Tumour profiling tests to guide adjuvant chemotherapy decisions in early breast cancer Addendum: Additional EAG analyses undertaken following the second appraisal committee meeting

Additional analyses of Oncotype DX versus usual practice including chemotherapy benefit based on naïve indirect comparisons of Study B20, Study B14 and TransATAC - LN0, NPI>3.4 subgroup

Table 1 presents estimated hazard ratios for chemotherapy versus no chemotherapy based on naïve indirect comparisons of Study B20, Study B14 and TransATAC.

Table 1: Hazard ratios for chemotherapy benefit by Oncotype DX risk score based on naïve indirect comparisons of Study B20, Study B14 and TransATAC

| B20 versus B14 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oncotype DX risk group | No chemotherapy 10-yr DMFS | Chemotherapy 10-yr DMFS | Estimated HR |
| Low | 93.20\% | 95.60\% | 0.64 |
| Intermediate | 85.70\% | 89.10\% | 0.75 |
| High | 69.50\% | 88.10\% | 0.35 |
| B20 versus TransATAC |  |  |  |
| Oncotype DX risk group | No chemotherapy 10-yr DMFS | Chemotherapy 10-yr DMFS | Estimated HR |
| Low | 94.90\% | 95.60\% | 0.86 |
| Intermediate | 87.70\% | 89.10\% | 0.88 |
| High | 77.20\% | 88.10\% | 0.49 |

Table 2 presents additional economic comparisons of Oncotype DX versus usual practice including chemotherapy benefit based on naïve indirect comparisons of Study B20, B14 and TransATAC. In each analysis, the modelled hazard ratio was calibrated against the estimates presented in Table 1. All analyses are based on the deterministic version of the EAG model.

Table 2: Additional analyses of Oncotype DX versus usual practice including chemotherapy benefit based on naïve indirect comparisons of Study B20, B14 and TransATAC - LN0, NPI>3.4 subgroup

|  |  |  |  | ICER (per <br> QALY <br> Option | QALYs | Costs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

