NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Sirukumab for previously treated moderate to severe rheumatoid arthritis D1002

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company Janssen (sirukumab) Patient/carer groups Action on Pain Arthritis Action	 General Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission
 Arthritis & Musculoskeletal Alliance Arthritis Care BackCare Disability Rights UK Leonard Cheshire Disability Muslim Council of Britain National Rheumatoid Arthritis Society Pain Concern Pain Relief Foundation Pain UK South Asian Health Foundation 	 Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium
Specialised Healthcare Alliance Professional groups	Possible comparator companies AbbVie (adalimumab)
 British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Musculoskeletal Medicine British Orthopaedic Association 	 Accord Healthcare (methotrexate) Amdipharm Mercury (methotrexate) Bristol-Myers Squibb (abatacept) Biogen (etanercept)
 British Pain Society British Society for Rheumatology British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine Physiotherapy Pain Association 	 Concordia international (methotrexate) Hameln pharmaceuticals (methotrexate) Hospira (infliximab, methotrexate) medac (methotrexate)
 Primary Care Rheumatology Society Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists 	 Merck Sharp & Dohme (golimumab, infliximab) Napp (infliximab) Nordic Pharma (methotrexate) Orion Pharma (methotrexate) Pfizer (etanercept, methotrexate) Roche (rituximab, tocilizumab)
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	Rosemont Pharmaceuticals

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of sirukumab for previously treated moderate to severe rheumatoid arthritis ID1002

Issue date: January 2017 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association Others Department of Health 	 (methotrexate) Sandoz (methotrexate) UCB Pharma Limited (certolizumab pegol) Relevant research groups
 NHS England NHS Herefordshire CCG NHS Waltham Forest CCG Welsh Government 	 Arthritis Research UK Chronic Pain Policy Coalition Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research
	Associated Public Health GroupsPublic Health EnglandPublic Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: January 2017 Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland;; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of sirukumab for previously treated moderate to severe rheumatoid arthritis ID1002

Issue date: January 2017 Page 3 of 3

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.