11 Sensitivity = 0.817 Specificity = 0.68
Jongenelis <i>et al.</i> , 2005 Quality assessed: +	GDS-30	DSM-IV	N = 333, age = 79 years, 104 male, 229 female Nursing home, Netherlands Prevalence of depression – 74/333	Any depression Cut-off 11 Sensitivity = 0.85 Specificity = 0.69
Koenig <i>et al.</i> , 1992a & b Quality assessed: +	GDS-30	DSM-III-R	N = 109, mean age = 74 years (SD = 4.1), 100% male Medically ill hospitalised patients, Durham, US Mean MMSE score = 25.7 (SD = 3.3) Prevalence of depression – 11/109	Major depression Cut-off ≥ 11 - GDS Sensitivity = 82% Specificity = 76% PPV = 27% NPV = 97%
Laprise & Vezina, 1998 Quality assessed: +	GDS-30	DSM-III-R	N = 66, mean age = 78 years, 31 male, 35 female Nursing home residents, Canada (French) Prevalence of depression – 27/66	Depression Cut-off 10-GDS Sensitivity = 0.92 Specificity = 0.513
Lyness et al., 1997	GDS - 30	DSM-III-R	N = 130, mean age = 71.0	Major depression

Geriatric Depressi	Geriatric Depression Scale – 30 item				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
Quality assessed: +			years (SD = 6.8), 41.5% male Prevalence of major depression – 14/130 Prevalence of any depression – 24/130	Cut-off 10 GDS-30 Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 84% AUC = 0.936 (0.031)	
Magni <i>et al.</i> , 1986 Quality assessed: ++	GDS-30	DSM-III	N = 220, mean age = 76 years, 111 male, 109 female Consecutive admissions to general medical ward, Italy Prevalence of depression (MDD and dysthymia) - 67/220 MDD only - 18/220	Depression Cut-off 11 -GDS Sensitivity = 0.86 Specificity = 0.74 Cut-off 14 - GDS Sensitivity = 0.65 Specificity = 0.91	
McGivney et al., 1994 Quality assessed: +	GDS - 30	DSM-III-R	N = 66, mean age = 83 years (SD = 4), 29% male New admissions to two nursing homes Prevalence of major depression - 6/66 Prevalence of any depression - 30/66	Any depression Cut-off≥ 10 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 63% Specificity = 83%	
Nam Bae & Cho, 2004 Quality assessed: ++	GDS - Korean version (GDS-K)	DSM-III-R	N = 154 (91.1% of eligible participants), mean age = 66 years (SD = 6.48), 35% male Consecutively registered elderly psychiatric patients aged 55+ who visited the Geriatric Psychiatry Clinic in Seoul. People with dementia or any form of cognitive impairment were excluded from the study Prevalence of depression – 62/154	Major depression GDS-K Optimal cut-off ≥ 16 Sensitivity = 0.9032 Specificity = 0.7174 Optimal cut-off ≥ 18 (indicated by ROC curve) Sensitivity = 0.8387 Specificity = 0.8152	
Neal & Baldwin, 1994 Quality assessed:	GDS-30	GMS- AGECAT	N = 45, mean age = 77.2 years, 38% male Older adults attending	Depression Cut-off ≥ 9 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 0.63	

Geriatric Depressi	Geriatric Depression Scale - 30 item				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
+			medical outpatient clinics in three UK hospitals	Specificity = 0.80 PPV = 0.92 NPV = 0.38	
			Prevalence of depression – 10/45 (22%)	Cut-off ≥10 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 0.74 Specificity = 0.80 PPV = 0.93 NPV = 0.47	
				Cut-off ≥11 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 0.73 Specificity = 0.80 PPV = 0.94 NPV = 0.57	
				Cut-off ≥12 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 0.83 Specificity = 0.80 PPV = 0.94 NPV = 0.57	
				Cut-off ≥13 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 0.83 Specificity = 0.70 PPV = 0.91 NPV = 0.54	
				Cut-off ≥14 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 0.83 Specificity = 0.60 PPV = 0.88 NPV = 0	
Pomeroy et al., 2001 Quality assessed: +	GDS - 30	ICD-10	N = 87, mean age 78.4 years (SD = 7.7), 40% male Patients over the age of 60 admitted to medical rehabilitation wards or attending day rehabilitation facilities Prevalence of depression – 17/87	Depressive episode GDS-30 Optimal cut-off ≥ 11 Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 62.9% AUC = 0.85 (0.77, 0.94) PPV = 39.5% NPV = 100%	
Robison <i>et al.</i> , 2002	GDS-30	CIDI	N = 303, age = 61 years, 88 male, 215 female	Sensitivity = 0.81 Specificity = 0.65	
Quality assessed:			Primary care, Hispanic		

Geriatric Depressi	Geriatric Depression Scale - 30 item				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
+			population in US		
			<u>Prevalence of depression</u> – Prevalence: 67/303		
Snowdon, 1990 Quality assessed: +/- unable to assess due to lack of information.	GDS-30	DSM-III	N = 69, mean age and % male - not reported Residents in old age hostels or nursing homes Prevalence of major depression – 12/69 Prevalence of any depression – 15/69	Any depression All participants Cut-off ≥11 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 93% Specificity = 83% Cut-off ≥14 GDS-30 Sensitivity = 60% Specificity = 94% Nursing home participants only Cut-off ≥11 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 66% Cut-off ≥14 GDS-30 Sensitivity = 71% Specificity = 92%	
Van Marwijk et al., 1995 Quality assessed: +	GDS-30 item	DSM-III	N = 586, age = 65–94 years, 237 male, 349 female Older people in primary care, Netherlands <u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 33/586	Any depression Cut-off 10 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 0.55 Specificity = 0.86	
Vargas et al., 2007 Quality assessed: +	GDS-30	DSM-IV	N = 484, age = 70 years, 208 male, 276 female General Outpatient Clinic, Portugal Prevalence of depression – 210/484	Cut-off 12 Sensitivity = 0.87 Specificity = 0.73	
Watson <i>et al.</i> , 2004 Quality assessed: +	GDS-30	DSM-IV	N = 84, age = >70, mean age = 82, 26% male Participants residing in two Continuing Care Retirement Communities in US	Major depression GDS-30 Standard cut-off ≥ 12 Sensitivity = 60% (50, 70) Specificity = 93% (88, 98)	

Study	Identification tool	Comparator/	Population	Results
Study	Tuchtification tool	caseness	Topulation	Results
		cusciicss		PPV = 55%
			<u>Prevalence of depression</u> –	NPV = 95%
			10/78	AUC = 0.88
			10/78	AUC = 0.86
				GDS-30 Alternative cut-offs
				Cut-off ≥ 4
				Sensitivity = 100%
				Specificity = 42%
				Cut-off ≥ 5
				Sensitivity = 90%
				Specificity = 57%
				Cut-off ≥ 6
				Sensitivity = 80%
				Specificity = 68%
				Cut-off ≥ 7
				Sensitivity = 80%
				Specificity = 73%
				Specificity 75%
				Cut-off ≥ 8
				Sensitivity = 88%
				Specificity = 77%
				Specificity 7770
				Cut-off ≥ 9
				Sensitivity = 80%
				Specificity = 85%
				ROC analysis = captured 80
				of cases
				Cut-off ≥ 10
				Sensitivity = 60%
				Specificity = 88%
				Cost off > 11
				Cut-off ≥ 11
				Sensitivity = 60%
				Specificity = 89%
				Cut-off ≥ 12
				Sensitivity = 60%
				Specificity = 93%
				Specificity 30%
				Cut-off ≥ 13
				Sensitivity = 60%
				Specificity = 97%
				C. 1 - 66 > 14
				Cut-off ≥ 14 Sensitivity = 60%
				Specificity = 99%

Geriatric Depression Scale - 30 item				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
				Cut-off ≥ 16 Sensitivity = 60% Specificity = 100% Minor depression GDS-30 Standard cut-off ≥ 12 Sensitivity = 33% (23, 43) Specificity = 88% (81, 95) PPV = 18% NPV = 95% AUC = 0.71
Physical health pro	oblems			
Agrell & Dehlin, 1989	GDS-30	Psychiatric interview	N = 40, mean age = 80 years, 45% male	Depression Personmended out off > 10
Quality assessed: +			Adults attending an outpatient clinic following a stroke Prevalence of depression:- 17/40	Recommended cut-off ≥ 10 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 88% Specificity = 64% PPV = 58% NPV = 88%
Jackson & Baldwin, 1993 Quality assessed: +	GDS-30	GMSS - AGECAT	N = 59, mean age = 77.4 years, % male – not reported Hospitalised medically ill older adults. Prevalence of depression – 21/59 (36%)	Depression Cut-off ≥ 9 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 55% PPV = 56% Cut-off ≥ 10 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 91% Specificity = 63% PPV = 58% Cut-off ≥ 11 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 86% Specificity = 76% PPV = 67% Cut-off ≥ 12 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 81% Specificity = 74% PPV = 74% Cut-off ≥ 13 - GDS-30 Sensitivity = 62% Specificity = 87%

Geriatric Depressi	Geriatric Depression Scale - 30 item				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
				PPV = 72%	
Johnson et al., 1995 Quality assessed: +	GDS-30	DSM-III (SCID)	N = 204, mean age = 71 years, male: female = 1.27:1 Participants received at least one screen and underwent the psychiatric assessment GHQ-26: N = 66 HADS N = 93 GDS: N= 120 Prevalence of depression (whole sample) - 26/204 Prevalence of major depression (whole sample)-17/204	Any depression Threshold 13/14 Sensitivity = 84% Specificity = 50% PPV = 44% NPV = 87% Threshold 10/11 Sensitivity = 84% Specificity = 66% PPV = 53% NPV = 90% Threshold 11/12 Sensitivity = 74% Specificity = 70% PPV = 53% NPV = 85%	
Low & Hubley, 2007 Quality assessment +	GDS-30	DSM-IV (SCID-I / NP)	N = 119, mean age = 62.97 years (SD = 11.61), 75% male Hospitalised medically ill older adults. Patients meeting criteria for either acute MI or unstable angina pectoris, Canada, British Columbia Prevalence of depression - 7/119	Cut-off ≥ 10 - GDS Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 79% PPV = 21% NPV = 100% Standard cut-off ≥ 11 - GDS Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 83% PPV = 25% NPV = 100% Cut-off ≥ 12 - GDS Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 88% PPV = 32% NPV = 100% Cut-off ≥ 13 - GDS Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 90% PPV = 35% NPV = 100% Cut-off ≥ 14 - GDS Sensitivity = 100% Cut-off ≥ 14 - GDS Sensitivity = 100%	

Geriatric Depressi	Geriatric Depression Scale – 30 item			
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/	Population	Results
		caseness		Specificity = 94% PPV = 50% NPV = 100%
				Cut-off ≥ 14 - GDS Sensitivity = 67%
				Specificity = 94% PPV = 40% NPV = 98%
				AUC = 0.97
				Any Depression
				Cut-off ≥ 9 - GDS Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 74% PPV = 21% NPV = 100%
				Cut-off ≥ 10 - GDS Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 80% PPV = 25% NPV = 100%
				Standard cut-off ≥ 11 - GDS Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 84% PPV = 29% NPV = 100%
				Cut-off ≥ 12 - GDS Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 89% PPV = 37% NPV = 100%
				Cut-off ≥ 13 - GDS Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 91% PPV = 41% NPV = 100%
				Cut-off ≥ 14 - GDS Sensitivity = 86% Specificity = 94% PPV = 50% NPV = 99%

Geriatric Depression Scale - 30 item				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
				AUC = 0.96
Rovner & Shmuely-Dulitzi, 1997 Quality assessed:	GDS-30	DSM-IV	N = 70, mean age = 77 years, 41 female, 29 male Prevalence of depression 27/70	Depression Standard cut-off - GDS Sensitivity = 63% Specificity = 77%
+			27/70	opecinicity 77 /0
Tang et al., 2004b Quality assessed: +	GDS-30 – Chinese version	DSM-IV	N= 127, mean age = 75.7 years (SD = 6.2), 53.5% male Chinese geriatric stroke patients Prevalence of depression - 8/100	Any depression Optimal cut-off ≥ 7 AUC = 0.90 Sensitivity = 89% Specificity = 73% PPV = 37% NPV = 97%
Ertan et al., 2005 Quality assessed: +	GDS-30 – Turkish version	DSM-IV	N = 109, mean age = 66.5 years, age range 29–84 years, 67% male Patients with Parkinson's disease, Istanbul, Turkey Prevalence of depression – 56/109	Depression Cut-off ≥ 10 Sensitivity = 89% Specificity = 62% PPV = 71% NPV = 84%
Community				
Carrete et al., 2001 Quality assessed: +	GDS-30	DSM-IV (SCID)	N= 169, mean age = 72 years, 57 male, 112 female Ambulatory older adults were contacted by telephone, Argentina Prevalence of depression - 22/169	Cut-off 11 Sensitivity = 0.88 Specificity = 0.84
Costa et al., 2006 Quality assessed: +	GDS-30	ICD-10	N = 126, mean age = 81 years, 36 male, 90 female Older adults, Brazil Prevalence of depression – 65/126	GDS Sensitivity = 0.733 Specificity = 0.654
Dunn & Sacco, 1989 Quality assessed:	GDS-30	DSM-III measured used the Depression	N = 439, mean age = 74 years, % male - not reported Community-dwelling older	Major depression Cut-off 11 - GDS 30 False Positive = 53 (18%)

Geriatric Depressi	Geriatric Depression Scale – 30 item					
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results		
+		symptom checklist and the research diagnostic criteria/	adults attending either an activity centre or dining facility Prevalence of depression- 36/439	False Negative = 6 (17%)		
Sanchez-Garcia et al., 2008 Quality assessed: ++	GDS-30	DSM-IV	N = 534, mean age = 71.5 years (SD = 7.0 years), 32% male Older adults receiving IMSS (Mexican Institute of Social Security), living in Mexico City, 206 individuals randomly selected for a clinical assessment Prevalence of major depression – 19/206 Prevalence of any depression – 62/206	Any depression Standard cut-off GDS Sensitivity = 53.8% (53.1-54.5) Specificity = 78.9% (78.4-79.5) PPV = 60.8% (60.0-61.6) NPV = 73.7% (73.3-74.1)		

Geriatric Depression Scale – 15 item (and Brief GDS)					
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/	Population	Results	
		caseness			
Consultation					
Abas et al., 1998	GDS-15	GMS-	N = 164 (82 completed both	Major depression	
		AGECAT	the screen and the diagnostic		
Quality assessed:			interview)	Cut-off ≥4	
+			·	Sensitivity = 89.1%	
			African-Caribbean adults	Specificity - 65.8%	
			aged over 60 using primary		
			care services/	Cut-off ≥5	
			,	Sensitivity = 81.5%	
			London, UK	Specificity = 81.5%	
			Prevalence of depression – 22/82	Cut-off ≥6 Sensitivity = 74.0%	
			Prevalence of depression based	Specificity = 85.5%	
			on whole sample –		
			20 % (95% CI 17, 23)		
Arthur et al., 1999	GDS-15	ICD-10 based	N = 201	Depression	
		on		_	
Quality assessed:			All people aged over 75 in	Cut-off ≥2	
+			one large GP practice list	Sensitivity = 100%	
			undergoing a health check,	Specificity = 49.9%	
			,	PPV = 11.2%	

Geriatric Depressi	Geriatric Depression Scale - 15 item (and Brief GDS)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
		(SCAN)	Leicester, UK Prevalence of depression – 12/201 – 6%	NPV = 100.0% Cut-off ≥3 Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 71.9% PPV = 18.4% NPV = 100.0% Cut-off ≥4 Sensitivity = 80% Specificity = 81.6% PPV = 21.6% NPV = 98.5% Cut-off ≥5 Sensitivity = 60.0% Specificity = 89.2% PPV = 26.1% NPV = 97.2% Cut-off ≥6 Sensitivity = 50.0% Specificity = 93.7% PPV = 33.3% NPV = 96.7% Cut-off ≥7 Sensitivity = 43.3% Specificity = 96.0% PPV = 40.6% NPV = 96.4%	
Blank et al., 2004 Quality assessed: +	GDS - 15	Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS)	N = 360, mean age = 77 years, 37% male Participants were recruited from primary care (N=125), general hospitals (N=150) and nursing home (N=85) settings (analysis presented separately for each group). All participants were aged >60 years Prevalence of major depression – 9% Prevalence of any depression – 16%	Major depression Primary care sample GDS-15 Cut-off ≥6 Sensitivity = 79% (51-94) Specificity - 75% (71-77) AUC = 0.81 (0.67-0.97) Cut-off ≥9 - recommended Sensitivity = 71% (45-90) Specificity = 91% (88-93) Nursing home sample GDS-15	

Geriatric Depressi	Geriatric Depression Scale – 15 item (and Brief GDS)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
			Prevalence of major depression in primary care – 11% Prevalence of major depression in hospital – 8%	Cut-off ≥6 Sensitivity = 86% (44-99) Specificity = 82% (78-83) AUC = 0.87 (0.74-1.00)	
			Prevalence of major depression in nursing homes – 9%	Cut-off ≥7 - recommended Sensitivity = 86% (44-99) Specificity = 83% (80-85)	
				Hospital sample	
				GDS-15 Cut-off ≥6 Sensitivity = 83% (52-97) Specificity = 80% (77-81) AUC = 0.82 (0.68- 0.96)	
				Cut-off ≥6 - recommended Sensitivity = 83% (53-97) Specificity = 80% (77-81)	
Cullum et al., 2006 Quality assessed: +	GDS-15	ICD-10	N = 618 medically ill older adults in hospital settings. Of these 221 completed both the screens and the diagnostic interviews	Depression Cut-off ≥ 5 - GDS-15 Sensitivity = 0.91 (0.71-0.98) Specificity = 0.63 (0.55-0.71)	
			Whole sample: mean age = 80.2 years (SD = 7.48), 41% male	Cut-off ≥ 6 - GDS-15 Sensitivity = 0.78 (0.58-0.90) Specificity = 0.74 (0.66-0.80)	
			Interview sample: mean age = 80.3 years (SD = 7.49), 40% male	Cut-off ≥ 7 - GDS-15 Sensitivity = 0.74 (0.54-0.87) Specificity = 0.81 (0.75-0.86)	
			Prevalence of depression – 17.7% (weighted prevalence)	Cut-off ≥ 8 - GDS-15 Sensitivity = 0.61 (0.43-0.76) Specificity = 0.86 (0.82-0.89)	
				Cut-off ≥ 9 - GDS-15 Sensitivity = 0.50 (0.35-0.65) Specificity = 0.92 (0.88-0.94)	
				Cut-off ≥ 10 - GDS-15 Sensitivity = 0.39 (0.27-0.52) Specificity = 0.94 (0.92-0.96)	
D'Ath et al., 1994	GDS-15	GMS	N = 194, age = 74 years, 126	Depression	

