NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Multiple Technology Appraisal

Lenvatinib and sorafenib for treating differentiated thyroid cancer after radioactive iodine [ID1059]

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 <u>Company(ies)</u> Bayer HealthCare (sorafenib) Eisai (lenvatinib) 	 <u>General</u> Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
 Patient/carer groups Black Health Agency Butterfly Thyroid Cancer Trust British Thyroid Foundation Cancer 52 Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Changing Faces Get-A-Head HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Let's Face it Macmillan Cancer Support 	 British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium
 Maggie's Centres Marie Curie 	Comparator companies None
 Mouth Cancer Foundation Muslim Council of Britain National Association of Laryngectomee Trust South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus 	 <u>Relevant research groups</u> Head and Neck Cancer Research Trust Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research
 <u>Professional groups</u> Association of Anaesthetists Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland British Association of Endocrine and Thyroid Surgeons 	 <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Final matrix for the technology appraisal of lenvatinib and sorafenib for treating differentiated thyroid cancer after radioactive iodine ID1059

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Association of Surgical Oncology British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society Cancer Research UK British Thyroid Association Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Surgeons Royal College of Surgeons Royal College of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Oncology Nursing Society 	
Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Hammersmith and Fulham CCG NHS South Norfolk CCG Welsh Government 	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Final matrix for the technology appraisal of lenvatinib and sorafenib for treating differentiated thyroid cancer after radioactive iodine ID1059

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that manufacture comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Final matrix for the technology appraisal of lenvatinib and sorafenib for treating differentiated thyroid cancer after radioactive iodine ID1059