# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# Health Technology Appraisal

# Nivolumab for previously treated hepatocellular carcinoma [ID1141]

### Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
<ul> <li>Bristol-Myers Squibb (nivolumab)</li> </ul>	Allied Health Professionals
<b>, , , ,</b>	Federation
Patient/carer groups	Board of Community Health
Addaction	Councils in Wales
Alcohol Concern	British National Formulary
Black Health Agency	Care Quality Commission
British Liver Trust	Department of Health, Social
Cancer Black Care	Services and Public Safety for
Cancer Equality	Northern Ireland
Cancer 52	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
HAWC	Medicines and Healthcare products
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	Regulatory Agency
Hepatitis B Foundation UK	<ul> <li>National Association of Primary</li> </ul>
Hepatitis C Trust	Care
Independent Cancer Patients' Voice	<ul> <li>National Pharmacy Association</li> </ul>
Liver4Life	NHS Alliance
Macmillan Cancer Support	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Maggie's Centres	NHS Confederation
Marie Curie	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Muslim Council of Britain	
<ul> <li>Pelican Cancer Foundation</li> </ul>	Possible Comparator Companies
Rarer Cancers Foundation	None
<ul> <li>South Asian Health Foundation</li> </ul>	
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Relevant Research groups
Tenovus Cancer Care	Cochrane Hepato-biliary Group
	CORE – Digestive Disorders
Professional groups	Foundation
<ul> <li>Association of Anaesthetists</li> </ul>	Foundation for Liver Research
<ul> <li>Association of Cancer Physicians</li> </ul>	Institute of Cancer Research     MDC Clinical Trials Linit
Association of Surgeons of Great Britain	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
and Ireland	National Cancer Research Institute
British Association of the Study of the	National Cancer Research Network
Liver	National Institute for Health     Research
British Geriatrics Society	Research
<ul> <li>British Institute of Radiologists</li> </ul>	Associated Public Health Groups
<ul> <li>British Liver Nurses Forum</li> </ul>	Public Health England

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for technology appraisal of nivolumab for previously treated hepatocellular carcinoma ID1141 Issue date: May 2017 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul> <li>British Psychosocial Oncology Society</li> <li>British Society of Gastroenterology</li> <li>Cancer Research UK</li> <li>Hepatitis Nurse Specialist Forum</li> <li>Royal College of Anaesthetists</li> <li>Royal College of General Practitioners</li> <li>Royal College of Pathologists</li> <li>Royal College of Physicians</li> <li>Royal College of Radiologists</li> <li>Royal College of Surgeons</li> <li>Royal Pharmaceutical Society</li> <li>Royal Society of Medicine</li> <li>Society and College of Radiologists</li> <li>UK Clinical Pharmacy Association</li> <li>UK Oncology Nursing Society</li> </ul>	Public Health Wales
Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Mansfield & Ashfield CCG NHS Lincolnshire West CCG Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

### PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

### Definitions:

#### **Consultees**

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement<sup>1</sup>, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

#### **Commentators**

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.