NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Health Technology Appraisal

Non-bisphosphonates for treating osteoporosis [ID901]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
NA 6 1	appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Actavis UK (raloxifene)	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology
 Amgen (denosumab, romosozumab) 	Centre
 Consilient Health (raloxifene) 	 Allied Health Professionals Federation
Daiichi Sankyo (raloxifene)	Board of Community Health Councils in
Eli Lilly (teriparatide)	Wales
Mylan UK (raloxifene)	British National Formulary
Radius Health (abaloparatide)	Department of Health, Social Services
Sandoz (raloxifene)	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
UCB (romosozumab)	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
(Tomosozamas)	Medicines and Healthcare products
Patient/carer groups	Regulatory Agency
Action on Pain	 National Association of Primary Care
	National Pharmacy Association
Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Alliance (ARMA)	NHS Alliance
(ARMA)	
BackCare	NHS Confederation October Madicines Consocrations
Disability Rights UK	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Leonard Cheshire Disability	Welsh Health Specialised Services ""
Muslim Council of Britain	Committee
 National Osteoporosis Society 	Detection and account of the control
Pain Concern	Potential comparator manufacturers
Pain Relief Foundation	Accord Healthcare (alendronate,
Pain UK	ibandronate, zoledronate)
 South Asian Health Foundation 	Actavis UK (alendronate, ibandronate,
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	risedronate, zoledronate)
Women's Health Concern	Amneal Pharma Europe (zoledronate)
	Aurobindo (alendronate, risedronate)
Professional groups	Consilient Health (ibandronate)
British Geriatrics Society	Creo Pharma (alendronate)
British Institute of Musculoskeletal	 Dr Reddy's Laboratories (zoledronate)
Medicine	Hospira UK (zoledronate)
British Menopause Society	Internis (alendronate)
British Orthopaedic Association	 Intrapharm Laboratories (zoledronate)
British Society for Rheumatology	Medac (zoledronate)
British Society of Rehabilitation	Merck Sharp & Dohme (alendronate)
Medicine	Mylan UK (alendronate, ibandronate,
 Physiotherapy Pain Association 	zoledronate)
- i nysiotiiciapy i ain Association	

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of non-bisphosphonates for treating osteoporosis [ID901]

Issue date: December 2017

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) Primary Care Rheumatology Society Novartis Pharmaceuticals (zoledronate) Ranbaxy (ibandronate, zoledronate) Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Rosemont (alendronate) Royal College of Pathologists Sandoz (risedronate) Royal College of Physicians Seacross Pharmaceuticals Royal College of Radiologists (zoledronate) • Warner Chilcott UK (risedronate) Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Zentiva (alendronate, ibandronate) Society for Endocrinology Relevant research groups **United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy** Bone Research Society Association Chronic Pain Policy Coalition (CPPC) Cochrane Metabolic & Endocrine Others Disorders Group Department of Health Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group NHS Barnet CCG Institute for Ageing and Health NHS England MRC Clinical Trials Unit NHS Milton Keynes CCG • National Institute for Health Research Welsh Government Orthopaedic Research UK Associated Guideline Groups National Clinical Guideline Centre National Collaborating Centre for Women and Children's Health National Osteoporosis Guideline Group Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales NHS Trust

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD). All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.

Issue date: December 2017