NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Pertuzumab for untreated metastatic HER2-positive gastric or gastrooesophageal junction cancer ID1096

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
	appeary
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Roche Products (pertuzumab)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology
Patient/carer groups	Centre
Black Health Agency Black Plant Control	Board of Community Health Councils in
Cancer Black Care Cancer Black Care	Wales
Cancer Equality Cancer Equality	British National Formulary
• Cancer52	Care Quality Commission
HAWC Halan Dallagan Canaar Charity	Department of Health, Social Services and Public Sefety for Northern Iroland
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Independent Can	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Independent Cancer Patients VoiceMacmillan Cancer Support	Healthcare Improvement ScotlandMedicines and Healthcare products
Macmillan Cancer SupportMaggie's Centres	Regulatory Agency
Marie Curie Cancer Care	 National Association of Primary Care
Muslim Council of Britain	National Pharmacy Association
Oesophageal Patients Association	NHS Alliance
South Asian Health Foundation	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	NHS Confederation
Tenovus	Scottish Medicines Consortium
	Welsh Health Specialised Services
Professional groups	Committee
Association of Cancer Physicians	
Association of Surgeons of Great	Possible comparator manufacturers
Britain and Ireland	Accord Healthcare (capecitabine,
British Association of Surgical	cisplatin, fluorouracil)
Oncology	Activis (capecitabine) Allower (fluorespecifications)
British Geriatric Society British Leatifut and British and B	Allergan (fluorouracil) Dr. Boddi 'a Laboratorias (conscitabina)
British Institute of Radiology British Basels as a sigl Consoler with Consol	Dr Reddy's Laboratories (capecitabine)
British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society of Contractor logy	Hospira UK (cisplatin, fluorouracil) Modas UK (capacitabine, fluorouracil)
British Society of Gastroenterology Capper Research LIK	Medac UK (capecitabine, fluorouracil) Morningside Healthcare (capecitabine)
Cancer Research UK Poyal College of Apposthetists	Morningside Healthcare (capecitabine)Mylan (capecitabine)
Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners	Sandoz (cisplatin)
Royal College of General PractitionersRoyal College of Nursing	Roche Products (trastuzumab)
- Noyal College of Nulsing	- Roone Froducis (Hastuzuman)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of pertuzumab for untreated metastatic HER2-positive gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer ID1096

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2017. All rights reserved.

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 Teva UK (cisplatin) Wockhardt UK (cisplatin) Zentiva (capecitabine) Relevant research groups CORE – The Digestive Disorders Foundation Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network
 Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Herefordshire CCG NHS Waltham Forest CCG Welsh Government 	 National Institute for Health Research Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.