Geriatric Depressi	Geriatric Depression Scale - 15 item (and Brief GDS)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
Quality assessed: +			female, 72 male <u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 67/194	Sensitivity = 91% Specificity = 72%	
Friedman et al., 2005 Quality assessed: +	GDS-15	Mini International Neuropsychi atric Interview (MINI)	N = 960 , mean age = 79. 3years (SD 7.4), 25.4% male Functionally impaired but cognitively intact older adults participating in a RCT assessing a primary care health intervention, US Prevalence of depression – 124/960 (12.9%)	Depression Standard Cut-off ≥6 Sensitivity = 81.45% Specificity = 75.36% AUC = 0.858 (SE – 0.018)	
Hoyl et al., 1999 Quality assessed: +	GDS-15 GDS-5	Clinical evaluation - including MINI, PRIME-MD and psychiatric consultation	N = 74, mean age = 74 years, 98% male Frail older adult outpatients, California, US Prevalence of depression – 34/74 (46%)	Any depression GDS-15 Sensitivity = 0.94 Specificity = 0.82 PPV = 0.82 NPV = 0.94 AUC = 0.91 GDS-5 Optimal cut off ≥ 2 Sensitivity = 0.97 Specificity = 0.85 PPV = 0.85 NPV = 0.97 AUC = 0.94	
Jongenelis <i>et al.</i> , 2005 Quality assessed: +	GDS-15	DSM-IV	N = 333, age = 79 years, 104 male, 229 female Nursing home, Netherlands Prevalence of depression – 74/333	Any depression Cut-off 5 Sensitivity = 0.81 Specificity = 0.63	
Lyness <i>et al.</i> , 1997 Quality assessed: +	GDS - 15	DSM-III-R	N = 130, mean age = 71.0 years (SD = 6.8 years), 41.5% male Older adults attending primary care Prevalence of major depression -	Major depression Cut-off 5 GDS-15 Sensitivity = 92% Specificity = 81% AUC = 0.935 (0.046)	

Geriatric Depression Scale - 15 item (and Brief GDS)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
			14/130	
			<u>Prevalence of any depression</u> – 24/130	
Marc et al., 2008 Quality assessed: +	GDS-15	DSM-IV using SCID and expert consensus	N = 526, mean age = 78.3 years (SD = 7.5), 34.9% male Older adults who were newly admitted to receive home nursing care; participants with cognitive impairment were excluded from the study (492 cases used in the analysis due to missing data)	Depression Optimal cut off ≥ 5 - GDS-15 Sensitivity = 71.8% Specificity = 78.2% AUC = 0.7933 (SE - 0.0308) Standard cut off ≥ 5 - GDS-15 Sensitivity = 60.6%
			<u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 81/526 (15.4%)	Sensitivity = 60.6% Specificity = 86.2%
Nam Bae & Cho, 2004 Quality assessed: ++	Short GDS – Korean version (SGDS-K)	DSM-III-R	N = 154 (91.1% of eligible participants), mean age = 66 years (SD = 6.48), 35% male Consecutively registered elderly psychiatric patients aged 55+ who visited the Geriatric Psychiatry Clinic in Seoul. People with dementia or any form of cognitive impairment were excluded from the study Prevalence of depression – 62/154	Major depression SGDS-K Optimal cut-off ≥ 8 Sensitivity = 0.8548 Specificity = 0.6957 Optimal cut-off ≥ 10 (indicated by ROC curve) Sensitivity = 0.7419 Specificity = 0.8587
Neal & Baldwin, 1994 Quality assessed: +	GDS-15	DSM (GMS)	N = 45, age = 77years, 18 male, 27 female Prevalence of depression – 8/45	Depression Optimal cut-off - GDS-15 Sensitivity = 0.67 Specificity = 0.80
Pomeroy et al., 2001 Quality assessed: +	GDS-4 GDS-15	ICD-10	N = 87, mean age 78.4 years (SD = 7.7), 40% male Patients over the age of 60 admitted to medical rehabilitation wards or attending day rehabilitation facilities Prevalence of depression –	Depressive episode GDS-4 Optimal cut-off ≥ 1 Sensitivity = 82.4% Specificity = 67.1% AUC = 0.80 (0.68, 0.93) PPV = 37.8% NPV = 94.0%

Geriatric Depressi	ion Scale - 15 item (a	and Brief GDS)		
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
			17/87	GDS-15 Optimal cut-off ≥ 5 Sensitivity = 82.4% Specificity = 60.0 AUC = 0.82 (0.71, 0.93) PPV = 33.3% NPV = 93.3%
Rinaldi <i>et al.</i> , 2003 Quality assessed: +	GDS-15 5-item GDS (Hoyl et al., 1999) (GDS-5)	DSM-IV	N = 181, age = 65 years and older, mean age 79.4 (SD = 7.3) Participants with normal cognitive function enrolled from three settings: an acute geriatric ward (33%), a geriatric outpatient clinic (28%) and a nursing home (39%); mean age Prevalence of depression – 87/181	Any depression GDS-15 Sensitivity = 0.92 (0.88, 0.96) Specificity = 0.83 (0.78, 0.88) PPV = 0.83 (0.78, 0.88) NPV = 0.92 (0.88, 0.96) AUC = 0.88 GDS-5 Sensitivity = 0.94 (0.91, 0.98) Specificity = 0.81 (0.75, 0.87) PPV = 0.81 (0.75, 0.87) NPV = 0.94 (0.90, 0.97) AUC = 0.85
Scheinthal <i>et al.</i> , 2001 Quality assessed: ++	GDS-15	DSM-IV	N = 75, age = 74 years, 33 male, 42 female Geriatric medical setting, US Prevalence of depression – 8/75	Cut-off ≥ 7 Sensitivity = 1 Specificity = 0.79
Van Marwijk et al., 1995 Quality assessed: +	GDS – 15 item	DSM-III	N = 586, age = 65–94 years, 237 male, 349 female Older people in primary care, Netherlands <u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 33/586	Any depression Cut-off <3/3 - GDS-15 Sensitivity = 67% Specificity = 73% PPV = 13% NPV = 97% Cut-off <2/2+ Sensitivity = 76% Specificity = 53% PPV = 9% NPV = 97%
Physical health pr	oblems			
Galaria <i>et al.,</i> 2000	GDS-15	DSM-III-R	N = 70, age = 65 years and over, mean age = 77.4 years (SD = 6.6 years), 41.6% male	Depression Standard Cut-off ≥ 5

Geriatric Depressi	on Scale – 15 item (a	and Brief GDS)		
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
Quality assessed: +			Older adults with visual impairments attending a low-vision specialist clinic Prevalence of depression – 27/70 (38.6%)	Sensitivity = 0.74 Specificity = 0.72
Haworth et al., 2007 Quality assessed: +	GDS-15	DSM-IV (SCID)	N = 88, mean age = 70 years, 73 male, 15 female Heart failure patients, US Prevalence of depression 22/88 depression 13/88 MDD	Depression Cut-off 5 (recommended and optimal) Sensitivity = 81.8% Specificity = 83.3% PPV = 62.1% NPV = 93.2%
Jackson & Baldwin, 1993 Quality assessed: +	GDS-15	GMSS - AGECAT	N = 59, mean age = 77.4 years, % male – not reported Hospitalised medically ill older adults Prevalence of depression – 21/59 (36%)	Depression Cut-off ≥ 4 - GDS-15 Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 50% PPV = 53% Cut-off ≥ 5 - GDS-15 Sensitivity = 86% Specificity = 66% PPV = 58% Cut-off ≥ 6 - GDS-15 Sensitivity = 67% Specificity = 79% PPV = 64%
Koenig et al., 1992b (followed on from Koenig et al., 1992a, but used a different sample in the validation study) Quality assessed: +	GDS-11	DSM-III-R	N = 78, mean age (of whole 117 sample) = 34.4 years (SD = 4.7), 100% male Participants completed GDS-11 and psychiatric interview out of 117 participants who completed the GDS-11 (only those in the first two months of the study had a psychiatric interview); participants were all recruited from a neurology unit Prevalence of depression – 12/78	Depression Cut-off ≥ 3 - GDS-11 Sensitivity = 83% Specificity = 77%

Geriatric Depressi	Geriatric Depression Scale – 15 item (and Brief GDS)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
Lee et al., 2008 Quality assessed: +	GDS-15 – Chinese version	DSM-IV	N = 253, mean age = not reported, 62.8% male Stroke patients 1 month after admission to the stroke unit Prevalence of depression – 116/253	Depression Cut-off ≥ 5 - GDS-15 Sensitivity = 83.6% Specificity = 76.6% PPV = 75.2% NPV = 84.7%	
Tang et al., 2004a Quality assessed: +	GDS-15 - Chinese version	DSM-III-R	N = 60 Chinese patients receiving rehabilitation after stroke Prevalence of depression – 14/60	Any depression Optimal cut-off ≥ 6 AUC = 0.758 Sensitivity = 64% Specificity = 83% PPV = 53% NPV = 88%	
Weintraub et al., 2006 Quality assessed: +	GDS -15	DSM-IV	N = 148, mean age = 71 years Participants with idiopathic PD receiving specialist care MMSE = 27	Cut-off 1/2 Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 35% PPV = 30% NPV = 100% Cut-off 2/3 Sensitivity = 97% Specificity = 51% PPV = 35% NPV = 98% Cut-off 3/4 Sensitivity = 91% Specificity = 71% PPV = 46% NPV = 96% Cut-off 4/5 Sensitivity = 88% Specificity = 85% PPV = 61% NPV = 96% Cut-off 5/6 Sensitivity = 78% Specificity = 91% PPV = 69% NPV = 93%	

	on Scale – 15 item (a	,	Panulation	Results
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
		Casciness		Cut-off 6/7 Sensitivity = 66% Specificity = 97% PPV = 84% NPV = 91% Cut-off 7/8 Sensitivity = 50% Specificity = 97% PPV = 84% NPV = 88%
Community				
De Craen <i>et al.</i> , 2003 Quality assessed: +	GDS-15	DSM-IV	N=79, median age = 87 years, 24 male, 55 female Community dwelling, older adults, Netherlands	Cut-off 3 True Positive = 7 False Positive = 17 False Negative = 1 True Negative = 54
Orcos et al., 2007	GDS-15	DSM-IV	N = 301	Depression
Unable to quality assess as full translation required (detailed English abstract containing information on population and all results)	GDS-5		Non-selected older community-dwelling adults Prevalence of depression – 14.6%	GDS-15 Sensitivity = 0.818
Rait et al., 1999 Quality assessed: +	GDS-15	DSM-IV	N = 130, mean age = >60 years, no information on gender <u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 13/130	Depression Sensitivity = 91% Specificity = 72%

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)

Hospital Anxiety a	and Depression Scal	le (HADS - Dep	ression only)	
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
Consultation				
Hahn <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Quality assessed: +	HADS	CIDI (DSM-IV/ICD-10)	N = 204, age range 18-80 years, mean age = 49.6 years, 52% male 13 rehabilitation inpatient clinics in Germany, chronically ill in-patients; 5.9% cardiovascular diseases,	Affective disorder (single episode or recurrent major depression, dysthymia) Optimal cut-off ≥ 18 - HADS AUC = 0.785 (0.722-0.839) Sensitivity = 71.4% Specificity = 74.6%
			8.8% orthopaedic diseases, 5.4% cancer, 18.6% endocrinologic disease, 53.4% pneumological disease Prevalence of depression – 35/204	PPV = 36.8%
Harter et al., 2001	HADS	M-CIDI	N = 206, mean age = 48 years	AUC = 0.79 (0.73, 0.85)
Quality assessed: +			Neck and back pain (70%), arthropathies (14%), rheumatic disorders (6%), other musculoskeletal disorders (10%) Prevalence of depression – 10/206	Cut-off ≥ 16: Sensitivity = 78.3% Specificity = 70.6% PPV = 28.6%
Harter et al., 2006 Quality assessed: +	HADS	M-CIDI	N = 569, age range 22-83 years, mean age = 54 years, 50% male 36% musculoskeletal diseases, 29% CVD and 35% cancer Prevalence of depression – 59/130	Any depression HADS AUC = 0.82 (0.79, 0.86) Cut-off ≥ 18- HADS Sensitivity = 73.7% Specificity = 79.5% PPV = 30.7%
Healey <i>et al.</i> , 2008 Quality assessed: ++	HADS	DSM-IV (SCID)	N = 49, mean age = 78.9 years (SD = 6.79), 43% male Stroke patients recruited from inpatient rehabilitation units Prevalence of MDD –	Any depression Cut-off ≥ 8 - HADS Sensitivity = 62% (36-82) Specificity = 69% (53-82) PPV = 42% (23-64) NPV = 83% (66-93)

Hospital Anxiety	and Depression Scal	le (HADS - Dep	ression only)	
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
			7/49 Prevalence of minor depression – 6/49 Prevalence of any depression – 13/49	MDD Cut-off ≥8 - HADS Sensitivity = 86% (49-97) Specificity = 69% (54-81) PPV = 32% (15-54) NPV = 97% (83-99)
Herrero et al., 2003 Quality assessed: +	HADS	DSM-IV (SCID)	N = 385, mean age = 38 years, 204 male, 181 female General Hospital – all participants were outpatients with severe medical pathology, from neurosurgery, pulmonary, cardiology, neurology and infectious illness settings, Spain Prevalence of depression – 87/385	Cut-off 7 Sensitivity = 0.92 Specificity = 0.644
Lam et al., 1995 Quality assessed: +	HADS	DSM-III-R	N = 100, age = 69 years, 44 male, 56 female Elderly primary care patients, Hong Kong Prevalence of depression – 9/100	Sensitivity = 0.78 Specificity = 0.91
Lowe et al., 2004a Lowe et al., 2004b - duplicate report Quality assessed: +	HADS	DSM-IV (SCID)	N = 501, mean age = 41.7 years (SD = 13.8), 32.9% male 395 outpatients from Heidelberg University Medical Hospital, 106 patients from 12 GPs in Heidelberg 21% musculoskeletal disease, 16% endocrine, nutritional & metabolic disease, 10% cardiovascular/circulatory disease, 7% gastrointestinal disease, 6% respiratory system disease Prevalence of depression –	Any depression Cut-off \geq 7- HADS Sensitivity = 86% (78, 91) Specificity = 70% (65, 74) Cut-off \geq 8- HADS Sensitivity = 81% (73, 87) Specificity = 75% (71, 80) Cut-off \geq 10- HADS Sensitivity = 75% (66, 82) Specificity = 82% (78, 86) Major depression Cut-off \geq 8- HADS Sensitivity = 88% (78, 95)

Study	Identification tool	Comparator/	Population	Results
		caseness		
			66/501	Specificity = 69% (64, 73)
				Cut-off ≥ 9- HADS
				Sensitivity = 85% (78, 95)
				Specificity = 76% (64, 73)
				Cut-off ≥ 10- HADS
				Sensitivity = 74% (62, 84)
				Specificity = 83% (79, 86)
Parker et al., 2002	HADS	DSM-IV	N = 302, mean age = 46.5 (SD	Depression
1 (11101 01 1111) 2002		(CIDI)	= 12.9), 63.2% male	- specialist
Quality assessed:			,	Cut-off ≥ 2 - BDI-PC
+			111 (36.8%) patients had	AUC = 0.892
			chronic physical illness; mean	Sensitivity = 100% (not
			duration = 9 years	calculated)
				Specificity = 20.5% (5.5, 32.4)
			Outpatients from cardiology	
			(29.5%), respiratory (23.2%),	Cut-off ≥ 5 - BDI-PC
			gastroenterology (11.6%).	AUC = 0.892
			Nephrology (14.9%),	Sensitivity = 100% (not
			haematology (7.9%),	calculated]
			rheumatology (5.0%),	Specificity = 50.0% (35.2, 64.8)
			radiation oncology (4.6%),	C + (C> C PDI PC
			endocrinology (3.3%)	Cut-off ≥ 6 - BDI-PC
				AUC = 0.892 Sensitivity = 100% (not
			Australia, Sydney	calculated)
			Durantana of damassian	Specificity = 65.9% (51.9, 79.9)
			<u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 14/160	Specificity = 05.9% (51.9, 79.9)
			14100	Cut-off ≥ 8 – BDI-PC
				AUC = 0.892
				Sensitivity = 75% (32.6, 100]
				Specificity = 70.4% (70.4, 93.2)
				Ontime 1 and off > 0 PDI PC
				Optimal cut-off ≥ 9 - BDI-PC AUC = 0.892
				Sensitivity = 75% (32.6, 100]
				Specificity = 70.4% (82.4, 99.4)
				Cut-off ≥ 11 - BDI-PC
				AUC = 0.892
				Sensitivity = 50.0% (1, 99)
				Specificity = 93.24% (85.7 100)
				70.21% (00 100)

Hospital Anxiety a	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS - Depression only)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
Upadhyaya & Stanely, 1997 Quality assessed: +	HADS	GMS- AGECAT	N = 72, age = 71.2 years, 37 male, 35 female Attendees over 65years old at a medical centre (80 approached to take part in study), Liverpool, UK Prevalence of depression – 20/72	Depression Optimal cut-off 8/9 Sensitivity = 70% Specificity = 87%	
Physical health pro					
Aben et al., 2002 Quality assessed: +	HADS-D	DSM-IV	N = 202 (N=176 completed HADS-D), mean age = 68 years, 91 female, 111 male Stroke patients, Maastricht, Netherlands Prevalence of major and minor depression - 51/202	Depression: major depressive and minor disorder (also gives results from major depressive disorder only) Standard cut-off ≥ 8 Sensitivity = 72.5% Specificity = 78.9% PPV = 50.9% NPV = 90.5% AUC = 0.83	
Akizuki et al., 2003 Quality assessed: +	HADS	DSM-IV	N = 275, mean age = 52 years, 164 female, 111 male Cancer patients, Tokyo and Kashiwa, Japan Prevalence of major depression and adjustment disorder - 168/275	Depression: major depression and adjustment disorder Standard cut-off ≥ 8 Sensitivity = 96% Specificity = 45% PPV = 30% NPV = 63%	
Akizuki <i>et al.</i> , 2005 Quality assessed: +	HADS	DSM-IV	N = 295; mean age = 51 years, 164 female, 131 male Cancer patients; Japan <u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 53/295	Depression: major depression Optimal cut-off ≥ 15 Sensitivity = 77% Specificity = 74%	
Berard <i>et al.</i> , 1998 Quality assessed: +	HADS	DSM-IV	N=100, age = 50 years, 13 male, 87 female Cancer patients, South Africa	Depression: Cut-off 8 Sensitivity = 0.71 Specificity = 0.95	

Hospital Anxiety	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS - Depression only)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
			Prevalence of depression :- 21/100		
Golden et al., 2007 Quality assessed: +	HADS	DSM-IV (SCID-CV)	N = 88, 74% male Outpatients at a hepatitis C service Prevalence of depression – 28/88	Any depression HADS-D AUC = 0.78 (0.68-0.88) Cut-off ≥ 8 - HADS-D Sensitivity = 52% (31-72) Specificity = 83% (71-91) PPV = 54 (33-74) NPV = 81% (70-90) Cut-off ≥ 8 - HADS-A Sensitivity = 88% (69-97) Specificity = 68% (55-79) PPV = 52 (36-68) NPV = 93% (82-99)	
Hall <i>et al.</i> , 1999 Quality assessed: +	HADS	DSM-IV	N = 266, age = <75 years, 100% female Women with early breast cancer, UK Prevalence of depression – 99/266	Depression: Cut-off 8 Sensitivity = 0.333 Specificity = 0.934	
Haworth et al., 2007 Quality assessed: +	HADS	DSM-IV (SCID)	N = 88, age = 70 years, 73 male, 15 female Heart failure patients, US Prevalence of any depression - 22/88 Prevalence of MDD - 13/88	Depression Cut-off 6 Sensitivity = 77.3% Specificity = 89.4%	
Ibbotson <i>et al.</i> , 1994 Quality assessed: +	HADS	DSM-III	N = 513, median age = 50-59 years, 231 male, 282 female Cancer patients, UK <u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 20/161	Anxiety and Depression Optimal cut-off >14 - HADS Sensitivity = 80% Specificity = 76% PPV = 41%	

