### NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

### NICE guidelines

### **Equality impact assessment**

### **Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (update)**

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE equality policy.

#### 1.0 Scope: before consultation

1.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during the development of the draft scope, before consultation, and, if so, what are they?

During scoping several equalities issues were identified. These mostly pertain to certain groups of people with ADHD who may have complex support needs and may have limited access to some treatments; such as people with ADHD and comorbid conditions. This guideline will look at these groups of people particularly.

Furthermore, it was noted that some groups of people with ADHD may have limited access to treatment; such as looked after children, adults and children who are in prison/secure units, people from a low socio-economic background, and people from ethnic minorities. These groups will also be considered.

Finally, stakeholders noted that ADHD may be undiagnosed in girls under 18 years of age.

1.2 What is the preliminary view on the extent to which these potential equality issues need addressing by the Committee? For example, if population groups, treatments or settings are excluded from the scope, are these exclusions justified – that is, are the reasons legitimate and the exclusion proportionate?

This guideline will update the previously published NICE guidance on ADHD (citation), and will consider the management of ADHD in children, young people, and adults. This guideline will not update guidance on the diagnosis of ADHD, as this is covered by the previous guideline, and so the potential under-diagnosis of girls under 18 years of age will not be addressed. This guideline will consider the management of ADHD in groups of people who may have more complex support needs and in those groups of people who may have restricted access to treatment.

Completed by Developer	
Date	
Approved by NICE quality assurance lead	_
Date	

## 2.0 Scope: after consultation (To be completed by the developer and submitted with the final scope)

	y potential equality issues been identified during consultation, and, if are they?
=	changes to the scope been made as a result of consultation to highlight equality issues?
	imary focus of the guideline a population with a specific disability-communication need?
If so, is a recomm	an alternative version of the 'Information for the Public' document ended?
If so, wh	ich alternative version is recommended?
The alte	rnative versions available are:
• la	arge font or audio versions for a population with sight loss;
• B	ritish Sign Language videos for a population who are deaf from birth;
	Easy read' versions for people with learning disabilities or cognitive npairment.
Updated by	Developer

Date	
Approved by NICE quality assurance lead	
Date	

# 3.0 Guideline development: before consultation (to be completed by the developer before draft guideline consultation)

3.1 Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?
3.2 Have any <b>other</b> potential equality issues (in addition to those identified during the scoping process) been identified, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed them?
3.3 Were the Committee's considerations of equality issues described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?
3.4 Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

3.51s there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
3.6 Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make
to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 3.1, 3.2 or 3.3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to advance equality?
Completed by Developer
Date
Approved by NICE quality assurance lead
Date

# 4.0 Final guideline (to be completed by the Developer before GE consideration of final guideline)

4.1 Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed them?
4.2 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?
4.3 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
4.4 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to advance equality?
recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions

4.5 Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final guideline document, and, if so, where?
Updated by Developer
Date
Approved by NICE quality assurance lead
Date

## 5.0 After Guidance Executive amendments – if applicable (To be completed by appropriate NICE staff member after Guidance Executive)

5.1 Outline amendments agreed by Guidance Executive below, if applicable:				
Approved by Developer				
Date				
Approved by NICE quality assurance lead				