NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Pembrolizumab for previously treated oesophageal or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer ID1357

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company Merck Sharp & Dohme (pembrolizumab) Patient/carer groups Black Health Agency Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer 52 Equalities National Council GIST Support UK HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Muslim Council of Britain Ochre Oesophageal Patients Association South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care	 General All Wales Therapeutic and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Society of Gastroenterology Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland British Association of Surgical Oncology British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society of Gastroenterology Cancer Research UK Primary Care Society for Gastroenterology 	 Possible comparator companies Accord Healthcare (docetaxel, paclitaxel, irinotecan) Actavis UK (docetaxel, paclitaxel, irinotecan) Celgene (paclitaxel) Hospira UK (docetaxel, paclitaxel, irinotecan) Medac GmbH (docetaxel, paclitaxel, irinotecan) Pfizer Ltd (irinotecan) Sanofi (docetaxel)

Provisional matrix for the single technology appraisal of Pembrolizumab for previously treated oesophageal or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer ID1357. Issue date: March 2018

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) Royal College of Anaesthetists Seacross Pharmaceuticals Royal College of General Practitioners (docetaxel, irinotecan) Royal College of Nursing Shire Pharmaceuticals (irinotecan) Royal College of Pathologists Relevant research groups Royal College of Physicians Cochrane Upper Gastrointestinal and Royal College of Radiologists Pancreatic Diseases Group Royal College of Surgeons CORE – The Digestive Disorders Royal Pharmaceutical Society Foundation **Royal Society of Medicine** Grampian Gastro-Oesophageal Society and College of Radiographers Cancer Research Fund **UK Clinical Pharmacy Association** Institute of Cancer Research **UK Health Forum** MRC Clinical Trials Unit **UK Oncology Nursing Society** National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network Others National Institute for Health Research Department for Health and Social Care **Oracle Cancer Trust** NHS Castle Point and Rochford CCG NHS East Riding of Yorkshire CCG Associated Public Health Groups NHS England Public Health England Welsh Government Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.