NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

NICE guidelines

Equality impact assessment

Management and organisational approaches to safe nursing and midwifery staffing

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE equality policy.

- 1.0 Scope: before consultation (To be completed by the developer and submitted with the draft scope for consultation)
 - 1.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during the development of the draft scope, before consultation, and, if so, what are they?

Equality issues have been considered throughout the scoping process.

Ethnicity, age, disability, sexuality, socio-economic status, religious beliefs, non-English speakers and being a member of a social minority (e.g. migrants, asylum seekers, travellers) may all influence rates of access to nursing and midwifery care. These factors may also influence the organisation, location, and type of services needed, and level of staffing required to provide safe care.

1.2 What is the preliminary view on the extent to which these potential equality issues need addressing by the Committee? For example, if population groups, treatments or settings are excluded from the scope, are these exclusions justified – that is, are the reasons legitimate and the exclusion proportionate?

There are no exclusions within the scope that require justification.

Consideration of the impact of equality issues on the provision of safe nursing and midwifery care to all NHS patients and service users will be considered by the committee throughout development of this guideline.

Completed by Developer: Sheryl Warttig (Technical Analyst)

Date: 04/03/15

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead: Lorraine Taylor

Date: 10/04/2015

2.0 Scope: after consultation (To be completed by the developer and submitted with the final scope)

2.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during consultation, and, if so, what are they?

No further quality issues were identified during consultation. The potential equality issues identified in section 1.1 (before consultation) may also influence the type of managerial and organisational approach used for safe nursing and midwifery staffing.

2.2 Have any changes to the scope been made as a result of consultation to highlight potential equality issues?

No further quality issues were identified during consultation.

2.3 Is the primary focus of the guideline a population with a specific disabilityrelated communication need?

If so, is an alternative version of the 'Information for the Public' document recommended?

If so, which alternative version is recommended?

The alternative versions available are:

- large font or audio versions for a population with sight loss;
- British Sign Language videos for a population who are deaf from birth;
- 'Easy read' versions for people with learning disabilities or cognitive impairment.

The guideline does not address a population with a specific disability-related communication need.

Updated by Developer: Katrina Sparrow (Technical Adviser)

Date: 15/05/2015

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead: Lorraine Taylor (Associate Director)

Date: 27/05/2015

3.0 Guideline development: before consultation (to be completed by the developer before draft guideline consultation)

3.1 Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?
3.2 Have any other potential equality issues (in addition to those identified during the scoping process) been identified, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed them?
3.3 Were the Committee's considerations of equality issues described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?
3.4Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?
3.5 Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

3.6 Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 3.1, 3.2 or 3.3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to advance equality?

Completed by Developer:

Date: 16/10/14

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead:

4.0 Final guideline (to be completed by the Developer before GE consideration of final guideline)

 4.2 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group? 4.3 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability? 4.4 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to advance equality? 	4.1 Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed them?
recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group? 4.3 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability? 4.4 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions	
recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group? 4.3 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability? 4.4 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions	
recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability? 4.4 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions	recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or
recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability? 4.4 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions	
recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability? 4.4 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions	
recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions	recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because
recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions	
recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions	
	recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions

4.5 Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final guideline document, and, if so, where?
Updated by Developer:
Date:
Approved by NICE quality assurance lead:
Date:

5.0 After Guidance Executive amendments – if applicable (To be completed by appropriate NICE staff member after Guidance Executive)

5.1 Outline amendments agreed by Guidance Executive below, if applicable:
Approved by Developer:
Date:
Approved by NICE quality assurance lead:
Date: