NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Atezolizumab for treating locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma [ID939]

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Atezolizumab (Roche)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
 Patient/carer groups Action Bladder Cancer UK Black Health Agency Bladder & Bowel Foundation Cancer 52 Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Fight Bladder Cancer HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain Pelican Cancer Foundation Penny Brohns UK South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians 	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Possible comparator companies Accord Healthcare (carboplatin, docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel) Actavis UK (docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel)
 Bladder and Bowel Foundation British Association of Urological Nurses British Association of Urological Surgeons 	 Dr Reddy's Laboratories (docetaxel) Eli Lilly (gemcitabine) Hospira (carboplatin, docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of atezolizumab for treating locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma [ID939]

Issue date: November 2016 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Geriatrics Society British Gynaecological Cancer Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society of Urogynaecology British Uro-Oncology Group Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society Urology Foundation University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust 	 Medac (docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel) Sanofi (docetaxel) Seacross Pharmaceuticals (docetaxel) Sun Pharmaceuticals UK Ltd (carboplatin, gemcitabine) Peckforton Pharmaceuticals (paclitaxel) Relevant research groups Cochrane Prostate Diseases and Urologic Cancers Group Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Institute for Health Research Urothelial Cancers Research Group, Leeds Institute of Cancer & Pathology
 Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Newbury and District CCG NHS Sheffield CCG Welsh Government 	 Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Matrix for the technology appraisal of atezolizumab for treating locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma [ID939]

Issue date: November 2016 Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Matrix for the technology appraisal of atezolizumab for treating locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma [ID939]

Issue date: November 2016 Page 3 of 3

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.