Hospital Anxiety	and Depression Scal	le (HADS - Dep	ression only)	
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
Johnson <i>et al.</i> , 1995 Quality assessed: +	HADS	DSM-III (SCID)	N = 204, mean age (whole sample) = 71 years, male: female = 1.27:1 Participants received at least one screen and underwent the psychiatric assessment GHQ-26: N = 66 HADS N = 93	Any depression Threshold 3/4 Sensitivity = 94% Specificity = 32% PPV = 25% NPV = 96% Threshold 4/5 Sensitivity = 83%
			HADS N = 93 GDS: N= 120 Prevalence of depression (whole sample) - 26/204 Prevalence of major depression (whole sample)-17/204	Specificity = 44% PPV = 26% NPV = 92% Threshold 5/6 Sensitivity = 61% Specificity = 50% PPV = 23% NPV = 84%
Love et al., 2004 Quality assessed: +	HADS	DSM-IV	N = 227, mean age = 52 years (SD = 9), 100% female Women with stage IV breast cancer involved in RCT, Australia Prevalence of depression - 74/227	Any depression (major and minor) Cut-off ≥ 7 - HADS Sensitivity = 50% Specificity = 88% PPV = 67% NPV = 79% Cut-off ≥ 8 - HADS Sensitivity = 46% Specificity = 94% PPV = 79% NPV = 78% Cut-off ≥ 9 - HADS Sensitivity = 35% Specificity = 95% PPV = 76% NPV = 75% Cut-off ≥ 10 - HADS Sensitivity = 24% Specificity = 96% PPV = 75%

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS - Depression only)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/	Population	Results
		caseness		NPV = 72% Cut-off ≥ 11 - HADS Sensitivity = 16% Specificity = 97% PPV = 75% NPV = 71% Major depression Cut-off ≥ 7- HADS Sensitivity = 81% Specificity = 81% PPV = 24% NPV = 98% Cut-off ≥ 8 - HADS Sensitivity = 75% Specificity = 85% PPV = 28% NPV = 98% Cut-off ≥ 9 - HADS Sensitivity = 63% Specificity = 89% PPV = 29% NPV = 97% Cut-off ≥ 10 - HADS Sensitivity = 50% Specificity = 92% PPV = 33% NPV = 96% Cut-off ≥ 11 - HADS
				Sensitivity = 38% Specificity = 95% PPV = 37% NPV = 95%
Poole & Morgan, 2006 Quality assessed:	HADS	DSM-III-R (SCID)	N = 115, median age = 43 years, age range = 23-63 years, 59.1% male	Any depression HADS-Anxiety subscale AUC = 0.78
+			Patients from a hypertrophic cardiomyopathy clinic, London, UK	HADS-Depression subscale AUC = 0.94
			Prevalence of depression – 18/115	Cut-off ≥ 8 - HADS-Anxiety subscale

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS - Depression only)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
				Sensitivity = 96% Specificity = 79% PPV = 74% NPV = 96%
				Cut-off ≥ 8 - HADS- Depression subscale Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 87% PPV = 67% NPV = 100%
				Cut-off ≥ 10 - HADS-Anxiety subscale Sensitivity = 27% Specificity = 86% PPV = 55% NPV = 65%
				Cut-off ≥ 10 - HADS- Depression subscale Sensitivity = 46% Specificity = 95% PPV = 69% NPV = 87%
				Optimal cut-off ≥ 14 - HADS-total Sensitivity = 73% Specificity = 77% PPV = 74% NPV = 75%
Reuter & Harter, 2000 Quality assessed: +	HADS	DSM-IV	N = 188, mean age = 54 years, 137 male, 51 female Cancer patients, Germany	HADS Cut-off 17 Sensitivity = 0.79 Specificity = 0.76
			<u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 14/188	
Stafford <i>et al.</i> , 2007 Quality assessed:	HADS – depression subscale	DSM-IV	N = 193, mean age = 64.14 years (SD = 10.37), age range 38–91 years, 80.8% male	Any depression HADS-Depression subscale AUC = 0.85 (SE = 0.03)
++			Patients hospitalised for percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty or coronary artery bypass graft surgery, Geelong, Australia	Cut-off ≥ 5 - HADS- Depression subscale Sensitivity = 77.8% Specificity = 80.6%

Hospital Anxiety a	and Depression Scal	e (HADS - Dep	ression only)	
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
			Prevalence of depression – 54/193	PPV = 60.9% NPV = 90.3% Cut-off ≥ 8 - HADS- Depression subscale Sensitivity = 38.9% Specificity = 94.2% PPV = 72.4% NPV = 79.9%
Strik et al., 2001 Quality assessed: +	HADS	DSM-IV (SCID-I)	N = 206, male mean age = 59 years (SD = 10.6), male age range = 34–84 years, female mean age = 62.9 (SD = 10.7), female age range = 38–78, 76.1% male Post-myocardial infarction Prevalence of depression – 39/206	Any depression (major or minor) Optimal cut-off ≥ 8 - HADS-Depression AUC = 0.85 Sensitivity = 75.0% Specificity = 77.6% PPV = 32.1% NPV = 98.4%
Tang et al., 2004a Quality assessed: +	HADS - Chinese version	DSM-III-R	N = 100, age = 74 years, 55% male First acute stroke patients, recruited from consecutive admissions to the Stroke Recovery Unit Prevalence of depression – All disorders – 17/100 Prevalence of MDD – 8/100	Any depression Cut-off 5/6 Sensitivity = 0.88 Specificity = 0.51 PPV = 0.27 NPV = 0.96 Cut-off 6/7 Sensitivity = 0.88 Specificity = 0.53 PPV = 0.28 NPV = 0.96 Cut-off 7/8 Sensitivity = 0.82 Specificity = 0.58 PPV = 0.29 NPV = 0.29 NPV = 0.95 Cut-off 5/6 Sensitivity = 0.76 Specificity = 0.63 PPV = 0.30 NPV = 0.93
Tang <i>et al.</i> , 2004b	HADS - Chinese version	DSM-III-R	N = 60	All depressive disorders

Study	Identification tool	Comparator/	Population	Results
		caseness		
Quality assessed: +			Chinese patients received rehabilitation after stroke Prevalence of depression – 14/60	Optimal cut-off ≥ 4 AUC = 0.838 Sensitivity = 86% Specificity = 78% PPV = 55% NPV = 93%
Walker et al., 2007 Quality assessed: +	HADS (total; depression subscale; anxiety subscale)	SCID	N = 361 33.5% males Cancer patients: 69.3% breast cancer, 12.5% prostate and bladder cancer; 78.9% had no active disease present, outpatients in clinic in Edinburgh, UK Prevalence of depression – 30/361	Optimal cut-off ≥ 7 - HADS-depression subscale AUC = 0.93 (0.88-0.98) Sensitivity = 90% (74-97) Specificity = 88% (84-91) PPV = 40% Optimal cut-off ≥ 9 - HADS-anxiety subscale AUC = 0.90 (0.85-0.95) Sensitivity = 87% (70-95) Specificity = 83% (78-86) PPV = 31% Cut-off ≥ 13 - HADS-total Sensitivity = 90% (74-97) Specificity = 80% (75-84) PPV = 29% Cut-off ≥ 14 - HADS-total Sensitivity = 87% (70-95) Specificity = 83% (78-86) PPV = 31% Cut-off ≥ 15 - HADS-total Sensitivity = 87% (70-95) Specificity = 85% (81-89) PPV = 35% Cut-off ≥ 16 - HADS-total Sensitivity = 80% (70-0.95) Specificity = 90% (86-93) PPV = 41% Cut-off ≥ 17 - HADS-total Sensitivity = 77% (59-88) Specificity = 92% (89-95) PPV = 48%

Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS)

Hamilton Depress	Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
Physical health pr	oblems				
Aben <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Quality assessed: +	HDRS	DSM-IV	N = 202 (N=171 completed BDI), mean age = 68 years, 91 female, 111 male	Depression: major depressive and minor disorder	
			Stroke patients, Maastricht, Netherlands, Prevalence of major and minor depression – 51/202	Standard cut-off ≥ 12 Sensitivity = 78.4% Specificity = 81.3% PPV = 58.8% NPV = 91.7% AUC = 0.86	
Agrell & Dehlin, 1989 Quality assessed: +	HRSD	Psychiatric interview	N = 40, mean age = 80 years, 45% male Adults attending an outpatient clinic following a stroke Prevalence of depression – 17/40	Depression Recommended cut-off ≥ 10 - HRSD Sensitivity = 71% Specificity = 87% PPV = 60% NPV = 80%	
Leentjens <i>et al.</i> , 2000b Quality assessed: +	HDRS	DSM-IV (SCAN)	N = 63, mean age = 68 years, 63% male Patients with Parkinson's disease (without the presence of dementia). Prevalence of depression – 16/63	Depressive Disorder Standard cut-off 11/12 - HDRS Sensitivity = 94% Specificity = 75% PPV = 56% NPV = 97% Optimal cut-off 13/14 - HDRS Sensitivity = 88% Specificity = 89% PPV = 74% NPV = 96% AUC = 0.9497	
Serrano-Duenas & Serrano, 2008 Quality assessed: +	HDRS - 21 item HDRS - 6 Item	DSM-IV	N = 115, mean age = 70.33 (SD = 10.31), 71.3% male Patients with Parkinson's disease, Quito, Ecuador Prevalence of depression – 49/115	Major depressive episode Optimal cut-off 18/19 - HDRS-21 Sensitivity = 86% (76-92) Specificity = 95% (83-98) AUC = 0.94 (0.90-0.98)	

		T	1	
Strik <i>et al.</i> , 2001 Quality assessed: +	HDRS	DSM-IV (SCID-I)	N = 206, male mean age = 59 years (SD = 10.6), male age range = 34-84 years, female mean age = 62.9 years (SD = 10.7), age range = 38-78	Optimal cut-off 7/8 - HDRS-6 Sensitivity = 79% (69-87) Specificity = 91% (78-97) AUC = 0.92 (0.87-0.97) Any depression (major or minor) Optimal cut-off ≥ 12 - HDRS AUC = 0.89 Sensitivity = 76.3%
			years, 76.1% male Post-myocardial infarction patients	Specificity = 86.0% PPV = 40.7 NPV = 99.3
			<u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 39/206	
Weintraub <i>et al.</i> , 2006	HDRS	DSM-IV	N = 148, mean age = 71 years	Optimal cut-off 9/10 Sensitivity = 0.88
Quality assessed: +			Participants with idiopathic PD receiving specialist care MMSE = 27	Specificity = 0.58 Specificity = 0.78 PPV = 0.52 NPV = 0.96
			<u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 32/148	
Community				
Stukenberg et al., 1990 Quality assessed: +	HDRS	DSM-III-R (SCID)	N = 177 community dwelling adults over 55 years, age range 56-88 years, mean age = 67.4 years (SD = 7.20), 33% male	Any depression HDRS AUC = 0.85 (SE = 0.05)
			Prevalence of depression – 27/178	
Mixed community	and consultation sa	mple		
Mottram et al., 2000	HDRS	DSM-IV	N = 414, mean age = 77 years, 111 male, 303 female	Depression Cut-off ≥ 16
Quality assessed: +			Prevalence of depression – 330/414	Sensitivity = 0.875 Specificity = 0.991

Major Depression Inventory (MDI)

Major Depression Inventory (MDI)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results
Community				
Forsell, 2005 Quality assessed: +	MDI	DSM-IV	N = 1093, mean age = 42 years, 638 female, 455 male Community sample, Sweden, Stockholm Prevalence of depression - 81/1093	Depression: major depressive disorder Optimal cut-off 26 Sensitivity = 61% Specificity = 85% AUC = 0.83

Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS)

Montgomery-Asb	erg Depression Rati	ng Scale (MADI	RS)	
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
Physical health pr	oblems			
Laska et al., 2007 Quality assessed: +	MADRS	DSM-IV	N = 89, age range = 45-94 years, mean age = 74 years, 100% aphasic stroke patients, 56% male Aphasic stroke patients involved in a randomised placebo-controlled trial of myoclobemide Prevalence of depression - 7/60	Depression Cut-off ≥ 10 - MADRS Sensitivity = 66% Specificity = 93% PPV = 29%
Leentjens <i>et al.</i> , 2000 Quality assessed: +	MADRS	DSM-IV (SCAN)	N = 63, mean age = 68 years, 63% male Patients with Parkinson's disease (without the presence of dementia) Prevalence of depression – 16/63	Depressive Disorder Optimal cut-off 14/15- MADRS Sensitivity = 88% Specificity = 89% PPV = 74% NPV = 96% AUC = 0.8976
Lightbody <i>et al.,</i> 2007	MADRS (10 item)	ICD-10 (psychiatric	N = 28, median age = 72 years (interquartile range 61-78),	Depression

Quality assessed: +		assessment)	Participants in hospital for a second week post-stroke; although 36 participants originally consented to the study, only 28 were seen by both the psychiatrist and the nurse to complete both assessments Prevalence of depression – 7/28	Standard cut-off (not specified in paper) Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 65% PPV = 54% NPV = 100%
Mixed community	and consultation			
Mottram et al., 2000 Quality assessed: +	MADRS	DSM-IV	N = 414 older adults, mean age = 77 years, 111 male, 303 female Prevalence of depression – 330/414	Depression Cut-off ≥ 21 Sensitivity = 0.72 Specificity = 0.989

Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ)

Patient Health Questionnaire-2 item (PHQ-2)					
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
Consultation					
Kroenke et al., 2001; Spitzer et al., 1999; Kroenke, 2003; Huang et al., 2005 – all use same participants. Kroenke et al., 2001; Huang et al., 2005 – PHQ-9 Spitzer et al., 1999; Kroenke, 2003 – PHQ-2 Quality assessed: +	Patient Health Questionnaire - 2- item version (PHQ-2)	DSM-III-R (SCID and diagnostic questions from the PRIME-MD conducted over the telephone by mental health profession- als)	N = 580 (6000 in total study) The total sample screened = 6000; of these 580 had a mental health practitioner interview within 48 hours and were used in the analysis. They did not differ from the total sample on any demographic or functional item. The total sample was recruited from 5 general practices, 3 family practices and 7 obstetrics-gynaecology sites Prevalence of depression - 41/580	MDD Sensitivity = 0.88 Specificity = 0.88 Major Depressive disorder PHQ-2 Cut-off ≥ 1 Sensitivity = 97.6% Specificity = 59.2% PPV = 15.4% Cut-off ≥ 2 Sensitivity = 92.7% Specificity = 73.7% PPV = 21.1% Cut-off ≥ 3 Sensitivity = 82.9% Specificity = 90.0% PPV = 38.4%	

Patient Health Qu	estionnaire-2 item (PHQ-2)		
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
		cuscifess		Cut-off ≥ 4 Sensitivity = 73.2% Specificity = 93.3% PPV = 45.5%
				Cut-off ≥ 5 Sensitivity = 53.7% Specificity = 96.8% PPV = 56.4%
				Cut-off ≥ 6 Sensitivity = 26.8% Specificity = 99.4% PPV = 78.6%
				AUC PHQ-2 AUC = 0.93 The AUC was greater for those aged <60 (0.94 versus 0.86)
				Any Depressive disorder – N = 106/580
				PHQ-2 Cut-off ≥ 1 Sensitivity = 90.6% Specificity = 65.4% PPV = 36.9%
				Cut-off ≥ 2 Sensitivity = 82.1% Specificity = 80.4% PPV = 48.3%
				Cut-off ≥ 3 Sensitivity = 62.3% Specificity = 95.4% PPV = 75.0%
				Cut-off ≥ 4 Sensitivity = 50.9% Specificity = 97.9% PPV = 81.2%
				Cut-off ≥ 5 Sensitivity = 31.1% Specificity = 98.7% PPV = 84.6%
				Cut-off ≥ 6

Patient Health Qu	Patient Health Questionnaire-2 item (PHQ-2)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
				Sensitivity = 12.3%	
				Specificity = 99.8%	
				PPV = 92.6%	
				AUC	
				PHQ-2	
				0.90	
				The AUC was lower for those	
				aged <60 (0.88 versus 0.95)	
				MDD	
				Sensitivity = 0.88	
				Specificity = 0.88	
				Major Depressive disorder	
				PHQ-9	
				Cut-off ≥ 9	
				Sensitivity = 95%	
				Specificity = 84%	
				Cut-off ≥ 10	
				Sensitivity = 88%	
				Specificity = 88%	
				Cut-off ≥ 11	
				Sensitivity = 83%	
				Specificity = 89%	
				Cut-off ≥ 12	
				Sensitivity = 83%	
				Specificity = 92%	
				Cut-off ≥ 13	
				Sensitivity = 78%	
				Specificity = 93%	
				Cut-off ≥ 14	
				Sensitivity = 73%	
				Specificity = 94%	
				Cut-off ≥ 15	
				Sensitivity = 68%	
				Specificity = 95%	

Patient Health Questionnaire-2 item (PHQ-2)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
Lowe et al., 2005 – PHQ-2 (sub-group of Lowe et al., 2004) Lowe et al., 2004a – PHQ-9 results Lowe et al., 2004a – duplicate report Quality assessed: +	PHQ-2	DSM-IV (SCID)	N = 520, mean age = 41.3 years (SD = 14), 36% male Medical outpatients: from 12 GPs, Heidelberg, Germany Prevalence of major depression - 71/520 Prevalence of any depressive disorder - 132/520	Any depression Standard cut-off ≥ 3 - PHQ Sensitivity = 79% Specificity = 86% Major depression Standard cut-off ≥ 3 - PHQ Sensitivity = 87% Specificity = 78%
Physical health pro	oblems			
Williams et al., 2005 Quality assessed: +	Patient Health Questionnaire 2 (PHQ-2)	DSM-IV	N = 316, 100% stroke patients Post-stroke depressed patients recruited from an RCT; non-depressed stroke patients from longitudinal cohort study Prevalence of depression - 145/316	Major depression Cut-off ≥ 3 - PHQ-2 Sensitivity = 83.0% (75.9, 90.2) Specificity = 83.8% (78.8, 88.8) Any depression Cut-off ≥ 3 - PHQ-2 Sensitivity = 77.9% (71.2, 84.7) Specificity = 94.7% (91.4, 90.1)
McManus et al., 2005 Quality assessed: ++	Patient Health Questionnaire - 2 Two screening questions: (1) during the past month have you often been bothered by feeling down, depressed or hopeless?; (2) during the past month have you often been bothered by little interest or pleasure in doing things? Patient Health Questionnaire - 9	DSM-IV	N = 1024, mean age = 67 years, 82% male Participants with coronary heart disease Prevalence of depression - 224/1024	Depression PHQ-2 AUC = 0.84 (0.82, 0.87) Cut-off point ≥ 3 Sensitivity = 39% Specificity = 92% PHQ-9 AUC = 0.86 (0.84, 0.89) Cut-off point ≥ 10 Sensitivity = 54% Specificity = 90% Depression AUC = 0.84 (0.81, 0.86) Cut-off point ≥ 1

Patient Health Questionnaire-2 item (PHQ-2)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
				Sensitivity = 90% Specificity = 69%
Community				
Li et al., 2007 Quality assessed: +	Patient Health Questionnaire 2 (PHQ-2)	DSM-IV	N = 8 205, mean age = 74.1, 29.5% male Adults aged ≥ 65 who participated in the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. The participants were a subset of the NESARC sample which is representative of the US non-institutionalised population, US Prevalence of depression – 323/8205	PHQ-2 Two Questions: Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 77% (75.8, 78.0) AUC = 0.88 (0.87, 0.89) PPV = 14.3% (12.5, 16.1) Paper further reports criterion validity of the PHQ- 2 for different break downs of the population, such as >85, Hispanic and so on.

