NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Lusutrombopag for treating thrombocytopenia in people with chronic liver disease needing elective surgery ID1149

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Shionogi (lusutrombopag)	 Allied Health Professionals Federation All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology
Patient/carer groups	Centre
Action on Addiction	Board of Community Health Councils in
Addaction	Wales
Addiction Today	British National Formulary
ADFAM	Care Quality Commission
Alcohol Concern	Department of Health, Social Services
British Liver Trust	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Compass UK	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Haemophilia Society	Medicines and Healthcare products
Hepatitis B Foundation UK	Regulatory Agency
ITP Support Association	 National Association of Primary Care
Lifeline Project	 National Pharmacy Association
Liver4Life	NHS Alliance
Muslim Council of Great Britain	NHS Confederation
Pelican Cancer Foundation	 Scottish Medicines Consortium
South Asian Health Foundation	 Welsh Health Specialised Services
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Committee
Turning Point	
	Possible comparator companies
Professional groups	None
 Association of Surgeons of Great 	
Britain and Ireland	Relevant research groups
British Association for the Study of the	Cochrane Hepato-Biliary Group Cochrane Infantisca Biliary Group
Liver	Cochrane Infectious Diseases group Foundation for Liver Descends
British Blood Transfusion Society	Foundation for Liver Research NDC Oliving Living
British Committee for Standards in	MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Use the Bases and Institute for Use the Institute for Use the Bases and Institute for Use the
Haematology	National Institute for Health Research
British Geriatric Society	UK National Screening Committee
British Infection Association	Associated Public Health Croups
British Society for Haematology	Associated Public Health Groups
British Viral Hepatitis Group	Public Health Males Public Health Wales
Hepatitis Nurse Specialist Forum	Public Health Wales

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of lusutrombopag for treating thrombocytopenia in people with chronic liver disease needing elective surgery ID1149 Issue date: January 2018

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Haemophilia Nurses Association NHS Blood and Transplant Positive Prevention Plus Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine – Intellectual Disabilities Forum UK Clinical Virology Network UK Forum on Haemoglobin Disorders UK Harm Reduction Alliance UK Haemophilia Centre Doctors' Organisation United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association 	
Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Chiltern CCG NHS Portsmouth CCG Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination.

Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of lusutrombopag for treating thrombocytopenia in people with chronic liver disease needing elective surgery ID1149 Issue date: January 2018

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland;; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of lusutrombopag for treating thrombocytopenia in people with chronic liver disease needing elective surgery ID1149 Issue date: January 2018

¹ Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.