

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

STA Avelumab for metastatic Merkel cell carcinoma

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

Consultation

- | |
|---|
| 1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how? |
|---|

No equality issues have been identified during the scoping process.

- | |
|--|
| 2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? |
|--|

In a clinical expert statement, a potential equality issue was identified for poorer people. It was reported that the rarity of Merkel cell carcinoma would cause patients to be managed in specialist centres and therefore would disadvantage poorer people because of the cost of travel. The committee assessed this was not likely to be an issue as clinicians would get more experienced with treating the condition and will move across hospitals rather than staying in the main specialist centers. No potential equality issues have been raised in the submissions or academic report.

- | |
|--|
| 3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? |
|--|

No potential equality issues have been identified by the committee.

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No.

5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No.

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable.

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the appraisal consultation document, and, if so, where?

Not applicable.

Approved by Associate Director (name): Janet Robertson

Date: 20 November 2017