Patient Health Questionnaire-Whooley questions				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
Consultation				
Arroll et al., 2003 Quality assessed: +	Two screening questions from B-PHQ: (1) during the past 2 weeks, have you often been bothered by feeling down, depressed or hopeless?; (2) during the past month, have you often been bothered by little interest or pleasure in doing	CIDI	N = 421, median age = 46 years Primary care patients <u>Prevalence of depression</u> - 29/421	Depression 2 items: Sensitivity = 97% Specificity = 67% PPV = 18% Depression only question: Sensitivity = 86% Specificity = 72% PPV = 18% Pleasure only question: Sensitivity = 83% Specificity = 79% PPV = 22%
Arroll <i>et al.</i> , 2005 Quality assessed:	Two screening questions: (1) during the past	Composite International Diagnostic	N = 1025 Primary care patients	Depression Help question alone -
+	month, have you	Interview	<i>J</i> 1	Sensitivity = 75% (60, 85)

Patient Health Questionnaire-Whooley questions				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
	often been bothered by feeling down, depressed or hopeless?; (2) during the past month, have you often been bothered by little interest or pleasure in doing things? Help question: Is this something with which you would like help with?		Prevalence of depression - 29/421	Specificity = 94% (93, 96) Two screening questions alone - Sensitivity = 96% (86, 99) Specificity = 78% (76, 81) Either screening question plus help question - Sensitivity = 79% (65, 88) Specificity = 94% (92, 95)
Haughey et al., 2005 Quality assessed: +	PHQ-2 Whooley	DSM-IV	N = 226, mean age = 40 years (SD = 19) People presenting to an urgent care clinic Prevalence of depression – 31/226	Depression Sensitivity = 0.9677 Specificity = 0.5179
Robison <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Quality assessed: +	PHQ-2 Whooley	CIDI	N = 303, age = 61 years, 88 male, 215 female Primary care, Hispanic population in US Prevalence – 67/303	Sensitivity = 0.92 Specificity = 0.44
Whooley et al., 1997 Quality assessed: +	PHQ-2 (Yes or No scale)	DSM-III – Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS)	N = 543, mean age = 53 (SD = 14), 97% male Patients visiting urgent care clinic US, San Francisco Prevalence of depression – 97/536	Major Depression Two Questions: AUC = 82% (78-86) Sensitivity = 96% (90-99) Specificity = 57% (53-62)
Physical health pro	oblems		<u></u>	
Mohr <i>et al.</i> , 2007	PHQ-2 Whooley	DSM-IV,	N = 260, age = 51 years (SD =	Major depression

Study	Identification tool	Comparator/	Population	Results
otuuj		caseness		
		SCID	10.5)	
Quality assessed:				Two Questions:
+			Multiple sclerosis	Sensitivity = 0.51 (0.38-0.63)
				Specificity = $0.98 (0.94-0.99)$
			Prevalence of depression –	
			67/260	Question 1 or 2:
				Sensitivity = 0.99 (0.91-0.00)
				Specificity = 0.87 (0.81-0.91)
McManus et al.,	Patient Health	DSM-IV	N = 1024, mean age = 67	Depression
2005	Questionnaire – 2		years, 82% male	
				PHQ-2
Quality assessed:	Two screening		People with coronary heart	
++	questions: (1)		disease	AUC = 0.84 (0.82, 0.87)
	during the past			
	month, have you		Prevalence of depression -	Cut-off point ≥ 3
	often been		224/1024	Sensitivity = 39%
	bothered by			Specificity = 92%
	feeling down,			
	depressed or			PHQ-9
	hopeless?; (2)			
	during the past			AUC = 0.86 (0.84, 0.89)
	month, have you			
	often been			Cut-off point ≥ 10
	bothered by little			Sensitivity = 54%
	interest or			Specificity = 90%
	pleasure in doing			
	things?			Depression
				AUG 0.04 (0.01 0.02)
				AUC = 0.84 (0.81, 0.86)
				Cod off maints 1
				Cut-off point ≥ 1
				Sensitivity = 90%
				Specificity = 69%

Study	Identification tool	Comparator/	Population	Results
		caseness		
Consultation				
Azah et al., 2005	PHQ-9 – Malay	CIDI	N = 265, mean age = 38.7 (SD	Depression
	version		= 13.8), 38.3% male	Optimal cut-off ≥ 5 - PHQ-9
Quality assessed:			,	Sensitivity = 69%
+			Patients attending a primary	Specificity = 60.5 %
			care clinic; those scoring >5	PPV = 60.3%
			and a selection of those	AUC = 0.399
			scoring <5 were interviewed	
			by a psychiatrist	

Patient Health Questionnaire-9 item (PHQ-9)					
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
			97/180		
Corapcioglu & Ozer, 2004 Quality assessed:	PHQ-9	DSM-IV	N = 1387, age = 29 years, 857 male, 530 female Primary care, Turkey	Depression: Standard cut-off - PHQ-9 Sensitivity = 0.76 Specificity = 0.853	
+			Prevalence of depression – 267/1387 Prevalence of major depression – 91/1387	MDD: Standard cut-off - PHQ-9 Sensitivity = 0.714 Specificity = 0.919	
Diez-Quevedo <i>et al.</i> , 2001 Quality assessed: +	PHQ-9	DSM-III-R	N = 1003, mean age = 43 years, 552 male, 451 female Medical and surgical inpatients, Spain Prevalence of depression: 263/1003 Prevalence of major depression – 148/1003	Any depression: Standard cut-off - PHQ-9 Sensitivity = 0.89 Specificity = 0.87 MDD: Standard cut-off - PHQ-9 Sensitivity = 0.84 Specificity = 0.92	
Eack et al., 2006 Quality assessed: +	PHQ-9	SCID	N= 50, mean age = 39 years, all female Women in psychiatric services seeking treatment for their children Prevalence of depression – 17/50	MDD Standard cut-off - PHQ-9 True Positive = 9 False Positive = 5 True Negative = 27 Any depression Standard cut-off - PHQ-9 True Positive = 11 False Positive = 10 False Negative = 6 True Negative = 22	
Gilbody et al., 2007 Quality assessed: +	PHQ-9	SCID	N = 96, mean age = 43 years, gender: 22 males, 74 females UK Prevalence of Major depression - 36/96	MDD Standard cut-off - PHQ-9 Sensitivity = 0.917 Specificity = 0.783	
Hahn <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Quality assessed:	Brief Patient Health Questionnaire (B-	CIDI (DSM- IV/ICD-10)	N = 204, age range = 18-80 years, mean age = 49.6 years	Affective disorder (single or recurrent major depression or dysthymia)	

Patient Health Qu	Patient Health Questionnaire-9 item (PHQ-9)					
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results		
+	PHQ)		13 rehabilitation inpatient clinics in Germany, chronically ill in-patients; 5.9% cardiovascular diseases, 8.8% orthopaedic diseases, 5.4% cancer, 18.6% endocrinologic disease, 53.4% pneumological disease Prevalence of depression – 35/204	Optimal cut-off ≥ 11- PHQ-Brief AUC = 0.844 (0.786-0.891) Sensitivity = 80% Specificity =75.7% PPV = 40.6%		
Henkel <i>et al.</i> , 2004 Quality assessed:	Brief Patient Health Questionnaire (B-	CIDI – ICD- 10 (and DSM- IV research	N = 448, of which 431 had an independent clinical diagnosis, mean age 48.98	Any depression Any depression according to		
+	PHQ)	criteria for minor depression)	years (same participants as study above)	ICD-10 AUC = 0.843		
		,	Primary care patients	Any depression according to ICD-10 including minor		
			<u>Prevalence of depression (any)</u> – 82/431	depression (per DSM-IV research criteria) AUC = 0.783		
			Prevalence of depression (major) - 50/431	Major depression AUC = 0.913		
			<u>Prevalence of depression</u> (dysthymia disorder) – 24/431	Dysthymia disorder AUC = 0.885		
			Prevalence of depression (minor) - 54/431	Minor depression AUC = 0.763		
				Standard cut-off ≥2 inc. 1a or 1b - B-PHQ Sensitivity = 79%		
				Specificity = 86% PPV = 55% NPV = 95%		
Kroenke et al.,	PHQ-9	DSM-III-R	N = 580 (6000 in total study)	Major Depressive disorder		
2001; Spitzer <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 1999; Kroenke, 2003; Huang <i>et al.</i> , 2005 – all use same		(SCID and diagnostic questions from the PRIME-MD	The total sample screened = 6000 of these 580 had a MHP interview within 48 hours and were used in the	PHQ-9 Cut-off ≥ 9 Sensitivity = 95% Specificity = 84%		
participants. Kroenke <i>et al.</i> , 2001; Huang <i>et</i>		conducted over the telephone by mental health	analysis. They did not differ from the total sample on any demographic or functional item	Cut-off ≥ 10 Sensitivity = 88% Specificity = 88%		

Patient Health Questionnaire-9 item (PHQ-9)					
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
al., 2005 - PHQ-9 Spitzer et al., 2005 1999; Kroenke, 2003 - PHQ-2 Quality assessed: +		profession- als)	The total sample was recruited from 5 general practices, 3 family practices and 7 obstetrics-gynaecology sites) Prevalence of depression – 41/580	Cut-off ≥ 11 Sensitivity = 83% Specificity = 89% Cut-off ≥ 12 Sensitivity = 83% Specificity = 92% Cut-off ≥ 13 Sensitivity = 78% Specificity = 93% Cut-off ≥ 14 Sensitivity = 73% Specificity = 94% Cut-off ≥ 15 Sensitivity = 68% Specificity = 95%	
Lotrakul <i>et al.</i> , 2008 Quality assessed: +	PHQ-9 – Thai version	DSM-IV (MINI)	N = 924, mean age = 45.0 years, 26.3% male Patients at a family care clinic. N = 279 were included in a convenience sample assessed with the MINI Prevalence of major depression – 13/279 Prevalence of any depression – 69/279	Major depression Optimal cut-off ≥ 9- PHQ Sensitivity = 84% Specificity = 77% PPV = 21% NPV = 99% Standard cut-off ≥ 10- PHQ Sensitivity = 74% Specificity = 85% PPV = 27% NPV = 98% AUC = 0.89 (0.85-0.92)	
Lowe et al., 2004a Lowe et al., 2004b - duplicate report Lowe et al., 2005 - PHQ-2 data Quality assessed: +	PHQ-9	DSM-IV (SCID)	N= 501, mean age = 41.7 years (SD = 13.8), 32.9% male 395 outpatients from Heidelberg University Medical Hospital, 106 patients from 12 GPs in Heidelberg, Germany 21% musculoskeletal disease, 16% endocrine, nutritional & metabolic disease, 10% cardiovascular/circulatory disease, 7% gastrointestinal disease, 6% respiratory	Any depression Cut-off ≥ 9- PHQ Sensitivity = 87% (79, 92) Specificity = 76% (72, 80) Cut-off ≥ 10- PHQ Sensitivity = 81% (73, 87) Specificity = 82% (78, 86) Cut-off ≥ 11- PHQ Sensitivity = 79% (70, 85) Specificity = 85% (81, 89) Major depression	

Patient Health Questionnaire-9 item (PHQ-9)					
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
			system disease	Cut-off ≥ 11- PHQ Sensitivity = 98% (92, 100) Specificity = 80% (76, 83)	
			Prevalence of depression – 66/501	Cut-off ≥ 12- PHQ Sensitivity = 95% (87, 99) Specificity = 84% (80, 87)	
				Cut-off ≥ 13- PHQ Sensitivity = 88% (78, 95) Specificity = 87% (84, 90)	
Yeung et al., 2008 Quality assessed: +	PHQ-9 - Chinese Bilingual version	DSM-IV (SCID – Chinese version)	N = 1940 completed the PHQ- 9 questionnaires. Of these, 184 had both a PHQ-9 screen and completed the SCID interview. All participants were Chinese Americans attending primary care clinics	MDD PHQ-9 optimal cut-off ≥ 10 Sensitivity = 81% Specificity = 98% PPV = 92% NPV = 95% AUC = 97 (SE = 0.01)	
			<u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 42/184		
Physical health pro	oblems				
Lamers et al., 2008	PHQ-9	DSM-IV (MINI)	N = 713, mean age = 71.4 years, 51.8% male	Any depression	
Quality assessed: +			Chronically ill older adults attending primary care clinics with a diagnosis of diabetes and/ or COPD, recruited as part of the Delta RCT, Netherlands	PHQ-9 - summed score Cut-off point ≥ 5 Sensitivity = 100% (99.5-100) Specificity = 75.1% (73.6-76.6) PPV = 54.9% (52.6-57.2) NPV = 100.0% (99.8-100)	
			Prevalence of major depression – 10.7% Prevalence of any depression – 19.3%	Cut-off point ≥ 6 Sensitivity = 95.6% (94.1-96.8) Specificity = 81.0% (79.6-82.3) PPV = 60.4% (57.9-62.8) NPV = 98.4% (97.8-98.8)	
				Cut-off point ≥ 7 Sensitivity = 89.0% (86.9-90.8) Specificity = 85.1% (83.9-86.3) PPV = 64.4% (61.8-66.9) NPV = 96.2% (95.5-96.9)	
				Optimal cut-off point ≥ 6 AUC = 0.94 (0.93-0.94)	

Patient Health Questionnaire-9 item (PHQ-9)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
				Major depression
				PHQ-9 – summed score
				Cut-off point ≥ 6 Sensitivity = 96.7% (94.9-97.9) Specificity = 73.4% (71.9-74.8) PPV = 38.0% (35.6-40.5) NPV = 99.2% (98.8-99.5)
				Cut-off point ≥ 7 Sensitivity = 92.2% (89.8-94.1) Specificity = 78.1% (76.7-79.4) PPV = 41.6% (39.0-44.2) NPV = 98.3% (97.8-98.8)
				Cut-off point ≥ 8 Sensitivity = 87.8% (84.9-90.2) Specificity = 81.8% (80.5-83.0) PPV = 44.9% (42.1-47.7) NPV = 97.5% (96.9-98.0)
				Optimal cut-off point ≥ 7 AUC = 0.92 (0.92-0.93)
				Any depression
				PHQ-9 Algorithm scoring Sensitivity = 49.4% (46.7-52.2) Specificity = 92.4% (91.5-93.3) PPV = 71.8% (68.7-74.6) NPV = 82.4% (81.1-83.6)
				Major depression
				PHQ-9 Algorithm scoring Sensitivity = 41.3% (37.9-44.7) Specificity = 95.8% (95.1-96.4) PPV = 67.2% (62.9-71.2) NPV = 88.6% (87.6-89.5)
McManus <i>et al.</i> , 2005	PHQ-9	DSM-IV	N = 1024, mean age = 67 years, 82% male	Depression
				PHQ-9
Quality assessed: ++			People with coronary heart disease	AUC = 0.86 (0.84, 0.89)
			Prevalence of depression – 224/1024	Cut-off point ≥ 10 Sensitivity = 54% Specificity = 90%

Patient Health Questionnaire-9 item (PHQ-9)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
Picardi <i>et al.</i> , 2005 Quality assessed: +	PHQ-9	SCID	N = 141, age = 38 years, 62 male, 79 female Dermatology patients, Italy Prevalence of depression - 44/141 Prevalence of major depression - 12/141	Depression (MDD) Standard cut-off -PH-9 Sensitivity = 0.55 Specificity = 0.91
Stafford et al., 2007 Quality assessed: ++	PHQ-9	DSM-IV	N = 193, mean age = 64.14 years (SD = 10.37), age range 38-91 years, 80.8% male Patients hospitalised for percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty or coronary artery bypass graft surgery, Geelong, Australia <u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 54/193	Any depression PHQ-9 AUC = 0.85 (SE = 0.03) Cut-off ≥ 5 - PHQ-9 Sensitivity = 81.5% Specificity = 80.6% PPV = 62.0% NPV = 91.8%
Watnick <i>et al.</i> , 2005 Quality assessed: +	PHQ-9	DSM-IV	N = 62, age = 63 years, 42 male, 20 female Dialysis patients Prevalence of major depression – 12/62	Any depression Cut-off 10 - PHQ-9 Sensitivity = 0.91 Specificity = 0.92 PPV= 0.71 NPV = 0.98
Williams et al., 2005 Quality assessed: +	PHQ-9	DSM-IV	N = 316, 100% stroke patients Post-stroke depressed patients recruited from an RCT; non-depressed stroke patients from longitudinal cohort study Prevalence of depression – 145/316	Major depression - N = 145/316 PHQ-9 AUC = 0.96 Cut-off ≥ 10 - PHQ-9 Sensitivity = 90.6% (85.0, 96.1) Specificity = 88.6% (84.3, 92.9) Cut-off ≥ 3 - PHQ-2 Sensitivity = 83.0% (75.9, 90.2) Specificity = 83.8% (78.8, 88.8) Any depression PHQ-9

Patient Health Qu	Patient Health Questionnaire-9 item (PHQ-9)				
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
				AUC = 0.96	
				Cut-off ≥ 10 - PHQ-9 Sensitivity = 77.9% (71.2, 84.7) Specificity = 95.9% (92.9, 98.9)	
				Cut-off ≥ 3 - PHQ-2 Sensitivity = 77.9% (71.2, 84.7) Specificity = 94.7% (91.4, 90.1)	
Community					
Adewuya et al., 2006 Quality assessed: +	PHQ-9	MINI	N = 512, age = 25, 59% male Nigeria, student sample at university Prevalence: major depression – 13/512	MDD only Cut-off ≥ 10 -PHQ-9 Sensitivity = 0.846 Specificity = 0.994 PPV = 0.750 NPV = 0.996	
Han et al., 2008 Quality assessed: +	PHQ-9	DSM-IV	N = 1060, age = >60 years, no information on gender Population based geriatric sample, South Korea Prevalence of depression - 175/1060 Prevalence of major depression - 62/1060	Any depression: Cut-off 5 - PHQ-9 Sensitivity = 0.80 Specificity = 0.78	

Single question

Single Question and two-item screens					
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/	Population	Results	
		caseness			
Consultation					
Arroll et al., 2003	Two screening	CIDI	N = 421, median age = 46	Depression	
	questions from B-		years		
Quality assessed:	PHQ: (1) during			Depression only question:	
+	the past 2 weeks,		Primary care patients	Sensitivity = 86%	
	have you often		_	Specificity = 72%	
	been bothered by		Prevalence of depression -	PPV = 18%	
	feeling down,		29/421		
	depressed or			Pleasure only question:	
	hopeless?; (2)			Sensitivity = 83%	
	during the past			Specificity = 79%	

Single Question and two-item screens					
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
	month, have you often been bothered by little interest or pleasure in doing things?			PPV = 22%	
Arroll et al., 2005	Two screening questions: (1)	CIDI	N = 1025	Depression	
Quality assessed: +	during the past month, have you often been bothered by feeling down, depressed or hopeless?; (2) during the past month, have you often been bothered by little interest or pleasure in doing things? Help question: Is this something with which you would like help with?		Primary care patients Prevalence of depression - 29/421	Help question alone: Sensitivity = 75% (60, 85) Specificity = 94% (93, 96) Two screening questions alone: Sensitivity = 96% (86, 99) Specificity = 78% (76, 81) Either screening question plus help question: Sensitivity = 79% (65, 88) Specificity = 94% (92, 95)	
Howe <i>et al.</i> , 2000	Mental Health	DSM-IV	N = 100, age = 81 years, 38	Depression:	
Quality assessed: +	Inventory – 1- item version (MHI-1)		male, 62 female Older adults from primary care settings, UK Prevalence of depression – 30/100	Sensitivity = 0.67 Specificity = 0.60	
Means- Christensen <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Quality assessed: +	Screening question: (1) have you lost interest in things?; (2) have you felt sad, empty or depressed?	CIDI	N= 801, age range = 19-79 years, mean age = 41.49 years (SD = 12.48), 37.8% male Primary care patients in clinic in US Prevalence of depression - 41/115	Depression Sensitivity = 88% Specificity = 75% PPV = 19% NPV = 99%	
Pomeroy et al.,	MHI-1 (Are you	ICD-10	N = 87, mean age = 78.4 years	Depression	

Single Question and two-item screens					
Study	Identification tool	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results	
2001 Quality assessed: +	depressed?)		(SD = 7.7), 40% male Patients over the age of 60 admitted to medical rehabilitation wards or attending day rehabilitation facilities Prevalence of depression – 17/87	Sensitivity = 88.2% Specificity = 71.4% AUC = 0.88 (0.79-0.97) PPV = 42.9% NPV = 96.1%	
Robison <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Quality assessed: ++	Yale-1	CIDI	N = 303, age = 61 years, 88 male, 215 female Primary care, Hispanic population in US Prevalence of depression – 67/303	Depression Sensitivity = 0.86 Specificity = 0.42	
Williams et al., 1999 Quality assessed: +	CES-D	DSM-IV	N = 291, age = 58 years, 93 male, 198 female US Prevalence of depression – 40/291	Depression Sensitivity = 0.85 Specificity = 0.66	
Physical health pr	ohloms				
Akizuki et al., 2003 Quality assessed: +	'Please grade your mood during the past week by assigning it a score from 0 to 100'	DSM-IV	N = 275; mean age = 52 years; 164 female, 111 male Cancer patients, Tokyo and Kashiwa, Japan <u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 168/275	Depression: major depression and adjustment disorder Standard cut-off 60/65 Sensitivity = 80% Specificity = 61% PPV = 34% NPV = 67%	
Kawase et al., 2006 Quality assessed: +	'Are you depressed?'	DSM-IV	N = 305, mean age = 62 Cancer patients, Japan <u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 26/305	Depression: major or minor depression Standard cut-off ≥1 Sensitivity = 42% Specificity = 86%	
Mohr et al., 2007 Quality assessed: +	Two screening questions (dichotomous): (1) during the past 2 weeks,	DSM-IV (SCID)	N = 260 (502 patients contacted), 73% female, age = 51 Patients with MS attending	Item one only Sensitivity = 75% Specificity = 94%	

have you been bothered by	Comparator/ caseness	Population	Results
2			
feeling down, depressed or hopeless?; (2) during the past 2 weeks, have you often been bothered by little interest or pleasure in doing things?		the KP medical care group, California, US Prevalence of depression – 67/260	PPV = 73% NPV = 91% Item two only Sensitivity = 75% Specificity = 94% PPV = 81% NPV = 91% Item one and two Sensitivity = 51% Specificity = 98% PPV = 90% NPV = 85% Item one or two Sensitivity = 99% Specificity = 87% PPV = 72% NPV = 99%
'Are you depressed?'	ICD-10	years Inpatients from Multiple Sclerosis	Depression Sensitivity = 81% Specificity = 89% PPV = 94% NPV = 70%
	depressed or hopeless?; (2) during the past 2 weeks, have you often been bothered by little interest or pleasure in doing things?	depressed or hopeless?; (2) during the past 2 weeks, have you often been bothered by little interest or pleasure in doing things? 'Are you ICD-10	depressed or hopeless?; (2) during the past 2 weeks, have you often been bothered by little interest or pleasure in doing things? 'Are you depressed?' ICD-10 N = 134, mean age = 43.8 years Inpatients from Multiple

Zung's Self-Rating Depression Scale

Zung's Self-Rating Depression Scale								
Study	Identification tool	Comparator	Population	Results				
Physical health problems								
Agrell & Dehlin, 1989	Zung	Psychiatric interview	N = 40, mean age = 80 years, 45% male	Depression Recommended cut-off ≥ 45 –				
Quality assessed: +			Adults attending an outpatient clinic following a stroke Prevalence of depression –	Zung Sensitivity = 76% Specificity = 96% PPV = 93% NPV = 84%				
			17/40					
Leung et al., 1998	SDS – 20-item Quality assessed	DSM-IV	N = 268 (N = 50 who completed DSM-IV), mean	Depression:				
Quality assessed:	211 19 11000000		age = 54 years	Cut-off ≥ 50				

+			Medical outpatients, patients with chronic medical diseases. Participants had to have one of the following diseases: hypertension, diabetes, cerebrovascular accident, CVD, arthritis, COPD, renal diseases (without uraemia) or chronic liver diseases, Taiwan	Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 70.7% Cut-off ≥ 55 Sensitivity = 66.7% Specificity = 90.2% Cut-off ≥ 60 Sensitivity = 44.4% Specificity = 90.2%
			<u>Prevalence of depression</u> – 3/50	
Passik et al., 2001 Quality assessed: +	SDS -20-item BSDS - 11-item	DSM-IV (SCID)	N = 60, mean age = 58.3 years (SD = 11.9), 47% male Oncology patients attending 25 community care cancer inc. oncology clinics in Indiana, US Prevalence of depression - 25/60	Major depression Cut-off ≥ 40 Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 55.56% Cut-off ≥ 48 Sensitivity = 66.67% Specificity = 86.11% Cut-off ≥ 56 Sensitivity = 33.33% Specificity = 100% Major depression and adjustment disorder Cut-off ≥ 40 Sensitivity = 93.94% Specificity = 66.67% Cut-off ≥ 48 Sensitivity = 57.58% Specificity = 92.60%
				Cut-off ≥ 56 Sensitivity = 24.24% Specificity = 100%
Community Adalberto, 2006	SDS (20-item)	DSM-IV	N = 266, mean age = 37.4	Depression: major
Quality assessed:	505 (20-nein)	DOIVI-I V	years Community sample, Bucaramanga, Colombia Prevalence of depression – 44/266	depression. Inajor depressive disorder Standard cut-off ≥ 40 Sensitivity = 88.6% Specificity = 74.8% PPV = 41.1% NPV = 97.1%
			Prevalence of depression –	Specificity = 74.8% PPV = 41.1%

References to included studies

Abas, M. A., Phillips, C., Carter, J. *et al.* (1998) Culturally sensitive validation of screening questionnaires for depression in older African-Caribbean people living in south London. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 173, 249–254.

Aben, I., Verhey, F., Lousberg, R., et al. (2002) Validity of the Beck Depression Inventory, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, SCL-90 and Hamilton Depression Rating Scale as screening instruments for depression in stroke patients. *Psychosomatics*, 43, 386–393.

Adalberto, C.-A. (2006) Validation of Zung's self-rating depression scale among the Colombian general population. *Social Behavior & Personality*, 34, 87–89.

Adewuya, A. O., Ola, B. A. & Afolabi, O. O. (2006) Validity of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) as a screening tool for depression amongst Nigerian university students. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 96, 89–93.

Agrell, B. & Dehlin, O. (1989) Comparison of six depression rating scales in geriatric stroke patients. *Stroke*, 20, 1190–1194.

Arroll, B., Khin, N., and Kerse, N. (2003) Screening for depression in primary care with two verbally asked questions: cross sectional study. *British Medical Journal*, 327, 1144–1146.

Arroll, B., Goodyear-Smith, F., Kerse, N., et al. (2005) Effect of the addition of a "help" question to two screening questions on specificity for diagnosis of depression in general practice: diagnostic validity study. *British Medical Journal*, 331, 884.

Arthur, A., Jagger, C., Lindesay, J., et al. (1999) Using an annual over-75 health check to screen for depression: validation of the short Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS15) within general practice. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 14, 431–439.

Aydin, I. O. & Ulusahin, A. (2001) Depression, anxiety comorbidity, and disability in tuberculosis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients: applicability of GHQ-12. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 23, 77–83.

Akizuki, N., Akechi, T., Nakanishi, T., et al. (2003) Development of a brief screening interview for adjustment disorders and major depression in patients with cancer. *Cancer*, 97, 2605–2613.

Akizuki, N., Yamam M. D., Yamawaki, S. (2005) Development of an impact thermometer for use in combination with the distress thermometer as a brief screening tool for adjustment disorders and/or major depression in cancer patients. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 91, 91–99.

- Azah, N., Shah, M., Juwita, S., *et al.* (2005) Validation of the Malay version brief patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9) among adult attending family medicine clinics. *International Medical Journal*, 12, 259–263.
- Berard, R. M. F., Boermeester, F. & Viljoen, G. (1998) Depressive disorders in an out-patient oncology setting: prevalence, assessment and management. *Psycho-Oncology*, 7, 112–120.
- Bhui, K., Bhugra, D., & Goldberg, D. (2000) Cross-cultural validity of the Amritsar Depression Inventory and the General Health Questionnaire amongst English and Punjabi primary care attenders. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 35, 248–254.
- Blank, K., Gruman, C. & Robison, J. T. (2004) Case-finding for depression in elderly people: balancing ease of administration with validity in varied treatment settings. *Journal of Gerontology*, 59A, 378–384.
- Burke, W. J., Nitcher, R. L., Roccaforte, W. H., *et al.* (1992) A prospective evaluation of the Geriatric Depression Scale in an outpatient geriatric assessment center. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 40, 1227–1230.
- Chaturvedi, S. K., Chandra, P. S., Prema, S. V., et al. (1994) Detection of psychiatric morbidity in gynaecology patients by two brief screening methods. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 15, 53–58.
- Corapcioglu, A. & & Ozer, G. U. (2004) Adaptation of revised Brief PHQ (Brief-PHQ-r) for diagnosis of depression, panic disorder and somatoform disorder in primary healthcare settings. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice*, *8*, 11–18.
- Costa, E., Barreto, S. M., Uchoa, E., *et al.* (2006) Is the GDS-30 better than the GHQ-12 for screening depression in elderly people in the community? The Bambui Health Aging Study (BHAS). *International Psychogeriatrics*, *18*, 493–503.
- Craven, J., Rodin, G. M., & Littlefield, C. (1988) The Beck Depression Inventory as a screening device for major depression in renal dialysis patients. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 18, 365–374.
- Cuijpers, P., Dekker, J., Noteboom, A., et al. (2007) Sensitivity and specificity of the Major Depression Inventory in outpatients. *BMC Psychiatry*, 7, 39.
- Cullum, S., Tucker, S., Todd, C., et al. (2006) Screening for depression in older medical inpatients. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 21, 476.
- D'Ath, P., Katona, P., Mullan, E., *et al.* (1994) Screening, detecting and management of depression in elderly primary care attenders I: the acceptability and performance of the 15 item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-15) and the development of shorter versions. *Family Practice*, 11, 260–266.

De Craen, A. J. M., Heeren, T. J. & Gussekloo, J. (2003) Accuracy of the 15-item geriatric depression scale (GDS-15) in a community sample of the oldest old. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 18, 63–66.

Diez-Quevedo, C., Rangil, T., Sanchez-Planell, L., et al. (2001) Validation and utility of the Patient Health Questionnaire in diagnosing mental disorders in 1003 general hospital Spanish inpatients. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 63, 679–686.

Donath, S. (2001) The validity of the 12-item General Health Questionnaire in Australia: a comparison between three scoring methods. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 35, 231–235.

Dunn, V. K. & Sacco, W. P. (1989) Psychometric evaluation of the Geriatric Depression Scale and the Zung Self-rating Depression Scale using an elderly community sample. *Psychology and Aging*, *4*, 125–126.

Dutton, G. R., Grothe, K. B., Jones, G. N., et al. (2004) Use of the Beck Depression Inventory-II with African American primary care patients. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 26, 437–442.

Eack, S., Greeno, C. & Lee, B. J. (2006) Limitations of the Patient Health Questionnaire in identifying anxiety and depression in community mental health: many cases are undetected. *Research on Social Work Practice*, 16, 625–631.

Ertan, F. S., Ertan, T., Kiziltan, G., et al. (2005) Reliability and validity of the Geriatric Depression Scale in depression in Parkinson's disease. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 76, 1445–1447.

Evans, S. & Katona, C. (1993) Epidemiology of depressive symptoms in elderly primary care attenders. *Dementia*, *4*, 327–333.

Fernandez-San, M., Andrade, C., Molina, J., et al. (2002) Validation of the Spanish version of the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) in primary care. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 17, 279–287.

Forsell, Y. (2005) The Major Depression Inventory versus Schedules for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry in a population sample. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 40, 209–213.

Friedman, B., Heisel, M. J. & Delavan, R. L. (2005) Psychometric properties of the 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale in functionally impaired, cognitively intact, community dwelling elderly primary care patients. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society*, 53, 1570–1576.

Furlanetto, L., Mendlowicz, M., & Bueno, J. R. (2005) The validity of the Beck Depression Inventory-Short Form as a screening and diagnostic instrument for moderate and severe depression in medical inpatients. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 86, 87–91.

Galaria, I., Casten, R. & Rovner, B. (2000) Development of a shorter version of the Geriatric Depression Scale for visually impaired older patients. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 12, 435–443.

Gilbody, S., Richards, D. & Barkham, M. (2007) Diagnosing depression in primary care using self-completed instruments: UK validation of PHQ-9 and CORE-OM. *British Journal of General Practice*, *57*, 650–652.

Goldberg, D. P., Gater, R., Sartorius, G. N., *et al.* (1997) The validity of two versions of the GHQ in the WHO study of mental illness in general health care. *Psychological Medicine*, 27, 191–197.

Golden, J., Conroy, R. & O'Dwyer, A. (2007) Reliability and validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale and the Beck Depression Inventory (Full and FastScreen scales) in detecting depression in persons with hepatitis C. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 100, 265–269.

Hahn, D., Reuter, K. & Harter, M. (2006) Screening for affective and anxiety disorders in medical patients: comparison of HADs, GHQ-12 and brief-PHQ. *GMS Psycho-Social-Medicine*, 306, 1–11.

Hall, A., Hern, R. A. & Fallowfield, L. (1999) Are we using appropriate self-report questionnaires for detecting anxiety and depression in women with early breast cancer? *European Journal of Cancer*, 35, 79–85.

Hammer, E. M., Hacker, S., Hautzinger, M. *et al.* (2008) Validity of the ALS-Depression-Inventory (ADI-12) – a new screening instrument for depressive disorder in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 109, 213–219.

Han, C., Ahn Jo, S., Kwak, J.-H., *et al.* (2008) Validation of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 Korean version in the elderly population: the Ansan Geriatric study. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 49, 218–223.

Harter, M., Woll, S., Wunsch, A., et al. (2006) Screening for mental disorders in cancer, cardiovascular and musculoskeletal diseases. Comparison of HADS and GHQ-12. Social Psychiatry & Psychiatric Epidemiology, 41, 56–62.

Harter, M., Reuter, K., Gross-Hardt, K., *et al.* (2001) Screening for anxiety, depressive and somatoform disorders in rehabilitation: validity of HADS and GQH-12 in patients with musculoskeletal disease. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 23, 737–744.

Haughey, M. T., Calderon, Y., Torres, S., et al. (2005) Identification of depression in an inner-city population using a simple screen. Academic Emergency Medicine, 12, 1221–1226.

- Haworth, J. E., Moniz-Cook, E., Clark, A. L., *et al.* (2007) An evaluation of two self-report screening measures for mood in an out-patient chronic heart failure population. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 22, 1147–1153.
- Healey, A.K., Kneebone, I. I., Carrol, M. *et al.* (2008) A preliminary investigation of the reliability and validity of the Brief Assessment Schedule Depression Cards and the Beck Depression Inventory-Fast Screen to screen for depression in older stroke survivors. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 23, 531–536.
- Hedayati, S. S., Bosworth, H. B., Kuchibhatla, M., *et al.* (2006) The predictive value of self-report scales compared with physician diagnosis of depression in hemodialysis patients. *Kidney International*, *69*, 1662–1668.
- Henkel, V., Mergl, R., Coyne, J. C., et al. (2004a) Screening for depression in primary care: will one or two items suffice? European Archives of Psychiatry & Clinical Neuroscience, 254, 215–223.
- Henkel, V., Mergl, R., Kohnen, R., *et al.* (2004b) Use of brief depression screening tools in primary care: consideration of heterogeneity in performance in different patient groups. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 26, 190–198.
- Henkel, V., Mergl, R., Kohnen, R., et al. (2003) Identifying depression in primary care: a comparison of different methods. *British Medical Journal*, 326, 200–201.
- Hermanns, N., Kulzer, B., Krichbaum, M., et al. (2006) How to screen for depression and emotional problems in patients with diabetes: comparison of screening characteristics of depression questionnaires, measurement of diabetes-specific emotional problems and standard clinical assessment. *Diabetologia*, 49, 469–477.
- Herrero, M. J., Blanch, J., Peri, J. M., et al. (2003) A validation study of the hospital anxiety and depression scale (HADS) in a Spanish population. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 25, 277–283.
- Hilton, T. M., Parker, G., McDonald, K., et al. (2006) A validation study of two brief measures of depression in the cardiac population: the DMI-10 and DMI-18. *Psychosomatics*, 47, 129–135.
- Howe, A., Bath, P., Goudie, F., *et al.* (2000) Getting the questions right: an example of loss of validity during transfer of a brief screening approach for depression in the elderly. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, *15*, 650–655.
- Hoyl, M. T., Alessi, C. A., Harker, J. O., et al. (1999) Development and testing of a five-item version of the Geriatric Depression Scale. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 47, 873–878.
- Ibbotson, T., Maguire, P., Selby, P., et al. (1994) Screening for anxiety and depression in cancer patients: the effects of disease and treatment. European Journal of Cancer, 30A, 37–40.

Jackson, R. & Baldwin, B. (1993) Detecting depression in elderly medically ill patients: the use of the Geriatric Depression Scale compared with medical and nursing observations. *Age and Ageing*, 22, 349–353.

