NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Vosaroxin for treating acute myeloid leukaemia [ID746]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees		Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)	
Company		General	
•	Sunesis (Vosaroxin)	•	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	,	•	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups			Wales
•	African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust	•	British National Formulary
•	Anthony Nolan	•	Care Quality Commission
•	Black Health Agency	•	Department of Health, Social Services
•	Cancer Black Care		and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
•	Cancer Equality	•	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
•	Cancer52	•	Medicines and Healthcare products
•	Delete Blood Cancer		Regulatory Agency
•	Equalities National Council	•	National Association of Primary Care
•	HAWC	•	National Pharmacy Association
•	Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	•	NHS Alliance
•	Independent Cancer Patients Voice	•	NHS Blood and Transplant
•	Leukaemia Cancer Society	•	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
•	Leukaemia CARE	•	NHS Confederation
•	Macmillan Cancer Support	•	Scottish Medicines Consortium
•	Maggie's Centres	_	
•	Marie Curie Cancer Care		ssible comparator companies
•	Muslim Council of Britain	•	Accord (cytarabine)
•	Rarer Cancers Foundation	•	Hospira UK (cytarabine)
•	South Asian Health Foundation	•	Napp Pharmaceuticals (cytarabine)
•	Specialised Healthcare Alliance	•	Pfizer (cytarabine)
•	Tenovus Cancer Care	D,	alevant research groups
•	UK Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia	<u></u>	elevant research groups Bloodwise
	Forum		Cochrane Haematological
D۰	ofossional groups		Malignancies Group
<u> </u>	ofessional groups Association of Cancer Physicians	•	Institute of Cancer Research
	British Blood Transfusion Society	•	Leuka
	British Committee for Standards in	•	Leukaemia Busters
	Haematology	•	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
•	British Geriatrics Society	•	National Cancer Research Institute
		•	National Cancer Research Network
	Dittish Genatics Society	•	

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for proposed technology appraisal of vosaroxin for treating acute myeloid leukaemia [ID746]

Issue date: November 2015

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)	
 British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiography UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 National Institute for Health Research Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales 	
Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Somerset CCG NHS South Eastern Hampshire CCG Welsh Government		

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for proposed technology appraisal of vosaroxin for treating acute myeloid leukaemia [ID746]

Issue date: November 2015 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for proposed technology appraisal of vosaroxin for treating acute myeloid leukaemia [ID746]

Issue date: November 2015

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.