NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal Erythrocyte encapsulated asparaginase for treating acute lymphoblastic leukaemia [ID864]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Company	<u>General</u>
Orphan Europe (Erythrocyte	Allied Health Professionals Federation
encapsulated asparaginase)	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Patient/carer groups	 British National Formulary
Black Health Agency	 Care Quality Commission
Cancer Black Care	 Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer Equality	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
• Cancer52	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Childhood Cancer Parents AllianceChildren with Cancer UK	 Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency
Children's Cancer & Leukaemia	 National Association of Primary Care
Group	 National Pharmacy Association
CLIC Sargent	NHS Alliance
Equalities National Council	 NHS Blood and Transplant
HAWC	 NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	 NHS Confederation
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	 Scottish Medicines Consortium
Leukaemia Cancer Society	
Leukaemia CARE	Possible comparator companies
Macmillan Cancer Support	Accord Healthcare (doxorubicin,
Maggie's Centres	methotrexate, epirubicin)
Marie Curie Cancer Care	Allergan UK (epirubicin)
Muslim Council of Britain	Aspen Pharma (mercaptopurine)
National Children's Bureau Parar Canadas Faundation	 Hospira UK (epirubicin, methotrexate, vincristine)
Rarer Cancers Foundation South Asian Health Foundation	Medac GmbH (asparaginase from
South Asian Health Foundation Specialized Healthcare Alliance	Escherechia coli, doxorubicin,
Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tannage Concer Trust	epirubicin)
Teenage Cancer TrustTenovus Cancer Care	 Nova Laboratories (mercaptopurine)
 Tenovus Cancer Care Together for Shorter Lives 	Pfizer (doxorubicin, epirubicin,
1 ogether for Shorter Lives	idarubicin, methotrexate)
Professional groups	Public Health England (asparaginase)
Association of Cancer Physicians	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
British Committee for Standards in	Relevant research groups
Haematology	Cochrane Haematological

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for proposed technology appraisal of erythrocyte encapsulated asparaginase for treating acute lymphoblastic leukaemia [ID864]

Issue date: October 2015

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiograpers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	Malignancies Group Institute of Cancer Research Leuka Leukaemia & Lymphoma Research Leukaemia Busters MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales
Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Cumbria CCG NHS Redditch and Bromsgrove CCG Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for proposed technology appraisal of erythrocyte encapsulated asparaginase for treating acute lymphoblastic leukaemia [ID864]

Issue date: October 2015 2 of 3

•

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland;; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for proposed technology appraisal of erythrocyte encapsulated asparaginase for treating acute lymphoblastic leukaemia [ID864]

Issue date: October 2015 3 of 3

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.