Johnson, G., Burvill, P. W., Anderson, C. S. *et al.* (1995) Screening instruments for depression and anxiety following stroke: experience in the Perth community stroke study. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinivica*, *91*, 252–257.

Jongenelis, K., Gerritsen, D. L., Pot, A. M., *et al.* (2007) Construction and validation of a patient- and user-friendly nursing home version of the Geriatric Depression Scale. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 22, 837–842.

Kawase, E., Karasawa, K., Shimotsu, S. *et al.* (2006) Evaluation of a one-question interview for depression in radiation oncology department in Japan. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 321–322.

Klinkman, M. S., Coyne, J.C., Gallo, S. *et al.* (1997) Can case-finding instruments be used to improve physician detection of depression in primary care? *Archives of Family Medicine*, *6*, 567–573.

Koenig, H. G., Meador, K. G., Cohen, H. J., *et al.* (1992a) Screening for depression in hospitalized elderly medical patients: taking a closer look. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 40, 1013–1017.

Koenig, H. G., Cohen, H. J., Blazer, D. G., et al. (1992b) A brief depression scale for use in the medically ill. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 22, 183–195.

Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. & Williams, J. (2001) The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 16, 613.

Kroenke, K. (2003) The Patient Health Questionnaire-2: validity of a two-item depression screener. *Medical Care*, 41, 1284–1292.

Kuptniratsaikul, V., Chulakadabba, S. & Ratanavijitrasil, S. (2002) An instrument for assessment of depression among spinal cord injury patients: comparison between the CESD and TDI. *Journal of Medical Association Thailand*, 85, 978–983.

Lam, C. L. K., Pan, P.-C., Chan, A. W. T., et al. (1995) Can the hospital anxiety and depression (HAD) scale be used on Chinese elderly in general practice? Family Practice, 12, 149–153.

Lamers, F., Jonkers, C. C. M., Bosma, H. *et al.* (2008) Summed score of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 was a reliable and valid method for depression screening in chronically ill elderly patients. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 61, 679–687*.

Laprise, R. & Vezina, J. (1998). Diagnostic performance of the Geriatric Depression Scale and the Beck Depression Inventory with nursing home residents. *Canadian Journal of Aging*, 17, 401–413.

Laska, A. C., Mårtensson, B., Kahan, T., et al. (2007) Recognition of depression in aphasic stroke patients. *Cerebrovascular Diseases*, 24, 74–79.

Lee, A. C. K., Tang, S. W., Yu, G. K. K., et al. (2008) The smiley as a simple screening tool for depression after stroke: a preliminary Study. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 45, 1081–1089.

Leentjens, A. F. G., Verhey, F. R. J., Luijckx, G. J., *et al.* (2000a) The validity of the Beck depression inventory as a screening and diagnostic instrument for depression in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Movement Disorders*, 15, 1221–1224.

Leentjens, A. F., Verhey, F. R., Lousberg, R., et al. (2000b) The validity of the Hamilton and Montgomery-Asberg depression rating scales as screening and diagnostic tools for depression in Parkinson's disease. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 15, 644–649.

Leung, K. K., Lue, B. H., Lee, M. B., et al. (1998) Screening of depression in patients with chronic medical diseases in a primary care setting. Family Practice, 15, 67–75.

Li, C., Friedman, B., Conwell, Y., et al. (2007) Validity of the Patient Health Questionnaire 2 (PHQ-2) in identifying major depression in older people. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society*, 55, 596–602.

Lightbody, C. E., Baldwin, R., Connolly, M., *et al.* (2007) Can nurses help identify patients with depression following stroke? A pilot study using two methods of detection. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *57*, 505–512.

Lincoln, N. B., Nicholl, C. R., Flannaghan, T., et al. (2003) The validity of questionnaire measures for assessing depression after stroke. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 17, 840–846.

Lincoln, N. B. & Flannaghan, T. (2003) Cognitive behavioral psychotherapy for depression following stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Stroke*, *34*, 111–115.

Lotrakul, M., Sumrithe, S. & Saipanish, R. (2008) Reliability and validity of the Thai version of the PHQ-9. *BMC Psychiatry*, 8, 46.

Love, A., Grabsch, B., Clarke, D., et al. (2004) Screening for depression in women with metastatic breast cancer: a comparison of the Beck Depression Inventory Short Form and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 38, 531.

Low, G.D. & Hubley, A. M. (2007) Screening for depression after cardiac events using the Beck Depression Inventory-II and the Geriatric Depression Scale. *Social Indicators Research*, 82, 527–543.

Lowe, B., Kroenke, K., & Grafe, K. (2005) Detecting and monitoring depression with a two-item questionnaire (PHQ-2). *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, *58*, 163–171.

Lowe, B., Spitzer, R., Grafe, K., et al. (2004a) Comparative validity of three screening questionnaires for DSM-IV depressive disorders and physicians' diagnoses. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 78, 140.

Lowe, B., Grafe, K., Zipfel, S., et al. (2004b) Diagnosing ICD-10 depressive episodes: superior criterion validity of the Patient Health Questionnaire. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 73, 386–390.

Lustman, P. J., Clouse, R. E., Griffith, L. S. *et al.* (1997) Screening for depression in diabetes using the Beck Depression Inventory. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, *59*, 24–31.

Lykouras, L., Adrachta, D., Kalfakis, N., et al. (1996) GHQ-28 as an aid to detect mental disorders in neurological inpatients. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 93, 212–216.

Lyness, J. M., Noel, T. K., Cox, C. et al. (1997) Screening patients for depression in elderly primary care patients. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 157, 449–454.

Magni, G., Schifano, F. & de Leo, D. (1986) Assessment of depression in an elderly medical population. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 11, 121–124.

The MaGPIe Research Group (2005) The effectiveness of case-finding for mental health problems in primary care. *British Journal of General Practice*, *55*, 665–669.

Marc, L. G., Raue, P. J. & Bruce, M. L. (2008) Screening performance of the 15-item geriatric depression scale in a diverse elderly home care population. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 16, 914–921.

McGivney, S. A., Mulvihill, M. & Taylor, B. (1994) Validating the GDS depression screen in the nursing home. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society*, 42, 490–492.

McManus, D., Pipkin, S. S. & Whooley, M. A. (2005) Screening for depression in patients with coronary heart disease (data from the Heart and Soul Study). *American Journal of Cardiology*, *96*, 1076–1081.

McQuillan, J., Fifield, J., Sheehan, T. J., *et al.* (2003) A comparison of self-reports of distress and affective disorder diagnoses in rheumatoid arthritis: a receiver operator characteristic analysis. *Arthritis Care & Research*, 49, 368–376.

Means-Christensen, A. J., Sherbourne, C. D., Roy-Byrne, P. P., et al. (2006) Using five questions to screen for five common mental disorders in primary care: diagnostic accuracy of the Anxiety and Depression Detector. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 28, 108–118.

Mohr, D. C., Hart, S. L., Julian, L. *et al.* (2007) Screening for depression among patients with multiple sclerosis: two questions may be enough. *Multiple Sclerosis*, *13*, 215–219.

Mottram, P., Wilson, K. & Copeland, J. (2000) Validation of the Hamilton Depression rating scale and Montgommery and Asberg rating scales in terms of AGECAT depression cases. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, *15*, 1113–1119.

Nam Bae, J. & Cho, M. J. (2004) Development of the Korean version of the Geriatric Depression Scale and its short form among elderly psychiatric patients. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 57, 297–305.

Neal, R. M. & Baldwin, R. C. (1994) Screening for anxiety and depression in elderly medical outpatients. *Age and Ageing*, 23, 461–464

Okimoto, J. T., Barnes, R. F., Veith, R. C., et al. (1982) Screening for depression in geriatric medical patients. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 139, 799–802.

Orcos, O.R., Fort, S., Khajouli, K.S., *et al.* (2007) Validacion de la version Espanola de 5 y 15 items de la escala de depression geriatrica en personas mayors en atencion primaria. *Revista Clinica Espanola*, 207, 559–562.

Papassotiropoulos, A. & Heun, R. (1999) Screening for depression in the elderly: a study on misclassification by screening instruments and improvement of scale performance. *Neuro-Psychopharmacol and Biological Psychiatry*, 23, 431–446.

Parikh, R. M., Eden, D. T., Price, T. R., *et al.* (1988) The sensitivity and specificity of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale in screening for post-stroke depression. International *Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, *18*, 169–181.

Parker, G., Hilton, T., Bains, J., et al. (2002) Cognitive-based measures screening for depression in the medically ill: the DMI-10 and the DMI-18. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavia, 105, 419–426.

Passik, S. D., Kirsh, K. L., Donaghy, K. B. *et al.* (2001) An attempt to employ the Zung self-rating depression scale as a lab test to trigger follow up in ambulatory oncology clinics: criterion validity and detection. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 21, 273–281.

Patel, V., Araya, R., Chowdhary, N., et al. (2008) Detecting common mental disorders in primary care in India: a comparison of five screening questionnaires. *Psychological Medicine*, 38, 218–228.

Patterson, K., Young, C., Woods, S., et al. (2006) Screening for major depression in persons with HIV infection: the concurrent predictive validity of the Profile of Mood States Depression-Dejection Scale. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 15, 75–82.

Persoons, P., Luyckx, K., Desloovere, C., et al. (2003) Anxiety and mood disorders in otorhinolaryngology outpatients presenting with dizziness: validation of the self-administered PRIME-MD Patient Health Questionnaire and epidemiology. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 25, 316–323.

Picardi, A., Adler, D. A., Abeni, D., *et al.* (2005) Screening for depressive disorders in patients with skin diseases: a comparison of three screeners. *Acta Demeto Venereologica*, *85*, 414–419.

Picardi, A., Abeni, D., Mazzotti, E., *et al.* (2004) Screening for psychiatric disorders in patients with skin diseases: a performance study of the 12-item General Health Questionnaire. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, *57*, 219–223.

Pomeroy, I., Clark, C. & Philp, I. (2001) The effectiveness of very short scales for depression screening in elderly medical patients. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 16, 321–326.

Poole, N. & Morgan, J. (2006) Validity and reliability of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale in a hypertrophic cardiomyopathy clinic: the HADS in a cardiomyopathy population. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 28, 55–58.

Reuter, K. & Harter, M. (2000) Screening for mental disorders in cancer patients – discriminant validity of HADS and GHQ-12 assessed by standardized clinical interview. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 10, 86–96.

Rinaldi, P., Mecocci, P., Benedetti, C., et al. (2003) Validation of the five-item geriatric depression scale in elderly subjects in three different settings. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 51, 694–698.

Robison, J., Gruman, C., Gaztambide, S., et al. (2002) Screening for depression in middle-aged and older Puerto Rican primary care patients. *Journal of Gerontology*, 57, 308–314.

Rovner, B.W. & Shmuely-Dulitzi, Y. (1997) Screening for depression in low-vision elderly. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 12, 955–959.

Sanchez-Garcia, S., Juarez-Cedillo, T., Garcia-Gonzalez, J.J. *et al.* (2008) Usefulness of two instruments in assessing depression among elderly Mexicans in population studies and for primary care. *Salud Publica de Mexico*, *50*, 447–456.

Scheinthal, S. M., Steer, R., Giffin, L., *et al.* (2001) Evaluating geriatric medical outpatients with the Beck Depression Inventory-FastScreen for medical patients. *Aging & Mental Health*, *5*, 143–148.

Schein, R. L. & Koenig, H. G. (1997) The center for epidemiological studies-depression (CES-D) scale: assessment of depression in the medically ill elderly. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 12, 436–446.

Schmitz, N., Kruse, J., Tress, W., et al. (1999a) Psychometric properties of the general health questionnaire (GHQ-12) in a German primary care sample. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 100, 462–468.

Schmitz, N., Kruse, J., Heckrath, C., et al. (1999b) Diagnosing mental disorders in primary care: the general health questionnaire (GHQ) and the symptom checklist (SCL-90-R). *Social Psychiatry Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 34, 360–366.

Schmitz, N., Kruse, J. & Tress, W. (2001) Improving screening for mental disorders in the primary care setting by combining the GHQ-12 and SCL-90-R subscales. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 42, 166–173.

Serber, E. R., Sears, S. F., Nielsen, C. D., et al. (2007) Depression, anxiety, and quality of life in patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy three months after alcohol septal ablation. *American Journal of Cardiology*, 100, 1592–1597.

Serrano-Duenas, M. & Serrano, M. S. (2008) Concurrent validity of the 21-item and 6-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale versus the DSM-IV diagnostic criteria to assess depression in patients with Parkinson's disease: an exploratory analysis. *Parkinsonism and Related Disorders*, 14, 233–238.

Snijders, A. H., Robertson, M. M., and Orth, M. (2006) Beck Depression Inventory is a useful screening tool for major depressive disorder in Gilles de la Tourette syndrome. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 77, 787–789.

Snowdon, J. (1990) Validity of the Geriatric Depression Scale. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 38, 722–723.

Spitzer, R. L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J. B. *et al.* (1999) Validation and utility of a self-report version of PRIME-MD: the PHQ primary care study. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 282, 1737–1744.

Stafford, L., Berk, M., and Jackson, H. (2007) Validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale and Patient Health Questionnaire-9 to screen for depression in patients with coronary artery disease. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 29, 417–424.

Strik, J., Honig, A., Lousberg, R., *et al.* (2001) Sensitivity and specificity of observer and self-report questionnaires in major and minor depression following myocardial infarction. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 42, 423–428.

Stukenberg, K., Dura, J. & Kiecolt-Glaser, J. (1990) Depression screening scale validation in an elderly, community-dwelling population. *Psychological Assessment*, *2*, 134–138.

Suthers, K. M., Gatz, M. & Fiske, A. (2004) Screening for depression: a comparative analysis of the 11-item CES-D and the CIDI-SF. *Journal of Mental Health and Aging, 10,* 209–219.

Tang, W. K., Ungvari, G. S., Chiu, H. F. K., *et al.* (2004a) Screening post-stroke depression in Chinese older adults using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Aging & Mental Health*, *8*, 397–399.

Tang, W., Chan, S., Chiu, H., et al. (2004b) Can the Geriatric Depression Scale detect poststroke depression in Chinese elderly? *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 81, 153–156.

Tang, W., Ungvari, G., Chiu, H., et al. (2004c) Detecting depression in Chinese stroke patients: a pilot study comparing four screening instruments. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 34, 155–163.

Thomas, J. L., Jones, G. N, Scarinci, I. C., *et al.* (2001) The utility of the CES-D as a depression screening measure among low-income women attending primary care clinics. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, *31*, 25–40.

Tuunainen, A., Langer, R. D., Klauber, M. R., *et al.* (2001) Short version of the CES-D (Burnam screen) for depression in reference to the structured psychiatric interview. *Psychiatry Research*, 103, 261–270.

Upadhyaya, A. & Stanley, I. (1997) Detection of depression in primary care: comparison of two self-administered scales. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 12, 35–37.

Vahter, L., Kreegipuu, T. & Gross-Paju, K. (2007) One question as a screening instrument for depression in people with multiple sclerosis. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 21, 460–464.

Van Marwijk, H. W. J., Wallace, P., De Bock, G. H., *et al.* (1995) Evaluation of the feasibility, reliability and diagnostic value of shortened versions of the Geriatric Depression Scale. *British Journal of General Practice*, *45*, 195–199.

Vargas, H., Matsuo, T. & Blay, S. (2007) Validity of the Geriatric Depression Scale for patients seen at general outpatient clinics. *Clinical Gerontologist*, 30, 65–78.

Viinamaki, H., Niskanen, L., and Koskela, K. (1995) General Health Questionnaire and Beck Depression Scale as screening methods for psychiatric morbidity among the unemployed. *European Journal of Psychiatry*, *9*, 209–215.

Wada, K., Tanaka, K., Theriault, G., *et al.* (2007) Validity of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale as a screening instrument of major depressive disorder among Japanese workers. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, *50*, 8–12.

Walker, J., Postma, K., McHugh, G. S., *et al.* (2007) Performance of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale as a screening tool for major depressive disorder in cancer patients. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, *63*, 83–91.

Watnick, S., Wang, P. L., Demadura, T., et al. (2005) Validation of 2 depression screening tools in dialysis patients. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, 46, 919–924.

Watson, L. C., Lewis, C. L., Kistler, C. E., et al. (2004) Can we trust depression screening instruments in healthy 'old-old' adults? International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 19, 278–285.

Weintraub, D., Oehlberg, K., Katz, I., *et al.* (2006) Test characteristics of the 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale and Hamilton Depression Rating Scale in Parkinson disease. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 14, 169–175.

Whooley, M. A., Avins, A. L., Miranda, J., et al. (1997) Case-finding instruments for depression. Two questions are as good as many. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 12, 439–445.

Wilhelm, K., Kotze, B., Waterhouse, M., et al. (2004) Screening for depression in the medically ill: a comparison of self-report measures, clinician judgment, and DSM-IV diagnoses. *Psychosomatics*, 45, 461–469.

Williams, L. S., Brizendine, E. J., Plue, L., et al. (2005) Performance of the PHQ-9 as a screening tool for depression after stroke. *Stroke*, *36*, 635–638.

Williams, J. W., Mulrow, C. D., Kroenke, K., et al. (1999) Case-finding for depression in primary care: a randomised trial. *American Journal of Medicine*, 196, 36–43.

Yeung, A., Howarth, S., Chan, R., et al. (2002) Use of the Chinese version of the Beck Depression Inventory for screening depression in primary care. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 190, 94–99.

Yeung, A., Yu, S. C., Fung, F., et al. (2006) Recognizing and engaging depressed Chinese Americans in treatment in a primary care setting. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 21, 216–823.

Yeung, A., Fung, F., Yu, S.-C., et al. (2008) Validation of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 for depression screening among Chinese Americans. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 49, 211–217.

Zich, J. M., Attkisson, C. C. & Greenfield, T. K. (1990) Screening for depression in primary care clinics: the CES-D and the BDI. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 20, 259–277.

References to excluded studies and reasons for exclusion

The data was not extractable or the outcomes were not relevant:

Arean, P. & Miranda, J. (1997) The utility of the Centre for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale in older primary care patients. *Aging & Mental Health*, 1, 47–56.

Baker, F. M., Espino, D., Robinson, B., et al. (1993) Assessing depressive symptoms in African American and Mexican American elders. *Clinical Gerontologist*, 14, 15–29.

Bech, P. & Wermuth, L. (1998) Applicability and validity of the Major Depression Inventory in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*, 52, 305–309.

Berkman, A. T. F., Deeg, D. J. H., Van Limbeek, J., *et al.* (1997) Criterion validity of the center for epidemiologic studies (CES-D): results from a community-based sample of older subjects in the Netherlands. *Psychological Medicine*, *27*, 231–235.

Beursterien, K. M., Steinwald, B. & Ware, J. E. (1996) Usefulness of the SF-36 health survey in measuring health outcomes in the depressed elderly. *Journal of the Geriatric Psychiatry Neurology*, *9*, 13–21.

Brown, C., Schlberg, H. C. & Madonia, M. J. (1995) Assessing depression in primary care practice with the beck depression inventory and the Hamilton rating scale for depression. *Psychological Assessment*, 7, 59–65.

Burke, W. J., Roccaforte, W. H., Wengel, S. P., et al. (1995) The reliability and validity of the Geriatric Depression Rating Scale administered by telephone. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 43, 674–679.

Burkhart, B. R., Rogers, K., McDonald, W. D., *et al.* (1984) The measurement of depression: enhancing the predictive validity of the Beck Depression Inventory. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 40, 1368–1372.

Cahill, J., Barkham, M., Stiles, W., et al. (2006) Convergent validity of the CORE measures with measures of depression for clients in cognitive therapy for depression. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 53, 253–259.

Callahan, L. F., Kaplan, M. R. & Pincus, T. (1991) The Beck Depression Inventory, Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), and General Well-Being Schedule depression subscale in rheumatoid arthritis. Criterion contamination of responses. *Arthritis Care & Research*, *4*, 3–11.

Christensen, K. S., Toft, T., Frostholm, L., et al. (2005) Screening for common mental disorders: who will benefit? Results from a randomised clinical trial. Family Practice, 22, 428–434.

DeForge, B. & Sobal, J. (1988) Self-report depression scales in the elderly: the relationship between the CES-D and ZUNG. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 18, 325–338.

Finlay-Jones, R. A. & Murphy, E. (1979) Severity of psychiatric disorder and the 30-item General Health Questionnaire. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 134, 613.

Fisher, L., Skaff, M. M., Mullan, J. T., et al. (2007) Clinical depression versus distress among patients with type 2 diabetes: not just a question of semantics. *Diabetes Care*, 30, 542–548.

Freudenstein, U., Arthur, A., Matthews, R., et al. (2002) Can routine information improve case finding of depression among 65 to 74 year olds in primary care? Family Practice, 19, 520–522.

Furukawa, T. A., Kessler, R. C., Slade, T., *et al.* (2003) The performance of the K6 and K10 screening scales for psychological distress in the Australian National Survey of Mental Health and Well-Being. *Psychological Medicine*, *33*, 357–362.

Ghubash, R., Daradkeh, T., El-Rufaie, O. F., et al. (2001) A comparison of the validity of two psychiatric screening questionnaires: the Arabic General Health Questionnaire (AGHQ) and Self-Reporting Questionnaire (SRQ-10) in UAE, using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. *European Psychiatry*, 16, 122–126.

Goldberg, D., Privett, M., Ustun, B., *et al.* (1998) The effects of detection and treatment on the outcome of major depression in primary care: a naturalistic study. *British Journal of General Practice*, *48*, 1840–1844.

Golub, E. T., Latka, M., Hagan, H., *et al.* (2004) Screening for depressive symptoms among HCV-infected injection drug users: examination of the utility of the CES-D and the Beck Depression Inventory. *Journal of Urban Health*, *81*, 278–290.

Greenfield, S. F., Reizes, J. M., Muenz, L. R., et al. (2000) Treatment for depression following the 1996 National Depression Screening Day. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 157, 1867–1869.

Guo, Y., Musselman, D., Manatunga, A., et al. (2006) The diagnosis of major depression in patients with cancer: a comparative approach. *Psychosomatics*, 47, 376–384.

Hengeveld, M., Ancion, F. & Rooijmans, H. (1987) Prevalence and recognition of depressive disorders in general medical inpatients. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 17, 341–349.

Hustey, F. M. & Smith, M. D. (2007) A depression screen and intervention for older ED patients. *American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 25, 133–137.

Iliffe, S., Mitchley, S., Gould, M., et al. (1994) Evaluation of the use of brief screening instruments for dementia, depression and problem drinking among elderly people in general practice. British Journal of General Practice, 44, 503–507.

Incalzi, R. A., Cesari, M., Pedone, C., et al. (1928) Construct validity of the 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale in older medical inpatients. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology*, 16, 23–28.

Jang, Y., Small, B. J., and Haley, W. E. (1937) Cross-cultural comparability of the Geriatric Depression Scale: comparison between older Koreans and older Americans. *Aging & Mental Health*, *5*, 31–37.

Janson, C., Bjornsson, E., Hetta, J., et al. (1994) Anxiety and depression in relation to respiratory symptoms and asthma. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*, 149, 930–934.

Jarjoura, D., Polen, A., Baum, E., et al. (2001) Effectiveness of screening and treatment for depression in ambulatory indigent patients. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 19, 78–84.

Johnston, M., Pollard, B., and Hennessey, P. (2000) Construct validation of the hospital anxiety and depression scale with clinical populations. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 48, 579–584.

Jones, J. E., Hermann, B. P., Woodard, J. L., et al. (2005) Screening for major depression in epilepsy with common self-report depression inventories. *Epilepsia*, 46, 731–735.

Kathol, R., Mutgi, A., Williams, J., et al. (1990) Diagnosis of major depression in cancer patients according to four sets of criteria. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 147, 1021–1024.

Kellermann, M., Fekete, I., Gesztelyi, R., et al. (1999) Screening for depressive symptoms in the acute phase of stroke. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 21, 116–121.

Kerber, C., Dyck, M., Culp, K., et al. (2005) Comparing the Geriatric Depression Scale, Minimum Data Set, and Primary Care Provider Diagnosis for Depression in Rural Nursing Home Residents. *Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association*, 11, 269–275.

Kessler, D., Bennewith, O., Lewis, G., et al. (2002) Detection of depression and anxiety in primary care: follow up study. British Medical Journal, 325, 1016–1017.

Kessler, D., Lloyd, K., Lewis, G., et al. (1999) Cross sectional study of symptom attribution and recognition of depression and anxiety in primary care. British Medical Journal, 436–440.

Kirmayer, L. J., Robbins, J. M., Dworkind, M., et al. (1993) Somatization and the recognition of depression and anxiety in primary care. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 150, 734–741.

Kominski, G., Andersen, R., Bastani, R., et al. (2001) UPBEAT: the impact of a psychogeriatric intervention in VA medical centres. *Medical Care*, 39, 500–512.

Kurlowicz, L. H., Outlaw, F. H., Ratcliffe, S. J., *et al.* (2001) An exploratory study of depression among older African American users of an academic outpatient rehabilitation program. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing*, 19, 3–9.

Lane, J. E., Shellenberger, S., Gresen, K. W., et al. (2000) Screening for depression within a rural primary care practice by a self-rating depression scale. *Primary Care Psychiatry*, 6, 73–76

Lee, H., Chiu, H., Wing, Y., et al. (1994) The Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale: screening for depression among the Hong Kong Chinese elderly. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology*, 7, 216–220.

Leigh, I., Robins, C. & Welkowitz, J. (1988) Modification of the Beck Depression Inventory for use with a deaf population. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 44, 728–732.

Levenson, J. L., Hamer, R. M. & Rossiter, L. F. (1992) A randomized controlled study of psychiatric consultation guided by screening in general medical inpatients. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 149, 631–637.

Lovibond, P. F. & Lovibond, S. H. (1995) The structure of negative emotional states: comparison of the depression anxiety stress scales (DASS) with the Beck Depression and Anxiety Inventories. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 33, 335–343.

Lyness, J. M., Noel. T. K., Cox, C., et al. (1997) Screening for depression in elderly primary care patients. *Archive of Internal Medicine*, 157, 499–454.

Lyons, J., Strain, J., Hammer, J., et al. (1989) Reliability, validity, and temporal stability of the Geriatric Depression Scale in hospitalized elderly. International *Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 19, 203–209.

Magruder-Habib, K., Zung, W. W. K., and Feussner, J. R. (1990) Improving physicians' recognition and treatment of depression in general medical care. *Medical Care*, 28, 239–250.

Mahard, R. E. (1988) The CES-D as a measure of depressive mood in the elderly Puerto Rican population. *Journal of Gerontology*, 43, 24–25.

Malasi, T. H., Mirza, J. A., and El-Islam, M. F. (1991) Validation of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale in Arab patients. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavia*, *84*, 323–326.

Mohr, D., Goodkin, D. E., Likosky, W., et al. (1997) Identification of Beck Depression Inventory items related to multiple sclerosis. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 20, 407–414.

Munoz, R., Gonzalez, G. & Starkweather, J. (1995) Automated screening for depression: toward culturally and linguistically appropriate uses of computerized speech recognition. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 17, 195–208.

Oduwole, O. O. & Ogunyemi, A. O. (1989) Validity of the GHQ-30 in a Nigerian medical outpatient clinic. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry / La Revue canadienne de psychiatrie, 34,* 20–23.

O'Malley, P. G., Wong, P. W. K., Kroenke, K., et al. (1998) The value of screening for psychiatric disorders prior to upper endoscopy. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 44, 279–287.

Oliver, J. & Simmons, M. E. (2005) Affective disorders and depression as measured by the Diagnostic Interview Schedule and the Beck Depression Inventory in an unselected adult population. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 41, 469–477.

Onelov, E., Steineck, G., Nyberg, U., et al. (2007) Measuring anxiety and depression in the oncology setting using visual-digital scales. *Acta Oncologica*, 46, 810–816.

Osborn, D., Fletcher, A., Smeeth, L., *et al.* (2002) Geriatric Depression Scale scores in a representative sample of 14 545 people aged 75 and over in the United Kingdom: results from the MRC trial of assessment and management of older people in the community. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, *17*, 375–382.

Payne, D. K., Hoffman, R. G., Theodoulou, M., et al. (1999) Screening for anxiety and depression in women with breast cancer. *Psychosomatics*, 40, 64–69.

Perez-Stable, E., Miranda, J., Munoz, R. F., et al. (1990) Depression in medical outpatients: under recognition and misdiagnosis. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 150, 1083–1088.

Pinto-Meza, A., Serrano-Bianco, A., Penarrubia, M., et al. (2005) Assessing depression in primary care with the PHQ-9: can it be carried out over the telephone? *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 20, 738–742.

Raft, D., Spencer, R. F., Toomey, T., et al. (1977) Depression in medical outpatients: use of Zung scale. *Diseases of the Nervous System*, 999–1004.

Reifler, D. R., Kessler, H. S., Bernhard, E. J., *et al.* (1996) Impact of screening for mental health concerns on health service utilization and functional status in primary care patients. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, *156*, 2593–2599.

Richard, I. and Kurlan, R. (2006) The under-recognition of depression in Parkinson's disease. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, 2, 349–353.

Riggs, R. M., McCarthy, J. M., and Kaufman, L. (2007) The utility of the beck depression inventory in the evaluation of chronic pelvic pain patients. *Journal of Gynaecologic Surgery*. 23, 127–132.

Santor, D. A., Zuroff, D. C., and Ramsay, J. O. (1995) Examining scale discriminability in the BDI and CES-D as a function of depressive severity. *Psychological Assessment*, *7*, 131–139.

Schreiner, A. S., Hayakawa, H., Morimoto, T., *et al.* (2003) Screening for late life depression: cut-off scores for the Geriatric Depression Scale and the Cornell Scale for depression in dementia among Japanese subjects. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 18, 498–505.

Sherwood, A., Blumenthal, J. A., Trivedi, R., *et al.* (2007) Relationship of depression to death or hospitalization in patients with heart failure. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 167, 367–373.

Shinar, D., Gross, C. R., Price, T. R., *et al.* (1986) Screening for depression in stroke patients: the reliability and validity of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale. *Stroke*, *17*, 241–245.

Steeds, R. P., Bickerton, D., Smith, M. J., et al. (2004) Assessment of depression following acute myocardial infarction using the Beck depression inventory. *Heart*, 90, 217–218.

Svanborg, P. & Asberg, M. (2001) A comparison between the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) and the self-rating version of the Montgomery Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS). *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 64, 203–216.

Thombs, B.D., Hudson, M., Schieir, O. *et al.* (2008) Reliability and validity of the center for epidemiologic studies depression scale in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis and Rheumatism*, 59, 438–443.

Tucker, M. A., Ogle, S. J., Davison, J. G., et al. (1986) Development of a brief screening test for depression in the elderly. *Journal of Clinical & Experimental Gerontology*, 8, 173–190.

Weatherall, M. (2000) A randomized controlled trial of the Geriatric Depression Scale in an inpatient ward for older adults. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 14, 186–191.

Wedding, U., Koch, A., Rohrig, B., *et al.* (2007) Requestioning depression in patients with cancer: contribution of somatic and affective symptoms to Beck's Depression Inventory. *Annals of Oncology, 18,* 1875–1881.

Wojnar, M., Drozdz, W., Araszkiewicz, A., et al. (2005) Assessment and prevalence of depression in women 45-55 years of age visiting gynecological clinics in Poland. *Archives of Women's Mental Health*, 6, 193–201.

Yesavage, J., Brink, T. L., Rose, T. L., *et al.* (1982) Development and validation of a Geriatric Depression Screening Scale: a preliminary report. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 17, 37–49.

The gold standard comparator was not relevant:

Arnau, R., Meagher, M., Norris, M., *et al.* (2002) Psychometric evaluation of the Beck Depression Inventory-II with primary care medical patients. *Health Psychology*, 20, 112–119.

Avasarala, J. R., Cross, A. H. & Trinkaus, K. (2003) Comparative assessment of Yale Single Question and Beck Depression Inventory Scale in screening for depression in multiple sclerosis. *Multiple Sclerosis*, *9*, 307–310.

Beck, A. T., Guth, D., Steer, R. A., et al. (1997) Screening for major depression disorders in medical inpatients with the beck depression inventory for primary care. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 35, 758–791.

Beck, A. T., Steer, R. A., Ball, R., et al. (1997) Use of the beck anxiety and depression inventories for primary care with medical outpatients. *Assessment*, 4, 211–219.

Bohannon, R. W., Maljanian, R. & Goethe, J. (2003) Screening for depression in clinical practice: reliability and validity of a five-item subset of the CES-Depression. *Perceptual & Motor Skills*, 97, 855–861.

Cameron, I. M., Crawford, J. R., Lawton, K. *et al.* (2008) Assessing the validity of the PHQ-9, HADS, BDI-II and QIDS-SR16 in measuring severity of depression in a UK sample of

primary care patients with a diagnosis of depression: study protocol. *Primary Care and Community Psychiatry*, 13, 67–71.

Chattat, R., Ellena, L., Cucinotta, D., et al. (2001) A study of the validity of different short versions of the geriatric depression scale. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics (Suppl.), 7, 81–86.

Clement, J. P., Fray, E., Paycin, S., et al. (1999) Detection of depression in elderly hospitalized patients in emergency wards in France using the CES-D and the Mini-GDS: preliminary experiences. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 14, 373–378.

Cole, S. R., Kawachi, I., Maller, S. J., et al. (2000) Test of item-response bias in the CES-D scale. Experience from the New Haven EPESE study. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 53, 285–289.

Corson, K., Gerrity, M. S. & Dobscha, S. K. (2004) Screening for depression and suicidality in a VA primary care setting: 2 items are better than 1 item. American Journal of Managed Care, 10, 839–845.

Doering, L., Cross, R., Magsarili, M., et al. (2007) Utility of observer-rated and self-report instruments for detecting major depression in women after cardiac surgery: a pilot study. *American Journal of Critical Care*, 16, 260–269.

Dowson, C., Laing, R., Barraclough, R., et al. (2001) The use of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a pilot study. *New Zealand Medical Journal*, 114, 447–449.

Fraguas, Jr, R., Gonsalves Henriques, Jr, S., De Lucia, M. S, *et al.* (2006) The detection of depression in medical setting: a study with PRIME-MD. *Journal of Affective Disorder*, 91, 11–17.

Flint, A. J. & Rifat, S. L. (1996) Validation of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression scale as a measure of severity of geriatric depression. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 11, 991–994.

Gallagher, D., Breckenridge, J., Steinmetz, J., et al. (1983) The Beck Depression Inventory and research diagnostic criteria: congruence in an older population. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 51, 945–946.

Gessler, S., Low, J., Daniells, E., *et al.* (2008) Screening for distress in cancer patients: is the Distress Thermometer a valid measure in the UK and does it measure change over time? A prospective validation study. *Psycho-Oncology*, *17*, 538–547.

Giordano, M., Tirelli, P., Ciarambino, T., et al. (2007) Screening of depressive symptoms in young-old hemodialysis patients: relationship between Beck Depression Inventory and 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale. *Nephron Clinical Practice*, 106, 187–192.

Griffith, N. M., Szaflarski, J. P., Szaflarski, M., et al. (2005) Measuring depressive symptoms among treatment-resistant seizure disorder patients: POMS Depression scale as an alternative to the BDI-II. *Epilepsy and Behavior*, 7, 266–272.

Gori, C., Appollonio, I., Riva, G. P., et al. (1998) Using a single question to screen for depression in the nursing home. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics Supplement*, 6, 235–240.

Hammond, M. F. (1998) Rating depression severity in the elderly physically ill patient: reliability and factor structure of the Hamilton and the Montgomery-Asberg depression rating scales. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 13, 257–261.

Hegel, M. T., Collins, D., Kearing, S., et al. (2008) Sensitivity and specificity of the distress thermometer for depression in newly diagnosed breast cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology*, 17, 556–560.

Herrmann, N., Mittmann, N., Silver, I. L., et al. (1996) A validation study of the geriatric depression scale short form. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 11, 457–460.

Heiser, D. (2004) Depression identification in the long-term care setting: the GDS vs. the MDS. *Clinical Gerontologist*, 27, 3–18.

Hoeper, E. W., Nycz, G. R., Kessler, L. G., et al. (1984) The usefulness of screening for mental illness. *The Lancet*, 7, 33–35.

Holi, M., Marttunen, M., and Aalberg, V. (2003) Comparison of the GHQ-36, the GHQ-12 and the SCL-90 as psychiatric screening instruments in the Finnish population. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*, *57*, 233–238.

Husaini, B. *et al.* (1980) Depression in rural communities: validating the CES-D scale. *Journal of Community Psychology, 8,* 20–27.

Hustey, F. M. (2005) The use of a brief depression screen in older emergency department patients. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 12, 905–908.

Ingram, F. (1996) The short geriatric depression scale: a comparison with the standard form in independent older adults. *Clinical Gerontologist*, *16*, 49–56.

Jacobsen, P. B., Donovan, K. A., Trask, P. C., et al. (2001) Screening for psychological distress in ambulatory cancer patients. *Cancer*, 103, 1494–1502.

Katz, M. R., Kopek, N., Waldron, J., et al. (2004) Screening for depression in head and neck cancer. *Psycho-Oncology*, 13, 269–280.

Keir, S. T., Callhoun-Eagan, R. D., Swartz, J. J. *et al.* (2008) Screening for distress in patients with brain cancer using the NCCN's rapid screening measure. *Psycho-Oncology*, *17*, 621–625.

Kunik, M. E., Azzam, P. N., Souchek, J., *et al.* (2007) A practical screening tool for anxiety and depression in patients with chronic breathing disorders. *Psychosomatics*, *48*, 16–21.

Lane, A. D., Jajoo, J., Taylor, R. S., *et al.* (2007) Cross-cultural adaptation in Punjabi of the English version of the hospital anxiety and depression scale. *BMC Psychiatry*, 7, 5.

Lesher, E. (1986) Validation of the Geriatric Depression Scale among nursing home residents. *Clinical Gerontologist*, *4*, 21–28.

Lewinsohn, P. M., Seeley, J. R., Roberts, R. E. *et al.* (1997) Center for epidemiologic studies depression scale (CES-D) as a screening instrument for depression among communityresiding older adults. *Psychology and Aging*, 12, 277–287.

