NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Etirinotecan pegol for treating locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer after chemotherapy [ID881]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Nektar Therapeutics (etirinotecan	Allied Health Professionals Federation
pegol)	Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Patient/carer groups	British National Formulary
Black Health Agency	Care Quality Commission
Breast Cancer Care	Department of Health, Social Services
Breast Cancer Now	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Breast Cancer UK	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Cancer Black Care	Medicines and Healthcare products
Cancer Equality	Regulatory Agency
Haven	National Association for Primary Care
HAWC	National Pharmacy Association
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	NHS Alliance
 Independent Cancer Patients' Voice 	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Macmillan Cancer Support	NHS Confederation
Maggie's Centres	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Marie Curie Cancer Care	
Muslim Council of Britain	Possible comparator companies
 South Asian Health Foundation 	 Accord Healthcare (carboplatin,
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	gemcitabine, paclitaxel)
Tenovus Cancer Care	 Allergan (gemcitabine, paclitaxel,
Women's Health Concern	vinorelbine)
	Celgene (albumin-bound paclitaxel)
Professional groups	Eisai (eribulin)
 Association of Cancer Physicians 	 Hospira UK (carboplatin, gemcitabine,
British Geriatrics Society	paclitaxel)
British Institute of Radiology	Lilly (gemcitabine)
British Psychosocial Oncology	Medac (gemcitabine, paclitaxel,
Society	vinorelbine)
Cancer Research UK	Pierre Fabre (vinorelbine)
Royal College of General	Sun Pharmaceuticals (carboplatin,
Practitioners	gemcitabine)
 Royal College of Nursing 	Teva (carboplatin)
 Royal College of Pathologists 	Data and manual and
 Royal College of Physicians 	Relevant research groups

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of etirinotecan pegol for treating locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer after chemotherapy [ID881]

Issue date: November 2015 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Royal College of Radiologists	Against Breast Cancer
 Royal Pharmaceutical Society 	Breast Cancer Hope
Royal Society of Medicine	 Breast Cancer Research Trust
Society and College of	Cochrane Breast Cancer Group
Radiographers	 Institute of Cancer Research
 UK Clinical Pharmacy Association 	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
UK Health Forum	 National Cancer Research Institute
 UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 National Cancer Research Network
	 National Institute for Health Research
<u>Others</u>	Pro-Cancer Research Fund
Department of Health	
NHS England	Associated Public Health Groups
NHS Hambleton, Richmondshire and	Public Health England
Whitby CCG	Public Health Wales
NHS North Tyneside CCG	
Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: November 2015 Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of etirinotecan pegol for treating locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer after chemotherapy [ID881]

Issue date: November 2015 Page 3 of 3

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.