### Appendix E - Health Economics Extractions

11

# What is the utility and cost effectiveness of cardiac biomarkers in evaluation of individuals with acute chest pain of suspected cardiac origin?

No	838	Study	Quality:	The diagnostic value and cost-effectiveness of creatine kinase-MB, myoglobin and cardiac troponin-T for patients with chest pain in emergency department observation ward (Structured abstract)	
Author:			Choi YF;Wong TW;Lau	CC; 2004	
Relevanc	e:				
Intervent	ion:		Standard clinical evalua post presentation.	tion including serial ECG and troponin T determinations at presentation and again at 6 to 8 hours	
Comparis	son:		Standard clinical evalua presentation.	tion including serial ECG and CK-MB determinations at presentation and again at 6 to 8 hours post	
Populatio	on:		480 patients presenting	to a Hong Kong emergency department, all over age 30 years and had primary complaint of chest pain	
Perspecti	ve:		of suspected cardiac origin with onset within one week. Not stated		
_			Exclusion criteria: Patienal had had an AMI or card	ents whose ECG suggested AMI or who had a clinical diagnosis of ACS or unstable angina or who iac catheterisation within one month.	
Study typ	be:		Prospective study with a	cost benefit analysis	
Methods	:		Prospective study		
Health va	luati	ons:	NOT APPLICABLE		
Cost com	pone	nts:	Costs of cardiac biomar as cost of 6-day hospita	ker tests, cost of false positive (estimated as cost of 2-day hospital admission), cost of AMI (estimated admission)	
Currency	:		Hong Kong dollars (HK	\$)	
Cost year	:		2002		
Time hor	izon:		Patients were followed u	up for 6 months	
Discount	rate:		Not applicable		
15 Septem	ber 20	)09		Page 1 of 27	

Cost of TnT = HK\$25440 Cost of CK-MB = HK\$1259

Results-effectiveness: Effectiveness was measured as the cost of resources not used when unnecessary admission was avoided and when future AMIs were prevented through diagnosis with cardiac biomarker.

Effects of TnT = HK\$147900 (25 avoided hospital admissions) + HK\$53244 (3 prevented AMIs) Effects of CK-MB = HK\$5916 (1 avoided hospital admission) + HK\$0 (0 prevented AMIs)

Results-ICER:	As this was not a ful	Il economic evaluation,	no incremental	analysis was	performed.
				-	

<b>Result-Uncertainty:</b>	As this was not a full economic evaluation, no sensitivity analysis was undertaken.
----------------------------	---

Source	Funding:	Not stated
--------	----------	------------

Comments: Results of the partial economic analysis showed that testing for TnT would yield a cost savings of an estimated HK\$171047 compared with testing for CK-MB. This was largely due to the superior sensitivity and specificity of TnT over CK-MB. Although the TnT test was about HK\$20 more expensive per unit, the savings generated by avoiding unnecessary hospital admissions (HK\$141984) and from correctly diagnosing significant coronary heart disease and thus avoiding future AMI (HK\$53244) made it a cost saving option. The study deemed myoglobin to be of no value due to its lack of specificity.

<b>No</b> 837	Study Quality:	Cost effectiveness of diagnostic strategies chest pain (Structured abstract)	s for patients with acute, undifferentiated
Author:	Goodacre S;Calve	rt N;	2003
Relevance:			
Intervention:	3 enzyme testing	strategies compared with a baseline strategy of d	ischarging all patients without additional testing.
Comparison:	Enzyme testing at then enzyme testing	presentation vs. Enzyme testing at presentation ng.	and again 6 hrs after onset of pain vs. 24 hr admission and
Population: Perspective:	Hypothetical coho diagnostic of AM AHAFmality requi episodes of cardia	ort of 1000 patients presenting to hospital with a l or UA; negligible risk of CHD based on clinica ring hospital admission; no clinically obvious U c type chest pain).	cute undifferentiated chest pain and: no ECG changes al features/risk factors; no evidence of other serious A (defined as known CHD with prolonged or recurrent
Study type:	CUA (QALYs)		
15 September 200	)9		Page 2 of 27

Methods:	DECISION ANALYSIS Model	
Health valuations:	3-year survival data estimated using data from a multicentre chest pain study (Lee t al. 1992)	
Cost components:	Direct costs of running each strategy estimated by summing constituent elements: unit costs of admission, medical treatment of AMI and UA, cardiac enzyme tests, investigations of false positives and terminal care.	
Currency:	£	
Cost year:	2000/01	
Time horizon:	Lifetime	
Discount rate:	6% per annum for both costs and effects	
Results-cost:	<ul> <li>Strategy 0 (discharge all patients without additional testing): 1,399,700 per 1,000 patients</li> <li>Strategy 1 (enzyme testing at presentation): 1,499,600 per 1,000 patients</li> <li>Strategy 2(enzyme testing at presentation then observation until min 6 hrs and repeat enzyme testing): 1,597,100 per 1,000 patients</li> <li>Strategy 4 (Admit to hospital for 24 hrs and then enzyme test): 1,796,100 per 1,000 patients</li> </ul>	
Results-effectiveness:	Strategy 0: 8853.7 QALYs per 1000 patients Strategy 1: 8859.4 QALYs per 1000 patients Strategy 2: 8864.7 QALYs per 1000 patients Strategy 4: 8870.2 QALYs per 1000 patients	
Results-ICER:	Strategy 1: £17,432/QALY Strategy 2: £18,567/QALY Strategy 4: £36,069/QALY	
<b>Result-Uncertainty:</b>	Results were insensitive to variation of prevalence of AMI or UA; utilities of AMI or UA; mortality estimates; treatment effect estimates; costs of treatment of AMI and UA; cost of terminal care; and cost of long term treatment of survivors.	
	Results were sensitive to variation in the cost of each strategy, the cost of ruling out false positives and the effect of false positive diagnosis on quality of life.	
Source Funding:	Public	
Comments:	The results show that a strategy of cardiac enzyme testing at presentation is likely to be cost-effective ( $\pounds$ 17,432/QALY) compared with a do-nothing strategy. A strategy of enzyme testing at presentation and again 6 hours after the onset of pain is also likely to be cost-effective ( $\pounds$ 18,567/QALY) compared with testing only at presentation. A strategy of testing after 24 hours of observation is unlikely to be considered cost-effective ( $\pounds$ 36,069/QALY). The analysis indicates that serial enzyme testing at presentation and again 6 hours after the onset of pain is a cost-effective strategy, and that strategies involving a long period of observation are unlikely to be.	
15 September 2009	Although the model is not sophisticated, it is one of only two UK studies looking at the economic impact of biomarkers. But, Page 3 of 27	

because it does not compare specific enzyme tests, it does not give definitive information on the most cost-effective approach or whether any other approaches are more cost-effective.

Page 4 of 27

No	836	Study	Quality:	Systematic review and modelling of the investigation of acute and chroni pain presenting in primary care	c chest
Author:			Mant J;McManus RJ;O RC;Davies MK;Hobbs	akes RL;Delaney BC;Barton PM;Deeks JJ;Hammersley L;Davies FR;	2004
Relevanc	e:				
Interven	tion:		4 testing and treatment	strategies	
Compari	son:		Compares testing for tro	oponin T versus not testing for troponin T with and without pre-hospital te	lemetry ECG.
Populatio	on:		Patients presenting in p	rimary care with acute chest pain suspicious of ACS.	
Perspect	ive:		NHS		
Study ty	pe:		CEA using Monte Carlo	o simulation model with outcomes measured as percent achieving 28-day s	urvival
Methods	:		DECISION ANALYSIS	(sens and spec of POCT indexed w time, values obtained from systematic	c review)
Health va	aluatio	ons:	NOT APPLICABLE		
Cost com	nponer	nts:	Ambulance call-out; tel TnT test	emetry ECG; Reteplase; Streptokinase; A&E died; A&E referred; A&E dis	scharged; treatment of MI;
Currency	y:		£		
Cost year	r:		2000		
Time hor	rizon:		28 days		
Discount	rate:		Not applicable		
Results-o	cost:		A&E ECG and POCT: A&E based on ECG: £ Pre-hosp thromb and A Pre-hosp thromb and A	£757 per patient 916 per patient &E ECG only £1166 per patient &E ECG and POCT: £1209 per patient	
Results-e	effectiv	veness:	Percent achieving 28-da	ay survival	
			A&E ECG and POCT:	96.6%	

	A&E based on ECG: 96.4% Pre-hosp thromb and A&E ECG only 96.1% Pre-hosp thromb and A&E ECG and POCT: 97.3%
<b>Results-ICER:</b>	Use of troponin T dominates non-use of troponin T with or without pre-hospital telemetry ECG.
Result-Uncertainty:	Sensitivity analysis was performed allowing for first and second order uncertainty. Dominant results were robust to sensitivity analysis of varying the pain to needle time (15 minutes to 180 minutes to 3 hours) and cost of telemetry ECG (£50 - £400).
Source Funding:	Public
Comments:	A biomarkers analysis was elicited from the full Mant analysis, such that the incremental benefit of using a troponin T test could be isolated from other strategies modelled (e.g. pre-hospital telemetry ECG).