Lim, P. P. J., Ng, L. L., Chiam, P. C., et al. (2000) Validation and comparison of three brief depression scales in an elderly Chinese population. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 15, 824–830.

Mahoney, J., Drinka, T. J. K., Abler, R., et al. (1994) Screening for depression: single question versus GDS. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 42, 1006–1008.

Martens, M. P., Parker, J. C., Smarr, K. L., *et al.* (2003) Assessment of depression in rheumatoid arthritis: a modified version of the center for epidemiologic studies depression scale. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*, 49, 549–555.

Martens, M., Parker, J., Smarr, K., *et al.* (2006) Development of a Shortened Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale for Assessment of Depression in Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Rehabilitation Psychology*, *51*, 135–139.

Mondolo, F., Jahanshahi, M., Grana, A., et al. (2006) The validity of the hospital anxiety and depression scale and the geriatric depression scale in Parkinson's disease. *Behavioural Neurology*, 17, 109–115.

Osborn, D., Fletcher, A., Smeeth, L., *et al.* (2003) Performance of a single screening question for depression in a representative sample of 13,670 people aged 75 and over in the UK: results from the MRC trial of assessment and management of older people in the community. *Family Practice*, 20, 682–684.

O'Sullivan, R. L., Fava, M., Agustin, C., et al. (1997) Sensitivity of the six-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 95, 379–384.

Olsson, I., Mykletun, A., and Dahl, A. A. (2005) The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Rating Scale: a cross-sectional study of psychometrics and case finding abilities in general practice. *BMC Psychiatry*, *5*, 46.

Ozalp, E., Cankurtaran, E., Soygur, H., et al. (2007) Screening for psychological distress in Turkish cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology*, 16, 307–311.

Recklitis, C., Licht, I., Ford, J., et al. (2007) Screening adult survivors of childhood cancer with the distress thermometer: a comparison with the SCL-90-R. *Psycho-Oncology*, 16, 1046–1049.

Ransom, S., Jacobsen, P. B. & Booth-Jones, M. (2006) Validation of the Distress Thermometer with bone marrow transplant patients. *Psycho-Oncology*, *15*, 604–612.

Roberts, R. E. and Vernon, S. W. (1983) The Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale: its use in a community sample. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 140, 41–46.

Shah, A., Herbert, R., Lewis, S., et al. (1997) Screening for depression among acutely ill geriatric inpatients with a short geriatric depression scale. Age and Ageing, 26, 217–221.

Shah, A., Phongsathorn, V., Bielawska, C., et al. (1996) Screening for depression among geriatric inpatients with short versions of the Geriatric Depression Scale. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 11, 915–918.

Stefansson, J. & Kristjansson, I. (1985) Comparison of the General Health Questionnaire and the Cornell Medical Index Health Questionnaire. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 72, 482–487.

Troidle, L., Wuerth, D., Finkelstein, S., et al. (2003) The BDI and the SF36: which tool to use to screen for depression? *Advances in Peritoneal Dialysis*, 19, 159–167

Tuinman, M. A., Gazendam-Donofrio, S. M. and Hoekstra-Weeber, J. E. (2008) Screening and referral for psychosocial distress in oncologic practice: use of the distress thermometer. *Cancer*, 113, 870–8.

Van, M., Arnold, I., Bonnema, J., et al. (1993) Self-report depression scales for elderly patients in primary care: a preliminary study. *Family Practice*, 10, 63–65.

Wall, J. R., Lichtenberg, P. A., MacNeill, S. E., *et al.* (1999) Depression detection in geriatric rehabilitation: Geriatric Depression Scale short form vs. long form. *Clinical Gerontologist*, 20, 13–21.

Walsh, T. L., Homa, K., Hanscom, B., et al. (2006) Screening for depressive symptoms in patients with chronic spinal pain using the SF-36 Health Survey. *Spine Journal*, 6, 316–320.

Watkins, C., Daniels, L., Jack, C., et al. (2001) Accuracy of a single question in screening for depression in a cohort of patients after stroke: comparative study. *British Medical Journal*, 323, 1159.

Watkins, C. L., Lightbody, C. E., Sutton, C. J., *et al.* (2007) Evaluation of a single-item screening tool for depression after stroke: a cohort study. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 21, 846–852.

Wilson, B., Spittal, J., Heidenheim, P., et al. (2006) Screening for depression in chronic hemodialysis patients: comparison of the Beck Depression Inventory, primary nurse, and nephrology team. *Hemodialysis International*, 10, 35–41.

There were problems with the methodology:

Bech, P., Rasmussen, N. A., Olsen, L. R., et al. (2001) The sensitivity and specificity of the Major Depression Inventory, using the Present State Examination as the index of diagnostic validity. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 66, 160–164.

Coyne, J. C., Schwenk, T. L., and Smolinski, M. (1991) Recognizing depression: a comparison of family physician ratings, self-report, and interview measures. *Journal of the American Board of Family Practice*, *4*, 207–215.

Fountoulakis, K. N., Iacovides, A., Kleanthous, S., *et al.* (1929) Reliability, validity and psychometric properties of the Greek translation of the Major Depression Inventory. *BMC Psychiatry*, 3.

Irwin, M., Artin, K. H., and Oxman, M. N. (1999) Screening for depression in the older adult: criterion validity of the 10-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 159, 1701–1704.

Lasa, L., Yuso-Mateos, J. L., Vazquez-Barquero, J. L., *et al.* (2000) The use of the Beck Depression Inventory to screen for depression in the general population: a preliminary analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *57*, 261–265.

Lynch, S., Curran, S., Montgomery, S., et al. (2000) The Brief Depression Scale – Reliability and validity of a new self-rating depression scale. *Primary Care Psychiatry*, 6, 111–118.

Parker, G. and Gladstone, G. (2004) Capacity of the 10-item Depression in the Medically Ill screening measure to detect depression 'caseness' in psychiatric out-patients. *Psychiatry Research*, 127, 283–287.

Robaeys, G., De Bie, J., Wichers, M. C., et al. (2007) Early prediction of major depression in chronic hepatitis C patients during peg-interferon alpha-2b treatment by assessment of vegetative-depressive symptoms after four weeks. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, 13, 5736–5740.

Surtees, P. G. (1987) Psychiatric disorder in the community and the General Health Questionnaire. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 150, 828–835.

Viinamaki, H., Tanskanen, A., Honkalampi, K., *et al.* (2004) Is the Beck Depression Inventory suitable for screening major depression in different phases of the disease? *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*, *58*, 49–53.

Williamson, R. J., Neale, B. M., Sterne, A., et al. (2005) The value of four mental health self-report scales in predicting interview-based mood and anxiety disorder diagnoses in sibling pairs. Twin Research & Human Genetics, 8, 101–107.

The population was not relevant:

Almeida, O. & Almeida, S. (1999) Short versions of the Geriatric Depression Scale: a study of their validity for the diagnosis of a major depressive episode according to ICD-10 and DSM-IV. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 14, 858–865.

Baker, F. & Miller, C. L. (1991) Screening a skilled nursing home population for depression. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology*, *4*, 218–221.

Baker, F. M., Velli, S. A., Friedman, J., et al. (1995) Screening tests for depression in older black vs. white patients. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, *3*, 43–51.

Bonomi, A. E., Kernic, M. A., Anderson, M. L., *et al.* (2008) Use of brief tools to measure depressive symptoms in women with a history of intimate partner violence. *Nursing Research*, *57*, 150–156.

Canals, J., Blade, J., Carbajo, G., et al. (2001) The Beck Depression Inventory: psychometric characteristics and usefulness in nonclinical adolescents. European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 17, 63–68.

Cohen, C. I., Hyland, K. & Kimhy, D. (2003) The utility of mandatory depression screening of dementia patients in nursing homes. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 160, 2012–2017.

Cuijpers, P., Dekker, J., Noteboom, A., et al. (2007) Sensitivity and specificity of the Major Depression Inventory in outpatients. *BMC Psychiatry*, 7, 39.

Elliott, T. E., Renier, C. M. & Palcher, J. A. (2003) Chronic pain, depression, and quality of life: correlations and predictive value of the SF-36. *Pain Medicine*, *4*, 331–339.

Estlander, A. M., Takala, E. P. & Verkasalo, M. (1995) Assessment of depression in chronic musculoskeletal pain patients. *Clinical Journal of Pain*, 11, 194–200.

Geisser, M. E., Roth, R. S. & Robinson, M. E. (1997) Assessing depression among persons with chronic pain using the Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale and the Beck Depression Inventory: a comparative analysis. *Clinical Journal of Pain*, 13, 163–170.

Gilley, D. W. & Wilson, R. S. (1997) Criterion-related validity of the geriatric depression scale in Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 19, 489–499.

Haringsma, R., Engels, G. I., Beekman, A. F. T., et al. (2004) The criterion validity of the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) in a sample of self-referred elders with depressive symptomatology. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 19, 558–563.

Haver, B. (1997) Screening for psychiatric comorbidity among female alcoholics: the use of a questionnaire (SCL-90) among women early in their treatment programme. *Alcohol and Alcoholism*, 32, 725–730.

Hedstrom, M., Kreuger, A., Ljungman, G., et al. (2006) Accuracy of assessment of distress, anxiety, and depression by physicians and nurses in adolescents recently diagnosed with cancer. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 46, 773–779.

Hickie, C. & Snowdon, J. (1987) Depression scales for the elderly: GDS, Gilleard, Zung. *Clinical Gerontologist*, *6*, 51–53.

Holcomb, W. L. J., Stone, L. S., Lustman, P. J., *et al.* (1996) Screening for depression in pregnancy: characteristics of the Beck Depression Inventory. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, *88*, 1021–1025.

Huffman, J. C., Smith, F. A., Blais, M. A., *et al.* (2001) Recognition and treatment of depression and anxiety in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *American Journal of Cardiology*, *98*, 319–324.

Kertzman, S., Aladjem, Z., Milo, R., et al. (2004) The utility of the visual analogue scale for the assessment of depressive mood in cognitively impaired patients. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 19, 789–796.

Kertzman, S., Treves, I. A., Treves, T. A., et al. (2002) Hamilton depression scale in dementia. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice*, 6, 91–94.

Kogan, E., Kabacoff, R., Hersen, M., et al. (1994) Clinical cutoffs for the Beck Depression Inventory and the Geriatric Depression Scale with older adult psychiatric outpatients. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*, 16, 233–242

Krefetz, D. G., Steer, R. A., Jermyn, R. T., et al. (2004) Screening HIV-infected patients with chronic pain for anxiety and mood disorders with the beck anxiety and depression inventory-fast screens for medical settings. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings*, 11, 283–289.

Le Fevre, P., Devereux, J., Smith, S., et al. (1999) Screening for psychiatric illness in the palliative care inpatient setting: a comparison between the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale and the General Health Questionnaire-12. *Palliative Medicine*, 13, 399–407.

Lloyd-Williams, M., Dennis, M. & Taylor, F. (2004) A prospective study to determine the association between physical symptoms and depression in patients with advanced cancer. *Palliative Care*, *18*, 558–563.

Olin, J., Schneider, L., Eaton, E., *et al.* (1992) The Geriatric Depression Scale and the Beck Depression Inventory as screening instruments in an older adult outpatient population. *Psychological Assessment*, *4*, 190–192.

Rouch-Leroyer, I., Sourgen, C., Barberger-Gateau, P., et al. (2000) Detection of depressive symptomatology in elderly people: a short version of the CES-D scale. Aging-Clinical & Experimental Research, 12, 228–233.

Sheeran, T. & Zimmerman, M. (2002) Case identification of depression with self-report questionnaires. *Psychiatry Research*, 109, 51–59.

Weiss, R., Griffin, M. & Mirin, S. (1989) Diagnosing major depression in cocaine abusers: the use of depression rating scales. *Psychiatry Research*, *28*, 335–343.

Zoger, S., Svedlund, J. & Holgers, K. M. (2004) The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HAD) as a screening instrument in tinnitus evaluation. *International Journal of Audiology*, 43, 458–464.

The scale was not relevant:

Aidala, A., Havens, J., Mellins, C. A., et al. (2004) Development and validation of the Client Diagnostic Questionnaire (CDQ): a mental health screening tool for use in HIV/AIS service settings. *Psychology, Health & Medicine*, 9, 362–379.

Adshead, F., Cody, D. D. & Pitt, B. (1992) BASDEC: a novel screening instrument for depression in elderly medical inpatients. *British Medical Journal*, 305, 397.

Alessi, C. A., Josephson, K. R., Harker, J. O., et al. (2003) The yield, reliability, and validity of a postal survey for screening community-dwelling older people. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 51, 194–202.

Ames, D., Flynn, E., Tuckwell, V., et al. (1994) Diagnosis of psychiatric disorder in elderly general and geriatric hospital patients: AGECAT and DSM-III-R compared. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 9, 627-633.

Becht, M. C., Van, E., Teeuwisse, T. M., et al. (2001) Measuring depression in women around menopausal age: towards a validation of the Edinburgh Depression Scale. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 63, 209–213.

Booth, B. M., Kirchner, J. E., Hamilton, G., *et al.* (1998) Diagnosing depression in the medically ill: validity of a lay-administered structured diagnostic interview. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 32, 353–360.

Cairney, J., Veldhuizen, S., Wade, T., et al. (2007) Evaluation of 2 measures of psychological distress as screeners for depression in the general population. The *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry / La Revue canadienne de psychiatrie*, 52, 111–120.

Cepoiu, M., McCusker, J., Cole, M. G., et al. (2007) Recognition of depression in older medical inpatients. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 22, 559–564.

Christensen, K. S., Fink, P., Toft, T., et al. (2005) A brief case-finding questionnaire for common mental disorders: the CMDQ. *Family Practice*, 22, 448–457.

Clarke, D. & McKenzie, D. (2003) An examination of the efficiency of the 12-item SPHERE questionnaire as a screening instrument for common mental disorders in primary care. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 37, 236–239.

Clarke, D. M., McKenzie, D. P., and Smith, G. C. (1995) The recognition of depression in patients referred to a consultation-liaison service. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 39, 327–334.

Costantini, M., Musso, M., Viterbori, P., *et al.* (1999) Detecting psychological distress in cancer patients: validity of the Italian version of the hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 7, 121–127.

Friedman, B., Heisel, M. & Delavan, R. (2005) Validity of the SF-36 five-item Mental Health Index for major depression in functionally impaired, community-dwelling elderly patients. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 53, 1978–1985.

Fujisawa, D., Tanaka, E., Sakamoto, S., *et al.* (2005) The development of a brief screening instrument for depression and suicidal ideation for elderly: the depression and suicide screen. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, *59*, 635–638.

Gainotti, G., Azzoni, A., Razzano, C., et al. (1997) The Post-Stroke Depression Rating Scale: a test specifically devised to investigate affective disorders of stroke patients. *Journal of Clinical & Experimental Neuropsychology*, 19, 340–356.

Ghubash, R., Daradkeh, T. K., Al Naseri, K. S., *et al.* (2000) The performance of the Center for Epidemiologic Study Depression Scale (CES-D) in an Arab female community. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, *46*, 241–249.

Goldberg, D. P. & Blackwell, B. (1970) Psychiatric illness in general practice. A detailed study using a new method of case identification. *British Medical Journal*, *1*, 439–443.

Hammond, M. F., O'Keeffe, S. T. & Barer, D. H. (2000) Development and validation of a brief observer-rated screening scale for depression in elderly medical patients. *Age and Ageing*, 29, 511–515.

Hinton, W. L., Du, N., Chen, Y. C. J., et al. (1994) Screening for major depression in Vietnamese refugees: a validation and comparison of two instruments in a health screening population. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, *9*, 202–206.

Huffman, J. C. (2006) Rapid screening for major depression in post-myocardial infarction patients: an investigation using Beck Depression Inventory II items. *Heart*, 92, 1656–1660.

Jay, M. & John, O. P. (2004) A depressive symptom scale for the California psychological inventory: construct validation of the CPI-D. Psychological Assessment, 16, 299–309.

Keller, M., Sommerfeldt, S., Fischer, C., et al. (2004) Recognition of distress and psychiatric morbidity in cancer patients: a multi-method approach. *Annals of Oncology*, 15, 1243–1249.

Kessler, R., Barker, P. R., Colpe, L. J., et al. (2008) Screening for serious mental illness in the general population. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 60, 184–189.

Klinkman, M. S., Coyne, J. C., Gallo, S., et al. (1998) False positives, false negatives, and the validity of the diagnosis of major depression in primary care. Archives of Family Medicine, 7, 451–461.

Lloyd-Williams, M., Shiels, C. & Dowrick, C. (2007) The development of the Brief Edinburgh Depression Scale (BEDS) to screen for depression in patients with advanced cancer. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 99, 259–264.

Loke, B., Nicklason, F. & Burvill, P. (1996) Screening for depression: clinical validation of the geriatricians' diagnosis, the brief assessment schedule depression cards and the 5-item version of the symptom checklist among non-demented geriatric inpatients. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 11, 461–465.

Lynch, S., Clarkson, P., Fairhurst, D., et al. (2002) A comparative study of two scoring methods for screening with the Brief Depression Scale. *Primary Care Psychiatry*, 8, 73–76.

Means-Christensen, A., Arnau, R., Tonidandel, A., et al. (2005) An efficient method of identifying major depression and panic disorder in primary care. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 28, 265–572.

Nyklicek, I., Scherders, M. J. & Pop, V. J. (2004) Multiple assessments of depressive symptoms as an index of depression in population-based samples. *Psychiatry Research*, 128, 111–116.

Parker, G., Hilton, T., Hadzi-Pavlovic, D., et al. (2001) Screening for depression in the medically ill: the suggested utility of a cognitive based approach. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 35, 474–480.

Ramirez, A. J., Richards, M. A. & Jarrett, S. R. (1995) Can mood disorder in women with breast cancer be identified preoperatively? *British Journal of Cancer*, 72, 1509–1512.

Razavi, D., Delvaux, N., Bredart, A., et al. (1992) Screening for psychiatric disorders in a lymphoma out-patient population. *European Journal of Cancer*, 28A, 1869–1872.

Rizzo, R., Piccinelli, M., Bellantuono, C., *et al.* (2000) The Personal Health Questionnaire: a new screening instrument for detection of ICD-10 depressive disorders in primary care. *Psychological Medicine*, *30*, 831–840.

Ross, H. & Glaser, F. (1989) Psychiatric screening of alcohol and drug patients: the validity of the GHQ-60. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse, 15,* 429–442.

Rumpf, H. J., Meyer, C., Hapke, U., et al. (1931) Screening for mental health: validity of the MHI-5 using DSM-IV Axis I psychiatric disorders as gold standard. *Psychiatry Research*, 105, 243–253.

Silveira, E., Taft, C., Sundh, V., *et al.* (1263) Performance of the SF-36 Health Survey in screening for depressive and anxiety disorders in an elderly female Swedish population. *Quality of Life Research*, 14, 1263–1274.

Vignaroli, E., Pace, E. A., Willey, J., et al. (2006) The Edmonton Symptom Assessment System as a screening tool for depression and anxiety. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 9, 296–303.

It was not feasible to translate the article:

Grafe, K., Zipfel, S., Herzog, W., et al. (2004) Screening psychischer storungen mit dem gesundheitsfragebogen fur patienten (PHQ-D). *Diagnostica*, 50, 171–181.