# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# **Single Technology Appraisal (STA)**

Etirinotecan pegol for treating locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer after chemotherapy [ID881]

# Final matrix of consultees and commentators

| Consultees   | Commentators (no right to submit or                        |
|--|--|
|  | appeal)  |
| Company  | General  |
| Nektar Therapeutics (etirinotecan                      | <ul> <li>Allied Health Professionals Federation</li> </ul> |
| pegol)   | Board of Community Health Councils in                      |
| Daiichi Sankyo UK (etirinotecan                        | Wales  |
| pegol)   | British National Formulary                                 |
|  | Care Quality Commission                                    |
| Patient/carer groups                                   | <ul> <li>Department of Health, Social Services</li> </ul>  |
| Black Health Agency                                    | and Public Safety for Northern Ireland                     |
| Breast Cancer Care                                     | Healthcare Improvement Scotland                            |
| Breast Cancer Now                                      | <ul> <li>Medicines and Healthcare products</li> </ul>      |
| Breast Cancer UK                                       | Regulatory Agency  |
| Cancer Black Care                                      | <ul> <li>National Association for Primary Care</li> </ul>  |
| Cancer Equality  | <ul> <li>National Pharmacy Association</li> </ul>          |
| Haven  | NHS Alliance   |
| HAWC   | NHS Commercial Medicines Unit                              |
| Helen Rollason Cancer Charity                          | NHS Confederation  |
| <ul> <li>Independent Cancer Patients' Voice</li> </ul> | Scottish Medicines Consortium                              |
| Macmillan Cancer Support                               |  |
| Maggie's Centres                                       | Possible comparator companies                              |
| Marie Curie Cancer Care                                | <ul> <li>Accord Healthcare (carboplatin,</li> </ul>        |
| Muslim Council of Britain                              | gemcitabine, paclitaxel)                                   |
| South Asian Health Foundation                          | Allergan (gemcitabine, paclitaxel,                         |
| Specialised Healthcare Alliance                        | vinorelbine)   |
| Tenovus Cancer Care                                    | Celgene (albumin-bound paclitaxel)                         |
| Women's Health Concern                                 | Eisai (eribulin)   |
|  | <ul> <li>Hospira UK (carboplatin, gemcitabine,</li> </ul>  |
| Professional groups                                    | paclitaxel)  |
| Association of Cancer Physicians                       | Lilly (gemcitabine)  |
| British Geriatrics Society                             | Medac (gemcitabine, paclitaxel,                            |
| British Institute of Radiology                         | vinorelbine)   |
| British Psychosocial Oncology                          | Pierre Fabre (vinorelbine)                                 |
| Society  | Sun Pharmaceuticals (carboplatin,                          |
| Cancer Research UK                                     | gemcitabine)   |
| Royal College of General                               | Teva (carboplatin)   |
| Practitioners  |  |
| Royal College of Nursing                               | Relevant research groups                                   |

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Final matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of etirinotecan pegol for treating locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer after chemotherapy [ID881]

Issue date: February 2017 Page 1 of 3

| Consultees   | Commentators (no right to submit or   |
|--|---|
|  | appeal)   |
| <ul> <li>Royal College of Pathologists</li> <li>Royal College of Physicians</li> <li>Royal College of Radiologists</li> <li>Royal Pharmaceutical Society</li> <li>Royal Society of Medicine</li> <li>Society and College of<br/>Radiographers</li> <li>UK Breast Cancer Group (UKBCG)</li> <li>UK Clinical Pharmacy Association</li> <li>UK Health Forum</li> <li>UK Oncology Nursing Society</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Against Breast Cancer</li> <li>Breast Cancer Hope</li> <li>Breast Cancer Research Trust</li> <li>Cochrane Breast Cancer Group</li> <li>Institute of Cancer Research</li> <li>MRC Clinical Trials Unit</li> <li>National Cancer Research Institute</li> <li>National Cancer Research Network</li> <li>National Institute for Health Research</li> <li>Pro-Cancer Research Fund</li> </ul> |
| <ul> <li>Others</li> <li>Department of Health</li> <li>NHS England</li> <li>NHS Hambleton, Richmondshire and Whitby CCG</li> <li>NHS North Tyneside CCG</li> <li>Welsh Government</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Associated Public Health Groups</li> <li>Public Health England</li> <li>Public Health Wales</li> </ul>   |

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: February 2017 Page 2 of 3

#### **Definitions:**

### **Consultees**

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement<sup>1</sup>, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

### Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Final matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of etirinotecan pegol for treating locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer after chemotherapy [ID881]

Issue date: February 2017 Page 3 of 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.