No	768	Study	Quality:	Impact of troponin T determinations on hospital resource util evaluation of patients with suspected myocardial ischemia	ization and costs in the
Author:			Zarich S;Bradley I	K;Seymour J;Ghali W;Traboulsi A;Mayall ID;Bernstein L;	2001
Relevan	ce:				
Interven	tion:		Standard clinical e determinations me	evaluation including serial ECG and CK-MB determinations with the easured at presentation, 3 and 12 hours post presentation (n=447).	e addition of serial troponin-T
Compar	ison:		Standard clinical e	evaluation including serial ECG and CK-MB determinations only (n	i=409).
Populati	on:		891 patients (aged	over 18 years) presenting to the emergency department with chest p	pain symptoms suspicious for
Perspect	tive:		THERE Presented.	d with chest pain and 23% presented with no chest pain. A sub-grou	up analysis of the chest pain patients is
Study ty	pe:		RCT with analysis	s of resource impact	
Methods	5:		RCT		
Health v	aluati	ons:	NOT APPLICABI	Æ	
Cost con	nponer	nts:	Total hospital char	rges (costs estimated at 60% of charges based on hospital accountin	g methods)
Currenc	y:		US\$		
15 Septer	mber 20	009		Page 5 of 27	

Cost year:	Not stated
Time horizon:	
Discount rate:	Not applicable
Results-cost:	In the sub-group analysis for patients presenting with chest pain, there was a strong trend toward reduced length of stay (1.4 vs 1.9 days; $p=0.09$ ) with a significant reduction in total hospital charges (\$6993 vs \$8753; $p=0.05$ ) in TnT compared with control patients.
	In patients without ACS, fewer TnT group patients were admitted to hospital compared with controls (31% vs 25%; p=0.04) and there was a significant reduction in length of stay (1.2 vs 1.6 days; p=0.03) with a trend toward reduced total charges ( $4487$ vs $6187$ ; p=0.17).
	TnT determinations appeared particularly useful in patients with falsely elevated CK-MB values.
	In patients with ACS both length of stay (3.7 vs 4.6 days; p=0.01) and total charges (\$15004 vs \$19202; p=0.02) were significantly reduced in TnT patients compared with controls. Significant reductions were also seen in telemetry or cardiac care unit length of stay (3.5 vs 4.6 days; p=0.03).
	Patients examined in and discharged from the emergency department had an average stay of 10.5 hours at a charge of \$2047. Those admitted to telemetry were admitted for an average length of stay of 4.0 days at a charge of \$12636. Patients admitted to the cardiac care unit had an average length of stay of 7.0 days at a charge of \$31152. On average, total charges for TnT patients were \$1538 less than control patients (representing a potential \$923 cost saving). The estimated annual savings to the hospital based on this analysis were \$4 million in charges (\$2.4 million in costs). Savings are predominantly due to reduced length of stay in patients with and without ACS and to reduced admissions for patients without ACS in the TnT group.
<b>Results-effectiveness:</b>	Cardiac events at 30 days occurred in 18 patients (3.1%) and did not differ between controls and interventions for whole cohort and subgroups.
<b>Results-ICER:</b>	As this was not a true cost-effectiveness analysis, there was no incremental analysis undertaken.
<b>Result-Uncertainty:</b>	Sensitivity analysis was not applicable to this study, therefore none was performed.
Source Funding:	Roche Diagnostics
Comments:	The study indicates that the utilisation of TnT in addition to CK-MB led to a 20-25% reduction in length of stay and total charges in high and low risk patients with and without ACS. The evidence indicates that the addition of TnT reduced admissions by 7-11% and that ACS patients were managed more efficiently with a lower length of stay, shorter telemetry or cardiac care unit stay and lower total charges (and costs) despite a similar number of hospital admissions.
	The potential savings are substantial and may have been underestimated due to case mix in the TnT and control groups and as many as two-thirds of patients without ACS but with raised CK-MB and despite normal TnT were admitted to hospital (as emergency department physicians became more familiar with TnT determinations).
15 September 2009	Page 6 of 27

The use of TnT determinations in addition to CK-MB determinations is likely to be safe, effective and resource saving in the evaluation of high and low risk patients with suspected ACS/AMI presenting to an emergency department. Although the analysis was undertaken in North America, it is likely that these results are generalisable to an NHS A&E setting given the relatively low cost of TnT testing compared to the costs of admitting patients to hospital and cardiac care units.

## What is the diagnostic utility MSCT coronary angiography in the diagnosis of patients with acute chest pain of suspected cardiac origin

12

No	1156	Study	Quality:	Sixty-four-slice computed tomography of the coror analysis of patients presenting to the emergency de	nary arteries: cost-effectiveness partment with low-risk chest pain	
Author:			Khare RK;Cour	Khare RK;Courtney DM;Powell ES;Venkatesh AK;Lee TA;2008		
Relevai	nce:					
Intervention:			64 slice MDCT	ĊĂ		
Comparison:			Stress Echocardiography, Stress ECG			
Popula	tion:		Patients present	ting with low risk chest pain ( 2% to 10% risk) in an emerge	ncy department.	
Perspec	ctive:		US payer persp	ective		
Study type:			Cost-Utility analysis i.e. incremental cost per QALY			
Methods:			Decision analytic model			
Health	valuati	ons:	N/A. Used publ	lished estimates		
Cost co	mponer	nts:	Cost of diagnos	stic tests, observation unity care, MI, death, coronary angiog	raphy, PCI, CABG, costs of missed CAD and MI.	
Curren	cy:		US dollars			
Cost ye	ar:		2007			
Time h	orizon:		lifetime althoug	gh only first 30 day costs included.		
Discou	nt rate:		not used.			
Results	-cost:		MDCT mean \$2 to \$4,836).	2,684 (SD range \$1,773 to \$4,418); Stress Echo = \$3,265 ( \$	\$2,383 to \$4,836); Stress ECG = \$3,461 (\$2,533	
15 Sept	ember 20	)09		Page 7	of 27	

<b>Results-effectiveness:</b>	MDCT mean 24.69 QALYs (SD range 24.54 to 24.76); Stress Echo = 24.63 ( 24.28 to 24.74); Stress ECG = 24.59 (24.21 to
	24.75).

- **Results-ICER:** MDCT dominates stress Echo and stress ECG. I.e. more effective and less costly for all three levels of risk modelled (2%, 6% and 10%).
- **Result-Uncertainty:** Probabilistic sensitivity analysis demonstrated that for the majority of Monte Carlo runs of the base case, the majority of plots are in the bottom right hand quadrant of the cost-effectiveness plane (i.e. MDCT is dominant). Threshold sensitivity analysis indicate that in order for the cost saving result to become cost-neutral, prevalence of CAD would have to be greater than 70%, sensitivity of MDCT would have to drop to 65%, or there would have to be an MDCT indeterminate rate of 30%. In general the ICER remained below \$10,000 per QALY.

**Source Funding:** Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

**Comments:** MDCT was cost-saving despite the exclusion of the ED work up costs from the analysis. The model results were robust to nearly all of the assumptions used in the model. Using a threshold willingness to pay of \$50,000 per QALY, MDCT would always be considered cost-effective in the scenarios modelled. Because 64 slice MDCT is a relatively new technology, there is relatively little evidence for test sensitivity a and specificity although this was allowed for in the sensitivity analysis by examining quite wide ranges of uncertainty. Risk of radiation was not incorporated into the model. Any risk of renal failure from a double dye load for patients with a positive MDCT test who then require another immediate catheterization is also not incorporated into the model.

No	1161	Study Quality: Cost-effectiveness of coronary MDCT in the triage of patient	s with acute chest pain	
Author:	:	Ladapo JA;Hoffmann U;Bamberg F;Nagurney JT;Cutler DM;Weinstein MC;Gazelle	GS; 2001	
Relevan	ice:			
Interver	ntion:	64-MDCTCA.		
Compar	rison:	Standard of Care (SOC) Algorithm based on biomarkers and randomly allocating patient Echocardiography, or stress ECG.	ents to stress tests using SPECT,	
Populat Perspec	ion: tive:	hypothetical cohort of 55 year old men and women (separately) with low risk acute ch troponins, normal or non-diagnostic ECG, and no history of heart disease. Stated as Societal perspective in the context of the US healthcare system but no evider economy were included in the analysis.	hypothetical cohort of 55 year old men and women (separately) with low risk acute chest pain, defined as negative initial troponins, normal or non-diagnostic ECG, and no history of heart disease. Stated as Societal perspective in the context of the US healthcare system but no evidence that patient costs or costs to the economy were included in the analysis.	
Study ty	ype:	Cost-Utility analysis i.e. Incremental costs per QALY.		
Method	s:	A decision analytic model using various published sources for effectiveness/ test characteristic analytic model using various published sources for effectiveness/ test characteristic analytic model using the sources of the sources	acteristics.	
Health	valuati	ons: N/A used published estimates of health state valuations ( quality adjusted life expectation of the state valuation of the state valu	acies)	
15 Septe	ember 20	009 Page 8 of 27		

Emergency department visits and imaging/testing. Medical treatment for mild heart disease and hospital admissions and Treatment for moderate to severe heart disease.
US dollars.
2005
lifetime
3% for both costs and QALYs
64CTCA Men \$10,190; Women \$6,630; SoC Men \$9,990; Women \$7,010;
64CTCA Men 15.31 QALYs; Women 16.99 QALYs; SoC Men 15.27 QALYs; Women 19.98 QALYs;
Men \$6,400 per incremental QALY Women 64CTCA is cost-saving and dominates SoC
Sensitivity analysis indicates that the ICER for men remains within generally acceptable levels of cost-effectiveness (e.g. reducing by 25% the ability of 64CT to correctly classify healthy patients increases the ICER to \$17,000). Women remain cost-saving of low cost-effectiveness. Using SPECT as the only stress test option results in 64CTCA dominating SoC for both man and women.
Walker Fund of the Harvard PhD programme in Health Policy
Only modest gains in QALYs because of the assumed low prevalence of ACS in the modelled population. Results were better for women because of the lower prevalence of ACS in 55 year old women compared to men. The authors indicate that the ICER for higher risk patients is uncertain and needs further investigation. They state that their results may not be generalisable to other countries due to demography and resource valuations, although their base case results are relatively stable under a variety of sensitivity analyses. The authors indicate that clinical trials evaluating this technology are underway and that the results "may ultimately illuminate a more efficient and cost-effective management approach to low risk patients with chest pain in an emergency department."

# What is the diagnostic utility of calcium scoring for the evaulation of patients with stable chest pain of cardiac origin.

No 1015 Study Quality: Coronary calcification by electron beam computed tomography and obstructive coronary artery disease: a model for costs and effectiveness of diagnosis as compared with conventional cardiac testing methods

15 September 2009

17

Author:	Rumberger JA;Behrenbeck T;Breen JF;Sheedy PF;	1999	
Relevance:			
Intervention:	Electron beam computed tomography with calcium scoring - 4 di =168) were used to define positive diagnosis	ifferent Agatston calcium score thresholds (>0; =37; =80;	
Comparison:	Stress ECG, stress thallium scintigraphy, stress echo and coronar	y angiography	
Population:	Hypothetical cohort of 100 patients for each CAD prevalence's te	ested (10%, 20%, 50%, 70% and 100%).	
Perspective:	THIRD PAYER		
Study type:	CEA (average cost per correct diagnosis of CAD)		
Methods:	DECISION ANALYSIS		
Health valuations:	NOT APPLICABLE		
Cost components:	Total direct costs: cost of test performed and cost of complicatio cerebral infarction and vascular surgical repair)	ns (death, ventricular fibrillation, myocardial infarction,	
Currency:	US\$		
Cost year:	Not stated		
Time horizon:	Not applicable		
Discount rate:	Not applicable		
<b>Results-cost:</b>	Total costs for the entire 100 patient cohort at each CAD prevalent	nce:	
	10% CAD Prevalence: EBCT (=168) = $105112$ EBCT (=80) = $126400$ EBCT (=37) = $151236$ ETT = $166019$ Echo = $191295$ Thallium = $241083$ EBCT (>0) = $247030$ CA = $354000$ 20% CAD Prevalence:		
	EBCT (=168) = \$126392 EBCT (=80) = \$151232		
15.0 / 1 0000	EBCT (=37) = \$171864	D 10.627	
15 September 2009		Page 10 of 27	

ETT = \$180210Echo = \$216121 EBCT (>0) = \$261212 Thallium = \$265914 CA = \$354000 50% CAD Prevalence: EBCT (=168) = \$186696 EBCT (=80) = \$222180 ETT = \$222804 EBCT (=37) = \$243450 Echo = \$283542 EBCT (>0) = \$303792 Thallium = \$333315 CA = \$354000 70% CAD Prevalence: EBCT (=168) = \$229350 ETT = \$247605 EBCT (=80) = \$268273 EBCT (=37) = \$289548 Echo = \$329640 EBCT (>0) = \$332119 CA = \$354000 Thallium = \$377748 100% CAD Prevalence: ETT = \$290175 EBCT (=168) = \$293112 EBCT (=80) = \$335664 CA = \$354000 EBCT (=37) = \$356940 EBCT (>0) = \$374680 Echo = \$397035 Thallium = \$446810

Results-effectiveness: Effectiveness was measured as the number of patients out of 100 correctly diagnosed as having obstructive CAD.

10% CAD Prevalence: EBCT (=168) = 7 True Positive (TP) and 3 False Negative (FN) EBCT (=80) = 8 TP and 2 FN EBCT (=37) = 9 TP and 1 FN ETT = 7 TP and 3 FN Echo = 9 TP and 1 FN Thallium = 9 TP and 1 FN EBCT (>0) = 10 TP and 0 FN

CA = 10 TP and 0 FN

20% CAD Prevalence: EBCT (=168) = 14 TP and 6 FN EBCT (=80) = 17 TP and 3 FN EBCT (=37) = 18 TP and 2 FN ETT = 15 TP and 5 FNEcho = 17 TP and 3 FNEBCT (>0) = 19 TP and 1 FN Thallium = 18 TP and 2 FNCA = 20 TP and 0 FN 50% CAD Prevalence: EBCT (=168) = 36 TP and 14 FN EBCT (=80) = 42 TP and 8 FN ETT = 36 TP and 14 FN EBCT (=37) = 45 TP and 5 FN Echo = 43 TP and 7 FNEBCT (>0) = 48 TP and 2 FN Thallium = 45 TP and 5 FN CA = 50 TP and 0 FN

70% CAD Prevalence: EBCT (=168) = 50 TP and 20 FN ETT = 51 TP and 19 FN EBCT (=80) = 59 TP and 11 FN EBCT (=37) = 63 TP and 7 FN Echo = 60 TP and 10 FN EBCT (>0) = 67 TP and 3 FN CA = 70 TP and 0 FN Thallium = 63 TP and 7 FN

100% CAD Prevalence: ETT = 73 TP and 27 FN EBCT (=168) = 72 TP and 28 FN EBCT (=80) = 84 TP and 16 FN CA = 100 TP and 0 FN EBCT (=37) = 90 TP and 10 FN EBCT (>0) = 95 TP and 5 FN Echo = 85 TP and 15 FN Thallium = 91 TP and 9 FN

**Results-ICER:** The authors presented only average cost-effectiveness of the strategies. However, the presentation of their results allowed for an incremental cost-effectiveness analysis to be performed. ICERs for each strategy compared to the next best strategy are presented here. ICERs are presented as the cost (\$) per additional correct CAD diagnosis:

EBCT (=80) = \$21288 EBCT (=37) = \$24836 ETT = dominated Echo = dominatedThallium = dominated EBCT (>0) = \$95794 CA = dominated20% CAD Prevalence: EBCT (=168) = extendedly dominated EBCT (=80) = \$8280 EBCT (=37) = \$20632 ETT = dominated Echo = dominated EBCT (>0) = \$89348 Thallium = dominated CA = \$92788 50% CAD Prevalence: EBCT (=168) = \$5186 EBCT (=80) = \$5914 ETT = dominatedEBCT (=37) = \$7090 Echo = dominatedEBCT (>0) = \$20114 Thallium = dominated CA = \$25104 70% CAD Prevalence: EBCT (=168) = extendedly dominated ETT = extendedly dominated EBCT (=80) = \$2584 EBCT (=37) = \$5319 Echo = dominated EBCT (>0) = extendedly dominated CA = \$7290 Thallium = dominated 100% CAD Prevalence: ETT = extendedly dominated EBCT (=168) =dominated EBCT (=80) = extendedly dominated CA = \$1146 EBCT (=37) = dominated

10% CAD Prevalence: EBCT (=168) =

EBCT (>0) = dominated Echo = dominated Thallium = dominated

#### Result-Uncertainty: No sensitivity analysis was undertaken.

Source Funding: Mayo Clinic and Foundation

**Comments:** The incremental analysis performed on the published findings shows that using EBCT using any calcium score threshold (>0; =37; =80; =168) is cost saving compared with stress echo and stress thallium testing. At low to moderate disease prevalence (10% to 20%), EBCT using thresholds of =37, =80 or =168 are cost saving compared with ETT. Without an explicit costeffectiveness threshold, it is difficult to determine which is the most cost-effective strategy at 50% CAD prevalence. It is clear that EBCT strategies with higher calcium thresholds are less expensive than an EBCT strategy with a >0 calcium score threshold. However, the lower sensitivity of higher calcium score thresholds means that many true positives are misdiagnosed as negatives. At high CAD prevalence, (70% and 100%), direct to coronary angiography is likely to be the most costeffective strategy.

### <sup>18</sup> What is the diagnostic utility of non-invasive and invasive tests for the evaluation of patients with stable chest pain of suspected cardiac origin.

<b>No</b> 879	Study Quality:	Systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effecti higher computed tomography angiography as an alternative to angiography in the investigation of coronary artery disease	veness of 64-slice or invasive coronary	
Author:	Mowatt G;Cu	mmins E;Waugh N;Walker S;Cook J;Jia X;Hillis GS;Fraser C;	2008	
Relevance:				
Intervention:	64-slice MDO	T ( multidetector computed tomography)		
Comparison:	ETT (exercise	ETT (exercise tolerance test), MPS (myocardial perfusion scintigraphy) and invasive CA (coronary angiography)		
Population:	A hypothetica	A hypothetical cohort of male patients coming through from resting ECG. In the first analysis, a short-term diagnostic model,		
Perspective:	ective: patient age was not reported, although the earlier model on which it is based assumes a starting age of 60 years 2004). In the long-term model the cohort age is 50.			
	The prevalen different diag	ce of CAD in the population is a modelled variable ranging from 10% to nostic strategies are estimated with CAD prevalence of 10%, 30%, 50% a	70%. The cost- effectiveness of the nd 70%.	
Study type:	CUA			
15 September 20	09	Page 14 of 27		

Methods:	DECISION ANALYSIS	
Health valuations:	NOT APPLICABLE	
Cost components:	Short term diagnostic model includes costs of diagnostic tests. Longer term model includes above costs as well as costs of treating CAD including MI.	
Currency:	£	
Cost year:	States "current prices". Assume circa 2007/2008.	
Time horizon:	Short term diagnostic model did not specify time horizon Longer term model = 25 year time horizon.	
Discount rate:	Not applicable to short term diagnostic model. Longer term model used 3.5% for costs and benefits.	
Results-cost:	Although 8 short term diagnostic strategies were analysed, only the results of three (five were dominated) are presented here. The base case assumes CAD prevalence of 10%. Diagnostic strategy 1 is ETT to CT to CA. Total cost for hypothetical cohort of patients = $\pounds 21,085$ . Diagnostic strategy 2 is ETT to CA. Total cost for hypothetical cohort of patients = $\pounds 22,695$ . Diagnostic strategy 3 is ETT to CT. Total cost for hypothetical cohort of patients = $\pounds 17,283$ . Longer term model result with 10% CAD prevalence. Strategy 1 total cost = $\pounds 616,732$ Strategy 2 total cost = $\pounds 618,196$ Strategy 3 total cost = $\pounds 618,629$	
Results-effectiveness:	<ul> <li>Strategy 1 true positives = 7.41</li> <li>Strategy 2 true positives = 7.48</li> <li>Strategy 3 true positives = 7.42</li> <li>Longer term model with 10% CAD prevalence. Total number of QALYs are as follows:</li> <li>Strategy 1 total QALYs = 1060.5</li> <li>Strategy 2 total QALYs = 1060.0</li> <li>Strategy 2 total QALYs = 1056.9</li> </ul>	
Results-ICER:	No incremental cost-effectiveness results presented. Cost per true positive results are as follows: Strategy 1 cost per true positive = £2,845. Strategy 2 cost per true positive = £3,034. Strategy 3 cost per true positive = £2,329. No incremental costs presented for Longer term model. Cost per QALY as follows: Strategy 1 cost per QALY = £581 Strategy 2 cost per QALY = £583	
15 September 2009	Page 15 of 27	

Strategy 3 cost per QALY =  $\pounds 585$ 

Result-Uncertainty:	In the short term diagnostic model, base case CAD prevalence is 10% but is allowed to vary from 10% to 70%. Cost per true positive for each strategy at 70% CAD prevalence is as follows: Strategy $1 = \pounds724$ , strategy $2 = \pounds533$ and strategy $3 = \pounds400$ .
	Cost of CA is uncertain and in base case was £320 although another cost for CA is estimated at £1556. A mid point estimate

Cost of CA is uncertain and in base case was  $\pm 320$  although another cost for CA is estimated at  $\pm 1550$ . A mid point estimate of  $\pm 900$  was used in sensitivity analysis. This has an effect on strategies where CT replaces CA. To render CT strategies more expensive than CA (CAD prevalence 10%) the additional cost of a false positive would have to be around  $\pm 7000$ . For CAD prevalence of 70% cost range would have to be  $\pm 20,000$  to  $\pm 30,000$ .

In the longer-term model higher costs for CA increases the anticipated savings from using strategy 3 to around £300 per patient.

Sensitivity analysis used lower values for sensitivity(97% vs. 99% in the base case) and specificity(83% vs. 89% in the base case) for 64-slice CT. This causes CT to perform slightly worse when set against those strategies where patients go straight to CA. For the short term diagnostic model these lower values produced the following results:

Strategy 1 cost per true positive =  $\pounds 3,009$ Strategy 2 cost per true positive =  $\pounds 3,034$ Strategy 3 cost per true positive =  $\pounds 2,377$ 

In the longer term model these lower values for sensitivity and specificity of 64-slice CT leads to a lower aggregate QALY. But given the tightness of the confidence intervals for sensitivity and specificity bounds, the impact of this is limited.

Source Funding: UK NHS Health Technology Assessment programme.

**Comments:** The report concludes that the high sensitivity and negative predictive value of 64-slice CT suggest scope for avoiding unnecessary CAs in those referred for investigation but who do not have CAD. Given the small risk of death associated with CA, CT might also confer a small immediate survival advantage. Avoidance of CAs may result in cost savings even if positive results mean confirmation by CA. Also, of note is the suggestion that if CT were available immediately in a emergency department setting it may reduce the need to admit patients. The resulting cost savings have not been included in this analysis.

<b>No</b> 878	Study Quality:	Cost-effectiveness of functional cardiac testing in the diagnost coronary artery disease: a randomised controlled trial. The CI [207 refs]	sis and management of ECaT trial. [Review]
Author:	Sharples L;Hugh	es V;Crean A;Dyer M;Buxton M;Goldsmith K;Stone D;	2007
Relevance:			
Intervention:	Coronary angiog	raphy	
Comparison:	SPECT, stress ec	sho, stress MRI	
15 September 2	009	Page 16 of 27	

Population:	Patients referred for non-urgent coronary angiography
Perspective:	NUS stud PSE ria: Established or suspected chronic stable angina referred for angiography and an ETT result which merited referral for angiography
	NOTE: Because these are patients who have already undergone an ETT and have been referred for angiography, the prevalence of/pre-test likelihood for CAD within this population is likely to be high.
Study type:	CUA (QALYs)
Methods:	Economic evaluation conducted alongside RCT
Health valuations:	Face-to-face interviews using the Seattle Angina Questionnaire, Short Form-36 and EQ5D
Cost components:	Diagnostic tests, revascularisation procedures, admissions, cardiac-related tests (e.g. echo, ETT, CT scan, blood pressure monitoring), outpatient and GP visits, medications (e.g. statins, beta-blockers, nitrates, etc).
Currency:	£
Cost year:	2005-06
Time horizon:	18 months
Discount rate:	3.5% per annum
Results-cost:	Mean cost per patient per strategy: Angiography: £3,630 (95%CI: 3,196 to 4,154) SPECT: £4,045 (95%CI: 3,494 to 4,590) Stress MRI: £4,056 (95%CI: 3,575 to 4,550) Stress echo: £ 4,452 (95%CI: 3,817 to 5,223) Cost comparison: SPECT of angiography: £415 (95%CI: -310 to 1,084) Stress MRI of angiography: £426 (95%CI: -247 to 1,088) Stress echo of angiography: £821 (95%CI: 10 to 1,715) There is substantial probability around values of zero difference in costs giving little evidence of higher costs associated with functional testing. Extra costs for patients in these groups were largely due to patients who underwent confirmatory angiography following positive test results. The significant difference between stress echo and angiography was caused mainly by a greater number of hospital admissions as a result of adverse events (one patient in particular who had 7 admissions for chest pain plus both PCI and CABG surgery).
Results-effectiveness:	Mean effect per patient per strategy: Angiography: 1.13 QALYs (95%CI: 1.08 to 1.17) SPECT: 1.17 QALYs (95%CI: 1.13 to 1.20)
15 September 2009	Page 17 of 27

	Stress MRI: 1.14 QAL Stress echo: 1.17 QAL	Ys (95%CI: 1.10 to 1.18) Ys (95%CI: 1.13 to 1.20)
	QALY comparison: SPECT cf angiography Stress MRI cf angiogra Stress echo cf angiogra	: 0.0362 (95%CI: -0.092 to 0.080) phy: 0.00956 (95%CI: -0.055 to 0.074) phy: 0.0371 (95%CI: -0.024 to 0.095)
	Results of the QALY e difference in overall qu post-randomisation.	stimates did not show any statistically significant differences between the groups. There was little ality-adjusted survival between groups, nor significant differences in EQ-5D utilities up to 18-months
<b>Results-ICER:</b>	Cost (£) per QALY gai	ned:
	SPECT cf angiography Stress MRI cf angiogra Stress echo cf angiogra	: 11,463/QALY (95%CI: -99,480 to 120,130) phy: 44,573/QALY (95%CI: -80,543 to 282,058) phy: 22,157/QALY (95%CI: -253,083 to 213,286)
	A strategy of going to a echo. Although non-in are unstable. CIs arour	ingiography is less expensive but only marginally less effective than SPECT, stress MRI and stress vasive tests are slightly more effective, the benefit is so near to zero in all three cases that the ICERs and the ICERs are so wide that they are effectively uninformative.
Result-Uncertainty:	Various one-way sensit differences between op month costs of the 3 nd (using SF-6D) makes a	ivity analyses together demonstrate that the rank order of costs and QALYs and the magnitude of tions are sensitive to reasonable alternative methods of estimation. However, in no case do the 18- n-invasive alternatives fall below those of angiography, and the alternative estimation of QALYs ll three alternatives less effective (in QALY terms) than angiography.
	Assumptions tested in a Use of SF-6D utility m Unit costs of diagnostic Potential cost savings i Removing outliers Sub-group analysis by	sensitivity analysis: easure in place of EQ-5D : strategies f negative functional tests were not followed by confirmatory angiography type of referring clinical (interventional vs non-interventional cardiologists)
Source Funding:	NA	
Comments:	In terms of cost-effective similar QALYs. Overa assessment of chest pai three tests can avoid in	veness, all three non-invasive strategies were slightly more expensive than angiography and with Il results suggest that functional testing may have a valuable place in the diagnostic pathway for the n in an outpatient population because of 'process' advantages to patients, clinicians and hospitals. All vasive diagnostic tests in a significant proportion of patients.
No 823 Study	Quality:	Cost effectiveness of coronary angiography and calcium scoring using CT and stress MRI for diagnosis of coronary artery disease
15 September 2009		Page 18 of 27

Author:	Dewey M;Hamm B;	2007
Relevance:		
Intervention:	ETT, stress echo, coronary angiography	
Comparison:	CT angiography, EBT, stress MRI	
Population:	Hypothetical cohort of patients with different pre-test likelihoods for CAD.	
Perspective:	partial SOCIETAL	
Study type:	CEA (outcome measure: average cost per correctly identified patient with CAD)	
Methods:	DECISION ANALYSIS (effectiveness data taken from published meta-analyses)	
Health valuations:	NOT APPLICABLE	
Cost components:	Direct costs (reimbursement rates for the test) and indirect costs (costs of subsequent tests, comp false negative diagnosis)	lications, additional tests and
Currency:	EURO	
Cost year:	not stated	
Time horizon:	For patients receiving a false negative diagnosis, the model includes follow-up for AMI over 10	years.
Discount rate:	5% per annum	
Results-cost:	Results were presented in graphical form, and thus providing specific numerical data is difficult. results indicate that the cost per correctly diagnosed CAD patient decreased hyperbolically with in all diagnostic tests.	However, from the graphs, increasing pre-test likelihood
Results-effectiveness:	Results were presented in graphical form, and thus providing specific numerical data is difficult. results show that coronary angiography (the gold standard) was 100% accurate and its advantage increased with pre-test likelihood for CAD. CT angiography was second most accurate, follower stress echo.	However, from the graphs, e over other diagnostic tests d by EBT, stress MRI and
Results-ICER:	The authors presented their results only in terms of average cost-effectiveness and did so only in perform an incremental analysis based on the published findings, the results were estimated from figures are estimated, some strategies were clearly dominated. Estimated results of the increment as the cost per additional correct CAD diagnosis.	graphical form. In order to a the graphs. Although the tal analysis are given below
	10% CAD prevalence: MSCT =	
15 September 2009	CA = €86600 Page 19 of 27	

20% CAD prevalence: MSCT = CA = €35000 30% CAD prevalence: MSCT = CA = €20100 40% CAD prevalence: MSCT = CA = €10700 50% CAD prevalence: MSCT = CA = €3300 Exercise stress testing was ruled out through extended dominance at 10-40% CAD prevalence and was dominated at 50-100%. Stress echo, stress MRI and EBCT were dominated at all CAD prevalence. MSCT was the least cost non-dominated or extendedly dominated strategy from 10-50% CAD prevalence. MSCT was ruled out through extended dominance at 60-70% and was dominated at 80-100%. At 60-70%, coronary angiography was the least cost non-dominated or extendedly dominated strategy, and from 80-100% it is the least cost strategy. Result-Uncertainty: At a maximally increased and decreased accuracy within the 95% CI, CT angiography remained the most effective and least costly strategy up to 60% and 50% pre-test likelihoods respectively. If diagnostic accuracy of CT angiography was reduced maximally (within in 95% CI) and increased maximally for EBT, CT angiography remained more effective than EBT. Neither increasing nor decreasing the complication rates of coronary angiography changed the ranking of diagnostic tests: coronary angiography had the lowest average cost per correctly identified CAD patient for pre-test likelihoods of  $\geq$ 50%. At higher and lower complication-related costs (€15,000 and €5,000), CT angiography remained most effective and least costly up to pre-test likelihoods of 60% and 70%. An increase ( $\notin$  750) and decrease ( $\notin$  500) of the reimbursement for coronary angiography meant that invasive coronary angiography was more effective and less expensive than CT angiography for pre-test likelihoods from 80% and 50% on, respectively. Up to a reimbursement rate of €260, CT angiography was the non-invasive diagnostic test with the lowest average cost per correctly identified CAD patient at all pre-test likelihoods. Source Funding: Not reported

No       80       Ret:       Systematic review of the effectiveness and cost effectiveness, and economic relations and myocardial perfusions cinitigraphy for the diagnosis and myocardial perfusion scinitigraphy for the dinteret dis dis diagnosis and dis diagnosis and dis diagno	Comments:	The study offers a straightforward analysis of cost for diagnostic accuracy of each test, without looking at the prognostic valu any of the technologies might add. The incremental analysis performed is based on estimates derived from the graphical presentation of results. Despite rough estimation, some strategies were clearly dominated.	
Autor:       Ovada G.Vale L.Brazzelli M.Hernandez R.Murray A.Scott N; Fraser- C; MKenzie       204         Relevance:       Intervention       RECT MPS (single photon emission computed tomography myocardial perfusion scinitgraphy)         Comparison:       Res EGC Glectrocardiography and CA (coronary angiography)       Intervention:       Intervention:         Orpulation:       Myothetical cohort of male patients aged 60 years. A subgroup analysis was conducted for a hypothetical cohort of wale patients aged 60 years.       Intervention:       Intervention:         Study type:       CUA       Intervention:       Intervention:       Intervention:         Methods:       DCISION NALYSIS       Intervention:       Intervention:: ECG AGE         Cott components:       Decision tree model which considered a clinical decision problem included costs of the true street of the scalar	No <sup>801</sup> Study	<b>Quality:</b> Systematic review of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness, and economic evaluation, of myocardial perfusion scintigraphy for the diagnosis and management of angina and myocardial infarction	
Relevance:Intervention:PGCT MPS (single photon emission computed tomography nyocardial perfusion scinitigraphy)Comparison:Sinse EGC (lectrocardiography) and CA (comparignaphy)Population:Byoghetical cohort of male patients aged 60 years. A subgroup analysis was conducted for a hypothetical cohort of wasePopulation:Byoghetical cohort of male patients aged 60 years.PostaveCUAPostaveCUAPostaveCUAPostaveCuSTON NALYSISPostaveDort PPI CABLECost componens:Siccion tree model which considered a clinical decision problem include costs of the free interventions: ECG Cost and Siccion and Si	Author:	Mowatt G;Vale L;Brazzelli M;Hernandez R;Murray A;Scott N;Fraser- C;McKenzie 2004 L;Gemmell H;Hillis G;Metcalfe M;	
Intervention:PACT MPS (single photon emission computed tomography myocardial perfusion scintigraphy)Comparison:Ress EGG (electrocardiography) and CA (coronary angiography)Population:Myothetical cohort of male patients aged 60 years. A subgroup analysis was conducted for a hypothetical cohort of womenStudy type:CUAMethods:DECISION ANALYSISHeath valuation:NOT APPLICABLECost components:Écocision tree model which considered a clinical decision problem included costs of the three interventions: ECG CA and prescularisation.Currency:£JonolCurrency:Locision tree model (DTM) was "static" but in reality the decision may have taken weeks or even months. The time brizon for the Markov model users and to for costs and 1.5% for benefits.Siecount rate:No isocount rate used in the DTM. Markov model user are of 6% for costs and 1.5% for benefits.Results-cost:Siendel included diagnostic strategies. For the base case of 10.5% prevalence of CAD, the average diagnostic cost and strategies and the cost of the diagnostic strategies.	Relevance:		
Comparison:Kress ECG (electrocardiography) and CA (coronary angiography)Population: berspective:Hypothetical cohort of male patients aged 60 years. A subgroup analysis was conducted for a hypothetical cohort of women god 60 years.Study type:CUAMethods:DECISION ANALYSISHealth valuation:NT APPLICABLECost components: berCT MPS. The Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial infarction and revascularisation.Currency:£Jon 2002Currency:be closion tree model (DTM) was "static" but in reality the decision my have taken weeks or even months. The time orizon for the Markov model used 25 years.Discount rate:No iscount rate used in the DTM. Markov model used a rate of 6% for costs and 1.5% for benefits.Results-cost:Bendel included 4 diagnostic strategies. For the base case of 10.5% prevalence of CAD, the average diagnostic strategies.Strategy 1 = ECG-SPECT-CA 603 and £5190Strategy 1 = ECG-SPECT-CA 603 and £5190	Intervention:	SPECT MPS (single photon emission computed tomography myocardial perfusion scintigraphy)	
Population: Perspective:Hypothetical cohort of male patients aged 60 years. A subgroup analysis was conducted for a hypothetical cohort of women aged 60 years.Study type:CUAMethods:DECISION ANALYSISHeath valuation:NOT APPLICABLECost components:Dedicsion tree model which considered a clinical decision problem included costs of the three interventions: ECG, CA and prevacularisation.Currency:£Oto years:DoubleJon 20Divide the model which considered a clinical decision problem included costs of the three interventions: ECG, CA and prevacularisation.Discourt rate:No incourt set model which considered a clinical decision problem included costs of the three interventions: ECG, CA and prevacularisation.Discourt rate:No incourt set model which considered a clinical decision problem included costs of the three interventions: ECG, CA and prevacularisation.Discourt rate:No incourt set model which considered a clinical decision problem included costs of the three interventions: ECG, CA and prevacularisation.Discourt rate:No incourt set model which considered a clinical decision problem included costs of the three interventions: ECG, SPECT-CA effort and ESI	Comparison:	Stress ECG (electrocardiography) and CA (coronary angiography)	
Study type:CUAMethods:DECISION ANALYSISHealth valuation:NOT APPLICABLECost components:Redeision tree model which considered a clinical decision problem include do stop of the model which considered a clinical decision problem include do stop of the model which considered a clinical decision problem include do stop of the Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med model which considered a clinical decision problem include do stop of the Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med model which considered a clinical decision problem include do stop of the Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med model which considered a clinical decision may have taken weeks or even months. The time for the Markov model was 25 years.Discount rate:No discount rate used in the DTM. Markov model used a rate of 6% for costs and 1.5% for bhe markov stop of the diagnostic strategies. For the base case of 10.5% prevalemes of CAD, the average diagnostic combined were respectively: strategies of CAD.Results-cost:Sub ediagnostic + treatment cost combined were respectively: strategies of CAD.	Population: Perspective:	Hypothetical cohort of male patients aged 60 years. A subgroup analysis was conducted for a hypothetical cohort of women aged 60 years. NHS	
Methods:DECISION ANALYSISHealth valuations:NOT APPLICABLECost components:The decision tree model which considered a clinical decision problem included costs of the three interventions: ECG, CA and SPECT MPS. The Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial infarction and SPECT MPS. The Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial infarction and SPECT MPS. The Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial infarction and SPECT MPS. The Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial infarction and SPECT MPS. The Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial infarction and SPECT MPS. The Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial infarction and SPECT MPS. The Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial infarction and SPECT MPS. The Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial infarction and SPECT MPS. The Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial estimated estima	Study type:	CUA	
Health valuations:NOT APPLICABLECost components:The decision tree model which considered a clinical decision problem included costs of the three interventions: ECG, CA and SPECT MPS. The Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial infarction and revascularisation.Currency:£Cost year:2001/02Time horizon:The decision tree model (DTM) was "static" but in reality the decision may have taken weeks or even months. The time horizon for the Markov model was 25 years.Discount rate:No discount rate used in the DTM. Markov model used a rate of 6% for costs and 1.5% for benefits.Results-cost:The model included 4 diagnostic strategies. For the base case of 10.5% prevalence of CAD, the average diagnostic cost and strategy 1 = ECG-SPECT-CA £603 and £5190	Methods:	DECISION ANALYSIS	
Cost components:The decision tree model which considered a clinical decision problem included costs of the three interventions: ECG, CA and SPECT MPS. The Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial infarction and revascularisation.Currency:£Cost year:2001/02Time horizon:The decision tree model (DTM) was "static" but in reality the decision may have taken weeks or even months. The time horizon for the Markov model was 25 years.Discount rate:No discount rate used in the DTM. Markov model used a rate of 6% for costs and 1.5% for benefits.Results-cost:The model included 4 diagnostic strategies. For the base case of 10.5% prevalence of CAD, the average diagnostic cost as well as the diagnostic + treatment cost combined were respectively: Strategy 1 = ECG-SPECT-CA £603 and £5190	Health valuations:	NOT APPLICABLE	
Currency:£Cost year:2001/02Time horizon:The decision tree model (DTM) was "static" but in reality the decision may have taken weeks or even months. The time horizon for the Markov model was 25 years.Discount rate:No discount rate used in the DTM. Markov model used a rate of 6% for costs and 1.5% for benefits.Results-cost:The model included 4 diagnostic strategies. For the base case of 10.5% prevalence of CAD, the average diagnostic cost as well as the diagnostic + treatment cost combined were respectively: Strategy 1 = ECG-SPECT-CA £603 and £5190	Cost components:	The decision tree model which considered a clinical decision problem included costs of the three interventions: ECG, CA and SPECT MPS. The Markov model estimated costs over the cohort's lifetime: med mgt, myocardial infarction and revascularisation.	
Cost year:2001/02Time horizon:The decision tree model (DTM) was "static" but in reality the decision may have taken weeks or even months. The time horizon for the Markov model was 25 years.Discount rate:No discount rate used in the DTM. Markov model used a rate of 6% for costs and 1.5% for benefits.Results-cost:The model included 4 diagnostic strategies. For the base case of 10.5% prevalence of CAD, the average diagnostic cost as well as the diagnostic + treatment cost combined were respectively: Strategy 1 = ECG-SPECT-CA £603 and £5190	Currency:	£	
Time horizon:The decision tree model (DTM) was "static" but in reality the decision may have taken weeks or even months. The time horizon for the Markov model was 25 years.Discount rate:No discount rate used in the DTM. Markov model used a rate of 6% for costs and 1.5% for benefits.Results-cost:The model included 4 diagnostic strategies. For the base case of 10.5% prevalence of CAD, the average diagnostic cost as well as the diagnostic + treatment cost combined were respectively: Strategy 1 = ECG-SPECT-CA £603 and £5190	Cost year:	2001/02	
Discount rate:No discount rate used in the DTM. Markov model used a rate of 6% for costs and 1.5% for benefits.Results-cost:The model included 4 diagnostic strategies. For the base case of 10.5% prevalence of CAD, the average diagnostic cost as well as the diagnostic + treatment cost combined were respectively: Strategy 1 = ECG-SPECT-CA £603 and £5190	Time horizon:	The decision tree model (DTM) was "static" but in reality the decision may have taken weeks or even months. The time horizon for the Markov model was 25 years.	
Results-cost:The model included 4 diagnostic strategies. For the base case of 10.5% prevalence of CAD, the average diagnostic cost as well as the diagnostic + treatment cost combined were respectively: Strategy 1 = ECG-SPECT-CA £603 and £5190	Discount rate:	No discount rate used in the DTM. Markov model used a rate of 6% for costs and 1.5% for benefits.	
	Results-cost:	The model included 4 diagnostic strategies. For the base case of 10.5% prevalence of CAD, the average diagnostic cost as well as the diagnostic + treatment cost combined were respectively: Strategy $1 = \text{ECG-SPECT-CA } \pm 603$ and $\pm 5190$	

Strategy 2 = ECG-CA £799 and £5395 Strategy 3 = SPECT-CA £921 and £5529 Strategy 4 = CA £1310 and £5929

Results-effectiveness: In the base case (10.5% CAD prevalence) the percent of true positives (TP) diagnosed and the % of accurate diagnoses

respectively, are:

 Strategy 1 = ECG-SPECT-CA
 6.39 and 95.85

 Strategy 2 = ECG-CA
 7.56 and 96.99

 Strategy 3 = SPECT-CA
 8.86 and 98.30

 Strategy 4 = CA
 10.48 and 99.85

The numbers of QALYs for each of the 4 strategies are respectively: 12.473, 12.481, 12.497 and 12.506

**Results-ICER:** For the four strategies (10.5% CAD prevalence) incremental cost-effectiveness results (£) are as follows for per TP diagnosed, per accurate diagnosis and per QALY, respectively.

	IP	Acc diag	QALY
ECG-SPECT-CA			
ECG-CA	16761	17267	23468
SPECT-CA	9339	9295	8723
CA	23956	24998	42225

#### Result-Uncertainty: Sensitivity analysis (SA)

1. SPECT is able to identify 50% (vs. 0% in base case) of positive patients who can be satisfactorily managed medically. Result is improved CE for SPECT strategies. Incremental cost per QALY is reduced compared to base case:

-	SA1	Base case
Strategy 1 = ECG-SPECT-CA		
Strategy $2 = ECG-CA$	17928	23648
Strategy $3 = $ SPECT-CA	6495	8723
Strategy $4 = CA$	16558	42225

2. Higher rate of indeterminacy for stress ECG (30 vs. 18%) and lower rate of indeterminacy for SPECT (2 vs. 9%). Result is improved CE for SPECT strategies. Incremental cost per QALYs as follows:

	SA2	Base case
Strategy 1 = ECG-SPECT-C	A	
Strategy $2 = ECG-CA$	Dominated by SPECT-CA	23648
Strategy 3 = SPECT-CA	11422 (relative to strategy 1)	8723 (relative to strategy 1=£14,123)
Strategy $4 = CA$	41404	42225

3. Cost of stress ECG varied from £25 to £225, angiogram from £895 to £1724 and SPECT from £128 to £340. Result is no change in rank order of strategies from base case.

4. Changing the time horizon from 25 years. Result is that as the time horizon reduces, the incremental cost per QALY increases as the costs of initial diagnosis and treatment are not offset by survival and QoL gains. Results shown in graph form.

5. Changing the time it takes false negative to be correctly diagnosed. In base case all survivors are correctly diagnosed by

year 10. SA changed this to 2 years and 5 years and never. Result is that it improves the CE of non-invasive strategies compared with CA. Incremental cost per QALY for 5 years compared to base case is as follows:

		S	A5	Base case	
	Strategy 1 = ECG-SPEC	CT-CA			
	Strategy $2 = ECG-CA$	16	931	23648	
	Strategy $3 = SPECT-CA$	A 7	644	8723	
	Strategy $4 = CA$	28	368	42225	
	6. Other sensitivity anal relative CE of a non-inv	ysis results CA assumed t asive strategy would impr	o give perfect in ove.	formation. If that is not the case	e then the
	Risk of MI for all risk s base case.	tates were allowed to incre	ease. There was	no difference in the order of the	e strategies compared to the
	Discount rate for costs a strategies compared to b SPECT-CA dominates to	and benefits was set at 0% pase case. For low values of the stress ECG-CA strateg	for both and 6% of cost for SPEC y.	6 for both. There was one chang T and zero discount rates	ge in the order of the
	QALY value were allow order of strategies comp	ved to vary due to mortalit pared to base case.	y risk reduction	after revascularisation. No char	nges were observed in the
Source Funding:	Public				
Comments:	Subgroup analysis was conducted for women aged 60, using sensitivities and specifities for that group and a lower prevalence rate of CAD, different MI rates and mortality rates for women aged 60. Strategy 1 was less costly whereas stress ECG-CA and CA were dominated by the SPECT-CA strategy (less costly and slightly more effective in the second case).				
	The model suggests that accurate diagnosis, QAI considered worthwhile. the stress ECG-CA strat	t for low levels of prevaler LY) for the move from str At high risk of prevalence tegy.	ice it is possible ess ECG-SPECT e (e.g. 85% risk o	that the incremental cost per ur CA and from stress ECG-CA to of CAD) the stress ECG-SPECT	nit of output (TPs diagnosed, to SPECT-CA might be FCA strategy is dominated by
No 790 Study	Quality:	The value of myocardial angina and myocardial ir	perfusion scintig	graphy in the diagnosis and mar abilistic economic analysis	nagement of
Author:	Hernandez R;Vale L;				2007
Relevance:					
Intervention:	MPS SPECT, alone or i analysis	n combination with other	non-invasive tes	ts; stress echocardiography wa	as evaluated in a sensitivity
15 September 2009				Page 23 of 27	

Comparison:	ETT (exercise tolerance test), invasive CA (coronary angiography)
Population: Perspective:	Hypothetical cohort of patients aged 60 years. Prevalence of CAD in the population is a modelled variable ranging from 10.5% to 85%. The cost-effectiveness of the different diagnostic strategies are estimated with CAD prevalence of 10.5%, $NHS 50\%$ and $85\%$
L.	
Study type:	CUA with deterministic and probabilistic results
Methods:	Cost and effectiveness data obtained from literature - specifically Mowatt et al. 2004
Health valuations:	NA
Cost components:	Short term diagnostic model includes costs of diagnostic tests. Longer term model includes additional costs of treating CAD (medical management, MI event management, revascularisation).
Currency:	UK pounds sterling
Cost year:	2001/2002
Time horizon:	Short term diagnostic model did not specify time horizon. Longer term model has 25 year time horizon.
Discount rate:	NA to short term diagnostic model. Longer term model used 6% for costs and 1.5% for outcomes.
Results-cost:	Deterministic results of base case at 10.5% CAD prevalence (95% CI from probabilistic SA): ETT-SPECT-CA = $\pounds 5192 (\pounds 4906 - \pounds 5473)$ ETT-CA = $\pounds 5396 (\pounds 5081 - \pounds 5722)$ SPECT-CA = $\pounds 5529 (\pounds 5183 - \pounds 5821)$ CA = $\pounds 5929 (\pounds 5505 - \pounds 6345)$ Deterministic results of at 30% CAD prevalence (95% CI from probabilistic SA): ETT-SPECT-CA = $\pounds 5787 (\pounds 5506 - \pounds 6070)$ ETT-CA = $\pounds 5958 (\pounds 5647 - \pounds 6297)$ SPECT-CA = $\pounds 6155 (\pounds 5793 - \pounds 6471)$ CA = $\pounds 6484 (\pounds 6052 - \pounds 6926)$
	Deterministic results of at 50% CAD prevalence (95% CI from probabilistic SA): ETT-SPECT-CA = $\pm 6397$ ( $\pm 6068 - \pm 6709$ ) ETT-CA = $\pm 6535$ ( $\pm 6167 - \pm 6906$ ) SPECT-CA = $\pm 6797$ ( $\pm 6356 - \pm 7198$ ) CA = $\pm 7053$ ( $\pm 6539 - \pm 7551$ ) Deterministic results of at 85% CAD prevalence (95% CI from probabilistic SA): ETT-SPECT-CA = $\pm 7464$ ( $\pm 7002 - \pm 7917$ ) ETT-CA = $\pm 7743$ ( $\pm 7034 - \pm 8060$ ) SPECT-CA = $\pm 7921$ ( $\pm 7306 - \pm 8469$ )
15 September 2009	Page 24 of 27

 $CA = \pounds 8049 (\pounds 7364 - \pounds 8726)$ 

CA = 12.541 QALYs (11.926 - 13.089)

Results-effectiveness: Deterministic results of base case at 10.5% CAD prevalence (95% CI from probabilistic SA): ETT-SPECT-CA = 12.510 QALYs (11.902-13.501) ETT-CA = 12.518 QALYs (11.907 - 13.066) SPECT-CA = 12.532 QALYs (11.930 - 13.084)

> Deterministic results of at 30% CAD prevalence (95% CI from probabilistic SA): ETT-SPECT-CA = 11.727 QALYs (11.235 - 12.173) ETT-CA = 11.759 QALYs (11.270 - 13.215) SPECT-CA = 11.798 QALYs (11.310 - 12.264) CA = 11.840 (11.330 - 12.311)

> Deterministic results of at 50% CAD prevalence (95% CI from probabilistic SA): ETT-SPECT-CA = 10.924 (10.524 - 11.294) ETT-CA = 10.979 (10.578 - 11.367) SPECT-CA = 11.045 (10.631 - 11.455) CA = 11.121 (10.668 - 11.551)

> Deterministic results of at 85% CAD prevalence (95% CI from probabilistic SA): ETT-SPECT-CA = 9.518 (9.146 - 9.862) ETT-CA = 9.616 (9.219 - 9.994) SPECT-CA = 9.726 (9.284 - 10.147) CA = 9.862 (9.330 - 10.337)

Incremental cost-effectiveness results are as follows for cost per QALY: **Results-ICER:** ICER 10.5% CAD Prevalence: ETT-SPECT-CA 26249 ETT-CA SPECT-CA 9261 48576 CA 30% CAD Prevalence ETT-SPECT-CA ETT-CA 5454 SPECT-CA 4997 7893 CA

> 50% CAD Prevalence ETT-SPECT-CA ETT-CA 2473 SPECT-CA 4032 CA 3372

85% CAD Prevalence	
ETT-SPECT-CA	
ETT-CA	803
SPECT-CA	3428
CA	948

**Result-Uncertainty:** Authors presented the results of the probabilistic sensitivity analysis in a series of cost-effectiveness acceptability curves for each level of CAD prevalence modelled. In the base case (10.5% CAD prevalence), ETT-CA is highly unlikely to be optimal. If willingness to pay is £8000 per QALY, the strategy with a higher probability of being optimal is ETT-SPECT-CA. At £9000 per QALY, ETT-SPECT-CA and SPECT-CA strategies have a similar probability of being optimal. At a ceiling ratio of £20000 per QALY, SPECT-CA has a 90% likelihood of being considered the more cost-effective option, but beyond this value, the likelihood falls such that at a WTP over £75000 per QALY, CA is the strategy most likely to be optimal.

At 30% CAD prevalence, strategies that involve SPECT seem to be optimal for a WTP of up to £20000, with CA being the optimal strategy for higher WTP values. For higher levels of CAD prevalence and for thresholds greater than £10000 per QALY, CA is the optimal decision.

The diagnostic accuracy of SPECT was taken to both optimistic and pessimistic extremes, and as expected, when less favourable SPECT figures were used (i.e. lower sensitivity and specificity), the SPECT-CA strategy did not appear on the CEAC frontier of optimal strategies at any level of CAD prevalence. However, in this scenario ETT-SPECT-CA appear optimal at 10.5% CAD prevalence when the WTP threshold his £5000. Using more favourable SPECT parameter values produced similar results to the base case. The authors point out that even for the most optimistic scenario, when CAD prevalence is greater than 60% and the WTP threshold is more than £16000, the CA strategy appears to be optimal.

When the time horizon for the longer term model was reduced, the incremental cost per QALY increases. This is because the costs of initial diagnosis and treatment are not offset by survival and quality-of-life gains.

Increasing the likelihood that misdiagnoses will be rectified reduces the penalty associated with making a false-negative diagnosis (i.e. it improves the cost-effectiveness of non-invasive strategies compared with CA).

Using higher values for ETT indeterminacy and lower values for SPECT indeterminacy, it was found that SPECT strategies were more likely to be considered cost-effective.

Results were relatively insensitive to changes in cost and to changes in the sensitivity and specificity of CA (reduced to 99% CI (98.995 to 99.005)).

When subgroup analysis was restricted to women, results were slightly more favourable to SPECT-based strategies.

When stress echo were added to the model, they were shown to be potentially cost-effective options. At 10.5% CAD prevalence, ECHO-SPECT-CA dominated both ETT-SPECT-CA and ETT-SPECT strategies, whereas ECHO-CA dominated both ETT-CA and SPECT-CA strategies.

At low levels of CAD prevalence, up to 1%, ETT-SPECT-CA strategy dominated all others. For prevalence between 1% and 4%, SPECT-based strategies dominated non-SPECT-based strategies. At 5% CAD prevalence, SPECT-CA strategy dominated CA only strategy.

Source Funding: UK Department of Health on a grant administered by NCCHTA

**Comments:** Results of the probabilistic analysis show that ETT-CA is unlikely to ever be the optimal strategy. SPECT-CA looks optimal below 30% CAD prevalence, and CA only looks optimal above 30% CAD prevalence. Stress echocardiography has a possible role, although the test data used came from an ad hoc review and included indirect comparator analysis. Thus the results of the analysis which included stress echo should be interpreted with some caution.